AFCRL-69-0200

University of Washington College of Engineering Department of Electrical Engineering Seattle, Washington 98105

## SCATTERING OF ELECTRIC DIPOLE RADIATION BY A MOVING, DISPERSIVE DIELECTRIC HALF-SPACE

by

J. Fred Holmes and Akira Ishimaru

Contract No. F 19(628)-68-C-0126 Project No. 4600 Task No. 460010 Work Unit No. 46001001

Scientific Report No. 3

### **TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 133**

April 1969

**Contract Monitor** 

Otho E. Kerr, Jr.

**Microwave Physics Laboratory** 

Distribution of this document is unlimited. It may be released to the Clearinghouse, Department of Commerce, for sale to the general public.



. ગ્લુદ

2,6

Prepared for Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories Office of Aerospace Research United States Air Force Bedford, Massachusetts 01730

> Reproduced by the CLEARINGHOUSE for Federal Scientific & Technical Information Springfield Va. 22151



# THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY AVAILABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

AFCRL-69-0200

University of Washington College of Engineering Department of Electrical Engineering Seattle, Washington 98105

\*

and the second strategy of the second s

#### SCATTERING OF ELECTRIC DIPOLE RADIATION BY A

MOVING, DISPERSIVE DIELECTRIC HALF-SPACE

by

J. Fred Holmes and Akira Ishimaru

Contract No. F 19(628)-68-C-0126 Project No. 4600 Task No. 460010 Work Unit No. 46001001

Scientific Report No. 3

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 133

April 1969

Contract Monitor Otho E. Kerr, Jr. Microwave Physics Laboratory

Distribution of this document is unlimited. It may be released to the Clearinghouse, Department of Commerce, for sale to the general public.

Prepared for

Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories Office of Aerospace Research United States Air Force Bedford, Massachusetts 01730

#### Abstract

CONTRACTOR OF

The problem of a point electric dipole moving over a dispersive dielectric half-space is studied. The dipole is located in the free space above the dielectric and is assumed to be time harmonic in its rest frame, oriented perpendicular to the interface and moving parallel to it. Previous work in this area has been mostly confined to the use of a plane wave as a source or a non-dispersive dielectric.

Solutions for this problem are obtained using integral transform techniques. The integration is performed for the free space region by making an asymptotic expansion for one integration and then using the saddle point approximation for the remaining integration. The solution obtained in this manner for the vector potential is then used to generate the electric and magnetic fields in both the rest frame of the source and the rest frame of the dielectric. This yields the reflected radiation fields for the case of an arbitrary, dispersive dielectric and the lateral wave fields and surface wave fields for the case of a lossless plasmā.

The field patterns are distorted by the relative motion of the source and dielectric. In the rest frame of the source, all three waves exhibit the frequency of the source and in the rest frame of the dielectric, they all exhibit some form of doppler shift (different from the primary wave). The criteria for existence of the lateral wave is not modified by the relative motion of the source and dielectric; but the criteria for existence of the surface waves is greatly modified by the relative motion. For velocities greater than some critical velocity, a new type of surface wave comes into existence.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1

7.5Å - مشبيتين

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	INTEGRAL FORMULATION	2
3.	SCATTERED FIELDS	6
4.	REFLECTED RADIATION FIELDS FOR A LOSSLESS PLASMA DIELECTRIC	12
5.	LATERAL WAVE FIELDS FOR A LOSSLESS PLASMA DIELECTRIC	16
6.	SURFACE WAVE FIELDS FOR A LUSSLESS PLASMA DIELECTRIC	
7.	SUMMARY	24
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	24
	REFERENCES	25
	LIST OF FIGURES	26

and the state of the second se

why price of the

10000

when the second second

١

. ....

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

A moving, temporally dispersive material is unusual in that it is both temporally and spacially dispersive as observed in any inertial reference frame other than its rest frame. In order to investigate the interaction of electromagnetic sources with such a material, the problem of a point electric dipole moving over a dispersive dielectric half-space has been studied. The dipole is located in the free space above the dielectric and is assumed to be time harmonic in its rest frame, oriented perpendicular to the interface and moving parallel to it.

Frevious work in the area of scattering from a moving half-space has been mostly confined to the use of aplane wave as a source or a non-dispersive dielectric. Particular problems involving the reflection of plane waves from a moving half-space have been investigated in detail by Tai (1965), Yeh (1965, 1966, 1967) Pyati (1966) and others; and just recently Shiozawa and Hazama (1968) have solved the general problem of reflection and transmission of a plane electromagnetic wave at the interface between a stationary dielectric medium and a dielectric medium moving in an arbitrary direction parallel to the interface. It appears that the only work that has been done on the scattering of dipole radiation by a moving half-space was done by Pyati in 1966. He investigated the problem of a vertical or horizontal dipole positioned over a moving, dielectric (non-dispersive) half-space and solved for the reflected radiation fields in the rest frame of the source. No effort was made to investigate the effect of the motion on the lateral wave or surface waves that might be present.

In the work that follows, we utilize two inertial reference frames designated S and S' where it is assumed that reference frame S' is moving with velocity v in the  $\hat{z}$  direction with respect to reference frame S and that they coincide at t = 0. The dielectric half-space is stationary in reference frame S and occupies the region x < 0. The dipole is stationary in reference frame S' and is positioned at the point (H, 0, 0) in that frame. The region x > 0, in which the dipole is located, is assumed to be free space.

Solutions for this problem are obtained using integral transform techniques. Section 2 develops integral formulations, involving three integrations, for the vector potential in reference frame S for both

regions of space and carries out one of the integrations. It appears that this is as far as the work can be carried out in general. Beyond this point some sort of approximation must be made. This is done in sections 3 through 6 where solutions are found for the fields of observation points far from the image source.

In section 3 the original contour for the integral is converted to contours around each singularity in the integrand, and a change of variable is made to gain control over the asymptotic expansion variable that is subsequently used. These singularities consist of two branch points and one or more poles. The integrations along the branch cuts are carried out in sections 4 and 5 and yield the reflected radiation fields for a generalized dispersive dielectric and the lateral wave fields for a lossless plasma dielectric. The integration around the poles is carried out in section 6 and yields surface waves for the case of a lossless plasma dielectric. In each case, the saddle point approximation is used for the final integration. The vector potential found in this manner is then used to generate the electric and magnetic fields ( $\vec{E}$  and  $\vec{B}$ ) in reference frame S. These fields are then transformed to reference frame S' to obtain the electric and magnetic fields ( $\vec{E}$ ' and  $\vec{B}$ ') in the rest frame of the dipole.

#### 2. INTEGRAL FORMULATION

In this section, an integral formulation for the vector potential in the rest frame of the dielectric is developed. Since the problem that is being considered is homogeneous with respect to the extension space coordinates y, z and t, it lends itself nicely to the use of Fourier transform techniques for the solution with respect to these coordinates. This leaves us with a one-dimensional boundary value problem to solve similar to the familiar Sommerfeld Half-Space problem. However, for the problem we are considering the source is more interesting since it is both temporally and spacially dispersive due to the motion of the source.

Before we can solve the boundary value problem in reference frame S, we must first obtain a formulation for the source as it would be observed in that reference frame. In reference frame S', the source is described by

$$\mathbf{\tilde{J}'(\tilde{r}',t') = \hat{X} I_{\delta} \delta(x'-H) \delta(y') \delta(z') e^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
(1)

We would like to convert  $\bar{J}^{\dagger}(\bar{r}^{\dagger},t^{\dagger})$  to frequency space so that we may conveniently transform it to the rest frame of the dielectric. The four-dimensional Fourier transform of (1) 10 given by

$$\frac{jk_1^{*}H}{\hat{x}_1 \bullet \delta(\omega^* - \omega)} \qquad (2)$$

where the kernel

$$\frac{-j\omega^{\dagger}t^{\dagger}+j\bar{k}^{\dagger}\cdot\bar{r}^{\dagger}}{4\pi^{2}}$$

has been used in taking the transform. We will now use the relativistic spectral transformations (Holmes, 1968) to transform the spectral form of the current density given by (2) to reference frame S. The transformations are given by

$$J_{j}(\bar{k},\omega) = J_{j}^{j}(\bar{k}^{\dagger},\omega^{\dagger})$$
(3)

$$J_{v}(\bar{k},\omega) = J_{v}'(\bar{k}^{\dagger},\omega^{\dagger}) \qquad (4)$$

$$J_{z}(\bar{k},\omega) = \Gamma[J_{z}^{\dagger}(\bar{k}^{\dagger},\omega^{\dagger}) + v\rho^{\dagger}(\bar{k}^{\dagger},\omega^{\dagger})]$$
(5)

The charge density  $\rho^*(\bar{k}^*,\omega^*)$  can be obtained from  $\bar{J}^*(\bar{k}^*,\omega^*)$  by using the continuity equation converted to frequency space. The spectral form for the current density in frame S then is given by

$$J(\tilde{k},\omega) = \frac{I}{2\pi I} \frac{jk_1H}{\epsilon} \frac{\omega}{\delta(\omega - (\frac{\mu}{I} + \nu k_3))[\hat{z} \frac{\nu k_1}{(\omega - \nu k_3)} + \hat{x}]}$$
(6)

where the transformations for the components of the wave four-vector (Jackson, 1962) have been used. Now inverting (6) with respect to  $k_1$  we obtain

$$\tilde{J}(x,k_2,k_3,\omega) = \frac{I}{\Gamma\sqrt{2\pi}} \delta(\omega - (\frac{\omega}{T} + \nu k_3)) [\hat{x} \delta(x-H) + \frac{2j\nu}{(\omega - \nu k_3)} \frac{d}{dx} \delta(x-H)] \quad (7)$$

which is the desired source term.

The one-dimensional boundary value problem we must solve is illustrated in figure 1 where use has been made of (7) and the fact that in free space the form of the differential equation for the vector potential is invarient. Since the solution of this type of problem in the manner we are proposing is well known, the details have not been included<sup>1</sup>. However, we would like to make a few specific comments. At first glance it appears that difficulty might be encountered in finding the particular solution to the differential equation in region 1. However, due to the delta functions in the source terms it can be readily generated using the free space GMC2n's function for the differential equation. Also, it turns out that the vector potential obtained from the solution of this boundary value problem contains the factor

$$\delta(\omega - (\frac{\omega}{1} + vk_3))$$

so that the inversion with respect to  $\omega$  can be performed exactly. The vector potentials correponding to the scattered and transmitted field are

$$A_{1zS}(\bar{r},t) = \frac{jv\Gamma\mu}{2\omega} (2\pi)^2 e^{j\Gamma\omega} (t - \frac{v}{c^2}z) = \frac{K-h}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\frac{K-h}{K+h}]e^{-jf_1}} dk_{33}dk_2 \quad (8)$$

$$A_{1\pi S}(\bar{r},t) = \frac{-j\mu}{2(2\pi)^2} e^{j\Gamma\omega_{\phi}(t - \frac{v}{c^2}z)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\frac{2\Gamma^2 v}{\omega_{\phi}} \frac{K(k_{33} + \frac{v\omega}{c^2})(\underline{e}_{\Gamma}-1)}{(\underline{K}\underline{e}_{\Gamma}+\underline{h})(\underline{K}+\underline{h})}\right]$$

$$+ \frac{(\underline{K}\underline{g}_{r}-\underline{h})}{\underline{K}(\underline{K}\underline{g}_{r}+\underline{h})}^{-j\underline{f}_{1}} dk_{33}dk_{2}$$
(9)

1. Considerable detail is included in the original work (Holmes, 1968).

$$A_{2zT} = \frac{j\mu_{0}I\nu}{\omega_{0}(2\pi)^{2}} e \int \frac{f}{c^{2}} \frac{K}{c^{2}} = \int \frac{K}{(K+h)} e^{-jf_{2}} dk_{j3}dk_{2}$$
(10)

and

•

$$A_{2xT} = \frac{-j\mu}{(2\pi)^2} e^{j\Gamma\omega} e^{(\tau - \frac{v}{c^2}z)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma^2 v}{\omega_{\theta}} \frac{k(\varepsilon_{\Gamma}^2 - 1)(k_{33} + \frac{v\omega}{c^2})}{(K\varepsilon_{\Gamma}^2 + h)(K+h)} + \frac{\varepsilon_{\Gamma}}{k\varepsilon_{\Gamma}^2 + h}\right) e^{-jf_2} dk_{33} dk_2$$
(11)

where

$$\underline{K} = \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - k_2^2 - k_{33}^2\right)^{1/2}$$
(12)

$$h = \left[ \underbrace{e}_{\Gamma} \frac{\Gamma^2}{c^2} \left( \omega_{0} + v k_{33} \right)^2 - \Gamma^2 \left( k_{33} + \frac{v \omega_{0}}{c^2} \right)^2 - k_2^2 \right]^{1/2}$$
(13)

$$\sum_{\mathbf{r}} = \varepsilon_{\mathbf{r}} | \omega = \Gamma(\omega_{\mathbf{a}} + vk_{33})$$
(14)

$$f_1 = K(H+x) + k_{33} \Gamma(z-vt) + k_2 y$$
 (15)

$$f_2 = KH - hx + k_{33} \Gamma(z-vt) + k_2 y$$
 (16)

and the change of variable

$$k_3 = \Gamma(k_{33} + \frac{v\omega}{c^2})$$

.

•

•

has been made to simplify the expressions. It appears that this is as far as the work can be carried out in general and that some sort of approximation must be made in order to perform the remaining two integrations. This is done for region 1 in the following sections.

#### 3. SCATTERED FIELDS

We would like to evaluate the integrals for the scattered fields by obtaining the first term of an asymptotic expansion for the integration with respect to  $k_{33}$  and then using the saddle point approximation for the integration with respect to  $k_2$ . We can gain control of the asymptotic expansion variable by putting the integral into the form (Van Der Waerden, 1950)

$$I = \int P(u)e^{-\lambda u} du \qquad (17)$$

A convenient choice for  $\lambda$  is

$$\lambda = \underline{r}' \frac{\omega}{c} \tag{18}$$

where

$$\mathbf{r}' = [(\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{x})^2 + {}^2(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{vt})^2 + \mathbf{y}^2]^{1/2}$$
 (19)

and is the distance in wave lengths from the image source to the field point in reference frame S'. Then for some suitably large  $\lambda$ , the first term in the asymptotic expansion should in most cases represent the integral adequately.

In the u plane, the integrands under consideration have singularities in the form of branch points and poles. The original contour can of course by the Cauchy-Goursat Theorem be replaced by paths around these singularities plus a suitable closure path. The closure path will be chosen as the right-half plane, to ensure that there will be no contribution to the integral from the closure. The branch cuts will be taken from the branch point along a path parallel to the real axis. This forces the integrand to decrease most rapidly along the branch cut paths as you move away from the branch point and will aid in our evaluation of the branch cut integrations. Our original integral then becomes

$$I = \int P(u)e^{-\lambda u} du + 2\pi j \sum_{i} R_{i} SIGN(z-vt)$$
(20)  
Branch cut paths

where  $R_i$  is the residue at the ith pole and SIGN(z-vt) is the depending on the sign of the quantity (z-vt).

7

Let us now consider the procedure that will be followed in evaluating the branch cut integrations. The first step will be to transform the branch point to the origin and then to utilize the second branch,  $P(u^-)$ , for the path below the cut and the first branch,  $P(u^+)$ , for the path above the cut. The integration is completed, by expanding the integrand in a series and then keeping only the first term. The resultant expression for each branch cut integration becomes

$$I_{B} = -SIGN(z-vt)e^{-\lambda d} \underline{B}(k_{2}) \int_{0}^{\infty} \eta^{N} e^{-\lambda \eta} d\eta \qquad (21)$$

where N and  $B(k_2)$  depend on the integrand under consideration and d is the location of the branch point. Evaluating the integral, this becomes

$$I_{B} = -SIGN(z-vt)e^{-\lambda d} \underline{B}(k_{2})\lambda^{-(N+1)}\Gamma(N+1)$$
(22)

The integration with respect to  $k_2$  will be done by a saddle point approximation. For the branch cut contributions the integral will be of the form

$$A_{B} = -SIGN(z-v_{1}) \frac{f(z+1)}{\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} BB(k_{2}) e^{-f(k_{2})} dk_{2}$$
(23)

where

$$f(k_2) = j[\Gamma \omega_0 (t - \frac{v}{c^2}z) - k_2 y] - \lambda d \qquad (24)$$

The saddle point approximation gives us

A CARL FRANK AND A CARL

1

s ut is alsolated at deters silveran manihilation i n

$$A_{\rm B} = -j \, \text{SIGN}(z-vt) \, \sqrt{2\pi} \, \frac{\Gamma(N+1)\text{BB}(k_{20})e^{-f(k_{20})}}{\lambda^{(N+1)} \sqrt{\frac{\partial^2 f(k_{20})}{\partial k_2^2}}}$$
(25)

where the saddle point  $k_{20}$  is found from

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial k_2} = 0 \tag{26}$$

The integration with respect to  $k_2$  for the pole contributions to the integral will also be done by the saddle point approximation. The details are discussed in section 6.

The above work describes in general the technique that will be used to evaluate the integrals. The actual integrals will be evaluated in sections 4 through 6 using the above results. We would now like to investigate the nature and location of the singularities that we will have to deal with in those sections.

Before examining the integrands for singularities we must transform them to the u plane. They will be given by

$$P(u) = W(u) \frac{dk_{33}}{du}$$
 (27)

where W(u) is the integrand in the  $k_{33}$  plane expressed as a function of u. We therefore need to find  $k_{33}$ ,  $\underline{k}$ ,  $\frac{dk_{33}}{du}$  and  $\underline{h}$  as a function of u. Using (9), (15) and (17) we find that the first three are given by

$$k_{33} = -\underline{r}' \frac{\omega_{0}}{c} \frac{1}{(\underline{r}'^{2} - y^{2})} [ju\Gamma(z - vt) + (H + x)SIGN(z - vt)] \sqrt{u^{2} + (1 - \frac{k_{2}^{2}c^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{2}})(1 - \frac{y^{2}}{\underline{r}'^{2}})]}$$
(28)

$$\frac{dk_{33}}{du} = \frac{-\underline{r}^{t}\omega_{\theta}}{c(\underline{r}^{t^{2}}-y^{2})} \frac{u(H+x)SIGN(z-vt) + j\Gamma(z-vt)}{u^{2}+(1-\frac{k_{2}^{2}c^{2}}{\omega_{\theta}^{2}})(1-\frac{y^{2}}{\underline{r}^{t^{2}}})}{\sqrt{u^{2}+(1-\frac{k_{2}^{2}c^{2}}{\omega_{q}^{2}})(1-\frac{y^{2}}{\underline{r}^{t^{2}}})}}$$
(29)

9

and

$$\underline{K} = \frac{\underline{r}'\omega}{c(\underline{r}'^2 - y^2)} \left[ -ju(H+x) + |\Gamma(z-vt)| \right] u^2 + \left(1 - \frac{k_2^2 c^2}{\omega_z^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{y^2}{\underline{r}'^2}\right) \right] (30)$$

The function h(u) cannot be found unless the dielectric has been specified. For the case of a lossless plasma

$$\varepsilon_{r} = 1 - \frac{\omega^{2}}{\omega^{2}}$$
(31)

and h takes on the particularly simple form

$$h = \sqrt{\frac{k^2}{c^2} - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}}$$
 (32)

v vo suedikklik

1

畫法

We are now ready to examine the integrands for the pertinent singular points. Each singular point of P(u) that is enclosed by the controur will make a contribution to the integral. In lieu of directly finding shich points are enclosed by the controur, and then evaluating their contribution to the integral, we have examined the contribution associated with each singular point and then retained only those contributions which correspond to waves of the outgoing type. The two approaches of course are equivalent; however, the latter technique is more tenable when a multiple integral is involved, since the location of the singular points will generally by a function of the subsequent integration variable. From examining (8) and (9) we see that the integrands under consideration have branch points where

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{0} \tag{33}$$

and

$$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{0} \tag{34}$$

and that the integrand in (9) may have one or more poles where

$$K \varepsilon_{\mu} + h = 0 \tag{35}$$

In the u plane, the branch points corresponding to (33) and (34) are located at

$$d = j \qquad (1 - \frac{k_2^2 c^2}{\omega_0^2})(1 - \frac{y^2}{r'^2}) \qquad (36)$$

and

$$d = \frac{jc}{\omega_{p}r'} \left[ \frac{p}{c} (H+x) + |\Gamma(z-vt)| \right] \frac{\sqrt{\frac{p^2-w^2}{p}}}{c^2} - k_2^2 ]$$
(37)

The poles associated with equation (35) will be discussed in section 6.

Our ultimate goal is to find the scattered electric and magnetic fields in reference frame S ( $\overline{E}$  and  $\overline{B}$ ) and reference frame S' ( $\overline{E}$ ' and  $\overline{B}$ '). Due to the nature of the integration techniques used in the above work and in the work that follows, these fields can be found from the vector potential in a simple manner. In x,  $k_2$ ,  $k_3$ ,  $\omega$  space, the vector potential in reference frame S has the form

$$\bar{A} = \bar{A}(k_2, k_3, \omega) e^{-jK(H+x)} = \bar{A}(x, k_2, k_3, \omega)$$
(38)

In order to find the electric fields, we need to find an expression for the scalar potential. The scalar potential can be found using the Lorentz Gage condition (which is invarient in free space) transformed to the same coordinate space as  $\bar{A}$ . The scalar potential then is given by

$$\phi(x,k_2,k_3,\omega) = \frac{c^2}{\omega} [KA_x + k_3A_z]$$
 (39)

Using the vector potential and (39), the electric and magnetic fields can be generated. This form for the fields would in general have to be inverted with respect to  $k_2$ ,  $k_3$  and  $\omega$ . However, in the above work and in the work that follows, the integration process is such that the amplitude portions of the integrand are evaluated at some particular  $\omega$ ,  $k_2$  and  $k_3$ . It is therefore not necessary to go through this integration process again. We can use the expressions already obtained for  $A_2$  and  $A_3$ and replace  $\omega$ ,  $k_1$ , and  $k_2$  in the expressions for the fields by the values used in the particular integration process. Proceeding in this manner, the fields in reference frame S are given by

$$B_{x} = -jk_{2}A_{z}$$
(40)

$$B_{y} = j[K_{a_{z}} - \Gamma(k_{33} + v \frac{u}{c^{2}})A_{x}]$$
(41)

$$b_z = jk_2 A_x$$
 (42)

$$E_{x} = -j \frac{1}{\Gamma(\omega_{0} + vk_{33})} \left[ A_{x} (\Gamma^{2}(\omega_{0} + vk_{33})^{2} - c^{2}k^{2}) - A_{z} c^{2}K\Gamma(k_{33} + v \frac{\omega_{0}}{c^{2}}) \right]$$
(43)

$$E_{y} = \frac{jk_{2}c^{2}}{\Gamma(\omega_{0} + vk_{33})} \left[\frac{KA}{2} + A_{z} \Gamma(k_{33} + v \frac{\omega}{c^{2}})\right]$$
(44)

and

$$E_{z} = j \frac{1}{\Gamma(\omega_{e} + vk_{33})} \left[A_{x}c^{2}K \Gamma(k_{33} + v \frac{\omega_{e}}{c^{2}}) - A_{z}(\omega_{e}^{2} - c^{2}k_{33}^{2})\right]$$
(45)

where  $k_2$  and  $k_{33}$  depend on the particular singularity under consideration and are yet to be determined.

#### 4. REFLECTED RADIATION FIELDS FOR A LOSSLESS PLASMA DIELECTRIC

The generalized technique formulated in section 3 for evaluating the branch point contributions to  $A_{1xs}$  and  $A_{1zs}$  will be applied in this section to the branch point given by (36). It will be found that the contribution from this branch point corresponds to the reflected radiation fields.

In order to use (25) which gives a generalized solution for the branch cut integration we need to find the saddle point and to calculate  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial k_2^2}$  (k<sub>20</sub>), f(k<sub>20</sub>), N and BB(k<sub>20</sub>). Performing these calculations and using the results in (25) we find that the contributions to the vector potential from the branch cut integration corresponding to equation (35) are given by

$$A_{\text{lzS}}(\bar{r},t) = \frac{-\mu}{4\pi} \Gamma \frac{v}{c} \frac{(H+x)}{r^{*2}} \begin{bmatrix} K - h & j \omega_{\theta} [\Gamma(t - \frac{v}{c^2}z) - \frac{r}{c}] \\ \frac{K}{c^{*}} - h & j \omega_{\theta} [\Gamma(t - \frac{v}{c^2}z) - \frac{r}{c}] \end{bmatrix}$$
(46)

and

$$lxs(\bar{r},t) = \frac{\underbrace{\mu_{\theta} I}_{\Psi \eta c}}{\underbrace{\mu_{\eta c}}_{\Psi \eta c}} \frac{(H+x)}{\underline{r}^{t^{2}}} \left[ 2 \Gamma^{2} \frac{v}{c} \underbrace{K}_{\theta} \frac{(\frac{\Gamma(z-vt)}{\underline{r}^{t}} + \frac{v}{c})(\underline{\varepsilon}_{r\theta} - 1)}{(\underline{K} \underline{\varepsilon}_{r\theta} + \underline{h}_{\theta})(\underline{K} + \underline{h}_{\theta})} + \frac{(\underline{K} \underline{\varepsilon}_{r\theta} - \underline{h}_{\theta})}{\underbrace{K}_{\theta} (\underline{K} \underline{\varepsilon}_{r\theta} + \underline{h}_{\theta})} \right] e^{j\omega_{\theta} \left[ \Gamma(t - \frac{v}{c^{2}} z) - \frac{\underline{r}^{t}}{c} \right]}$$
(47)

where

$$\frac{K}{r_{\theta}} = \frac{\omega_{\theta}}{c} \frac{(H+x)}{r^{T}}$$
(48)

$$h_{0} = \frac{\omega}{c} \left[ \epsilon_{r_{0}} \Gamma^{2} A^{2} - \Gamma^{2} D^{2} - \frac{y^{2}}{r^{\prime}} \right]^{1/2}$$
(49)

$$\varepsilon_{r} = \varepsilon_{r} \qquad (50)$$

 $A = 1 + \frac{\beta \Gamma(z-vt)}{\Gamma'}$ (51)

and

$$D = \frac{\Gamma(z-vt)}{r} + \beta$$
 (52)

Equations (46) and (47) correspond to the reflected radiation fields. We observe that the fields go down as 1/r' where r' is the distance from the image source to the field point and that although the frequency distribution is the same as that of the primary source, the distribution is centered on the image source. This means that whenever the velocity is non-zero, the frequency of the reflected radiation field as observed in reference frame S will differ from that of the primary field everywhere except on the interface and a vertical axis through the dipole. The frequency distribution is given by

13

$$\frac{\omega_{\rm R}}{\omega_{\rm o}} = \Gamma[1 + \frac{v}{c} \frac{\Gamma(z-vt)}{r}]$$
(53)

We also observe that in the rest frame of the source, the reflected radiation field will not have a doppler shift. It should be noted that (46) and (47) are valid for an arbitrary dispersive dielectric. When v = 0, (46) and (47) reduce to the well known result for the zero velocity case (Baños, 1966, pg. 35 & 179).

Next we would like to find the electric and magnetic fields in reference frame S and reference frame S'. Equations (40) through (45) give these fields in reference frame S in terms of the values of  $k_{33}$  and  $k_2$  used in the above integration process and given here.

$$k_2 = \frac{\omega}{c} \frac{y}{r'}$$
(54)

and

$$k_{33} = \frac{\omega_0}{c} \frac{\Gamma(z-vt)}{r'}$$
(55)

Using these in (40) through (45), the electric and magnetic fields in reference frame S are given by

$$E_{xR} = -\frac{j\omega_{e}}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{v}{c} \frac{\Gamma(z-vt)}{r'})} \left[\Gamma^{2}(1 + \frac{v}{c} \frac{\Gamma(z-vt)}{r'})^{2} - \frac{(H+x)^{2}}{r'}\right]A_{xR}$$
$$-\frac{(H+x)}{r'} \Gamma(\frac{\Gamma(z-vt)}{r'} + \frac{v}{c})A_{zR}\right]$$
(56)

$$E_{yR} = \frac{j\omega_{e}y}{\underline{r}'\Gamma(1 + \frac{v}{c}\frac{\Gamma(z-vt)}{\underline{r}'})} \left[\frac{(H+x)}{\underline{r}'}A_{xR} + \Gamma(\frac{\Gamma(z-vt)}{\underline{r}'} + \frac{v}{c})A_{zR}\right]$$
(57)

$$E_{zR} = \frac{j\omega_{\phi}}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{v}{c} \frac{\Gamma(z-vt)}{r'})} \left[\frac{\Gamma(H+x)}{r'} \left(\frac{\Gamma(z-vt)}{r'} + \frac{v}{c}\right) A_{xR} - \left(1 - \frac{\Gamma^2(z-vt)^2}{r'^2}\right) A_{zR}\right] (58)$$

$$B_{xR} = -j \frac{\omega}{c} \frac{y}{r^{T}} A_{zR}$$
 (59)

$$B_{yR} = j \frac{\omega_{0}}{c} \left[ \frac{(H+x)}{r!} A_{zR} - \Gamma \left[ \frac{\Gamma(z-vt)}{r!} + \frac{v}{c} \right] A_{xR} \right]$$
(60)

$$B_{zR} = j \frac{\omega}{c} \frac{y}{r^{\dagger}} A_{xR}$$
(61)

where  $A_{xR}$  and  $A_{zR}$  are the x and z components of the vector potential for the reflected radiation field given by (46) and (47). We would now like to transform the electric and magnetic fields for the reflected radiation field to reference frame S'. The transformations are well known (Jackson, 1966) and yield

$$E_{xR}^{\dagger} = -j \frac{\omega}{\Gamma A} \left[ \Gamma(A - \frac{(H+x^{\dagger})^{2}}{r^{\dagger}^{2}}) A_{xR} - \frac{(H+x^{\dagger})}{r^{\prime}^{2}} z^{\dagger} A_{zR} \right]$$
(62)

$$E'_{yR} = j \frac{\omega_{0}y'}{\Gamma r'A} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(H+x')}{r'} A_{xR} + \frac{z'}{r'} A_{zR} \right]$$
(63)

$$E_{zR}^{*} = j \frac{\omega_{\theta}}{\Gamma A} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(H+x^{*})}{r^{*}} \left( \frac{z^{*}}{r^{*}} + \frac{v}{c} \right) A_{xR} - \left( 1 - \frac{z^{*2}}{r^{*2}} \right) A_{zR} \right]$$
(64)

$$B_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{R}}^{\dagger} = \frac{-j\omega}{\Gamma c A \underline{r}^{\dagger}} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \frac{(\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{x}^{\dagger})}{\underline{r}^{\dagger}} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{R}} + \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{z}\mathbf{R}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(65)

$$B_{yR}^{\dagger} = \frac{j\omega}{1cA} \left[ -\Gamma \left( \frac{z'}{r'} A + \frac{v}{c} \frac{(H+x')^2}{r'^2} \right) A_{xR} + \frac{(H+x')}{r'} A_{zR} \right]$$
(66)

and

$$B_{zR}^{\dagger} = j \frac{\omega_{o}}{c} \frac{y^{\dagger}}{r^{\dagger}} A_{xR}$$
 (67)

where  $A = 1 + \frac{v}{c} \frac{z^{\dagger}}{r^{\dagger}}$ .

The reflected radiation fields for an arbitrary, dispersive dielectric are given by (56) through (61) in the rest frame of the dielectric and by (62) through (67) in the rest frame of the source. Although these equations are not terribly complex, it is nevertheless difficult to determine the effect of the motion on the fields by merely inspecting the equations. Therefore, the quantities<sup>2</sup>  $(\tilde{E}_R \cdot \tilde{E}_R) (\frac{4\pi R^{\pm}}{\omega \mu I})$  and  $(\tilde{E}_R' \cdot \tilde{E}_R'^{\pm}) (\frac{4\pi r'}{\omega \mu I})^2$ were plotted for a lossless plasma with  $X = \frac{p}{\omega_e^2} = 5$  in order to observe some of the effects caused by the relative motion of the source and dielectric. Figure 2 shows the field patterns in the x-z plane for x > 0 in a polar plot. For  $\beta = 0.0$ , the pattern is a symmetrical two lobe pattern. In the rest frame of the dielectric, as the velocity is increased, the lobe behind the source shrinks and the lobe in front on the source "peaks up" and bends down toward the direction of motion. This is probably what should be expected

ક્રિક્સ છે. તે કે વિભેત્વક્ષે આવે અગે કેક્સ વિભેત્ર ઉપર તે કેસ આવ્યત

<sup>2.</sup> R<sup>#</sup> is an arbitrary fixed distance from the image source as measured in reference frame S.

since the reflected wave is highly dependent on the primary wave, and the primary wave has this same behavior (Fujioka, 1966). In the rest frame of the source, as the velocity of the dielectric increases the lobe in the direction of motion of the dielectric grows larger and bends down toward the interface and the other lobe shrinks.

5. LATERAL WAVE FIELDS FOR A LOSSLESS PLASMA DIELECTRIC

The generalized technique formulated in section 3 for evaluating the branch point contributions to  $A_{1xs}$  and  $A_{1zs}$  will be applied in this section to the branch point given by (37). It will be found that the contribution from this branch point corresponds to the lateral wave fields.

Proceeding as in section 4 we find that the contributions to the vector potential from this branch point are given by

$$A_{1zL} = \frac{jv\Gamma\mu}{2\pi\omega} \frac{(1-\chi)^{1/2}\chi^{1/4}}{R^{+2}[\sqrt{\chi} - \frac{(H+\chi)}{R^{+}}\sqrt{1-\chi}]^{3/2}}$$
(68)

and

$$A_{1xL} = \frac{-j\mu I c(1-X)^{1/2} e^{\Gamma(K_{20})}}{2\pi\omega X^{1/4} R^{2} \epsilon_{r_{0}}^{2}} \frac{\Gamma^{2} \frac{v}{c} (\frac{\Gamma(z-vt)}{R^{1}} \sqrt{1-X} + \frac{v}{c})(\epsilon_{r_{0}}^{2} - 1) + \epsilon_{r_{0}}}{[\sqrt{X} - \frac{(H+x)}{R^{1}} \sqrt{1-X}]^{3/2}}$$
(69)

where

$$f(x_{20}) = j\omega_{g}[\Gamma(t - \frac{v}{c^{2}}z) - \sqrt{X} \frac{(H+x)}{c} - \frac{R^{*}}{c}\sqrt{1-X}]$$
(70)

and

$$E_{re} = 1 - \frac{\chi}{\Gamma^2 (1 + \frac{v}{c} \frac{\Gamma(z - vt)}{R^4} \sqrt{1 - \chi})^2}$$
(71)

The above is for the case when the dielectric is a lossless plasma. We should note that, since the location of the branch point that corresponds to the lateral wave is independent of the velocity, the criteria for existence of the lateral wave is the same as for the zero velocity case (Brekhovskikh, 1960). When v = 0, (68) and (69) reduce to the well known result for the zero velocity case (Brekhovskikh pg. 275, Baños pg. 184).

The frequency distribution in reference frame S for this lateral wave is given by

$$\frac{\omega_{\rm L}}{\omega_{\rm s}} = \left(1 + \frac{v}{c} \sqrt{1-X} \frac{\Gamma(z-vt)}{R^2}\right)$$
(72)

where

$$R' = [y^{2} + \Gamma^{2}(z-vt)^{2}]^{1/2}$$
(73)

We also observe that in the rest frame of the source, the lateral wave fields will not have a Doppler shift. The frequency distributions for the lateral wave fields (X = 0.5) and the reflected wave fields are shown in a polar flot in figure 3. The plots correspond to what would be observed on a y-z plane intersecting the image source. It should be noted that in making the lateral wave plot, the fact that the group velocity for the wave is not the speed of light, was properly accounted for in making the ray angle transformations.

We would now like to find the electric and magnetic fields in reference frame S ( $\overline{E}$  and  $\overline{H}$ ) and reference frame S' ( $\overline{E}$ ' and  $\overline{H}$ ') corresponding to the lateral wave. A good starting point is (40) through (45) which give these fields in terms of the values of  $k_{33}$  and  $k_2$  used in the above integration process and given here.

$$k_{33} = \frac{\omega_0}{c} \frac{\Gamma(z-vt)}{R^4} \sqrt{1-X}$$
 (74)

and the second second

1,100

, a

and

$$k_2 = \frac{\omega}{c} \frac{y}{R'} \sqrt{1-X}$$
(75)

Using (74) and (75) in (40) through (45) we obtain

$$B_{xL} = -j \frac{\omega}{c} \frac{y}{R^{T}} \sqrt{1-X} A_{1zL}$$
(76)

$$B_{yL} = j \frac{\omega}{c} \left[ \sqrt{X} A_{1zL} - \Gamma F A_{1xL} \right]$$
(77)

$$B_{zL} = j \frac{\omega}{c} \frac{y}{R^{T}} \sqrt{1-X} A_{lxL}$$
(78)

18

$$E_{xL} = -j \frac{\omega}{\Gamma E} \left[A_{1xL} \left[\Gamma^2 E^2 - X\right] - \Gamma \sqrt{X} F A_{1zL}\right]$$
(79)

$$E_{yL} = j \frac{\omega}{\Gamma E R^{1-X}} \left[ \sqrt{X} A_{1xL} + \Gamma F A_{1zL} \right]$$
(80)

and

$$E_{zL} = j \frac{\omega}{\Gamma E} \left[ \sqrt{X} \Gamma F A_{lxL} - (1 - \frac{\Gamma^2 (z - vt)^2}{R^2} (1 - X)) A_{lzL} \right]$$
(81)

where

$$E = 1 + \frac{v}{c} \frac{\Gamma(z-vt)}{R!} \sqrt{1-X}$$
 (82)

$$F = \frac{\Gamma(z-vt)}{R'} \sqrt{1-\chi} + \frac{v}{c}$$
(83)

and  $A_{lzL}$  and  $A_{lxL}$  are given by (68) and (69). We would now like to transform the electric and magnetic fields for the lateral wave to reference frame S'. The transformations yield

$$B_{xL}' = -j \frac{\omega_{0} y'}{cR'} \frac{\sqrt{1-\chi}}{\Gamma E} \left[A_{1zL} - \Gamma \frac{v}{c} \sqrt{\chi} A_{1xL}\right]$$
(84)

$$B_{yL}^{\dagger} = j \frac{\omega}{c\Gamma E} \left[ \sqrt{X} A_{1zL} - \left( E \frac{z'}{R'} \sqrt{1-X} + \frac{v}{c} X \right) A_{1xL} \right]$$
(85)

$$B_{zL}^{*} = j \frac{\omega_{0} y^{*}}{cR^{*}} \sqrt{1-X} A_{ixL}$$
(86)

$$E'_{xL} = \frac{-j\omega}{\Gamma E} \left[ \Gamma(E-X)A_{1xL} - \sqrt{X} \sqrt{1-X} \frac{z'}{R'} A_{1zL} \right]$$
(87)

$$E'_{yL} = j\omega_{0} \frac{y}{R'} \frac{\sqrt{1-X}}{\Gamma E} \left[\Gamma \sqrt{X} A_{1ML} + \frac{z'}{R'} \sqrt{1-X} A_{1ZL}\right] \qquad (...)$$

and

$$E_{zL}' = \frac{j\omega}{\Gamma E} \left[ \Gamma F \sqrt{X} A_{lxL} - (1 - \frac{z'^2}{R'^2} (1-X)) A_{lzL} \right]$$
(89)

The lateral wave fields for a lossless plasma are given by (76) through (81) in the rest frame of the dielectric and by (94) through (89) in the rest frame of the source. In analogy with the discussion on the reflected radiation fields, we would like to plot quantities

like 
$$(\vec{E}_{L}^{\dagger} \cdot \vec{E}_{L}^{\star}) (\frac{2\pi R^{\star 2}}{\mu_{0}})^{2}$$
 and  $(\vec{E}_{L}^{\dagger} \cdot \vec{E}_{L}^{\dagger \star}) (\frac{2\pi R^{\dagger 2}}{\mu_{0}})^{2}$ . These are shown in figure

4, where again due account has made in the reference frame S plots for the fact that the group velocity for the lateral wave is not the speed of light<sup>3</sup>. The above quantities are plotted in a polar plot or X = 0.5, the y-z plane and (H+x) = 0. For  $\beta = 0.0$ , the patterns i is tropic. In the rest frame of the source, as the velocity is increased, the pattern becomes elongated in the direction the dielectric is moving. It almost looks as if the energy being radiated by the source is being dragged along by the dielectric. In the rest frame of the dielectric, as the velocity increases the pattern is elongated in the direction of motion of the source.

#### 6. SURFACE WAVE FIELDS FOR A LOSSLESS PLASMA DIELECTRIC

We will now examine the contribution to the integral for  $A_{1xs}$  for a lossless plasma dielectric due to the pole associated with the function  $K \in_{\mathbf{r}} + h$ . In order to find the location of the pole, we must solve the equation

$$(1-\underline{k}_{e}^{2}-\underline{k}_{2}^{2})^{1/2}(1-\frac{\omega_{p}^{2}}{\Gamma^{2}\omega_{0}^{2}(1+\beta\underline{k}_{3})^{2}})+(1-\underline{k}_{3}^{2}-\underline{k}_{2}^{2}-\frac{\omega_{p}^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{2}})^{1/2}=0 \quad (90)$$

where

$$\frac{k_3}{\omega_0} = \frac{c}{\omega_0} k_{33}$$
 (91)

and

$$\frac{k_2}{\omega_0} = \frac{c}{\omega_0} k_2 \tag{92}$$

3. See Holmes (1968) for a more complete discussion of this aspect of the problem.

for the value of  $\underline{k}_3$  which satisfies it. Since this is virtually impossible to do analytically, we will assume that (90) has been solved and continue with the evaluation of the integral. Then later, after the solution has been formulated, (90) can be solved numerically.

The integration with respect to  $k_{33}$  is then just 2 j#R SIGN(z-vt) where R is the residue at the pole. The contribution by the pole to the integral for A<sub>lxs</sub> then is given by

$$A_{1xss} = \frac{\mu I \omega}{4\pi c} \frac{j\Gamma\omega}{SIGN(z-vt)e} \begin{pmatrix} t - \frac{v}{2}z \end{pmatrix} = \frac{jr!}{c} \frac{\omega}{c} f_{2} \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R e^{-\frac{jr!}{c}} \frac{dk_{2}}{dk_{2}}$$
(93)

where

$$R_{p} = \frac{-2[\Gamma^{2}\beta(\underline{k}_{3p}+\beta) - \underline{\epsilon}_{rp}]h_{p}}{\underbrace{k_{3p}(\underline{\epsilon}_{rp}^{2}-1) + 2\underline{K}_{p}h_{p}}_{\underline{\omega}_{p}^{2}} \frac{\underline{\mu}_{p}}{\underline{\mu}_{p}^{2}} \frac{\beta}{\Gamma^{2}(1+\beta\underline{k}_{e})^{3}}}$$
(94)

$$f_2 = -\frac{(H+x)}{r'} K_p - k_{3p} \frac{\Gamma(z-vt)}{r'} - k_2 \frac{y}{r'}$$
 (95)

$$\frac{K_{p}}{2} = (1 - \frac{k_{3p}^{2}}{2} - \frac{k_{2}^{2}}{2})^{1/2}$$
 (96)

$$h_{p} = (1 - k_{3p}^{2} - k_{2}^{2} - \frac{\omega_{p}^{2}}{\omega_{a}^{2}})^{1/2}$$
(97)

$$\underline{\mathbf{\epsilon}_{rp}} = (1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega_p^2 \Gamma^2 (1 + \beta \underline{k}_{3p})^2})$$
(98)

 $k_{3p}$  equals the value of  $\underline{K}_3$  which satisfies (90) and the remaining variable of integration has been changed to  $\underline{k}_2$ . Using the saddle point technique to perform the integration with respect to  $\underline{k}_2$ ,  $A_{1xaa}$  becomes

$$h_{1xss} = \frac{\frac{\mu_{0}I_{0}R_{p0}e}{\sqrt{\frac{c}{\omega_{0}}2\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{r^{T}}}} \left[\frac{\partial^{2}f_{2}}{\partial k_{2}^{2}} (k_{3p},k_{20})\right]^{1/2}}{\sqrt{\frac{c}{\omega_{0}}2\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{r^{T}}} \left[\frac{\partial^{2}f_{2}}{\partial k_{2}^{2}} (k_{3p},k_{20})\right]^{1/2}}$$
(99)

where

$$R_{p0} = R_{p|k_{2}} = k_{20}$$
 (100)

ω

and  $k_{20}$  is obtained from the solution of

$$-\frac{(H+x)}{r'}\frac{dk_{p}}{dk_{2}} - \frac{\Gamma(z-vt)}{r'}\frac{dk_{3p}}{dk_{2}} - \frac{y}{r'} = 0$$
(101)

Equation (101) contains the functions  $\frac{dk_{3p}}{dk_2}$  and  $\frac{dK_p}{dk_2}$ . These can be obtained from (96) and (90). Equation (101), from which the saddle point  $k_{20}$  is found, then becomes

$$\frac{-\frac{k}{2}(1-\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{rp})\left[\frac{\Gamma(z-vt)}{r^{t}}-\frac{\frac{K}{3p}}{\frac{p}{r}}\frac{(H+x)}{r^{t}}\right]}{-\frac{k}{3p}(1-\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{rp})+\frac{\frac{2K}{p-p}}{r^{2}(1+\beta k_{3p})^{3}}} - \frac{y}{r^{t}} + \frac{\frac{k}{2}}{\frac{K}{p}}\frac{(H+x)}{r^{t}} = 0 \quad (102)$$

The solution for  $A_{1xss}$  given by (99) "goes down as"  $(r')^{-1/2}$  which implies that  $A_{1xsp}$  corresponds to a surface wave. In order to find out if a surface wave is possible, the phase function for  $A_{1xss}$  must be examined. When v = 0, (99) reduces to the well known result for the zero velocity case (Baños pg. 124).

A parameter associated with the surface wave which is of interest is the normalized frequency given by

$$\frac{\omega}{\omega_{g}} = \left[1 - \beta \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial (\cos \theta')}\right)\right]$$
(103)

where use has been made of

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = -\frac{\Gamma v}{r'} \frac{\partial}{\partial \cos \theta'}$$
(104)

We note that in the rest frame of the source, the surface wave does not exhibit a Doppler shift.

We would now like to find the electric and magnetic fields in reference frame S ( $\overline{E}$  and  $\overline{H}$ ) and reference frame S' ( $\overline{E}$ ' and  $\overline{H}$ ') corresponding to the surface wave(s). Proceeding as before and using (91) and (92) yields

$$E_{xs} = -j \frac{\omega \left[\Gamma^{2} (1 + \frac{v}{c} k_{3p})^{2} - \frac{\kappa^{2}}{p}\right]}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{v}{c} k_{3p})} A_{1xss}$$
(105)

$$E_{ys} = j \frac{\omega_{p} k_{20} K_{p}}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{v}{c} k_{3p})} A_{1xss}$$
(106)

$$E_{zs} = j \frac{\omega_{e} K_{p}(k_{3p} + \frac{v}{c})}{(1 + \frac{v}{c} k_{3p})} A_{lxss}$$
(107)

B<sub>xs</sub> = 0 (108)

$$B_{ys} = -j \frac{\Gamma \omega}{c} (k_{-3p} + \frac{v}{c}) A_{1xss}$$
(109)

$$B_{zs} = j \frac{\omega}{c} k_{20} A_{1xss}$$
(110)

$$E_{xs}^{\dagger} = j \frac{\omega_{\bullet}}{(1 + \frac{v}{c} k_{3p})} \left[ \frac{K_{p}^{2}}{p} - (1 + \frac{v}{c} k_{-3p}) \right] A_{1xss}$$
(111)

$$E'_{ys} = j \frac{\omega_{e} k_{20} K_{p}}{(1 + \frac{v}{c} k_{3p})} A_{1xss}$$
(112)

$$E'_{zs} = j \frac{\omega K_{op} (k_{3p} + \frac{V}{c})}{(1 + \frac{V}{c} k_{3p})} A_{lxss}$$
(113)

$$B_{xs}^{*} = j \frac{\omega_{0}}{c} \frac{v}{c} \frac{\frac{k_{20} K_{p}}{p}}{(1 + \frac{v}{c} k_{3p})} A_{1xss}$$
(114)

$$B_{ys}^{*} = -j \frac{\omega}{c(1 + \frac{v}{c} k_{3p})} [k_{3p}(1 + \frac{v}{c} k_{3p}) + \frac{v}{c} K_{-p}^{2}]A_{1xss}$$
(115)

and

$$B'_{zs} = j \frac{\omega}{c} k_{20} A_{1xss}$$
(116)

ሳተው ተሰር ነው ነውናያለት በተዘመጡ ከተመረከች የኮቅኮ በአካቸው መንግሮች የሶሳተማ ስለዋና በእንደ የኮስዮ የትሮች የስለት የስት በትሮች በእንደ የትሮች እ

The surface wave fields for a loss.ess plasma are given by (105) through (110) for the rest frame of the dielectric and by (111) through (116) in the rest frame of the source. These equations are not explicit functions of the coordinates, but are expressed as functions of the coordinates, but are expressed as functions of two parameters which are obtained from the simultaneous solution of (90) and (102). The detailed computer calculations necessary to obtain a plot of the surface wave fields are in progress. However a preliminary study of (90) and (102) has been performed in an effort to find how the surface wave modes behave as the velocity increases. It was found that the criteria for existence of the ordinary surface wave is modified by the relative motion of the source and dielectric. As the relative velocity is increased, the ordinary surface wave ceases to be excited in all directions along the surface. The wave becomes restricted to a wedge shaped region ( $0 \le wedge angle \le 2\pi$ ) behind the source. This is illustrated in figure 5 by the top three curves which show the wedge angle versus velocity.

In addition, for velocities greater than some critical velocity, a new type wave comes into existence. This wave is a surface type wave and radiates into a wedge shaped region behind the source. As the velocity increases, the wedge angle increases from zero degrees at the critical velocity to a larger value. This is illustrated for X = 1.5by the lower curve in figure 5. From the work that has been done, it appears that this wave exists for all values of X. This is contrasted by the ordinary surface wave which exists only for X greater than two. It is anticipated that a further study of the surface wave modes will be made.

#### 7. SUMMARY

The problem of a point electric dipole moving over a dispersive dielectric half-space has been studied. Expressions for the fields in both reference frames have been formulated for the reflected radiation fields for the case of an arbitrary, dispersive dielectric and for the lateral wave and surface wave fields for the case of a lossless plasma dielectric. In the rest frame of the source, it is found that all three waves exhibit the frequency of the source and that in the rest frame of the dielectric, they all exhibit some form of Doppler shift. It is found that the criteria for existence of the lateral wave is not modified by the relative motion of the source and dielectric, but that the criteria for existence of the surface wave is greatly modified by the relative motion. In addition, for velocities greater than some critical velocity, a new type of surface wave somes into existence.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work reported in this paper was sponsored in part by the Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, Office of Aerospace Research, under Contract F 19(628)-68-C-0126, but does not necessarily reflect endorsement by the sponsor.

#### REFERENCES

Baños, A. (1966), Dipole Radiation in the Presence of a Conducting Half-Space, Pergamon Press, New York.

Brekhovskikh, L. M. (1960), <u>Waves in Layered Media</u>, Academic Press, New York.

- Fujioka, H., T. Shiozawa and N. Kumagai (June, 1966), "Electromagnetic Radiation from an Electric Dipole Moving with Relativistic Velocity", <u>Proceedings of Electronics and Communications Engineers of Japan</u>, Vol. 49, pp. 89-95.
- Holmes, J. R. (1968), Scattering of Electric Dipole Radiation by a <u>Moving, Dispersive Dielectric Half-Space</u>, University of Washington Ph.D. Dissertation.
- Jackson, J. D. (1962), <u>Classical Electrodynamics</u>, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Pyati, V. P. (1966), <u>Radiation Due to An Oscillating Dipole Over a</u> <u>Lossless Semi-Infinite Moving Dielectric Medium</u>, University of <u>Michigan Ph.D. Dissertation</u>.
- Shiozawa, T. and K. Hazama (June, 1968), "General Solution to the Problem of Reflection and Transmission by a Moving Dielectric Medium", Radio Science, Vol. 3, pp. 569-576.
- Tai, C. T. (1965), "Reflection and Refraction of a Plane Electromagnetic Wave at the Boundary of a Semi-Infinite Moving Medium", paper presented at URSI Spring Meeting, Washington, D. C.
- Van Der Waerden, B. L. (1950), "On the Method of Saddle Points", Appl. Sci. Research, Vol. 2B, pp. 33-43.
- Yeh, C. (1967), "Reflection and Transmission of Electromagnetic Waves by a Moving Plasma Medium, II. Parallel Polarization", Journal of Applied Physics, Vol. 38, pp. 2871-2373.
- Yeh, C. (1966), "Reflection and Transmission of Electromagnetic Waves by a Moving Plasma Medium", Journal of Applied Physics, Vol. 37, pp. 3079-3082.
- Yeh, C. (1965), "Reflection and Transmission of Electromagnetic Waves by a Moving Dielectric Medium", Journal of Applied Physics, Vol. 36, pp. 3513-3517.

ordinactical dubilities (scalar d'artitus serve

នទេសង្គសិនសេខការអំណើងអាមិនសេខារួន, 🖷 សំអូនអំពីអែលដ៏ដែលពិត្រាក់ អស់កេដី សំអើសិន

મ્પ્રી મિલ્લે વ્યુક્તિ અને સ્વીપ્ર મુખ્ય સ્વેન્ડ કર્યું છે.

2

2

書いて ひょういき

1, 11, 11,

٩

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Boundary value problem, reference frame S.
Figure 2.	Variation with $\beta$ of reflected wave fields, x-z plane, lossless plasma dielectric, X = 5.
Figure 3.	Relative frequency, reference frame S.
Figure 4.	Variation with $\beta$ of lateral wave fields, y-z plane, lossless plasma dielectric, X = 0.5.
Figure 5.	Surface wave modes, lossless plasma dielectric.

FREE SPACE REGION 1

µo , €o

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - k_s^2\right) \end{bmatrix} \overline{A}_1(x, k_2, k_3, \omega) = -\mu_0 \overline{J}(x, k_2, k_3, \omega)$$

$$= \gamma_{\mu_0} \overline{J}(x, k_2, k_3, \omega) = 0$$

$$= \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} e_1(\omega) - k_s^2 - k_s^2\right) \end{bmatrix} \overline{A}_2(x, k_2, k_3, \omega) = 0$$

1

A start of a start

andread secondify a standar of a firston

Steel mark

١

١

. ا⊨ ۲۹۹۲۲٬۵۰۰ م. ۲۰<sup>۱</sup>۰٬

<u> 278 (\* 77</u>

•



13

•

ł

REFLECTED RADIATION FIELDS

1556 - T-

•



. ....

1...1

and a state of the state of t

Land and the first destroyed

RELATIVE FREQUENCY, REFERENCE FRAME S

 $\beta = 0.0$ Ņ F.EFERENCE FRAME S'  $-\beta = 0.5$  $\beta = 0.3$  $\beta = 0.3$ REFERENCE FRAME S  $\beta = 0.5 \sim$  $-\beta = 0.0$ N  $\geq$ 



1400

'n

Unclassified

a section from the section of the

Security Classification		المالية فيتنب			
DOCUMENT CONTR					
(Security classification of title, both of abstract and indexing a	nnotation must be ente	red when the a	scraft rep (r - 1) (hed)		
1 OR GINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	28		GRETY CLASS FICATION		
University of Washington	L	Unclassified			
Department of Electrical Engineering	59	CHOUP			
Seattle, Washington 98105					
3 REPORT TITLE					
SCATTERING OF ELECTRIC DIPOLE RADIATION BY	A MOVING, DISI	PERSIVE D	TELECTRIC		
HALF-SPACE					
4 DESCRIPTIVE NOVES (Type of report and inclusive dates)			والإسباط الوحدي بي عدام من من من يومان ويون ويون ويون ويون ويون ويون ويون وي		
Scientific Interim					
5 AUTHOR(\$) (First nume, middle initial, last name)					
James F. Holmes					
Akira Ishimaru					
			16 43 35 41,55		
6 REPORT DATE	TOTAL NO OF F	-4963			
April 1969	32 98. ORIGINATOR'S H	EPORT NUMB	12 (F PIS)		
F 19(628)-68-C-0126	Technical Re				
1 131020 J-00-L-0120	Scientific R				
4600-10-01	SCIENCIIIC N	eport no.	<b>S</b>		
• DOD ELEMENT 62702F	TO OTHER REPORT	-ois) rAnv of	her purplets that mus be assigned		
	this report)				
d DOD SUBELEMENT 674600	AFCRL-69-0200				
10 DISTRIBUTION TATEMENT					
1 - Distribution of this document is unlimi					
Clearinghouse, Department of Commerce,	for sale to t	he renera	H public.		
11 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12 SPONSOR NG MI	LI*AR+ AC*	√3 <sup>™</sup> γ		
TECH, OTHER			Research		
the state of the s	Laboratories (CRD)				
	L. G. Hanse	••••••			
12 ARSTRACT	Beaford, Ma		ts 01730		
The purpher of a paint electric disale					
The problem of a point electric dipole space is studied. The dipole is located in					
essumed to be time harmonic in its rest fra					
and moving parallel to it. Previous work i					
use of a plane wave as a source or a non-di			source contribution to the		
Solutions for this problem are obtained			form techniques. The		
integration is performed for the frue space					
for one integration and then using the sadd					
integration. The solution obtained in this					
used to generate the electric and magnetic					
and the rest frame of the dielectric. This					
the case of an arbitrary, dispersive dielec		lateral w	wave fields and surface		
wave fields for the case of a lossless plas					
The field patterns are distorted by the					
lectric. In the rest frame of the source,					
source and in the rest frame of the dielect					
shift (different from the primary wave).	The criteria f	or existe	ence of the lateral		

wave is not modified by the relative motion of the source and dieterric; but the criteria for existence of the surface waves is greatly modified by the relative motion. For velocities greater than some critical velocity, a new type of surface wave comes

into existence.

DD . NOV 4, 1473

Unclassified

E i

Unclassified Security Classification

KEY WORDS		K A		K B		N K
	HOLE	<u> </u>	ROLE	wT	ROLE	+
				ļ		
		1		ł		
Cattering		1				
inole Radiation		l				
nine Media			ļ			
oving Dianamaina Dialantain						
ipole Radiation oving Media oving Dispersive Dielectric ateral Waves	1	l			1	
ateral waves						
urface Waves			]			1
oppler Shift				l	.	Ì
		1			1	
			1			
			[	l	•	
					1	I
			l		ļ	
			1	l	l	
		1				ł
					l	
•	6				l	
					[	ļ
		l		l	[	
					1	
			ł			ļ
<u>.</u>						
				ŀ	l	
	1					
					l	
,					1	
		l			l	
					I	
					ļ	
		ļ			l	ĺ
		1			l	
		1			Į	
					I .	1
		[		l		
•		l				
		•		l	1	1
				l	ļ	
		1				
			l	1	]	
				ļ		ļ
			Į		1	
			la ana ana a		L	J
		line	lassif Classif	t and		
		1 1 1 1 1 1				

1811 - A

.

.