

EXPERIMENT OF DAMAGE CONTROL MATERIALS AND METHODS FOR FLEET HANDLING OF PACKAGED LIQUID PROPELLANTS (U)

QUARTERLY REPORT NO. 3 (1 JANUARY TO 31 MARCH, 1968)

66943

By

R. J. FOLEY

PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT N00019-67-C-0538

for

Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy

INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS DIVISION Allied Chemical Corporation Morristown Research Laboratory Morristown, New Jersey 07960

This document her been approved for public release and sole; its distribution is unlimited.



MAY 2 9 1968

Copy No. <u>57</u> of <u>195</u> copies.

Reproduced by the CLEARINGHOUSE for Federal Scientific & Technical Information Springfield Va 22151 ましい

I. CALIBRATION OF THE GAS ANALYZER FOR MHF-3 SERVICE

Since the Billion-Aire Trace Gas Analyzer was not calibrated for MHF-3 by the manufacturer, it was necessary to do the calibration in our laboratories. One basic method was used for the calibration with two different sources of MHF-3 vapor. A 2-liter flask was used as a sampling chamber through which a continuous flow of dilute MHF-3 at a desired concentration could be maintained. To achieve the low concentration of MHF-3 needed to calibrate the analyzer (0 to 20 ppm) the two different source methods were used.

The first method used the vapor pressure of the MHF-3 at $32^{\circ}F$ as the basis for a standard source (see Figure A). A flask containing MHF-3 was cooled to $32^{\circ}F$ and maintained at this temperature. A constant amount of vapor was fed to the sampling chamber where it was further diluted with additional air. The analyzer was tested by inserting the probe into the fallsk and sampling the various concentrations of MHF-3. This method could not achieve accurate concentrations below 5 ppm so another source methor had to be used to supplement the results.

The second method used as the source of MHF-3 was a Davis instrument shown in Figure B. This instrument consisted of a syringe containing air saturated with MHF-3. The vapor in the syringe was ejected at a steady rate by a motorcontrolled screw pushing the syringe piston at a rate of 1/40th inch per minute. From the vapor pressure of MHF-3 at room temperature, it was possible to know how much gas was delivered per unit of time into the system leading to the sampling chamber. This vapor was then furcher diluted in the chamber with additional air (see Figure C).

-1-

The analyzer was tested by inserting the probe into the flask and sampling the various concentrations of MHF-3. With this method it was possible to complete the calibration below 5 ppm. A plot of concentration vs. analyzer reading is shown in Figure D. When the analyzer was tested on the pitch-and-roll table, the readings were the same as when tested under stable conditions.

II. THE PROTECTIVE SUIT COVERALL

4

The neoprene-coated nylon protective coverall was received from the manufacturer, but unfortunately it did not meet our specifications as ordered. The following defects were noted in the coverall:

(1) The neoprene=nylon material was used as the overlay on the seams instead of the specified black pure neoprene.

(2) Some stitching was exposed.

(3) The neoprene-nylon material appeared to be different in many ways from the original material tested and ordered. The new material was noticeably thicker, and measured 0.0195" thick vice 0.0170". The weave of the base fabric of the nylon material was of a different pattern. This material was brittle as evidenced by lingering creases when bent. When inspected under a 15 power microscope, there appeared to be numerous pits and holes in the neoprene surface layer of the smooth side.

A sample of this new material was obtained from the manufacturer and subjected to the CTF exposure tests. The samples failed all the tests, both with gaseous and liquid CTF.

-2-

Because of the defects, especially the failure of the material when exposed to CTF, the suit was rejected and sent back to the manufacturer. Another suit was ordered according to our original specifications and delivery is awaited.

III. THE EFFECT OF CTF ON THE SKIN

In evaluating the oxygen breathing apparatus (0)7.) we arbitrarily selected a CTF concentration of 1% for two hours as the criterion for evaluating material suitability. We assumed that exposure to higher concentrations would have an adverse effect on personnel. Therefore, protective clothing rather than an OBA alone would be indicated in concentrations of CTF above 1%.

To test this assumption we arranged to have Dr. D. Lester of Rutgers University, New Jersey, determine the effect of CTF gas on the skin of live rabbits. Shaved areas of the rabbits were exposed to several low concentrations of CTF for various periods. A 1% CTF exposure for 30 minutes produced serious burns that required several weeks to heal. In fact, a 30 minute exposure to a concentration of 0.1% produced noticeable skin effects. This test program emphasizes the necessity for providing adequate protection for personnel entering a CTF-contaminated atmosphere. An OBA alone will not provide the necessary protection.

-3-





....

- 5-

:

......

;

1

1





• •••••• · · · · ·

;

UNCLASS IF IED

Security Classification	ويتقامكم بروي ويتقاف ومعاد				
DOCUMENT CONT	ROL DATA - R	B. D			
(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing	annotation must be a				
1 ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		24. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION			
Allied Chemical Corporation		UNCLASSIFIED			
Industrial Chemicals Division		2b. GROUP			
P.O. Box 405, Morristown, New Jerse					
3 REPORT TITLE	•				
EXPERIMENT OF DAMAGE CONTROL MA	TERTALS A	ID METHOI	DS FOR		
FLEET HANDLING OF PACKAGED I	TAOTA LEO	ELLANIS	(0)		
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)					
Quarterly (January 1968 through	March 196	3)			
5 AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····			
Raymond J. Foley					
•					
8. REPORT DATE	78. TOTAL NO. O	FPAGES	76. NO. OF REFS		
April 1968					
April 1968	98. ORIGINATOR	S REPORT NUM	I mar a constant a con		
N00019-67-C-0538					
NUUUL 7-07-C-0330 6. PROJECT NO.					
U PROPECT NO.					
с.	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(5) (Any other number= that may be assigned this report)				
	<u> </u>				
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT					
Distribution of this	document	is unlim	ited.		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING		_		
	Naval	Air Sys	tems Command		
Department of the Navy					
	1 •	ngton, D	•		
13 ABSTRACT	<u> </u>		·		
$rac{}^{\!$	onducted t	o evalua	te the com-		
patibility of certain damage control	ol metorie	le and e	guinment with		
patibility of certain damage control	di materia		quipment with		
chlorine trifluoride (CTF), a liqu	ia propett	ant. Th	e trace gas		
analyzer previously tested and app	roved for	CTF serv	ice was cali-		
brated for MHF-3 in the range of 0					
calibrated under stable and shipbo	ard-like c	ondition	s and produced		
protective responses. The prototy					
neoprene-coated nylon cloth was re-					
was rejected because it did not con	mply with	our spec	ifications.		
Exposure tests were conducted on t					
concentrations of CTF. It was fou					
			-		
CTF even as dilute as 0.1% had an	adverse et	fect on	this skin.		
DD FORM 1473 REPLACES DD FORM 1473. I JAN 84. 1 OBBOLETE FOR ARMY USE.	NHICH IS	UNICE A CO	TETEN		
		UNCLASS			
		Securi	ty Classification		

UNCLASS IF IED

S. A Contract

. .

i

Security Classification

KEY WORDS	LINI		LINKB		LINKC	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Chlorine Trifluoride						
Hydrazine Fuels						
Damage Control		-				
Protective Clothing						
Fume Detection						
Neoprene-coated Fabrics						
				:		
		į				
	<u>_</u>				<u>}</u>	
	UN		Classific			

:

ł