Reports Control Symbol RCS OSD-1366

Research and Development Tech.ical Report Technical Report ECOM 2-671-1

THE ENERGY BUDGET AT THE EARTH'S SURFACE: COMPARISON OF MOMENTUM AND ENERGY BALANCE METHODS OF COMPUTING VERTICAL TRANSFER WITHIN A CROP

Contribution by:

J. L. Wright and K. W. Brown

INTERIM REPORT

E. R. Lemon - Investigations Leader

JUNE 1967

Distribution of this document is unlimited

UNITED STATES ARMY ELECTRONICS COMMAND

United States Army Electronics Command Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory, Research Division Fort Huachuca, Arizona Cross Service Order No 2-67 Microclimate Investigations, SWCRD-ARS-U. S. Department of Agriculture Ithaca, New York

a prace de la C



# DISCLAIMER

The citation of trade names and names of manufacturers in this report is not to be construed as official Government endorsement or approval of commercial products or services referenced herein.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

## DISPOSITION

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Technical Report ECOM 2-671-1

June 1967

THE ENERGY BUDGET AT THE EARTH'S SURFACE: COMPARISON OF MOMENTUM AND ENERGY BALANCE METHODS OF COMPUTING VERTICAL TRANSFER WITHIN A CROP

INTERIM REPORT

Cross Service Order 2-67

Task 1V0-14501-B53A-08

Prepared by

J. L. Wright and K. W. Brown

Research Report No. 394

of

Northeast Branch Soil and Water Conservation Research Division Agricultural Research Service U. S. Department of Agriculture

In Cooperation With

N. Y. State College of Agriculture Cornell University Ithaca, New York

For

U. S. Army Electronics Command Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory, Research Division Fort Huachuca, Arizona

## ABSTRACT

Measurements of windspeed, air temperature, wet-bulb depression, and net radiation were made at several levels within and above a corn crop. Soil heat flux and incident radiation were also measured. Transfer coefficient distributions were computed separately from the windspeed data by a momentum balance approach, and from the other data by the energy balance method. The agreement between the two methods was better near the top of the crop than near the soil. Drag coefficients computed from the energy balance results were not independent of height within the crop as was assumed in the momentum balance analysis. The low Reynolds numbers for the lower portion of the crop could account for the deviation. The energy balance approach required more measurements, but was easier to apply in calculating the distribution of the transfer coefficient for the computation of the vertical flux of such entities as heat, water vapor, and carbon dioxide within the crop.

## Table of Contents

# COMPARISON OF MOMENTUM AND ENERGY BALANCE METHODS OF COMPUTING VERTICAL TRANSFER WITHIN A CROP

Page No.

Introduction	1
Theoretical	1
Experimental procedures	5
Data analysis and results	S
Discussion ,	7
Conclusions	9
Literature cited	10
Table 1	12
Table 2	13
Figure 1	1/
Figure 2	15
Floure 3	16
Floure 4	10
Piguna 5	1/
rtgure J	18
rigure o	19

### COMPARISON OF MOMENTUM AND ENERGY BALANCE METHODS

## OF COMPUTING VERTICAL TRANSFER WITHIN A CROP

J. L. Wright and K. W. Brown

## INTRODUCTION

The exchange of the molecular and energy components within the aerial environment of the plant community is associated with the physical and physiological processes existing therein An ability to accurately measure this rate of exchange makes possible a determination of the rates of the processes. Of particular interest are the rates of photosynthesis and transpiration.

The momentum and energy balance methods were used in this study to determine the vertical transfer existing within a growing corn crop under natural field conditions. The necessary measurements for both methods were made simultaneously so that a direct comparison of results could be made. The transfer coefficient, a parameter similar to a molecular diffusion coefficient which characterizes the turbulent transfer by relating the intensity of transfer to the existing gradient, was separately calculated by both the energy balance and momentum balance methods. Brown and Covey (2) have reported on the energy balance portion of this study in detail, while Uchijima and Wright (11) have separately discussed some aspects of the momentum balance portion.

Recently the energy balance approach has found increasing use in the study of the transfer rates of the heat budget constituents at the earth/air interface and particularly the rate of evapotranspiration (1, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9). The womentum balance approach has been used in only a few cases to study the vertical transfer near the surface (10). In most cases, the two methods have not been employed simultaneously nor under conditions permitting a direct comparison and evaluation of the differing approaches.

#### THEORETICAL

A brief statement of the more basic aspects of the two approaches is presented for clarity. More detailed discussions are given elsewhere by Brown and Covey (2) and Uchijima and Wright (11).

Turbulent transfer, the swirling and mixing motion of parcels of air, serves as a type of mass transfer process and accounts for most of the molecular and energy exchange within the crop During the daytime, the incoming energy from the sun both warms the crop and provides energy for the evaporation of water and the photosynthetic fixation of  $CO_2$  Under humid conditions the temperature and water vapor concentration increases within the crop and there is a net upward flux of heat and water vapor to the air above. The crop thus acts as a source of heat and water vapor. At the same time, photosynthesis creates a sink for CO<sub>2</sub> so that there is a net flux downward from the air above. The magnitude of the thus established gradients is a function of the intensity of the source or sink and the existing rate of transfer. The same turbulent transfer processes accomplish both transfers simultaneously though the gradients may be in opposite directions. Transfer coefficients computed by some method can, therefore, be applied to the determination of the rates of transfer of other entities not explicitly involved in the determination of the transfer coefficient. Accordingly, the turbulent transfer coefficients can be applied in the determination of the rate of photosynthetic fixation of carbon dioxide if the respective concentration gradients are measured (14).

## Energy Balance

The simplified energy balance equation can be written as

where

 $R_n = H + LE + S$ [1]  $R_n = net radiation, cal cm^{-2} sec^{-1}$   $H = sensible heat flux, cal cm^{-2} sec^{-1}$   $L = latent heat of vaporization, cal g^{-1}$   $E = water vapor flux, g cm^{-2} sec^{-1}$   $S = sensible heat storage, cal cm^{-2} sec^{-1}$ 

This neglects the relatively small photosynthetic energy component. The flux equations for heat and water vapor are

$$H = \rho_{a} C_{p} K_{H} \left(\frac{dt}{dz}\right)$$
 [2]

$$LE = \frac{-M_w/M_a}{P} \rho_a L K_E \left(\frac{de}{dz}\right)$$
 [3]

where

 $C_p$  = specific heat of air, cal g<sup>-1</sup> deg<sup>-1</sup>  $\rho_a$  = density of air, g cm<sup>-3</sup>

- T = temperature, C
- z = height above surface, cm
- M = molecular weight of water, g

M<sub>a</sub> = molecular weight of air, g
 P = atmospheric pressure, mb
 e = water vapor pressure, mb
 K<sub>H</sub> = heat transfer coefficient, cm<sup>2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>
 K<sub>E</sub> = water vapor transfer coefficient, cm<sup>2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>

Inasmuch as turbulent transfer is a type of mass transfer, the transfer coefficient for the separate entities is not as much a function of the molecular properties as is the case with molecular diffusion coefficients. Assuming the equality of the K's, and therefore assuming that  $K_{\rm H}$  and  $K_{\rm E}$  are equal, substitution of Equations [2] and [3] for the sensible and latent heat terms in the energy balance equation, Equation [1], leads to an expression for the combined transfer coefficient:

$$K_{z} = \frac{R_{n} - S}{-\rho_{a} \left[ c_{p} \left( \frac{dt}{dz} \right) - \frac{M_{w}/M_{a}}{P} + L \left( \frac{de}{dz} \right) \right]}$$

$$K_{z} = \text{combined transfer coefficient, cm}^{2} \text{ sec}^{-1}.$$
[4]

where K = c

The term  $K_z$  is thus obtained from the energy balance method by measuring at some height, either within or above the crop, the air temperature gradient, humidity gradient, net radiation, and the soil-heat and plantheat storage terms. Once this combined transfer coefficient is calculated it may be used to calculate the latent heat flux and sensible heat flux by Equations [2] and [3].

## Momentum Balance

The surface drag of the soil or crop gives rise to a vertical flux of momentum downward from the moving air mass above with an associated decrease in horizontal velocity. The concept of the coefficient of drag for flow past solid bodies, as defined in fluid mechanics, can be extended to the plant community. The drag coefficient can then be used to relate the divergence of momentum flux to the frictional surface area of the plant and the wind velocity.

$$\tau_{h} = \rho_{a} \int_{0}^{n} C F_{z} u_{z}^{2} dz \qquad [5]$$

$$C = (\tau_h / \rho_a) \left[ \int_0^h F_z u_z^2 dz \right]^{-1}$$
[6]

$$\tau_z = \tau_h - \rho_a C \Big)_{O} F_z u_z^2 dz$$
 [7]

where

 $\tau_{\rm h}$  = shearing stress, dynes cm<sup>-2</sup>

h = crop height, cm

C = drag coefficient

 $F_z$  = surface area density function, cm<sup>2</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>

 $u_{z}$  = mean windspeed at height z, cm sec<sup>-1</sup>

For flow past smooth, uniform objects, the surface area of the object is used in the determination of the drag coefficient. In the case of a plant, the effective surface area is less well defined. For purposes of this study, the leaf area of the plants was used as a reasonable first approximation of the effective frictional surface. Accordingly, the distribution of leaf area with height was used to calculate the  $F_z$ function. Removal of C from under the integral sign in Equation [6] assumes C to be independent of height. This is a necessary first approximation in this type of analysis and is justified on the basis of results obtained in studies of fluid mechanics which have shown that C is relatively independent of windspeed for windspeeds of sufficient intensity to insure fully developed turbulence.

Another expression for the shearing stress (where shearing stress and momentum flux are used interchangeably) equates the flux of momentum to the velocity gradient by a momentum transfer coefficient.

$$\tau = \rho_a K_m (du/dz)$$
 [8]

where  $K_m = momentum transfer coefficient, cm^2 sec^{-1}$ 

This equation combined with the drag coefficient concept leads to an expression giving the distribution of the transfer coefficient with height within the crop.

$$\binom{K_m}{z} = \frac{\tau_h}{\rho_a} - C \qquad F_z \qquad u_z^2 \qquad dz \qquad du/dz \qquad [9]$$

The momentum balance approach thus requires the determination of the mean windspeed profile above and within the crop and the measurement of the effective surface area of the plant

## EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

The measurements for this comparative study were made in a five hectare field of corn in Ellis Hollow near Ithaca, New York, on September 12, 1962. The day was clear with moderate northwesterly winds.

Windspeed measurements were obtained for six heights above the crop with conventional cup anemometers and at one level above and three levels within the crop with Hastings heated thermocouple anemometers The Hastings signals were continuously recorded on strip chart recorders. The data were then hand-digitized and mean values of windspeed were calculated by computer analysis.

Radiation measurements were made within the crop with the type of net radiometers described by Fritschen (5). These were mounted on a traversing mechanism to give spatial sampling across the corn rows. A special air sampling tower with aspirated wet and dry thermocouples was used to obtain dry-bulb and wet-bulb depression measurements within and above the crop. Stem temperatures were obtained with copperconstantan thermojunctions which were inserted into the stalk. Discshaped soil heat flow transducers wired in series were used to measure soil sensible heat storage. Self-balancing millivolt recording potentiometers were used to record all signals.

Measurements of the plants included height, fresh and dry weight and leaf area index

The windspeed and energy balance measurements were taken continuously during ten-minute periods near the beginning of each hour during the day. Ten-minute mean values were calculated from these data and used to construct the respective profiles which were used in turn for gradient determinations (For a more detailed discussion of procedures, refer to Brown and Covey (2) and Uchijima and Wright (11)-)

### DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

The height of the crop was 250 cm. The summer had been dryer than normal and the corn crop was shorter and not nearly as lush as in previous years.

The ten-minute mean windspeed profiles for several of the runs are shown together with a diagrammatic representation of the leaf area with height in Figure 1.

Transfer coefficients by momentum balance analysis were computed by Equation [9]. The shearing stress  $\tau_h$  at the top of the crop was computed from the windspeed data above the crop by logarithmic profile analysis in the usual manner (13). The drag coefficient was calculated by Equation [6].

The temperature and humidity profile data are shown in Figures 2 and 3. The combined transfer coefficient  $K_z$  was calculated by energy balance analysis by Equation [4]. The results are given in Table 1.

The respective transfer coefficient profiles were normalized by calculating the ratio  $K/K_h$  where  $K_h$  is the value at the top of the crop. A comparison of the normalized transfer coefficient distributions obtained by the momentum balance and energy balance methods for the period 0900-1600 hours are shown in Figure 4. Each point is the average of the respective normalized values for the ten-minute profiles. In the upper 9/10 of the crop, the distribution shown in this figure fits the equation

$$K = K_h \exp [-a(1-z/h)]$$
 [10]

where a = a type of attenuation coefficient with a value in this case of 2.88. The results of the two separate methods are nearly the same in the upper portion of the crop when expressed on the normalized basis.

The absolute values of the transfer coefficient at the top of the crop as calculated by the two methods are shown as a function of windspeed at the top of the crop in Figure 5. At the higher windspeeds, the K values calculated by the energy balance were nearly twice as large as those calculated by the momentum balance.

A back calculation of the drag coefficient from Equation [9] using the K values obtained from the energy balance analysis and the windspeeds actually measured was made to check the accuracy of the assumption that C was constant with height. Values of  $C_D$  were calculated for zones, or increments of height, of 50 cm for three respresentative windspeed profiles covering the range of windspeeds measured on that day. The resulting  $C_D$  values are plotted in Figure 6 as a function of windspeed at the respective heights. The values grouped themselves into three distinct patterns, indicated by the best-fit lines drawn through them. The three groups correspond to the zone near the soil surface (0-50 cm), the zone containing the main portion of the corn crop rather uniform in nature (50-200 cm) and the zone containing the tasseled portion of the crop (200-250 cm). The group of points indicated as 50-200 cm contains the values for three separate 50-cm height zones, thus the 9 points about

the line. The close agreement of these 9 points to the best-fit line indicated that the back-calculated drag coefficient  $C_D$  was essentially constant with height within the entire 50-200 cm zone for the same windspeed. The distinctly higher values for the 0-50 cm zone are reasonable because of the proximity of the soil surface. Also, the distinctly lower values for the 200-250 cm zone would be expected in this tasseled portion of the crop. These results will be discussed further in the discussion section.

### DISCUSSION

The agreement between the normalized distributions of K as determined by the two methods and yet the difference in the absolute values at higher windspeeds requires some discussion. The agreement in the shape of the distributions and the agreement of the absolute values within a factor of 2 even at the higher windspeeds is encouraging considering the several required assumptions. It indicates that with refinement in technique and theory the two separate approaches may yield results in close agreement.

The energy balance method should be more precise under low windspeed conditions when the temperature and humidity gradients are greatest, whereas the momentum balance analysis should be more exact at the higher windspeeds when forced convection predominates, turbulence is fully developed and the windspeed gradients are greatest. The energy balance K values, when used to calculate values of the shearing stress according to Equation [8], yielded extremely high values at the higher windspeeds  $(9,75 \text{ dynes cm}^{-2} \text{ for a } u_h \text{ of } 300 \text{ cm sec}^{-1})$ .

It is true that the momentum balance approach yielded a momentum transfer coefficient whereas the energy balance yielded a combination of the sensible and latent heat exchange coefficients. Though it is not expected that these coefficients are exactly equal, it is generally held that they are more nearly equal than would account for the differences observed in this study for the existing conditions of stability. Webb (12) has reviewed the relationship of the exchange coefficients as dependent upon Richardson numbers as a measure of stability conditions. According to his discussion, the Ri numbers obtained in this study as presented in Table 2 would predict equality of the exchange coefficients for heat and vapor transfer should be equal under these conditions.

It was realized at the offset that the assumption of the constancy of the drag coefficient with height and with windspeed in the momentum balance analysis was not exactly valid. However, in the absence of more exact knowledge about the functional relationship of the drag coefficient C, this simplifying assumption permitted the solution of Equation [5] Utilizing this assumption for the entire crop in determining C by Equation [6] should have resulted in an average value of C which when used to determine values of  $K_m$  would produce results nearly the same as if the exact functional relationship of C had been used.

The results presented in Figure 6, showing the drag coefficient  $C_D$  as a function of both windspeed and height within the crop, are in actuality the type of results that would be expected. As previously mentioned, these values of  $C_D$  were calculated by assuming the final results of the energy balance analysis to be correct and then calculating back to a drag coefficient.

As mentioned earlier, for flow past smooth, uniform objects, the drag coefficient is relatively independent of windspeed for fully developed turbulence. However, this holds only for solid objects when there is no deformation or change in shape with increasing windspeed. In the case of vegetation which is highly flexible, however, we know that there is a deformation and also a flapping of leaves and a swaying of the entire plant associated with increasing windspeed. The streamlining of the plant parts might be expected to decrease the drag coefficient, while the relationship of surface and form drag would also change so that the overall result could well be an increase in the total drag coefficient The flapping and swaying of the plant would certainly be expected to increase the total drag coefficient.

The higher values of  $C_D$  near the soil surface are reasonable inasmuch as in the calculation of  $C_D$  it was assumed that all of the frictional resistance was due to the plant when in fact the ground surface itself would certainly present some frictional resistance. Also a Reynolds number effect would be expected in this zone of relatively low wind velocities and decreased turbulence.

In the upper tasseled portion of the crop, the lower values of  $C_D$  could well be due to the shape and roughness characteristics of the tassels which might result in less frictional resistance per unit of exposed area than would be the case for wide stalks and broad leaves. On the other hand, it may merely reflect that the area value used in the calculations was not the true representative surface area presented by the tassels. The determination of such an area could well be the subject of much intensive investigation itself in the case of objects such as corn tassels.

Proceeding to a discussion of other aspects of the analysis of data, it should be mentioned that the determination of the respective gradients in the case of both methods is a very crucial step. Slight differences in this phase of the analysis can have rather pronounced effects upon the final results. The slope determination is particularly complicated right at the top of the crop where conditions change rapidly with height

The underestimation or overestimation of the total shearing stress at the top of the crop as determined by logarithmic profile analysis is also a possible source of difference. At this point a direct determination of the total drag would greatly improve the reliability of this portion of the analysis. A more precise measurement of the effective frictional surface area of the plant is needed in the momentum balance analysis, though this would improve the accuracy of the shape of the K profile within the crop rather than change appreciably the absolute value of K.

The disagreement in the lower portion of the crop could well be due to differences in the respective K's for heat, vapor, and momentum transfer, inasmuch as the Reynolds numbers are low in this zone and free convection can be significant at certain times of the day.

## CONCLUSIONS

The shape of the normalized profiles of the transfer coefficient, as determined by both the momentum balance and energy balance approaches, was in good agreement. The normalized values, as determined by the energy balance, were slightly larger near the soil surface. The values of the separately determined transfer coefficients agreed at the lower windspeeds, but at the higher windspeeds the energy balance yielded K values twice as large as the momentum balance values.

An absolute check on total drag or heat and vapor flux was not available. However, based on results reported in the literature, it seemed that the assumption of equivalency of the separate transfer coefficients was justified for the calculated Richardson numbers. Though no absolute proof was available, it seemed that the momentum balance K's were possibly low because of an underestimation of total shearing stress as determined by logarithmic profile analysis and that the energy balance K's were too large at the higher windspeeds as evidenced by the extremely large shearing stress values predicted from these K values.

A comparison of these methods at a location where an absolute check is available, such as a weighing and drag-type lysimeter, is highly desirable. Though the results preclude quantitative determinations of heat and vapor flux and the extension to the calculation of carbon dioxide flux at this point, they do indicate that semi-quantitative assessments of these fluxes can be attempted with either method.

In the absence of high speed recording means and sensitive anemometers, the energy balance approach is easier to apply.

## LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Begg, J. E., Bierhuizen, J. F., Lemon, E. R., Slatyer, R. O and Stern, W. R. Diurnal energy and water exchanges in bulrush millet in an area of high solar radiation. Agr. Meteorol. 1: 294-312. 1964.
- 2. Brown, K. W. and Covey, W. The energy-budget evaluation of the micrometeorological transfer processes within a corn field Agr. Meteorol. 3:73-96, 1966.
- 3. Crawford, T. V. Moisture transfer in free and forced convection. Quart: J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc. 91:18-27, 1965.
- 4. Denmead, O. T. Evaporation sources and apparent diffusivities in a forest canopy. J. Appl. Meteorol. 3:383-389 1964.
- 5. Fritschen, Leo J. Construction and evaluation of a miniature net radiometer, J. Appl. Meteorol. 2:165-172. 1963.
- 6. Fritschen, L. J. and van Bavel, C. H. M. Energy balance components of evaporating surfaces in arid lands. J. Geophy. Res. 67:5179-5185. 1962
- 7. Lettau, H. H., ed. Computation of heat budget constituents of the earth/air interface. In: Exploring the Atmosphere's First Mile, Pergamon, N. Y., Vol. 1. 1957.
- 8. Tanner, C. B. Energy balance approach to evapotranspiration from crops. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 24:1-9. 1960.
- 9. Uchijima, Z. On characteristics of heat balance of water layer under paddy plant cover. Bul. Nat. Inst. Agr. Sci. (Japan) Ser. A. 8:243-265. 1961.
- 10 Uchijima, Z. Studies on the microclimate within the plant communities: (1): On the turbulent transfer coefficient within plant layer. (In Japanese, English summary): J. Agr Meteorol-(Tokyo) 18:1-9. 1962
- 11. Uchijima, Z and Wright, J. L. An experimental study of air flow in a corn plant-air layer (In English with summary in Japanese.) The Bul of the Nat. Inst. of Agr. Sci. (Japan), Series A. No. 11:19-66 1964.

- 12. Webb, E. K. Aerial microclimate, p. 27-53. <u>In</u>: Agricultural Meteorology, Meteorological Monographs, Vol. 6, No. 28, Am. Meteorol. Soc., 45 Beacon Street, Boston, Massachusetts. 1965.
- 13. Wright, J. L. and Lemon, E. R. Photosynthesis under field conditions. VIII. Analysis of windspeed fluctuation data to evaluate turbulent exchange within a corn crop. Agron. J. 58: 255-261. 1966.
- 14. Wright, J. L. and Lemon, E. R. Photosynthesis under field conditions. IX. Vertical distribution of photosynthesis within a corn crop. Agron. J. 58:265-268. 1966.

,

Height	1	Transfer	coeffici	ent, cm <sup>2</sup> (hours,	sec <sup>-1</sup> , EST)	at vario	us times	
(cm)	0825	0935	1108	1200	1305	1402	1503	1602
		<u></u>	K <sub>z</sub>	by energ	y b <b>alan</b> c	e		
250	760	4300	6400	4720	3290	4390	3 <b>31</b> 0	1850
200	860	2820	3900	3140	2280	2390	2300	1510
150	490	1150	1810	970	1280	930	960	1020
100	640	920	1620	980	970	990	520	590
50	360	540	320	525	490	230	240	140
			K by	momentu	m balanc	e		
250	1935	2433	2708	2530	2398	2442	1927	1412
200	974	1225	1363	1274	1207	1229	970	711
150	689	866	964	900	853	869	686	502
100	364	458	509	476	451	459	362	266
50	98	123	137	128	122	124	98	72

Table 1. The combined exchange coefficient, K<sub>z</sub>, calculated by energy balance analysis and the momentum transfer coefficient, K<sub>m</sub>, calculated by momentum balance analysis for various times and heights within a corn crop.

H <b>eig</b> ht		Richard	son numbe	er at va	rious tir	nes (hour	rs, EST)	
(cm)	0825	0935	1108	1200	1305	1402	1503	1€02
225	- 006	- 002	· 002	003	004	- 003	- 003	006
175	- 012	- 009	- 019	<del>-</del> -029	- 037	- 030	- 007	0
125	+ 008	<del>-</del> 036	- 029	- 055	- 022	018	010	<b>+</b> ∉029
75	+ 068	+ 097	+ 056	+ 099	+ 106	+ 154	+,183	+.571
25	+ 110	+ 077	+ 560	+ 107	+ 175	+.091	+-139	+-370

Table 2 Values of the Richardson number\*, Ri, computed for various times and heights within a corn crop

\* Ri =  $\frac{(g/T) \partial T/\partial z}{(\partial u/\partial z)^2}$ 

with actual temperature taken to be equal to the

potential temperature because of the nearness to the surface.



The second se

Fig. 1. Five representative windspeed profiles for 10-minute periods, with the beginning time of the period as indicated, for a corn crop 250 cm in height where the windspeeds above the crop were obtained with cup anemometers and within the crop with Hastings anemometers. A diagrammatic representation of the distribution of the leaf area of the crop is shown in the lower right corner of the figure.



Fig. 2. Daytime temperature profiles within the crop for 10 minute periods, with the beginning time of the period as indicated. The temperature (C) for the uppermost point is indicated for each profile.



Fig. 3. Daytime water-vapor pressure profiles within the crop, with the beginning time of the period as indicated. The water-vapor pressure (mb) for the uppermost point is indicated for each profile.











Fig. 6. Logarithmic plot of the local drag coefficient  $C_D$  as a function of windspeed for 50 cm increments of height within the corn crop.

UNCLASSIFIED	

(Security classification of title, body of abatrect and i	CONTROL DATA -	R&D				
the second se	ndexing ennotation must l	e entered when the	overall report is classified)			
ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate au nor)	INATING ACTIVITY (Corporate au hor)					
Microclimate Investigations, SWCRD-A	icroclimate Investigations, SWCRD-ARS-USDA		CLASSIFIED			
Tower Road, Ithaca, New York 14850		26. GROUP				
REPORT TITLE	999 91 9 - An I An		······			
COMPARISON OF MOMENTUM AND ENERGY BA TRANSFER WITHIN A CROP	LANCE METHODS O	F COMPUTING	VERTICAL			
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Interim report	nga ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
B AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)						
Wright, J. L., and K. W. Brown						
REPORT DATE	78. TOTAL NO	OF PAGES	75. NO OF REFS			
June 1967	1	9	1 14			
CSO 2-67	Se. ORIGINAT	R'S REPORT NUM	BER(\$)			
630 2 07	Dogoor	ch Report No	394			
5. PROJECT NO	Resear	en nepore no				
c. Task 1VO-14501-B53A-08	D. OTHER RE	PORT NO(S) (Any o	ther numbers that may be assig			
	inte report)					
đ.	ECOM 2	-6/1-1				
10 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT						
11 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	U. S. Ar Atmosphe Division	ng Military Acti my Electroni ric Sciences , Fort Huach	cs Command Laboratory, Resea uca, Arizona 85613			
Measurements of windspeed, air radiation were made at several heat flux and incident radiation distributions were computed sep balance approach, and from the agreement between the two methon near the soil. Drag coefficient were not independent of height momentum balance analysis. The of the crop could account for the required more measurements, but distribution of the transfer co flux of such entities as heat, crop.	temperature, we levels within a on were also mea oarately from th other data by t ods was better n its computed fro within the crop e low Reynolds n the deviation. t was easier to oefficient for t water vapor, an	t-bulb depre nd above a c sured. Tran e windspeed he energy bs ear the top m the energy as was assu umbers for t The energy b apply in cal he computati d carbon dic	ssion, and net orn crop. Soil sfer coefficient data by a momentum lance method. The of the crop than balance results med in the he lower portion alance approach culating the on of the vertical xide within the			

•

4 NEX 20201		LINKA		LINK B		LINK C	
K EY WONDS	ROL	E WT	ROLE		ROLE		
Windspeed							
Within corn crop							
Transfer coefficient							
Computation by momentum balance							
completetion by energy barance							
				1			
							ľ
						ĺ	İ
	1			ļ			
				}			
							I
		1	]				
					1		
							ſ
						i	
							l

Security Classification

.....