	(}
4	Nodel of fleet defense
	BY INTERCEPTOR AIRCRAFT
	By Wilfred Palmer
	CNA Research Contribution No. 147
Center	2
for	Operations Evaluation Grou
Navahu	·····
Anaiysi	PS an affiliate of the University of R≏hester 1401 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22209 - Contract N00014-68-A-005
This Research Contributi	on does not necessarily represent the opinion of the Department of the Nav

SEP 11 1970 B

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN
LEASE AND SALE, ITS DIS-
TRIBUTION IS UNLIMITED.

That's Market

ALL DODAL

0

Ô

MVT134C

6

Reproduced by the CLEARINGHOUSE for Federal Scientific & Technical Information Springfield Va 22151

.

ş

The second second

a contraction where a contraction of the state of the sta

Center for Naval '401 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22209 703/524-9400 Analvses an affiliate of the University of Kochester (OEG) 486-70 5 Aug 1970

Director, Operations Evaluation Group From: Distribution List To:

San Participant and the second

o le su

Center for Naval Analyses Research Contribution No. 147; Subj: forwarding of

CNA RC 147, "A Model of Fleet Defense by Interceptor Encl: (1)Aircraft," by W. Palmer, OEG, April 1970

Enclosure (1) is forwarded as a matter of possible interest. 1.

This Research Contribution describes an iterative Monte-2. Carlo computer simulation of fleet defense by carrier-based aircraft. The model is conpletely general in regard to the size of the committed forces and the capabilities of their weapons, and it allows some diversity in the composition of the It also permits consideration of defending interceptor force. a variety of tactical options.

3. Research Contributions are distributed for their potential value in other studies or analyses. They have not been reviewed in detail and do not necessarily represent the opinion of the Department of the Navy.

The enclosure has been approved for public release. 4.

S Kalos ERVIN KAPOS

Distribution List: Reverse page

CNA RESEARCH CONTRIBUTION NO. 147 OPERATIONS EVALUATION GROUP

CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES

A MODEL OF FLEET DEFENSE BY INTERCEPTOR AIRCRAFT 1

3

By Wilfred Palmer

April 1970

Work conducted under contract N00014-68-A-0091



Enclosure (1) to (OEG)486-70 Dated 5 August 1970

a mer Sus in the mer Mig

anoral and a second service and

And and a second a

*,

، د ا

ł

ABSTRACT

÷

This research contribution describes an iterative Monte-Carlo computer simulation of fleet defense by carrier-based aircraft. The model is completely general in regard to the size of the committed forces and the capabilities of their weapons, and it allows some diversity in the composition of the defending interceptor force. It also permits consideration of a variety of tactical options.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

7

1 ÷.

0

Constant,

. c ¢

20

3,0 0

n^c

A Han Ser Po

ø . . ?t

٥

	÷						-						` .		•	Page
A model of fleet defense by int	erc	epté	e i	airc	raí	t,	٠	•	● - ·		£	٠	_ •	•	•	- 1
Description of the engagement	•	• •	•	•	• •		•				•		∍ ●	- 2	• •	. 1
Limitations	•				• •		`= ●				•		•		Ē	. 3
Inputs	•	• •	~•	• 4	•	•		•		š . e		•	٠	•	•	. 4
Calculations	•	•- ×	•			٠		•	•		•	:.		.*	•	, 5
Calculation of CAP engage	mei	nt ti	me	3	í .			•			•	•	٠	•	÷ i	5
Calculation of CAP diseng	agei	neț	t ti	lme	s.		ج		•	, . •	٠	٤.	•	۰.	•	. 8
Identification of firing air	craf	t.	•	• •	4 •	eي)	•	•	•	چ م	•	٠	•	•	• •	, 8 .
Target selection	i •			•	<u>.</u>	. à	•	•	ā.	•_ •	•			٠	• 1	9
Kill assessment.	. r		٠	•	• •.	•		ŵ	•	• -ą	•	•	э	•	•	9
Time advancement	•	• •	. 3	•	• -•	÷	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	ą	• •	. 9
Output		• •	•	• "	• •	•	٠		•	• •	۰ -	۰.	٠	٠	• •	. 10
Appendix A - Flow chart of air	r-to	-ai	r ei	iga	gen	ier	it I	n0	dēl	•	? *	R	•	¢.	• •	A-1-A-2
Appendix o - roitran listing o	n m	oue	ւթ	cog.	cęπ	1.	*		•	r 4		٠	٠	۰,		D TIMB D

-iii-(REVERSE BLANK)

A MODEL OF FLEET DEFENSE BY INTERCEPTOR AIRCRAFT

DESCRIPTION OF THE ENGAGEMENT

A raid consisting of an arbitrary number of enemy bombers and escorts is assumed to proceed at a specified speed along some straight path. Surrounding the bomber force is an envelope within which penetrating interceptors may attack the bombers with missiles. This envelope is approximated in the two-dimensional representation of the model by a circle whose radius, R_p , depends upon the range of the interceptor missiles and the formation of the bombers. Because of the greater range of air-to-air missiles from the head-on aspect than from tail-on, the center of the envelope is displaced a distance d along the raid direction from the mean position of the bombers (see figure 1). Escorts (one type only), if any, are positioned some distance (escort station distance E) from the center of this envelope so as to make it impossible for CAP interceptors to attack the bomber force without envountering return fire. It is further assumed that the defensive screen of the escorts is sufficiently coordinated that an approaching interceptor becomes engageable by its proper share of the escort force at some distance from the center of the bomber envelope. Similarly, this portion of the escort force the appropriate head-on escort or CAP missile range, R_E or R_I . (The head-on

range of CAP missiles against maneuverable escorts will likely be less than that against bombers.)

Following detection of the raid by the fleet, CAP aircraft (of as many as two types) on specific stations are assigned to the raid at various times provided by input. The assigned CAP are vectored along the shortest route to the bomber envelope. Strict justification of this procedure requires that two conditions be fully met: 1) that CAP stations are not so close to the SAM zone boundary that a straight path from the station of an engageable interceptor to the bomber envelope would pass within the SAM zone, and 2) that the point of arrival a the bomber envelope prescribed by shortest-route vectoring is outside the SAM zone at the time of arrival. Astute CAP stationing will insure satisfaction of the first condition, and failure of the second condition has only minor consequences. If the projected point of arrival of the interceptor at the bomber envelope by shortest-route vectoring lies within the SAM zone, the interceptor would ideally be vectored instead to that point on the bomber envelope which it would reach as this this point entered the SAM zone. The additional distance the interceptor would travel to engagement in this unusual circumstance is at most the radius of the bomber envelope, and in most instances much less. Thus, engagement times computed on the assumption of shortest route vectoring will rarely be in error by more than one missile flight time.

*Partition of the escort force into sections allocated to individually arriving interceptors is modeled by allowing each arriving interceptor to engage, and to be engaged by, the entire escort force. The fire each aircraft receives under this somewhat unrealistic rule is the same, on the average, as would exist if the opposing sides were divided into engagement units with uniform force ratios which fight independent battles.



SUPERVIEW STATES

FIGURE 1

-2-

After engagement has commenced, missiles are launched by each CAP and enemy escort at vulnerable targets until (a) the aircraft is destroyed, (b) it exhausts its ordnance, or (c) there are no remaining engageable targets. Individual CAP aircraft are disengaged when continued engagement with the bomber force would cause the CAP aircraft to intrude into the SAM zone of the fleet. The simulation stops when all bombers have been destroyed, or at a specific time which, although arbitrary, must be provided by input. This "stop time" can be chosen to be one of special interest, such as that at which the bomber force reaches ASM launch range. The model allows CAP and escorts which have exhausted their ordnance to remain engaged in the role of decoys, or to depart, at the discretion of the program user. By means of target selection factors specified by input, both CAP and enemy escorts can discriminate between target types when more than one type is engageable. This feature is intended to model the judgment in target selection which both sides can be expected to employ. Escorts may fire preferentially at the more menacing type of CAP aircraft if it can be recognized with some degree of consistency. CAP target selection may show a preference for either escorts or bombers, this preference reflecting in part the objectives of the CAP pilot and in part the success of the escorts in frustrating these objective.

LIMITATIONS

And the second second

Several facets of air-to-air engagements are not modeled explicitly. The use of deck-launched interceptors has not been considered separately in the model, since such aircraft can readily be included by computing their times of arrival at the periphery of the SAM zone via the CAP corridor from the carrier, and treating this time as an assignment time and this point on the periphery as a CAP station. The effects of ECM can be modeled by appropriate adjustments of salvo rates, missile kill probabilities and CAP aircraft speed. Fuel shortages are not considered, it being assumed that prevailing CAP cycling practice permits aircraft on station to perform any mission which might conceivably be required. . _Au

Mixed ordnance loads have also not been considered. Where several types of ordnance are usable on a single aircraft, they may be accurately replaced by a single ordnance type with a salvo rate equal to the observed salvo rate for the aircraft with a mixed load, and a kill probability equal to the average of the kill probabilities of the individual ordnance types weighted according to the relative frequency of use. A composite salvo of this sort will give reliable simulation results except in situations where not all of the loaded ordnance types can be used, as will be the case before an approaching target reaches the maximum range of the shortest range weapon. These periods should be inconsequential unless there are large differences in the maximum ranges coupled with large differences in salvo rate or kill probability.

Computer programming requires specification of the maximum sizes of the CAP and escort forces, and the maximum number of engagement iterations. The program for the model as now written sets these limits at 50 CAP, 50 escorts and 20 iterations.

INPUTS

The data required by the program is entered in 4 groups. The first entry group contains

	(a)	the initial random number,	
From I	(b)	the ston time (in minutes) a	nď

Group I { b) the stop time (in minutes), and c) the desired number of program iterations.

This data is supplied on a single card in the format (2F10.0, I5). The initial random number is required by the random number computer routine, which uses in the generation of a random number the value of the previous number.

The second entry group contains

- a) the number of bombers,
- b) R_r , the range of the center of the bomber force at time t = 0, (in miles)
- c) Θ_r , the bearing of the center of the bomber force at time t = 0, (in degrees)

Group II

- d) Φ , the raid heading (in degrees), e) V_r , raid speed (in knots), and
- **f**) the distance from raid position at t = 0 to the SAM zone (in miles).

This data is provided on a single card in the format (I10, 5F10.0).

The next entry group defines the combat capabilities of the escorts and consists of

- a) the number of escorts,
- b) the number of salvos per fully-loaded escort,
- c) the salvo rate per escort (the reciprocal of the average time in seconds between firings separated by a damage assessment and, if necessary, acquisition of a new target),

- Group III d) the kill probability per salvo against CAP type 1, e) the kill probability per salvo sgainst CAP type 2,
 - R_E , the escort missile range against a target in head-on f) aspect, (in thousands of feet)
 - E, the escort station distance (in thousands of feet), and
 - the target selection factor 'or escorts. h)

-4-

This data is supplied on a single card in the format (2110, 6F10.0). The second item (b) is entered in an array listing the number of salvos remaining for each escort.

The final entry group specifies the following data for each CAP aircraft:

- a) the aircraft type (1 or 2),
- b) t_a , the assignment time (in minutes),
- c) R_{T} , the range at assignment time, (in miles)
- d) θ_1 , the bearing at assignment time (in degrees),
- e) V_{1} , the speed to engagement (in knots),

Group IV

f)

g) d, the envelope displacement for bombers (in thousands of feet),
h) R_I, the missile range against maneuvering escorts in approxi-

 $\boldsymbol{R}_{\rm R}$, the envelope radius for bombers (in thousands of feet),

mately head-on aspect (in thousands of feet),

- i) the number of salvos fully loaded,
- j) the salvo rate (per second),
- k) the kill probability per salvo against escorts,
- 1) the kill probability per salvo against bombers, and
- m) the CAP target selection factor.

This data is supplied on a separate card for each CAP aircraft in the format (13F6.0). A blank card follows the CAP data deck. The data is entered in the array CAP (I, J) whose elements are defined in table I. Four engagement times, CAP (I, 13), CAP (I, 14), CAP (I, 15, and CAP (I, 16) are calculated in the program; status indicators CAP (I, J), $17 \le J \le 22$, are set at the beginning of each iteration of a simulation and are modified as the need arises.

CALCULATIONS

The logical structure of the program is indicated in the flowchart of appendix A. Several calculations are performed in the program, and those whose nature is not self-evident are explained below. (Comprehension of the following discussion will be facilitated by reference to figure 1.)

Calculation of CAP Engagement Times

The position of the center of the bomber envelope at the time a CAP is assigned to the raid is, in a Cartesian coordinate system (X axis, north; Y axis, west),

$$X_{c} = R_{r} \cos \theta_{r} + (V_{r}t_{a} + d) \cos \phi \qquad (1)$$

$$Y_{c} = - \left[R_{r} \sin \theta_{r} + (V_{r}t_{a} + d) \sin \Phi \right]$$
(2)

. _As

-5-

where R_r is the range and Θ_r the bearing of the center of the bomber force at t=0, Φ is the raid beading, V_r is the raid speed, t_a is the assignment time, and d is the displacement of the envelope center from the center of the bomber force. The coordinates of the assigned CAP are

$$X_{I} = R_{I} \cos \theta_{I}$$
 (3)

TABLE I

Array CAP (I, J)

CAP (I, 1)	Aircraft type	
CAP (I, 2)	Assignment time	
CAP (I, 3)	Range at assignment time	
CAP (I, 4)	Bearing at assignment time	
CAP (I, 5)	Speed to Engagement	
CAP (I, 6)	Envelope radius for bombers	
CAP (I, 7)	Envelope displacement	
CAP (I, 8)	Missile range against escorts	
CAP (I, 9)	Number of salvos fully loaded	
CAP (I, 10)	Salvo rate	
CAP (I, 11)	Kill prohability of salvo against escorts	
CAP (I, 12)	Kill probability of salvo against bombers	
CAP (I, 13)	Target selection factor for CAP	
CAP (I, 14)	Time CAP can fire at escorts	
CAP (I, 15)	Time escorts can fire at CAP	
CAP (I, 16)	Time CAP can fire at bombers	
CAP (I, 17)	Time CAP disengages	
CAP (I, 18)	Operating (1)/Killed (0)	
CAP (I, 19)	Engaged offensively with escorts (1)/Unengaged ())
CAP (I, 20)	Engaged defensively with escorts (1)/Unengaged (0)
CAP (I, 21)	Engaged with bombers (1)/Unengaged (0)	
CAP (I, 22)	Armed (1)/Unarmed (0)	
CAP (I, 23)	Number of salvos remaining	

-6-

Manadilitic and a participation of the o

$$Y_{I} = -R_{I} \sin \theta_{I}$$
 (4)

where R_I is the range and Θ_I is the bearing of the CAP at t_a . In a frame of reference which leaves the raid at rest, the distance the CAP must travel to the center of the bomber envelope is

$$D = \sqrt{(X_{C} - X_{I})^{2} + (Y_{C} - Y_{I})^{2}}$$
(5)

The distance the CAP must travel to engage the bombers is

$$D_{b} = D - R_{B}, \tag{6}$$

where R_B is the radius of the envelope within which bombers are vulnerable. The distance the CAP must travel to engage the escorts is

$$D_e = D - E - R_1, \tag{7}$$

where E is the escort station distance and R_I is the range of the CAP missile against escorts in a head-on aspect. The CAP becomes engagable by escorts after traveling a distance

$$D_{f} = D - E - R_{E}, \qquad (8)$$

where R_E is the range of the escort missile. The speed of the CAP in the moving frame of reference, V_I ', must satisfy the relation

$$(\overline{v_{I}} + \overline{v_{r}})^{2} = v_{I}^{2}, \qquad (9)$$

where V_{I} is the ground speed of the CAP. This condition may be rewritten

$$V_{I}^{2} + 2 (V_{Ix}^{2} V_{rx} + V_{Iy}^{2} V_{ry}) + V_{r}^{2} =$$

$$V_{I}^{2} + 2V_{I}^{2} V_{r} [(X_{C} - X_{I}) \cos \Phi - (Y_{C} - Y_{I}) \sin \Phi] / D + V_{r}^{2} = V_{I}^{2} = V_{I}^{2}. (10)$$

Solving for V_1 , one obtains

$$V_{I}' = -B + \sqrt{B^{2} + V_{I}^{2} - V_{r}^{2}}, \qquad (11)$$

-7-

where:

$$B = [(X_{C} - X_{I}) V_{r} \cos \Phi - (Y_{C} - Y_{I}) V_{r} \sin \Phi]/D.$$
(12)

The engagement times are thus

CAP (I, 14) =
$$D_e / V_I$$
, (13)

CAP (I, 15) =
$$D_I / V_I$$
 (14)

CAP (I, 16) =
$$D_{\rm h}/V_{\rm I}$$
. (15)

Calculation of CAP Disengagement Times

The CAP is disengaged when the center of the bomber force moves a distance $(R_B - d)$ within the missiles-free zone. This criterion for disengagement is surjectly valid only when the heading of the raid is perpendicular to the missiles-free zone boundary, a condition which should usually be met, at least approximately. Serious departures from normal entry can be taken account of by the use of an adjusted boundary. If the distance from the raid location at t = 0 to the SAM zone is D_c , the disengagement time is

CAP (I, 17) =
$$(D_{g} + R_{p} - d)/V_{r}$$
. (16)

Identification of Firing Aircraft

The probability that a given aircraft fires a salvo is assumed to be proportional to its salvo rate, providing it has an engagable target. This assumption is the basis for determining which side, and ultimately which individual aircraft, is responsible for a given salvo. The ratio of the total salvo rate of all engaged and armed CAP aircraft to the total salvo rate for all engaged and armed aircraft, both CAP and escorts, is computed. A random fractional number is obtained, and the salvo is attributed to CAP or escorts according to whether the number is less than or greater than the computed ratio. If the salvo is fired by escorts, this number is used to identify the firing aircraft. If the salvo is fired by CAP, a second random number is compared with the appropriate salvo rate ratio to determine the type of CAP aircraft responsible for the salvo. This number is then used to identify the firing aircraft.

-8-

Target Selection

Where N_1 targets of type 1 and N_2 targets of type 2 are engagable, the ratio $N_1/(N_1 + \sigma N_2)$ is computed, σ being the target selection factor for the firing aircraft. A random fractional number is obtained, and if it is greater than this ratio, a target of type 2 is selected. Otherwise a target of type 1 is assumed. Target selection factors are evaluated on the supposition that CAP aircraft regard bombers and escorts, and escorts regard CAP type 1 and CAP type 2, as targets of types 1 and 2, respectively.

Kill Assessment

A random fractional number is obtained, and if it does not exceed the appropriate kill probability for the salvo, a kill is credited. Where the target is CAP or escorts, the random number is also used to identify the downed aircraft.

Time Advancement

Following the salvo whose effects are evaluated between points B and K in the flowchart, the time interval to the next salvo is calculated. This delay is computed on the assumption that the probability that a salvo is fired within any small time interval Δt is $S \Delta t$, where S is the total average salvo rate of all aircraft which remain operating and armed after the previous salvo. The assumption that this probability depends upon time only through the value of the salvo rate produces a Poisson cumulative distribution of delays δ , namely

$$\Pr\left\{\delta < \delta_{0}\right\} = 1 - e^{0.00}. \tag{17}$$

The second second

and the lines

This assumption is almost certainly incorrect for a single aircraft; following the firing of a salvo, there is a period in which another salvo cannot be fired by this particular aircraft either because of the need to assess damage or to acquire another target. Thus for a single aircraft, extremely short delays do not occur. Moreover, extremely long delays should not occur, even though they are recognized as possible in equation (17). Equation (17) therefore cannot accurately represent the form of the distribution of delays for a single aircraft. However, a knowledge of the proper distribution is not needed to generate an acceptably accurate distribution of the time required to fire a given number of salvos. Any distribution of delays for an individual aircraft which does not reflect near regularity in the salvo interval will generate the same distribution of times required for a given number of salvos as the distribution of equation (17), except at times which are so short as to be comparable to the average time required to fire a single salvo. If the number of salvos is not small, errors in the distribution at such short times will be of little consequence. If the interval between salvos is quite irregular, as should be the case in a combat situation,

-9-

a distribution of required times accurate enough for the purposes of the model can be expected after only two salvos. For this reason, equation (1) can be taken as the cumulative distribution of delays without risk of serious error.

The delay is determined in the following manner. A random fractional number R is procured, and the delay δ is computed from the relation

$$\delta = -\left[\log\left(1-R\right)\right]/S \quad (18)$$

Line of the last

The value of δ obtained in this way has the distribution defined by equation (17).

OUTPUT

After completion of the desired number of iterations, the number of escorts, bombers, and CAP of both types destroyed, together with the numbers of escorts and CAP which have exhausted their ordnance, is printed for each iteration. The mean and standard deviation of each of these quantities is then computed and printed. -

ç

- - - ---

> . Ç

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX A

FLOW CHART OF AIR-TO-AIR ENGAGEMENT MODEL

MANDAL AND AND



AN REAL PROPERTY AND

A-1

ۍ. تړ PROGRAM AIRAIR

ą





A-2

۰. ۰.

. .

• -

APPENDIX B

.

•

APPENDIX B

FORTRAN LISTING OF MODEL PROGRAM

PROGRAM AIRAIR DIMENSION CAP(50,23), ESCARM(50), ESCOP(50), NESRSL(50), NCDE31(20), NCDES2(20), NCPUL1(20), NCPUL2(20), NESDES(20), 1 NESUAL(20), NOMDS(20) 2 READ 10, RANONE, TSTOP, ITERAT 10 FORMAT (2#10,0,15) READ 11, NBOMB, RGRAD, BRGRAD, HDGRAD, VRAD, DISTSM 11 FORMAT (110, 5F10,0) READ 14, NESC, NESCEL, ESCELR, ESCPK1, ESCPK2, ESCHRG, ESCETA, ESTSFI 1 14 FORMAT (2110, 6F10,0) DO 15 1:1,50 READ 16, (CAP(I)), J=1,13) 16 FORMAT (13F6,0) YF (CAP(1,5),EQ,0,) GO TO 17 15 CONTINUE: 17 NCAP=I=1 NCAP1=0 NCAP2=0 MBOMDS=3 MESDES=) MESUNL=) MCDES1=) MCDES2=) MCPUL1=) MCPUL2=J SSBOMDS=0, SSESDES=0. SSESJNL=0. SSCDES1:0. SSCDES2=0, SSCPUL1:0. SSCPJL2:0, DO 18 I=1,NCAP IF (CAP(1,1),GT,1,) GO TO 20 NCAP1=NCAP1+1 GO TO 13. 20 NCAP2=NCAP2+1 18 CONTINUE XRAD=RGRAD+COSF(BRGRAD/57,29578) YRAD==R3RAD+SINF(BRGRAD/57,29578) VXRAD=VRAD+COSF(HDGRAD/57,29578) VYRAD=-VRAD+SINF(HDGRAD/57.29578) COMPUTE ENGAGEMENT AND DISENGAGEMENT TIMES DO 25 Ist,NCAP XCENAS=XRAD+(VRAC+CAP(1,2)/60,+CAP(1,7)/6,076)+COSF(HDGRAD/ 57,23578) 1 YCENAS=YRAD=(VRAC+CAP(1,2)/60.+CAP(1,7)/6.076)+SINF(HDGRAD/

a the shift of the second state of the second state of the shift of the second state of the second state of the

, ju

Ę

B-1

С

57,23578) 1 YC1P152342(1,3)+COSF(CAP(1,4)/57,29574) YC1P15==C4P(1,3)=SINF(C4P(1,4)/57,29578) DISTESONTF ((XCENAS=XCAPAS)++2+14CENAS=YCAPAS)++21 A=((YCE4+5+XC+PA5)+YXRAD+(YCEH45+YCAPA5)+YRAD)/DIST VC1P441+6+SURIF(6++2+CAP(1,5)++2+2#40++2) CAP(1,15)=CAP(1,2)+(DIST-CAP(1,6)/6,070)/(VGAPH4/60,) CIP(1,14)=CAP(1,4)+(DIST=(ESESTA+CAP(1+3))/6,8761/(VCAPHV/60.) C1-(1,15)=CAP(1,2)+(DIST-(ESCSTA+ESCHRG)/4,075)/4VCAPHV/60.) 25 C12(1,17)=(DISTSF+(CAP(1,6)+C4P(1,7))/0,006)/(VRAD/60)) CALL REWSET (RANGEE) FLOW CHART JUNCTICK A PO 1960 ITIND*1, ITERAT ABU#30* 480HR NEAR-SELTIND)=0 WESCORNESS VESCIL=VESC VESOES(ITIND)=0 AECONE(ITIND)=0 VEFLOY=3 ESFOL=NESCOL TSRERC==SCOL+ESCSLR Ma 24 III,NESC FSCARM(1)=1, FSF0P(1)=1, 2- WESKSL(1)=NESCSL NCRESI(ITIND)=0 MCRES2(ITIND)=0 *CPU_1(]T]*D)*0 MCPUE2(171ND)=0 DO 2º Ist, NCAP CAP(1,13)=1, CT55(1)13)20* Cis(1,2])=0, C1P(1,21)=0, SAP(1,72)=1, 2= C1=(1,23)=CAP(1,9) TIME=9. F_PH CHART JUNCTION R RETERMINE NEXT ENGAGEMENT TIME 20 TE-P=1, 39 PO 32 Is1, NCAP IF (VESCO, E0.0) 60 TO 32 IF (CAP(1,14)=CAP(1,18)+CAP(1,22), LE, TIME) GO TO 35 IF (7AP(1,14), GETTEMP) GO TO 35 TE-P=C1>(1,14) 35 IF (VESCOL.E9.0) 40 TO 32 IF (CAP(1,15)+CAP(1,18), LE. TIME) GU TO 32

B-2

aroorrabbilde helevikerbistisetse ist od depresisioofferte solsed brekent universe e and se orreiend fino

```
IF (CAP(1,15), GETTEMP) GO TO 32
   TEMP=CA=(1,15)
32 IF (CAP(1,16) * CAP(1,18) * CAP(1,22), LE, TIME) GO TO 39
   IF (CAP(1,16), GE, TENP) GO TO 30
   TE4P=CA>(1.16)
35 CONTINUE
   TIME=TEMP
   IF (TIME, 3T, TSTOP) GO TO 100
   NO 40 1:1, NCAP
   DO 40 J=14,16
   IF (CAP(1,J),NE,TIME) GO TO 40
   CAP(1, J+5)=1.
46 CONTINUE
   FLOW CHART JUNCTION C
   NETERMINE WHICH SIDE SHOOTS
41 NTEST=0
   CPEOL1=1.
   CPF0L2=).
   IF (NES20,GT.0) GU TO 46
   00 45 1=1.NCAP
   IF (CAP(1,1),GT,1) GO TO 43
   CPEOL1= CPEOL1+CAP(1,21)+CAP(1,22)+CAP(1,18)
   SRCAP1=JAP(1,10)*CPEOL1
   GO TO 45
43 CPF0L2= CPE0L2+CAP(1,21)+CAP(1,22)+CAP(1,18)
   SRCAP2=CAP(1,10)*CPE0L2
45 CONTINUE
   GO TO 51
44 DO 49 I=1,NCAP
     (CAP(1,20) + CAP(1,18), EQ.1.) NTEST#1
   1F
   IF (CAP(1,1),GT,1.) GO TO 47
   CPF0L1= JPE0L1+(CAP(1,19)+CAP(1,21)=CAP(1,19)+CAP(1,21))+CAP(1,22)+
      CAP(1,18)
  1
   SRCAP1=CAP(1,10)*CPEOL1
   GO TO 43
47 CPF0_2=3PE0L2+(CAP(1,19)+CAP(1,21)=CAP(1,19)+CAP(1,21))+CAP(1,22)+
      CAP(1.18)
  1
   SRCAP2=CAP(I,10)+CPEOL2
48 CONTINUE
   1F (NES30, EQ.0) GO TO 50
   IF (NTEST, EQ.0) GC TO 50
   TSR=SRCAP1+SRCAP++TSRESC
   IF (NDE_PY,EG.1) 60 TO 97
   TESTCE=(SRCAP1+SRCAP2)/TSR
   CALL RANUMB(X)
   TF (X.GT.TESTCE) 75,52
   FLOW CHART JUNCTION D
```

50 TSP#SRCAP1+SRCAP4

C

C

0

B-3

51 IF (NDE_AY.E0,1) GO TO 97 CAP SHOOTS C 52 TEST12#SRCAP1/(SRCAP1+SRCAP2) CALL RANUMB(X) JF (X,GT,TEST12) GO TO 56 CAP TYPE 1 SHOOTS С NZONE=(JPEOL1 *X/TEST12) +1. K=0 DO 55 Mai,NCAP IF (CAP(H,1),NE,1.) GO TO 55 IF (NESCO, 50,0) GO TO 53 J=(CAP(4419)+CAP(H,21)+CAP(H,19)+CAP(H,21))+CAP(N,18)+CAP(H,22) GO TO 54-53 J=CAP(M, 21) + CAP(K, 18) + CAP(M, 22) 54 K=K+J IF (K.EJ.NZONE) GO TO 60 55 CONTINUEL CAP. TYPEI 2 SHOOTS С 56 NZONE#(CPEOL2*(11-X)/(1.-TEST12))+1. K=0 00 57 4:1, NCAP IF (CAP(M,1),NE,2.) GO TO 57 IF (NES:0, EQ.0) GO TO 58 J=(CAP(4,19)+CAP(M,21)-CAP(M,19)+CAP(M,21))+CAP(M,18)+CAP(H,22) GO TO 53 58 J=CAP(M,21)*CAP(M,18)*CAP(M,22) 59 K=K+J IF (K.EJ.NZONE) GO TO 60 57 CONTINUE 6) CAP(4,23)=CAP(M,23)=1, IF (CAP(M,23), NE.0.) GO TO 63 CAP(M,22)=0; IF (CAP(M,1),GT,1.) GO TO 61 NGPUL1(ITIND)=NGPUL1(ITIND)+1 GO TO 62' 61 NCPUL2(ITIND)=NCPUL2(ITIND)+1 62 CONTINUE DISENGAGE EMPTY CAP WITH NEXT CARD (500) С 500 CAP(4,13)=0; FLOW CHART JUNCTION E С CAP SHOOTS AT BOMBER OR ESCORT С 63 IF (CAP(M, 19), EQ.0.) GO TO 70 IF (NESCO, EQ.0) 0 TO 70 IF (CAP(M,21),EQ.0.) GO TO 65 80M80=N30M80 ESCO#NESCO TESTEB=30MB0/(80MB0+CAP(M,13)+ESCO) CALL RANUMB(X) IF (X,LELTESTEB) GO TO 70

ייז ווייז שייש אייז אייזאן איין איי

ž

B-4

```
С
      CAP SHOOTS AT ESCORT
   65 CALL RANUMB(X)
      IF (X.GT. CAP(M, 11)) GO TO 90
      NZONE=(ESCO+X/CAP(M,11))+1.
      K=3
      10 65 N=1, NESC
      J=FSCOP(V)
      K=K+J
      IF (<, F2. NZONE) GU TO 67
   66 CONTINUE
   67 ESCOP(N)=0.
      NESCO=NESCO=1
      NESCOL=NESCOL-1
      NESDES(ITIND)=NESDES(ITIND)+1
      TSRESC=TSRESC-ESCSLR *ESCARM(N)
      GO T? ?)
      FLOW CHART JUNCTION F
С
      CAP SHOOTS AT BOMBER
٢
   70 CALL RANUMB(X)
      IF (Y, GT, CAP(M, 12)) GO TO 90
      NBOMRG= VBOMBO=1
      NBOMPS(ITIND)=NBCMDS(ITIND)+1
      IF (NB0483, EQ.0) 100,90
      FLOW CHART JUNCTION G
С
      ESCORT SHOOTS
С
   75 FSCOLENESCOL
      CALL RAYUMB(X)
      XZONE=(ESCOL+X)+1.
      K=€
      DO 76 L=1,NESC
      J=FSCAR4(_) *ESCOP(L)
       K=X+J
       IF (K,E3,NZONE) GG TO 77
   76 CONTINUE
   77 NESRSL(_)=NESRSL(L)-1
       IF (NESRSL(L), NEIC) GO TO 78
       FSCARM(_)=0.
       NESUVE(ITIND)=NESUNE(ITIND)+1
       TSRESC=TSRESC-ESCSLR
       NESCAL= NESCOL -1
       DISENGAGE UNARMED ESCORT WITH FOLLOWING CARDS (600,6"1)
r
   400 ESCOP(L)=0.
   601 NESCO=NESCO-1
       FLOW CHART JUNCTION H
Ĉ
       ESCORT SHOOTS AT CAP TYPE 1 OR 2
С
   78 CAPDE1=3.
       CAPDE2=1.
       DO 79 I=1,NCAP
       IF (CAP(1,1),GT,1.) GO TO 80
```

B--5

```
CAPDE1=CAPDE1+CAP(1,20)+CAP(1,18)
      GO TO 79
   80 CAPDE2= 3APDE2+CAP(1,20)+CAP(1,18)
   79 CONTINUE
      TEST12=:APDE1/(CAPDE1+ESTSF+CAPDE2)
      CALL RANUMB(X)
      IF (X,GT.TEST12) GO TO 85
      ESCORT SHOOTS AT CAP TYPE 1
С
      CALL RANUMB(X)
      IF (X.ST.ESCPK1) GC TO 90
      NZONE=( JAPDE1+X/ESCPK1)+1.
      X=0
      DO 82 (11,NCAP
      IF (CAP(I,1), NE.1.) GO TO 82
      J=CAP(1,23)+CAP(1,13)
      K=K+J
      IF (K,EJ.NZONE) GO TO 83
   32 CONTINUE
   33 CAP(1,13)=0.
      "CHES1(ITIND) =NCHES1(ITIND)+1
      60 TO 91
      FSPORT SHOOTS AT CAP TYPE 2
С
   35 CALL RANUMB(X)
      IF (X,GT,ESCPK2) GC TO 90
      NZONE=(JAPDE2=X/ESCPK2)+1.
      K=0
      DO 85 I=1, NCAP
      IF (CAP(1,1), NE,2,) GO TO 86
      J=CAP(1,20)+CAP(1,18)
      K=X+J
      IF (K.EJ.NZONE) GD TO 87
   36 CONTINUE
   87 CAP(1,13)=0.
      NCDES2(ITIND)=NCDES2(ITIND)+1
C
      FLOW CHART JUNCTION K
      TARGETS AVAILABLE TO EITHER SIDE
С
   90 00 91 1=1, NCAP
      IF (CAP(1,21)*CAP(1,22)*CAP(1,18), VE,0+) 30 TO 94
         (MESI0, E0,0) GD TO 91
      I.F.
         (CAP(1,19)+CAP(1,22)+CAP(1,18), VE(0)) GO TO 94
      IF
      IF (NESCUL, EQ. 0) GO TO 91
      IF (CAP(1,20)+CAP(1,18),NE.0.) GO TO 94
   91 CONTINUE
   93 GB 17 23
      ADVANCE CLOCK, ADD CAP ENTRIES
C
   94 NDELAY=L
      GG T3 41
      FLOW CHART JUNCTION L
C
   97 HDFLAY=)
```

B-6

CALL RANUMB(X) DELAY=+(LOGF(1+X))/TSR TIME=TIME+DELAY IF (TIME, GT, TSTOP) GO TO 100 DO 95 I=1,NCAP DO 98 J=14:16 IF (CAP(I,J),LE,(TIME=DELAY)) GD TO 98 IF (CAP(1,J).GT,TIME) GO TO 98 CAP(1, 1+5)=1. 98 COMTINUE. DU 99 I=1,NCAP IF (CAP(1,17),GT, 11ME) GO TO 99 CAP(1,13)=0. 99 CUNTINUE GO TO 41 С FLOW CHART JUNCTION M 100 MBOMPS= ABOMDS+NBGMDS(ITIND) MESDES=WESDES+NEDDES(ITIND) MESUNL=MESUNL+NEBUNL(ITIND) MCDES1=WCDES1+NCDES1(ITIND) HCDES2=MCDES2+NCHES2(ITIND) MCPUL1=MCPUL1+NCFUL1(ITIND) MCPUL2= MCPUL2+NCPUL2(ITIND) Y=NBOMDS(ITIND) **2 SSRONDS=SSRONDS+1 Y=NESDES(ITIND) **2 SSFSPES:SSESDES+Y Y="ESUN_(ITIND) **2 SSESUNL=SSESUNL+Y Y="CDES1(ITIND)**2 SSCDFS1=SSCDES1+Y Y=NCDE52(ITIND) **2 SSCDES2=SSCDES2+Y Y=VCPUL1(ITIND)**2 SSCP /L1=S3CPUL1+Y Y=NCPUL2(ITIND) **2 SSCPUL2=SSCPUL2+Y 1000 CONTINUE COMPUTE AVERAGES AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS С ABOMDS= 480"DS/ITERAT AESDES=MESDES/ITERAT AFSUNL=MESUNL/ITERAT ACRES1= MCDES1/ITERAT ACDES2= MCDES2/ITERAT ACPUL1= CPUL1/ITERAT ACPUL2= MCPUL2/ITERAT X=ITERAT SDAOMDS=SORTF((SSBOMDS=X*ABOMDS)/(X=1,)) SDFSDES:SORTF((SDES:X*AESDES)/(X-1,))

B-7

SDESUNL:SORTF((SSESUNL=X*AESUNL)/(X=1,)) SDCDES1*SQRTF((SSCDES1*X*ACDES1)/(X=1;)) SDCDES2*SURTF((SSCDES2*X*ACDES2)/(X+1,)) SDCPUL1:SORTF((SSCPUL1=X=ACPUL1)/(X=1,)) SDCPUL2:SQRTF((SPCPUL2-X+ACPUL2)/(X+1,)) PRINT 1]51 CAP TYPE 1 CAP TYPE 2 1051 FORMAT (69H BOMBERS ESCORTS) 1 PRINT 1352, NBOMB, NCAP1; NCAP2, NESC 1052 FORMAT (7x, 15, 9x, 16, 14x, 16, 15x, 15) PRINT 1353 1953 FORMAT (75HORUN DESTROYED DESTROYED UNLOADED DESTROYED UNLOADED DESTROYED UNLOADED) 1 no 1955 I=1, ITERAT PRINT 1354: I, NBOMDS(I), NCDES1(I), NCPUL1(I), NCDES2(I); NCPU_2(I), NESDES(I), NESUNL(I) 1 1154 FORMAT (1X, 12, 3X/15, 6X, 15, 4X, 15, 6X, 15, 4X, 15, 6X, 19, 4X, 15) 1955 CONTINUE PRINT 1355 AVERAGES) 1056 FORMAT (40H0 PRINT 1157, ABONDS, ACDES1, ACPUL1, ACDES2, ACPUL2, AESDES, AESUML 1957 FORMAT (SX,F7,1, X,F7,1,2X,F7,1,4X,F7,1,2X,F7,1,4X,F7,1,4X,F7,1,2X,F7,1) PRINT 1158 STANDARD DEVIATIONS) 1054 FORMAT (46H0 PRINT 137, SDBOMDS, SDCDES1, SDCPUL1, SDCDES2, SDCPUL2, SDESDES, SDESJAL 1 END

Security Clas	ssification			
	DOCUMENT CO	INTROL DATA - R	& D	
Security class	ilication of title, body of abstract and index	ting annotation must be e	intered when the	te overall report is classified)
Operations Eval	luation Group. Center for Na	val Analyses	22. 10. 0	None
an affiliate of th	e University of Rochester		22. GROUP	
REPORT TITLE			L	
A Model of Flee	et Defense by Interceptor Air	craft		
Research Contr	S (Type of report and inclusive dates) ibution - April 1970	<u> </u>		
AUTHORIS) (First nat	ne, middle initial, last name)			·····
Wilfred Palmer				
APPORT DATE		78. TOTAL NO. 0	F PAGES	75. NO. OF REFS
a. CONTRACT OR GRA	INT NO	98. ORIGINATOR	S REPORT NU	MBER(S)
5. PROJECT NO.	N00014-68-A-0091	Operation Research	ns Evalua 1 Contribu	tion Group tion No. 147
с.		95. OTHER REPO	RT NO(S) (Any	other numbers that may be assigned
			None	
DISTRIBUTION STA This document	TEMENT has been approved for public	release and sa	le; its dis	tribution is unlimited.
C DISTRIBUTION STA This document U SUPPLEMENTARY N None	TEMENT has been approved for public	2 release and sal 12. SPONSORING Office of I Departmen Washingto	le; its dis MILITARY AC Naval Res nt of the P on, D.C.	tribution is unlimited. earch Navy 20350
C DISTRIBUTION STA This document U SUPPLEMENTARY I None	TEMENT has been approved for public NOTES TE REPORT	2 release and sal 12. SPONSORING Office of I Department Washingto	le; its dis MILITARY AC Naval Res nt of the P on, D.C.	tribution is unlimited. tributive earch Navy 20350
 DISTRIBUTION STA This document SUPPLEMENTARY I None ABSTRAC Thio-ra simulation i general in i weapons, a force. It a 	TEMENT has been approved for public NOTES HEREPORT assarch contribution describes of fleet defense by carrier-bo regard to the size of the com nd it allows some diversity is lso permits consideration of	e release and sal	le; its dis MULITARY AC Naval Res nt of the I on, D.C. fonte-Car The mode id the cap on of the d rical optio	tribution is unlimited.
D FORM 147	TEMENT has been approved for public NOTES HEREPORT Search contribution describes of fleet defense by carrier-bo regard to the size of the common it allows some diversity is lso permits consideration of (PAGE 1)	e release and sal	le; its dis MILITARY AC Naval Res nt of the I on, D.C. fonte-Car The model of the cap on of the d cical optio	tribution is unlimited.

Athur Shernonechina an

. ,ч

•

، '

· · · · ·

.

.

Unclassified

KEY WORDS	LIN	K A	LIN	кв	LIN	ĸc
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Monte-Carlo computer					1	
simulation						
carrier-dased aircran						
tactical options						
tactical options						
						-
			}			
			1			1
			[
		1			. 1	
					1	
					1	
			1		1	
		1	1			
		ł				
			}		1	
		1			1	
					1	
			1	Ì		
		1				
			[1	
		{	1			
				}		
		Ì		i	1	
		1	{	{		
		1	- 1	[
		ļ			1	
			}	}		
		. 1	1		1	
		1		1		
					l.	
FORM CATO (DAOKA						
FORM 1473 (BACK)		Uncla	<u>ssified</u>			

film mai

. . .

the second s

1000

ź

2 and a rest of the second sec

AND A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT

.