

AD 711 568

61

CENTER FOR HIGH ENERGY FORMING

TWENTIETH QUARTERLY REPORT


OF TECHNICAL PROGRESS

Jimmy D. Mote

July 1, 1970

Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center  
Watertown, Massachusetts 02172

Martin Marietta Corporation  
Denver Division  
Contract DA 19-066-AMC-266(X)  
The University of Denver  
Denver, Colorado



Sponsored by

Advanced Research Project Agency

ARPA Order No. 720

This document has been approved  
for public release and sale. Its  
distribution is unlimited.

Distribution of this document is unlimited

26

|                                |               |                                     |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|
| ACCESSION NO.                  |               |                                     |
| GPST                           | SHAME SECTION | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| DGC                            | DAF SECTION   | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| UNCLASSIFIED                   |               | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| CLASSIFICATION                 |               |                                     |
|                                |               |                                     |
| DISPOSITION/AVAILABILITY CODES |               |                                     |
| DECL.                          | AVAIL.        | SPECIAL                             |
|                                |               |                                     |

The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Mention of any trade names or manufacturers in this report shall not be construed as advertising nor as an official endorsement or approval of such products or companies by the United States Government.

#### DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed.  
Do not return it to originator.

CENTER FOR HIGH ENERGY FORMING

TWENTIETH QUARTERLY REPORT  
OF TECHNICAL PROGRESS

Jimmy D. Mote

July 1, 1970

Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center  
Watertown, Massachusetts 02172

Martin Marietta Corporation  
Denver Division  
Contract DA 19-066-AMC-266(X)  
The University of Denver  
Denver, Colorado

Sponsored by

Advanced Research Project Agency

ARPA Order No. 720

Distribution of this document is unlimited

## ABSTRACT

This report summarizes results during the period 1 April thru 30 June 1970:

- a. Measurement of the dynamic loads on an explosive forming die;
- b. Applications of explosive welding to hardware configurations;
- c. Flange buckling of explosively formed domes;
- d. Explosive punching of dual hardness armor;
- e. Cylindrical explosive forming dies;
- f. Explosive forming of domes in vented dies;
- g. Explosive forming of domes for ground based pressure vessels;
- h. Pull-in of explosively formed domes;
- i. Fracture toughness of explosively formed high strength steel;
- j. Terminal properties of titanium;
- k. Explosive welding;
- l. Explosion welding of dual hardness armor;
- m. Explosive powder compaction;
- n. Explosive forming of thick plates;
- o. Theoretical studies of explosive energy transfer to a thick walled cylinder using a radial piston.

## CONTENTS

|                                                                           | Page |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Abstract . . . . .                                                        | 1    |
| Contents . . . . .                                                        | 11   |
| I. MARTIN MARIETTA CORPORATION                                            |      |
| 1. Measurement of the Dynamic Loads on an Explosive Forming Die . . . . . | 1    |
| 2. Applications of Explosive Welding to Hardware Configurations . . . . . | 3    |
| II. UNIVERSITY OF DENVER                                                  |      |
| 1. Flange Buckling of Explosively Formed Domes . . . . .                  | 6    |
| 2. Explosive Punching of Dual Hardness Armor . . . . .                    | 6    |
| 3. Cylindrical Explosive Forming Dies . . . . .                           | 7    |
| 4. Explosive Forming of Domes in Vented Dies . . . . .                    | 7    |
| 5. Explosive Forming of Domes for Ground Based Pressure Vessels . . . . . | 8    |
| 6. Pull-In of Explosively Formed Domes . . . . .                          | 8    |
| 7. Fracture Toughness of Explosively Formed High Strength Steel . . . . . | 9    |
| 8. Terminal Properties of Titanium . . . . .                              | 10   |
| 9. Explosive Welding . . . . .                                            | 12   |
| 10. Explosion Welding of Dual Hardness Armor . . . . .                    | 14   |
| 11. Explosive Powder Compaction . . . . .                                 | 15   |

## CONTENTS

|                                                                                                                       | Page |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 12. Explosive Forming of Thick Plates . . . . .                                                                       | 16   |
| 13. Theoretical Studies of Explosive Energy<br>Transfer to A Thick Walled Cylinder<br>Using A Radial Piston . . . . . | 16   |
| Figure                                                                                                                |      |
| I.                                                                                                                    |      |
| 1. Blank and Die Strain-Time History<br>Recorded Simultaneously (Raw Data) . . . . .                                  | 2    |
| II.                                                                                                                   |      |
| 2. 200X Micrograph of Explosive Weld<br>of .005 in. OFHC Copper . . . . .                                             | 3    |
| 3. 20X Micrograph of Explosively Welded<br>Corner Joint (.125 in. Mild Steel) . . . . .                               | 4    |
| 4. 200X Micrograph of Explosively Welded<br>Corner Joint (.125 in. Mild Steel) . . . . .                              | 5    |
| 5. Effect of Explosive Forming on Impact<br>Energy Absorbed by HY 80 Steel . . . . .                                  | 11   |
| 6. Use of Radial Piston for Explosive Energy<br>Transfer to Thick Walled Tube . . . . .                               | 17   |

**BLANK PAGE**

## I. MARTIN MARIETTA CORPORATION


### 1. Measurement of the Dynamic Loads on an Explosive Forming Die

Principal Investigators: L. Ching, D. Bouma



Data are continuing to be gathered in the die stress study program. A subscale die was specifically designed for this program and is presently in use. The surface strains are measured on the die during explosive forming of parts. The general set-up and techniques of measurement have been described in the previous quarterly reports. After completion of the present series of measurements, the outer surface of the die will be machined down and a new series of surface strain measurements will be made on the same die. The process will be repeated several times until a limit is reached determined by the growth of the die cavity.

The surface strain measurements are made with an explosive load necessary only for the forming of a part with no desire to overshoot the blank causing undue die load. Many tests have been run while forming aluminum blanks to full hemispheres in one shot. Due to the present massiveness of the die, the strains are very low. To date, blank strain time history has been measured until gage failure at 400 micro-seconds after detonation at which time the strain gage recorded 7% strain as shown in Figure 1. The blank material continued to deform to 15% on the final contour. The general set-up and technique for measuring plastic strain upon the blank has been reported in the proceedings of the Second International Conference for High Energy Forming. Further testing with minor changes in techniques should produce a complete dynamic strain time history for the blank. From this history the times of shock wave, reloading, and final forming of the part can be established for comparison with die loads.

Some die strain measurements were made while forming maraging steel with two shots. The die strains recorded on the first shot were comparable in magnitude with the die strains measured while forming aluminum domes even though the charge load was four times larger. The second or sizing shot produced four times as much die strain as the first shot even though the charge load was reduced to half. Increased die wear caused by the sizing shot has been observed for some time. However, these tests and further study will reveal relative magnitude of the die load caused by sizing shots.



SWEEP RATE = 100  $\mu$  secs/cm  
 DIE STRAIN



SWEEP RATE = 100  $\mu$  secs/cm  
 BLANK STRAIN

Figure 1 Blank and Die Strain-Time History Recorded Simultaneously  
 (Raw Data)

2. Applications of Explosive Welding to Hardware Configurations

Principal Investigator: W. Simon

- a. Lap Joint for Construction of Conical Ring from OFHC Copper (.005 in. thick)



Figure 2 200X Micrograph of Explosive Weld of .005 in. OFHC Copper


Figure 2 shows the weld obtained in this application. The bond line is horizontal along the center of the sample. This weld is now being used to construct cylindrical copper rings which are then stretched over a die to obtain a conical ring. The plastic strain in the stretching process is approximately 1½%. No weld failures have occurred in the fabrication of six rings.

b Explosive Weld of Corner Joint with Mild Steel  
(.125 in. thick)



Figure 3 20X Micrograph of Explosively  
Welded Corner Joint (.125 in. Mild  
Steel)

In some applications it would be desirable to use explosive welds to construct angle joints. Figures 3 and 4 show the results of the first attempt at a corner joint. It can be seen that the explosive loading was too low, but a weld was obtained. One of the important characteristics of this configuration is that the base plate is its own mandrel, since its length is in the direction of the application of the explosive loading. No buckling occurred in either plate. The advantages



**Figure 4    200X Micrograph of Explosively Welded  
Corner Joint (.125 in. Mild Steel)**

of joint welds without using a mandrel are obvious, particularly for large and heavy plates. Work is continuing on this application. Applications to oblique joints will be investigated.

## II. UNIVERSITY OF DENVER

### 1. Flange Buckling of Explosively Formed Domes

Principal Investigator: M. Kaplan

Graduate Student: H. Boduroglu

The analysis of the stress and strains in the pre-buckled flange has been completely finished. The resulting expressions are relatively simple analytically and agree very well with experimental results. The buckling analysis has been formulated in terms of the principle of virtual work. The buckled mode shape will be assumed in terms of coefficients which will be chosen so as to render the virtual work integral stationary. The resulting equations should lead to a standard eigenvalue problem for determining the onset of wrinkling.

### 2. Explosive Punching of Dual Hardness Armor

Principal Investigator: W. G. Howell

Post Doctoral: A. R. Dowling

To test the theory that bad explosive to liner contact was causing the small penetrations produced by the circular configuration compared with linear wedge segments, Detasheet was cut in the shape of conical sections to fit the contours of the liner. This appeared to give much improved contact with the liner material, yet the results of penetration tests were no different. In addition, two charges were covered with lead sheet in order to confine the gases better and hopefully to accelerate the liner material for a longer period. Here again, though, the penetration achieved was the same.

Since 3/8 in. material with a hardness of Rc45 was perforated using a complete charge, it seems probable that the depth of penetration produced by the shaped part of the charge is of little importance and that the central charge provides most of the cutting action. This possibility will therefore be examined by attempting to perforate 1/2 in. Rc50 steel by increasing the weight of explosive in the central part of the complete charge. Since it is known that the jet penetration in such hard material

is small, three caps, equally spaced around the apex of the wedge, can be used to initiate the charge as the deviation from a circular shape caused by removing the plane wave generator will be negligible.

### 3. Cylindrical Explosive Forming Dies

Principal Investigator: J. A. Weese

Graduate Student: R. E. Knight

The strain-time history of the workpiece was investigated by placing strain gages inside the workpiece. The workpieces were found to expand with a strain rate of about 1000/sec to a strain of 0.016, where an abrupt change in strain rate to approximately 10,000/sec was observed. The gages all failed at a strain of approximately 0.04. The failure was apparently due to a strain rate effect in the adhesive or backing of the gage. Different adhesives and arrival time methods are under investigation.

### 4. Explosive Forming of Domes in Vented Dies

Principal Investigator: A. A. Ezra

Graduate Student: P. Hardee

Primary attention during this period has been devoted to determining a mathematical model for the problem. In an attempt to do this, a one-dimensional approach has been taken and an experiment formulated to verify the results predicted by the model. With this information it is hoped that one can determine the general parameters affecting the movement of the gas out of the cavity between the blank and the die.

The one-dimensional experiment is presently under development and will involve a piston accelerated into a cylinder with an orifice at the end. The time-velocity history of the piston will be determined and compared with the mathematical prediction. The computer program to predict the movement of the piston has been formulated for the closed end case and is being expanded to include the case with an orifice of given size.

5. Explosive Forming of Domes for Ground Based Pressure Vessels

Principal Investigator: A. A. Ezra

Post Doctoral: A. R. Dowling, L. L. Alting

Faced with competing against conventionally formed tank heads, it was apparent that a major economical handicap of explosive formed domes would be the material wastage incurred. The most commonly required tank head shape is a dome terminating in a straight cylindrical portion, the skirt, which can be welded directly to the tank body. The normal explosive forming process produces domes with a flange and for the majority of vessels this would have to be trimmed off and be wasted.

The experimental program was directed toward minimizing this waste. To date, experiments have been performed using hot rolled steel plate and a diameter to thickness ratio of 110 in which, after forming, the outside edge of the flange came to rest at the start of the die opening. Thus, the only waste was that material bent over the curved entry into the die cavity and, by reducing the draw radius the waste could be reduced still further. However, a straight cylindrical section was not obtained and work will continue on this aspect. In addition, two other systems will be used to try to eliminate the flange altogether--one of which is expected to be useful at high diameter to thickness ratios (above 200), the other for ratios less than 100.

6. Pull-In of Explosively Formed Domes

Principal Investigator: M. Kaplan

Graduate Student: S. Kulkarni

Hill's principle of maximum rate of plastic work is being used as the basis for an approximate solution to the pull-in problem. The constraints on the problem are that the middle surface of the dome is a portion of a sphere, the shear stress vanishes across the thickness, and the forming process is quasi-static. The last assumption is based on the experimental result that identically shaped statically and dynamically formed ( $L/D = 1/6$ ) domes have the same pull-in despite the fact that their strain fields are somewhat different.

The Rayleigh-Ritz method is being used to find an approximate velocity field which satisfies the boundary conditions and renders the work integral a maximum. The rate of work in the flange is known in terms of the rate of pull-in from the flange buckling analyses. The rate of pull-in is related to the velocity field in the dome by matching velocities at the flange-dome intersection.

7. Fracture Toughness of Explosively Formed High Strength Steel

Principal Investigator: H. Otto

Graduate Student: R. Mikesell

Impact tests were conducted on explosively formed and cold rolled HY 80 steel to determine the ductile to brittle transition (DBT) temperature of this steel as a function of forming. Specimens were cut from two domes, one that had been formed in water with a standoff and the other that had been formed in air with a sizing operation in water. Cold rolled specimens were cut from stock that had been rolled to the same effective strain as the explosively formed stock. ( $\epsilon^* = .066$ ) It must be pointed out that all specimens were taken parallel to the rolling direction, so the uniaxial strain in this direction was greater in the cold rolled stock.

All of the specimens were machined to 2.165 x 0.394 x 0.197 in. bars and then heat treated. The heat treatment consisted of austenitizing at 1655°F for 36 minutes, water quenching, and then tempering at 1295°F for 40 minutes. Hardness readings after heat treatment were approximately the same (cold rolled - Rc21.5, explosively formed - Rc22.0). Notches for Charpy impact were ground in the specimens after heat treatment. A standard 0.01 in. radius notch was used.

A dewar filled with liquid nitrogen was used to chill the specimen. For calibration, copper constantan thermocouples were soldered adjacent to the notch to determine the temperature-time relationship for achieving a particular test temperature. The temperature differential across the specimen as it warmed was less than 1°F.

The results of the impacted tests are presented below:

| <u>Test<br/>Temperature °F</u> | <u>Impact Energy Absorbed ft/lbs</u>  |                                |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                                | <u>Explosively Formed<br/>HY - 80</u> | <u>Cold Rolled<br/>HY - 80</u> |
| 74                             | 57.9                                  | 27.9                           |
| -94                            | -                                     | 26.8                           |
| -98                            | 54.1                                  | -                              |
| -107                           | -                                     | 25.0                           |
| -122                           | -                                     | 21.8                           |
| -130                           | -                                     | 16.9                           |
| -135                           | -                                     | 15.2                           |
| -145                           | 50.5                                  | 15.8                           |
| -176                           | 39.7                                  | 13.3                           |
| -190                           | 25.8                                  | -                              |
| -207                           | 23.2                                  | 11.2                           |
| -323                           | 15.8                                  | 10.0                           |

A graphical presentation of the results is given in Figure 5. As can be seen by an inspection of Figure 5, the DBT of the cold rolled stock was higher (-110°F). Another interesting result was the difference in the fracture load above the DBT. The cold rolled stock had an impact load above the DBT of about 27 ft/lbs whereas that of the explosively formed stock was about twice as high. Tensile tests conducted on stock tempered at 1300°F did not give any indication that large differences would be present. Again, it must be pointed out that the uniaxial forming strain normal to the impact was much higher for the cold rolled stock than for the explosively formed material which could be the reason for the particular results observed in these tests.

Impact tests on explosively formed 4130 and 4340 currently are under way.

#### 8. Terminal Properties of Titanium

Principal Investigators: R. N. Orava, H. E. Otto

Graduate Student: P. C. Khuntia

The objective of this investigation is to generate information concerning the relative influence of explosive and conventional forming on the terminal behavior of unalloyed α-titanium




Figure 5 Effect of Explosive Forming on Impact Energy Absorbed by HY 80 Steel

(TMCA 50A) and  $\alpha$ - $\beta$  titanium alloy (6Al-4V). The study includes the evaluation of microstructure, hardness, tensile flow characteristics, stress corrosion cracking susceptibility, and thermal response. Test samples were selected from explosively free-formed and from isostatically rubber-pressed domes. The detailed procedures and results will be presented in the annual report. Some of the more recent findings are outlined below.

The study of the susceptibility of unformed, explosively formed, and isostatically formed Ti-6Al-4V to cracking in methanol-0.05% HCl has been completed. An examination of the mean failure times,  $t_F$ , revealed behavior similar to that reported previously for unalloyed Ti. Specifically:

- a) In all of four sets of experiments

$$\bar{t}_F (\text{explosively formed}) > \bar{t}_F (\text{unformed});$$

- b) In three of four sets

$$\bar{t}_F (\text{isostatically formed}) > \bar{t}_F (\text{unformed});$$

- c) In all of four sets

$$\bar{t}_F (\text{explosively formed}) > \bar{t}_F (\text{isostatically formed}).$$

The following conclusions were drawn from a statistical analysis of the above data:

- a) Explosive forming to effective strains of 2.5 or 5% enhances the resistance of annealed 6Al-4V to cracking in methanol/HCl solutions;
- b) Isostatic forming to effective strains of 2.5 or 5% leaves the resistance unaltered;
- c) Explosive forming, as compared with isostatic forming to an equivalent strain, probably does not influence cracking susceptibility; if anything, resistance may be slightly improved.

It should be noted that the strain effect would have been more pronounced had the tests been conducted at the same absolute stress level rather than at the same fraction of the unformed or as-formed yield stress.

A comparison of the microstructures of isostatically formed and uniaxially pulled Ti-50A disclosed a lower twin density in the latter. This would suggest a stress state effect, in agreement with some recent results <sup>(1)</sup> in the literature for the alpha alloy Ti-5Al-2.5Sn. However, on increasing the strain rate in the tensile test to  $10^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  in order to correspond to that during isostatic forming, it was found that the twin densities were comparable. Thus, neither the above data, nor the microstructural observations after explosively forming, indicate that the state of stress plays any significant role in the number of twins introduced during the deformation of Ti-50A. Therefore, the high incidence of twinning during the explosive forming of domes is probably characteristic of the rate of forming and applies equally well to other configurations which are fabricated explosively. There is no indication as yet that the presence of deformation twins is detrimental to the behavior of this material. However, until other properties such as fracture, fatigue, and creep are evaluated, any conclusion in this regard would be premature.

#### 9. Explosive Welding

Principal Investigator: S. H. Carpenter

Graduate Students: V. H. Winchell, M. Nagarkar

#### Diffusion Studies

Work has continued on studying the metallurgical reactions which occur at the explosive weld interface at high temperatures. The main object to date has been to investigate the diffusion at the interface. Commercially roll bonded copies of Cu-Ni, Fe-Ti, and Fe-Al have been supplied free of charge by Texas Instruments, Inc. Samples cut from the roll bonded material have been explosively bonded giving a sample with three interfaces. The first interface is a roll bonded interface which has also been shocked and deformed like a cladder plate, the second interface is the explosive weld interface, and the third interface is a roll bonded interface which has been shocked like a base plate. After explosive welding, the samples are heat treated and compared

---

<sup>(1)</sup>G. F. Pittinato and S. F. Fredrick, Trans TMS-AIME, 245, 2299, 1969.

with the conventionally bonded material. Using this approach one can hopefully separate out the effects of a) longitudinal plastic strain of the cladder plate, b) the severe cold working at the explosive weld interface, and c) the high pressure shock wave.

To date, Cu-Ni samples have been welded and heat treated at 500°C, 750°C, and 900°C. Microprobe work has been carried out on the Cu-Ni samples to measure the diffusion zone width at all three interfaces as well as the standard. The data are now being analyzed. The next couple to be investigated will be Fe-Al where the kinetics of intermetallic compound formation will be studied.

### Strains

Work has continued on examining the plastic strains induced in the cladder plate during the explosive welding operation. A cladder plate 24 x 8 x 1/4 in. of cold rolled steel was welded to a base plate of identical size and composition. A grid of 18 holes (0.0135 in. diameter) was used to measure the plastic strain. The results are similar to those reported earlier for the smaller aluminum plate. The plate was found to elongate slightly and quite uniformly up to the last few inches where significant elongation occurs. Measurements also showed a transverse elongation at the center portion of the weld. Current work is directed to continuing this type of study on a strain rate sensitive material such as titanium.

## 10. Explosion Welding of Dual Hardness Armor

Principal Investigator: R. H. Wittman

During the past quarter, experiments have been conducted preparatory to the making of a 350 maraging steel/Ti-6Al-4V dual hardness armor plate for ballistic testing. To create a strong, tough explosion weld in this system, experiments indicate it will be necessary to use an intermediate foil layer between the steel and titanium.

A dual hardness armor plate for ballistic testing has just been explosion welded in a two-step sequence using a mild steel sheet for the interlayer. The first explosion welding sequence involved cladding a 3/16 in. thick Ti-6Al-4V plate with a 0.028 in. thick layer of mild steel. A duPont free running dynamite

with 4500 ft/sec detonation velocity was the explosive used. To complete the armor plate, a 1/8 in. thick, 350 maraging steel plate in the solution treated condition was explosion welded to the mild steel surface of the Ti-6Al-4V base plate. A Trojan Powder Co. nitrostarch dynamite with 11,500 ft/sec detonation velocity was used in this welding sequence. After inspection, trimming away peripheral non-bond, and flattening, the composite plate will be heat treated at 925°F for three hours to develop maximum hardness in the maraging steel.

#### 11. Explosive Powder Compaction

Principal Investigator: H. Otto

Graduate Student: D. Witkowski

Several steel compacts, nominally 1/4 and 1/2 in. in thickness, were made during this report period. The same pre-compaction load was used throughout. Explosive loadings of 40% Red Cross Extra dynamite were maintained at explosive to metal ratios of 0.6, 0.8, and 1.1 : 1. Post compaction densities of the 1/2 in. thick compacts were 7.46, 7.65, and 7.78 g/cc, respectively. The percent of theoretical density ranges from 93.5 to 97.6%. Comparing this range of densities with the 1/4 in. compacts (94 to 98%), a slight decrease in compaction is observed as the thickness increases.

Sintering the compacts in a reducing atmosphere at 2050°F indicated no perceptible change in density. Tests are currently under way on vacuum sintering the compacts.

In addition to the steel, 2024 aluminum machine chips have been compacted successfully. Green strength is very good, with the compacts being able to be machined without sintering first.

A WC-Co compact was made to evaluate explosive compaction as a method of producing carbide tool material. Good green strength was realized even though the compaction was 84% of theoretical. Liquid phase sintering is being used for the post compaction heat treatment.

12. Explosive Forming of Thick Plates

Principal Investigator: R. J. Green

A theoretical investigation has been done on a loosely clamped, rigid-plastic circular plate subjected to a parabolically distributed blast loading. Based on the assumption that bending alone produced the final shape, i.e., no change in plate thickness, a closed form solution was obtained. The results compare well with published experimental data by Florence <sup>(2)</sup> which were obtained from the deformation of a circular plate by sheet explosive placed on it with an intervening buffer and detonated at the center.

A paper based on this has been submitted to the Journal of the Engineering Mechanics Division of the American Society for Civil Engineers.

13. Theoretical Studies of Explosive Energy Transfer to A Thick Walled Cylinder Using a Radial Piston

Principal Investigator: H. S. Glick

Graduate Student: V. D'Souza

A computer program has been developed which permits the calculation of the dynamic changes that occur when a radial piston is employed in the explosive expansion of thick walled cylinders. The computer program is based on rough fluid- and solid-mechanical models, and is useful up to the point at which elastic unloading of the thick walled tube begins. The primary parameters (see Figure 6) in the computer program are:

- a) The initial explosive pressure produced within the radial cylinder;
- b) The dimensions, density, and yield stress of the wall of the radial piston;
- c) The thickness of the water layer;
- d) The dimensions, density, and yield stress of the thick walled tube.

---

(2) A. L. Florence, "Circular Plate Under a Uniformly Distributed Impulse," International Journal of Solids and Structures, Vol. 2, pp. 37-47 (1966).




Figure 6 Use of Radial Piston for Explosive Energy Transfer to Thick Walled Tube

Computer calculations have been performed for the 20% model that has been tested experimentally. An average yield stress of 60,000 psi was assumed for the stainless steel piston, and a yield stress of 170,000 psi was assumed for the thick walled tube. The calculations were carried out for three initial pressures,  $p_0$ , -270,000 psi, 135,000 psi, and 75,000 psi. Also, a calculation was performed for a wall thickness which was twice the experimental value for an initial pressure of 135,000 psi. Additional calculations were carried out for the case of a lead radial piston in which the yield strength of the lead was assumed to be 2000 psi. Computations were carried out for the 20% model case for initial pressures of 135,000 psi and 75,000 psi; also, a computation was performed for an initial pressure of 75,000 psi and for a wall thickness which is twice that used in the 20% model tests.

The computations show that the water pressure undergoes a damped oscillatory variation which has a peak magnitude which is approximately twice the initial explosion pressure. The number of oscillatory cycles before elastic unloading begins increases strongly with increasing  $p_0$  and decreases moderately with increasing radial piston wall density. There is a relatively small effect of initial pressure, radial piston wall thickness, and radial piston wall density on the oscillatory frequency. In the 270,000 psi, stainless steel radial piston case, water pressures dropped to levels at which cavitation would occur; in the other cases, the minimum water pressures were sufficiently great so that no cavitation would take place.

Unclassified

Security Classification

**DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D**

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |  |                                                                                                                                                            |                       |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>1 ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)</b><br>Martin Marietta Corporation<br>Denver Division<br>Denver, Colorado                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |  | <b>2a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION</b><br>Unclassified                                                                                                   |                       |
| University of Denver<br>Denver, Colorado                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |  | <b>2b GROUP</b><br>N/A                                                                                                                                     |                       |
| <b>3 REPORT TITLE</b><br><br>Center for High Energy Forming                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |  |                                                                                                                                                            |                       |
| <b>4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)</b><br>Twentieth Quarterly Report of Technical Progress - July 1, 1970                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |  |                                                                                                                                                            |                       |
| <b>5 AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial)</b><br><br>Mote, Jimmy D.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |  |                                                                                                                                                            |                       |
| <b>6 REPORT DATE</b><br>July 1, 1970                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |  | <b>7a TOTAL NO. OF PAGES</b><br>18                                                                                                                         | <b>7b NO. OF REFS</b> |
| <b>8a CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.</b><br><br><b>b. PROJECT NO</b><br><br><b>c</b><br><br><b>d</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |  | <b>8a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)</b><br><br>AMRA CR 66-05/23<br><br><b>8b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)</b> |                       |
| <b>10 AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |  |                                                                                                                                                            |                       |
| <b>11 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |  | <b>12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY</b><br>Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center<br>Watertown, Massachusetts 02172                                  |                       |
| <b>13. ABSTRACT</b><br>This report summarizes results during the period 1 April thru 30 June 1970:<br><br>a. Measurement of the dynamic loads on an explosive forming die;<br>b. Applications of explosive welding to hardware configuration;<br>c. Flange buckling of explosively formed domes;<br>d. Explosive punching of dual hardness armor;<br>e. Cylindrical explosive forming dies;<br>f. Explosive forming of domes in vented dies;<br>g. Explosive forming of domes for ground based pressure vessels;<br>h. Pull-in of explosively formed domes;<br>i. Fracture toughness of explosively formed high strength steel;<br>j. Terminal properties of titanium;<br>k. Explosive welding;<br>l. Explosion welding of dual hardness armor;<br>m. Explosive powder compaction;<br>n. Explosive forming of thick plates;<br>o. Theoretical studies of explosive energy transfer to a thick walled cylinder using a radial piston. |  |                                                                                                                                                            |                       |

DD FORM 1473  
1 JAN 64

Unclassified  
Security Classification

| 14<br>KEY WORDS                                | LINK A |    | LINK B |    | LINK C |    |
|------------------------------------------------|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|
|                                                | ROLE   | WT | ROLE   | WT | ROLE   | WT |
| Energy Requirements                            |        |    |        |    |        |    |
| Energy Transfer                                |        |    |        |    |        |    |
| Ductility                                      |        |    |        |    |        |    |
| Strain Rate Effects                            |        |    |        |    |        |    |
| Explosive Welding                              |        |    |        |    |        |    |
| Mechanical Properties Before and After Forming |        |    |        |    |        |    |

#### INSTRUCTIONS

**1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.

**2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:** Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

**2b. GROUP:** Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

**3. REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parentheses immediately following the title.

**4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES:** If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

**5. AUTHOR(S):** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

**6. REPORT DATE:** Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

**7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

**7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES:** Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

**8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

**8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER:** Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

**9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S):** Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

**9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S):** If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).

**10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES:** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

**11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:** Use for additional explanatory notes.

**12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY:** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.

**13. ABSTRACT:** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

**14. KEY WORDS:** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.