THEMIS SIGNAL ANALYSIS STATISTICS RESEARCH PROGRAM

·然后的第一个学

APPROXIMATIONS TO LARGE PROBABILITIES OF ALL SUCCESSES FOR GENERAL CASE AND SOME OPERATIONS RESEARCH IMPLICATIONS

by

John E. Walsh and C. J. Kelleher

٠.

pe-

Technical Report No. 25 Department of Statistics THEMIS Contract

February 20, 1969

Research sponsored by the Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-68-A-0515 Project NR 042-260

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

Distribution of this document is unlimited.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS Southern Methodist University



APPROXIMATIONS TO LARGE PROBABILITIES OF ALL SUCLESSES FOR GELERAL CASE AND SOME OPERATIONS RESEARCH IMPLICATIONS

John E. Walsh Southern Methodist University\* Dallas, Texas, U.S.A.

G. J. Kelleher Institute for Defense Analyses Arlington, Virginia, U.S.A.

## ABSTRACT

There are many cases where an overall effort is successful if and only if the efforts (or events) of a sequence are all successful. Often, the principal interest is in cases where overall success has a large probability (say, at least .8). Suppose there are n efforts in the sequence and that  $p_i(s_{i-1})$  is the probability that the i-th effort is a success given that preceding efforts 1,  $\cdots$ , i-1 are successes (i=1,  $\cdots$ , n), where s denotes no conditions. An approximate value, also sharp upper and lower bounds, are developed for the probability that all n events are successes. This is done for various levels of generality, including a form of complete generality. These results depend only on n, the generality level, and the arithmetic average of the  $p_i(s_{i-1})$ . They are useful when the probability of all successes is at least .8; then the approximate value is near both bounds. The necessity of only considering the arithmetic average of the  $p_i(s_{i-1})$ , rather than their product, sometimes can be useful in analyses of an operations research nature (including reliability situations). Consider optimum allotment of resources to obtain a stated high probability of all successes. This can be obtained by minimizing the resource use subject to the arithmetic average of the  $p_i(s_{i-1})$  equaling a determined value. This minimization is often less complicated than minimization subject to the product of the  $p_i(s_{i-1})$  having the stated value. Also, statistical astimation of the probability of all successes is simplified when the expression using the arithmetic average of the  $p_i(s_{i-1})$  is considered.

Research partially supported by ONR Contract NO0014-68-A-0515. Also associated with NASA Grant NGR 44-007-028.

## INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

Consider n binomial events (not necessarily independent) where success and failure are the possible ourcomes for an event. Often, there is interest in whather all the events are successes. That is, there is overall success if and only if every event results in success. In fact, many kinds of overall efforts can be considered to occur as a sequence of steps (or events) with overall success occurring if and only if all of the steps result in success. This is often the case for reliability situations and for accomplishment of missions (for example, military missions).

The principal interest is frequently in high probabilities of overall success (say, at least .8). Only cases with high probabilities are considered here.

Let the n events be numbered according to the sequence in which they can be considered to occur (event 1 is resolved first, etc. Use  $p_{j}(s_{j-1})$ to denote the probability that the i-th event results in success give: that events 1, ..., i-1 are successes (i = 2, ..., n), while  $p_{1}(s_{0}) = p_{1}$  is the unconditional probability that the first event is a success. Then,

$$P(all : uccesses) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i(s_{i-1})$$

is the probability that all the events are successes

If the approximation is sufficiently accurate, there are advantages in

- 2 -

expressing P(all successes) in terms of the arithmetic average (denoted by p) of the  $p_i(s_{i-1})$ . First, consider operations research type situations where a stated large value of P(all successes) is required and this is to be clicited with an optimum allotment of resources. This can be accomplished (approximately) by minimizing the resource use subject to setting p equal to a determined value. Experience indicates that this minimization is usually less complicated than minimization subject to the product of the  $p_i(s_{i-1})$  having the stated value.

1

A second advantage is in performing statistical investigation when the  $p_i(s_{i-1})$  must be estimated. Probabilistic properties of an arithmetic average of estimates are usually much more easily determined than those of a product of estimates.

Estimation of a  $p_i(s_{i-1})$  is often not difficult. That is,  $p_i(s_{i-1})$ is ordinarily the probability that the i-th event is a success given that nothing very unusual has happened (something very unusual happens only if at least one of events 1, ..., i-1 is a failure). For example, a failure in a reliability situation can result in a large stress (perhaps an explosion) in the overall system. No unusual stress occurs if all preceding events are successes. Thus, effectively,  $p_i(s_{i-1})$  can be estimated by observations on the i-th event when it receives no unusual stress. Fortunately, this is the case in which observations are most easily and inexpensively obtained.

- 3 -

In fact, it is often possible to separately consider the i-th event (perhaps removed from the overall system) if suitable environmental conditions (temperature, pressure, etc.) are maintained.

For the cases considered, the level of generality is defined in terms of the number r of the  $p_i(s_{i-1})$  are required to be at most equal to p. Complete generality occurs for r = 1 and the generality level decreases as r increases. However, r values as large as, say, n/4 would still seem to represent a moderate degree of generality.

Only cases where n, p, r are such that (n-r+1)(n-p) is less than unity are considered. Then, for any meaningful n and r,

 $[1 - (n-r+1)(1-p)]p^{r-1} \le P(all suc esses) \le p^n$ .

The lower bound is at least .8 if  $n(1-p) \le .2$  and is a monotonically increasing function of r. The bounds are close together and P(all successes) is very nearly equal to

 $(1/2)p^{r-1}[p^{n-r+1} + 1 - (n-r+1)(1-p)]$ 

when  $[1 - (n-r+1)(1-p)]p^{r-1}$  is at least .8.

The final section contains derivations of these probability results. When the  $p_i(a_{i-1})$  are all very large, n is large, and dependence is of a restricted nature, the more easily applied approximate results of

- 4 -

(Walsh, 1955) are usable.

i

## VERIFICATION

For notational simplicity, let  $p_i = p_i(s_{i-1})$ . Since the geometric mean of the  $p_i$  is at most equal to their arithmetic mean, P(all successes)  $\leq p^n$ , with equality possible (when the  $p_i$  are equal). This upper bound and its basis are applicable for all r.

Now, consider derivation of the sharp lower bound. The value of P(all successes) is

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} = \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log_{e} [1 - (1 - p_{i})] \right\}$$

$$= \exp \left[ -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - p_{i})^{j} / j \right]$$

$$= \exp \left[ -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{j} {\binom{j}{k}} (1 - p)^{j-k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (p - p_{i})^{k} \right]$$

For (n-r+1)(1-p) < 1 and  $k \ge 2$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (p-p_i)^{k}$  is largest when all

but r of the  $p_i$  are unity, r-1 of them equal p, and the other one is such that their arithmetic average is p (easily verified by consider ng the cases of k = 2, 3 and the relationships for larger k). This implies that this other  $p_i$  is 1 - (n-r+1)(1 - p), so that the maximum is

- 5 -

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (p - p_i)^{k} = (n - r)^{k} (1 - p)^{k} + (-1)^{k} (n - r) (1 - p)^{k},$$

Thus, since r-1 of the p are equal to p and also

$$\sum_{k=0}^{j} {\binom{j}{k}} [(n-r)^{k} + (-1)^{k}(n-r)] + [(n-r) + 1]^{j} + (n-r)(1-1)^{j},$$

which equals  $(n-r+1)^{j}$ , P(all successes) is at least equal to  $p^{r-1}$  times

$$\exp \left\{ -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-1} (1-p)^{j} \sum_{k=0}^{j} {\binom{j}{k}} [(n-r)^{k} + (-1)^{k} (n-r)] \right\}$$

$$= \exp \left\{ -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-1} [(n-r+1)(1-p)]^{j} \right\}$$

$$= \exp \left\{ \log_{e} [1-(n-r+1)(1-p)] \right\} - 1 - (n-r+1)(1-p) ,$$

with equality possible.

## REFERENCE

Walsh, John E., "The Poisson distribution as a limit for dependent binomial events with unsqual pro mbilities," <u>Operations Research</u>, Vol. 3 (1955), pp. 198-209.

- 6 -

UNCLASSIFIED

La REPORT UN 20. GROUP UN 11 Successes for Implications G GINATOR'S REPORT N., 25	12. NO OF REFS
LI Successes for Implications	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION       NCLASSIFIED       ICLASSIFIED       Dr       10. NO OF REFS       1
25. GROUP UT 11 Successes fo Implications AL NO OF PAGES 6 GINATOR'S REPORT NO 25 ER REPORT NO(5) (Any	ICLASSIFIED
UT 11 Successes for Implications AL NO OF PAGES G GINATOR'S REPORT NO. 25 ER REPORT NO.(5) (Any	Dr 12. NO OF REFS 1 JMBER(5)
Il Successes fo Implications AL NO OF PAGES 6 GINATOR'S REPORT N. 25 ER REPORT NO(5) (Any	Dr 76. NO OF REFS 1 JMBER(5)
Implications AL NO OF PAGES G GINATOR'S REPORT N. 25 ER REPORT NO(5) (Any	TO. NO OF REFS
Implications AL NO OF PAGES G GINATOR'S REPORT N. 25 ER REPORT NO(5) (Any	TO. NO OF REFS
Implications AL NO OF PAGES G GINATOR'S REPORT N. 25 ER REPORT NO(5) (Any	TO. NO OF REFS
AL NO OF PAGES G GINATOR'S REPORT NU 25 TER REPORT NO(5) (Any	1 JMBER(5)
G GINATOR'S REPORT N. 25 ER REPORT NO(5) (Any	1 JMBER(5)
G GINATOR'S REPORT N. 25 ER REPORT NO(5) (Any	1 JMBER(5)
G GINATOR'S REPORT N. 25 ER REPORT NO(5) (Any	1 JMBER(5)
G GINATOR'S REPORT N. 25 ER REPORT NO(5) (Any	1 JMBER(5)
G GINATOR'S REPORT N. 25 ER REPORT NO(5) (Any	1 JMBER(5)
G GINATOR'S REPORT N. 25 ER REPORT NO(5) (Any	1 JMBER(5)
GINATOR'S REPORT N., 25	JM B E R (8)
25	
ER REPORT NO(5) (Any	other numbers that stay be needigee
ER REPORT NO(5) (Any	other numbers that stay be assigned
	other numbers that sky be assigned
	other numbers that may be assigned
NSORING MOL TARY AC	
SECTION & MILL TARY AC	· · · • • • •
Office of Naval	l Research
t is successful	l if and only if
	-
all successful.	
	a large probability
	e sequence and thut
effort is a suc	ccess given that
es (i=1,,	
	nd lower bounds, are
events are suc	ccesses. This is
luding a form of	of complete gener-
the generality	
	n the probability of
roximate value	is near both bounds
	or the pitsi-1'
imetic average	alyses of an operation
lmetic average	sider optimum allot-
lmetic average we useful in and	
lmetic average xe useful in ana uations). Cons	
lmetic average we useful in ana uations). Cons probability of	
lmetic average we useful in any uations). Cons probability of use subject to	
lmetic average we useful in any uations). Cons probability of use subject to we. This minimi	
lmetic average we useful in any uations). Cons probability of use subject to us. This minimi the product of t	the $p_i(s_i)$ having the initial
lmetic average we useful in any uations). Cons probability of use subject to we. This minimi	the p <sub>i</sub> (s <sub>i</sub> ) having th simplified.
lmetic average we useful in any luations). Cons probability of use subject to use subject to te. This minimi the product of t l successes is s	فالمحادي المعرج والماعات والمراف فالمعاولات فالمتحال المعاد المحاد المعادي والمادية
Imetic average we useful in any uations). Cons probability of use subject to the subject to the product of t successes is s (NCLASS	simplîfiêd.
it	ituations). Cons h probability of ce use subject to lue. This minimi

٠

Contraction of the state

しまえい しょういう

ALC: NO.

A Standy Standard

------