AD

# Research and Development Technical Report ECOM-3243

# RAPID INITIALIZATION OF INERTIAL NAVIGATION SYSTEMS THROUGH PARAMETER ESTIMATION

by

Joseph A. Dasaro

March 1970

25 YAM

10'

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (1)

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

ECOM

UNITED STATES ARMY

a.

ဝာ

ŗ

\$

E,

4

0

ور با معاد .

ş

AD70621

Reproduced by the CLEARINGHOUSE for rederal Scientific & Technical Information Springfield Va. 22131

ELECTRONICS COMMAND . FORT MONMOUTH, N.J.



NOTICES

### Disclaimers

ż.

ч

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The citation of trade names and names of manufacturers in this report is not to be construed as official Government indersoment or approval of commercial products or services referenced herein.

#### Disposition

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Reports Control Symbol OSD-1356 RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL REPORT ECOM-3243

•

111

RAPID INITIALIZATION OF INERTIAL NAVIGATION SYSTEMS THROUGH PARAMETER ESTIMATION

By

Joseph Anthony Dasaro

Department of the Army Task No. 1H1 63207 D235 08 09

NAVIGATION AND LANDING TECHNICAL AREA

AVIONICS LABORATORY

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

March 1970

U. S. ARMY ELECTRONICS COMMAND FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY

#### ABSTRACT RAPID INITIALIZATION OF INERTIAL NAVIGATION SYSTEMS THROUGH PARAMETER ESTIMATION

The accuracy of an aircraft inertial navigation system depends upon the accuracy with which the system is initially aligned. One procedure for initial alignment involves the use of an external reference. This method utilizes equipment which is much too elaborate for normal operational use. An alternate procedure uses the system's inertial sensors in a self-contained method. If sufficient time were available, the self-contained method could achieve accuracies commensurate with the sensor accuracies; however, in an operational environment it is usually necessary to sacrifice some accuracy in the interest of achieving a more rapid initiation. This dissertation investigates the methods presently available for initialization of an inertial platform in an azimuth wander or free azimuth instrumentation and presents a new method for rapid initialization. The paramount problem is the determination of the initial azimuth angle in minimum time in the presence of random gyro drifts, random accelerometer drifts, and measurement noise.

A linear error model of the inertial platform is developed. The model contains all significant cross-coupling terms. The inertial component random drifts are modeled as time correlated random processes and the measurement noise is represented as a white Gaussian process. State space equations for the error model are then formulated. The problem of determining the initial azimuth wander angle is then identified as a parameter

estimation problem where the parameter can assume any of a continuum of values (from 0 to 2n). The two methods of solving parameter estimation problems currently available in the literature are presented. The first method allows parameter estimation when the parameter can assume a continuum of values; however, the method is not time optimal. The second method examined is time optimal; however, it is constrained to problems where the parameter can assume only a finite number of possible values. The second method is then extended to permit time optimal parameter estimation where the parameter can assume a continuum of values. 「ないない」ないとうというという

The parameter estimation method developed utilizes an array of minimum variance filters. Each filter (referred to as an elemental filter) is initialized with an estimate of the unknown parameter. One element of the filter state vector is related to the parameter such that feedback can be used to continually update the estimate of the parameter. The elemental filters' parameter values are then combined to form the overall parameter estimate. A simple weighting scheme is used in the combining procedure. A variance term for the parameter estimate is also computed so that the initialization procedure can be terminated when a predetermined variance is achieved.

The equations for a discrete minimum variance filter with internal feedback are then presented. A computer algorithm is developed for the elemental filter of the parameter estimator.

A method of applying the parameter estimation technique to determine the initial azimuth wander angle is then formulated.

The platform controller is then developed and the overall system described.

2

いたいというないともいうという

AND STREET

A computer simulation of the rapid initialization of an azimuth wander system through the use of the parameter estimation technique is discussed. Results of the simulation are presented showing reduction of an initial wander angle error variance from  $(1.5 \text{ degrees})^2 \approx (6 \text{ minutes of arc})^2$  after approximately three minutes of real time. This represents a twofold improvement in initialization time over a state-of-the-art system presently under evaluation.

## FOREWORD

when much the work of the whom will be and

÷

なからいたいでいたないという

Watter - and the states -

こうちんちょう ちちょう

1. 1.

Participation of the second second

S. a. ( a. e. S. 18. 811.) 2 . . .

うちちもある

This document was originally submitted to the faculty of the Graduate School of Arts and Sciences of the University of Pennsylvania in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

### Table of Contents

è

	Page
I Introduction	1
II The System Error Model	10
III Pormulation of State Space Equations	26
IV Parameter Estimation	32
V The Elemental Filter	41
VI The System	48
VII Rapid Initialization Simulation	52
VIII Conclusions	38
APPENDIX A. Computer Simulation Program	60
APPENDIX B. Computer Printout	77
Bibliography	87
lossery	. <u>89</u>
Index	. <u>ap</u> :

# List of Illustrations

2

: 5:45

74.6

Some State and the sold of the manufacture and the sold of the

۰، •

÷ ;

Figure		Page				
2-1	Resolution of the Earth's Rate into Horizontal and Vertical Components at a Point on the Navigation Coordinate Frame	11				
2-2	Azimuth Wander Angle					
2-3	Block Diagram of System Error Model without Cross-Coupling Effects					
2-4	Block Diagram of System Error Model with Cross- Coupling Effects					
2-5	Shaping Pilter	20				
2-6	System Error Model	24				
4-1	Matrix Block Diagram of System Error Model	33				
4-2	Time Optimal Parameter Estimator (Magill)	35				
4-3	Continuous Time Optimal Parameter Estimator	39				
5-1	Block Diagram of Elemental Filter	45				
5-2	Riementel Filter Computer Algorithm					
6-1	Block Diagram of Rapid Initialization Ter lique	51				
7-1	Azimuth Error versus Alignment Time for Gyro- compassing Thermally Compensated Experimental Platform	53				
7-2	Average Azimuth Error for the Parameter Estimator versus Alignment Time (15 runs)					
7-3	Variance of the Persmeter Estimator Error versus Alignment Time	57				
7-4	Initial Weighting Distribution and Distribution after 3 Minutes	57				
TABLE	7-1. Final Azimuth Wander Angle Error in Minutes of Arc	56				

vii

#### I. Introduction

The accuracy of an aircraft inertial navigation system depends upon the accuracy with which the system is initially aligned.<sup>1</sup> One procedure for initial alignment involves the use of an external reference. This method utilizes equipment which is much too elaborate for normal operational use. An alternate procedure uses the system's inertial sensors in a self contained method. If sufficient time were available, the self contained method could achieve accuracies commensurate with the sensor accuracies; however, in an operational environment it is usually mecessary to sacrifice some accuracy in the interest of achieving a more rapid initiation. In this dissertation the problem of determining the initial orientation of an inertial platform is investigated.

An inertial navigation system consists of three sub-systems: the inertial measurement unit or sensor package, the computer in which the navigation equations are solved, and the display. Prior to operation as an autonavigator, two sets of quantities are required as initial conditions for the inertial navigation system equations.

First, the true initial position of the inertial measurement unit in terms of a navigation system coordinate frame must be accurately known. In addition, the angular orientation of the axes of the inertial measurement unit with respect to the navigation system coordinate frame must also be accurately known. In this investigation it is assumed that initial position is known a priori and the angular orientation is to be determined.

At this point it is well to define the various coordinate frames which will be referred to in the ensuing paragraphs. First, an inertial frame with its origin at the center of the earth will be the basic fixed frame. The navigation reference frame will be the conventional latitude-longitude frame, which is also earth centered but is rotating with respect to the fixed frame. At a point in this navigation frame (a specific latitudelongitude point on the earth's surface) a locally level triad can be defined by  $X_n$ ,  $Y_n$ ,  $Z_n$  where  $X_n$  is level and pointing east,  $Y_n$ is level and pointing north, and  $Z_n$  is up. Finally, the inertial measurement unit will have imbedded a set of axes  $X_p$ ,  $Y_p$ ,  $Z_p$ which are mutually orthogonal. The angular orientation of these inertial measurement unit axes with respect to the local level tried must be accurately known for proper initialization.

The inertial measurement unit in this investigation is the usual Schuler-tuned gimballed platform for terrestrial navigation.<sup>2</sup> Within the platform assembly are mounted three gyros, the sensitive axes of which form a mutually orthogonal triad, and two accelerometers. When in operation, two of the sensitive gyro axes are oriented in the horizontal or locally level plane and the sensitive axes of the two accelerometers are collinear with these gyros' sensitive axes. The third gyro has its sensitive exis in the vertical direction and is referred to as the azimuth gyro. With respect to the local level reference triad, the usual convention is to have one of the level gyros' sensitive axes pointed east and the other pointed north. These gyros are sometimes

2

ð

referred to as the east gyro and north gyro respectively, and define the  $X_p$  and  $Y_p$  axes of the inertial measurement unit. The azimuth gyro sensitive axis (pointed up) defines the inertial measurement unit  $Z_p$  axis.

For a stationary system (that is, no vehicle velocity), one method for obtaining the initial angular orientation of the level  $X_p$ ,  $Y_p$  platform axes with respect to the  $X_n$ ,  $Y_n$  axes is through use of an external true north reference. The orientation of the reference in the local level axes must be fixed and accurately known. The information transfer from the external reference is accomplished through use of precision electronic theodolites and mirrors located on the platform. This method of initiation is limited to operational environments which can support this cumbersome alignment procedure. For most land based tactical aircraft a self contained alignment capability is necessary to provide operational flexibility.

The primary method for self contained alignment of an inertial platform is commonly referred to as gyrocompassing.<sup>3</sup> For a . stationary system, gyrocompassing consists of two phases: platform leveling and azimuth alignment. The simplest form of leveling consists of feeding the accelerometer gravity measurements to the appropriate gyro torquers. The platform is then torqued until the sensitive axes of the level gyros are in the locally level plane. The control loop gains and compensation networks are set for the desired response.<sup>4</sup> The more complex azimuth alignment

phase is described in the following paragraphs.

ģ

To maintain the platform orientation in the local level frame, it is necessary to torque the platform axes at the same rate that the local level frame is moving with respect to the basic fixed frame. Otherwise, the platform would maintain its orientation in the inertial or fixed frame. If the platform  $X_p$  and  $Y_p$  axes are oriented east and north in the local level frame, a torquing rate must be applied to the north pointing gyro to maintain the platform in a level position. No torquing of the east pointing gyro is necessary since its sensitive axis is orthogonal to the rotation.

If the  $X_p$  and  $Y_p$  platform axes are not aligned to east  $(X_n)$ and north  $(Y_n)$  in the local level frame, the platform will appear to be tilting when viewed in this frame. This tilting property is used to align the  $X_p$  and  $Y_p$  platform axes with the  $X_n$  and  $Y_k$ local level axes in the azimuth alignment phase of gyrocompassing,

When the platform  $X_p$  axis is not coincident with  $X_n$  in the local level frame, a rotation about the  $X_p$  axis as viewed in the local level frame will cause the north accelerometer to sense a component of gravity. This signal is then applied to the  $Z_p$  or azimuth gyro torquer. The platform is then rotated until the  $X_p$  axis is brought into coincidence with the local level  $X_n$  axis and gyrocompassing completed.

In order to maintain the platform level, it is necessary to apply torquing signals to both the north gyro and the azimuth gyro

at latitudes other than the equator. The vertical component appears because, when viewed from a point on the earth's surface, the earth's rotation vector can be resolved into a horizontal or level component and a vertical component. This level component is greatest at the equator and zero at the poles, varying in a sinusoidal manner. The azimuth alignment phase of gyrocompassing is more difficult in the polar regions due to the smaller level component of the earth's rotation.<sup>6</sup>

.i2

è

Some inertial platforms in use today are not aligned in azimuth. Instead, the angle between the platform  $Y_p$  axis and local level  $Y_n$  is determined. This angle is generally referred to as the "azimuth wander" angle and the inertial system implementation as an azimuth wander mechanization.<sup>6</sup>,<sup>7</sup>

In an azimuth wander mechanization, torquing signals, which maintain the platform level, are applied to both the  $Y_p$  and  $X_p$ gyros. The torquing signals are determined by using the azimuth wander angle to resolve the level angular earth's rate into components along the actual orientation of the level platform axes. The vertical component of the earth's angular rate is integrated and the azimuth wander angle continuously corrected. This dissertation will consider a method for rapid determination of the initial azimuth wander angle.

Initial alignment of a locally level azimuth wander inertial navigator is normally accomplished in either of the two ways previously discussed, that is, through use of an external reference

or a modified form of gyrocompassing. When an external reference is utilized the platform is first leveled, and then the actual initial azimuth wander angle datermined through use of optical instruments. In modified gyrocompassing, a coarse estimate of the azimuth wander angle is initially obtained from an independent reference, such as a magnetic compass. The platform is then leveled and this estimate of the azimuth wander angle used for the computation of the angular rates to be applied to the level gyro torquers. If tilting (with respect to the local level exes) occurs, the wander angle is updated. The updated value is based on accelerometer gravity measurements. The platform is then releveled and new torquing rates computed for the level gyro torquers. This sequence is repeated until the accelerometer measurements remain below a pre-determined level for a fixed amount of time.

Self contained alignment schemes generally require between twenty and thirty minutes of time to achieve an initial azimuth accuracy on the order of six minutes of arc.<sup>8</sup> Three factors which affect alignment time and accuracy are inertial component warm-up behavior, random inertial component drifts, and measurement noise.<sup>9,10</sup> During the past few years, significant accomplishments in the area of thermal modeling of inertial component drifts during warm-up have been reported<sup>11,12</sup>, and the availability of the digital airborne computer has made thermal compensation feasible. This dissertation presents a method of initiating a

6

ð

7

thermally compensated azimuth wander inertial system in minimum time (less than five minutes).

The subsequent paragraphs present the organization and contents of this dissertation.

First, a linear error model of the inertial platform in the azimuth wander mechanization is developed. The model contains all significant cross-coupling terms. The inertial component random drifts are modeled as time correlated random processes and the measurement noise is represented as a white Gaussian process.

Next, state space equations for the error model are formulated. The problem of determining the initial azimuth wander angle is then identified as a parameter estimation problem where the parameter can assume any of a continuum of values (from 0 to  $2\pi$ ).

The two methods of solving parameter estimation problems currently available in the literature are presented. The first method allows parameter estimation when the parameter can assume a continuum of values; however, the method is not time opitmal. The second method examined is time optimal, however, it is constrained to problems where the parameter can assume only a finite number of possible values. The second method is then extended to permit time optimal parameter estimation where the parameter can assume a continuum of values.

The parameter estimation method developed utilizes an array of minimum variance filters. Each filter (referred to as an elemental filter) is initialized with an estimate of the unknown parameter. One element of the filter state vector is related to the parameter such that feedback can be used to continually update the estimate of the parameter. The elemental filters' parameter values are then combined to form the overall parameter estimate. A simple weighting scheme is used in the combining procedure. A variance term for the parameter estimate is also computed so that the initialization procedure can be terminated when a predetermined variance is achieved.

The equations for a discrete minimum variance filter with internal feedback are then presented. A computer algorithm is developed for the elemental filter of the parameter astimutor.

A method of applying the parameter estimation technique to determine the initial azimuth wander angle is then formulated. The platform controller is developed and the overall system described.

A computer simulation of the rapid initialization of an azimuth wander system through the use of the parameter estimation technique is discussed. Results of the simulation are presented showing reduction of an initial wander angle error variance from  $(1.5 \text{ degrees})^2$  to (6 minutes of arc)<sup>2</sup> after approximately three minutes of real time.

Conclusions of the investigation are then presented.

8

#### References Cited in I. Introduction

200

ĩ

1.1003.0000

<sup>1</sup> O'Donnell, C.F., "Inertial Navigation,"" <u>Journal of the Franklin</u> <u>Institute</u>, Vol. 266, No. 4 (Part I), Oct., and No. 5 (Part II), November 1958. Part II, section 2. State State State

-, **}** 

Ö

<sup>2</sup> Broxmeyer, C., <u>Inertial Navigation System</u>, McGraw-Hill Bock Company, 1954. Chapter 7, System A.

\* Thomas, I.L., Notes on the Fundamental Problems Involved In Determining True North By Gyroscopic Methods, Technical Note No. X.E.B. 56, Royal Aircraft Esteblishment, August 1964.

<sup>4</sup> Brown, R.G., <u>Notes On Inertial Navigation</u>, Electrical Engineering Department, Iowa State University, June 1968. Section 10.

<sup>6</sup> O'Donnell, C.F., cp, cit.. Part II, Page 378.

<sup>6</sup> Pitaan, G.R. Jr., <u>Inertial Guidance</u>, John Wiley and Sons, 1962. Page 157.

<sup>7</sup> Broxmeyer, C., op. cit. Chapter 7, system c.

<sup>6</sup> Cannon, R.H. Jr., "Alignment of Inertial Systems by Gyrocompassing - Linear Theory", <u>Journal of the Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 28, Nov. 1961. Figure 9.

\* Martin, B.H., Bement, W.A., et al, <u>Study and Experimentation of</u> Rapid Reaction Inertial Navigation Systems, Automatics Technical Report AFAL-TR-67-122, Nov. 1967.

<sup>10</sup> Pitman, G.R. Jr., op, cit.. Chapter 8.

<sup>11</sup> Powers, H.B. Jr., Henderson, V.D., <u>Analytic Thermal Compensa-</u> tion of Fast Reaction Inertial Navigation Systems, Autometics Technical Report X6-957/3111, April 1966.

<sup>13</sup> Bachman, K.L., <u>Determination and Application of Inertial</u> <u>Component Warm-Up Characteristics</u>, Navel Air Development Center Report AM-6721, August 1967.

#### II. The System Error Model

As stated in the introduction, the distinguishing characteristic between the conventional Schuler-tuned gimballed inertial system and an azimuth wander system is the absence of a torquer on the vertical or azimuth gyro. Both systems normally operate by keeping their platforms locally level and use the rotating navigation coordinate frame as a geographic reference. Due to the absence of the azimuth gyro torquer in the azimuth wander mechanization, the arbitrary azimuth engle must be precisely known. The azimuth wander angle is used in a rotation matrix to transform platform measurements from the inertial measurement unit axes  $(X_p, Y_p, Z_p)$  to the local level navigation axes  $(X_n, Y_n, Z_n)$ .

Due to the earth's rotation the navigation reference frame rotates about the fixed inertial frame. This rate of rotation is referred to as the earth's rate and will be represented by  $\Omega$ . For a point on the navigation axes, the level and vertical components of  $\Omega$  can be computed by

 $\Omega_{y_n} = \Omega \cdot \cos \lambda \qquad 2-1$  $\Omega_{x_n} = 0$  $\Omega_{z_n} = \Omega \cdot \sin \lambda \qquad 2-2$ 

where  $\lambda$  is the latitude of the point and the subscripts  $y_n$ ,  $x_n$ , and  $Z_n$  represent directions along the local level triad. Figure 2-1 illustrates the resolution of the earth's rate into horizontal and vertical components at a point, P, on the earth's surface.



and the second state of the second

# Figure 2-1. Resolution of the Earth's Rate into Horizontal and

# Vertical Components at a Point on the Earth's

## durface.

The platform axes  $(X_p, Y_p, \text{ and } Z_p)$  tend to remain fixed with respect to the fixed inertial frame. To maintain the platform axes fixed in the navigation frame it is nacessary to apply a signal to the gyro torquers which will cause the platform to precess at the angular rates computed in 2-1 and 2-2. If the platform is initially level and  $Y_p$  pointing north, the application of these angular torquing rates will maintain the platform level and north pointing.

For an azimuth wander mechanization the orthogonal platform level axes  $(X_p, Y_p)$  will be oriented arbitrarily with respect to the local level navigation axes. The azimuth wander angle,  $\mathscr{Q}_{\chi}$ , is defined as the angle which the local level axes must be rotated through; in a counter-clockwise direction, to become coincident with the platform level axes. Figure 2-2 illustrates an azimuth



### Pigure 2-2. Azimuth Wander Angle.

In order to maintain the platform level it is necessary to apply the level earth's rate, which is directed along  $Y_n$ , to the level platform gyros. Therefore, it is necessary to resolve  $\Re_{y_n}$ into components along the platform axes in accordance with

$$\Omega y_{p} = \Omega y_{n} \cdot \cos \phi_{z} \qquad 2-3$$
$$\Omega x_{p} = \Omega y_{n} \cdot \sin \phi_{z} \qquad 2-4$$

Expressing 2-3 and 2-4 in the form of a rotation matrix yields -

Ω <sub>yp</sub>	=	cos Øz	- sin	øz	[ n yn	2-5
ΰ <sup>xb</sup>		sîn Ø <sub>z</sub>	<b>CO S</b>	ا ھ لِ×	0	

If the vertical component of earth's rate  $\Omega_{z_n}$  were applied to the azimuth gyro torquer the initial arbitrary  $\alpha_{z_n}$ 'e,  $\emptyset_z$ , would be maintained. The absence of the azimuth gyro torquer, however, makes it necessary to continuously change the value of  $\emptyset_z$  by

12

4

wander angle.

$$\phi_{z}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \Omega_{z_{n}} dt + \phi_{z}(0)$$
 2-6

and the second s

Hence, the value of  $\emptyset_z$  in the rotation matrix must be continuously updated.

The rotation matrix in equation 2-5 is extremely important in an azimuth wander mechanization. Aside from resolving level earth's rate into components along the platform axes, the inverse of this matrix is the transformation from the level platform axes to the level navigation axes. Therefore, the platform acceleration measurements and platform velocities must be operated on by this transformation matrix prior to use in the navigation mode.

After platform leveling, an initial estimate of  $\emptyset_z$  is used in the rotation matrix. This estimate is designated  $\hat{\emptyset}_z$ , and the difference between  $\emptyset_z$  and  $\hat{\emptyset}_z$  is

$$\Delta \phi_z = \phi_z - \phi_z \qquad 2-7$$

where  $\Delta \phi_z$  is the azimuth wander error angle.

Platform level axes torquing rates are then computed using equation 2-5 with  $\emptyset_z$  replaced by  $\widehat{\emptyset}_z$ . The computed torquing signals are then applied to the level gyro's torquers. The error in torquing for each level gyro due to the difference between  $\widehat{\emptyset}_z$  and  $\widehat{\emptyset}_z$  is

$$E_{y} = \Omega_{y_{n}} \cos \hat{\theta}_{z} - \Omega_{y_{n}} \cos \hat{\theta}_{z} \qquad 2-8$$
$$E_{x} = \Omega_{y_{n}} \sin \hat{\theta}_{z} - \Omega_{y_{n}} \sin \hat{\theta}_{z} \qquad 2-9$$

where  $E_y$  is the error in earth rate's torquing to the Y gyro, and  $E_y$  is the error in torquing to the X gyro.

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \cos \left( \mathbf{\phi}_{\mathbf{z}} - \Delta \mathbf{\phi}_{\mathbf{z}} \right) - \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \cos \mathbf{\phi}_{\mathbf{z}} \qquad 2-10$$

 $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \sin \left( \mathbf{\phi}_{\mathbf{z}} - \Delta \mathbf{\phi}_{\mathbf{z}} \right) - \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \sin \mathbf{\phi}_{\mathbf{z}} \qquad 2-11$ 

Using simple trigonometric identities for the expressions with angle difference yields

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi_{\mathbf{z}} \cos \Delta \phi_{\mathbf{z}} + \sin \phi_{\mathbf{z}} \sin \Delta \phi_{\mathbf{z}} - \cos \phi_{\mathbf{z}} \end{bmatrix} 2-12$$
  
$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}} \begin{bmatrix} \sin \phi_{\mathbf{z}} \cos \Delta \phi_{\mathbf{z}} - \cos \phi_{\mathbf{z}} \sin \Delta \phi_{\mathbf{z}} - \sin \phi_{\mathbf{z}} \end{bmatrix} 2-13$$

An initial coarse estimate of  $\emptyset_z$  can be obtained from a magnetic compass. After correction for magnetic variation, the azimuth wander error angle,  $\Delta \emptyset_z$ , is of the order of 1.5 degrees (standard deviation). At the conclusion of the initiation process an error of 0.1 degrees (standard deviation) is desirable to minimize the navigational error due to incorrect initial azimuth information.<sup>1</sup>

The azimuth wander error angle,  $\Delta \phi_z$ , will therefore range in value from an initial worst case value of approximately 4.5 degrees (three standard deviations) to approximately 0.1 degrees at the conclusion of the initiation process. Under these circumstances the following small angle approximations can be used

$$\cos \Delta \phi_z = 1$$
  
$$\sin \Delta \phi_z = \Delta \phi_z$$
  
2-14

Equations 2-12 and 2-13 can now be rewritten as

$$E_{v} = \Omega_{y_{n}} \cdot \sin \phi_{z} \cdot \Delta \phi_{z} \qquad 2-15$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}} = - \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \cos \mathbf{\phi}_{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{\phi}_{\mathbf{z}}$$
 2-16

.)

These torquing errors cause the platform to tilt in the navigation frame. Let  $\Delta \phi_x$  and  $\Delta \phi_y$  represent the angles between the locally level plane and the platform's Y<sub>p</sub> and X<sub>p</sub> axes. That is,

 $\Delta \phi_x$  is the angle between  $Y_p$  and the locally level plane measured by a right-handed rotation about  $X_p$ , and  $\Delta \phi_y$  is the angle between  $X_p$  and the locally level plane measured by a righthanded rotation about  $Y_p$ . After the initial leveling, these angles can be considered zero; however, the application of the earth's rate torquing signals with an error of  $\Delta \phi_z$  causes a tilt which can be computed from

$$\Delta \phi_{y} = \int_{0}^{t} E_{y} dt = \int_{0}^{t} \Omega_{y_{n}} \cdot \sin \phi_{z} \cdot \Delta \phi_{z} dt \qquad 2-17$$
  
$$\Delta \phi_{x}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} E_{x} dt = -\int_{0}^{t} \Omega_{y_{n}} \cdot \cos \phi_{z} \cdot \Delta \phi_{z} dt \qquad 2-18$$

These tilts will cause the level axes' accelerometers to sense gravity components. The values of these gravity components will be

$$A_{y} = g \sin \Delta \phi_{x} \qquad 2-19$$

$$A_{z} = -g \sin \Delta \phi \qquad 2-20$$

where  $A_y$  and  $A_x$  are the platform level axes' accelerometer measurements. The minus sign in 2-20 results from assuming a righthanded convention for the platform axes and a gravity vector (g) in the positive vertical direction. Therefore, a positive error torque to the platform  $X_p$  gyro will cause the Y gyro axis to lift. A positive g component will then be sensed by the  $Y_p$  accelerometer. Similarly, a positive error torque to the  $Y_p$  gyro will cause the  $X_p$  gyro to dip, thereby causing a negative g component to be sensed by the  $X_p$  accelerometer. For small t (say 1 to 5 minutes) at mid-latitudes the values of  $\Delta \emptyset_x$  and  $\Delta \emptyset_y$  will be on the order of 1 minute of arc. At these small values sin  $\Delta \emptyset_x$  and sin  $\Delta \emptyset_y$  can be replaced by  $\Delta \emptyset_x$  and  $\Delta \emptyset_y$ , respectively, with negligible loss of accuracy. Therefore, 2-19 and 2-20 can be rewritten as

$$A_{y} = g^{*} \Delta \emptyset_{x} \qquad 2-21$$
$$A_{y} = -g^{*} \Delta \emptyset_{y} \qquad 2-22$$

During the process of establishing the initial azimuth wander angle of a stationary system, accelerometer output measurements occur only as a result of the system errors and measurement noise. Therefore, the accelerometer outputs  $A_y$  and  $A_x$  are a measure of the system errors. Figure 2-3 is a block diagram illustrating equations 2-17, 18, 21, 22. This figure is a system error model without the effects of cross-coupling considered.

Cross-coupling effects between the level axes and between the level and vertical axes occur due to platform tilt. The level axes cross-coupling is caused by the level gyros sensing a component of the vertical earth's rate. This sensing is viewed from the navigation frame. For example, a small value of  $\Delta \mathcal{O}_{\chi}$ causes the  $Y_p$  gyro to lift. This causes the gyro to sense a component of vertical earth's rate of magnitude  $\Omega z_n \sin \Delta \mathcal{O}_{\chi}$ . In the navigation frame the precession about the  $Y_p$  gyro sensitive axis will be in the direction of a left handed screw. This crosscoupling term will therefore have a negative sign. For a small value of  $\Delta \mathcal{O}_{\chi}$  the cross-coupling to the  $X_p$  gyro is  $\Omega z_n \cdot \sin \Delta \mathcal{O}_{\chi}$ .



# Pigure 2-3. Block Diagram of System Error Model

without Cross-Coupling Effects.

Since  $\Delta \phi_x$  and  $\Delta \phi_y$  will always be of small value, a small angle approximation can be used in lieu of  $\sin \Delta \phi_x$  and  $\sin \Delta \phi_y$ . The level axes cross-coupling is therefore

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{CC}}} = - \Omega_{\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{N}}} \cdot \Delta \phi_{\mathbf{X}}$$
 2-23

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{CC}} = \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{z}_{C}} \cdot \Delta \phi_{\mathbf{y}} \qquad 2-24$$

where  $E_{y_{CC}}$  represents the rate error to the Y gyro due to crosscoupling and  $E_{x_{CC}}$  represents the X<sub>p</sub> gyro cross-coupling rate error. Taking into account these level axes cross-coupling terms, equations 2-17 and 2-18 can be modified to

$$\Delta \phi_{y}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \left[ \Omega_{y_{n}} \cdot \sin \phi_{z} \cdot \Delta \phi_{z} - \Omega_{z_{n}} \cdot \Delta \phi_{x} \right] dt \quad 2-25$$
  
$$\Delta \phi_{x}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \left[ \Omega_{z_{n}} \cdot \Delta \phi_{y} - \Omega_{y_{n}} \cos \phi_{z} \cdot \Delta \phi_{z} \right] dt \quad 2-26$$

The remaining significant cross-coupling errors occur as a result of the azimuth gyro sensing the horizontal component of earth's rate, as viewed in the navigation frame. If the azimuth wander angle were zero, that is, the  $Y_p$  gyro pointing north in the navigation frame, a small tilt about the  $X_p$  gyro,  $\Delta \emptyset_{\chi}$ , would cause the azimuth gyro to sense a component of level earth's rate in the amount  $\Omega y_n$  sin  $\Delta \emptyset_{\chi}$ . For an arbitrary azimuth wander angle, the error rate to the azimuth gyro due to level axes tilt can be expressed as

 $B_{z_{CC}} = \Omega_{y_n} \left(\cos \phi_z \cdot \sin \Delta \phi_x - \sin \phi_z \cdot \sin \Delta \phi_y\right) \qquad 2-27$ Using the small angle approximation 2-27 can be written

 $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{C}\mathbf{C}}} = \Omega_{y_{\mathbf{n}}} \left( \cos \phi_{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \Delta \phi_{\mathbf{x}} - \sin \phi_{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \Delta \phi_{\mathbf{y}} \right) \qquad 2-28$ Taking into account this azimuth gyro error rate, the azimuth wander angle error,  $\Delta \phi_{\mathbf{z}}$ , as a function of time is

 $\Delta \phi_{z}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \Omega_{y_{n}} (\cos \phi_{z} \cdot \Delta \phi_{z} - \sin \phi_{z} \cdot \Delta \phi_{y}) dt + \Delta \phi_{z}(0)$ Equations 2-25, 26, 29 are used to construct a system error

block diagram which includes cross-coupling. This block diagram is illustrated in figure 2-4.

To complete the system error model the effects of inertial component drifts and measurement noise must be considered. Both the inertial component drifts and the measurement noise can be described as random processes.<sup>2</sup>

The simplest model for random gyro drift rate assumes the drift to be a random constant. For most applications a model such as this is not adequate, since, from empirically obtained

à



# Figure 2-4. Block Diagram of System Error Model with

## Cross-Coupling Effects.

gyrc drift runs, auto-correlation functions of the exponential form have been obtained.<sup>3</sup>

If Gaussian white noise<sup>4</sup> is passed through a linear first order shaping filter, as illustrated in figure 2-5, the cutput will be characterized by an autocorrelation function of the exponential form. In the figure U represents zero mean Gaussian white noise and  $\epsilon$  is the exponentially correlated gyro drift rate. The  $\pm$ : lationship between the output autocorrelation function,

 $\varphi_{\epsilon\epsilon}(r)$ , and the input autocorrelation function,  $\varphi_{u}(r)$ , can

19

and the second second second



è

20

be established using the following relationship in the frequency domain<sup>6</sup>

 $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \overline{H}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) H(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \Phi_{\boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{U}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \qquad 2-30$ 

where  $\Phi_{UU}(\omega)$  and  $\Phi_{\xi\xi}(\omega)$  are the Fourier transforms of the input and output autocorrelation functions.  $\Phi_{UU}(\omega)$  and  $\Phi_{\xi\xi}(\omega)$  are frequently referred to as the input and output power density spectrums. The term  $H(\omega)$  is the transform of the filter impulse response or filter transfer function, and the bar over H denotes the conjugate. The transfer function for the first order shaping filter is

$$H(\omega) = \frac{1}{j\omega + 1/\lambda}$$
 2-31

and the input autocorrelation function for Gaussian white noise is

where  $\sigma_u^2$  is the variance of the white noise and  $\mathcal{D}(\tau)$  is the Dirac delta function. The input power density spectrum is

$$\Phi_{UU}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_{U}^{2} \delta(\tau) e^{-j\omega\tau} d\tau = \sigma_{U}^{2}$$

$$2=33$$

which represents a constant power spectral density. Using equation 2-30, the output power density spectrum can be written as

$$\Phi_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \left(\frac{1}{-j\boldsymbol{\omega}+1/\lambda}\right) \left(\frac{1}{j(\boldsymbol{\omega}+1/\lambda)}\right) \sigma_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{2}$$
2-34

or in the time domain

areas shire her

$$\begin{array}{c}
\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{-1}{j\omega - 1/\lambda} \right\} \left( \frac{1}{j\omega + 1/\lambda} \right) \sigma_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{2} \boldsymbol{e}^{j\omega\tau} d\omega \quad 2-38 \\
\text{Evaluating the above integral}^{6} \text{ yields}
\end{array}$$

$$\varphi_{\epsilon\epsilon}(\tau) = \frac{\lambda}{2} \sigma_{\nu}^2 e^{-\tau/\lambda} \qquad \tau > 0 \qquad 2-36$$

$$\varphi_{\epsilon\epsilon}(\tau) = \frac{\lambda}{2} \sigma_{u}^{2} e^{-|\tau|/\lambda}$$
2-37

where  $\tau$  is the difference between two points in time, i.e. ( $t_1 - t_2$ ).

The variance of the output random process is

$$\varphi_{\epsilon\epsilon}(0) = \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} = \frac{\lambda}{2} \sigma_{U}^{2} \qquad 2-38$$

From empirical data the standard error,  $\sigma_{\epsilon}$ , for an exponentially correlated random drift can be determined. In addition, if an autocorrelation function is plotted, the time interval for the function to decrease from  $\sigma_{\epsilon}^2$  to a value of  $\sigma_{\epsilon}^2/\epsilon$  can also be determined. This quantity, designated  $\lambda$ , is sometimes referred to as the correlation time.<sup>7</sup>

If  $\epsilon_y$ ,  $\epsilon_x$ , and  $\epsilon_z$  are used to represent the various random gyro drift rates, the platform tilt angles and error in the azimuth wander angle due to these random drifts (  $\Delta \emptyset \epsilon_y$ ,  $\Delta \emptyset \epsilon_x$ , and  $\Delta \emptyset \epsilon_z$ ) can be computed from

$$\Delta \mathscr{Q}_{\epsilon y}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \epsilon_{y} dt \qquad 2-39$$

$$\Delta \mathscr{G}_{\mathscr{C}_{\chi}}(t) = \int_{-\pi}^{t} \mathscr{C}_{\chi} dt \qquad 2-40$$

$$\Delta \emptyset_{\xi_{\mathcal{Z}}}(t) \approx \int_{0}^{t} \epsilon_{\mathcal{Z}} dt \qquad 2-41$$

By combining these three equations with 2-25, 26, and 29 total expressions for  $\Delta \emptyset_{a}$ ,  $\Delta \emptyset_{a}$  and  $\Delta \emptyset_{a}$  can be written as

$$\Delta \mathscr{G}_{\mathbf{y}}(t) = \int_{c}^{t} \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_{y_{n}} \sin \mathscr{G}_{z} & \Delta \mathscr{G}_{z} - \Omega_{z_{n}} & \Delta \mathscr{G}_{x} & \varepsilon_{y} \end{bmatrix} dt \quad 2-42$$

$$\Delta \mathscr{G}_{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \int_{c}^{t} \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_{z_{n}} & \Delta \mathscr{G}_{y} - \Omega_{y_{n}} \cos \mathscr{G}_{z} & \Delta \mathscr{G}_{z} + \varepsilon_{x} \end{bmatrix} dt \quad 2-43$$

$$\Delta \mathscr{G}_{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \int_{c}^{t} \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_{y_{n}} & (\cos \mathscr{G}_{z} & \Delta \mathscr{G}_{x} - \sin \mathscr{G}_{z} & \Delta \mathscr{G}_{y}) + \varepsilon_{z} \end{bmatrix} dt + \Delta \mathscr{G}_{z}(0)$$

$$2-44$$

The accelerometers' outputs due to random drift effects can be represented, as in the case of the gyros' random drift rates, by linear first order shaping filters driven by Gaussian white noise. These accelerometers drifts will be represented by  $a_{\chi}$ and  $a_{\gamma}$ , for the  $\chi_{p}$  and  $\chi_{p}$  accelerometers respectively. Empirically derived values for the standard error,  $\sigma_{a}$ , and for the so-called correlation time,  $\lambda$ , can then be used in equation 2-38 to find the variance of the input white noise.

Readon disturbances measured by the accelerometers (such as vibrations, wind gusts, etc.) and accelerometer outputs due to circuit noises (e.g., amplifier noise in a force rebalance loop) can be approximated by additive white noise to the accelerometers' outputs. The equal spectral density of this noise will be a function of the environment of the inertial platform, however, for convenience an additive white noise disturbance will be assumed. This noise will be denoted by  $V_x$  and  $V_y$  for the  $X_p$  and  $Y_p$  accelerometers respectively.

Including the effects of the accelempeter's random drifts and the additive noise, equations 2-21 and 2-22 can be rewritten as

$$\hat{A}_{y} = g \cdot \Delta \mathscr{D}_{x} + \alpha_{y} + V_{y}$$

$$A_{x} = -g \cdot \Delta \mathscr{D}_{y} + \alpha_{x} + V_{x}$$

$$2-45$$

$$2-46$$

1. 1. 1. B. S. W. W. W.

A complete system error model can now be constructed from equations 2-42 through 2-46. A block diagram of this model is illustrated in figure 2-5.

23

1 - 2 - 1 - 1 - 1

وكالإعلام فالمالية والمراجعة والمتلا والمتحد والمتلا ومعامله والمتلافية والمتلاف

without the soft is a more than that the south of the second second second second second second second second s

1 marches 1



#### References Cited in II. The System Error Model

<sup>1</sup> Cannon, R.H. Jr, "Alignment of Inertial Systems by Gyrocompassing-Linear Theory", <u>Journal of the Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 28, Nov. 1961. Page 885-886. <sup>2</sup> Broxmeyer, C. <u>Inertial Navigation Systems</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1964. Appendix D. Page 66.

<sup>3</sup> Dushman, A., "On Gyro Drift Models and Their Evaluation", <u>IRE</u> <u>Transactions on Aerospace and Navigational Electronics</u>, ANE-9, pages 230-234, 1962. Figure 1, page 233.

<sup>4</sup> Lanning, J.H. Jr., Battin, R.H., <u>Random Processes in Automatic</u> <u>Control</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1956. Pages 142-144. Chapter 4.

<sup>5</sup> Lee, Y.W., <u>Statistical Theory of Communication</u>, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1964. Pages 331-336.

<sup>6</sup> Newton, G.C. Jr., Gould, L.A., Kaiser, J.F., <u>Analytical Design</u> of <u>Linear Feedback Controls</u>, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1964. A.5

<sup>7</sup> Jurenka, F.D., Le. Ides, C.T., "Optimum Alignment of an Inertial Autonavigator", <u>IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic</u> <u>Systems</u>, Vol. AES-3, No. 6 Nov 1967. Page 882. III. Formulation of State Space Equations

The system error model illustrated in figure 2-6 is a multiple input-multiple output linear system. In recent years matrix equations have been applied extensively in the analysis and synthesis of multivariable systems.<sup>1,2</sup> In this chapter the system error model developed in chapter II will be described by two matrix equations. These equations are

$$\dot{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{U} \qquad 3-1$$

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{V} \qquad 3-2$$

In equation 3-1, A is the system dynamics matrix,  $\underline{X}$  is the error state vector (bar under letter denotes vector), and  $\underline{U}$  is the input forcing function. A dot over the vector indicates differentiation of the vector with respect to time. In equation 3-2,  $\underline{Y}$  is the measurement vector, M is the measurement matrix, and  $\underline{V}$  is the additive measurement noise. Equation 3-1 is frequently referred to as the system dynamics equation and equation 3-2 as the system measurement equation.

Equations 2-42 through 2-44 describe the dynamics of the system error model. Differentiating these equations with respect to time yields the following first order differential equations

> $\Delta \dot{\phi}_{y} = \Omega_{y_{n}} \cdot \sin \phi_{z} \cdot \Delta \phi_{z} - \Omega_{z_{n}} \cdot \Delta \phi_{x} + \epsilon_{y} \quad 3-3$  $\Delta \dot{\phi}_{x} = \Omega_{z_{n}} \cdot \Delta \phi_{y} - \Omega_{y_{n}} \cdot \cos \phi_{z} \cdot \Delta \phi_{z} + \epsilon_{x} \quad 3-4$  $\Delta \dot{\phi}_{z} = \Omega_{y_{n}} (\cos \phi_{z} \cdot \Delta \phi_{x} - \sin \phi_{z} \cdot \Delta \phi_{y}) + \epsilon_{z} \quad 3-5$

For the moment, letting the state vector  $\underline{X}$  be composed of the elements  $\Delta \emptyset_y$ ,  $\Delta \emptyset_x$ , and  $\Delta \emptyset_z$ ; then equations 3-3, 4, and 5 can be combined to form the following first order matrix differential

equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta \dot{\phi}_{y} \\ \Delta \dot{\phi}_{z} \\ \Delta \dot{\phi}_{z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\Omega_{z_{n}} & \Omega_{y_{n}} \sin \phi_{z} \\ \Omega_{z_{n}} & 0 & -\Omega_{y_{n}} \cos \phi_{z} \\ -\Omega_{y_{n}} \sin \phi_{z} & \Omega_{y_{n}} \cos \phi_{z} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \phi_{y} \\ \Delta \phi_{z} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{y} \\ \epsilon_{z} \\ \epsilon_{z} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$3-6$$

The forcing function vector in equation 3-6 is composed of the various gyro random drifts. In chapter II these drifts were modeled by a first order linear filter excited by zero mean Gaussian white noise (see figure 2-5). Therefore, first order differential equations describing the dynamical behavior of  $\epsilon_y$ ,

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{x}}$$
, and  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{z}}$  are

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{y} = -1/\lambda_{y} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{y} + \boldsymbol{U}_{y} \qquad 3-7$$

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{\mathbf{x}} = -1/\lambda_{\mathbf{x}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{x}} + \boldsymbol{U}_{\mathbf{x}} \qquad 3-8$$

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{z} = -1/\lambda_{z} \epsilon_{z} + U_{z}$$
 3-9

where the y, x, and z subscripts are used to identify the  $\lambda$  and U associated with each gyro random drift. Equations 3-7, 8, and 9 can be combined to form the matrix differential equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{z}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1/\lambda_{\mathbf{y}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/\lambda_{\mathbf{x}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1/\lambda_{\mathbf{z}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{z}} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{z}} \end{bmatrix} 3-10$$

Similarly, the random drift of the accelerometers can be described by

$$a_{y} = -1/\mu_{y} a_{y} + W_{y}$$
 3-11

$$\alpha_{x} = -1/\mu_{x} \alpha_{x} + W_{x} \qquad 3-12$$

where  $\mu$  is analogous to the  $\lambda$  in the gyro drift model and W is
analogous to U. In matrix form the dynamics of the random drift of the accelerometers can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{a} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{a}_{x} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1/\mu_{y} & 0 \\ 0 & -1/\mu_{x} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{y} \\ a_{x} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} w_{y} \\ w_{x} \end{bmatrix} 3-13$$

The dynamics of the system error model (inclusive of the inertial drift models) are described by equations 3-6, 3-10, and 3-13. If the system error state vector is defined as

$$\begin{array}{c}
\Delta \phi_{y} \\
\Delta \phi_{z} \\
\Delta \phi_{z} \\
\epsilon_{y} \\
\epsilon_{z} \\
\epsilon_{z} \\
\alpha_{y} \\
\alpha_{x}
\end{array}$$

then an equation in the form of equation 3-1 can be formulated for the total system error model. This first order matrix differential equation is

à

		1	i 1	3-15	1	1	
	0	0	n,	a <b>x</b>	2 2	W <sub>y</sub>	××
				÷	i		
	Δø×	۵۵,	ه ۲	¥	N V	αy	[a*_]
1	0		       	o	         	-1/µy 0	$0 - 1/\mu_x$
0	0		     	0 ×	-1/2.		
0	T	0	   0   >	<b>۲/۲-</b>	0	   , 	0
. <del></del>	0	0		0	0	 	
a yn eindz	$-\Omega y_n \cdot \cos \omega_x$	coad z	             				
0 0 z <sub>n</sub>	Ω <sub>zn</sub> 0	-Ayn.sindz A yn.	8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	O			0
	_			¥I			
Δ «γ	∆ ở. ×	۵ġz	بر ۱	× •¥	N • ¥	.∝ `<	ر بع

The accelerometer measurements due to system errors are (from chapter .)

$$A_{y} = g \Delta \phi_{x} + \alpha_{y} + V_{y}$$
 3-16

$$A_{x} = -g \cdot \Delta \phi_{y} + \alpha_{x} + V_{x} \qquad 3-17$$

If the measurement vector is now defined as

$$\underline{\mathbf{Y}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}} \end{bmatrix}$$
 3-18

the measurement equation can be written in the form of equation

3-2 as  

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{y} \\ A_{x} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & g & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -g & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \varphi_{y} \\ \Delta \varphi_{x} \\ \Delta \varphi_{z} \\ \varepsilon_{y} \\ \varepsilon_{x} \\ \varepsilon_{z} \\ \alpha_{y} \\ \alpha_{x} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} v_{y} \\ v_{x} \end{bmatrix}$$
3-19

Equations 3-15 and 3-19 are the state space equations for the error model illustrated in figure 2-6. Examining the state space dynamical equation (3-15) reveals that the A matrix, sometimes referred to as the system's dynamics matrix, contains elements which are functions of  $\emptyset_z$ , the unknown azimuth wander angle. Therefore, the angle to be determined is a parameter of the system. Problems of this nature are frequently referred to as parameter estimation problems. References Cited in III. Formulation of State Space Equations

<sup>1</sup> Derusso, P.M., Roy, R.J., Close, C.M., <u>State Variables for</u> <u>Engineers</u>, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1966. Chapter 5.

<sup>2</sup> Ogata, K.; <u>State Space Analysis of Control Systems</u>, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1967. Chapter 1, 4.

#### IV. Parameter Botimation

The system error model has been described (in chepter III) by the following matrix equations

$$\underline{X} = A \langle \mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{z}} \rangle \underline{X} + \underline{U}$$
 4-1

$$\underline{Y} = M \underline{X} + \underline{V} \qquad 4-2$$

where

X is an Exl state vector of the system errors,

- U is an 8xl Gaussian white noise vector that is the input to the system error model,
- $\underline{Y}$  is a 2xl vector that contains the outputs or measurements of the system error model,
- A  $(\mathscr{G}_{\mathbf{z}})$  is an 6x8 matrix representing the dynamics of the system and containing elements which are functions of  $\mathscr{G}_{\mathbf{y}}$ , the azimuth warder angle,
  - M is a 2x8 matrix which linearly relates  $\underline{X}$  to  $\underline{Y}$  (usually referred to as the measurement matrix), and
  - Y is a 2xl Gaussian white noise vector representing the additive noise on the measurement.

A matrix block diagram of the system error model is presented in figure 4-1. The wide lines indicate vector-mignal flow, and the transfer function 1/S represents 8 integrators arranged so the output of each is a state variable. The dynamics matrix A  $(\emptyset_{\chi})$  indicates how the outputs of the integrators are fed back to the inputs of the integrators. For example, the A<sub>1j</sub> element represents the transfer function between the output of the j<sup>th</sup> integrator and the input to the i<sup>th</sup> integrator.



Figure 4-1, Matrix Block Diagram of System Error Model.

The goal of this investigation is to formulate a technique to determine the azimuth wander angle,  $\emptyset_z$ , in minimum time. In addition, if the gyro drifts ( $\varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_y, \varepsilon_z$ ) and the accelerometer drifts ( $\Omega_x, \Omega_y$ ) can be estimated, then suitable compensation can be implemented in the platform controller. Therefore, estimates of the state of the system errors,  $\chi$ , and an unknown parameter,  $\emptyset_{xi}$  are needed.

The method for estimating the state of a system developed by Kaiman;<sup>1</sup> using the minimum variance criterion, can be applied to linear stochastic systems with Gaussian statistics where the values of the dynamical parameters are specified. In this investigation one of the parameters of the dynamic model is known imprecisely, and furthermore, procise knowledge of the parameter is nocessary for astablishing the initial conditions of the izertial platform.

One method for treating this type of problem is discussed by Boremson.<sup>2</sup> Soremson's approach considers the initial estimated value of the parameter #3 a known constant. A conventional Kalman filter is then synthesized where one element of the

Contraction of the local data

natimeted state vector is related to this parameter (or is the parameter). The parameter value is then updated in accordance with the state estimate. The Malmen filter provides an estimate of the parameter as well as the variance of the error in the estimate. When the variance reaches an a griori determined value the process can be termineted and a value for the parameter esteblished. This parameter estimation procedure may converge to the true value of the parameter, however, the convergence may not be optimal in time. For the problem under consideration rapid convergence is of prime importance, therefore, a time optimal procedure must be utilized.

Magill<sup>3,4</sup> has treated the problem of time optimel parameter estimation where the parameter is constrained to a finite number of possible values. Elemental linear estimators (or Kalwen filters) are constructed for each of the possible parameter values, and the putputs of these estimators weighted to form an optimal sprimate of the state vector. Magill then shows that given the system outputs the optimel permeter estimate is the sum of the elemental parameters each weighted by a conditional probability. These conditional probabilities are calculated on a recursive basis until une converges to a value 1 while the rest converge to zero. Figure 4-2 illudtrates Magill's time uptimal estimator as it could be applied to this problem of repid determination of the axisuth runder angle. The superscripts on the  $d_2$  refer to the various values of the parameter, while the coperacripts on X indicate the astimated state vector scatculated with a periodical



# Pigure 4-2. Time Optimal Parameter Estimation (Magill).

Magill's method is usaful only if one of the  $\mathscr{G}_{z}^{1}$  (i = 1, 2, ... n) chosen for the elemental filters is the true value of the parameter. To practically realize this condition for the problem under consideration, where  $\mathscr{G}_{z}$  may assume a continuum of values between 0 and 3m, a large number of elemental filters would be required. For example, given an initial estimate of  $\mathscr{G}_{z}$  with a standard error of 1.5 degrees, it will be necessary to construct an elemental filter for every six minutes of arc in the range  $\mathscr{G} = 4.5^{\circ}$ to  $\mathscr{G}_{z} + 4.5^{\circ}$ , assuming that the desired scource is of the order of 6 minutes of arc. Therefore, it can be seen that the application of Magill's parameter estimator to the problem at head would

Ģ

35

· · · O Bass of Shires

lead to computational difficulties, since about 90 elemental filters would be needed.

For the problem under consideration a time optimal parameter estimator which allows the parameter to assume any of a continuum of well defined values is needed. An estimator of this type can be synthesized by combining the method of Magill with Sorenson's approach. As in Magill's method, this parameter estimator will utilize a bank of elemental linear filters each initialized with a value for the parameter. However, instead of fixing the parameter value for each elemental filter, this value will be allowed to converge to the true parameter value by continuously updating  $\theta_z^i$  based on  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\phi_{zi}^i)$ , as in Sorenson's method. Therefore, the new method combines the time optimal feature inherent in Magill's  $\sim_{ep}$ reach, with the continuous value feature of Sorenson's method. A limited amount of filters can be used since the filters have the flexibility to change the parameter value.

The next step involves the determination of a weighting function for each of the elemental filtars so an overall error state vector estimate and parameter estimate can be formed. As will be shown in chapter V, the measurement vector,  $\underline{Y}$ , is differenced with the expected measurement,  $\underline{\hat{Y}}$ , at the input of the elemental filter. This expected measurement is obtained simply by pre-multiplying the propagated error state vector by the measurement matrix. This vector difference will be denoted by  $\underline{\tilde{Y}}$ and defined as

$$\frac{\widetilde{Y}}{\widetilde{Y}} = \underline{Y} - \frac{\widetilde{Y}}{\widetilde{Y}}$$
 4-3

Referring to the bank of elemental filters it is evident that the filters with parameter values close to the true value w...l generate expected measurement vectors,  $\underline{\hat{Y}}$ , closer in value to the actual measurement vector,  $\underline{Y}$ , than those whose parameter value is less accurate. Therefore, the outputs of the elemental filters where  $\underline{\tilde{Y}}$  is relatively smaller should receive the greater weight. A simple method for generating weighting functions in accordance with the above criteria first requires the definition of the norm or length of  $\underline{\tilde{Y}}$  as

$$\left\| \widetilde{\underline{Y}} \right\| = (\widetilde{\underline{Y}}, \widetilde{\underline{Y}})^{1/2} = (\widetilde{\underline{Y}}^{T} \widetilde{\underline{Y}})^{1/2} \qquad 4-4$$

Next, an expression must be generated which weights the elemental filter outputs inversely proportional to the norm of the filter's  $\underline{\widetilde{Y}}$ . In addition, the sum of all the weighting functions generated must equal 1. An expression which conforms to both of the above stipulations is

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} \left(\boldsymbol{\emptyset}_{z}^{j}\right) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{j=1, \ j \neq i}}^{n} \left\| \frac{\widetilde{Y}(\boldsymbol{\emptyset}_{z}^{j})}{\left\| \frac{\widetilde{Y}(\boldsymbol$$

where  $\omega(\emptyset_z^{j})$  is the weighting function for the i<sup>th</sup> elemental filter and  $|| \tilde{\underline{Y}}(\emptyset_z^{j}) ||$  is the norm of the difference between the expected measurement vector as generated by the j<sup>th</sup> elemental filter and the actual measurement vector.

As the various parameter values approach the true value  $(\hat{\emptyset}_z^i - \emptyset_z^i) = 1, 2 - - n)$ , the vectors  $\underline{\tilde{Y}}(\hat{\emptyset}_z^i)$  will all approach the same value. Inspection of equation 4-5 shows that under these

cricumstances the weighting functions will also all approach the same value resulting in a uniform distribution of the weighting functions.

Finally, a measure of the performance of the parameter estimator can be obtained from a weighted sum of the variance terms contained in each of the elemental filters. The same weighting functions generated by equation 4-5 will be used.

Figure 4-3 illustrates the continuous time optimal parameter estimator described in this chapter. The word continuous is used in the sense that the parameter can assume any of a continuum of values.

.)





39

n're

### References Cited in IV. Parameter Estimation

Δ

and a second particular as a set from a distance the provide the

<sup>1</sup> Kalman, R.E., "A New Approach to Linear Filtering and Prediction Problems", <u>Journal of Basic Engineering</u>, ASME Trans., Series D, 82 1950 PP 35-45.

<sup>3</sup> Sorenson, H.W., "Kalman Filtering Techniques", in Leondes, C.T., ed., <u>Advances in Control Systems</u>, vol. 3, New York, Academic Press, 1966, pp 219-292. Page 241.

<sup>3</sup> Magill, D.T., "Optimal Adaptive Estimation of Sampled Stochastic Processes", <u>Tech. Rep. No. 6302-3 Stanford Electronics Laboratories</u>, December 1963.

<sup>4</sup> Magill, D.T., "Optimal Adaptive Estimation of Sampled Stochastic Processes", <u>IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control</u>, Vol AC-10, No. 4, October 1955, FP 434-439.

#### V. The Elemental Filter

The elemental filter for the parameter estimation technique presented in the previous chapter can be synthesized quite readily using the methods of Kalman and Bucy. 1,2 The error model dynamics equation (equation 4-1) and the measurement equation (equation 4-2) are the basis for synthesizing an elemental filter for a specific  $\phi_{z}$ . The system error model is linear, continuous, and has a white noise forcing function. The measurement noise is also white and is additive. Due to the nature of the problem and airborne computer limitations the filter must necessarily be discrete performing error state estimates on a continuous system. The system measurements (that is, the accelerometer outputs) will be observed for a finite period (for example, 50 seconds) and then these measurements smoothed in some manner and fed to the elemental filters. The filters will then estimate the state vector,  $\ddot{X}$ . The various estimates of the state vector will then be weighted in accordance with equation 4-5 to form the overall estimate. The parameter estimate will then he formed by a weighted sum of the individual parameter values. The only difference between the ordinary Kalman filter form and the elemental filter used in this parameter estimator is feedback of a component of the output of the filter to the elemental filter dynamics matrix. Specifically, the filter estimate of the error in  $\emptyset_z$  is used to update the value of  $\emptyset_z$  in the system dynamics matrix of each filter.

The elemental filter has as its objective the generation of an estimate of the state vector,  $\frac{\Lambda}{X}$ , such that the mean square error

of the estimate is minimized.

A matrix, P, called the covariance matrix is defined as

$$P = E \left[ (\underline{X} - \underline{\hat{X}}) \cdot (\underline{X} - \underline{\hat{X}})^{T} \right]$$
 5-1

where E is the expectation operator. The P matrix contains the second order statistics of the system errors. That is, the diagonal elements are the variances of the components of the estimation error and the off-diagonal elements are the covariances of the estimation errors taken two at a time. Therefore, the filter generates an  $\frac{\hat{X}}{\hat{X}}$  which minimizes the variances on the diagonal of P.

The basic recursive equations for a discrete Kalman filter are adequately treated in many publications.<sup>3</sup> The following paragraphs present in summary form the equations which will be utilized to form the discrete elemental filter in the parameter estimator of this investigation.

The error model dynamics equation

$$\underline{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{A} \left( \phi_{\mathbf{x}} \right) \underline{\mathbf{X}} + \underline{\mathbf{U}} \qquad 5-2$$

has a solution which can be written as

$$\underline{X}(T) = \Phi(T,0) \underline{X}(0) + G(T) \qquad 5-3$$

where

 $\hat{\Phi}(T,0) =$  state transition matrix over the interval 0 to  $T^{6}, 6$ 

G(T) = the response of the system to the white noise vector, <u>U</u>, over the interval 0 to T.

X(T) = state at time T

X(0) = state at time 0

If the elements of the white noise forcing function,  $\underline{U}$ , are uncorrelated, a diagonal matrix can be obtained from  $\mathbb{E}\begin{bmatrix}\underline{U}\cdot\underline{U} & T\end{bmatrix}_{r}$ . If this matrix is denoted by the letter Q an approximate expression for the covariance matrix, P, at time T is<sup>4</sup>  $P(T) = \Phi(T,0) \cdot P(0) \cdot \Phi^{T}(T,0) + \frac{T}{2} \left[ \Phi \Phi^{T}(T,0) + \Phi(T,0) \cdot Q \right] S_{-4}$ where

- P(O) = covariance matrix at time O
- P(T) = covariance matrix at time T
- $\Phi^{T}(T,0)$  = transpose of the transition matrix

At this point it becomes necessary to distinguish between the best estimate of  $\frac{\Lambda}{X}$  just prior to filtering,  $\frac{\Lambda}{X}(T^{-})$ , and just after running the discrete filter  $\frac{\Lambda}{X}(T^{+})$ .  $T^{-}$  will be used to indicate values just prior to running the filter and  $T^{+}$  to indicate values just after the filter is run. This terminology will also apply to the covariance matrix, P.

At time 0 the filter is initialized with an estimate of the state vector  $\frac{\Lambda}{X}(0)$  and a covariance matrix P(0).  $\frac{\Lambda}{X}(0)$  and P(0) are then propagated to the Kalman update time T using

$$\underline{\hat{X}}(T^{-}) = \Phi_{-}T, 0) \cdot \underline{\hat{X}}(0) \qquad 5-3$$

for  $X(T^-)$  and equation 5-4 for the computation of  $P(T^-)$ .

Now substituting  $\hat{X}(T^-)$  for X in the measurement equation (4-2) yields

 $\hat{\underline{Y}}(T) = \underline{W} \hat{\underline{X}}(T^{-}) \qquad . 5-6$ 

where  $\underline{X}(T)$  is referred to as the expected measurement. The measurement additive noise vector,  $\underline{V}$ , does not appear in 5-6 since the best estimate of white Gaussian noise is zero. The difference

between the expected measurement,  $\underline{\hat{Y}}(T)$ , and the actual measurement,  $\underline{Y}(T)$ , will be denoted by  $\underline{\widetilde{Y}}(T)$ . That is,

$$\widetilde{\underline{Y}}(T) = \underline{Y}(T) - \underline{\widehat{Y}}(T) \qquad 5-7$$

A new estimate of the state vector can now be formed using the equation

$$\underline{\hat{X}}(T^+) \approx \underline{\hat{X}}(T^-) + K\underline{\tilde{Y}}$$
 5-8

where K is the commonly referred to Kalman gain matrix. This K matrix is computed so as to minimize the diagonal or variance terms of the propagated covariance matrix. An equation which accomplishes this minimization is<sup>7</sup>

$$K = P(T^{-}) \cdot M^{T} \left[ M \cdot P(T^{-}) \cdot M^{T} + R \right]^{-1}$$
where  $R = E \left[ \underbrace{V \cdot V^{T}}_{} \right]$ 
5-9

After the new state estimate is formed the covariance matrix is updated by<sup>8</sup>

 $P(T^+) = (I - KM) \cdot P(T^-)$  5-10

Equations 5-5 through 5-10 contain the necessary recursive operations to construct a Kalman filter which operates at discrete times on a continuous system. To further generalize these equations replace 0 by t and T by  $t + \Delta t$  where  $\Delta t$  is the time interval between filter runs. The number of filter iterations can be controlled by checking the variance terms of the P matrix. When a desired variance for an error state is reached the problem can be terminated.

For the parameter estimation technique presented in this investigation it is necessary that the value of  $\emptyset_z$  (the azimuth wander angle) in the A matrix be updated by the estimate of  $\Delta \phi_z$ 

After each filter run. This update is accomplished by summing the estimate of the error in  $\mathscr{G}_z$  (that is  $\Delta \mathscr{G}_z$  ( $t \diamond \Delta t^{\diamond}$ )) with the current value of  $\mathscr{G}_z$ . Therefore, if  $\mathscr{G}_z$  ( $t + \Delta t^{\diamond}$ ) is used to denote the updated value of  $\mathscr{G}_z$  and  $\mathscr{G}_z$  ( $t + \Delta t^{\diamond}$ ) the value before updating A Service of the serv

 $\mathscr{J}_{\mathbf{z}} (t + \Delta t^{+}) = \mathscr{J}_{\mathbf{z}} (t + \Delta t^{-}) + \Delta \mathscr{J}_{\mathbf{z}} (t + \Delta t^{+})$  5-12 This updated value of the azimuth wender angle will then be used in the formation of a new A matrix for the elemental filter.

A block diagram of the elemental filter is presented in figure 5-1 and an equation flow chart for the filter elgerithm presented in figure 5-2.



Figure 5-1. Block Diegram of Elemental Filter.



Figure 5-2. Elemental Milter Computer Algorithm.

#### References Cited in V. The Elemental Pilter

ŝ,

· · · · · ·

<sup>2</sup> Kalman, R.E., "A New Approach to Linear Filtering and Prediction Problems", Journal of Basic Engineering, ASME TRANS., Series D, 82 1960 PP 35-45.

<sup>2</sup> Kalman, R.E., Bucy, R.S., "<u>Mew Results in Linear Filtering and</u> <u>Bradiction Theory</u>", Trans. ASME, Journal of Basic Engineering, Vol. 83, PP S5-168 March 1961.

<sup>3</sup> Sorenson, H.W., "<u>Kalman Filtering Techniquos</u>", in Luondas, C.T., ed., Aivances in Control Systems, vol. 3, New York, Academic Frage, 1966, 219-292.

" Knight, J.A., "The Development of a Kalzan Filtering Algorithm for Hybrid Newlysticu Systems for Army Algorithm at U.S. Army Science Conference, June 17 - 18, 1970 at U.S. Military Academy.

<sup>2</sup> Derusso, F.M., Poy, R.J., Close, C.M., State Variables for Sogineers, John Wiley and Sons, Jac., 1956. Chapter 5.

\* Cjate, K., State Space Analysis of Control Systems, Fration-Hell, Inc., 1967. Chapter 6.

<sup>7</sup> Sorenson, H.W., up, cit., Page 248, step (3).

<sup>a</sup> Sorenson, H.W., op; cit., Page 248, step (5).

#### VI. The System

The prime function of the platform controller during the initialization process is to apply earth rate torqueing signals to the platform gyros. The level axes torquing rates are computed using equation 2-5 with  $\emptyset_z$ , the azimuth wander angle, replaced by its estimate,  $\hat{\emptyset}_z$ . The desired equilibrium condition is obtained when the correct azimuth wander angle is determined (within a specified accuracy) and the gyro and accelerometer random drifts compensated.

Using an external reference or from observation of the platform without earth rate torquing, the initial azimuth winder angle can be estimated with an accuracy of 1.5 degrees  $(1\sigma)$ . A number of values are then selected in the vicinity of this initial estimate and an initial weighting distribution for these values assumed. The most intuitive approach to selection of these initial values is to assume a symmetrical distribution with symmetrical weighting. For example, if  $\emptyset_z$  is the initial estimate, values of  $\emptyset_z^1$  for the elemental filters could be  $\emptyset_z$ ,  $\emptyset_z \pm 1^\circ$ ,  $\emptyset_z \pm 2^\circ$ , etc., and an initial triangular weighting centered on  $\emptyset_z$ : The initial or a priori weighting distribution is utilized only for the first run of the parameter estimator. Subsequent runs use the weighting factors given by equation 4-5.

The error state vector,  $\frac{A}{X}$ , for each of the elemental filters is initialized with all elements equal to zero. The covariance matrix for each of the elemental filters is initialized with estimated variances for each error along the diagonal and all

48

ð

off-diagonal terms zero. After the first run of the parameter estimator each of the elemental filters contains an estimate of the parameter,  $\phi_z$ , estimates of the angle misalignments ( $\Delta \phi_x$ ,  $\Delta \phi_y$ ,  $\Delta \phi_z$ ), estimates of the inertial component drifts ( $\epsilon_x$ ,  $\epsilon_y$ ,  $\epsilon_s$ ,  $\alpha_x$ ,  $\alpha_y$ ), and an updated covariance matrix. A new elemental filter parameter estimate is formed using equation 5-12. An overall parameter estimate can then be formed using the a priori weighting factors in accordance with

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathbf{z}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\omega} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{z}^{i}) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{z}^{i} \qquad 6-1$$

where n is the number of elemental filters. This value of  $\hat{\emptyset}_z$  is then used in the computation of the earth rate torquing signals generated by the controller.

Compensation for the inertial components' drifts is accomplianed by weighting and summing each of the elemental filter drift estimates, and then applying the results directly to the inertial components. The inertial components' drift corrections are then subtracted from the inertial component drift estimates in each of the elemental filters. This leaves a residual value in the fourth through eighth positions of the error state vector. This residual value will be propagated to the next update time in accordance with the propagation equation contained in the elemental filter algorithm. The  $\Delta \emptyset_x$  and  $\Delta \emptyset_y$  angle misalignments are torqued to zero by the leveling loops and the corresponding error states in each of the elemental filters is set to zero. The  $\Delta \emptyset_x$  term in each of the elemental filters is set equal to the

difference between the overall estimate of  $\phi_z$  and the value of  $\phi_z$  in the particular elemental filter.

On the second and subsequent parameter estimator runs weighting factors are computed in accordance with equation 4-5. These weighting factors are then used in the computation of the overall parameter estimate and the inertial components' compensation.

The primary result is to obtain a value for the azimuth wander angle within a specified statistical variance. A variance for the parameter estimator is formed by multiplying the weighting factor for each elemental filter by the variance of  $\Delta \phi_z$  in the elemental filter covariance matrix. When this variance falls below a specified value the initialization procedure is terminated. The current value of  $\phi_z$  is maintained in the controller earth rate computation and the current inertial components' compensation maintained. If in the navigation mode an augmented system with a Kalman filter is utilized, the azimuth wander angle and the inertial components' compensation will continue to be corrected during flight.

Figure 6-1 is a block diagram of the rapid initialization technique described in this investigation.

50

ş



Figure 6-1. Block Diegram of Rapid Initialisation Tachnique.

and see

E & will a min

:

51

a a grant a start a start a start a

くろい ころうれん

## VII. Repid Initialization Simulation

To verify that the parameter estimation technique described in this investigation will converge to the true value of the parameter (to a statistical accuracy), a computer simulation of the entire system was programmed for use on a Burroughs - 5500 computer using Extended ALGOL. The inertial platform was simulated by first order difference equations which were iterated every second to approach a continuous system. The outputs of the platform accelerometers were smoothed using straight averaging and fed to the filters. The recursive elemental filter equations were contained in an ALGOL Procedure named Kalman which, when called up, ran through the equations delineated in figure 5-2 using the appropriate  $\beta_{x}$ ,  $\dot{X}$ , and P for the particular elemental filter. The norm of  $\tilde{Y}$  was also computed in each filter to facilitate computation of the weighting factors. The filter was run every 60 seconds. The covariance propagation equation and state vector propagation equation were run every 10 seconds. This was necessary due to the error introduced by truncation of the series approximation for the transition matrix at the second term. During the time the filter was running, the platform was releveled (approximately 5 seconds real time to relevel).

To compare this rapid initialization technique with present state-of-the-art gyrocompassing, a thermally compensated experimental platform was gyrocompassed from an azimuth error of 1.5° and the dynamic azimuth error recorded on a strip chart. Pigure 7-1 illustrates the amount of time necessary to achieve an



53

The same the standard Balling States and a strike it is a sugar

والانتخاب والتكميم التكميم والمحافظ والمحمد والمتلك ومعالي

Sold Strates for a strategies.

きいちち これもあちをちきる

Martin St.

alignment accuracy of approximately 6 minutes of arc for this system (8 minutes real time). Statistical data on the performance of the inertial components was then obtained from the manufacturer and used in the rapid initialization computer simulation. The data obtained was as follows:

Gyro Rendom Drift (Correlated):

à

 $10^{\circ} = .02^{\circ}$  AR

Correlation time = 8,500 and

Accelerometer Random Drift (Correlated): 10° = 5 x 10<sup>-8</sup>g Correlation time = 20,000

88C

A measurement noise vector was assured which consisted of an uncorrelated sequence which changed value avery second. Computer runs ware then made for azimuth wander angles of 0, 30, and 45 degrees. Five runs were made for each of these azimuth angles, such with a different random sequence. The various random sequences were obtained by changing the 8 digit key in the Algol Procedure "Independent Gaussian Random Variables" (DENV). The parameter estimator contained 5 elemental filters which were initialized at values of  $\frac{1}{2}1$  and  $\frac{1}{2}2$  degrees about an initial estimate which was in error by 1.5 degrees. An initial triangular weighting distribution contered on the initial estimate was assumed.

The computed variance of the parameter estimator indicated that an accuracy of 6 minutes of arc  $(10^{\circ})$  could be reached in 3 minutes of running time (plus approximately 3 times 5 seconds for the filter runs). The actual average error of the fifteen runs after 3 minutes of running time was 5.9 minutes of arc. Table 7-1 lists the final azimuth wander angle errors for each of the runs. Figure 7-2 is a plot of the average error for the fifteen runs versus time. Typical computed error variances of the parameter estimator as a function of time are illustrated in figure 7-3, and figure 7-4 shows the initial weighting distribution and a typical distribution after the third iteration.

The simulation program is contained in appendix A and the printout for three of the fifteen runz is contained in appendix B.

COLUMN AND A

and and and and the structure and the second structure and the second structure and the second s

ŝ

1. 8.4 No.

ちしろ ちしん しん

55

TABLE	7-1
-------	-----

PINGI AZIAULA WANGET ANGLE BITOT IN MINHLES OF	rinar	AZIMUU	WANGEI	<b>STDIK</b>	<b>STIOT</b>	11	<b>Selvining</b>	<b>DI</b>	ATC
--	-------	--------	--------	--------------	--------------	----	------------------	-----------	-----

Random Generator Key	0°	30°	45°
23232323	7.8	0.2	5.4
34343434	11.5	2.0	1.7
12121212	1.2	5.0	8.0
43593395	15.4	11.8	10.2
27375639	2.1	2.7	3.4





All and the state of the state of the second

Ċ,

ž

after 3 Minutes.

#### VIII. Conclusions

The problem of rapid determination of the angular orientation of an inertial platform with respect to the rotating earth reference frame has been investigated and a new method for initialization presented. A linear error model for an inertial platform in an azimuth wander mechanization was derived and the equations expressed in state space form. The hasis problem in initialization was identified as a parameter estimation problem.

The two methods of solving parameter estimation problems currently available in the literature were then presented. The first method allowed parameter estimation for a parameter which can assume a continuum of values; however, the method was not time optimal. The second method examined was time optimal; however, it was constrained to problems where the parameter is limited to a finite number of possible values.

By combining features of both methods and using a simple weighting scheme, the time optimal method was extended to permit parameter estimation for a parameter that can assume a continuum of values. In addition, the new parameter estimator provides a variance term for the parameter estimate. This allows the initialization procedure to be terminated when a predetermined variance is achieved.

The necessary algorithms for the parameter estimator were developed and a computer simulation of the system performed. Results of the simulation show a twofold improvement in

58

à

initialization time over a state-of-the-art experimental system presently under evaluation.

and the main of the second sec

ł

ter.

Santa Haran

# APPENDIX A

Computer Simulation Program

ø

SSA STANT -43891-SEGIN

00000300

00000400

00000100 00200000

SSA STANI2

00003100 0003200 00003300 000000000 0000000000 008000000 00600000 0001000 0011000 00001200 00001300 00001400 00012000 0001600 00001700 00001800 XHAIW»XHAT 2»XHAT 2»XHAT 3»XHAT 4»XHAT 5 [ 18]»YPF»YHAT [ 122]»R[ 122]12] 2] 2] 2] 2] 20001900 00020000 00002100 000052000 0000300 00002400 00002500 00002600 00005700 00002800 00620000 00003000 AHKAY X[]:8.U:10/)].A[]:8.1:8].N[]:8].Y[]:2.U:100].H[]:2].H[]:2.1:8]. YTICN1,YTILN2,YTILN3,YTILN4,YTILN5,PHIZHATW,H1,W2,W3,W4,W5,W5,W0,PM,VT5 \*A SIMULATION 'JF A HAPID INITIALIZATION TECHNIQUE \*///). REAL X1×X2×X3×X4×X5×1.6×X7×X8×D1×QMEGAY×UMEGAZ×LAT×PHIZ× ESTIMATE UF PHIL IS MADE EVERY 60 SECONDS ",//" INTIAL KANDUM NUMBER GENERATOR KEY = ">I8>//)> FURMAT FB(//, "FLEASE RETURN THIS PRINTUUT TU ",/, FP("THE ELEMENTAL FILTER PHIZ ESTIMATES ARE ">/> PHI 2HAT 1, PHI 2HAT2, PUI ZHAT3, PHI ZHAT4, PHI ZHAT5, . " TRUE & LIPUTH ANGLECPHIZ) = ".FT.3.//. "J A UASARU, MATH ANALYSIS, X51788 ".///" LAMX » LAMY » LAMZ » MUX » MUY » P » D » D ELY » THE FULLUWING ARE PRUG/AM DECLARATIONS 4F,11,P1,P2,P3,P4,P5,CUE(118,118) FE("IIME IN MINUTES = ""F5.2"//)" "IHIS PROGRAP, IS RAPI ",//" = noF7.30/0 « / « S » / » " » F ( .. 3 » / s "sf / 3»/. INTEGER INJATAKALS UEFINE PHINIHTYFEN ABEL LIJL23 8], 22[112]} TAH12HAT1 TAH12HA72 FPHIZHA13 CONNEN n THE

61

<del>ट</del>)

\*PH12H4\*

00004100 00004200 00004400 FF("THE ENKUN IN MINUTES UF ARC IS ""FIU.5"//) FC("VARIANCE BELOW VALUE CORRESPONDING TO ", " A 1 SIGMA EAROR UP 6 MINUTES OF ARC ",/// ESTIMATE IS ""E8.4"//" (/////w 5 ",E12,5,1//), INITIALIZATION COMPLETE ..... ENU DF VECLARATIONS "THE WEIGHTING FACTORS ARE ">/> DASARU PARAHETER "PHIZHATS = PAIZHAT ENKUR VARIANCE 4/48.834# # EH# \*2F6.42/2 \* 2 F 6 3 4 2 / 2 9/08.8 jen E M2F6.42/2 COMMENT L SH L #THE "THE .... 4 H 4 6151 M M H 2 X X

62

00004300

00004600

00004900

00002000

00004800

z

1

00004700

00003500

00003600 00003700 00003800 00003900 00040000

00003400

è

cranity and a training success of a direct damage

ないたちないときない

	00005100
	00005200
COMMENT	00002300
THE FOLLUMING ALGUL PRUCEDURE GENERATES INO INDEPENDENT	00002400
GAUSSIAN RANDUM SEQUENCES (D1,D2)., EACH WITH A SPECIFIED	00005500
MEAN ANU STANUAMU DEVIATION	00005600
	00002700
PROCEDURE [GHV(MEAN[>MEAN2>SIGMA1>SIGMA2>U1>D2)}	00005400
VALUE MEANIEMEANZDSIGMAIDSIGMAZJ	00002900
KEAL MEANI»MEAN2»SIGMAI»SIGMA2»DI»D23	00090000
REGIN	000006100
REAL XøYøMøZøXXeYYJ	00006200
REAL PRUCLUURE RJ	00006300
BEGIN	00006400
P1=3125xPJ	00006500
P1=P-(P UIV 67108864)×67108864)	00006600
Kizt/67108864J	000006700
ENU UF RJ	00006800
LABEL LJ	000006900
	000001000
7 5 # X X X # 3 X	000007100
\$Z*X <b>*</b> \$X	000001200
Y11=Y+2]	00022000
$M = X \times Y \times Y$	00067400
IF W GTR 1 THEN GU TO LF	00001500
21=50HT(=2xLN(R))/WJ	00007600
Ult=SIGHAlx(XX=YY)XZ+MEAN1}	00170000
U21=2×51GMA2×X×Y×2+MEAN21	00007800
END UF IGKV)	00620000
COMMENT ENU OF HANDOM SEQUENCE GENERATON?	00008000
	00008100

63

they may any thread and a

We want the second second second second second second second

The sea of the

;

me was the share with the

ć
00082000 00008300 00008500 00008600 00008700 00088000 0008900 0000300 0008400 00060000 00009100 000092000 0000000000 00099400 000005000 00260000 000098000 00660000 00010000 00010200 00010300 00010400 00010500 00010100 00010600 00010700 00010800 00010900 00011000 0011100 00011200 00011300 00011400 00011500 00011600 Z X X ÄR 84 CUMMENT THIS PROCEDURE MULTIPLIES AN L×M MATRIX A Matrix B to tield the L×M matrix CJ THE FOLLUWING ALGOL PROCEDURES IMPLEMENT THE MATRIX UPERATIONS OF MULTIPLICATION, ADDITION, SUBTRACTION, TRANSPOSITIUN&REPLACEMENT&SCALAR MULTIPLICATION& C[]»K]!#C[]»K]+A[]»J]×B[J»K]} FOR JIHI STEP I UNTIL M DO N DO PROCEDURE MAIMUL (A.B.C.L.M.N) PROCEDURE MATADUCA, B.C.M.NJ J I=I STEP & UNTIL N DO FOR KIET STEP 1 UNTIL FOR II=1 STEP 1 UNTIL L DO DO H C[[」J]:ZA[]」J+B[],J]} END UF MATAUUJ UNTIL C[],K];\*0] ARRAY Arbacteris ARRAY APBAC[+++]} ANU INVERSIUN. FOR Itel STEP 1 BEGIN INTEGER LOMONS INTEGER IDJAKS END UF MAIMULS É NU 3 INJEGER IAJS -VALUE LAMARS INTEGER MAN BEGIN VALUE MANA ENDS COMMENT BEGIN BEGIN FUR

64

ş

PROCEDURE MAIMANCA, B, M, N) PRUCEDURE MAIREP(A.B.M.N) 1 UNTIL FOR 11=1 51EP 1 FUR JISI STEP 1 BLILLISSEALULIS ARRAY ANBE + + ] B A[lel]#=:[lel]A FUR II=1 STEP ENU UF MATSUBJ ENU UF MATHANS FOR JITI STEP ENU UF MATHENS FOR ISEI SIEP FOR JI=1 STEP INTEGER MANS INTEGER MONS INTEGER INJ INTEGER 1, JJ VALUE MANJ VALUE MONJ BEGIN REGIN

65

a sure of the state of the second second

•

÷

• Same Same - White the second of the second s

ł

Ŷ

ę

INTEGER MANS

VALUE MANN

INTEGER INJ

BEGIN

1.1

۶ ,

í

2

i

ENU UF MATHIX OPERATIUNS DEfi=A[1,1]×A[2,2]-A[1,22×A[2,1] PRUCEDURE MAISCA(A,B,S,M,N) PROCEDURE MAIINVCAJAIJA UNTIL UNTIL A1(1,211\*\*A61,23/0E7) &1[2,1];=-A[2,1]/DETJ AT 2.2315AE 1.11/UETS 4111139×412,23/0578 A[I, L] #SXBC [, L] A ARREY ADAILADAJJ ARRAY ANHERANIS END UF MATINVE OR JINI STEP ENU UF MATSCAA OR IS STEP INTEGER 11J VALUE M. N. SS NTEGER INJ INTEGER MANA REAL DETS COMMENT S REGIN BEGIN REAL

00015500 00015900 00016000 00016900 00016200 00016800 00016900 00014700 00014800 00014900 00015000 00151000 0015200 00015300 00915400 00035800 00016300 00016400 00016500 00016600 00016700 00017000 00215760

66

ð

ļ

1 1 1

67

A LE MARANE S.

and a star of a star of a star a

- ----

L

;

いたいい アークイン

. #

•

:

COMMENT	00017360
THE FULLOWING ALGGL PROCEDURE IMPLEMENTS	00017300
THE RECURSIVE EQUATIONS OF A KALMAN FILTER	10471000
	00011200
PRUCEDURE XALMANCPHIZHAT#XHAT#PC#YTILN)}	00017600
REAL PHICHATAYTILN?	00017700
ARKAY XHAT[+],PC[+>+]]	00017800
BEGIN	00011600
AKKAY PIIPPI2[188]18]141[188]4]1[188]23]59]2[182]5]2]2	00038000
MI2I[1:2,1:2],KAL51:8,1:2],YHAT[1:2],YTIL1:2],	000181000
PHIIDIPHII(1380)188]0	09615200
ULLXHATCISBIPISCESBICSBICSBICSBICSBICSBICSBICSBICSBICSBIC	00016300
FOR TIME STEP 1 UNTIL 2 DD	00018400
YHAFET3#¥YTLETJ\$#YTEV9	00018500
FOR IIT STEP 1 UNTIL 5 DU	00018600
DELXMATIIJ. #XHATNITJ:=0;	00018700
FOR II=1 SICP 1 UNTIL 2 DO	00018300
FOR JIEL STEP 1 UNTIL 2 DO	00358930
HIS[[0]]##[[0]]##JS[]]H	00019000
FUR IS STEP I UNTIL 5 DU	00191000
FOR JARS STEP 3 UNTIL 2 DO	00019200
Milisxkallistka. Miliska	00019300
FOR ISAL STEP 1 UNTIL & UU	0019400
FUK JISTEP 1 UNTIL & DO	00319500
tOsitositositositositositositositositosito	00965000

.

\* 1

ççe .

·... ,

-

•

ų

;

000212000 00021400 00101000 00019800 00019960 00020000 00120100 000202000 00220300 00020400 000202000 00020000 000207000 00020500 00302000 00021000 00023300 00021600 00021700 00621500 00021900 00022000 00022100 00022300 00022500 00022600 00022700 00222000 00922400 000225500 dii jalanx+[~]lanxx[~~]]iidetuijaja;x AFE:s338=="UNRGAYXCUSCPHIZHAT>" AFE 3+234 # UNEGAY X51NCPHIZHAT) AF [3, ] ] THUMEGAYXCUS(FHIZHAT)] AF [2. 3] THUMEGAYXSINCPHIZHAT MATMUL (PHITOPILOPIZOBUDA) 2 HATHUL ( PERPITHIT REPORTED B) NATHUL (MIL MIZISKALED Z.2) 00 8 8 FUR ISSTEP 1 UNTIL & DU FUR IT=1 STEM 1 UNTIL & DO FUK KIHI SIEP 1 UNTIL 5 DO HAISCA(PII#AF#UELT#8#3) HAIAUU(II+PII+PHIT#8#3) COCOCLERCTPHEEDCOCC HATAUU(PC+CUE+PII+8+8) FUR STEP 1 UNTIL FUR ITAL STEP 2 UNIIL natrep (p11, pp, 8, 8) AATENVCHIZAMIZIJS MATRANCHIN'N BERRY XHAJEEJOWXHAFNEEJE XHATNEI JERUS BEGIN **ENUJ** BEGIN BEGIN ENUA ENUJ

68

2

Ş

00022900 00023100 00223800 00023900 00042000 00024100 00024200 00024300 00024400 00023000 00023200 00023300 00023400 00523500 00023600 00023700 00024500 00024600 0074500 00024800 UEEXHATTIJIMUELXHATTIJ+KALTIJUXYTELGUJJ ICUSTANXXCO.IJH+CISTARYY\*\*;IJTANY YIILN\*=SUNT(YTILE1]+2+YTILE2)+2)} LNU UF KALMAN PROCEDURE XHATEIJIMXHATEIJ+DELXHATEIJJ 000 000 000 UU11 2 00 8 00 2 20 FUH ISEN SIEN I UNTIL 8 DO 2 MATMUL(PI3,PPPPI2,BA33 MATMUL (KAL, MAPIS, 82833 I JTAHY-E MATSUNCTION LUNPTONE UN11C UNIIL UNTIL UNTIL HATREP (PC+PI2+8+A) F FUR ISE STEP 1 YTTLEIJSEYPFLI FUR Jack STEP FUN UNEL SIEP ENU UF KALMANJ FUR ISHI STEP COMMENT

COMMENI

642.9 2

69

ne on their goald to react out to the to the other that the desidence

Re and the work of the

¢

2 ŝ

> . ť 2

> > \$

į

18 E. T. 1

いっこうちょうとう ちょう ちょうかいてき こうろうきょう ちょうちょうちょうちょうちょう

are not to the set of the set of

:

THE FOLLOWING SECTION SETS THE INITIAL CUNDITIONS OF HEAU (UATA+X1+X2+X3+X4+X5+X6+X7+X8)} REAU (UATA,LAMX,LAMY,LAMZ,MUX,MUY) PHIZ:=PHIZXUZK; DMEGAZ:=C7.269190=5)xSIN(LAT); OME GAY 1=(7.269190=5)×CUS(LAT)1 FUR ISE STEP 1 UNTIL 8 DO KII, UJITXLI, ORIXO2HJ REAU (UATA, UI, LAT, PHIZ) WRIIE(PHININFILMHIZOP) WRITE(PHINT, FB); LAT:=LAT×U2KJ REAU CUATA+PJF THE SIMULATION XL7.011=X73 X11,011=X15 X[2,0]1=X2J X[3,0]1=X35 X[4,0]\$=X4} x(5,0]:=X5J X[6,0]3=X63 X[8,0]!=X65

70

00026000 00026100 00026300000026400

00026500 00026600 00026700 00026900 00027000 00027100

00026800

00026200

00025700

00025800

00025000 00025100 00025100 00025200 00025200 000255300 000255300 000255500

Ì

AL3,2]!==UMEGAY#SIN(PHIZ)} A[1,3]!="OMEGAY×CUS(PHIZ)} A[2,3] 1%UHEGAY×SIN(PHI2) I[3,1];=UMEGAY×CUS(PHIZ) A[2,1]1="OHEGAZ} A[4,4]1="1/LAMX" A:6,6]:=-1/LAK23 AF[2,]]\$==UMEGAZ} A[8,8]1=-1/MUY] AF[4,4]:="1/LAMX] AF [5+5]1="1/LAMYJ Af [6,6]12-1/LAMZ] A[7,7]:==1/MUX3 A[1,2]1=UMEGA23 AFI [ 1.2] I = UMEGAZ AF[7,7]:="1/MUXJ AF[8.8]1==1/MUY5 A[2,5] ##15 A[1,4]3#15 AF[]>4]1=15 A[3,6]:=1] AF[3,6]121J [1[1,1]1#15 H[2,2]!="] AF [2=5] 1=1 J [1[8,8]:=1; [1[2,2]1=1] [1[3,3]1=1) [][4,4];=]] 1[5,5]1=1] 1[6,6]1=1 [1\*1[2,7]1#1] H[1,1]:#13 H[],8]!=]} M[2,7]1=15

00028600 00028700 00028800 00026300 00029600 00029900 00030000 00030100 00030200 00030300 00030400 00030500 00030600 000275000 00027500 00612000 00028000 00028200 00328500 00029000 00029100 00029200 00029400 00029500 00262000 00029500 00030700 00027200 00027300 00027400 00027700 00027800 00028100 00028300 00028400 00028900

でいたい こうちょう ちょうし

71

. . .

.

うくっきましょうとう あいまち しょう

¢

ł

UELT1≠10} CUE[4,4]1=CUE[5,5]1=CUE[6,6]1=2,320−18J

00030800

00606000

00031000

CUE[4,4];#CUE[5,5];#CUE[0,0];#2,324-19) CUE[7,7];#CUE[8,8];#25#+14}

P1[6,5]tsP2[6,6]t**=P3[6,6]t=P4[6,6]t=P5[6,6]t=93**.6**P=1**6} P{[4,4];=P2[4,4];=P3[4,4];=P4[4,4];=P4[4,4];=P5[4,4];=93,6P=16; P1[5,5]t=P2[5,5]t=P3[5,5]t=P4[5,5]t=P5[5,5]t=93,60=16f P1[7,7]18P2[7,7]318P3[7,7]18P4[7,7]18P5[7,7]18250-103 P1[3,3];=P2[3,3];zP3[3,3];zP4[3,3];zP5[3,3];zP5[3,3];z6,86\*6; P1[8,8];=P2[8,8];=P3[6,8];\*P4[8,8];\*P5[8,8];=P250=10} Pi[1, ]]:=P2[1, ]]:=P3[1, 1]]:=P4[1, 1]]:=P5[1, 1]]:=2,50"9] P1[2,2]t=P2[2,2]t=P3[2,2]t=P4[2,2]t=P5[2,2]t=7,50=9] PHIZHATI STPHIZ -0. SXD2RJ PHIZHATZI=PHIZ+0,5×02RJ FHIZHAT3: FHIZHICTAHZIHJ PH12HA14:=FH12+2.5×02RJ LHZUXC.E+Z134×121AHZIH4 K[1,1]:=K[2,2]:=10-123 XHAT4[3]:="1XD2R5 XHA75[3]###2×D2RJ XHAT1[3] # 2×U2R5 XHAT2[3]:=1×U2R3 XHATGE338#US

00032700 00032800 00032960 00033000

00032400 00032500 00032600

72

00031100

00036500 00035100 00035100 00036200 00036600 00033200 00033400 00033800 00033900 00034000 00034200 00034300 00034400 00034500 00034700 00034800 00034900 00035000 00035200 00035300 00035400 00035500 00035600 00035900 00036000 00035300 00036400 00033300 00033500 00033700 00034100 00034600 00035700 00035800 00033600 THE FOLLOWING SECTION IS THE SIMULATION PROGRAM X[],]]##X[[,]]+X[],T=1]+N[]]XDT+C1[]]XDTF ¥PF&IJ\$=Y{[]>J+Y([>J+Y9]+YPf&]} IGKY(U,U)1.528-9,1.528-9,N[4],N[5]}} IGRV(0,0,1.520-9,0.50-6,N[6]/N[7])} Y[K,]]!=Y[K,]]+H[K,L]×X[L,T] IGKV(U>U>1.00-6.1.00-6.1.00-664[1].462])\$ FOR JI=1 STEP 1 UNTIL 8 DO IGKV(0,0,0,50##6,0,50#46,N[8],U)} Y[K,[]1=Y[K,]]+H[K]+C2[K]} FUR ISTER I UNTIL 8 DU FUR JI=1 STEP 2 UNTIL 59 DO FUR IITI STEP 1 UNTIL 60 DU FUH 71=0 S1EP 20 UNIIL 60 D0 FUR KI=1 STEP 1 UNTIL 2 DO FUK LITI STEP 1 UNITL 8 DO FUR ISTEP 1 UNTIL 2 DO YPF[]]\*\*YPF[]]/30} YPF[1]:#YPF[2]:#0; ENU3 BEGIN COMMENT BEGIN BEGIN REGIN ENUJ **E NU 3** 11 ENU3

73

~

100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100

: ;

•

•

00033100

· Und · · · · · · ·

ð

N(PHIZHAT1,X4AT1,P1,YTILN1)}	N(PHI/HAT3,XHAT3,P3,YTILN3)	NCPHILHATS/XHATS/P5/YTILNS)	
N(PHIZHAT2,XHAT2,P2,YTTIN2)1	N(PHI/HAT4,XHAT3,P3,YTILN3)	4×CYTILN1+YTTLN2+YTILN3+YTILN4+YTILN5)	

000.6760 00036800 00036900 00037000

0037200

PHILHATHISHATAPHIZHAZSHSILHUZHAJZHAZSHSIHAZAHIZHATAHIZHAJAHIZHI PHIZHAIAXR20,PHIZHAISXR20,M1, W2, W3, W4, W5, PHIZHAT3XR20, WRITE(PHINT)FP\_PHIZHAT1×H20,PHIZHAT2×R20,PHIZHAT3×R20, H2s=(YIILN1+YTILH3+YTILN+YTILN5)/HD3 W3I=CYTILN1+YJILN2+YTILN4+YTILN5)/WD\$ #JI={\LICN2+\ICN3+\IICN4+\IICN2 H41=(YTILN1+YTILN2+YTILN3+YTILN5)/HD3 W51=CYTILN1+YTILN2+YTILN3+YTILN4)/WD3 PHIZHA121=PHICHAT2+XHAT2[3] WRIIE(PHINT)FF/XI3,UIXR2UX60) PHIZHAT1: #PHIZHAT1+XHAT1[3]} PHIZHAT31=PHIZHAT3+XHAT3[3]} PHIZHAT4:=PHIZHAIA+XHAT4[3]} PHIZHAT51=PHIZHAT5+XHAT5[3] + N5XPHIZHAT5 WRITE(PHIN] FFEAVISS IF VI LEQ O THEN P313,3133 W11 = 0, 15H21=0.23 N31=0.43 W41=0.23 W51×0°13 BEGIN ENUJ

00038500

00038600

00038400

00038800

00038900 000399100 000399100 000399100 000399300 000399300 00039500 00039500 00039500

74

00037500

00037600

00037300

75

00041200000041300

00041400

00040700

00040900

00041000

00040300

00040400 00040500 00040500

00040100

00039800 00039800 00039900

į

XHATWEIJ:#M1xXHAT1EIJ+W2xXHAT2EIJ+W3xXHAT3EIJ+W4xXHAT4EI] FUR ISE STEP 1. UNTIL 8 DO XHAT3[]]#THAT3[]]=XHATW[]} XHA12[]]:"XHAT2[]]-XHATW[]] XHATIC3JIFPHICHATI-PHICHATHS XHAI2[3] \$ #PH[ZHAT2=PHIZHATW} XHA13[3] s#PHIZHA13\*PHIZHATW3 XHA14[3]!#PH[ZHAT4=PH]ZHATH} XHA!1[]];#XHAT1[]]~XHATW[]] XHA34[[]S=XHAT4[]]=XHATH[]3 KHAIS[3]:=PHIZHATS=PHIZHATW XHAT5[]}#TAT9[]]2IAHX=1[]]2IAHX XE3,031=PHIZ=PHIZHY= FBK 11=4+5+6+7+8 00 C2[1]!=+XHA7W[8]5 C2[2]:=XHATW[7] C1[3]!#"XHATW[6]} C1[]]##XHX###[[]] C1[2]!==XHATH[5]] X[4,0];=X[4,60]; X[5,0]1=X[5,60;3 X[6,0];#X[6,60]; X[7,0]:=X[7,60]} X[8,0]1=X[8,60]1 +W5×XHAT5CIJ3 X[],0];=0]X X[2,0];#03 BEGIN ENU;

00042400 00042400 00042500

00042600

00042700

000422000

000419000

00042000

いてき あい あいして

;\* \*

ð

なななないとなったというないとないたかないかいとなるというないであったいであった

FUK I:#1,2 UU 8EGIN XHATI[[]:=U; XHAT2[]:=U; XHAT3[[]:=U; XHAT4[[]:=U; XHAT5[]]:=U;

ENU J

WRITE(PHINT,FC))

**\$5A** FINI

ENU.

:

# APFENDIX B

## Computer Printout

ł

è

Carlo Pri

~~ \$\$\$\$\$ @ \$10 X 4~~

.:+ ~

TIME IN MINUTES = D.00 ΞX. THE ELEMENTAL FILTER PHIZ ESTIMATES ARE -0.500 PHIZHAT1 =101500 Phizhat2 =PHI2HAT3 =1-500 PHIZHATĂ 差 2.500 PHIZHAT5 = 3-500 . THE WEIGHTING FACTORS ARE W1 = 0.1000 -W2 = 0.2000 ₩3 = 074000 ¥4 = 0~2000 ¥5 = 0¥1000 THE DASARO PARAMETER ESTIMATE IS 1 • 5000 THE ERROR VARIANCE IS 6-800009-04 THE ERROR IN WINUTES OF ARC IS -90+00000 TIME IN MINUTES = 1.00 ' THE ELEMENTAL FILTER PHIZ ESTIMATES ARE -0.696 PHIZIATI = PHIZHAT2 = -0-095 10-507 PHIZHAT3 = РНІZНАТА 🛎 1:109 . 17711 PHIZHAY5 =

TRUE AZIMUTH ANGLE(PHIZ) = 0.000 AN ESTIMATE OF PHIZ IS MADE EVERY 60 SECONDS THE INITIAL RANDOM NUMBER GENERATOR KEY = 23232323

· · ·

THIS PROGRAM IS RAP1

A SIMULATION OF A BAPID INITIALIZATION TECHNIQUE

THE WEIGHTING FACTORS ARE W1== 0:1000 AS = 0.5000 ₩3 = 0~2000 ₩4 = 0~2000 ¥5 = 0~1000 THE DASARO PARAMETER ESTIMATE IS 0.5072 THE ENROH VARIANCE IS 2.706819-04 ιN, • THE ERROR IN MINUTES OF ARC IS -30.43337 -TIME IN MINUTES = 2.00 THE ELEMENTAL FILTER PHIZ ESTIMATES ARE PHIZBATI = -0.480 PHIZHAT2 = -0-071 Р ніснатз ≐ Рнізната ′= ~0~338 0:748 1:158 PEIZHATS = 1. ..... THE WEIGHTING FACTORS ARE ¥1"= 0-1789 W2 = 0~2180 ¥3 = 072391 ₩4 = 0%2016 ₩5 = 071623 0.3183 THE DASARO PARAMETER ESTIMATE IS THE ERROR VARIANCE IS 3.148060-06

THE ERROR IN MINUTES OF ARC IS -19.09694

Į١

Area and the second states and the second

Š.

and a strate the second

TIME IN MINUTES = 3.00 THE ELEMENTAL FILTER PHIZ ESTIMATES ARE PHIZHAT1 = -0.652 Phizhate = . -0-366 -0-080 Phizhat3 ż Phizhata = 0.508 PHIZHAT5 = 07497 THE NEIGHTING FACTORS ARE 81 -= 0-2069 = 0-2423 65 ¥3 = 078199 ¥4 = 0-1833. ¥5 = 071467 バ THE DASARO PARAMETER ESTIMATE IS -0.1305 24983108-06 THE ERROR VARIANCE IS Ŋ, THE ZAROR IN MINUTES OF ARC IS 7-83056 VARIANCE BELOW VALUE CORRESPONDING TO 'I SIGNA ERROR OF 6"MINUTES OF A INITIALIZATION COMPLETE

THE ELEMENTAL FILTER PHIZ ESTIMATES ARE PHIZHAT1 = 29.297PHIZHAT2 = 29.699PHIZHAT3 = 30.501PHIZHAT4 = 31.04FHIZHAT5 = 31.707

TIME IN MINUTES = 1.00

THE ERROR IN MINUTES OF ARC IS -90.00000

31-5000

THE ERROR VARIANCE IS 6.800000-04

THE DASARO PAHAMETER ESTIMATE IS

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{W1}^{-} = \vec{0} \cdot \mathbf{1000} \\ \mathbf{W2} = \mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{2000} \\ \mathbf{W3} = \mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{2000} \\ \mathbf{W4} = \mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{2000} \\ \mathbf{W5} = \mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{2000} \\ \mathbf{W5} = \mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{1000} \end{array}$ 

THE ELEMENTAL FILTER PHIZ ESTIMATES ARE PHIZHAT1 =  $29 \cdot 500^{\circ}$ PHIZHAT2 =  $30^{\circ} 500^{\circ}$ PHIZHAT3 =  $31^{\circ} 500^{\circ}$ PHIZHAT4 =  $32^{\circ} 500^{\circ}$ PHIZHAT5 =  $33^{\circ} 500^{\circ}$ 

TIME IN MINUTES = 0.00

THE WEIGHTING FACTORS ARE

Ξ.

TRUE AZIMUTH ANGLE(PHIZ) = 30.000 AN ESTIMATE OF PHIZ IS MADE EVERY 60 SECONDS THE INITIAL RANDOM NUMBER GENERATOR KEY = 23232323

THIS PROGRAM IS HAPI A SIMULATION OF A RAPID INITIALIZATION TECHNIQUE -1.20

N 183

The short we will be a set of the set of the

. N No

£

•

and the second THE WEIGHTING FACTORS ARE W1"= 0.1000 W2 = 0~2000 W3 = 074000 ₩4 = 072000 ₩5' ≜ 0¥1000 1 THE DASARO PARAMETER ESTIMATE IS 30.5014 THE ERROR VARIANCE IS 2.706818-04 • • ۰. . . . . . • , THE ERROR IN MINUTES OF ARC IS -30.08420 TIME IN MINUTES = 2.00 THE ELEMENTAL FILTER PHIZ ESTIMATES ARE PHIZHAT1 = 29.620 PHIZHAT2 = 30-030 PHIZHAT3 30-442 PHI2HAT4 =30~853 PHIZHAT5 = 31~265 **?**. . . THE WEIGHTING FACTORS ARE  $W1^{-} = 0.1737$  $W2^{-} = 0.2122$ • W3 = 0.2393W4 = 0.2067 W5 = 0.1681THE DASARO PARAMETER ESTIMATE IS .30.4351 3-148060-06 THE ERROR VARIANCE IS

THE ERROR IN MINUTES OF ARC IS -26.10787

82

3.00 TIME IN MINUTES =

THE ELEM	ENTA	AL FILTER	PHIZ	ESTIMATES	ARE
PHIZHATI		29-468		· · · ·	• •
PHIZHAT2	â	297755		<b>`</b>	
PHIZHAT3	ż	30-042			
PHIZHAT4	11	30~330			
PHIZHAT5	'n	307620		•	
• • • • • • • • •	-				
			,		

THE WEIGHTING FACTORS ARE W1 = 0.2046W2 = 0.2379W3 = 0.2213W4 = 0.1860W5 = 0.1502

`

. /

THE DASARD PARAMETER ESTIMATE IS 29.9967 THE ERROR VARIANCE IS 2.983178-06

THE EBROR IN MINUTES OF ARC IS 0•19943

VARIANCE BELOW VALUE CORRESPONDING TO A'1 SIGMA ERROR OF 6 MINUTES OF ARC

INITIALIZATION COMPLETE ....

きちょう ちゃうちょう

L . . . THE ERROR IN MINUTES OF ARC IS ~90.00000 TIME IN MINUTES = 1.00THE ELEMENTAL FILTER PHIZ ESTIMATES ARE PHIZHAT1 = 44.301 PHIZHAT2 = 44.904

45.507 PHIZHAT3 =PHIZHAT4 =46-110 PHIZHAT5 =46.713

THE DASARO PARAMETER ESTIMATE IS 46.5000 • . . . . THE ERROR VARIANCE IS 6:8000000-04

THE WEIGHTING FACTORS ARE  $W1^{-} = 0.1000$ W2 ≐ 0V2000 W3 = 074000₩4 ± 0°2000  $W5 \doteq 0.1000$ 

PHIZHAT2 = 45-500 PHIZHAT3 = 46-500 47:500 PHIZHATA = PHIZHAT5  $\doteq$ 48-500

44:500

TIME IN MINUTES = 0.00

PHIZHAT1 =

TRUE AZIMUTH ANGLE(PHIZ) = 45.000AN ESTIMATE OF PHIZ IS MADE EVERY 60 SECONDS THE INITIAL RANDOM NUMBER GENERATOR KEY = 23232323

ļ

THIS PROGRAM IS RAPI A SIMULATION OF A RAPID INITIALIZATION TECHNIQUE

THE ELEMENTAL FILTER PHIZ ESTIMATES ARE

¥4 = 052000 ¥5 ≠ 0¥1000 THE DASARO PARAMETER ESTIMATE IS 45.5068 2.706810-04 THE ERROR VARIANCE IS THE ERROR IN MINUTES OF ARC IS -30 . 40692 TIME IN MINUTES = 2.00 THE ELEMENTAL FILTER PHIZ ESTIMATES ARE PHIZHAT1 = 44.688 45-099 PHIZHAT2 = PHIZHAT3 = 45-511 PHIZHAT4 = 457923 PHIZHAT5 = 46-336 THE WEIGHTING FACTORS ARE  $W1^{-} = 0.1703$ W2 = 072090 ¥3 = 0¥23/12 ¥4 = 0°2098  $W5 \doteq 0.1714$ THE DASARO PARAMETER ESTIMATE IS 45.5123 THE ERROR VARIANCE IS 3.146060-06

THE ERROR IN MINUTES OF ARC IS -30.74099

85

THE WEIGHTING FACTORS ARE

W1"= 0.1000

W2 ∉ 072000 W3 ≐ 074000 「ころうちゃんない」

ź

### TIME IN MINUTES = 3.00

\*\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

d,

THE ELEMENTAL FILTER PHIZ ESTIMATES ARE PHIZHAT1 = 44.557 PHIZHAT2 = 44.844 PHIZHAT3 = 45.131 PHIZHAT4 = 45.419 PHIZHAT5 = 45.709

THE WEIGHTING FACTORS ARE W1 = 0.2027 W2 = 0.2349 W3 = 0.2222 W4 = 0.1878W5 = 0.1524

THE DASARO PARAMETER ESTIMATE IS 45.0894 THE ERBOR VARIANCE IS 2.983160-06

.

THE ERROR IN MINUTES OF ARC IS -5.36467

VARIANCE BELOW VALUE CORRESPONDING TO A 1 SIGMA ERHOR OF 6 MINUTES OF ARC

INITIALIZATION COMPLETE ....

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

Bachman, K.L., <u>Determination and Application of Inertial Component</u> <u>Warm-Up Characteristics</u>, Naval Air Development Center Report AM-6721, August 1967. an at all the St

Brown, R.G., <u>Notes on Inertial Navigatics</u>, Electrical Engineering Department, Iowa State University, June 1968.

Broxmeyer, C., <u>Inertial Navigation System</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1964.

Cannon, R.H. Jr., "Alignment of Inertial Systems by Gyrocompassing Linear Theory", <u>Journal of the Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 28, Nov. 1961.

Derusso, P.M., Roy, R.J., Close, C.M., <u>State Variables for Engi-</u> neers, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1966.

Dushman, A., "On Gyro Drift Models and Their Evaluation", IRE Transactions on Aerospace and Navigational Electronics, ANE-9, pages 230-234, 1962.

Juranka, F.D., Leondes, C.T., "Optimum Alignment of an Inertial Autonavigator", <u>IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic</u> <u>Systems</u>, Vol. AES-3, No. 6 Nov 1967.

Kalman, R.E., "A New Approach to Linear Filtering and Prediction Problems", <u>Journal of Basic Engineering</u>, ASME TRANS., Series D, 82 1960 PP 35-45.

Kalman, R.E., Bucy, R.S., "New Results in Linear Filtering and Prediction Theory", Trans. ASME, <u>Journal of Basic Engineering</u>, Vol. 83, PP 95-108 March 1961.

Knight, J.A., "The Development of a Kalman Filtering Algorithm for Hybrid Navigation Systems for Army Aircraft", to be presented at U.S. Army Science Conference, June 17 - 19, 1970 at U.S. Military Academy.

Lanning, J. H. Jr., Battin, R.H., <u>Random Processes in Automatic</u> <u>Control</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1956. Pages 142-144.

Lee, Y.W., <u>Statistical Theory of Communication</u>, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1964.

Magill, D.T., "Optimal Adaptive Estimation of Sampled Stochastic Processes", <u>Tech. Rep. No. 6302-3 Stanford Electronics Laboratories</u>, December 1963. Magill, D.T., "Optimal Adaptive Estimation of Sampled Stochastic Processes", IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, Vol AC-10, No. 4, October 1965, PP 434-439.

Martin, E.H., Bement, W.A., et al, <u>Study and Experimentation of</u> <u>Rapid Reaction Inertial Navigation Systems</u>, Autometics Technical Report AFAL-TR-67-122, Nov. 1967.

Newton, G.C. Jr., Gould, L.A., Kaiser, J.F., <u>Analytical Design</u> of <u>Linear Feedback Controls</u>, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1964.

O'Donnell, C.F., "Inertial Navigation," <u>Journal of the Franklin</u> <u>Institute</u>, Vol. 266, No. 4 (Part I), Oct., and No. 5 (Part II), November 1958.

Ogata, K., <u>State Space Analysis of Control Systems</u>, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1967.

Pitman, G.R. Jr., Inertial Guidance, John Wiley and Sons, 1952.

Powers, H.B. Jr., Henderson, V.D., <u>Analytic Thermal Compensation</u> of Past Reaction Inertial Navigation Systems, Autometics Technical Report X6-957/3111, April 1966.

Sorenson, H.W., "Kalman Filtering Techniques", in Leondes, C.T., ed., <u>Advances in Control Systems</u>, vol. 3, New York, Academic Press, 1966, pp 219-292.

Thomas, I.L., <u>Notes on the Fundamental Problems Involved In</u>. Determining True North by Gyroscopic Methods, Technical Note No. I.E.B. 56, Royal Aircraft Establishment, Jugust 1964.

÷

### Glossary

$X_n$ , $Y_n$ , $Z_n$	local level right handed triad. $X_n$ is level
	and pointing east, $Y_n$ is level and north, and
	Z <sub>n</sub> is up.
$X_p, Y_p, Z_p$	mutually orthogonal platform axes.
Ω	earth's rotation rate with respect to the
	inertial or fixed frame.
Ω <sub>yn</sub>	level component of earth's rate along $Y_n$ .
$\Omega_{\mathbf{x}_{n}}$	level component of earth's rate along $X_n$ .
$\Omega_{z_n}$	vertical component of earth's rate along Z <sub>n</sub> .
λ	latitude.
ø <sub>z</sub>	azimuth wander angle.
¢ <sub>z</sub>	estimate of $\phi_z$ .
Δø <sub>z</sub>	difference between $\phi_z$ and $\phi_z$ .
$\Delta \phi_{x}, \Delta \phi_{y}$	angles between the locally level plane and the
	platform's Y and X axes, i.e., $\Delta \phi_{x}$ is the
	angle between $Y_p$ and the locally level plane
	measured by a right handed rotation about $X_p$ ,
	sir.ilarly for $\Delta \phi_y$ .
E <sub>y</sub> , E <sub>x</sub>	errors in earth's rate torquing to the Y and
	X gyros respectively due to error in azimuth
	wander angle.
Eycc, Excc, Ezcc	errors in torquing to the Y, X, Z gyros due
	to cross-coupling effects.
g	the gravity vector; defined to be positive
	in the vertical upward direction.

	90
A <sub>y</sub> , A <sub>x</sub>	platform level axes accelerometer measurements.
υ	zero mean Gaussian white noise.
€ <sub>x</sub> , € <sub>y</sub> , € <sub>z.</sub>	exponentially correlated random gyro drifts.
Gee (T)	autocorrelation function of random gyro drift.
(T)	autocorrelation function of Gaussian white noise.
δ(τ)	Dirac delta function.
Φ <sub>εε</sub> (ω)	power density spectrum of random gyro drift.
Φ <sub>υυ</sub> (ω)	power density spectrum of Gaussian white noise.
σ	standard deviation of a random process.
¢²	variance of a random precess.
$\lambda_x, \lambda_y, \lambda_z$	"correlation times" for the random gyro drifts;
	values of for which the exponential autocorrela-
	tion functions decrease to $1/e \sigma^2$ .
e	natural logorithm base.
$\mu_{\mathrm{x}}, \mu_{\mathrm{y}}$	"correlation times" for the random accelerometer
	drifts,
$a_x, a_y$	accelerometer's random drifts.
x	error state vector.
A	system dynamics matrix.
<u>u</u>	zero mean Gaussian white noise vector.
<u>¥</u>	measurement vector.
М	measurement matrix.
v	additive measurement noise.
$H(\hat{e}_{z}^{i})$	elemental filter with $\phi_z^{i}$ parameter estimate.
<u>x</u>	estimate of X.
Ŷ	estimate of Y.

ş

ð

Ŷ	difference between ectual measurement $\underline{Y}$ , and estima-
	ted measurement, $\underline{\underline{Y}}$ .
N <u>Y</u> II	norm of Y.
$\omega (\overset{\wedge}{\phi_z})$	weighting factor for outputs of elemental filter
	A i with $\emptyset_{z}$ parameter estimate.
P	covariance matrix of errors in state vector estimate.
E	expectation operator.
$\Phi(t+\Delta t,t)$	state transition matrix over the interval t to t +
	Δt.
Q	matrix of variances of input forcing function, $\underline{U}$ .
К	Kalman gein matrix.
R	matrix of additive noise variances.

のないので、

**9**1

ines .

もえとどい

.د. ۲۰۰۰ <u>۲</u>

đ

#### Index

Accuracy of self-contained alignment schemes; 6 Additive noise, 32 Approximations, small angle, 14, 17 Auto-correlation functions, 19 Azimuth, alignment, 3 gyre, 3 references, 3 wander angle, 5, 11 Controller, platform, 48 Correlation time, 21 Covariance matrix, 42 propagated, 42 Cress-coupling errors, 16 Dirac delta function, 20 Earth's rate, 10 Blamental filter, 41 block diagram, 45 computer algorithm, 46 Error model, block diagram, 24 Brior vector, 28 Feedback. in elemental filter, 42 Gaussian white noise, 19 Gyrocompassing, 3 in polar regions, 5 Gravity, 15 Inertial Component, warm-up behavior, 6 random drifte, 6, 18 Inertial frame, 2 Inertial measurement unit, 1 Inertial navigation system, 1 Inertial platform, 2 Initial conditions, 1 Kelman, R.E., 33 gain matrix, 44 Latitude, 10 Leveling, platform, 3 Lucal level triad, 2 Magill, D.T., 34 Magnetic, compass, 6, 14 variation, 14 Meesurement, vector, 28 matrix, 32 uxpected, 43 Nevigation reference frame, 2

92

1. R. . X. W. . . . .

:,

٢

3

Noisa, measurement, 22, 6 Noise vector, 32 Norm, of a vector, 37 Parameter estimation, 29, 32 Power density spectrum, 20 Random, accelerometer drifts, 22 gyro drifts, 21 Random processes, 18 Rotation matrix, 12 Schuler-tuned, 2 Shaping filter, 20 Simulation, computer, 52 Sorenson, H.W., 33 Standard error, 21 State space equations, 26 State vector, 23 Stationary system, 3 Theodolites, electronic, 3 Thermal compensation, 6 Time optimal parameter estimator, 36 Torquing, error, 13 Transition Ratrix, 42 Veriance, of white moise, 20 of parameter estimate error, 38 Weighting factor, 37

Walder State Black

ć

.....

;

¥.ς.

æ

0

and the second second second

93

. A . & 14 4

~)

÷

	DOCUMEN'	T CONTROL DATA - R	& D	
(5)	-Hy clausification of title body of abstract and	indexing annotation must be	entered when the	overall report is classified)
ORIGINAT	AT ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		28. REPORT SI	ECURITY CLASSIFICATION
U. S.	A: my Electronics Command		UNC	LASSIFIED
Fort	Monmouth, New Jersey 07703		25. GROUP	
REPORT	fiml E			
Rapid	Initialization of Inertial Nav	vigation Systems (	hrough Par	ameter Estimation
. DESCRIPT	TIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)			
<u> </u>	<u>cal Report</u>			
Joseph	a) (First neme, middle initiel, last neme) a A. Dasaro			
PEPORT	DATE	TA. TOTAL NO.	OF PAGES	75. NO. OF REFS
March	1970	93		22
S. CONTRA	CT OR GRANT NO.	Sa. ORIGIMATOP	S REPORT NUM	DER(S)
. PROJEC	<sup>7 00</sup> 1H1-63207-D235-08-09	ECOM- 32	43	
e.		90. OTHER REP this report)	ORT NO(S) (Any o	other numbers that may be assigned
d.				
11. SUPPLE	MENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING U. S. Art ATTN:	MILITARY ACT my Electron AMSEL-VL-N	NITY Nics Command
with wh volves too ela inertia self-co however accurac vestiga in an a rapid i angle i drifts,	ich the system is initially al the use of an external referen borate for normal operational 1 sensors in a self-contained ntained method could achieve a , in an operational environmen y in the interest of achieving tes the methods presently avai zimuth wander or free azimuth nitialization. The paramount p n minimum time in the presence and measurement noise.	igned. One proceduce. This method use. An alternate method. If suffice accuracies comments at it is usually r g a more rapid ini- tlable for initial instrumentation a problem is the det of random gyro of	ure for in tilizes eq procedure elent time surate with necessary t tiation. ization of and present ermination hrifts, ran	itial alignment in- uipment which is much uses the system's were available, the the sensor accuracie o sacrifice some This dissertation in- an inertial platform s a new method for of the initial azimu dom accelerometer

,ì

.

3

. . . . . . . .

------

۶4

.

4

فسللمسد ومامضم مردو

à

} ; ; ;

:

, i

Security Classification'				TITL CPAR		¢		
	NET WORDS	ROUT:	K"A	ROL'E	K,S: (	AOLE'	K-C 0 1	
Gyrocompass Align Azimuth Wander Free Arinuth								
ESC-FM - 1232 - 70	م ر چې چې د							
C		<u>.</u>	Securit	y-Clessifi	cation		1	

A STATE OF