

AD 667 929

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS
DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

AD _____

TECHNICAL REPORT
68-40-ES

A GENERAL NOMOGRAPH FOR NORMAL AND SKEWED FREQUENCY
DISTRIBUTIONS: CLIMATOLOGICAL AND OTHER APPLICATIONS

by

EARL E. LACKEY
Earth Sciences Laboratory


July 1967

Project Reference:
1T025001A129

Series: ES-26

U.S. Army Materiel Command
U.S. ARMY NATICK LABORATORIES
Natick, Massachusetts

Best Available Copy



DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such items.

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS
DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

AD _____

TECHNICAL REPORT
68-40-ES

A GENERAL NOMOGRAPH FOR NORMAL AND SKEWED FREQUENCY
DISTRIBUTIONS: CLIMATOLOGICAL AND OTHER APPLICATIONS

by

EARL E. LACKEY
Earth Sciences Laboratory

July 1967

Project Reference:
1T025001A129

Series: ES-26

U.S. Army Materiel Command
U.S. ARMY NATICK LABORATORIES
Natick, Massachusetts

FOREWORD

This report is the last in a series of studies by Dr. Earl Lackey, formerly climatologist in the Earth Sciences Laboratory, on methods of predicting climatic probabilities from incomplete data. In this study, a method is developed which portrays effectively the behavior of temperature distributions under a wide variety of climatic conditions. This enables one to make an effective comparison of these climatological variations and also introduces a simple way of extrapolating small amounts of data to what might result if larger amounts were available, by putting all distributions on a comparative basis.

The approach used in this study is somewhat unconventional from the point of view of standard statistical procedures, but because it does throw light upon the behavior of climatological distributions it is presented here for consideration by other investigators in this field.

L. W. TRUEBLOOD
Director
Earth Sciences Laboratory

APPROVED:

DALE H. STELING
Scientific Director

W. M. MANTZ
Brigadier General, USA
Commanding

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
List of Figures and Tables	v
Abstract	vi
Purpose and scope	1
PART I PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS	
1. Some previously published studies	2
2. Integrating features	2
a. Nature and purpose of the 100-unit scale	2
b. A symmetrical climatic frequency distribution	3
c. A strongly skewed climatic frequency distribution	3
d. Range of converted mean values from these studies	3
PART II GENERAL-PURPOSE NOMOGRAPH	
1. Its source and evolution	5
2. Description of Nomograph and its tabular equivalent	5
a. Basic section	5
b. Extrapolated section	12
c. Identification section	12
PART III SOME CLIMATOLOGY PREDICTIONS AND THEIR RELIABILITY	
1. Assessing rainfall probabilities	14
2. Validation of rainfall predictions, by handbook data	14
3. Other types of climatology problems	14
PART IV ILLUSTRATIVE USES FROM INDUSTRY AND PSYCHOLOGY	
1. Comparative screwdriver tensile strengths of slotted heads of valve caps	16
2. Scores on Army Alpha Test	17

CONTENTS (Contd)

	<u>Page</u>
PART V THE ALTERNATIVE NOMOGRAPH	
1. Essential data and theory for constructing and using	18
2. Previous studies used, and range of converted mean extremes	18
3. Examples of use in predicting	20
4. Tabular counterpart	20
5. Differences between the two nomographs	20
PART VI USE OF THE TWO NOMOGRAPHS WITH ISOTHERMAL MAPS	
1. Constructing isothermal maps of essential data	25
2. Predicting frequency distribution of (January) temperature	25
3. Reliability of predictions for Stuttgart area	32
4. Constructing map of specific temperature probability	33
a. Secure essential data	32
b. Compute and tabulate probable frequencies	34
c. Construct the probability map	34
d. Wider application	34
Summary	35
References	36
Appendixes	
A. Abbreviations	39
B. Problem solution: Assessing frequency and probable amount of 1-day rainfall using General-purpose Nomograph	41
C. Problem solution: Assessing temperature frequencies, based on 50-year summary records, using General-purpose Nomograph	43

LIST OF FIGURES

	<u>Page</u>
1. General-purpose predictive Nomograph	6
2. Diagrammatic sketch of three representative patterns of converted frequency distributions	7
3. Alternative predictive Nomograph	19
4. Germany - Station locations	26
5. Germany: Isothermal maps, January temperatures	
a. Absolute minimum	27
b. Mean daily minimum	28
c. Monthly mean	29
d. Mean daily maximum	30
e. Absolute maximum	31

LIST OF TABLES

I Tabular equivalent of General-purpose Nomograph	8
II Actual rainfall frequencies, tabulated and graphed, compared with predicted probabilities	15
III Predicted frequency and amount (in lb) of tensile strength of slotted heads of two competing valve caps (Screwdriver valve test)	16
IV Tabular equivalent of Alternative Nomograph	21
V Machine-tabulated January hourly temperature frequencies compared with frequencies predicted manually from summarized records and also those predicted from map data (Stuttgart area)	33
VI January minimum temperature probabilities at 19 different frequency intervals for three degree quadrangle locations in Germany	33

ABSTRACT

Extended experience in the construction and use of several different predictive nomographs covering a wide range of frequency distributions of various types of weather and other phenomena, suggested the probability that a universal series of patterns of frequency distributions might permeate the whole of nature. This study is based in part on the several nomographic patterns developed in previous studies. It assumes that all of the frequency distributions we are likely to encounter in practical climatology, whether symmetrical or asymmetrical (skewed), may be fairly well approximated by a family of cumulative frequency curves, provided they are plotted on such a scale that 100 units represents the whole range of observational experience in each.

The predictive patterns in the General Nomograph and its associated table depend for their operation on the numerical position of the mean (average) between the two extremes (maximum and minimum) in the frequency distribution, when the three related measures are reduced to a 100-unit scale. The means of frequency distributions having various degrees of skewness lie along a diagonal line from the lower left to the upper right of the basic section of the nomograph. Other lines (curved) trace the values of other percentile or fractional parts of the various distributions. The construction, use and reliability of this nomograph and its associated table are given in this report.

Similar predictive patterns in an Alternative Nomograph and its associated table are identified by the numerical position of: (1) the mean maximum between the absolute maximum and the mean minimum, or (2) the mean minimum between the absolute minimum and the mean maximum, depending on which extreme is being explored. A 100-unit scale based on the above values is used in each case. The Alternative Nomograph thus illustrates the possibility of using parametric data other than means and extremes as the basis for a nomograph, if that should be necessary, or should prove to be a better basis for frequency prediction.

The essential summarized data for use with either nomograph may be secured from printed publications or from isothermal maps. How each source of summarized data may be used, for retrieval or predictive purposes, is shown and the results are verified by comparison with recorded data in the same vicinity.

A GENERAL NOMOGRAPH FOR NORMAL AND SKEWED FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTIONS:
CLIMATOLOGICAL AND OTHER APPLICATIONS

Purpose and scope

This study offers a method whereby the detailed climatic record may be retrieved in part by use of a nomographic device in which summarized data may be used effectively to reconstruct the patterns of weather and climatic phenomena inherent in the record. The mean and the extreme values which are available in summarized climatic records are inter-related in such a way that it is possible to discover from them the detailed frequencies of particular climatic values in the past.

The operation of the nomographic method presented here depends largely on the asymmetrical or skewed position of the mean of any given climatic frequency distribution as a measure of central tendency between the extreme maximum and extreme minimum in a frequency distribution. In using the method, those measures are arranged in a numerical sequence and converted to a 100-unit scale, the extremes of which are the extremes of the distribution, 0 to 100, respectively.* A commendable feature of the method is its adaptability to either manual or machine processing.

*It is also possible to base the 100-unit scale on values other than the extremes of the distribution, and use the means of the extremes as measures of skewness. See Part V.

PART I. PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS

1. Some previously published studies

A nomographic method for determining hourly distribution of temperature was published by Spreen in 1956 using the monthly mean, the mean maximum and the mean minimum as the essential measures (11).

Another nomographic method for predicting hourly distribution of temperature was proposed by Lackey in 1960, based on 10-year records, featuring monthly means and the associated 10-year extremes (5). A companion study appeared in 1964 for assessing the percentage frequency and probable amounts of one-day rainfall, based on the mean monthly precipitation, and the maximum one-day rainfall, in a series of 10-year records (7). Other studies by Lackey dealt with maximum temperature probabilities (8) and minimum temperature probabilities (6, 9).

A whole series of U.S. Weather Bureau Technical Papers is devoted to the analysis of weather and climatic data. Several of these deal with frequency and areal distribution of temperature (12). Areal and frequency distribution of precipitation is covered for most of the United States in Technical Report No. 15 with its more than twenty-five separate parts - mostly by states.

A number of in-house U.S. Army Handbooks (10) deal with the analysis of climate at specific locations in different parts of the world. Each of these presents in graphical and tabular form, the frequency and level of temperature distribution to be expected for each month of the year. Some data from three of these Handbooks are discussed in III, 2, this report.

2. Integrating Features

a. Nature and purpose of the 100-unit scale

Very early in our study of arithmetic we learned that in order to compare or combine two or more fractional values, it was necessary to reduce or change them to a common denominator. For example, $1/2 + 1/5 + 1/10 = 5/10 + 2/10 + 1/10 = 8/10$. Later, we discovered we could change all fractional values to a universal denominator - the decimal fraction. Moreover, we learned also that we could reconvert any decimal fraction to an equivalent common fraction with any chosen denominator. For example,

$$0.80 = \frac{80}{100} = \frac{40}{50} = \frac{20}{25} = \frac{16}{20} = \frac{8}{10} = \frac{4}{5} = \frac{2}{2.5} = \frac{1}{1.25}, \text{ etc.}$$

These procedures offer a clue to what is proposed as a method for assessing detailed probabilities from summarized data in which no two records are alike, yet which do have some important elements in common.

b. A symmetrical climatic frequency distribution

In Seoul, Korea, during a 10-July period (310 July days) the daily mean (DMn) temperature was 77°F, the absolute minimum (AbMi) was 57°F and the absolute maximum (AbMx) was 97°F (5, p. 299). Because it lies midway between the extremes, the value of the mean is 50 on the 100-unit scale. A shorter way of stating that relationship is to call 50 a converted mean (CMn).*

Hourly distribution of temperature in Seoul during July is as follows: 1% of the time, 62°F or below; 10% of the time, 67°F or below; 50% of the time, 77°F or below; 90% of the time, 86°F or below; and 99% of the time, 94°F or below. Those expected hourly frequencies happen to be quite symmetrically (normally) distributed, and correspond well to the CMn 50 frequency pattern on the nomograph which is derived from the well-established normal frequency distribution familiar to statisticians.

c. A strongly skewed climatic frequency distribution

However, most distributions are asymmetrical (skewed). That is, their CMn is higher or lower than 50. An example of this is the April rainfall in New Orleans. At New Orleans during a 10-April period (300 April days in 10 years) the monthly mean (MoMn) rainfall was 4.94", and the 1-day maximum (1-day Mx) was 5.89". From the given data, by use of the nomograph, it was found that the converted daily mean (CDMn) pattern was 3. The expected 1-day maximum rainfall on the average was as follows: 1-day in 10 Aprils (1/300): 5.89"; 1 day in 5 Aprils (1/150): 4.35"; 1 day in 50 Aprils (1/1500): 8.60"; 1 day in 100 Aprils (1/3000): 9.90". (See Appendix B for detailed solution and computation of this example.)

The frequency thus described is so far from symmetrical that it would be hard to deal with by reference to normal probability distributions. But the nomograph breaks it down easily into a clear statement of probabilities.

d. Range of converted mean values from these studies

CMn values of the climatic frequency records, used in setting up the General-purpose type Nomograph developed in this and previous studies, have spanned the range 30 to 70 in temperature studies (5), and 1 to 14 in a precipitation study (7). Evident symmetry of curves in the basic section of the nomograph (Figure 1) has permitted its completion in the CMn 15 to 29 and 71 to 100 zones with considerable confidence, even though few actual climatic distributions probably fall in the CMn

*See Appendix A for Abbreviations

70 to 100 range. Symmetry of pattern in the basic section of Figure 1 seems due to the intended and actual near-equivalence of predictive distributions to the actual frequency distributions of climatic observations on which the nomograph is based, and thus by analogy, their equivalence to actual distributions at climatic stations published only in summarized form. However, present proof of the validity of the basic section of the nomograph will be limited here to examples of the empirical tests used in this and prior studies.

PART II. THE GENERAL-PURPOSE NOMOGRAPH

1. Its source and evolution

The integration of the nomographs used in the two studies mentioned in Part I, together with conclusions derived from these two investigations (5, 7) and associated extrapolations, resulted in a prototype general-purpose nomograph similar to Figure 1. After testing and modifying the prototype by use of numerous actual frequency distributions from world-wide sources, both of hourly temperature and 1-day maximum rainfalls, the refined instrument Figure 1 evolved. The frequency distribution of converted predictive values (CPrV), as represented by the prediction pattern of each of the converted means (CMn 1 to 100) and associated converted predictive values (CPrV 0 to 100), were critically examined by use of 4 different types of probability scales.* An attempt was made to devise a mathematical model from which the 100 CMn patterns of the nomograph could be derived. But this did not materialize. Consequently, the completed nomograph is empirically constructed, almost entirely. However, values for CMn 50 and associated CPrV's closely approximate the values taken from a normal frequency table.

2. Description of Nomograph and tabular equivalent

a. Basic section

(1) The nomograph

The square within which the Basic Section of the nomograph is drawn measures 100 units both horizontally and vertically. The vertical lines represent 101 (0 to 100, inclusive) converted predictive values (CPrV). The horizontal lines represent 101 (0 to 100, inclusive) converted means (CMn), and the converted predictive frequency distributions (CPrV) associated with each of them. The curved percentage frequency lines intersect both the CMn and CPrV lines and thus identify the CPrV's associated with particular probability values on each of the 101 CMn patterns. Each of the 101 CMn's on the nomograph is associated with a specific pattern of CPr values. For example, from left to right, the

*Probability Scales:

- (1) Normal Probability Scale
- (2) Normal-Log Probability Scale
- (3) Skew-Log Probability Scale (Lackey, reference 8, Fig. 3)
- (4) Extreme Probability Scale (Gumbel, reference 4)

FIGURE 1 - GENERAL-PURPOSE PREDICTIVE NOMOGRAPH: CONVERTED MEANS (CM_n) AND ASSOCIATED CONVERTED PREDICTIVE VALUES (CP_TV)




Figure 1

horizontal line of the CMn 60 pattern is crossed by more than a dozen curved percentage frequency lines at CPr values (vertical lines) as follows:

0.5% of the time, CPrV 3	10% of the time, CPrV 27
1% of the time, CPrV 11	20% of the time, CPrV 36
5% of the time, CPrV 18	99% of the time, CPrV 95
	99.5% of the time, CPrV 98.

The 0.323% and 99.677% are the minimum and maximum CPr values, respectively, for the period of observation (10 years). The diagonal (lower left to upper right) defines the asymmetry of each of the CMn patterns and identifies the predictive pattern for each of the 101 cumulative series.

(2) The table

The predictive features of the nomograph are numerically represented in their entirety in Table I. For example, the CPrV 90% column, Basic Section, contains the 101 CPrV's, each associated with 25 curved percentile lines on the nomograph. It is easy to see in the numerical table how each of the predictive patterns differs from every other one, and that each cumulative series in the Basic Section accumulates to 100.

The diversity of the frequency patterns that the nomograph (Fig. 1) and associated table (Table I) afford is illustrated diagrammatically by the three curves in Figure 2.

FIGURE 2: DIAGRAMMATIC SKETCH OF THREE REPRESENTATIVE PATTERNS OF CONVERTED FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTIONS




Figure 2
7

Table I: Tabular Equivalent of General-Purpose Nomograph:
 Converted Means (Cm) and Associated Converted Predictive Values (CPV)

CPV's in Percentages Based on Ten Samples, Representative of Frequency Distributions of a Given Kind
 Basic Section

Cm	CPV's in Percentages Based on Ten Samples, Representative of Frequency Distributions of a Given Kind									
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
99	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
98	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
97	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
96	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
95	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
94	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
93	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
92	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
91	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
89	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
88	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
87	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
86	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
84	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
82	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
81	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
79	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
78	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
77	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
76	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Interpolated Section

Cm	Interpolated Section									
	10 yrs	20 yrs	30 yrs	40 yrs	50 yrs	60 yrs	70 yrs	80 yrs	90 yrs	100 yrs
100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
99	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0
98	98.0	98.0	98.0	98.0	98.0	98.0	98.0	98.0	98.0	98.0
97	97.0	97.0	97.0	97.0	97.0	97.0	97.0	97.0	97.0	97.0
96	96.0	96.0	96.0	96.0	96.0	96.0	96.0	96.0	96.0	96.0
95	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0
94	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0
93	93.0	93.0	93.0	93.0	93.0	93.0	93.0	93.0	93.0	93.0
92	92.0	92.0	92.0	92.0	92.0	92.0	92.0	92.0	92.0	92.0
91	91.0	91.0	91.0	91.0	91.0	91.0	91.0	91.0	91.0	91.0
90	90.0	90.0	90.0	90.0	90.0	90.0	90.0	90.0	90.0	90.0
89	89.0	89.0	89.0	89.0	89.0	89.0	89.0	89.0	89.0	89.0
88	88.0	88.0	88.0	88.0	88.0	88.0	88.0	88.0	88.0	88.0
87	87.0	87.0	87.0	87.0	87.0	87.0	87.0	87.0	87.0	87.0
86	86.0	86.0	86.0	86.0	86.0	86.0	86.0	86.0	86.0	86.0
85	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0
84	84.0	84.0	84.0	84.0	84.0	84.0	84.0	84.0	84.0	84.0
83	83.0	83.0	83.0	83.0	83.0	83.0	83.0	83.0	83.0	83.0
82	82.0	82.0	82.0	82.0	82.0	82.0	82.0	82.0	82.0	82.0
81	81.0	81.0	81.0	81.0	81.0	81.0	81.0	81.0	81.0	81.0
80	80.0	80.0	80.0	80.0	80.0	80.0	80.0	80.0	80.0	80.0
79	79.0	79.0	79.0	79.0	79.0	79.0	79.0	79.0	79.0	79.0
78	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0
77	77.0	77.0	77.0	77.0	77.0	77.0	77.0	77.0	77.0	77.0
76	76.0	76.0	76.0	76.0	76.0	76.0	76.0	76.0	76.0	76.0
75	75.0	75.0	75.0	75.0	75.0	75.0	75.0	75.0	75.0	75.0

*Frequencies can cover various kinds of distributions - climatic, industrial, psychological, biological, etc.
 in the Extrapolated Section, values more than 100 are the Extrapolated Section, values more than 100 are the Period Converted Means. PCM = $\frac{100 \text{ Cm}}{\text{CPV}}$
 For Cm 50, for example, the 50-year PCM is $\frac{100 \times 50}{100} = 50$ or 88.2

Table I (continued)
 Tabular Equivalent of General-Purpose Monograph:
 Converted Means (CMs) and Associated Converted Predictive Values (CPrV)

CPrV's in Percentages Based on Ten Samples, Representative of Frequency Distributions of a Given Class

Class	CPrV's	Basic Section										Interpolated Section									
		10 yrs	20 yrs	30 yrs	40 yrs	50 yrs	60 yrs	70 yrs	80 yrs	90 yrs	100 yrs	10 yrs	20 yrs	30 yrs	40 yrs	50 yrs	60 yrs	70 yrs	80 yrs	90 yrs	100 yrs
		74.0	72.5	71.9	70.5	70.5	69.8	69.1	68.5	68.5	68.5	106	105	105	105	106	106	107	107	107	108
73	0	6	17	29	41	50	57	65	73	78	83	87	91	94	97	99					
72	0	6	17	28	40	49	56	65	72	77	83	87	91	94	97	99					
71	0	6	16	27	38	48	56	64	71	77	82	86	90	94	97	99					
70	0	5	16	26	37	47	55	63	70	76	81	86	90	94	97	99					
69	0	5	15	25	36	46	54	62	69	76	81	86	89	94	97	99					
68	0	5	15	24	35	44	53	61	68	75	80	85	89	94	97	99					
67	0	4	14	23	34	43	52	60	67	73	79	84	89	94	97	99					
66	0	4	14	22	33	42	50	59	66	72	79	83	88	93	96	99					
65	0	4	13	21	32	41	49	58	65	71	78	83	88	93	96	99					
64	0	4	13	21	31	40	48	57	64	71	78	83	87	93	96	99					
63	0	4	12	20	30	39	47	56	63	70	77	82	87	92	96	99					
62	0	3	11	20	29	38	46	55	62	69	76	81	86	92	96	99					
61	0	3	11	19	28	37	45	53	61	68	75	80	86	92	95	99					
60	0	3	11	18	27	36	44	52	60	67	74	79	85	91	95	98					
59	0	3	10	18	27	35	43	50	59	66	73	78	85	91	95	98					
58	0	3	10	17	26	34	42	49	58	65	72	78	84	90	95	98					
57	0	3	9	16	26	34	41	48	57	64	71	77	84	90	95	98					
56	0	3	9	16	25	32	40	47	56	63	70	76	83	90	95	98					
55	0	2	9	15	24	31	39	47	55	62	70	76	83	89	95	98					
54	0	2	8	15	24	30	38	46	54	61	69	75	82	89	95	98					
53	0	2	8	14	23	29	37	45	54	60	68	75	82	88	94	98					
52	0	2	7	14	22	29	36	44	53	59	67	74	81	88	94	98					
51	0	2	7	14	22	28	35	43	51	58	66	73	80	87	94	98					
50	0	2	7	13	21	27	34	42	50	57	65	72	79	87	94	98					
49	0	2	7	13	20	26	33	40	49	56	64	71	79	86	93	98					

Frequencies can cover various kinds of distributions - climatic, industrial, psychological, biological, etc.
 in the Interpolated Section, values more than 100 are the Extrapolated CPrV's. Values less than 100 are the Period Converted Means. FCm = $\frac{100 \cdot \text{CPrV}}{100 - \text{CPrV}}$
 For CPrV 90, for example, the 50-year FCm is $\frac{100 \times 90}{100 - 90}$ or 900.

Table I (continued)
 Tabular Equivalent of General-Purpose Monograph:
 Converted Means (CMB) and Associated Converted Predictive Values (CPV)

CMB	Basic Section										Extrapolated Section**									
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 yrs	20 yrs	30 yrs	40 yrs	50 yrs	60 yrs	70 yrs	80 yrs	90 yrs	100 yrs
23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23.0	21.1	20.4	19.8	19.2	18.9	18.5	18.3	18.1	18.1
22	0	1	2	4	6	10	12	17	22	29	109	109	114	117	120	123	124	127	128	128
21	0	1	2	4	5	9	12	16	21	28	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
20	0	1	2	4	5	9	11	15	20	26	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
19	0	1	2	4	5	8	10	15	19	25	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
18	0	0	2	3	5	8	9	14	19	24	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
17	0	0	2	3	5	7	9	14	17	23	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
16	0	0	2	3	5	7	8	13	16	21	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
15	0	0	2	3	5	6	8	12	15	20	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
14	0	0	2	3	4	6	8	11	14	19	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
13	0	0	1	2	4	5	7	10	13	17	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
12	0	0	1	2	4	5	7	9	12	16	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
11	0	0	1	2	3	4	6	8	11	15	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
10	0	0	1	2	3	4	5	7	10	14	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
9	0	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	9	13	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
8	0	0	1	1	2	3	4	5	8	12	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
7	0	0	1	1	2	3	4	5	7	11	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
6	0	0	1	1	2	3	4	6	9	12	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
5	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	4	5	7	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
4	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	4	6	9	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
3	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	5	7	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	5	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

**Frequencies can cover various kinds of distributions - climatic, industrial, psychological, biological, etc.
 **In the Extrapolated Section, values more than 100 are the Extrapolated CPV's. Values less than 100 are the Period Converted Means. FCMs = 100 CMB
 For CMB 90, for example, the 50-year FCM is 100 x 90 / 102

b. Extrapolated section

(1) The nomograph

The 101 patterns of converted means (CMns) with their series of cumulated converted predictive values (CPrV's, 0 to 100) each represents a frequency distribution which becomes attenuated from the CMn toward its extremes, but does so at a decelerated rate. A frequency of 1 day in 1 January (1/31) is 3.23% of the time; 1 day in 10 Januaries (1/310) is 0.323% of the time; 1 day in 50 Januaries (1/1550) is .064% of the time; 1 day in 100 Januaries (1/3100) is 0.0323% of the time. This represents deceleration toward infinity. This decelerated decline of frequency in the 10-year record (CPrV 0 to 100 for each CMn value) is continued in the Extrapolated Section of the nomograph and extends to cover climatic frequency probabilities to 100 years (for particular months) by decade accretions.

Thus, we can trace the CMn 60 pattern (mentioned in Basic Section above) beyond the 100 CPrV limits to include 20- 30- 40- 50- 60- 70- 80- 90- and 100-year probabilities with reference to specified months. The extrapolated CPrV's above CPrV 100 for CMn 60 are 103, 105, 107, 108, 109, 110, 110, 111 and 112, respectively. The decelerated trends were derived by reference to long period extremes at numerous stations and also by use of several probability scales (see footnote, II, 1).

(2) The table

As in the Nomograph, the Extrapolated Section of the table is a continuation of the Basic Section, and extends to cover frequency probabilities by decades up to 100 years. Thus, for the CMn 60 pattern we follow the line 60 from the left margin to the required (e.g., 50-yr., 100-yr.) column heading in Extrapolated Section. Values more than 100 are the CPrV's. (Values less than 100 in Extrapolated Section are explained in the Identification Section comments below.)

c. Identification section

(i) The nomograph

The Basic Section of the nomograph was constructed from 10-year records. The trend of converted predictive values on the nomograph and in Table I, therefore, is geared only to 10-year summary records. What is to be done if one must use a 60-year summary record? The Identification Section is designed to cover such contingencies.* Let us assume that a given 60-year record has a converted mean (CMn) of 45. To identify

*See reference 9, Part IV, for full explanation of Identification Section.

the 10-year equivalent pattern for this CMn 45 in Figure 1, follow the vertical 60-year line (Identification Section) downward to horizontal line CMn 45. From here follow the nearest sloping line to the 10-year vertical line on the left margin. It emerges here on CMn 50. For prediction purposes the 10-year CMn 50 pattern of CPrV's should be used as the equivalent of the CMn 45 pattern of CPrV's associated with the 60-year record. In like manner the 10-year equivalent CMn pattern may be identified for the CMn pattern of CPr values for any length of record up to 100 years.

(2) The table

The same results may be achieved by use of Table I. Just follow down the 60-year column (Extrapolated Section) to the 60-year Period Converted Mean (PCMn) nearest 45. (PCMn's are the values in the Extrapolated Section that are less than 100.) The figure nearest to 45 in this column happens to be 45.0, which is the line or pattern of CPrV's associated with the 10-year CMn 50 (far left column). Therefore, the 10-year equivalent CMn 50 pattern of CPrV's is to be used in computing probabilities. (See Appendix C for example using Identification Section.)

PART III. SOME CLIMATOLOGY PREDICTIONS AND THEIR RELIABILITY

1. Assessing rainfall probabilities

Several examples of using the mean and two extremes with a General-purpose type Nomograph to predict frequency and probable amount of 1-day rainfall are given in Reference 7. An example of this type is solved in Appendix B.

2. Validation of certain rainfall predictions by handbook data

Manually tabulated and graphed climatic data for low, middle and high latitudes are given in three Army handbooks: Cristobal, Canal Zone; Devils Lake, North Dakota; and Fort Churchill, Man. (10). Table II gives rainfall data from graphs in these handbooks ("Actual"). Also shown are corresponding predicted values ("Predicted") retrieved by use of the three items of summarized data (AbMx, AbMI and D₂₄), and the General-purpose Nomograph. The months chosen represent months of the wet or the dry seasons of the year. The predicted values run to 100 years. The graphed values cover only years of actual record.

The differences between the predicted and the actual (tabulated) are not greater than would be expected. In general, the predictions call for a few more days of low rainfall than the tabulated records indicate.

3. Other kinds of climatology problems

Using the mean and two extremes and the General-purpose Nomograph to assess hourly temperature probabilities is discussed in Reference 5 (mentioned above in I, 2b). An example of hourly temperature frequencies is also discussed later in this report (VI, 3) and a detailed solution given in Appendix C.

Summarized data are available for assessing probable percentage data for first killing frosts of Autumn, and last killing frosts of Spring; for the closing of harbors and rivers by ice in Winter and their opening in Spring; and for many other kinds of summarized atmospheric phenomena in which only mean and extremes are given.

Table II: Actual Rainfall Frequencies, Tabulated and Graphed, Compared with Predicted Probabilities*

Days in given mo. Months (One per year):	99/100		90/100		70/100		50/100		30/100		10/100		1/100		1/310		1/620		1/930		1/1240		1/1550		1/1860		1/2170		1/2480		1/2790		1/3100				
	99%	1%	90%	10%	70%	30%	50%	1%	30%	10%	70%	1%	10%	1%	32.3%	.161%	.108%	.0806%	.0645%	.0538%	.0461%	.0403%	.0358%	.0313%	.0276%	.0243%	.0213%	.0186%	.0161%	.0138%	.0117%	.0100%					
Cristobal, C.Z. Predicted	.00	.02	.00	.02	.02	.03	.05	.10	.18	.25	.30	.40	.50	.65	.80	1.00	1.20	1.50	2.00	2.50	3.00	3.50	4.00	4.50	5.00	5.50	6.00	6.50	7.00	7.50	8.00	8.50	9.00	9.50	10.00		
Actual	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	
Ft. Churchill Predicted	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	
Actual	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
Devil's Lake, N.D. Predicted	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
Actual	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00

*Predicted = Predicted rainfall amounts and frequencies, calculated from summarized data in Handbooks (Abbk, AbM, DMn), using the General-purpose Neograph

Actual = Data manually tabulated and graphed in the respective Handbook (10)

Underlined values are 1-day maxima for specified month, for period of summarized record.

Reading the table: At Cristobal (October), 30% of the time (30 days in 100) one may expect at least 1.01" rain; 0.108% of the time (1 day in 920) one should expect at least 10.58"; and 0.461% of the time (1 day in 2170) one may expect at least 11.92".

PART IV. ILLUSTRATIVE USES FROM INDUSTRY AND PSYCHOLOGY

Many kinds of summarized data are amenable to this nomographic method by which frequency distributions may be resolved. If the mean, the extremes, and length of record are given, the percentage frequency and levels of occurrence may be retrieved or predicted with considerable confidence. Two examples are given below.

1. Comparative screwdriver tensile strengths of slotted heads of valve caps

A potential customer (such as the U. S. Army Materiel Command) desired to know the screwdriver tensile strength of samples of the slotted heads of valve caps of two competing companies. In the given situation the slotted heads of the screw caps could not tolerate tensions greater than 200 lb., and required a minimum strength of at least 140 lb. for satisfactory operations.

Company A: In a 34 valve-cap sample of this company the mean strength was 153 lb., the maximum was 181 lb., and the minimum was 130 lb.

Company B: In a 36 valve-cap sample of the competing company the mean strength was 138 lb., the maximum was 203 lb., and the minimum was 66 lb.

Using these data and the tabular equivalent of the General-purpose Nomograph (Table I), the frequency distribution of tensile strengths was predicted. The frequency distribution in Company A (34 sample records) followed pattern CMn 48. The frequency distribution in Company B (36 sample records) followed pattern CMn 57. The predicted distributions are given in Table III.

Table III. Predicted Frequency and Amount (in lb) of Tensile Strength of Slotted Heads of 2 Competing Valve Caps (Screwdriver Valve Tests)

Company	.0323%	1%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	99%	99.84%	99.89%	99.92%	99.954%	99.968%
A	130	133	<u>140</u>	142	145	149	153	156	161	167	175	180	<u>181</u>	183	185	186	187
B	66	77	99	109	118	127	138	<u>147</u>	156	164	173	187	197	201	<u>203</u>	205	210

Underlined figures represent maximum values
 Brackets include tolerance range [140 - 200 lb]

It is evident from Table III that 90% of Company A valve caps were within the required range (140 to 200 lb.), and that only 50% of Company B valve caps were within this range.

It is conceded that it may seem odd to construct from climatic data a predictive nomograph, and then use it in application to industrial data. But we do use means, standard deviations, etc., regularly as universal measures of dispersion. It is suggested that patterns of asymmetry, in general, are amenable to many more applications.

2. Scores on Army Alpha Test

The summary of scores from an Army Alpha Test given to a group of 54 Army men was as follows: maximum score 201, mean score 172, and minimum score 126 (3). Assuming this to be representative of 10 repeated tests, what are the probable results to be expected at various percentages of the time?

In solving this problem, the converted mean of 61 is used ($CMn = 61$). Therefore the CPr values in the CMn 61 pattern of Table I (equivalent of the Nomograph) were used to compute the probable percentages of frequency scores. These were found to be: 10% of the men should score 147 or lower; 20%, 154 or lower; 30%, 160 or lower; 50%, 173 or lower; 70%, 182 or lower; 90%, 191 or lower. That is, only 10% of the men should score 191 or above.

If the test had included a larger proportion of easy questions, then the CMn might have been higher, perhaps as high as CMn 80 or 90. The converse probably would happen if there had been a larger proportion of difficult questions in the tests. Or if there had been a large number of such tests, the extremes would probably be more attenuated--perhaps having the maximum higher, and the minimum lower. Then a different nomographic pattern, selected by means of the Identification Section, would be used for predictive purposes, but the distribution pattern would still be approximately as asymmetrical.

PART V. THE ALTERNATIVE NOMOGRAPH

1. Essential data and theory for constructing and using

In summarizing data in which extremes are critical factors, it is often desirable to know the averages of the extremes measured. Therefore, mean maxima (MMx) and mean minima (MMi) for given month(s) are often listed in summary records. The extreme maximum and the extreme minimum temperature for January each usually occurs only once. On the other hand, in January over a period of 50 years there are 1550 daily maxima and 1550 daily minima. The averaging of these gives a January mean daily maximum (MDMx) and a January mean daily minimum (MDMi) each of which is a more stable value from which to measure frequency of daily extremes than any one of its numerous components. It is proposed, therefore, to construct an Alternative All-purpose Nomograph using these two means (MDMx and MDMi) as relatively stable anchors in the abbreviated record from which the frequency of oscillating extremes may be measured.

In such a nomograph the frequency distribution associated with the oscillating daily minimum would be measured, located by reference to a 100-unit scale extending from the converted mean daily maximum (CMDMx) = 100 to the absolute minimum (AbMi) = 0. Thus, the converted mean daily minimum (CMDMi) serves to identify the pattern of CPrV's to be used for predictive purposes.

For purposes of predicting the frequency patterns associated with daily maxima or minima, the range should perhaps be measured from one absolute extreme (e.g., AbMx) in a given period of time to the mean of the opposite extreme (e.g., MDMi) instead of measuring from one absolute extreme to the opposite absolute extreme as in Figure 1. We have in Figure 3 such a nomograph. Its construction and use are in most ways analogous to that of Figure 1 of the present study.

2. Previous studies used, and range of converted mean extremes:

Two studies (6, 8) served chiefly as guides in constructing the prototype for Figure 3 (Alternative Nomograph). The CMn patterns for the former (CMDMi in reference 6) ranged from CMn 20 to CMn 55, and the CMn patterns for the latter (CMDMx in reference 8) ranged from CMn 36 to CMn 80. (The two series of patterns overlap from CMn 36 to CMn 55.) This left CMn 0 to 20 and CMn 80 to 100 to be developed. The extrapolations were achieved by use of several differing probability scales (see footnote II-2), by searching out some extreme types of distributions, and considering some unusual but theoretically possible situations. For example: a case in which either one or the other or both extremes in several or all of the distributions ran into stationary or fixed limits. Or a situation in which a very potent variable synchronized with other high variables only once or twice in a large number of distributions. In some such cases extremely skewed or asymmetrical distributions might, probably would, occur. In situations like this, converted mean patterns might run high, say 85 to 100 or perhaps low - 0 to 15.

FIGURE 3 - ALTERNATIVE PREDICTIVE NOMOGRAPH: CONVERTED MEAN EXTREMES (CMDMI OR CMDMX) AND ASSOCIATED CONVERTED PREDICTIVE VALUES (CPV)




Figure 3

3. Examples of use in predicting

Reference 8 contains several examples of the use of a nomograph similar to this to predict probable frequency of occurrence of daily maximum temperatures. For these the summarized data are: AbMx, MDMx and MDMI. Reference 9 gives an example of predicting probable frequency of daily minimum temperatures. For these the essential data are: AbMI, MDMI and MDMx.

In part VI, 4 of this report January minimum temperature probabilities are given for certain degree quadrangles in Germany.

4. Tabular counterpart

In Table IV the predictive features of the Alternative Nomograph are numerically represented in their entirety. See Part II, 2 of this report, for a generalized explanation of the sections of the table.

5. Differences between the two nomographs

The nomographs are constructed from and used with different items of essential data, as explained above and summarized in VI, 2 below.

In the General-purpose Nomograph, the predictive curves are given in the usual percentages (1%, 5%, 10th 20% . . . 100%). In the Alternative Nomograph the predictive curves are given primarily in frequency in days (25/31, 20/31 . . . 1/3100) with the corresponding percentages (80.6%, 64.5% . . . 0.032%). The latter nomograph has certain advantages for solving climatology problems.

Of course it is immaterial whether the percent frequency curves are arranged from 0 to 100 or from 100 to 0, since on occasion each curve is used as value X or as 100 - X.

Table IV: Tabular Equivalent of Alternative Monographs:
 Converted Mean Extremes (CMEI or CMEB) and Associated Converted Fractile Values (CFV)

CMEI / CMEB	Basic Section		CFV's in Days-Per-Yr with and Percent of Time, Based on Samples, Representative of Frequency Distributions of a Given Kind		Interpolated Section							
	100	100	100	100	100	100						
99.67%	81.6%	64.5%	1.0%	0.645%	0.32%	0.161%	0.081%	0.041%	0.021%	0.011%	0.006%	0.003%
31/21	25/31	20/31	15/31	10/31	5/31	3/31	1/31	1/60	1/90	1/120	1/150	1/180
100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
99	97	98	99	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
98	95	97	98	99	99	100	100	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0
97	92	96	97	98	99	99	100	98.0	98.0	98.0	98.0	97.0
96	90	94	97	98	99	99	100	97.0	97.0	97.0	97.0	96.0
95	90	93	96	97	98	99	100	96.0	96.0	96.0	95.0	95.0
94	85	92	95	96	97	98	99	95.0	95.0	94.1	94.1	93.1
93	84	90	94	95	97	98	99	94.0	93.1	93.1	92.2	92.2
92	83	89	93	95	96	97	98	93.0	92.1	91.2	91.2	91.2
91	81	88	92	94	96	97	98	92.0	91.1	90.2	90.2	89.3
90	80	87	91	93	95	97	98	91.0	90.1	89.2	89.2	88.3
89	78	85	90	92	95	96	98	90.0	89.1	88.2	87.4	87.4
88	76	84	90	92	94	96	98	89.0	88.1	87.3	86.4	86.4
87	75	83	89	91	94	96	97	88.0	87.1	86.3	85.4	85.4
86	72	82	88	90	93	95	97	87.0	86.1	85.3	84.5	83.7
85	72	80	87	89	93	95	97	86.0	85.1	84.3	83.5	82.7
84	70	79	86	88	92	95	96	85.0	84.2	83.3	82.5	81.7
83	69	78	85	88	92	94	96	84.0	83.2	82.4	81.6	80.8
82	67	77	84	87	91	94	96	83.0	82.2	81.4	80.6	79.8
81	66	75	83	86	90	93	96	82.0	81.1	80.4	79.6	78.8
80	65	74	82	85	90	93	95	81.0	80.2	79.4	78.6	77.8
79	63	73	81	85	89	93	95	80.0	79.2	78.4	77.6	76.8
78	62	72	80	84	89	92	94	79.0	78.1	77.3	76.5	75.7
77	61	71	79	83	88	92	94	78.0	77.1	76.3	75.5	74.7
76	60	70	78	82	88	91	94	77.0	76.1	75.3	74.5	73.7
75	58	68	78	82	87	91	93	76.0	75.1	74.3	73.5	72.7

*Frequencies can cover various kinds of distributions - climatic, industrial, psychological, biological, etc.
 **in the Interpolated Section, values more than 100 are the Extrapolated CFV's. Values less than 100 are the Period Converted Mean.
 CMEI = 100 CMEB or PCMEB = 100 CFV

Table IV (continued)
 Secular Equivalent of Alternative Histogram:
 Converted Mean Extrema (CMEH or CMEHs) and Associated Converted Predictive Values (CPV)

CMEH/ CMEHs	Basic Section		Extrapolated Section	
	CPV's in Days-Per-Month and Percent of Time, Based on Samples, Representative of Frequency Distributions of a Given Kind	CPV's	CPV's	CPV's
74	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
73	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
72	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
71	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
70	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
69	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
68	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
67	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
66	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
65	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
64	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
63	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
62	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
61	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
60	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
59	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
58	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
57	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
56	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
55	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
54	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
53	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
52	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
51	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45
50	99.6777	80.64	64.58	48.45

Frequencies can cover various kinds of distributions - climatic, industrial, psychological, biological, etc.
 in the Extrapolated Section, values more than 100 are the Extrapolated CPV's. Values less than 100 are the Period Converted Mean.
 FORMER = $\frac{100 \text{ CMEHs}}{\text{CPV}}$ or FORMER = $\frac{100 \text{ CMEHs}}{\text{CPV}}$

Table IV (continued)
 Tabular Equivalent of Alternative Histogram:
 Converted Mean Extremes (CME) or CMEs and Associated Converted Predictive Values (CPV)

CMEs/	CPV's in Days-Per-Month and Percent of Time, Based on Samples, Representative of Frequency Distributions of a Given Kind		Basic Section		Extrapolated Section																													
	99.67%	80.6%	64.5%	48.4%	32.3%	1.0%																												
49	0	32	40	52	59	71	85	91	96	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130		
48	0	32	39	51	58	70	84	91	96	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130		
47	0	30	38	50	57	69	84	91	96	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130		
46	0	30	38	49	57	68	76	83	91	96	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	
45	0	29	37	49	56	58	67	75	82	90	96	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130
44	0	28	36	47	54	67	75	82	90	96	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	
43	0	27	34	46	53	66	75	82	90	95	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	
42	0	26	33	45	52	65	74	81	89	95	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	
41	0	25	32	44	51	64	73	81	89	95	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	
40	0	23	31	43	50	63	72	80	89	95	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	
39	0	23	31	42	49	62	72	80	89	95	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	
38	0	22	30	41	48	62	71	79	88	95	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	
37	0	22	29	40	47	61	70	79	88	94	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	
36	0	21	28	39	46	60	69	78	88	94	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	
35	0	20	26	38	45	59	69	78	88	94	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	
34	0	20	26	37	44	58	68	77	87	94	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	
33	0	19	25	36	43	57	67	77	86	93	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	
32	0	18	24	35	42	56	66	76	86	93	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	
31	0	18	23	33	40	54	65	75	85	93	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	
30	0	16	22	33	39	53	65	75	85	93	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	
29	0	15	21	32	38	52	64	74	84	93	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	
28	0	15	21	31	38	52	63	74	84	93	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	
27	0	14	20	30	36	50	62	73	84	93	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	
26	0	13	19	29	35	49	61	72	83	93	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	
25	0	13	18	28	34	48	59	71	83	92	100	105	108	109	111	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	

*Frequencies can cover various kinds of distributions - climatic, industrial, psychological, biological, etc.
 **In the Extrapolated Section, values more than 100 are the Extrapolated CPV's. Values less than 100 are the Period Converted Mean.
 $PCMEs = \frac{100 \cdot CMEs}{CPV}$ or $PCMEs = \frac{100 \cdot CMEs}{CPV}$

Table IV (continued)
 Tabular Equivalent of Alternative Histogram:
 Converted Mean Extremes (CMEI or CMEK) and Associated Converted Predictive Values (CPV)

CMEI/ CMEK	CPV's in Days-Per-Month and Percent of Time, Based on Samples, Representative of Frequency Distributions of a Given Kind											
	99.6/77.8	80.6/64.5	64.5/58.4	48.4/42.3	32.3/26.2	16.2/10.1	9.7/5.6	3.2/2.1	1.0/0.6	0.6/0.4	0.3/0.2	0.2/0.1
	0	11	17	26	32	45	57	70	82	92	100	110
23	0	11	17	26	32	45	57	70	82	92	100	110
22	0	11	16	25	30	44	56	69	81	92	100	110
21	0	11	15	24	29	42	55	68	81	91	100	110
20	0	9	14	22	28	40	53	67	80	91	100	110
19	0	9	13	22	27	39	52	66	80	91	100	110
18	0	8	13	20	25	38	50	65	79	91	100	110
17	0	8	12	19	24	36	48	63	78	90	100	110
16	0	7	11	18	23	34	47	62	78	90	100	110
15	0	6	10	17	22	33	45	61	77	90	100	110
14	0	6	9	16	21	30	43	59	76	89	100	110
13	0	6	9	15	20	29	41	58	75	89	100	110
12	0	5	8	14	18	27	39	56	74	88	100	110
11	0	4	8	13	17	25	37	55	73	88	100	110
10	0	4	7	12	15	22	35	53	72	87	100	110
9	0	3	6	11	14	21	31	50	70	86	100	110
8	0	3	6	9	12	18	28	48	68	85	100	110
7	0	3	5	7	11	16	26	46	67	84	100	110
6	0	2	4	7	10	15	23	43	65	82	100	110
5	0	2	3	6	7	12	20	39	62	81	100	110
4	0	1	3	5	7	10	16	35	59	78	100	110
3	0	1	2	4	5	7	11	33	52	74	100	110
2	0	1	1	2	2	3	5	15	35	50	100	110
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.9	2.0	1.0	0.8
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

*P. encies can cover various kinds of distributions - climatic, industrial, psychological, biological, etc.
 **In the Extrapolated Section, values more than 100 are the Extrapolated CPV's. Values less than 100 are the Period Converted Mean.
 $PCMDM = \frac{100 \cdot CMEI}{CPV}$ or $PCMDK = \frac{100 \cdot CMEK}{CPV}$

PART VI. USE OF THE NOMOGRAPHS WITH ISOTHERMAL MAPS

The nomographs described have special significance as applied to climatic data. Let us illustrate one use, potentially world-wide, by applying it to a specific country - Germany.

1. Constructing isothermal maps of essential data

Summarized temperature data from 75 weather stations in Germany (Fig. 4) enabled us to construct 5 January isothermal maps of the country based on more than 70 50-year records:

AbMi (Fig. 5a); MDMI (Fig. 5b); MoMo (Fig. 5c);
MMx (Fig. 5d); AbMx (Fig. 5e).

2. Predicting frequency distribution of (January) temperatures

With these maps of essential data and the appropriate nomograph, we can predict the hourly frequency distribution of January temperature for any given place in Germany.

Which 3 items of essential data we use will depend on which nomograph we intend to use. These would be:

For the General-purpose Nomograph:

AbMx MoMo AbMi

For the Alternative Nomograph:

AbMi MDMI MMx or AbMx MDMx MDMI

For solving the present problem, the General-purpose Nomograph was selected.




Figure 4
26

GERMANY

JANUARY ABSOLUTE MINIMUM TEMPERATURE (AbMi) - °F.

SCALE IN MILES
0 10 20 30 40 50 75 100 150 200




Figure 5a
27

GERMANY

JANUARY MEAN DAILY MINIMUM TEMPERATURE (MDMi) - °F.

SCALE IN MILES
0 10 20 30 40 50 75 100 150 200




Figure 5b
28




Figure 5c
29

GERMANY

JANUARY MEAN DAILY MAXIMUM TEMPERATURE (MDMx) - °F.

SCALE IN MILES
0 10 20 30 40 50 75 100 150 200




Figure 5d

GERMANY

JANUARY ABSOLUTE MAXIMUM TEMPERATURE (Abmx) - °F.

SCALE IN MILES

0 10 20 30 40 50 75 100 150 200




Figure 5e
31

The Stuttgart area* of Germany was a random sample to test the use of isothermal maps for predicting hourly distribution of temperature. The required essential data for the Stuttgart area are:

$$\text{AbMx} = 58^{\circ}\text{F} \quad \text{MoMn} = 30^{\circ}\text{F} \quad \text{AbMi} = -4^{\circ}\text{F}$$

The above-listed summarized data for the Stuttgart area may be used with the General-purpose Nomograph to assess the frequency and amount of January temperatures. From the 50-year map data hourly temperature frequencies were predicted.** These are given in line F of Table V.

3. Reliability of predictions for Stuttgart area

In Table V, line D gives the observed hourly frequency record, machine-tabulated, for 5 Januaries.*** Line E gives the hourly frequencies from the 5-year summary record in line D.

It is believed that the predictions in line F, made from the 50-year map data, are just as good or better for operational purposes than the recorded hourly frequencies from the original 5-year machine-tabulated records or the predictions from the 5-year summarized records.

4. Constructing maps of temperature probabilities

Let us illustrate one use for the temperature probabilities developed from essential data by using the Nomograph. Suppose we wish to construct a January map of Germany showing the minimum temperature probabilities for 9.7% of the time, or 3 days in January. This will be done in the following steps:

a. Secure essential data

We have decided to use the Alternative Nomograph, so we will need MDMx, MDMi and AbMi. We will secure these essential data from the vertex of each degree quadrangle. For example, quadrangle A, on Figure 5d, 5b and 5a. Table VI gives the essential data so secured.

*This is an area where hourly records have been kept and tabulated which are of sufficient length to lend validity to the present nomographic method. It should be noted, especially, that the predictions are not for the Stuttgart weather station, but represent the general area in the vicinity of the relevant isotherms.

**See Appendix C for detailed steps in predicting

***Tabulated at Asheville, N.C., for Weather Corporation of America (WCA)

Table V. Machine-Tabulated January Hourly Temperature Frequencies Compared with Frequencies Predicted Manually from Summarized Records and also those predicted from Map Data. Stuttgart Vicinity in Germany.

ADMX	M:Mi	ACMI	A										1/310	1/620	1/1240	1/1550	1/1860	1/2170	1/2480	1/2790	1/3100				
			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1													
57	33	-2	51	46	43	39	37	34	32	31	26	19	13	11	-4	-7	-9	-10	-11	-12	-13	-13	-14	-14	-14
57	33	-2	54	51	47	42	39	34	30	25	20	15	12	6	-4	0	-2	-3	-4	-5	-6	-6	-7	-7	-7
56	30	-4	54	51	46	43	39	35	30	27	22	18	15	10	2										

A - Ratio of: 1-day month(s) from 10 years (1/310) to 100 years (1/3100).
 B - Number of given month involved.
 C - Percentage probability for given value on a 100-unit scale from .32% (323 in 1000) to 99.968% (99,968 in 100,000).
 D - Observed record, machine tabulated at Aheville, N.C., National Weather Records Center 5-year record.
 E - Predicted from summary records (AbMx, M:Mi and AbMi) in A. 5-year record.
 F - Predicted from map data - three isothermal maps of Germany 50-year record.

Table VI: January Minimum Temperature Probabilities at 19 Different Frequency Intervals for 3 Degree Quadrangle Locations in Germany.

Quad- rangle	Location Lat. Long.	Map Data**		Probable Frequencies of Daily Minimum Temperatures																		
		AbMi	M:Mi	25/31	31/31	15/31	10/31	5/31	3/31	1/31	1/93	1/155	1/310	1/620	1/930	1/1240	1/1550	1/1860	1/2170	1/2430	1/2790	1/3100
*A	47°N 07°E	-2°	31° 40°	35	33	30	28	23	19	15	11	8	5	2	0	-1	-2	-3	-4	-4	-4	-5
*B	52°N 13°E	-20°	25° 36°	31	29	25	22	16	10	4	-1	-6	-10	-14	-17	-19	-20	-21	-22	-23	-23	-24
*C	50° 11°E	-21°	24° 35°	29	27	22	20	13	6	3	-3	-8	-11	-16	-18	-20	-21	-22	-23	-24	-24	-25

*Each of these degree quadrangles (A,B,C) is marked on Fig. 4.
 **The essential data needed for predicting (AbMi, M:Mi, and AbMi) were taken from isothermal maps Fig. 5a, 5b, 5d.
 For example: At Lat. 51°N and long. 07°E (quadrangle A, near Köln) a minimum of 15°F may be expected at least 3 days in January or 9.7% of the time, and -2°F or lower, 1 day in 50 years.

b. Compute and tabulate probable frequencies

Using the Alternative Nomograph, the probable frequencies of daily minimum temperature were computed for quadrangle A. (See Table VI.)

In the same way the data and probable frequencies were obtained for each degree quadrangle (B, C, etc.) and tabulated, as indicated on Table VI.

We are here interested in 9.7% probabilities, so we underline these in the table (Table VI). These underlined values will be used in constructing the 9.7% probability map.

c. Construct the probability map

On the map of Germany, at the various quadrangular vertices, plot the 70 or more minimum temperature values shown in the 9.7% (or 3/31) column, that is, for quadrangle A = 19; B = 10 and C = 6, etc. Draw the 9.7% isotherms through and among the plotted minimum temperature values.

This is the 9.7% map, and shows the minimum temperature to be expected at least 3 days in January in every part of Germany.

d. Wider application

Of course, corresponding maps can be drawn showing minimum temperatures to be expected for any level (80.6% to 0.032%), i.e., from 25 days in one January to 1 January day in 100 years or 100 Januaries.

The above procedures could be followed in constructing maps featuring frequency of daily maximum temperature levels (using MDMI, MDMx and AbMx data).

Similar procedures could be used for rainfall, or other climatic data. Or the procedures could be adapted to use of the General-purpose Nomograph and its appropriate essential data (AbMx, DMn, AbMI).

This procedure is applicable for any part of the world where essential summarized climatology data are available.

It is believed that the temperature data derived from such maps is sufficiently reliable to fall well within the calculated risks of military expediency, and may be used with confidence at least until better criteria are found and evaluated.

Summary

A. The value, use and construction of the 100-unit nomographs presented in this study are based on the assumption that the frequency distributions of measures of given phenomena differ from the normal according to patterns which are more or less inherent in their summary measures. The construction of the two nomographs depends largely on which values in the summaries are utilized as parameters in their make-up.

In Figure 1 and Table I, the 101 converted mean patterns (CMn), each with its unique series of converted predictive values (CPrV), depend for their utility entirely on four (4) parametric values. These summary measures are:

AbMx - Absolute Maximum
AbMi - Absolute Minimum
Mn - Mean or Average
- Length of Record

Given these 4 parametric measures, commonly available in summarized climatic records, the approximate details of a climatic record may be retrieved.

B. Some categories of summarized data include the average or mean of a series of extremes, i.e., the mean maximum (MMx) and mean minimum (MMi). In this case, these two parametric values together with the extremes may be used in the construction of the Alternative Predictive Nomograph (Figure 3).

Theoretically, the prediction from the two nomographs should agree (approximately) when applied to a given summary record. The anchor position (CMn = 0 and CMn = 100) from which all probabilities (CPrV's) are measured in Figure 1 is either the absolute maximum or absolute minimum; this is not as stable as the anchor position of mean maximum (MMx) or mean minimum (MMi) as in Figure 3.

C. The author believes that these two nomographs are fairly good instruments, but should be considered tentative, and should be subjected to rigorous tests and subsequent revision. Therefore, this investigator invites and welcomes suggestions for the revision or modification of these two prognostic instruments.

REFERENCES

1. Brackenseik, Fitting a generalized log-normal distribution to hydrologic data, J Geophys Research, 39: 469-473 (1958)
2. Croxton, F. E. and Cowden, D. J., Practical business statistics, pp 204-207, Prentice Hall Inc, New York N Y, 1937
3. Garrett, Henry E., Statistics in psychology and education, p 3, Longmans, Green and Co, New York, N Y, 1936
4. Gumbel, E. J. and Julius Lieblein, Some applications of extreme value methods, Amer Statistician, Vol 8, No 4, 1954
5. Lackey, Earl E., A method for assessing hourly temperature probabilities from limited weather records, Bull Amer Meteorol Soc, 41:298-303, June 1960
6. -----, Assessing daily minimum temperature probabilities from abbreviated weather records, Prof Geog, 12: No 5, 1-7
7. -----, A method for assessing the frequency and amount of 1-day rainfall, Tech Rpt ES-9, U.S. Army Natick Laboratories, Natick, Mass, June 1964
8. -----, A method for assessing daily maximum temperatures from summarized weather records, Tech Rpt ES-13, U.S. Army Natick Laboratories, Natick, Mass, Aug 1964
9. -----, A method for predicting the probable frequency of daily minimum temperatures from summarized data, Tech Rpt ES-18, U.S. Army Natick Laboratories, Natick, Mass, Dec 1965
10. Quartermaster Research & Development Center, Natick, Mass:
Environmental Handbooks -

Handbook of Fort Churchill, Manitoba, Canada environment
(de Percin & White), Tech Rpt EP-4, Aug 1954

Handbook of Devils Lake, North Dakota, environment
(de Percin and Bingham), Tech Rpt EP-8, Apr 1955

Environmental Handbook of Fort Sherman and Fort Gulick,
Panama Canal Zone, (Wiley, Dodd and Chambers), Tech Rpt EP-17,
July 1955

11. Spreen, William C., Empirically determined distributions of hourly temperatures, *J Meteorol*, Vol. 13, No. 4, pp. 381-385, Aug 1956
12. U.S. Weather Bureau, Maximum station precipitation for 1, 2, 3, 6, 12, and 24 hours, Parts I to XXVI, Tech Paper 15, Washington, D.C., 1951-61

APPENDIX A

ABBREVIATIONS

- AbMx = Absolute Maximum
The highest measure recorded in a given series of observations of a climatic or other variable.
- AbMi = Absolute Minimum
The lowest measure recorded in a given series of observations of a climatic or other variable.
- Mn = Mean
The sum of a series of measures divided by the number of measures, to give the average value.
- DMn = Daily Mean
The average of a given series of daily measures.
- MoMn = Monthly Mean
The mean of a series of monthly averages for a given month in each year of record.
- MDMx = Mean Daily Maximum
The average of the daily maxima (in this study, for a given month only in each year) during the period of record.
- MIMI = Mean Daily Minimum
The average of the daily minima (in this study, for a given month only in each year) during the period of record.
- CAbMx = Converted Absolute Maximum
The AbMx changed to 100 on the 100-unit scale.
- CAbMi = Converted Absolute Minimum
The AbMi changed to 0 on the 100-unit scale.
- CMn = Converted Mean
The mean occupying the same relative position between extremes as in unconverted observations, but expressed as a value on the 100-unit scale between 0 (CAbMi) and 100 (CAbMx).
- CMDMI = Converted Mean Daily Minimum
Average of the reduced daily minima converted to the 100-unit scale as in Table IV.

- CNDMx - Converted Mean Daily Maximum
Average of the reduced daily maxima converted to the 100-unit scale as in Table IV.
- CPrV - Converted Predictive Values
The cumulative frequency predictive values associated with each of the 101 CMn's (left margin Table I and Table IV), e.g., CPrV's on line CMn 60.
- PCMn - Period Converted Mean
See footnote Table I and Table IV.

APPENDIX B

PROBLEM SOLUTION: ASSESSING FREQUENCY AND PROBABLE AMOUNT OF 1-DAY RAINFALL, USING GENERAL-PURPOSE NOMOGRAPH

In this type of problem, we are given the 10-year record and use the Extrapolated section of the Nomograph or its associated Table to predict for decades beyond 10 years. The specific subject, April rainfall in New Orleans, was previously discussed (see Table I, ref. 7), but in the following problem the present Nomograph (Fig. 1) was used.

1. Statement of problem

Given: At New Orleans during a 10-April period (300 April days in 10 years) the monthly mean (MoMn) rainfall was 4.94" and the 1-day maximum (1-day Mx) was 5.8".

Required: What is the probable 1-day maximum April rainfall to be expected in 20 years? 50 years? 100 years?

2. Solution of problem

a. Find the CMn (1-day converted mean)

Formula:

$$\text{1-day CMn} = \frac{100 (\text{MoMn})}{30 (10 \text{ April 1-day Mx})}$$

(Substituting)

$$= \frac{100 (4.94)}{30 (5.89)} = 2.81 \text{ or } 3$$

Therefore, 1-day CMn 3 pattern of CPrV is to be used for predictions.

b. Find the CPrV's

On the General-purpose Nomograph, follow along CMn 3 from the left margin to its intersection with the 20-year curve, thence upward to 124; to the intersection with the 50-year curve, thence upward to 146; and for the 100-year curve, thence upward to 168. These are the converted predictive April 1-day rainfalls. These CPrV's are more easily found on the equivalent table. Using the CMn pattern of 3 in Table I, follow along from the left margin until under column head for 20 years: 124; for 50 years: 146; and for 100 years: 168.

c. Reconvert to conventional measures (inches)

Formula:

$$\text{1-day maximum} = \frac{(\text{10 April 1-day Mx}) (\text{CPrV})}{100}$$

$$\text{20 April 1-day Max} = \frac{5.89'' (124)}{100} = 7.30'' \text{ at least}$$

$$\text{50 April 1-day Mx} = \frac{5.89'' (146)}{100} = 8.60'' \text{ at least}$$

$$\text{100 April 1-day Mx} = \frac{5.89'' (168)}{100} = 9.90'' \text{ at least}$$

APPENDIX C

PROBLEM SOLUTION: ASSESSING TEMPERATURE FREQUENCIES, BASED ON 50-YEAR SUMMARY RECORDS, USING GENERAL-PURPOSE ANEMOGRAPH

This is a step-by-step solution of the problem discussed in VI,2 of this report, with results given in Table V, line F.

1. Statement of problem

Given: The following essential data from isothermal maps, Figs. 5 e,c,a

$$\text{AbMx} = 58^{\circ}\text{F} \quad \text{MoMn} = 30^{\circ}\text{F} \quad \text{AbMi} = -4^{\circ}\text{F}$$

Required: To assess the percentage frequency of January daily temperatures, based on 50-year summary map records, for the vicinity of Stuttgart, Germany.

2. Solution of problem

- a. Reduce the 3 items by subtracting each from AbMx, so that:

$$\text{AbMx} = 0 \quad \text{MoMn} = 28 \quad \text{AbMi} = 62$$

The 50-year range is 62°F .

- b. Find the 50-year CMn

Formula:

$$\text{PCMn} = \frac{100 (\text{MoMn})}{\text{Range}}$$

(Substituting)

$$= \frac{100 (28)}{62} = 45.2$$

PCMn 45.2 is for a 50-yr period record.

- c. Find the 10-year CMn pattern equivalent to PCMn 45.2

In Table I, follow down the 50-yr column series of PCMn's (figures less than 100) to the one nearest 45.2. This is 45.5 and is found associated in the 10-yr table (follow line to left margin) with the CMn 50 pattern of CPRV's.

The 10-yr CMn 50 pattern will serve for prediction purposes.

c. Find the required CPrV's

On Table I, on the CMn 50 line, find the required CPrV under the appropriate column heading, e.g., 30-yr CPrV = 107; 50-yr CPrV = 110; 100-yr CPrV = 115.

e. Find what the 10-year range would be, corresponding to 50-yr range (62°F)

Formula:

$$10\text{-yr range} = \frac{100 (50\text{-yr range})}{50\text{-yr CPrV}}$$

(Substituting)

$$= \frac{100 (62)}{110} = 56.4$$

f. Find required percentage frequency temperatures

Formula:

$$\text{Percentage frequency temp.} = 50\text{-yr AbMx} - \frac{10\text{-yr range} * (\text{CPrV's}^{**})}{100}$$

(Substituting)

$$\text{For 1 day in 30 years} = 58 - \frac{56.4 (107)}{100} = -2$$

$$\text{For 1 day in 100 years} = 58 - \frac{56.4 (115)}{100} = -7$$

These and other frequencies are given in Table V.

*As in step e above.

**As in step d above.

EARTH SCIENCES DIVISION

Distribution List

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Armed Forces Pest Cntrl Bd MEIS
Forest Glen Section
Walter Reed Army Medical Center
Washington, D. C. 20012

Meteorology Division
Defense Intelligence Agency
ATTN: DIAAP-IES
Washington, D. C. 20301

Asst Chf of Staff for Force Dvlpmnt
Department of the Army
ATTN: Dir of CBR&Nuclear Operations
Washington, D. C. 20310

Asst Dir for Technical Liaison
Office of Civil Defense, OSA
Department of the Army
Washington, D. C. 20310

Colonel K. C. Emerson
Special Assistant for Research
Office, Asst Secy of the Army (R&D)
Washington, D. C. 20310

U.S. Army Materiel Command
ATTN: AMCRD-RV-E
Washington, D. C. 20315

Colonel Sidney L. Marvin, MC
Chief, Behavioral Sci Rsch Br
U.S. Army Medical R&D Command
Office of The Surgeon General
Washington, D. C. 20315

Commanding Officer
Army Map Service
ATTN: Library Code 1341
6500 Brooks Lane
Washington, D. C. 20315

The Director
Armed Forces Institute of
Pathology
Washington, D.C. 20305

Office of the Chief of Engineers
Headquarters, Dept of the Army
ATTN: ENGTE-EF
Washington, D. C. 20315

Commanding Officer
U.S. Army Combat Developments
Cmd
CRB Agency
Fort McClellan, Alabama 36205

President
U.S. Army Aviation Test Board
Fort Rucker, Alabama 36362

U.S. Army Aviation School
Library
Building 5907
Fort Rucker, Alabama 36362

Commanding General
U.S. Army Missile Command
ATTN: Dr. Oskar M. Essenwanger
AMSMI-2RA, Bldg. 5429
Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809

Mr. Gerald Chaikin
U.S. Army Missile Command
ATTN: AMSMI-RC
Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809

Redstone Sci Info Cntr
ATTN: Chief, Document Section
U.S. Army Missile Command
Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809

PRECEDING
PAGE BLANK

Chief.
Atmospheric Sci Rsch Div.
Atmospheric Sci Laboratory,
USAECOM
Fort Huachuca, Arizona 85613

Commanding Officer
U.S. Army Electronics R&D Activity
ATTN: SELHU-MT
Fort Huachuca, Arizona 85613

Deputy Commanding Officer
U.S. Army Combat Dvlpmnts Cmd
Communications-Electronics Agency
ATTN: CAGCE-CSS
Fort Huachuca, Arizona 85613

ACofS, G3
Hq U.S. Army CDC Exprmtn Cmd
Fort Ord, California 93941

Senior Project Representative
Aeronutronic, A Division of
Philco Corporation
ATTN: AMCPM-SM-F
Newport Beach, California

Research Library
U.S. Army Medical Research
and Nutrition Laboratory
Fitzsimmons General Hospital
Denver, Colorado 80240

Chief, Parachute Packing Branch
QM Services Division
Services Section
Fort Benning, Georgia 31905

President
U.S. Army Infantry Board
Fort Benning, Georgia 31905

Commanding Officer
USACDC Civil Affairs Agency
Fort Gordon, Georgia

Commanding General
U.S. Army Weapons Command
ATTN: AMSWE-RDR
Rock Island, Illinois 61202

Commanding Officer
U.S.A. Combat Developments
Command
Adjutant General Agency
Ft. Benjamin Harrison, Indiana
46249

U.S. Army Cmnd & Genl Staff
College
Library Division
Fort Leavenworth, Kansas 66027

Commandant
U.S. Army Cmnd & Genl Staff
College
ATTN: Acquisitions, Library Div
Fort Leavenworth, Kansas 66027

Commanding Officer
USACDC Armor Agency
Fort Knox, Kentucky 40121

President
U.S.A. Armor Board
Fort Knox, Kentucky 40121

Commandant
U.S. Army Armor School
ATTN: Ch, Pol & Tng Lit Div
Fort Knox, Kentucky 40121

U.S. Army Limited War Laboratory
Technical Library, Bldg 359
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md.
21005

Commanding General
U.S. Army Test & Evaluation Cmd
ATTN: AMSTE-NB (Mr. Shreve)
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md.
21005

Commanding General
U.S. Army Test & Evaluation Cmd
ATTN: AMSTE-TA-A
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md
21005

U.S.A. Strategy & Tactics Anlys
Grp
8120 Woodmont Avenue
Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Commanding Officer
U.S. Army Nuclear Defense Lab
Edgewood Arsenal, Maryland 21010

Director
MUCOM Operations Group
ATTN: Irving Solomon
Edgewood Arsenal, Md. 21010

CRDL Technical Library
Building 3330
Edgewood Arsenal, Maryland 21010

Mr. Louis E. Garono
Chief Engineer
Dir of Engrng & Indstrl Svcs
Edgewood Arsenal, Maryland 21010

Commanding Officer
U.S. Army Biological Laboratories
ATTN: Tech Library, Documents
Fort Detrick
Frederick, Maryland 21701

Commanding Officer
U.S. Army Biological Laboratories
ATTN: SMUFD-MR-EE
Fort Detrick
Frederick, Md. 21701

U.S. Army Combat Dvlpmnts Cmd
Intelligence Agency
Fort Holabird, Maryland 21219

U.S. Army Materials Rsch Agency
Watertown, Massachusetts 02172

Commanding General
U.S. Army Mobility Command
ATTN: AMSMD-RDR
Warren, Michigan 48090

Commanding Officer
U.S. Army Tank Automotive Ctr
ATTN: SMOTA-RCL
Warren, Michigan 48090

Director
USAE Waterways Experiment Sta
ATTN: A.D. Rooke, Jr.
Earth Kinetics Sect.
P.O. Box 631
Vicksburg, Mississippi 39181

Missouri River Division
Corps of Engineers
ATTN: Mr. E. W. McClendon
P.O. Box 103, Downtown Station
Omaha, Nebraska 68101

Commanding Officer
U.S. Army CRREL
ATTN: Library
P.O. Box 282
Hanover, New Hampshire 03755

Commanding General
U.S. Army Electronics Command
Information Office, AMSEL-IO-T
Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703

Commanding Officer
U.S. Army Tropic Test Center
APO New York 09827

Commanding Officer
U.S. Army Research Office-Durham
ATTN: CRD-AA-IP
Box CM, Duke Station
Durham, North Carolina 27706

U.S. Army CDC
Special Warfare Agency
Bldg. 2-1/28
Fort Bragg, North Carolina 28307

The Commandant
U.S. Army Special Warfare School
ATTN: Director of Instruction
Fort Bragg, North Carolina 28307

U.S.A. NLABS Ln Office
ASDL-8
Wright Patterson AFB, Ohio

Water Control Branch, U.S.A.E.
Office of the Div. Engineer
North Pacific Division
210 Custom House
Portland, Oregon 97209

Commandant
U.S. Army War College
ATTN: Library
Carlisle Barracks, Pa. 17013

Commanding General
U.S.A. Inst. of Advanced Studies
ATTN: Library
Carlisle Barracks, Pa. 17013

Commanding Officer
Frankford Arsenal
ATTN: SMUFA-N6400/202-4
Human Factors Branch
Philadelphia Pa. 19137

Mr. Allen M. Frank
U4300 Bldg. 219/2
Frankford Arsenal
Tacony and Bridge Street
Philadelphia, Pa. 19137

Director
Institute of Tropical Forestry
P. O. Box 577
Rio Piedras, P. R. 00928

Medical Field Service School
Brooke Army Medical Center
ATTN: Stimson Library
Fort Sam Houston, Texas 78234

Commanding Officer
Dugway Proving Ground
ATTN: STEDP-SD
Dugway, Utah 84022

Commanding Officer
U.S. Army Combat Developments Cmd
Special Warfare & Civil Affairs Gp
ATTN: SWCA-M
Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060

USAEGIMRADA
ATTN: ENGGM-IN
Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060

Commanding Officer
U.S. Army Engineer Rsch & Dev Labs
ATTN: Technical Document Center
Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060

Commanding Officer
U.S. Army Research Support Group
Ft. Belvoir, Virginia 22060

Commanding Officer
U.S. Army General Equipment Test
Activity
ATTN: TECH LIBRARY, Bldg T-11000
Fort Lee, Virginia 23801

Commanding Officer
CDCQMA
Fort Lee, Virginia 23801

Commandant
U.S. Army Quartermaster School
ATTN: Quartermaster Library
Fort Lee, Virginia 23801

Chief of Research and Development
Department of the Army
ATTN: Dr. Hoyt Lemons
Environmental Sciences Div
Washington, D.C. 20310

Dr. Fernand dePercin
Regional & Special Projects Br., ESD
U.S. Army Research Office
3045 Columbia Pike-Highland Bldg.
Arlington, Virginia 22204

Commanding Officer
U.S. Army Arctic Test Center
ATTN: STEAC-RD
APO Seattle 98733

Commanding Officer
U.S.A. Northern Warfare Trng Ctr
APO Seattle 98733

Hq. USAF (AFRSTA)
Dir/Science & Technology
Washington, D. C. 20330

Hq. 6th Weather Wing 6WWTS
Andrews Air Force Base
Washington, D. C.

ADTIC
Aerospace Studies Institute
Maxwell AFB, Alabama 36112

Director
Air University Library
ATTN: AUL3T-7575
Maxwell AFB, Alabama 36112

Arctic Aeromed Lab
ATTN: Librarian
APO Seattle 98731

Reference Center Library
The Inst for Coop Research
Eglin Facility
P.O. Box 1867
Eglin Air Force Base, Florida

Hqs, AWS
AWSAE/SIPD
Scott AFB, Illinois 62226

Air Force Cambridge Research Labs
L. G. Hanscom Field - Stop 29
ATTN: CRMXLR, Res. Library
Bedford, Mass. 01730

AFCRL/OAR/CKJ
L. G. Hanscom Field - Stop 30
Bedford, Mass. 01730

Hq. Electronic Systems Division
ESTW (Lt. Col. C. A. Carpenter)
L. G. Hanscom Field
Bedford, Mass. 01730

Mr. Norman Sissenwine (CREW)
L. G. Hanscom Field
Bedford, Mass. 01730

AFFDL (FDPE-Mr. R. K. Hankey)
Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

AFFDL
FDP (STINFO) Mr. G. F. Arthur
Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

U.S.A.F. School of Aerospace
Medicine
Aeromedical Library (SMSL)
Brooks Air Force Base, Texas 78235

Commandant of the Marine Corps
Code AO4D
Hq. Marine Corps
Washington, D. C.

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons
(NWSA)
ATTN: RAAE-23 (R. J. Willingham)
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C.

Bureau of Yards & Docks
Navy Department
ATTN: Code 42.310
Washington, D. C. 20390

Director
Biological Sciences Div.
Office of Naval Research
Washington, D. C. 20360

Director
U.S. Naval Research Laboratory
ATTN: Code 2027
Washington, D. C. 20390

Envrnmntl Tech Appltcns U.S.A.F.
Navy Yard Annex, Bldg. 159
ATTN: IPD
Washington, D. C. 20333

Forecast Operations
Code 3422
Oceanographic Prediction Division
U. S. Naval Oceanographic Office
Washington, D. C. 20390

Geography Branch
Code 414
Office of Naval Research
Washington, D.C. 20360

U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office
ATTN: Librarian
Washington, D. C. 20390

U. S. Naval Research Laboratory
Code 6140
Washington, D. C. 20390

Library
Arctic Research Laboratory
Barrow, Alaska 99723

Dr. Max C. Brewer
Arctic Research Laboratory
Barrow, Alaska 99723

Commander
U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station
ATTN: Code 12 Intelligence Library
China Lake, California 93557

U.S. Naval Civil Engineering Lab.
Technical Library
Fort Hueneme, California 93041

Commanding Officer and Director
U.S. Navy Electronics Lab. (Library)
San Diego, California 92152

Library
U.S. Naval Supply R&D Facility
Naval Supply Center
Bayonne, N. J. 07002

U. S. Naval Applied Sci Lab
Technical Library
Building 291, Code 9832
Naval Base
Brooklyn, N. Y. 11251

Commanding Officer and Director
U. S. Naval Training Device Center
ATTN: Technical Library
Port Washington, N. Y. 11050

Robert Lee Wyener
Office of Naval Research
U. S. Naval Training Device
Center
Physical Sci Lab, Code 51
Port Washington, L. I., N.Y. 11050

Naval Air Engineering Center
Aerospace Crew Equipment Lab
Philadelphia, Pa. 19112

OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

U. S. Department of Agriculture
National Agricultural Library
Current Serial Record
Washington, D. C. 20250

U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Rpts Sect Headquarters Library
Mail Station G-017
Washington, D. C. 20545

Mr. John N. Wolfe, Chief
Environmental Sciences Branch
Division of Biology & Medicine
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C.

Exchange and Gift Division
The Library of Congress
Washington, D. C. 20540

Special Bibliographies Section
Science & Technology Division
ATTN: Dr. Clement R. Brown
Library of Congress
Washington, D. C. 20540

Environmental Sci Svcs Admin.
ATTN: Dr. H. E. Landsberg
Environmental Data Service
Rockville, Md. 20852

Division of Earth Sciences
National Academy of Sciences -
National Research Council
2101 Constitution Avenue
Washington, D. C. 20418

Chief, Military Geology Branch
U. S. Geological Survey
Department of the Interior
Washington, D. C.

Office of Geography Library
U. S. Dept. of the Interior
c/o Secretary's Mail Center
Washington, D. C. 20240

Library
U. S. Weather Bureau
Washington, D. C. 20235

Dept. of Health Education & Welfare
Public Health Service
National Library of Medicine
ATTN: Acquisition Sect (NLM-TSC/1)
9600 Wisconsin Avenue
Bethesda, Md. 20014

NASA Scientific & Technical
Information Facility
ATTN: Acquisitions Branch (S-AK/DL)
P. O. Box 33
College Park, Maryland 20740

Foreign Section
Environmental Data Service
Environmental Science Services Admin.
Federal Office Building No. 4
Suitland, Maryland

U. S. Department of the Interior
Fish and Wildlife Service
ATTN: Mr. F. S. Schuler. Div Fed Aid
U. S. Post Office and Courthouse
Boston, Massachusetts 02109

Dr. Joseph H. Hartshorn
U. S. Geological Survey
270 Dartmouth Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02116

NWRC Library
ESSA
Federal Building
Asheville, N. C. 28806

Regional Climatologist
U. S. Weather Bureau
Room 50, Federal Bldg.
Anchorage, Alaska

SCIENTIFIC and ACADEMIC

Editor, The Arctic Bibliography
Arctic Institute of North America
South Conference Room, Lower Level
Library of Congress
Washington, D. C.

Documents Dept.
Univ. of Alaska Library
College, Alaska 99735

Northern Forest Experiment Station
210 Admiral Way
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Dr. Louis R. Jurwitz
2448 E. Bellevue Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85008

Government Documents Department
Arizona State University Library
Tempe, Arizona

Library - Serials Section
University of Arizona
Tucson, Arizona 85721

Department of Geography
University of California
501 Earth Sciences Building
Berkeley, California 94720

Documents Librarian
Documents Department
The University Library
Univ of California, Davis
Davis, California 95616

Dr. Arnold Court
San Fernando Valley State College
Northridge, California 91324

San Fernando Valley State College
ATTN: Acquisitions Librarian
18111 Nordhoff Street
Northridge, California 91324

Department of Geography
University of California
Riverside, California 92507

Geography Department
University of California
Santa Barbara, California 93106

Inst of Arctic & Alpine Resch
Hale 102, Univ of Colorado
Boulder, Colorado 80304

University of Denver
Science-Engineering Library
Denver, Colorado 80210

Prof. Thomas M. Griffiths
Dept of Geography
University of Denver
Denver, Colorado 80210

Documents Department
University of Florida Libraries
Gainesville, Florida 32603

Mr. James R. Anderson
Department of Geography
University of Florida
Gainesville, Florida 32603

Dr. Irene Johnson
Box 351
Florida A&M University
Tallahassee, Florida

University of Chicago Library
Serial Records Department
Chicago, Illinois 60637

Department of Geography
University of Chicago
Chicago, Illinois 60637

Documents Division
University of Illinois Library
Urbana, Illinois 61803

Dr. Lawrence C. Bliss
Department of Botany
University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois 61803

Prof K. E. Harshbarger
Dept. of Dairy Science
University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois 61803

Department of Geography
Indiana University
Bloomington, Indiana 47401

Dept of Geography & Geology
Division of Science
Indiana State University
ATTN: John C. Hook
Terre Haute, Indiana 41809

Mrs. Mary Alice Ericson
Department of Sociology
Coe College
Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52402

Government Documents Department
Louisiana State University Library
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803

Dr. R. J. Russell
Louisiana State University
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803

Dr. James V. Cotton
Head, Department of Geography
Frostburg State College
Frostburg, Maryland

Dr. Walter M. Bejuki
704 Harrington Road
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Dr. Robert B. Batchelder
Department of Geography
Boston University
700 Commonwealth Avenue
Boston, Massachusetts 02215

Weather Bureau State Climatologist
1000 U. S. Custom House
Boston, Massachusetts 02109

Dr. Hugh M. Raup
Director, Harvard Forest
Petersham, Massachusetts 01366

Miss Minnie E. Lemaire, Chairman
Department of Geology and
Geography
Mount Holyoke College
South Hadley, Massachusetts 01075

Dr. Peveril Meigs
147 Pelham Island Road
Wayland, Massachusetts 01778

Dr. Earl B. Shaw
Professor of Geography
Assumption College
Worcester, Massachusetts 01609

School of Geography
Clark University
Worcester, Massachusetts 01610

Prof. Loren N. Gould
State College at Worcester
486 Chandler Street
Worcester, Mass. 01602

Prof. F. Perry, Jr., Chairman
Department of Geography
State College at Worcester
Worcester, Massachusetts 01602

Prof. William S. Benninghoff
Department of Botany
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104

Prof. Donald J. Portman
Dept. of Meteorology &
Oceanography
5070 East Engineering Building
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104

Dr. S. N. Stephenson
Department of Botany
Michigan State University
East Lansing, Michigan 48823

Western Michigan University
Library - Order Dept.
Kalamazoo, Michigan 49001

Dr. Albert H. Jackman
Department of Geography
Western Michigan University
Kalamazoo, Michigan 49001

Dr. Eugene P. Van Arsdell
Lake States Forest Experiment Sta
St. Paul Campus
University of Minnesota
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

Documents Section
Dartmouth College Library
Hanover, New Hampshire 03755

Capt. Robert B. Monier (U.S.A. Ret)
Chairman, Department of Geography
St. Anselm's College
Manchester, New Hampshire

A. Vaughn Havens, Chairman
Department of Meteorology
College of Ag & Env Sci
Rutgers - The State University
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

Pierre Dansereau
New York Botanical Garden
Bronx, New York 10458

Professor Ta Liang
School of Civil Engineering
Cornell University
Ithaca, New York 14850

American Geographical Soc Library
Broadway at 156th Street
New York, N. Y. 10032

Columbia University Libraries
Documents Acquisitions
535 W. 114th Street
New York, N. Y. 10027

New York Public Library-Econ. Div.
Grand Central Station
P. O. Box 2221
New York, N. Y. 10017

Dr. Walter A. Wood
46 East 70th Street
New York, N. Y. 10021

Geography Department
State University of New York
College at Oneonta
Oneonta, New York 13820

Dr. Preston E. James
301 H. B. Crouse Hall
Department of Geography
Syracuse University
Syracuse, New York 13210

Documents Librarian
Duke University Library
Public Documents Department
Durham, North Carolina

D. H. Hill Library
North Carolina State University
P. O. Box 5007
Raleigh, N. C. 27607

Dr. Warren D. Kress
Geography Laboratory
North Dakota State University
Fargo, North Dakota 58102

Department of Geology
The Ohio State University
125 South Oval Drive
Columbus, Ohio 43210

Library, Serials Dept.
Oregon State University
Corvallis, Oregon 97331

Prof. Vincent Miller
Dept. of Geography
Indiana State College
Indiana, Pennsylvania 15701

Department of Geography
University of Pittsburgh
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

Dr. Paul T. Baker
Dept. of Sociology & Anthropology
Research Unit III
The Pennsylvania State University
University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

Dr. Norman Carls
35 Montgomery Avenue
Shippensburg, Pennsylvania 17257

Coordinator of Research
The Graduate School
Green Hall
University of Rhode Island
Kingston, R. I. 02881

Mr. Chester E. Smolski
Asst. Prof. of Geography
Rhode Island College
Providence, Rhode Island 02908

Dr. Samuel C. Wiggans
Dept. of Plant and Soil Science
College of Agric & Home Ec
The University of Vermont
Burlington, Vermont 05401

Prof. Theodore R. Flanagan
Dept of Plant & Soil Science
University of Vermont
Burlington, Vermont 05401

Dr. Edward J. Miles
Chairman
Department of Geography
Old Mill Building
The University of Vermont
Burlington, Vermont 05401

College of Forestry Library
110 Anderson Hall
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

Prof. Jack R. Villmow
Department of Geography
Univ of Wisconsin
3700 Washington Rd.
Kenosha, Wisconsin

Prof. Paul E. Lydolph
Department of Geography
Univ of Wisconsin - Milwaukee
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211

COMMERCIAL

Mr. Sidney R. Frank
Aeromecric Research Inc.
Administration Building
Santa Barbara Municipal Airport
Goleta, California 93107

Dr. William A. Perkins, Jr.
3201 Porter Drive
Palo Alto, California 94304

Mr. Milton G. Mardoian
International Harvester Company
Construction Eqpmnt Engrng Dept
Engine Section
Melrose Park, Illinois

Sylvania Electronic Systems - East
Building #1 Library
100 First Avenue
Waltham, Massachusetts 02154

Applied Science Division Library
Litton Systems, Inc.
2295 Walnut Street
St. Paul, Minnesota 55113

Librarian
The Laboratory of Climatology
Route 1, Centerton
Elmer, New Jersey 08318

Sandia Corporation
Sandia Base
ATTN: Technical Library
P. O. Box 5800
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115

Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, Inc.
ATTN: J. P. Desmond, Librarian
4455 Genesee Street
Buffalo, New York 14221

Battelle Memorial Institute
Remote Area Conflict Information Center
505 King Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43201

Battelle Memorial Institute
Bioscience Division
Columbus Laboratories
505 King Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43201

Southwest Research Institute
ATTN: Environmental Research
Sect.
8500 Colebra Road
San Antonio, Texas 78206

Mr. Angelo C. Giarratana
Research Analysis Corp.
McLean, Virginia 22101

The Boeing Company
ATTN: N. R. Mason, Opns Anal
P. O. Box 707
Mail Stop 58-13
Renton, Washington 98055

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

Copies

- 20 - Chief, Technical Plans Office, NLABS
(for transmittal to Defense Documentation Center)
- 2 - Technical Library, NLABS
- 5 - Military Liaison Representatives
Technical Plans Office, NLABS

Unclassified

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) U.S. Army Natick Laboratories		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
		2b. GROUP	
3. REPORT TITLE A GENERAL NOMOGRAPH FOR NORMAL AND SKEWED FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTIONS: CLIMATOLOGICAL AND OTHER APPLICATIONS			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)			
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name) LACKEY, EARL E.			
6. REPORT DATE July 1967		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 44	7b. NO. OF REFS 12
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.		8b. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 68-40-ES	
8c. PROJECT NO. 1T025001A129		8d. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report) ES-26	
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Earth Sciences Laboratory, U.S. Army Natick Laboratories, Natick, Mass.	
13. ABSTRACT Extended experience in the construction and use of several different predictive nomographs covering a wide range of frequency distributions of various types of weather and other phenomena, suggested the probability that a universal series of patterns of frequency distributions might permeate the whole of nature. This study is based in part on the several nomographic patterns developed in previous studies. It assumes that all of the frequency distributions we are likely to encounter in practical climatology, whether symmetrical or asymmetrical (skewed), may be fairly well approximated by a family of cumulative frequency curves, provided they are plotted on such a scale that 100 units represents the whole range of observational experience in each. The predictive patterns in the General Nomograph and its associated table depend for their operation on the numerical position of the <u>mean</u> (average) between the <u>two extremes</u> (<u>maximum</u> and <u>minimum</u>) in the frequency distribution, when the three related measures are reduced to a 100-unit scale. The means of frequency distributions having various degrees of skewness lie along a diagonal line from the lower left to the upper right of the basic section of the nomograph. Other lines (curved) trace the values of other percentile or fractional parts of the various distributions. The construction, use and reliability of this nomograph and its associated table are given in this report. ! Similar predictive patterns in an Alternative Nomograph and its associated table are identified by the numerical position of: (1) the <u>mean maximum</u> between the <u>absolute maximum</u> and the <u>mean minimum</u> , or (2) the <u>mean minimum</u> between the			

DD FORM 1473

REPLACES DD FORM 1473, 1 JAN 64, WHICH IS OBSOLETE FOR ARMY USE.

Unclassified

Security Classification

Unclassified

12. ABSTRACT (Continued)

absolute minimum and one mean maximum, depending on which extreme is being explored. A 100-unit scale based on the above values is used in each case. The Alternative Nomograph thus illustrates the possibility of using parametric data other than means and extremes as the basis for a nomograph, if that should be necessary, or should prove to be a better basis for frequency prediction.

The essential summarized data for use with either nomograph may be secured from printed publications or from isothermal maps. How each source of summarized data may be used, for retrieval or predictive purposes, is shown and the results are verified by comparison with recorded data in the same vicinity.

Unclassified

14 KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Design	8					
Nomographs	9,2		10			
Skewed distributions	1					
Normal distributions	1					
Parameters	1					
Prediction	4		8			
Probability	4					
Climatology	4					
Industrial psychology	4					
Psychometrics	4					
Weather conditions			9			