

AFCRL-68-0266

RESEARCH ON INTELLIGENT QUESTION-ANSWERING SYSTEMS

1

B

÷ ...

By

BERTRAM RAPHAEL

STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE 333 RAVENSWOOD AVENUE MENLO PARK, CALIFORNIA 94025

CONTRACT AF 19(628)-5919 PROJECT NO. 4641 TASK NO. 464102 WORK UNIT NO. 46410201

Final Report

Period Covered: 15 April 1966 through 14 May 1968 May 1968

> Contract Monitor: THOMAS G. EVANS Data Sciences Laboratory

Distribution of this document is unlimited. It may be released to the Clearinghouse, Department of Commerce, for sale to the general public

Prepared for

AIR FORCE CAMBRIDGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES OFFICE OF AEROSPACE RESEARCH UNITED STATES AIR FORCE BEDFORD, MASSACHUSETTS 01730



STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE





计多方式公共运行机 化电离自分化合理 计公式工作目标工具



and the second second

ARCRL-68-0266

1. v.

1

RESEARCH ON INTELLIGENT QUESTION-ANSWERING SYSTEMS

By

BERTRAM RAPHAEL

STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE 333 RAVENSWOOD AVENUE MENLO PARK, CALIFORNIA 94025

SRI Project 6001

CONTRACT AF 19(628)-5919 PROJECT NO. 4641 TASK NO. 464102 WORK UNIT NO. 46410201

Final Report

Period Covered: 15 April 1966 through 14 May 1968

May 1968

Contract Monitor: THOMAS G. EVANS Data Sciences Laboratory

Distribution of this document is unlimited. It may be released to the Clearinghouse, Department of Commerce, for sale to the general public.

Prepared for

AIR FORCE CAMBRIDGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES OFFICE OF AEROSPACE RESEARCH UNITED STATES AIR FORCE BEDFORD, MASSACHUSETTS 01730

Approved: C. A. ROSEN, MANAGER

Applied Physics Laboratory

TORBEN MEISLING, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR Information Science and Engineering

ABSTRACT

This report summarizes two years of research effort that included studies of computer memory organization, formal theorem-proving techniques, the application of theorem-proving techniques to new problem domains, and the use of limited natural language input to a questionanswering system. The principal accomplishments of the project were (a) the discovery of some interesting ways of relating formal theoremproving to practical question-answering and problem-solving tasks, and (b) the implementation of a system of computer programs that demonstrate the approach and facilitate further research.

This report describes briefly the areas of research covered, and then presents abstracts of four papers that contain extensive description and technical detail of the work.

÷ .

CONTENTS

ABSTR	аст.	• •	•••	· •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	ii
I	INTR	ODUCT	[ON	••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•				•	•	•	•		•	1
II	SUMM	ARY OI	FRI	ESEA	RCH		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•		•				•	2
	Α.	Memor	ry C	rgai	niz	a t	10	on	•	•	•	•		•	•	•			•		•		•	•			2
	В.	Theor	re	Pro	vin	g	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•			•				•			•	2
	c.	Appl	icat	ion	s	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•								•				2
	D.	Natu	ral	Lan	gua	ge	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	3
111	ABST	RACTS	OF	REP	ORT	s			•	•			•				•	•	•			•	•				4
		1.	''Re Sys	esea: stema	rch s″	•	on •	I:	nt: •	el	li;	gei	nt •	Q1	ies •	sti	•	n-A ∙	Ans •	5we •	eri	ing •	s		•		4
	 "The Use of Theorem-Proving Techniques in Question-Answering Systems". 											5															
		3.	''A3	tion	ati	zs	ıti	ior	1 0	of	₽ı	ıre	e I	LIS	SP	ar	nd	Li	s	t 5	Sti	ruc	eti	ıre	es'	•	5
	4. "An On-Line Question-Answering System with Natural Language and Pictorial Input"											5															

DD Form 1473

.

I INTRODUCTION

For two years, Stanford Research Institute has been engaged in studies of computer memory organization, fact retrieval, and logical deduction techniques that can lead to effective automatic questionanswering systems.^{*} This work has resulted in (a) the discovery of some interesting ways of relating formal theorem-proving in the firstorder predicate calculus to practical question-answering and problemsolving tasks, and (b) the implementation of a large system of computer programs that demonstrate the capabilities of the approach used and facilitate further development and experimentation. This work will be continued under other sponsorship.

The results from this project will be reported in several technical publications, some of which are currently in preparation. This final report consists of a brief summary of the research performed, and abstracts of some papers that contain further details.

÷ . .

^{*}Although the author of this report was responsible for project supervision, most of the work on theorem proving and information structuring was performed by C. C. Green and R. Y. Yates. Dr. L. S. Coles developed the interface with natural language.

II SUMMARY OF RESEARCH

The principal areas investigated during this project were computer memory organization, formal theorem-proving techniques, the application of theorem-proving techniques to new problem domains, and the use of limited natural language input to a question-answering system.

A. Memory Organization⁺

Our efforts in this area were directed toward developing an information structure for representing the computer's "knowledge" of its environment. Early in the project we experimented with general propertylist representations for both specific facts and general logical deductive rules. Subsequently, the decision was made that the organization of the memory structure should be subordinate tc. and designed for the convenience of, the formal logical component of the system. This work is continuing, and no final conclusions have yet been reached.

B. Theorem Proving¹,²

An effective deductive mechanism is an essential part of any question-answering system. For this project we chose to implement a complete theorem-proving procedure for the first-order predicate calculus, to use as the deductive component of the question answerer. The resulting program, which uses the Robinson "resolution principle," is one of the most powerful general programs in existence for proving theorems in the predicate calculus. Copies of this program can be made available by the author upon request. A revised version that incorporates new results from the theorem-proving literature to improve the efficiency of the program is currently being completed.

C. Applications^{2,3}

One of our principal interests during this project was the application of the theorem prover to problem domains outside of formal mathematics. A theorem-proving program used in the most obvious way can only

Superscripts refer to the publications abstracted in the next section of this report.

answer "true or false" queries. We have shown how, for general information retrieval applications, certain simple extensions can enable the program to make reasonable replies to questions that request the identification of objects satisfying certain descriptive specifications. More recent work has shown how the same program can be used to construct solutions to problems requiring sequential actions.

D. Natural Language⁴

The work described above was conducted under the assumption that all inputs to the system would be expressed in a formal language based on the predicate calculus. Recent work has shown how natural English statements about a well-defined problem domain frequently may be translated automatically into predicate calculus. A program that performs this translation now enables users to converse with the question-answerer in a subset of English.

III ABSTRACTS OF REPORTS

The following reports were all at least partially supported under this project. Among them, they contain the technical details of the supported research.

 C. Cordell Green and Bertram Raphael, <u>Research on Intelligent</u> <u>Question-Answering Systems</u>, Scientific Report 1, Project AF 19(628)-5919, Report AFCRL-67-0370, Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, May 1967.

This report describes progress toward an "intelligent questionanswering system"--a system that can accept facts, retrieve items from memory, and perform logical deductions necessary to answer questions. Two versions of such a system have been implemented, and the authors expect these to be the first in an evolving series of question answerers.

The first system, QAI, is based upon relational information organized in a list-structured memory. The data consist of general facts about relations as well as specific facts about objects. QAI has limited deductive ability.

QA2 is based upon formal theorem-proving techniques. Facts are represented by statements in the predicate calculus. Although the memory organization is simpler than that of QA1, the sophisticated logical abilities of QA2 result in greater question-answering power.

The report gives examples of the performance of QA1 and QA2 on typical problems that have been done by previous question-answerers, and describes plans for extending the capabilities of QA2.

4

 C. Cordell Green and Bertram Raphael, "The Use of Theorem-Proving Techniques in Question-Answering Systems," to be published in the Proceedings of the 1968 ACM Conference, August 1968.

This paper describes results of a recent research project aimed at the development of more effective automatic question-answering systems. The authors identify two key problems that must be solved before practical question-arswering systems can be developed: the problem of identifying items in a data base that are relevant to a particular query, and the problem of logically deducing new specific facts. Examples from two experimental computer programs developed by the authors illustrate two approaches to solving these problems. The first program, called QA1, is based on an elaborate list-structured memory and uses ad hoc rules for logical inferences. QA2, the latest research effort, is based upon formal theorem-proving techniques; the nature of the theorem prover largely determines the structure of the rest of the system. This approach increases the generality of the resulting question answerer.

3. C. Cordell Green, "Axiomatization of Pure LISP and List Structures" (in preparation).

This paper presents a formalization, in first-order logic, of the syntax and semantics of a subset of the LISP 1.5 programming language. An example is given, showing how a resolution-type theorem prover can use this axiomatization to construct a list-sorting functic and to prove the correctness of the function constructed.

4. L. Stephen Coles, "An On-Line Question-Answering System with Natural Language and Pictorial Input," to be published in the Proceedings of the 1968 ACM Conference, August 1968.

This paper describes a conversational, question-answering system that permits input in the form of simple English sentences and elementary line drawings. English sentences are acceptable if they lie within a subset of natural language defined by a small phrase structure grammar. Pictures are input to the computer directly by means of a graphic

5

- - - -

display console. Using a method called <u>syntax-directed interpretation</u>, expressions in the predicate calculus are constructed to correspond to each input sentence. These logical expressions are then evaluated with respect to the picture currently displayed to determine whether they are true or false. Frequently an input sentence will contain syntactic ambiguity. Under certain conditions the semantic information in the picture may provide a context within which such syntactic ambiguity can be resolved.

UNCLASSIFIED											
Security Classification											
DOCUMENT CONT	ROL DATA - RED										
Decurity classification of title, body of abstract and indexing of	nnotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)										
stanford Research Institute	24 REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified										
333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, California 94025	25 GROUP N/A										
3 REPORT TITLE											
RESEARCH ON INTELLIGENT QUESTION-	ANSWERING SYSTEMS										
 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Scientific, Final, 15 Apr 	il 1966 - 14 May 1968 Approved 24 May 1968										
5 AUTHON(S) (Last name, first name, initial)											
Raphael, Bertram											
6 REPORT DATE May 1968	74. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 74. NO. OF REFS										
AF 19(628)-5919	Sa originator's report number(5) Final Report										
<pre>% PROJECT, Task, Work Unit Nos. 1641-02-01</pre>	SRI Project 6001										
C. DOD ELEMENT 62405454	9b. OTHER REPORT HOSS (Any other numbers that may be										
d. DOD SUBELEMENT 674641 AFCRI-68-0266											
ID AVAILABILITY LIMITATION NOTICES											
1-Distribution of this document i	s unlimited. It may be released to										
the Clearinghouse, Department of Commerce, for sale to the general											
11 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12 SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY										
TECH, OTHER	L. G. Hanscom Field										
	Bedford, Massachusetts 01730										
13 ABSTRACT											
This report summarizes two years	of research effort that included										
techniques, the application of th	eorem-proving techniques to new										
problem domains, and the use of 1	imited natural language input to a										
question-answering system. The p	rincipal accomplishments of the										
project were (a) the discovery of	some interesting ways of relating										
formal theorem-proving to practic	al question-answering and problem-										
solving tasks, and (b) the implem	entation of a system of computer										
programs that demonstrate the app	programs that demonstrate the approach and facilitate further										
research,											
This report describes briefly the	areas of research covered, and										
then presents abstracts of four papers that contain extensive											
description and technical detail	of the work,										
DD 7081 1473											
UU 1 JAN 64 14/3											

UNCLASSIFIED Security Classification

+

UNCLASSIFIED

~		~		
500	MILLY	Clas	ssific	ALION

14.	KEY BORDS					LINK C					
		ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	¥T				
Question-Answering Systems											
Fact Retrieval		1									
Theorem-Proving Programs											
Problem Solving											
Natural Language Processing	1										
Semantics											
	_										
INST				_							
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author)	10. AVAII tations on imposed by	LABILIT further d y security	Y.'LIMITA isseminat classific	ATION N ion of the cation, u	OTICES: e report, o sing stand	Enter an other than lard state	y limi- those ments				
assuing the report. 2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the over- all security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accord-	 (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC." (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this 										
ance with appropriate security regulations. 2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD	(2) rej	report by DDC is not authorized." (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of									
Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4	this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through										
as auxivized. 3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified.	(4) "U.S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through										
It a meaning to title cannot be selected without classifica- tion, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.	(5) 17	(5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Quali- fied DDC users shall request through									
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is	If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical										
covered. 5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on Market and the second sec	Services, cate this f	Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indi- cate this fact and enter the price, if known.									
If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.	11. SUPPLEMENTART NULES: Use for additional explana- tory notes. 12. SONSOBING MILITARY ACTIVITY. Extended and a second										
6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.	the departmental project office or laboratory aponsoring (pay- ing (pa) the research and development. Include address.										
7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.	13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abatract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the tech- nical report. If additional space is required, a continuation										
76. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report.	sheet shall It is h	sheet shall be attached. It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified re-									
86. CONTRACT ON GRAN I NUMBER: It appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.	of the info	ports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).									
so, oc, a os. FRUJEU RUMDER: Enter tas appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.	There ever, the s	is no lim suggested	itation on length is	the leng from 15	th of the 0 to 225 v	abatract. vorda.	How-				
 9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the offi- cial report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be usique to this report. 9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been 	19. KLT WURUDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or abort phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identi- fiers, such as equipment model designation, trade asses, mili- tery encourses and support model designation.										
sonigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).	key words context. 1 optional.	but will The assig	be following moment of	ed by an links, ru	indication les, and v	n of techn weights is	hical				

UNCLASSIFIED Security Classification .