

D 680167

**RESEARCH
ANALYSIS
CORPORATION**

**An Algorithm
for a Special Class of Generalized
Transportation-Type Problems**



Reproduced by the
CLEARINGHOUSE
for Federal Scientific & Technical
Information Springfield Va. 22151

**Best
Available
Copy**

ADVANCED RESEARCH DEPARTMENT
TECHNICAL PAPER RAC-TP-337
Published November 1968

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT
This document has been approved for public re-
lease and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

**An Algorithm
for a Special Class of Generalized
Transportation-Type Problems**

by
Ronald L. Arms



RESEARCH ANALYSIS CORPORATION

MCLEAN, VIRGINIA

RAC

FOREWORD

This paper describes an algorithm developed for solving a special class of generalized transportation-type problems of moderate size. Problems concerned with optimal allocations of resources subject to meeting a given set of requirements such as marketing, routing, production, and weapons allocation are frequently of the generalized transportation type.

The generalized transportation-type problem considered here is a linear programming problem with solutions giving the allocations x_{ij} of the j th resource to the i th operation such as to maximize a given profit function. The requirements specify the limits on each of the n available resources as well as the operational limits of each of the m operations. In addition the operational capacity of the i th operation when the j th resource is assigned to it is known. The structure of such problems (one constraint for each row i and each column j) enables an algorithm more efficient than the general simplex algorithm to be used for finding a solution.

The algorithm is intended to solve moderate-sized problems faster than will general simplex algorithms. It requires less computer storage than general simplex algorithms, thus making it particularly useful when a limited-capacity computer memory is all that is available.

Nicholas M. Smith
Head, Advanced Research Department

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to acknowledge the encouragement of Dr. Jerome Bracken and Dr. Frederick Miercort in developing the algorithm for application to part of RAC's Nike X study and to thank Drs. D. Gross, C. M. Harris, and J. D. Pearson for their reviews, criticisms, and suggestions, which have largely been incorporated in the present version of the paper.

CONTENTS

Foreword	iii
Acknowledgments	iv
Abstract	2
1. Introduction	3
2. Problem Statement	3
3. Problem Structure: General Discussion	5
4. Optimality Criteria	6
5. Processes of the Algorithm	7
6. Details of the Algorithm	7
1. Generation of Basic Primal Feasible Solutions—2. Solving for Dual Space Variables—3. Optimality Test (Testing Conditions 7D)—4. Nonoptimal Solutions; Finding the Greatest Violation of the Dual Space Constraints; Identifying the Associated Vector for Entry into the Primal Solution Space Basis—5. Finding the Representation of the New Basis Vector in Terms of the Current Basis Vectors—6. Selecting the Vector To Leave the Current Basis—7. Expressing the Solution in Terms of the New Basis—8. Return to Step 2	
7. Effect of New Solutions on Value of Objective Function	14
8. Computational Experience	15
References	47
Cited References—Additional References	

Appendixes

A. Computer Program for the Algorithm	18
B. Sample Problem	27
C. Comparative Simplex Algorithm	43

Table

1. Solution Times for MATMAX and Standard Simplex Algorithms	15
--	----

**An Algorithm
for a Special Class of Generalized
Transportation-Type Problems**

ABSTRACT

The algorithm described in this paper is used to solve a special class of linear programming problems characterized by constraint coefficient matrices having generalized transportation structure. Specifically, n available resources are allocated to m capacity-limited operations (where the operational capability of assigning the j th resource to the i th operation is known) such as to maximize the total profit for the system. The row-and-column structure of such problems permits an algorithm more efficient than the general simplex algorithm to be used to solve moderate-sized problems (problems where loop-tracing techniques or equivalent schemes are not required). It is not required in the problem statement that all the resources be allocated or that all operations be performed to capacity limits. It is characteristic of such problems, however, that the optimizing solution usually requires that at least one of the two conditions holds, i.e., either supply or demand is exhausted. The paper contains a description of the algorithm, a computer program, an example illustrating its application, and some comparisons with the general simplex algorithm in solving the same problem.

1. INTRODUCTION

The algorithm presented here yields optimal solutions to a special class of linear programming problems that are characterized by constraint coefficient matrices having generalized transportation structure.† The algorithm preserves primal feasibility and the complementary slackness condition at all times; hence feasibility of the dual constraints forms a set of necessary and sufficient conditions for testing optimality.

The need for the present algorithm arose initially in application to an optimal weapons-allocation problem as part of a larger nonlinear minimax problem employed in an earlier RAC study¹ in this area.

A specialized algorithm (similar to the one given here) for generalized transportation-type problems appears to have been first used by Ferguson and Dantzig.^{2,3}

The algorithm can be divided computationally into two phases: (1) the matrix maximum phase and (2) the simplex phase. In phase 1 the algorithm permits only vectors associated with positive cost to enter the basis and only basis vectors associated with slack variables to leave the basis. In phase 2 the selection of the next neighboring vertex is currently made as it is done in most simplex algorithms (see Ref 4, Lecture V and the appendix).

The particular structure of the constraint coefficient matrix permits economy of computation by employing the equivalent of a doubly indexed simplex algorithm.

2. PROBLEM STATEMENT

The algorithm presented in subsequent sections yields an optimal solution to the following class of linear programming problems.

†The details of this structure will be considered in Sec 3, "Problem Structure."

Maximize

$$\sum_{i,j}^{m,n} c'_{ij} x'_{ij} \quad \text{with respect to} \quad x'_{ij}$$

subject to the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n d'_{ij} x'_{ij} &\leq a_i, \quad a_i > 0; \quad (i = 1, \dots, m) \\ \sum_{i=1}^m h'_{ij} x'_{ij} &\leq b_j, \quad b_j > 0; \quad (j = 1, \dots, n) \\ \left. \begin{aligned} x'_{ij} &\geq 0 \\ c'_{ij} &\geq 0 \\ d'_{ij}, h'_{ij} &> 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{aligned} &(i = 1, \dots, m) \\ &(j = 1, \dots, n) \end{aligned} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Under the correspondences

$$\begin{aligned} x_{ij} &= h'_{ij} x'_{ij} \\ d_{ij} &= d'_{ij} / h'_{ij} \\ c_{ij} &= c'_{ij} / h'_{ij} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

an optimal solution to Prob 1 can be found from finding an optimal solution to Prob 3.

Maximize

$$\sum_{i,j}^{m,n} c_{ij} x_{ij} \quad \text{with respect to} \quad x_{ij}$$

subject to the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij} x_{ij} &\leq a_i, \quad a_i > 0; \quad (i = 1, \dots, m) \\ \sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} &\leq b_j, \quad b_j > 0; \quad (j = 1, \dots, n) \\ \left. \begin{aligned} x_{ij} &\geq 0 \\ c_{ij} &\geq 0 \\ d_{ij} &> 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{aligned} &(i = 1, \dots, m) \\ &(j = 1, \dots, n) \end{aligned} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The algorithm finds an optimal solution to Prob 3.

It should be observed that both the row and column constraints are inequalities. It is characteristic of such problems that the optimizing solution has the property that either all the row constraints, or all the column constraints, or both all row and all column constraints are binding when all $c_{ij} > 0$. If equalities are imposed on the column constraints and the row inequalities are of either type, we have the generalized transportation problem considered by Hadley.⁵

If both row and column constraints are equalities, $\Sigma a_i = \Sigma b_j$, and $d_{ij} = 1$ for all i, j , the problem reduces to the standard transportation problem.

3. PROBLEM STRUCTURE: GENERAL DISCUSSION

The general simplex algorithm may be used to solve Probs 1 or 3. For large m and n , however, it is not practical to do so. Writing the components x_{ij} of $x \in E^{mn}$ using a single component subscript index k for x_k (as is done when using the general simplex algorithm), we see that the constraint matrix A contains $mn(m+n-2)$ zeros.

If g is the component subscript indexing function [$g(i, j) = k$] for the vector x , then for the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \max_x & \langle c, x \rangle & x & \in E^{mn} & c & \in E^{mn} \\ Ax & \leq b & b & \in E^{m+n} & b & > 0 \\ x & \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

A assumes either of the two structures

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} d_{11} \cdots d_{1n} & d_{21} \cdots d_{2n} & \cdots & d_{m1} \cdots d_{mn} \\ \hline & I_n & I_n & I_n \\ \hline & & & I_n \end{array} \right) \quad (5)$$

when $g(i, j) = n(i-1) + j = k$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq n$)

where I_n is the identity matrix of order n or

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} I_m & I_m & \cdots & I_m \\ \hline d_{11} & d_{12} & \cdots & d_{1n} \\ d_{21} & d_{22} & \cdots & \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \\ d_{m1} & d_{m2} & \cdots & d_{mn} \end{array} \right) \quad (6)$$

when $g(i, j) = m(j-1) + i = k$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$, $1 \leq i \leq m$)

where I_m is a row vector of m ones.

†The right-hand side column vector b here includes $m+n$ components ($m a_i$ and $n b_j$) as in Prob 3.

Any other indexing by g (besides interchanging upper and lower blocks) produces a less uniform structure for A . Structure 5 for A is associated with generalized transportation-type problems. When all $d_{ij} = 1$ the structure of A in Structure 5 is that of coefficient matrices associated with transportation problems. Structure 5 for A will be assumed when introducing suitable basis vectors for the solution space later on.

4. OPTIMALITY CRITERIA

The algorithm preserves primal feasibility P and the complementary slackness condition S at all times and uses the feasibility of the dual-programming problem constraints D as the optimality test criterion.

The three sets of Conditions P , D , and S are explicitly

$$P: \begin{cases} Ax + I_{m+n}x_s = b \\ x \geq 0 \\ x_s \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad D: \begin{cases} A'w - I_{mn}w_s = c \\ w_s \geq 0 \\ w \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

$$S: \langle w, x_s \rangle + \langle w_s, x \rangle = 0$$

where $'$ denotes transposition
 \langle , \rangle denotes inner product
 $b > 0$
 $c > 0$

See Ref 6, Pt 2, p 58, for a discussion of Conditions 7.

Real vectors x, w that satisfy Conditions P , D , and S also solve the pair of dual linear programming problems

$$\max_x \langle c, x \rangle \quad \text{subject to } P \quad (8a)$$

$$\min_w \langle w, b \rangle \quad \text{subject to } D \quad (8b)$$

Problem 8a is solved with Conditions P and S always holding, hence Condition D becomes the set of necessary and sufficient conditions for optimality.

In practice the algorithm enforces the following stronger form \bar{S} of Condition S , namely,

$$\langle w, x_s \rangle + \langle w_s, x \rangle = 0 \quad \text{at the component level,} \quad (7\bar{S})$$

i.e., $x_{ij} > 0 \Rightarrow w_{s_{ij}} = 0$; $x_{s_i} > 0 \Rightarrow w_i = 0$. Since the dual space constraint $A'w - I_{mn}w_s = c$ holds for all w, w_s throughout the algorithm, Conditions $\bar{7D}$ become the set of necessary and sufficient conditions for optimality, i.e.,

$$w_s \geq 0, w \geq 0 \quad (7\bar{D})$$

5. PROCESSES OF THE ALGORITHM

The general processes of the algorithm, the details of which will follow, are

- (1) Generate basic primal feasible solution using complete or partial matrix maximum (Conditions $7P$ are satisfied by exactly $m + n$ positive primal variables x, x_s while the primal objective function is increased).
- (2) Solve for the dual space variables u_i and v_j using Condition $7\bar{S}$ and knowledge of the structure of basis vectors associated with the positive primal variables.
- (3) Perform optimality test (test Conditions $7\bar{D}$). If there are no violations the current basic primal solution is optimal.
- (4) For nonoptimal solutions find the largest violation of Conditions $7\bar{D}$. Identify the associated vector for entry into the basis for the primal solution space.
- (5) Find the representation of the entering vector in terms of vectors in current basis.
- (6) Preserving primal feasibility Conditions P , select vector to leave the current basis.
- (7) Express the solution in terms of the new basis.
- (8) Return to step 2.

6. DETAILS OF THE ALGORITHM

The detailed description of the steps of the algorithm is presented here.

1. Generation of Basic Primal Feasible Solutions

The matrix maximum method of generating solutions x_{ij} is a process that makes allocations (assigns values to x_{ij}) to payoff elements c_{ij} of a matrix \bar{P} of payoffs as follows:

Let

$$P = \{c_{ij} | x_{in'} \geq 0 \text{ and } x_{m'j} \geq 0 | \begin{array}{l} (i = 1, \dots, n) \\ (j = 1, \dots, m) \\ n' = n + 1 \\ m' = m + 1 \end{array} \} \quad (9)$$

where

$$x_{in'} = a_i - \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij} x_{ij}$$

$$x_{m'j} = b_j - \sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij}$$

$x_{in'}$ and $x_{m'j}$ represent the residual "slack" in row i and column j (Prob 3) after a set $\{x_{ij}\}$ of allocations has been made. In Conditions 7 $x_s = (x_{1n'}, \dots, x_{mn'}, x_{m'1}, \dots, x_{m'n'})'$. If either $x_{in'}$ or $x_{m'j} = 0$ then no further allocations involving row i or column j can be made since either the i th capacity has been achieved or the j th resource exhausted. Initially \bar{P} is the matrix of all c_{ij} since $x_{in'} = a_i$ and $x_{m'j} = b_j$.

For each allocation x_{ij} of the matrix maximum method let

$$c_{k\ell} = \max_{ij} \{c_{ij} | c_{ij} \in \bar{P}\} \quad (10)$$

then choose

$$x_{k\ell} = \min \left\{ \frac{a_k - \sum_{j=1}^m d_{kj} x_{kj}}{d_{k\ell}} ; b_\ell - \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i\ell} \right\}$$

$$= \min \left\{ \frac{x_{km'}}{d_{k\ell}} ; x_{m'\ell} \right\} \quad (11)$$

This choice for the value assigned to $x_{k\ell}$ eliminates either row k or column ℓ from the matrix \bar{P} of payoffs for the next iteration. The new values $x'_{kn'}$, $x'_{m'\ell}$ for $x_{kn'}$ and $x_{m'\ell}$ are found as follows:

If

$$x_{k\ell} = \frac{x_{km'}}{d_{k\ell}}$$

then

$$x'_{kn'} = x_{kn'} - d_{k\ell} x_{k\ell} = 0 \quad (12)$$

$$x'_{m'\ell} = x_{m'\ell} - x_{k\ell}$$

If

$$x_{k\ell} = x_{m'\ell}$$

then

(13)

$$x'_{kn'} = x_{kn'} - d_{k\ell} x_{m'\ell}$$

$$x'_{m'\ell} = x_{m'\ell} - x_{k\ell} = 0$$

In the first case $\bar{P}_{\text{new}} = \bar{P} - |c_{kj}| x'_{kn'} = 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$). In the second case

$$\bar{P}_{\text{new}} = \bar{P} - |c_{i\ell}| x'_{m'\ell} = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, m).$$

If $\frac{x_{kn'}}{d_{k\ell}} = x_{m'\ell}$ then an arbitrary decision is made to perturb $x_{kn'}$ by a small amount epsilon.

The matrix maximum procedure can be terminated in either of two ways, by exhausting the matrix \bar{P} of payoffs (complete matrix maximum) or by assigning a fixed number (less than the number of iterations required to exhaust the matrix of payoffs) of positive allocations x_{ij} to be made (partial matrix maximum).

Throughout the matrix maximum iterations exactly $m + n$ elements of the vector \bar{X} of allocations $(x_{ij}, x_{in'}, x_{m'j})$ are positive. The vector \bar{X} satisfies Conditions 7P for primal feasibility.

The matrix maximum procedure proceeds from a vertex of the solution space to a neighboring vertex as does the simplex procedure, but specifically it proceeds to the vertex that has one less positive slack component and one more positive nonslack component (i.e., component having positive cost c_{ij}); hence the former is more efficient using a per iteration comparison. The matrix maximum procedure is not sufficient, however, to achieve optimality in general.

2. Solving for Dual Space Variables

The vector \bar{X} , resulting from application of the matrix maximum process (partial or complete), is a candidate optimizing point since it is an extreme point (Ref 4, p 58) of the convex set K of points $(x, x_s)'$ satisfying Conditions 7P

$$Ax + I_{m+n} x_s = b, \quad x \geq 0, x_s \geq 0 \quad (7P)$$

The linearly independent set (a basis) of $m + n$ vectors corresponding to $X^0 = (x^0, x_s^0)'$ (the subvector of positive components of \bar{X}) is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{If } x_{ij} > 0 \text{ then } d_{ij}\vec{e}_i + \vec{e}_{m+j}^\dagger \text{ is a member of the basis} \\
 &\text{If } x_{in'} > 0 \text{ then } \vec{e}_i \text{ is a member of the basis} \\
 &\text{If } x_{m'j} > 0 \text{ then } \vec{e}_{m+j} \text{ is a member of the basis}
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Recall that in the matrix maximum process if $x_{ij} > 0$ then not both $x_{in'} > 0$ and $x_{m'j} > 0$; hence if $d_{ij}\vec{e}_i + \vec{e}_{m+j}$ is a basis vector then not both \vec{e}_i and \vec{e}_{m+j} are basis vectors. Conversely, if both $x_{in'} > 0$ and $x_{m'j} > 0$ then $x_{ij} = 0$; hence if \vec{e}_i and \vec{e}_{m+j} are basis vectors then $d_{ij}\vec{e}_i + \vec{e}_{m+j}$ is not. The set of $m + n$ column vectors selected from the matrix (A, I_{m+n}) using Definition 14 and denoted by B (the ordered matrix of such column vectors) is thus linearly independent and satisfies the condition

$$BX^0 = b$$

Hence \bar{X} is an extreme point of K .

Corresponding to X^0 satisfying the equation $BX^0 = b$ is a vector w^0 satisfying the equation $B'w^0 = c^0$ where c^0 is the vector of costs (payoffs) associated with X^0 . If the $m + n$ components of w are written $w = (u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n)'$ then the scalar form of the equation $B'w = c$ or $w'B = c'$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{ij}u_i + v_j = c_{ij}^0 & \quad \text{if } x_{ij} > 0 \\
 u_i = 0 & \quad \text{if } x_{in'} > 0 \\
 v_j = 0 & \quad \text{if } x_{m'j} > 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{15} \quad (m+n) \text{ equations}$$

Solutions to Eqs 15 satisfy Condition 7S, $\langle w, x_s \rangle + \langle w_s, x \rangle = 0$. Since $x_{in'} > 0$ implies $u_i = 0$ and $x_{m'j} > 0$ implies $v_j = 0$ then $\langle w, x_s \rangle = 0$. Similarly, if $x_{ij} > 0$ implies $w_{s_{ij}} = d_{ij}u_i + v_j - c_{ij} = 0$ then $\langle w_s, x \rangle = 0$.

3. Optimality Test (Testing Conditions 7D)

The set of necessary and sufficient Conditions 7D required for optimality of X^0 is rewritten here for reference.

$^\dagger \vec{e}_i$ here is a unit column vector in E^{m+n} .

$\vec{e}_i = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)'$
 \uparrow i th component

$$\begin{aligned}
 A'w - I_{mn}u_s &= c \\
 \left. \begin{aligned}
 w_s &\geq 0 \\
 w &\geq 0
 \end{aligned} \right\} (7D)
 \end{aligned}$$

The scalar form of Conditions 7D is

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 d_{ij}u_i + v_j - w_{s_{ij}} &= c_{ij} \quad | \quad mn \text{ equations} \\
 w_{s_{ij}} &\geq 0 \quad | \quad mn \text{ inequalities} \\
 u_i \geq 0, v_j \geq 0 &\quad | \quad m + n \text{ inequalities}
 \end{aligned} \right\} (7\bar{D}) \quad (16)$$

Let $(u_1^0, \dots, u_m^0, v_1^0, \dots, v_n^0)$ be the solution to Eqs 15. Since Eq 16 must hold for optimality we must have $w_{s_{ij}}^0 \geq 0, u_i^0 \geq 0, v_j^0 \geq 0$ [(mn + m + n) inequalities] where

$$w_{s_{ij}}^0 = d_{ij}u_i^0 + v_j^0 - c_{ij} \quad (17)$$

If $w_{s_{ij}}^0 \geq 0, u_i^0 \geq 0, v_j^0 \geq 0$ for all i, j then X^0 is optimal and the algorithm is terminated. If, however, $w_{s_{ij}}^0 < 0$ for some i, j or $u_i^0 < 0$ or $v_j^0 < 0$ for some i or j , an improvement in the solution X^0 can be made.

4. Nonoptimal Solutions; Finding the Greatest Violation of the Dual Space Constraints; Identifying the Associated Vector for Entry into the Primal Solution Space Basis

The greatest violation, V , of the dual space constraints (Conditions 7 \bar{D}) is simply

$$V = \min \left\{ \min_{i,j} |u_{s_{ij}}^0| w_{s_{ij}}^0 < 0; \min_i |u_i^0| u_i^0 < 0; \min_j |v_j^0| v_j^0 < 0 \right\} \quad (18)$$

Depending on which of the above three bracketed minimums is largest in magnitude, the corresponding vector chosen to enter the new basis is one of the three types of vectors $d_{ij}\vec{e}_i + \vec{e}_{m+j}, \vec{e}_i$, or \vec{e}_{m+j} .

5. Finding the Representation of the New Basis Vector in Terms of the Current Basis Vectors

Consider the three cases (a) $V = w_{s_{ij}}^0$, (b) $V = u_i^0$, (c) $V = v_j^0$ that can result from Eq 18.† The vector equation to be solved for a singly indexed system is

$$\vec{A}_k = B y_k \quad \text{or} \quad y_k = B^{-1} \vec{A}_k \quad (19)$$

†The bar denotes the minimizing index or indexes in Eq 18.

where y_k is the vector of coordinates of \vec{A}_k relative to the basis of column vectors of B.

Corresponding to cases a, b, or c the following vector equation is solved for $y_{ij}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}$, the $m+n$ components of the entering basis vector.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{(a) } d_{i\bar{i}} \vec{e}_{\bar{i}} + \vec{e}_{m+\bar{j}} \\ \text{(b) } \vec{e}_{\bar{i}} \\ \text{(c) } \vec{e}_{m+\bar{j}} \end{array} \right\} = \sum_{i,j} y_{ij}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} (d_{ij} \vec{e}_i + \vec{e}_{m+j}) + \sum_i y_{in}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} \vec{e}_i + \sum_j y_{mj}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} \vec{e}_{m+j} \quad (20)$$

$$x_{ij} > 0 \qquad x_{in'} > 0 \qquad x_{m'j} > 0$$

Equation 20 leads to the following three sets of scalar equations in $y_{ij}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}$, $y_{in'}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}$, or $y_{m'j}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}$:

For Eq 20a

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \sum_j y_{ij}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} d_{ij} + y_{in}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = d_{i\bar{i}} \quad \text{if } i = \bar{i} \\ x_{ij} > 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \sum_j y_{ij}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} d_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq \bar{i} \\ x_{ij} > 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \sum_i y_{ij}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} + y_{mj}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = 1 \quad \text{if } j = \bar{j} \\ x_{ij} > 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n) \quad (21)$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \sum_i y_{ij}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = 0 \quad \text{if } j \neq \bar{j} \\ x_{ij} > 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

For Eq 20b

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \sum_j y_{ij}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} d_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = \bar{i} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq \bar{i} \end{cases} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m) \\ x_{ij} > 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad (22)$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \sum_i y_{ij}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = 0 \\ x_{ij} > 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

For Eq 20c

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \sum_j y_{ij}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} d_{ij} = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, m) \\ x_{ij} > 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \sum_i y_{ij}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = \bar{j} \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq \bar{j} \end{cases} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n) \\ x_{ij} > 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad (23)$$

6. Selecting the Vector To Leave the Current Basis

Once the vector that enters the new basis has been found, the associated positive components of the new primal solution X_{new}^o must also satisfy Conditions 7P for primal feasibility. Hence we have

$$BX^o = B_{new}X_{new}^o = b \quad X_{new}^o \geq 0 \quad (24)$$

or

$$BX^o - \theta \begin{Bmatrix} (a) \ d_{ij}^{-1}\bar{c}_i + \bar{c}_{m+j} \\ (b) \ \bar{c}_i \\ (c) \ \bar{c}_{m+j} \end{Bmatrix} = \theta \begin{Bmatrix} (a) \ d_{ij}^{-1}\bar{c}_i + \bar{c}_{m+j} \\ (b) \ \bar{c}_i \\ (c) \ \bar{c}_{m+j} \end{Bmatrix} = b \quad (25)$$

$$= BX^o - \theta \begin{Bmatrix} (a) \ \theta y_{ij}^{-1} \\ (b) \ \theta y_{in'} \\ (c) \ \theta y_{m'j} \end{Bmatrix} = \theta \begin{Bmatrix} (a) \ d_{ij}^{-1}\bar{c}_i + \bar{c}_{m+j} \\ (b) \ \bar{c}_i \\ (c) \ \bar{c}_{m+j} \end{Bmatrix} = b \quad (26)$$

$$= B \begin{Bmatrix} X^o - (a) \ \theta y_{ij}^{-1} \\ (b) \ \theta y_{in'} \\ (c) \ \theta y_{m'j} \end{Bmatrix} = \theta \begin{Bmatrix} (a) \ d_{ij}^{-1}\bar{c}_i + \bar{c}_{m+j} \\ (b) \ \bar{c}_i \\ (c) \ \bar{c}_{m+j} \end{Bmatrix} = b \quad (27)$$

Since $X_{new}^o > 0$ we have in particular (a) $x_{ij}^o = \theta > 0$, or (b) $x_{in'}^o = \theta > 0$, or (c) $x_{m'j}^o = \theta > 0$ corresponding to the new basis vector a, b, or c. The remaining $m + n - 1$ column vectors of B_{new} are determined by eliminating that column vector of B whose new associated primal solution component $x_{ij_{new}}^o$ vanishes.

This elimination is accomplished as follows. Writing the expressions in the left braces of Eq 27 in component form, we have

$$x_{ij_{new}}^o = \begin{Bmatrix} (a) \ \theta y_{ij}^{-1} \\ (b) \ \theta y_{in'} \\ (c) \ \theta y_{m'j} \end{Bmatrix} \quad \text{for all } (i,j) \ni x_{ij}^o > 0 \quad (28a)$$

$$x_{in'_{new}}^o = x_{in'}^o - \theta y_{in'}^{-1} \quad \text{for all } (i,n') \ni x_{in'}^o > 0 \quad (28b)$$

$$x_{m'j_{new}}^o = x_{m'j}^o - \theta y_{m'j}^{-1} \quad \text{for all } (m',j) \ni x_{m'j}^o > 0 \quad (28c)$$

Since we want $x_{ij_{new}}^o$, or $x_{in'_{new}}^o$, or $x_{m'j_{new}}^o$ to vanish we select positive θ from Eq 29

$$\theta = \hat{\theta} = \min_{ij} \left\{ \frac{x_{ij}^0}{y_{ij}^0} \mid y_{ij}^0 > 0 \right\} \quad \begin{matrix} (i = 1, \dots, m') \\ (j = 1, \dots, n') \end{matrix} \quad (29)$$

If the minimizing indexes in Eq 29 are $(i, j) = (p, q)$ then $x_{pq_{new}}^0 = 0$ and $d_{pq} \vec{e}_p + \vec{e}_{m',q}$ leaves the basis if $p \neq m'$ or $q \neq n'$, \vec{e}_p leaves the basis if $a = n'$, and $\vec{e}_{m',q}$ leaves the basis if $p = m'$.

7. Expressing the Solution in Terms of the New Basis

The new solution X_{new}^0 has components expressed by Eqs 28a to 28c with $\theta = \hat{\theta}$. In particular, as mentioned before, $x_{pq_{new}}^0 = 0$ and $x_{ij}^0 = \hat{\theta}$ for the primal variables associated with the leaving and entering vectors respectively.

8. Return to Step 2

Self-explanatory.

7. EFFECT OF NEW SOLUTIONS ON VALUE OF OBJECTIVE FUNCTION

There is associated with any violation of Conditions 7D a new solution (X_{new}^0) to Conditions 7P that improves the value of the objective function $\langle c^0, X^0 \rangle$ and at the same time eliminates the specific violation of 7D.

Recall from step 6 of the algorithm Conditions 7P are preserved when a new vector \vec{A}_k enters the basis, thus

$$\begin{aligned} B X^0 - \theta A_k + \theta \vec{A}_k &= b \\ B X^0 - \theta B y_k + \theta \vec{A}_k &= b \quad \vec{A}_k = B y_k \\ B(X^0 - \theta y_k) + \theta \vec{A}_k &= b \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

For the corresponding expression to the objective function value we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle c^0, (X^0 - \theta y_k) \rangle + \theta c_k & \\ \text{or } \langle c^0, X^0 \rangle - \theta \langle c^0, y_k \rangle &= \langle c^0, y_k \rangle \quad (\text{new objective function value}) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

The term $(c_k - \langle c^0, y_k \rangle)$ corresponds to $(c_k - z_k)$ in general simplex notation and in the notation of this paper to (a) $-w_{s_k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq mm$ when $\vec{A}_k = d_{ij} \vec{e}_i + \vec{e}_{m',j}$, $k = n(i-1) + j$, or (b) $-u_i$ when $\vec{A}_k = \vec{e}_i$, $mm \cdot k \leq mm \cdot m$, or (c) $-v_j$ when $\vec{A}_k = \vec{e}_{m',j}$

$mm + m < k \leq mm + m + n$. Thus for positive θ and any violation of Conditions 7D, i.e., $w_{s_k} < 0$, $u_i < 0$, or $v_j < 0$, there is an associated improvement in the objective function value of magnitude (a) $-\theta w_{s_k}$, (b) $-\theta u_i$, or (c) $-\theta v_j$ when the vector (a) \vec{A}_k , (b) \vec{e}_i , or (c) \vec{e}_{m+j} enters the new basis. Condition 7S guarantees that the violation will be eliminated for the next iteration.

Throughout the algorithm values for z_k ($z_k = \langle c^0, y_k \rangle$) are not computed using the y_k representation of \vec{A}_k (i.e., the representation relative to basis vectors B), but from the relation $z_k = \langle w^0, \vec{A}_k \rangle$ which makes for greater efficiency in computation.

8. COMPUTATIONAL EXPERIENCE

The algorithm briefly called MATMAX was originally used to solve the linear subproblems described in Ref 1 with $m = 3$, $n = 4$. During the process of convergence to a single larger nonlinear programming problem solution to which the linear programming Prob 3 is only a constraint, it became necessary to solve the linear problems in the order of ten thousand times. The need for an algorithm faster than the standard simplex algorithm thus arose.

TABLE 1
Solution Times for MATMAX and Standard Simplex Algorithms^{a,3}

Number of constraints		MATMAX, sec	Simplex, ⁷ sec	Simplex, ^b sec
m	n			
5	4	0.16	0.60	0.36
10	12	5.56	31.51	15.84
18	24	24.88	297.10	114.98

^aSolution times are based on single precision operations in FORTRAN IV using the IBM 7044 computer.

^bSee App C.

The MATMAX algorithm has been compared for solution time with the simplex algorithm⁷ and an even faster simplex algorithm given in App C. The A matrix (with identity) requires 19,988 = 42 × 474 storage locations for the $m = 18$, $n = 24$ simplex algorithm, thus limiting the size of "incore" comparison of the algorithms. Solution times for MATMAX and standard simplex algorithms are shown in Table 1.

The success of the algorithm currently depends on being able to solve the $m + n$ linear equations Eqs 15 in u_i and v_j sequentially, i.e., on solving for the nonzero u_i and v_j in terms of zero valued u_i and/or v_j .

If, however, it is not possible to solve the system of Eqs 15 sequentially during some iteration of the algorithm, an attempt is made to bypass the difficulty. The algorithm then attempts to proceed to the optimum by avoiding the particular vertex for which Eqs 15 could not be solved. Currently the algorithm returns to phase 1 (the matrix maximum phase), this time assigning one less x_{ij} having positive cost c_{ij} and one more positive slack variable (partial matrix maximum) than was assigned the prior solution of phase 1. Beginning with this solution in phase 2 (simplex) a new sequence of vertexes is generated for which the problem of nonsequential solvability of Eqs 15 is frequently avoided.

The above process has worked successfully on most problems of moderate size but has failed on one with $m = 30$, $n = 32$. In some situations more than one return to phase 1 may be required in order to find a sequence of vertexes for which Eqs 15 may be solved.

It is, of course, possible to solve Eqs 15 when sequential methods fail. However, the logic of loop-tracing techniques required in such situations is complex and is not currently employed. Alternative methods that use the sequential solvability of Eqs 15 as a secondary criterion for selecting the next neighboring vertex are under investigation.

APPENDIXES

A. Computer Program for Algorithm	18
Introduction	18
Program	19
B. Sample Problem	27
Example	27
Input Constants	31
Phase 1 Iterations	32
Detailed Description of One Iteration of Phase 2	35
Phase 2, Remaining Iterations	39
Figure	
B1. Sample Data for Aircraft Allocation Problem	28
C. Comparative Simplex Algorithm	43

Appendix A

COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR THE ALGORITHM

INTRODUCTION

A listing of the computer program for the algorithm follows. The algorithm has been used successfully on problems of moderate size (see Sec 8, "Computational Experience"). The comment cards identify appropriate subsections of the program as described in Sec 6, "Details of the Algorithm."

The success of the algorithm currently depends on being able to solve the $m + n$ linear equations Eqs 15 in u_i and v_j sequentially, i.e., on solving for the nonzero u_i and v_j in terms of zero valued u_i and/or v_j , as discussed in "Computational Experience."

Several working arrays are used for calculations; namely, the AE, ABASIS, YY, ABAR, BBAR, U, V, ISUM, and JSUM arrays.

The value of the objective function is printed out every k th iteration by setting IWRITE = k on the first input card. In addition, a detailed printout will be given every k th iteration by setting ITAB = 1 on the same card.

The computer program of App C accepts exactly the same input cards as the following program, with the exception as stated in App C.

The subroutine TODAY called twice in the program is used for timing purpose only. The general user should not call this subroutine.

PROGRAM

FORTRAN SOURCE LIST

```

15001 SOURCE STATEMENT
7 15001 MATMAX
1 15002 DIMENSION CF(35,35),DE(36,36),AE(36,36),ADASIS(36,36),YY(36,36)
2 15003 DIMENSION ABAK(36),BBAR(36),ISUM(36),JSUM(36)
3 15004 DIMENSION AA(36),BB(36)
4 15005 DIMENSION XX(35,35),U(35),V(35)
5 15006 FORMAT(1H1)
6 15007 FORMAT(1H )
7 15008 FORMAT(4110)
10 15009 FORMAT(6F12.6)
11 15010 FORMAT(15X,6E16.6)
12 15011 FORMAT(15X,4HMS= ,12,4X,4HNS= ,12,15X,30HFREQ. OF OBJ. FUNCT. PRIN
ITOUT ,12,5X,29HDETAILED PRINTOUT IF ITAB = 1,5X,5HITAB=,12//)
13 15012 FORMAT(37X,46HINPUT CONSTANTS FOR OPTIMAL ALLOCATION PROBLEM//)
14 15013 FORMAT(15X,24HINPUT VALUES FOR CE(I,J)//)
15 15014 FORMAT(15X,24HINPUT VALUES FOR DE(I,J)//)
16 15015 FORMAT(15X,45HINPUT VALUES FOR AA(I) OF THE ROW CONSTRAINTS//)
17 15016 FORMAT(15X,43HINPUT VALUES FOR BB(J) OF THE COLUMN CONSTRAINTS//)
21 15017 FORMAT( 52HDEGENERACY OCCURS FOR ABAK(I) BBAR(J) TRY NEW DELTA I)
21 15018 FORMAT( 7HIMAX = ,13,7HJMAX = ,13)
22 15019 FORMAT( 13HABAK(IMAX) = ,E14.8,13HBBAR(JMAX) = ,E14.8)
23 15020 FORMAT(//57HTOTAL EXECUTION TIME FOR ALGORITHM = ,F12.7.1X,4HSEC.)
24 15021 FORMAT(8110)
25 15022 FORMAT(47X,23HDETAILS OF THE SOLUTION//)
26 15023 FORMAT(//44HNUMBER OF ITERATIONS AFTER INITIAL SOLUTION ,15)
27 15024 FORMAT(//34HVALUE OF PRIMAL OBJECTIVE FUNCTION,E20.6)
30 15025 FORMAT(//32HVALUE OF DUAL OBJECTIVE FUNCTION,E20.6)
31 15026 FORMAT(15X,4HR ,12,5X,7HCOLUMN ,12,5X,11HALLOCATION ,E12.6,5X,23
1HRETURN FROM ALLOCATION ,E12.6)
32 15027 FORMAT(//74HVALUES OF THE DUAL SPACE VARIABLES (LAGRANGE MULTIPLI
IERS , SHADOW PRICES))
33 15028 FORMAT(15X,4HROW ,12,5X,6HU(I)= ,E12.6)
34 15029 FORMAT(7X,7HCOLUMN ,12,5X,6HV(J)= ,E12.6)
35 15030 FORMAT(//37HMAXIMUM VIOLATION OF DUAL CONSTRAINTS,E15.6)
36 15031 FORMAT(//15X,28HSTARTING NEW SEQUENCE NUMBER,14)
37 15032 FORMAT(5X,4HROW,13)
40 15033 FORMAT(15X,41HTOTAL ITERATIONS IN LAST VERTEX SEQUENCE,13)
41 15034 FORMAT(15X,47HNUMBER OF POS. XX(I,J) TO BE ASSIGNED BY MATMAX,13)
42 15035 FORMAT(5X,10HITERATION ,14,8X,28HPRIOR VALUE OF OBJ FUNCTION ,E12.
16,8X,31HMAX. VIOLATION OF DUAL CONSTR. ,E12.6//)
43 15036 FORMAT(//32HMATRIX MAXIMUM ITERATION NUMBER ,14)
44 15037 FORMAT(5X,19HALLOCATION SELECTED,4X,4HROW ,14,4X,5HCOL. ,14,4X,9HX
1X(I,J)= ,F12.6)
45 15038 FORMAT(5X15HENTERING VECTOR,215,8X,14HLEAVING VECTOR,215,8X,20HXX(
11ENTER,1ENTER) = ,F12.6//)
46 15039 FORMAT(5X,30HVALUE OF OBJECTIVE FUNCTION = ,E12.6)
47 15040 FORMAT(//35X,30HDETAILED INTERMEDIATE PRINTOUT//)
50 15041 FORMAT(//10X,30HCURRENT SOLUTION ARRAY XX(I,J)//)
51 15042 FORMAT(//15X,15HUNUSED RESOURCES,5X,26HCOLUMNS 1 THRU NS IN ORDER//)
52 15043 FORMAT(//15X,17HUNUSED CAPACITIES,5X,23HROWS 1 THRU MS IN ORDER//)
53 15044 FORMAT(//15X,50HPRIOR VALUES OF THE DUAL VARIABLES U(I) IN ORDER)
54 15045 FORMAT(//15X,50HPRIOR VALUES OF THE DUAL VARIABLES V(J) IN ORDER)
55 WRITE(6,19001)
56 WRITE(6,19007)
57 READ(5,19003)MS,NS,IWRITE,ITAB
64 WRITE(6,19006)MS,NS,IWRITE,ITAB

```

FURTRAN SOURCE LIST MATMAX

```

15W      SOURCE STATEMENT

65      WRITE(6,19002)
66      WRITE(6,19003)
67      DO 19050 I=1,MS
70      READ(5,19004)(CE(I,J),J=1,NS)
75      WRITE(6,19007)I
76 19050 WRITE(6,19005)(CE(I,J),J=1,NS)
104      WRITE(6,19002)
105      WRITE(6,19009)
106      DO 19060 I=1,MS
107      READ(5,19004)(DE(I,J),J=1,NS)
109      WRITE(6,19007)I
110 19060 WRITE(6,19005)(DE(I,J),J=1,NS)
120      WRITE(6,19002)
124      WRITE(6,19010)
125      READ(5,19004)(AA(I),I=1,MS)
132      WRITE(6,19005)(AA(I),I=1,MS)
137      WRITE(6,19002)
140      WRITE(6,19011)
141      READ(5,19004)(BB(J),J=1,NS)
140      WRITE(6,19005)(BB(J),J=1,NS)
153      WRITE(6,19001)
154      CALL TODAY(0,ITIME,IDAT)
C---GENERATION OF BASIC FEASIBLE SOLUTION USING MATRIX MAXIMUM-19100-199
155      MTOTAL = MS * NS
156      MBIG    = MS + NS
157      DELTA1 = .1E-5
160      DELTA2 = .1E-9
161      NSS = NS + 1
162      MSS = MS + 1
163      MUUT = MBIG
164 19100 I1 = 0
165      TEMP2 = 0.
166      AMAT = 0.
167      DO 19105 I=1,MS
170 19105 ABAK(I)=AA(I)
172      DO 19110 J=1,NS
173 19110 BBAR(J)=DE(J)
175      DO 19140 L=1,MUUT
176      AMAX = 0.
177      DO 19140 I=1,MS
200      IF(ABAK(I)-.1E-6)19140,19140,19120
201 19120 DO 19135 J=1,NS
202      IF(BBAR(J) - .1E-6)19135,19135,19125
203 19125 IF(CE(I,J) - AMAX)19135,19135,19130
204 19130 AMAX = CE(I,J)
205      IMAX = I
206      JMAX = J
207 19135 CONTINUE
211 19140 CONTINUE
213      IF(AMAX)19200,19200,19150
214 19150 ABAKTP = ABAK(IMAX)/ DE(IMAX,JMAX)
215      AE(IMAX,JMAX) = CE(IMAX,JMAX)
216      BBARTP = BBAR(JMAX)
217      IF(ABARTP - BBARTP)19160,19170,19180
C---BRANCH 19170 IS FOR DEGENERACY ---

```

FORTRAN SOURCE LIST MATMAX

```

ISN      SOURCE STATEMENT
220 19160 XX(IMAX,JMAX)=ABARTP
221      ABAR(IMAX)= 0.
222      BBAR(JMAX)= BBARTP - ABARTP
223      IF(ITAB - 1)19199,19165,19199
224 19165 TEMP2 = TEMP2 + CE(IMAX,JMAX) * XX(IMAX,JMAX)
225      MMAT = MMAT + 1
226      WRITE(6,19031)MATMA
227      WRITE(6,19032)IMAX,JMAX,XX(IMAX,JMAX)
228      WRITE(6,19034)TEMP2
229      GO TO 19199
230 19170 ABAR(IMAX)= ABAR(IMAX) + DELTA1
231      IDEGEN = IDEGEN + 1
232      IF(IDEGEN - MTOTAL)19150,19150,19175
233 19175 WRITE(6,19012)
234      WRITE(6,19013)IMAX,JMAX
235      WRITE(6,19014)ABAR(IMAX),BBAR(JMAX)
236      IDEGEN = (
237      DELTA1 = 10. * DELTA1
238      GO TO 19170
239 19180 XX(IMAX,JMAX)=BBARTP
240      BBAR(JMAX) =0.
241      ABAR(IMAX) = ABAR(IMAX) - DE(IMAX,JMAX)* BBARTP
242      IF(ITAB - 1)19199,19185,19199
243 19185 TEMP2 = TEMP2 + CE(IMAX,JMAX) * XX(IMAX,JMAX)
244      MMAT = MMAT + 1
245      WRITE(6,19031)MATMA
246      WRITE(6,19032)IMAX,JMAX,XX(IMAX,JMAX)
247      WRITE(6,19034)TEMP2
248 19199 MMAT = MMAT + 1
249      C-----SOLVE FOR THE DUAL SPACE VARIABLES U(I) AND V(J) 19200-19299
250 19200 IDUAL = 0
251      DO 19206 I=1,MS
252      IF(ABAR(I) - .1E-6)19202,19202,19204
253 19202 U(I)= 1.E+35
254      GO TO 19206
255 19204 U(I)= 0.
256      IF(AA(I) - ABAR(I))19206,19206,19205
257 19205 IDUAL = IDUAL + 1
258 19206 CONTINUE
259      DO 19212 J=1,NS
260      IF(BBAR(J) - .1E-6)19208,19208,19210
261 19208 V(J)=1.E+35
262      GO TO 19212
263 19210 V(J)= 0.
264      IF(BB(J) - BBAR(J))19212,19212,19211
265 19211 IDUAL = IDUAL + 1
266 19212 CONTINUE
267      IF(IDUAL - 1)19215,19219,19219
268 19215 MULTI = MMAT - 1
269      IF(MULTI - 2)19411,19216,19216
270 19216 DO 19218 I=1,MSS
271      DO 19217 J=1,NSS
272      AF(I,J) = 0.
273 19217 CONTINUE
274 19218 CONTINUE

```

FURTRAN SOURCE LIST MATMAX

```

ISN      SOURCE STATEMENT
313      IVERT = IVERT + 1
314      WRITE(6,19026)IVERT
315      WRITE(6,19028)II
316      WRITE(6,19029)MOU
317      IPRINT = 0
32       GO TO 19100
321 19219 IFINAL = 0
322      MDUAL = 0
323 19220 IF(IFINAL - MS)19222,19300,19300
324 19222 MDUAL = MDUAL + 1
325      IF(MDUAL - MBIG)19225,19225,19215
326 19225 IFINAL = 0
327      DO 19290 I=1,MS
328      IF(U(I) - 1.E+35)19260,19230,19260
331 19230 DO 19250 J=1,NS
332      IF(V(J) - 1.E+35)19235,19250,19235
333 19235 IF(AE(I,J))19250,19250,19240
334 19240 U(I) = (CE(I,J) - V(J)) / DE(I,J)
335      GO TO 19290
336 19250 CONTINUE
340      GO TO 19290
341 19260 IFINAL = IFINAL + 1
342      DO 19280 JJ=1,NS
343      IF(V(JJ) - 1.E+35)19280,19265,19280
344 19265 IF(AE(I,JJ))19280,19280,19270
345 19270 V(JJ) = CE(I,JJ) - DE(I,JJ) * U(I)
346 19280 CONTINUE
350 19290 CONTINUE
352      GO TO 19220
C---OPTIMALITY TEST 19300-19399-----
353 19300 DIFMIN = 0.
354      DO 19302 I=1,MS
355      IF(U(I) - DIFMIN)19301,19302,19302
356 19301 DIFMIN = U(I)
357      IENTER = I
360      JENTER = NSS
361 19302 CONTINUE
363      DO 19304 J=1,NS
364      IF(V(J) - DIFMIN)19303,19304,19304
365 19303 DIFMIN = V(J)
366      JENTER = J
367      IENTER = MSS
370 19304 CONTINUE
372      DO 19321 I=1,MS
373      DO 19320 J=1,NS
374      IF(AE(I,J))19320,19305,19320
375 19305 DIF = DE(I,J) * U(I) + V(J) - CE(I,J)
376      IF(DIF)19310,19320,19320
377 19310 IF(DIF - DIFMIN)19315,19320,19320
400 19315 DIFMIN = DIF
401      IENTER = I
402      JENTER = J
403 19320 CONTINUE
405 19321 CONTINUE
407      IF(DIFMIN + DELTA2)19400,19325,19325

```

FURTRAN SOURCE LIST MATMAX

```

150      SOURCE STATEMENT
      C---EXIT 19325 IS FOR OPTIMAL SOLUTIONS---ALL DIF ARE NON NEGATIVE
410 19325 CONTINUE
411      CALL TODAY(1,ITIME,IDAT)
412      TIME = FLOAT(ITIME)/60.
413      WRITE(6,19015)TIME
414      WRITE(6,19018)I1
415      WRITE(6,19025)DIFMIN
416      WRITE(6,19001)
417      WRITE(6,19017)
420      PRIMAL = 0.
421      DUAL = 0.
422      DO 19340 I=1,MS
423      DO 19335 J=1,NS
424      IF(AE(I,J))19335,19335,19330
425 19330 TEMP = XX(I,J)*CE(I,J)
426      PRIMAL = PRIMAL + TEMP
427      WRITE(6,19021)I,J,XX(I,J),TEMP
430 19335 CONTINUE
432 19340 CONTINUE
434      WRITE(6,19019)PRIMAL
435      WRITE(6,19022)
436      DO 1935 I=1,MS
437      WRITE(6,19023)I,U(I)
440      DUAL = DUAL + AA(I) * U(I)
441 1935 CONTINUE
442      DO 1936 J=1,NS
444      WRITE(6,19024)J,V(J)
445      DUAL = DUAL + BB(J) * V(J)
446 1936 CONTINUE
45      WRITE(6,19020)DUAL
451      CALL EXIT
      C---REPRESENTATION OF ENTERING VECTOR BY CURRENT BASIS 19400-19499---
452 19400 ABAR(ISS) = 0.
453      BBAR(ISS) = 0.
454      NSPACE = 0
455      DO 19401 I=1,MSS
456      ISUM(I) = 0
457      AE(I,NSS) = ABAR(I)
460 19401 DE(I,NSS) = 0.
462      DO 19402 J=1,NSS
463      JSUM(J) = 0
464      AE(MSS,J) = BBAR(J)
465 19402 DE(MSS,J) = 0.
467      DO 19403 I=1,MSS
470      DO 19404 J=1,NSS
471      ABASIS(I,J) = AE(I,J)
472      YY(I,J) = 0.
473      IF(AE(I,J))19404,19404,19405
474 19403 ISUM(I) = ISUM(I) + 1
475      JSUM(J) = JSUM(J) + 1
476      NSPACE = NSPACE + 1
477 19404 CONTINUE
501 19405 CONTINUE
503      I1=I1+1
504      IF(IENTER - MSS)19407,19406,19407

```

FURTRAN SOURCE LIST MATMAX

```

154 SOURCE STATEMENT
505 19406 DE(IENTER,NSS)=0.
506 DE(NSS,JENTER) = 1.
507 GO TO 19410
508 19407 IF(JENTER = NSS)19409,19408,19409
509 19408 DE(IENTER,NSS)=1.
510 DE(MSS,JENTER) = 0.
511 GO TO 19410
512 19409 DE(MSS,JENTER) = 1.
513 DE(IENTER,NSS) = DE(IENTER,JENTER)
514 19410 IF(I1 - NTOTAL)19414,19414,19411
515 19411 WRITE(6,1905)510IFMIN
516 WRITE(6,19053)I1
517 WRITE(6,19016)(ISUM(I),I=1,MS)
518 WRITE(6,19016)(JSUM(J),J=1,NS)
519 DO 19412 I=1,MSS
520 19412 WRITE(6,19004)(AE(I,J),J=1,NSS)
521 WRITE(6,19016)IENTER,JENTER
522 DO 19413 I = 1,MSS
523 19413 WRITE(6,19004)(ABASIS(I,J),J=1,NSS)
524 GO TO 19425
525 19414 CONTINUE
526 NTOTAL = 0
527 IREPRE = 0
528 19415 IF(NTOTAL - MBIG)19417,19495,19495
529 19417 IREPRE = IREPRE + 1
530 IF(IREPRE - MBIG)19420,19420,19411
531 19420 DO 19425 I=1,MS
532 IF(ISUM(I) - 1)19455,19425,19455
533 19425 DO 19445 J=1,NSS
534 IF(ABASIS(I,J))19445,19445,19430
535 19430 IF(J = NSS)19435,19440,19435
536 19435 YY(I,J) = DE(I,NSS)/DE(I,J)
537 DE(I,NSS) = YY(I,J)
538 DE(MSS,J) = DE(MSS,J) - YY(I,J)
539 GO TO 19450
540 19440 YY(I,J) = DE(I,NSS)
541 GO TO 19450
542 19445 CONTINUE
543 19450 ISUM(I) = ISUM(I) - 1
544 JSUM(J) = JSUM(J) - 1
545 NTOTAL = NTOTAL + 1
546 ABASIS(I,J) = 0.
547 19455 CONTINUE
548 IF(NTOTAL - MBIG)19460,19495,19495
549 19460 DO 19470 J=1,NS
550 IF(JSUM(J) - 1)19490,19470,19490
551 19470 DO 19480 I=1,MSS
552 IF(ABASIS(I,J))19480,19480,19475
553 19475 YY(I,J) = DE(MSS,J)
554 DE(I,NSS) = DE(I,NSS) - DE(I,J)*YY(I,J)
555 GO TO 19485
556 19480 CONTINUE
557 19485 ISUM(I) = ISUM(I) - 1
558 JSUM(J) = JSUM(J) - 1
559 ABASIS(I,J) = 0.

```

FORTRAN SOURCE LIST MATMAX

```

15N      SOURCE STATEMENT

621      NTOTAL = NTOTAL + 1
622 19490 CONTINUE
624      GO TO 19415
625 19495 CONTINUE
      C---VECTOR TO LEAVE BASIS IS NOW DETERMINED----19500 - 19599
626 19500 THETA = 1.E+35
627      DO 19525 I=1,MSS
628      DO 19520 J=1,NSS
629      IF(AB(I,J))19520,19520,19504
630 19504 IF(YY(I,J))19520,19520,19506
631 19506 IF(I - MSS)19510,19508,19510
632 19508 ALTEMP = BBAR(J)/YY(I,J)
633      GO TO 19510
634 19510 IF(J - NSS)19514,19512,19514
635 19512 ALTEMP = ABAK(I)/YY(I,J)
636      GO TO 19510
637 19514 ALTEMP = XX(I,J)/YY(I,J)
638 19510 IF(ALTEMP - THETA)19518,19520,19520
639 19518 THETA = ALTEMP
640      ILEAVE = I
641      JLEAVE = J
642 19520 CONTINUE
643 19525 CONTINUE
      C---TRANSFORM OLD SOLUTION IN TERMS OF CURRENT BASIS 19600-19699 ----
      C---NEW SOLUTION IS RETURNED TO 19200 -----
644 19600 DO 19620 I=1,MS
645      DO 19615 J=1,NS
646      IF(AB(I,J))19615,19615,19605
647 19605 IF(YY(I,J))19610,19615,19610
648 19610 XX(I,J) = XX(I,J) - THETA*YY(I,J)
649 19615 CONTINUE
650 19620 CONTINUE
651      DO 19630 I=1,MS
652      IF(YY(I,NSS))19625,19630,19625
653 19625 ABAK(I) = ABAK(I) - THETA * YY(I,NSS)
654 19630 CONTINUE
655      DO 19640 J=1,NS
656 19640 IF(YY(MSS,J))19635,19640,19635
657 19635 BBAR(J) = BBAR(J) - THETA * YY(MSS,J)
658 19640 CONTINUE
659      AL(ILEAVE,JLEAVE) = 0.
660      IF(ILEAVE - MSS)19642,19641,19642
661 19641 BBAR(JLEAVE) = J.
662      GO TO 19644
663 19642 IF(JLEAVE - NSS)19644,19643,19644
664 19643 ABAK(ILEAVE) = J.
665 19644 IF(IENTER - MSS)19650,19645,19650
666 19645 BBAR(JENTER) = THETA
667      AB(MSS,JENTER) = THETA
668      GO TO 19700
669 19650 IF(JENTER - NSS)19660,19655,19660
670 19655 ABAK(IENTER) = THETA
671      AB(IENTER,NSS) = THETA
672      GO TO 19700
673 19660 XX(IENTER,JENTER) = THETA

```


FORTRAN SOURCE LIST MATMAX

```

ISN      SOURCE STATEMENT
714      AE(IENTER,JENTER) = CE(IENTER,JENTER)
715      IF(ILEAVE - MSS)19675,19700,19675
716 19675 IF(JLEAVE - NSS)19680,19700,19700
717 19680 XX(ILEAVE,JLEAVE) = 0.
      C-----FREQUENCY OF OBJ. FUNCTION PRINTOUT CONTROLLED BY SETTING IWRITE-----
720 19700 IPRINT = IPRINT + 1
721      IF(IPRINT - IWRITE)19200,19710,19710
722 19710 IPRINT = 0
723      DUAL = 0.
724      DO 1975, I=1,MS
725 19750 DUAL = DUAL+ AA(I) * U(I)
727      DO 19760 J=1,NS
730 19760 DUAL = DUAL + BB(J) * V(J)
732      WRITE(6,19039)I1,DUAL,DIFMIN
      C-----DETAILED PRINTOUT CONTROLLED BY SETTING ITAB = 1 IN INPUT-----
733 19800 IF(ITAB - 1)19200,19810,19200
734 19810 WRITE(6,19001)
735      WRITE(6,19035)
736      WRITE(6,19039)
737      WRITE(6,19005)(U(I),I=1,MS)
744      WRITE(6,19040)
745      WRITE(6,19005)(V(J),J=1,NS)
752      WRITE(6,19033)IENTER,JENTER,ILEAVE,JLEAVE,THETA
753      WRITE(6,19035)
754      DO 19820 I=1,MS
755      WRITE(6,19027)I
756 19820 WRITE(6,19005)(XX(I,J),J=1,NS)
764      WRITE(6,19037)
765      WRITE(6,19005)(BBAR(J),J=1,NS)
772      WRITE(6,19038)
773      WRITE(6,19005)(ABAR(I),I=1,MS)
1000     GO TO 19200
1001     END

```

Appendix B

SAMPLE PROBLEM

EXAMPLE

A short example is given here to illustrate (a) the type of problem to which the algorithm can be applied and (b) the details of the solution process. The example with some modifications is taken from Ref 3. The problem is one of allocating several types of commercial aircraft to various routes (e.g., New York to Dallas) in order to maximize overall profit (revenue less operational costs) for the system (see Fig. B1). The problem can be stated as follows:

- Let m denote the total number of routes
- n denote the total number of types of aircraft
- a_i denote the anticipated number of passengers on route i per month
- b_j denote the number of aircraft of type j
- d_{ij} denote the monthly passenger-carrying capacity of aircraft type j on route i
- r_i denote the revenue per passenger on route i
- s_{ij} denote the monthly operational cost for operating aircraft type j on route i .

Then we seek to find the allocations x_{ij} of aircraft type j to route i for the system that maximize profit subject to constraints on the number of anticipated passengers using the various routes and the available number of each type of aircraft.

Specifically

maximize profit $z(x_{ij})$

Route <i>i</i>	Aircraft type <i>j</i>			
	1	2	3	4
1	1600			900
2	1500	1000	500	1100
3	2800	1400		2200
4	2300	1500	700	1700
5	8100	5700	2900	5500

a. Aircraft Passenger-Carrying Capacity per Month (d_{ij})

Route <i>i</i>	Aircraft type <i>j</i>			
	1	2	3	4
1	18,000			17,000
2	21,000	15,000	10,000	16,000
3	18,000	16,000		17,000
4	16,000	14,000	9,000	15,000
5	10,000	9,000	6,000	1,000

b. Operational Costs in Dollars per Month (s_{ij})

Fig. B1—Sample Data for Aircraft Allocation Problem

Blanks in cell i, j indicate that aircraft type j is never assigned to route i .

$m = 5$ (routes) $n = 4$ (types of aircraft)

Passenger data	Passenger fare data	Aircraft data
$a_1 = 25,000$	$r_1 = \$130.00$	$b_1 = 10$
$a_2 = 12,000$	$r_2 = \$130.00$	$b_2 = 19$
$a_3 = 18,000$	$r_3 = \$ 70.00$	$b_3 = 25$
$a_4 = 9,000$	$r_4 = \$ 70.00$	$b_4 = 15$
$a_5 = 60,000$	$r_5 = \$ 10.00$	

$$z(x_{ij}) = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^m r_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij} x_{ij} \right)}_{\text{revenue}} - \underbrace{\sum_{i,j}^{m,n} s_{ij} x_{ij}}_{\text{operational costs}} \quad (\text{B1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (r_i d_{ij} - s_{ij}) x_{ij}$$

subject to

$$\sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij} x_{ij} \leq a_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m) \quad \text{do not exceed passenger demand for each route } i$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} \leq b_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, n) \quad \text{do not exceed aircraft availability for each type } j$$

Data for $m = 5$, $n = 4$ are given in Table 1 as taken from Ferguson-Dantzig.³ It should be noted that if $c_{ij} = r_i d_{ij} - s_{ij}$ above, then the form of the problem is that of Prob 3. The Ferguson-Dantzig³ example requires that all aircraft be allocated, i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} = b_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$). The algorithm here does not require that all resources be allocated. However, at least all the row or all the column constraints will be binding for an optimal solution. If all the column constraints are binding, then of course the equality constraints on the columns are satisfied.

The example of Ferguson-Dantzig³ can be formulated from the example here for $m = 5$, $n = 4$ as follows:

Let

$$\begin{aligned} x_{i5} &= a_i - \sum_{j=1}^4 d_{ij} x_{ij} \\ s_{i5} &= r_i \quad d_{i5} = 1 \end{aligned} \tag{B2}$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x_{ij}} z(x_{ij}) &= \max_{x_{ij}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^5 r_i (a_i - x_{i5}) - \sum_{i=1}^5 \left(\sum_{j=1}^4 s_{ij} x_{ij} \right) \right] \\ &= \max_{x_{ij}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^5 r_i a_i - \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^5 \left(\sum_{j=1}^4 s_{ij} x_{ij} + r_i x_{i5} \right) \right\} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^5 r_i a_i - \min_{x_{ij}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^5 \left(\sum_{j=1}^4 s_{ij} x_{ij} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{B3}$$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^4 d_{ij} x_{ij} + x_{i5} &= \sum_{j=1}^5 d_{ij} x_{ij} = a_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, 4) \\ \sum_{i=1}^4 x_{ij} &= b_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, 5) \end{aligned}$$

Ferguson and Dantzig³ consider the problem

$$\min_{x_{ij}} \sum_{i=1}^{5,5} s_{ij} x_{ij}$$

subject to the two sets of constraints given.

Details of the solution are printed at each step of the solution for the problem expressed by Eq B1. A completely detailed description illustrating the algorithm on a step-by-step basis is presented between the first and second intermediate printouts (iterations) of phase 2 of the algorithm.

INPUT CONSTANTS

INPUT CONSTANTS FOR OPTIMAL ALLOCATION PROBLEM

MS= 5 NS= 4

FREQ. OF OBJ. FUNCT. PRINTOUT 1

INPUT VALUES FOR CF(I,J)

ROW 1	0.190000E 06	0.	0.	0.100000E 06
ROW 2	0.174000E 06	0.115000E 06	0.550000E 05	0.127000E 06
ROW 3	0.168000E 06	0.820000E 05	0.	0.137000E 06
ROW 4	0.145000E 06	0.910000E 05	0.400000E 05	0.104000E 06
ROW 5	0.710000E 05	0.480000E 05	0.230000E 05	0.450000E 05

INPUT VALUES FOR DE(I,J)

ROW 1	0.160000E 04	0.100000E 01	0.100000E 01	0.900000E 03
ROW 2	0.150000E 04	0.100000E 04	0.500000E 03	0.110000E 04
ROW 3	0.280000E 04	0.140000E 04	0.100000E 01	0.220000E 04
ROW 4	0.230000E 04	0.150000E 04	0.700000E 03	0.170000E 04
ROW 5	0.810000E 04	0.570000E 04	0.290000E 04	0.550000E 04

INPUT VALUES FOR AA(I) OF THE ROW CONSTRAINTS

0.250000E 05 0.120000E 05 0.180000E 05 0.900000E 04

INPUT VALUES FOR BB(J) OF THE COLUMN CONSTRAINTS

0.100000E 02 0.190000E 02 0.250000E 02 0.150000E 02

A

CR OPTIMAL ALLOCATION PROBLEM

REQ. OF OBJ. FUNCT. PRINTOUT 1 DETAILED PRINTOUT IF ITAB = 1 ITAB= 1

G. 0.100000E 06

0.550000E 05 0.127000E 06

G. 0.137000E 06

0.400000E 05 0.104000E 06

0.230000E 05 0.450000E 05

0.100000E 01 0.900000E 03

0.500000E 03 0.110000E 04

0.100000E 01 0.220000E 04

0.700000E 03 0.170000E 04

0.290000E 04 0.550000E 04

CONSTRAINTS

0.180000E 05 0.900000E 04 0.600000E 05

UPPER CONSTRAINTS

0.250000E 02 0.150000E 02

B

PHASE 1, ITERATIONS

MATRIX MAXIMUM ITERATION NUMBER	1						
ALLOCATION SELECTED	ROW	1	CUL.	1	XX(I,J)=	0.10000E 02	
VALUE OF OBJECTIVE FUNCTION =						0.19000E 07	
MATRIX MAXIMUM ITERATION NUMBER	2						
ALLOCATION SELECTED	ROW	3	CUL.	4	XX(I,J)=	0.81818E 01	
VALUE OF OBJECTIVE FUNCTION =						0.30209E 07	
MATRIX MAXIMUM ITERATION NUMBER	3						
ALLOCATION SELECTED	ROW	2	CUL.	4	XX(I,J)=	0.68182E 01	
VALUE OF OBJECTIVE FUNCTION =						0.38868E 07	
MATRIX MAXIMUM ITERATION NUMBER	4						
ALLOCATION SELECTED	ROW	2	CUL.	2	XX(I,J)=	0.45000E 01	
VALUE OF OBJECTIVE FUNCTION =						0.44043E 07	
MATRIX MAXIMUM ITERATION NUMBER	5						
ALLOCATION SELECTED	ROW	4	CUL.	2	XX(I,J)=	0.60000E 01	
VALUE OF OBJECTIVE FUNCTION =						0.49503E 07	
MATRIX MAXIMUM ITERATION NUMBER	6						
ALLOCATION SELECTED	ROW	5	CUL.	2	XX(I,J)=	0.85000E 01	
VALUE OF OBJECTIVE FUNCTION =						0.53583E 07	
MATRIX MAXIMUM ITERATION NUMBER	7						
ALLOCATION SELECTED	ROW	5	CUL.	3	XX(I,J)=	0.39828E 01	
VALUE OF OBJECTIVE FUNCTION =						0.54499E 07	
ITERATION	1	PRIOR	VALUE OF OBJ FUNCTION	0.54499E 07	MAX. VIOLATION OF		

I,J)= 0.10000E 02

I,J)= 0.81818E 01

I,J)= 0.68182E 01

I,J)= 0.45000E 01

I,J)= 0.60000E 01

I,J)= 0.85000E 01

I,J)= 0.39828E 01

0.54499E 07 MAX. VIOLATION OF DUAL CONSTR. -0.96428E 05

B

DETAILED INTERMEDIATE PRINTOUT

PRIOR VALUES OF THE DUAL VARIABLES U(I) IN ORDER
 0. 0.112207E 03 0.606489E 02 0.588046E 02

PRIOR VALUES OF THE DUAL VARIABLES V(J) IN ORDER
 0.190000E 06 0.279310E 04 0. 0.357242E 04
 ENTERING VECTOR 1 4 LEAVING VECTOR 2 4 XX(ENTER,

CURRENT SOLUTION ARRAY XX(I,J)

ROW 1	0.100000E 02	0.	0.	0.681818E 01
ROW 2	0.	0.120000E 02	0.	0.
ROW 3	0.	0.	0.	0.818182E 01
ROW 4	0.	0.600000E 01	0.	0.
ROW 5	0.	0.100000E 01	0.187241E 02	0.

UNUSED RESOURCES COLUMNS 1 THRU NS IN ORDER
 0. 0. 0.627586E 01 0.

UNUSED CAPACITIES ROWS 1 THRU MS IN ORDER

0.286364E 04 0. 0. 0.
 ITERATION 2 PRIOR VALUE OF OBJ FUNCTION 0.61074E 07 MAX.

A

FILED INTERMEDIATE PRINTOUT

DUAL VARIABLES U(I) IN ORDER

2267E 03 0.606489E 02 0.588046E 02 0.793103E 01

DUAL VARIABLES V(J) IN ORDER

9310E 04 0. 0.357242E 04
LEAVING VECTOR 2 4 XX(IENTER,JENTER) = 0.68182E 01

0. 0.681818E 01

0000E 02 0. 0.

0. 0.818182E 01

0000E 01 0. 0.

0000E 01 0.187241E 02 0.

COLUMNS 1 THRU NS IN ORDER

0.627586E 01 0.

ROWS 1 THRU MS IN ORDER

0. 0. 0.
OF OBJ FUNCTION 0.61074E 07 MAX. VIOLATION OF DUAL CONSTR. -0.55661E 05

B

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF ONE ITERATION OF PHASE 2

A detailed numerical examination of one iteration of phase 2 of the algorithm is presented here. Given the solution array $XX(I,J)$ of the previous page, we wish to test optimality of the solution. Proceeding as in step 2 of the algorithm we solve Eqs 15 for $(u_1, \dots, u_5, v_1, \dots, v_4)$.

Since $x_{63} = 6.27586 > 0$ and $x_{51} = 2863.63 > 0$, we have $v_3 = 0$ and $u_1 = 0$ immediately, i.e., we solve for the zero-valued dual-space variables first.

The remaining seven equations

$$d_{ij}u_i + v_j = c_{ij} \quad \text{if} \quad x_{ij} = 0$$

are solved sequentially. We have

x_{11}	10	$0 = v_1$	c_{11}	190,000		
x_{14}	6.81818	$0 = v_4$	c_{14}	100,000		
x_{53}	18.7241	$0 = u_5$	$\frac{c_{53}}{d_{53}}$	$\frac{23,000}{2,900}$	7.93103	
x_{52}	1	$0 = v_2$	$c_{52} - d_{52}u_5$	48,000	$(5700)(7.93103)$	2793.10
x_{22}	12	$0 = u_2$	$\frac{c_{22} - v_2}{d_{22}}$	$\frac{115,000 - 2793.10}{1000}$		112.207
x_{42}	6	$0 = u_4$	$\frac{c_{42} - v_2}{d_{42}}$	$\frac{91,000 - 2793.10}{1500}$		58.8046
x_{34}	7.54545	$0 = u_3$	$\frac{c_{34} - v_4}{d_{34}}$	$\frac{137,000 - 100,000}{2200}$		16.8182

Moving on to step 3 of the algorithm the optimality test is now made (i.e., Conditions 7D in scalar form of the $m + n + mn = 29$ equalities of Eq 16 are tested). It is seen directly that u_i ($i = 1, \dots, 5$) and v_j ($j = 1, \dots, 4$) are nonnegative. Seven of the remaining $mn = 20$ inequalities; namely, $w_{s_{ij}} = d_{ij}u_i + v_j - c_{ij} = 0$ for $x_{ij} > 0$ (i.e., for $x_{11}, x_{14}, x_{53}, x_{52}, x_{22}, x_{42}$, and x_{34}) are equalities, hence only the remaining $20 - 7 = 13$ values of $w_{s_{ij}}$ are tested for nonnegativity. Computing directly we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_{s_{12}} &= d_{12}u_1 + v_2 - c_{12} = (1)(0) + 2793.10 - 0 = 2793.10 > 0 \\
 w_{s_{13}} &= d_{13}u_1 + v_3 - c_{13} = (1)(0) + 0 - 0 = 0 \\
 w_{s_{21}} &= d_{21}u_2 + v_1 - c_{21} = (1500)(112.207) + 190,000 - 174,000 = 168,310.5 > 0 \\
 w_{s_{23}} &= d_{23}u_2 + v_3 - c_{23} = (500)(112.207) + 0 - 55,000 = 56,103.5 > 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
w_{s_{24}} &= d_{24}u_2 + v_4 - c_{24} = (1100)(112.207) + 100,000 - 127,000 > 0 \\
w_{s_{31}} &= d_{31}u_3 + v_1 - c_{31} = (2800)(16.8182) + 190,000 - 168,000 > 0 \\
w_{s_{32}} &= d_{32}u_3 + v_2 - c_{32} = (1400)(16.8182) + 2793.10 - 82,000 \\
&= -55,661.42 < 0 \\
w_{s_{33}} &= d_{33}u_3 + v_3 - c_{33} = (1)(16.8182) + 0 - 0 > 0 \\
w_{s_{41}} &= d_{41}u_4 + v_1 - c_{41} = (2300)(58.8046) + 190,000 - 145,000 > 0 \\
w_{s_{43}} &= d_{43}u_4 + v_3 - c_{43} = (700)(58.8046) + 0 - 40,000 \\
&= 41,163.22 - 40,000 > 0 \\
w_{s_{44}} &= d_{44}u_4 + v_4 - c_{44} = (1700)(58.8046) + 100,000 - 104,000 > 0 \\
w_{s_{51}} &= d_{51}u_5 + v_1 - c_{51} = (8100)(7.93103) + 190,000 - 71,000 > 0 \\
w_{s_{54}} &= d_{54}u_5 + v_4 - c_{54} = (5500)(7.93103) + 100,000 - 45,000 > 0
\end{aligned}$$

It is seen at this point that the only violation and hence the maximum violation (step 4 of the algorithm) of Conditions $7\bar{D}$ of Eq 16 is

$$w_{s_{32}} = -55661.42 < 0$$

Hence the solution is not optimal and the vector $d_{32}\vec{e}_3 + \vec{e}_7$ enters the basis.

The vector selected to leave the basis is determined next, but first it is necessary to determine the components y_{ij}^{32} of the entering vector $d_{32}\vec{e}_3 + \vec{e}_7$ in terms of the current basis. The set of $m + n = 5 + 4 = 9$ linear equations Eq 21 is thus solved (step 5 of the algorithm). We have for the case at hand the following system:

$$\begin{aligned}
y_{11}^{32}(1600) + y_{14}^{32}(900) + y_{15}^{32}(1) &= 0 \\
y_{22}^{32}(1000) &= 0 \\
y_{34}^{32}(2200) &= d_{32} = 1400 \\
y_{42}^{32}(1500) &= 0 \\
y_{52}^{32}(5700) + y_{53}^{32}(2900) &= 0 \\
y_{11}^{32} &= 0 \\
y_{22}^{32} + y_{42}^{32} + y_{52}^{32} &= 1 \\
y_{53}^{32} + y_{63}^{32} &= 0 \\
y_{14}^{32} + y_{34}^{32} &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

The solution to the foregoing equations is

$$y_{22}^{32} = 0, y_{34}^{32} = \frac{1400}{2200}, y_{42}^{32} = 0, y_{52}^{32} = 1, y_{11}^{32} = 0,$$

$$y_{53}^{32} = \frac{5700}{2900}, y_{63}^{32} = \frac{5700}{2900}, y_{14}^{32} = \frac{1400}{2200}, y_{15}^{32} = \frac{(-900)(-1400)}{2200}$$

Thus the entering vector $d_{32}\vec{e}_3 + \vec{e}_7 = 1400\vec{e}_3 + \vec{e}_7$ can be written as the following linear combination of the current basis vectors.

$$1400\vec{e}_3 + \vec{e}_7 = \begin{cases} -\frac{1400}{2200} [900\vec{e}_1 + \vec{e}_9] + \frac{(-900)(-1400)}{2200} [\vec{e}_1] \\ +\frac{1400}{2200} [2200\vec{e}_3 + \vec{e}_9] + 1[5700\vec{e}_5 + \vec{e}_7] \\ -\frac{5700}{2900} [2900\vec{e}_5 + \vec{e}_8] + \frac{5700}{2900} [\vec{e}_8] \end{cases}$$

The vector now selected to leave the basis is determined from the indexes that yield the minimum in the brackets. Using Eq 29 (step 6 of the algorithm) we have

$$\hat{\theta} = \min \left\{ \frac{8.18182}{\frac{1400}{2200}}, \frac{1}{1}, \frac{6.27586}{\left(\frac{5700}{2900}\right)}, \frac{2863.64}{\frac{(900)(1400)}{2200}} \right\}$$

$$= 1$$

and the minimizing indexes are (5,2), since $\frac{x_{52}}{y_{52}^{32}} = \frac{1}{1}$ yields the minimum value

$\hat{\theta}$. Thus the vector $d_{52}\vec{e}_5 + \vec{e}_7 = 5700\vec{e}_5 + \vec{e}_7$ leaves the basis.

The new solution is evaluated (step 7) using Eqs 28 and appears in the next intermediate printout. The algorithm again returns to step 2 for the next iteration. The value of the objective function was increased by $\hat{\theta}(-w_{s_{32}}) = \$55,661.42$ during this iteration.

PHASE 2, REMAINING ITERATIONS

DETAILED INTERMEDIATE PRINTOUT

PRIOR VALUES OF THE DUAL VARIABLES U(I) IN ORDER
 C. 0.112207E 03 0.168182E 02 0.588046
 PRIOR VALUES OF THE DUAL VARIABLES V(J) IN ORDER
 C.190000E 06 C.279310E 04 0. 0.100000
 ENTERING VECTOR 3 2 LEAVING VECTOR 5 2 XX(IE

CURRENT SOLUTION ARRAY XX(I,J)

ROW 1	C.100000E 02	0.	0.	0.745455E
ROW 2	C.	C.120000E 02	C.	0.
ROW 3	C.	C.100000E 01	0.	0.754545E
ROW 4	C.	C.600000E 01	0.	0.
ROW 5	0.	0.	C.206897E 02	0.
UNUSED RESOURCES	C.	C.	C.431034E 01	0.
UNUSED CAPACITIES	C.229091E 04	C.	0.	0.
ITERATION 3	PRIOR VALUE OF OBJ FUNCTION			0.61630E 07

A

FAILED INTERMEDIATE PRINTOUT

DUAL VARIABLES U(I) IN ORDER

12207E 03 0.168182E 02 0.588046E 02 0.793103E 01

DUAL VARIABLES V(J) IN ORDER

79310E 04 0. 0.100000E 06

LEAVING VECTOR 5 2 XX(IENTER,JENTER) = 0.10000E 01

J1

0. 0.745455E 01

20000E 02 0. 0.

00000E 01 0. 0.754545E 01

00000E 01 0. 0.

0.206897E 02 0.

COLUMNS 1 THRU NS IN ORDER

0.431034E 01 0.

ROWS 1 THRU MS IN ORDER

0. 0.

CF OBJ FUNCTION 0.61630E 07 0. MAX. VIOLATION OF DUAL CONSTR. -0.26727E 05

B

DETAILED INTERMEDIATE PRINTOUT

PRIOR VALUES OF THE DUAL VARIABLES U(I) IN ORDER
 0. 0.565455E 02 0.168182E 02 0.216970E 02 0.793

PRIOR VALUES OF THE DUAL VARIABLES V(J) IN ORDER
 0.190000E 06 0.584545E 05 0. 0.100000E 06
 ENTERING VECTOR 2 3 LEAVING VECTOR 6 3 XX(IENTER, JENTER)

CURRENT SOLUTION ARRAY XX(I, J)

ROW 1	0.100000E 02	0.	0.	0.882602E 01
ROW 2	0.	0.984483E 01	0.431034E 01	0.
ROW 3	0.	0.315517E 01	0.	0.617398E 01
ROW 4	0.	0.600000E 01	0.	0.
ROW 5	0.	0.	0.206897E 02	0.

UNUSED RESOURCES COLUMNS 1 THRU MS IN ORDER
 0. 0. 0. 0.

UNUSED CAPACITIES ROWS 1 THRU MS IN ORDER

0.105658E 04 0. 0. 0. 0.
 ITERATION 4 PRIOR VALUE OF OBJ FUNCTION 0.62782E 07 MAX. VIOLATION

A

PRINTOUT

IN ORDER

B2E 02 0.216970E 02 0.793103E 01

IN ORDER

3 0.100000E C6
XX(IENTER,JENTER) = 0.43103E 01

0.882602E 01

34E 01 0.

0.617398E 01

0.

97E 02 0.

ORCER

0.

DER

0.62782E 07 0. 0.
MAX. VIOLATION OF DUAL CONSTR. -0.12853E 01

B

DETAILED INTERMEDIATE PRINTOUT

PRIOR VALUES OF THE DUAL VARIABLES U(I) IN ORDER

0. 0.565455E 02 0.168182E 02 0.21697

PRIOR VALUES OF THE DUAL VARIABLES V(J) IN ORDER

0.190000E 06 0.584545E 05 0.267273E 05 0.10000

ENTERING VECTOR 5 5 LEAVING VECTOR 1 5 XX(I)

CURRENT SOLUTION ARRAY XX(I,J)

ROW 1	C.100000E 02	0.	0.	0.10000
ROW 2	0.	0.800000E 01	0.800000E 01	0.
ROW 3	0.	0.500000E 01	0.	0.50000
ROW 4	0.	0.600000E 01	0.	0.
ROW 5	0.	0.	0.170000E 02	0.

UNUSED RESOURCES COLUMNS 1 THRU NS IN ORDER

0. 0. 0. 0.

UNUSED CAPACITIES ROWS 1 THRU MS IN ORDER

0. 0. 0. 0.

TOTAL EXECUTION TIME FOR ALGORITHM = 0.7333333 SEC.

NUMBER OF ITERATIONS AFTER INITIAL SOLUTION 4

MAXIMUM VIOLATION OF DUAL CONSTRAINTS 0.

A

FILED INTERMEDIATE PRINTOUT

AL VARIABLES U(I) IN ORDER

5455E 02 0.168182E 02 0.216970E 02 -0.128527E 01

AL VARIABLES V(J) IN ORDER

4545E 05 0.267273E 05 0.100000E 06
LEAVING VECTOR 1 5 XX(IENTER,JENTER) = 0.10700E 05

0.
0.100000E 02

0.000E 01 0.800000E 01 0.

0.000E 01 0. 0.500000E 01

0.000E 01 0. 0.

0.170000E 02 0.

UMNS 1 THRU NS IN CRDR

0. 0.

MS 1 THRU MS IN ORDER

0. 0. 0.107000E 05

0.7333333 SEC.

ION 4

0.

B

DETAILS OF THE SOLUTION

ROW 1	COLUMN 1	ALLOCATION	0.10000E 02	RETURN FROM ALLOCATION
ROW 1	COLUMN 4	ALLOCATION	0.10000E 02	RETURN FROM ALLOCATION
ROW 2	COLUMN 2	ALLOCATION	0.80000E 01	RETURN FROM ALLOCATION
ROW 2	COLUMN 3	ALLOCATION	0.80000E 01	RETURN FROM ALLOCATION
ROW 3	COLUMN 2	ALLOCATION	0.50000E 01	RETURN FROM ALLOCATION
ROW 3	COLUMN 4	ALLOCATION	0.50000E 01	RETURN FROM ALLOCATION
ROW 4	COLUMN 2	ALLOCATION	0.60000E 01	RETURN FROM ALLOCATION
ROW 5	COLUMN 3	ALLOCATION	0.17000E 02	RETURN FROM ALLOCATION

VALUE OF PRIMAL OBJECTIVE FUNCTION 0.629200E 07

VALUES OF THE DUAL SPACE VARIABLES (LAGRANGE MULTIPLIERS , SHADOW PRICES)

ROW 1	U(I)=	0.13516E 02
ROW 2	U(I)=	0.64000E 02
ROW 3	U(I)=	0.22143E 02
ROW 4	U(I)=	0.26667E 02
ROW 5	U(I)=	0.
COLUMN 1	V(J)=	0.16917E 06
COLUMN 2	V(J)=	0.51000E 05
COLUMN 3	V(J)=	0.23000E 05
COLUMN 4	V(J)=	0.88286E 05

VALUE OF DUAL OBJECTIVE FUNCTION 0.629200E 07

A

N FROM ALLOCATION	0.1900E	07
N FROM ALLOCATION	0.1000E	07
N FROM ALLOCATION	0.9200E	06
N FROM ALLOCATION	0.4400E	06
N FROM ALLOCATION	0.4100E	06
N FROM ALLOCATION	0.6850E	06
N FROM ALLOCATION	0.5460E	06
N FROM ALLOCATION	0.3910E	06

RICES)

B

Appendix C

COMPARATIVE SIMPLEX ALGORITHM

This appendix contains a general simplex algorithm programmed specifically to solve Prob 3. The program accepts the same input data as the MATMAX algorithm with the exception of the IWRITE and ITAB information used in the MATMAX algorithm. The algorithm here has been used for comparative purposes, with particular attention given to the times required by the two algorithms to solve the same problem.

FORTRAN SOURCE LIST

ISN	SOURCE STATEMENT
	U \$IBFTC MATLIN
1	DIMENSION CE(20,24),DE(21,25),AA(20),BB(24)
2	DIMENSION AS(42,474),BS(45),CS(525)
3	DIMENSION IPATHS(45),CTS(45),BTS(45),ATSI(45)
4	DIMENSION ZS(525),ZMCS(525),ATSJ(525)
5	18001 FORMAT(1H1)
6	18002 FORMAT(1H)
7	18003 FORMAT(3I10)
10	18004 FORMAT(6F12.6)
11	18005 FORMAT(15X,6E16.6)
12	18006 FORMAT(8X,2HMS,8X,2HNS,2X,15HPRINT FREQUENCY)
13	18007 FORMAT(30X,46HINPUT CONSTANTS FOR OPTIMAL ALLOCATION PROBLEM//)
14	18008 FORMAT(15X,24HINPUT VALUES FOR CE(I,J)//)
15	18009 FORMAT(15X,24HINPUT VALUES FOR DE(I,J)//)
16	18010 FORMAT(15X,45HINPUT VALUES FOR AA(I) OF THE ROW CONSTRAINTS//)
17	18011 FORMAT(15X,48HINPUT VALUES FOR BB(J) OF THE COLUMN CONSTRAINTS//)
20	18015 FORMAT(/37HTOTAL EXECUTION TIME FOR ALGORITHM = ,F12.7,1X,4HSEC.)
21	18019 FORMAT(/34HVALUE OF PRIMAL OBJECTIVE FUNCTION,E20.6)
22	18027 FORMAT(6X,4H ROW,I3)
23	18020 FORMAT(10I10)
24	18028 FORMAT(6X,7H COLUMN,I3)
25	WRITE(6,18001)
26	WRITE(6,18007)
27	READ(5,18003)MM,NN
32	WRITE(6,18006)
33	WRITE(6,18003)MM,NN
34	WRITE(6,18002)
35	WRITE(6,18008)
36	DO 18035 I=1,MM
37	READ(5,18004)(CE(I,J),J=1,NN)
44	WRITE(6,18027)I
45	18035 WRITE(6,18005)(CE(I,J),J=1,NN)
53	WRITE(6,18007)
54	WRITE(6,18009)
55	DO 18040 I=1,MM
56	READ(5,18004)(DE(I,J),J=1,NN)
63	WRITE(6,18027)I
64	18040 WRITE(6,18005)(DE(I,J),J=1,NN)
72	WRITE(6,18002)
73	WRITE(6,18010)
74	READ(5,18004)(AA(I),I=1,MM)
101	WRITE(6,18005)(AA(I),I=1,MM)
106	WRITE(6,18002)
107	WRITE(6,18011)
110	READ(5,18004)(BB(J),J=1,NN)
115	WRITE(6,18005)(BB(J),J=1,NN)
122	WRITE(6,18001)
123	MS = MM + NN
124	NS = MM * NN
125	DO 18110 I = 1,MM
126	DO 18110 J = 1,NN
127	K = NN *(I - 1) + J
130	CS(K) = -CE(I,J)
131	18110 AS(I,K) = DE(I,J)
134	DO 18120 I = 1,NN

FORTRAN SOURCE LIST MATLIN

```

ISN      SOURCE STATEMENT
135      DO 18120 J = 1,MM
136      II = MM + I
137      JJ = NN * (J - 1) + I
140 18120 AS(II,JJ) = 1.
143      DO 18130 I = 1,MM
144 18130 BS(I) = AA(I)
146      DO 18140 J = 1,NN
147      JJ = MM + J
150 18140 BS(JJ) = BB(J)
152      DO 18150 I = 1,MS
153      J = I + NS
154      IPATHS(I) = J
155 18150 AS(I,J) = 1.
157      NNS = MS + NS
160      C --- SIMPLEX METHOD SOLUTION OF LINEAR PROGRAMMING PROBLEM
160      EPSLP = .1E-5
161      C
161      CALL TODAY(0,ITIME,IDAT)
161      C
161      C --- BEGIN ITERATION
161      C
162 18200 COST=0.
163      DO 18205 I=1,MS
164 18205 COST = CUST + CTS(I)*BS(I)
164      C
164      C --- COMPUTE ZS AND ZMCS VECTORS
164      C
166 18216 DO 18220 J=1,NNS
167      ZTS=0.
170      DO 18217 I=1,MS
171 18217 ZTS=ZTS + CTS(I)*AS(I,J)
173      ZS(J)=ZTS
174 18220 ZMCS(J)=ZS(J)-CS(J)
174      C
174      C --- SELECT MAXIMUM ZMCS. IF NO POSITIVE, END.
174      C
176 18230 CMAX=ZMCS(1)
177      JMAX=1
200      DO 18250 J=2,NNS
201      IF(CMAX-ZMCS(J))18240,18250,18250
202 18240 CMAX=ZMCS(J)
203      JMAX=J
204 18250 CONTINUE
206      IF(ZMCS(JMAX)-EPSLP)18800,18800,18260
206      C
206      C --- SELECT MINIMUM DS(I)=BS(I)/AS(I,JMAX) WHERE A(I,JMAX) IS POSITIVE
206      C
207 18260 DSMIN=1.E+35
210 18270 DO 18350 I=1,MS
211      IF(AS(I,JMAX)-.1E-6)18350,18350,18300
212 18300 DST=BS(I)/AS(I,JMAX)
213      IF(DST-DSMIN)18310,18350,18350
214 18310 DSMIN=DST
215      IMIN=I
216 18350 CONTINUE

```



```

ISN      SOURCE STATEMENT

C
C --- COMPUTE NEW MATRIX ATS
C
220      DO 18400 I=1,MS
221      BTS(I)=AS(I,JMAX) * BS(IMIN) /      AS(IMIN,JMAX)
222 18400 ATSI(I)=AS(I,JMAX)/AS(IMIN,JMAX)
224      TEMP=AS(IMIN,JMAX)
225      TEMP2 = ZMCS(JMAX) / TEMP
226      THETA = BS(IMIN) / AS(IMIN,JMAX)
227      COST = COST - THETA * ZMCS(JMAX)
230      WRITE(6,18019)COST
231      DO 18410 J = 1,NNS
232 18410 ATSJ(J)=AS(IMIN,J)
234      DO 18525 I=1,MS
235      IF(I-IMIN)18450,18500,18450
236 18450 IF(ATSI(I))18455,18525,18455
237 18455 BS(I)=BS(I)-BTS(I)
240      DO 18475 J = 1,NNS
241      IF(ATSJ(J))18460,18475,18460
242 18460 AS(I,J) = AS(I,J) - ATSI(I) * ATSJ(J)
243      IF(AS(I,J)-.1E-10)18462,18462,18475
244 18462 IF(AS(I,J) - .1E-10)18475,18465,18465
245 18465 AS(I,J)=0.
246 18475 CONTINUE
250      GO TO 18525
251 18500 DO 18510 J=1,NNS
252      AS(I,J)=AS(I,J)/TEMP
253      IF(AS(I,J)-.1E-10)18502,18502,18510
254 18502 IF(AS(I,J) - .1E-10)18510,18505,18505
255 18505 AS(I,J)=0.
256 18510 CONTINUE
260      BS(I)=BS(I)/TEMP
261 18525 CONTINUE
C
263      DO 18550 J=1,NNS
264 18550 ZMCS(J) = ZMCS(J) - ATSJ(J) *TEMP2
C --- SUBSTITUTE IPATH OF JMAX FOR IMIN, C OF JMAX FOR IMIN
C
266      IPATHS(IMIN)=-JMAX
267      CTS(IMIN)=CS(JMAX)
C
C --- TRANSFER BACK TO BEGIN ITERATION
C
270      GO TO 18230
C
271 18800 CONTINUE
272      CALL TODAY(1,ITIME,IDAT)
273      TIME = FLOAT(ITIME)/60.
274      WRITE(6,18015)TIME
275      WRITE(6,18020)(IPATHS(I),I=1,MS)
302      WRITE(6,18005)(BS(I),I=1,MS)
307      WRITE(6,18005)(CTS(I),I=1,MS)
314      CALL EXIT
315      END

```

REFERENCES

CITED REFERENCES

1. J. V. Michalowicz, J. Bracken, and L. Lyons, "Description of Initial Formulation of Nonlinear Programming Models for Optimizing Mixed Offensive and Defensive Weapons Systems," unpublished notes, Research Analysis Corp., 13 Sep 67.
2. A. R. Ferguson and G. B. Dantzig, "The Problem of Routing Aircraft—A Mathematical Solution," RM-1369, RAND Corp., 1 Sep 54.
3. ———, "The Problem of Routing Aircraft—A Mathematical Solution," Aeronaut. Eng. Rev., 14(4): 51-55 (Apr 55).
4. A. Charnes, W. W. Cooper, and A. Henderson, Introduction to Linear Programming, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1953.
5. G. E. Hadley, Linear Programming, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass., 1962.
6. A. J. Goldman and A. W. Tucker, "Theory of Linear Programming," in H. W. Kuhn and A. W. Tucker (eds), Linear Inequalities and Related Systems, Annals of Math. Studies, No. 38, Princeton University Press, 1956, pp 53-97.
7. R. Arms and J. Bracken, "An Improved Computer Program for the Simplex Method," unpublished notes, Research Analysis Corp., Sep 67.

ADDITIONAL REFERENCES

- Dantzig, G. E., Linear Programming and Extensions, Princeton University Press, 1963.
- Gass, S. I., Linear Programming: Methods and Applications, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1964, 2d ed.
- Simonnard, S., Programmation Linéaire, Dunod, Paris, 1962.

RAC DISTRIBUTION LIST A

1 Sep 68

(Less these deletions: See additions on third page)

Address code	Agency	Number of copies
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE		
D3	Armed Forces Staff College	1
A9	Assistant Secretary of Defense, Systems Analysis	2
A3	Assistant Secretary of Defense, International Security Affairs	1
C2	Defense Atomic Support Agency	1
C4	Defense Communications Agency (DCA)	1
C6	Defense Document Center (DDC)	20
C8	Defense Intelligence Agency, Medical Branch, Environment Division	1
C9	Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA SA-2B)	1
A2	Director of Defense Research and Engineering	1
C1	Advanced Research Projects Agency	1
B5	Weapons Systems Evaluation Group	1
D2	Industrial College of the Armed Forces	1
F3	Joint Support Command	1
C3	National Security Agency	1
D1	National War College	1
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF		
B2	Joint Chiefs of Staff	1
B4	Joint War Game Control Group	1
B6	Special Study Group	1
JOINT COMMANDS		
L5	Commander in Chief, Alaska (CINCAL)	1
L12	Commander in Chief, Europe (CINCEUCOM)	1
L6	Commander in Chief, Pacific (CINCPAC)	1
L7	Supreme Headquarters, Allied Powers, Europe (USNMR)	1
L16	US Strike Command, MacDill Air Force Base	1
HEADQUARTERS, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY		
Secretary of the Army		
E2	Office, Under Secretary of the Army, Operations Research	1
E4	Assistant Secretary of the Army (R&D)	1
M26	Data Systems Office, Research Division, Army Materiel Command	1
CHIEF OF STAFF, US ARMY		
E33	Assistant Vice Chief of Staff of Army	5
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY		
E23	Assistant Chief of Staff for Force Development	1
R11	Army Concepts Team, Vietnam	1
O24	Army War College	1
E10	Assistant Chief of Staff, Intelligence	2
O9	Army Intelligence School, Ft Holabird	1
E15	The Army Library, Attn: ASDIRS	1
E19	Chief of Communications-Electronics	1
O27	Academic Computer Center, West Point, N. Y.	1
E17	Chief of Engineers	1
E20	Director of Transportation	1
E27	Chief of Research and Development	1
R4	US Army Behavioral Science Research Laboratory	1
E21	Chief of Support Services	1
E14	Comptroller	1
E9	Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistics, Doctrine Branch	1
E8	Deputy Chief of Staff for Military Operations	2(4) ^a
P9	Army Strategic and Tactical Analysis Group	1
E7	Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel	1
E18	Surgeon General	1
K11	Defense Logistics Studies Information Exchange, US Army Logistics Management Center, Ft Lee	2
ARMIES, CONUS AND OVERSEAS		
H2	First	1
H3	Third	1
H4	Fourth	1
H5	Fifth	1
H6	Sixth	1
H8	Eighth	1

^aSend 4 copies of RAC Strategic Studies Department documents, but only 2 copies of all other RAC documents.

RAC Distribution List A (continued)

Address code	Agency	Number of copies
ARMY COMMANDS, AGENCIES, AND ELEMENTS		
L1	Army Air Defense Command	1
L10	Commanding General, US Army, Europe, Attn: AEAGC	1
J11	Assistant Chief of Staff, Developments, CDA	1
M19	US Army Strategic Communications Command, Technical Reference Center	1
L2	Combat Developments Command	1
L8	US Army, Alaska	1
L9	US Forces Southern Command, Europe	1
L11	US Army, Pacific	1
ARMY MATERIEL COMMAND		
M7	Electronics Command, Ft Monmouth	1
I5	Edgewood Arsenal, Operations Research Group	1
J4	Armor Board, Ft Knox	1
J5	Artillery Board, Ft Sill	1
J8	Infantry Board, Ft Benning	1
J3	Arctic Test Center, Alaska	1
R6	Dugway Proving Ground	1
R9	White Sands Missile Range	1
M24	Weapons Command, Rock Island, Ill.	1
M22	Commanding General, US Army Aviation Materiel Command	1
SEPARATE ACTIVITIES AND LABORATORIES		
M17	Ordnance Center and School	1
COMBAT DEVELOPMENTS COMMAND SUBELEMENTS		
P2	Combat Arms Group, Ft Leavenworth	1
G2	Air Defense Agency, Ft Bliss	1
G3	Armor Agency, Ft Knox	1
G4	Artillery Agency, Ft Sill	1
G5	Aviation Agency, Ft Rucker	1
G7	Chemical, Biological, and Radiological Agency, Ft McClellan	1
G11	Communications-Electronics Agency, Ft Monmouth	1
G12	Engineer Agency, Ft Belvoir	1
G13	Infantry Agency, Ft Benning	1
G20	Intelligence Agency, Ft Holabird	1
P3	Combat Service Support Group, Ft Lee	1
O26	Institute of Advanced Studies, Carlisle Barracks	1
L44	Institute of Land Combat, Ft Belvoir	1
L45	Institute of Systems Analysis, Ft Belvoir	1
SCHOOLS, ARMY		
O2	Air Defense, Ft Bliss	1
O3	Armor, Ft Knox	1
O4	Artillery and Guided Missile, Ft Sill	1
O15	Army Management, Ft Belvoir	1
O16	Army School, Europe	1
O17	Chemical, Biological, and Radiological, Campbell	1
O5	Chemical Corps, Ft McClellan	1
O14	Civil Affairs, Ft Gordon	1
O11	Command and General Staff, Ft Leavenworth	1
O6	Engineer (ESSY-L), Ft Belvoir	1
O7	Finance, Ft Benjamin Harrison	1
O10	Medical Field Service, Brooke	1
O19	Ordnance Guided Missile, Redstone Arsenal, Ala.	1
O21	Quartermaster, Ft Lee	1
O23	Special Warfare, Ft Bragg	1
O25	Transportation, Ft Eustis	1
O13	School of Americas	1
O8	Infantry School, Ft Benning	1
US AIR FORCE		
T4	Headquarters, US Air Force (AFCSAI)	1
T8	Air University Library, Maxwell Field	1
US MARINES		
S23	Dir MCLFDC, US Marine Corps School, Quantico, Va.	1
US NAVY		
S1	Chief of Naval Operations, OP-96	1
S2	Chief of Naval Operations, OPO3EG-CNO	1
S9	Naval War College, Newport, R.I.	1

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D		
<small>(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)</small>		
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Research Analysis Corporation McLean, Virginia 22101		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
		2b. GROUP
3. REPORT TITLE AN ALGORITHM FOR A SPECIAL CLASS OF GENERALIZED TRANSPORTATION-TYPE PROBLEMS		
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Technical paper		
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name) Ronald L. Arms		
6. REPORT DATE November 1968	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 52	7b. NO. OF REFS 10
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. DA 44-188-ARO-1	8c. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) RAC-TP-337	
a. PROJECT NO. 008.134		
c.	8b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
d.		
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Office, Under Secretary of the Army Arms Control and Disarmament Agency	
13. ABSTRACT The algorithm described in this paper is used to solve a special class of linear programming problems characterized by constraint coefficient matrices having generalized transportation structure. Specifically, n available resources are allocated to m capacity-limited operations (where the operational capability of assigning the j th resource to the i th operation is known) such as to maximize the total profit for the system. The row-and-column structure of such problems permits an algorithm more efficient than the general simplex algorithm to be used to solve moderate-sized problems (problems where loop-tracing techniques or equivalent schemes are not required). It is not required in the problem statement that all the resources be allocated or that all operations be performed to capacity limits. It is characteristic of such problems, however, that the optimizing solution usually requires that at least one of the two conditions holds, i.e., either supply or demand is exhausted. The paper contains a description of the algorithm, a computer program, an example illustrating its application, and some comparisons with the general simplex algorithm in solving the same problem. ()		