

معملا للمقاطعهما والمحدة ويتقاطعه والالماني فالمعالية وتتعقيهم

na na mana na mana kao manjara na kaoka na kaoka

PRESSURE-PULSE CHARACTERISTICS OF DEEP EXPLOSIONS AS FUNCTIONS OF DEPTH AND RANGE

by John P. Slifko

ABSTRACT: Thirty-eight TNT and 18 HBX-3 charges weighing one, eight, and fifty pounds were fired at depths between 500 and 14,000 ft; pressure-time data were measured directly above at 185-ft depth. The shock wave peak pressure was independent of depth and decayed with reduced range as in the shallow water case. Durations were a function of depth alone. Empirical equations were derived which show that all other pressure-pulse characteristics can be expressed as functions of depth as call as range. Values obtained for HBX-3 here gave the same ratios to TNT values as previously found in shallow water.

> UNDERWATER EXPLOSIONS DIVISION EXPLOSIONS PESEARCH DEPARTMENT U. S. NAVAL ORDHANCE LABORATORY WHITE OAK, SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND

21 September 1967

PRESSURE-PULSE CHARACTERISTICS OF DEEP EXPLOSIONS AS FUNCTIONS OF DEPTH AND RANGE

The work reported here was undertaken to provide fundamental data needed in studies of the long-range propagation of explosion pressure pulses in the ocean. This report presents for the first time a method of separating the effects of depth and of range on pressure pulse characteristics. This significant step can best be grasped by looking at Table III (page 36). From this table, pulse characteristics of TMT and HEX-3 explosions can be calculated as functions of range and depth separately or together.

The project was supported by the Advanced Research Projects Agency, under ARPA Order 610, Amendment No. 1, NOL Task No. NOL-785/ARPA. Mention of commercially available products in this report does not constitute criticism or endorsement by the Laboratory.

E. F. SCHREITER

C. J. ARONSON By direction

تدعياته

棗

140.4 St (2.4 million)

ないではないのなななないないないないないであっていたのである

は、「ない」というない。

Statistical and the state

CONTENTS

| | | \$ |
|-----|---|----|
| 1. | INTRODUCTION | |
| 2. | EXPERIMENTAL ARRANGEMENTS 1 2.1 Charges 2 2.2 Initiating Device and Rigging 2 2.3 Instrumentation 3 2.3.1 Sonic Ranging of Charge Depth 3 2.3.2 Pressure-Time Measurements 4 | |
| 3. | ANALYSIS OF DATA | |
| 4. | RESULTS54.1 Results for TNT64.1.1 TNT Pressures74.1.2 TNT Impulses74.1.3 TNT Energy Flux Densities84.1.4 TNT Pulse Durations94.2 Results for HEX-3104.2.1 HEX-3 Pressures104.2.3 HEX-3 Impulses104.2.4 HEX-3 Energy Flux Densities114.3 Nitramex and Pentolite124.3.1 Nitramex Comparison with TNT124.3.2 Pentolite Comparison with TNT12 | |
| 5. | SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS | |
| | ERENCES | |
| APP | ENDIX A | L |

ILLUSTRATIONS

| Figure | Title Page |
|--------|---|
| 1 | Block Diagram of Pressure-Time Recording System |
| 2 | TNT Pressure-Time Records for Two Weights and Several Depths |
| 3 | HBX-3 Pressure-Time Records for Two Weights and Several Lepths |
| 4 | P_{pp} vs R/W ^{1/3} for TNT |
| 5 | $P_{\rm B} \cdot R/W^{1/3}$ vs Z for THT |

HOLLER 67-87

ورجاعا وأرواقك الألال المناسري سالاع

F

A MARY AND A MARKAN

ومؤفظة فالمعادمة والمراجع والمراجع والمتعادة والمتعادين والمعادية والمعادية

÷

24.940 - ALIA

,

~ ^

CONTENTS (continued)

| Figure | Title | Page |
|-------------|--|------|
| 6 | $P_{\min} \cdot R/W^{1/3} = 2 \text{ for THT}$ | 21 |
| 7 | (a) $T_{pp} \cdot Z_0^{1/3} / W^{1/3} $ vs $R/W^{1/3}$ for THT | 22 |
| | (b) $I_{B} Z_{o}^{2/5} / W^{1/3} vs R / W^{1/3}$ for TNT | 22 |
| 8 | $E_{pp} \cdot Z_o^{1/5} / W^{1/3} vs R/W^{1/3}$ for THT and HBX-3 | 23 |
| 9 | Reduced Pressure Pulse Durations: $\tau/W^{1/3}$ vs Z for THT | 24 |
| 19 | Reduced Pressure Pulse Durations: $\tau/w^{1/3}$ vs Z for TWT | 25 |
| 11 | $P_{pp} vs R/W^{1/3}$ for HBX-3 | 26 |
| 12 | $P_{B} \cdot R/W^{1/3}$ vs Z_{o} for HEX-3 | 27 |
| 13 | $P_{\min} \cdot R/W^{1/3} vs Z_{o} \text{ for HBX-3} \dots$ | . 28 |
| 14 | (a) $I_{pp} \cdot Z_o^{1/3} / W^{1/3} $ vs $R/W^{1/3}$ for HBX-3 | 29 |
| | (b) $I_{B} Z_{o}^{2/5} / W^{1/3} vs R / W^{1/3}$ for HBX-3 | 29 |
| 15 | Reduced Pressure Pulse Durations. $\tau/\tau^{3/3}$ vs Z for MbX-3 | 30 |
| 16 | Reduced Pressure Pulse Durations: $\tau/4^{1/3}$ vs Z for HBX-3 | 31. |
| A-1 | Shock Wave Octave Band Energy for TET Charges | A-2 |
| A- 2 | Total Pulse Octave Band Energy for The Charges | A-3 |

TABLES

| Table | Title | Page |
|----------------|---|------|
| I II III | Number of Charges Fired Under Various Conditions Pressure Pulse Measurements Empirical Equations for Pressure Pulse Characteristics | 32 |

NOLIER 67-87

PRESSURE-PULSE CHARACTERISTICS OF DEEP EXPLOSIONS AS FUNCTIONS OF DEPTH AND RANGE

1. INTRODUCTION

The pressure pulse characteristics for explosive compositions fired at shallow depths have been determined from many underwater explosion tests. References 1-3* describe typical experiments of this sort.

It is far more difficult to find the pressure pulse characteristics of deep explosions. If measurements are made near the surface, long range transmission effects (including refraction) will distort the pressure pulse and the effect of the ambient pressure at the explosion cannot be uniquely determined. If measurements are to be made near the charge, some difficult engineering problems will have to be solved.

One experiment has been carried out to find the effect of depth by simulating the deep ocean pressures in a pressure tank (reference 4). However, the experimental conditions were severely restricted.

Some relatively simple tests have been performed (references 5-7) in which the pressures were recorded just below the water surface and directly above deep explosions. In this case, no distortion of the pressure pulse resulted from refraction, since the propagation of the pressure pulses was essentially normal to the isovelocity layers. However, available data were not examined to determine whether the depth effect on the pressure pulses could be separated from the effects of long range transmission (reference 8).

In the tests reported here, measurements were made just below the water surface directly above deep explosions as in the tests of references 5-7. However, additional shallower shots were included and larger charge weights were fired. This resulted in extending the range of the variables by at least an order of magnitude, thus enabling a better statistical determination of the effect of depth and range.

The effect of depth was determined by assuming the pressure pulse characteristics were proportional to the product of a simple power function of depth and of a power function of reduced range required by the principles of similitude for shallow explosions.

2. EXPERIDENTAL ARRANGEMENTS

The tests were conducted from the USHS GILLIS (AGOR-4) in February and March of 1965 in the Atlantic Ocean approximately 110 to 220 miles east of Eleuthera Island in 2600 to 3000 fathoms of water. These tests were designed by E. A. Christian and were executed under the direction of W. H. Faux, both of this Laboratory.

1

"References are listed on page 14.

2.1 CHARGES

The charges weighing one, eight, and fifty-seven pounds each were fired at several depths between 500 ft and 14,000 ft. The number of each of the charge weights fired at the various depths is given in Table I. The 1-1b and 8-1b charges were cast by NOL into plinders and with a L/D ratio of about 1.0. These charges were boostered at the bop end of the cylinder with 0.0662-1b and 0.221-1b cast pentolite boosters for the 1-1b and 8-1b charges, respectively. The cylindrical boosters were co-axial with the TNT charges, and the top of each booster was flush with the top of the main charge. The other TNT charges fired were 56-1b Mark 2 Mod 3 demolition charges with an additional 1-1b pentolite booster strapped to the top of the container be insure proper detonation; only 7 cut of 12 of these detonated. Attempts to fire 1000-1b TNT charges (eighteen Mk 2 Mod 3 charges strapped together with one 56-1b charge boostered as above) were unsuccessful.

One, eight, and fifty-1b HBX-3 charges were fabricated at NOL and were boostered with 0.0662, 0.221, and 1.0 1b of cast pentolite, respectively. These charges and boo ters were cylinarical and the boostering geometry was similar to that for the 1- and 8-1b TMT charges. The charge weights and nominal firing depths are also given in Table 1.

Eighty 1bs of Nitramex explosive was loaded by the manufacturer* into 8-in diameter by 24-in long canisters, and each charge was boostered with a 4-1/2-indiameter by 9-in long primer charge of EL-637 Comp B explosive which was taped to the side of the Nitramex charge. In addition, a 1-1b pentolite booster, with a pressure detonator attached, was taped flush with the primer charge so that it was as nearly as possible in contact with the main charge. The firing depths are given in Table I.

Three cylindrical 1-1b pentolite charges cast at NOL were fired at the depths shown in Table 1.

In addition to the 67 charges fired, the following 11 charges failed to detonate properly: 1-15 TNF (1), 8-15 TNT (2), 57-15 TNT (5), 1000-15 TNT (2), and 80-15 Hitramex (1). The 57-15 TNT charges were subsequently investigated. It was found that there were substantial cavities at the top end of the charges (reference 9).

2.2 INITIATING DEVICE AND RIGGING

All charges were initiated with a hydrostatic firing device manufactured by Weston Instruments, Inc., Daystrom Drawing #800AA1000. These devices were designed to initiate special percussion detonators at nominal depths indicated in Table I. Each detonator contained about 1-1/2 grams of explosive and was mounted in the detonator well of the pentolite boosters. The nominal depths of the firing devices were not sufficiently accurate for our purpose and sonic ranging was used to find more precise depths.

Two methods were used to place charges at the firing depth: Free-fall, in Which the armed charge was thrown in the water and sank until the firing device was actuated by the ambient pressure, and wire-guided (reference 6) in which the armed

* Mitramex was purchased from the E. I. dePont de Nemours; the composition was 16% TMT, 4% DMT, 30% NaNO₃, 35% NH₄NO₃ plus iron and phosphorus.

** Fabricated by the Explosion Dynamics Division of NOL.

NOLAR 67-87

TABLE I

| Nominal Depth | | TNT | | | HBX-3 | | Nitr | amex | Fentolite |
|------------------|------|------|-------|------|-------|--------------|----------|--------|-----------|
| (ft) | 1-1b | 8-1b | 57-1b | 1-1b | 8-1b | 50 1b | 80-10 | 560-1b | 1-1b |
| 500 | 3 | 2 | | | | ~- | . | - | 1 |
| 800 | 2 | 2 | - | | | | | ~ = | 1 |
| 1,200 | 2 | 2 | | l | 2 | | | - | |
| 2,000 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | 1 | | | - |
| 3,000 | 2 | l | ** | | | 1 | 3 | | - |
| 4,500 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| 7,000 | 3 | | 2 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 |
| 10,000 | | **** | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | •• |
| 14,000 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | ~ = | |

NUMBER OF CHARGES FIRED UNDER VARIOUS CONDITIONS

charge slid down a wire rope held vertical by a 900-lb weight at the deep end. The charge was secured to a light steel frame, the top and bottom ends of which were provided with guides for sliding down the wire rope. The charge was separated from the wire rope by a distance greater than the expected bubble radius; i.e., 2 to 7 ft. This charge was initiated in the same manner as the free-falling charge. Approximately 32% of the attempted firings were wire guided. This technique reduced the relative horizontal displacement of the charge and ship.

2.3 INSTRUMENTATION

The pressure-time data was recorded simultaneously by an Ampex FR-600 on magnetic tape and by oscilloscopes on photographic paper. Both recording methods had the desired capability of providing a permanent record only a minute or two after the events. The tape data was also used in ranging the charge and hydrophone depths.

2.3.1 <u>Sonic Ranging of Charge Depth</u>. The explosion depths were determined from the measurements of the time difference between the arrivals of the surfacebottom and the bottom reflected pressure waves, and from the average velocitydepth profile. The latter was obtained from the velocities calculated from the 900-ft E-T measurements, from the single hydrographic cast made to only 850 meters (2800 ft) supplemented by the results of deep hydrographic casts previously obtained at approximately the same area and time of the year. The B-T measurements were made quite frequently--a total of 52 for the 79 attempted shots--to account for the diurnal temperature changes in the surface layer; the measurements nearest the time of each shot were used. こうでいるのではないないないないであるのであるというとうないできた。 ちょうしょう ちょうしょう ちょうしょう ちょうちょう ちょうしょう

and the state of the state

The sonic signals for ranging the charge depth were picked up by an LC-32* hydrophone which was mounted on 400 ft of low noise coaxial cable and was maintained at a depth of about 190 ft. The output signal of this hydrophone was also used to provide a trip pulse to the oscilloscopes. The hydrophone signal was clipped and amplified** before it was applied to the inputs of channels 10 and 11 of the Ampex FR-600 tape recorder. A time base consisting of 10 kHz signal accentuated every 1, 10, and 100 msec was applied to channel 14. See Figure 1.

2.3.2 <u>Pressure-Time Measurements</u>. The shock wave and bubble pulse pressuretime data were obtained with another LC-32 hydrophone located 5 ft above the hydrophone just described. The hydrophone was mounted on similar low-noise cable; however, it was terminated in a simple RC network (reference 10) to reduce reflections in the caple. The output of the termination network was coupled directly to the input (2.10⁷ ohms) of a "K" amplifier (reference 11), the output impedance of which was 100 ohms. A 100 µP condenser was used to couple the output of the "K" amplifier to the inputs of a dual-beam oscilloscope (Tektronix RM 565) and a fourbeam Electronic Tube Corporation Model K-47C oscilloscope (Figure 1). In addition, a 200 ohm output of the dual beam scope was coupled with a 40 µP condenser to the input of channel 8 of the FR-600. Thus, it was possible to record from the same hydrophone a total of 10 channels of data, each with different amplification. The 3 dB points of the frequency band were about 0.4 Hz and 20 kHz for the tape data (FM recording at 60 IFS) and about 0.4 Hz and 50 kHz (hydrophone limited) for the oscilloscope data. The data from both oscilloscopes was recorded on photographic paper with Polaroid cameras.

3. ANALYSIS OF DATA

Most of the data analyzed was obtained from channel 8 of the FR-600 tape recorder; the dual beam oscilloscope data was from five shots which were not recorded on the FR-600. In addition, the oscilloscope data from five other shots was analyzed to compare with the FR-600 recordings of the same shots. The programs used on the IBM 7090 computer were essentially the same for the two cases, except that the method of reading the data from the records and the form of the input data differed.

3.1 ANALYSIS OF FM TAPE DATA

The magnetic tape data was digitized by the Mathematics Department of the Naval Ship Research and Development Center on their Computer Data Format Translater (CIFT) which provided a maximum sampling rate of 2000 samples per second. The tape data was first played back on the NSRDC Ampex FR-600 at a speed of 3-3/4*** in/sec, and

* Manufactured by Atlantic Research Corp., Alexandria, Va. It should be noted that low frequency distortion was probably introduced by the LC-32 hydrophone. In special shock wave response tests (reference 12) of tourmaline and ceramic gauges at the 10C psi level, it was shown that the pressures from LC-10 and LC-32 gauges decayed too slowly due to a relaxation effect, resulting in large shock wave impulse values. However, it was not determined whether or not the relaxation effect persisted at lower pressure levels, such as those recorded from the deep shots reported here.

** Radiation Electronics Co., Model TA-5 Amplifier.

*** An attempt was made to play back the tapes at 1-7/8 in/sec in order to realize the full band width capabilities of the recorder; i.e., 20 kHz. Unfortunately, this speed resulted in a considerable increase in playback noise level, so the 3-3/4 in/sec speed was used.

日本にといた日本にあ

and the second state of the second state of the second second second second second second second second second

the analog output was then sampled and digitized 1875 times/sec on the CDFT. Since the tape data was recorded at 60 in/sec, the sampling rate was equivalent to 30,000 samples/sec in recorded time.

The CDFT digitized tape data was on a low density binary tape containing 21 words per record in which the first word represented the identification number and the time (in msec) of the first sample of that record. The remaining 20 words represented 60 consecutive samples of the pressure or calibration fata. The number of records was determined before hand on the basis of the duration of the pulse required to be digitized. Next, a decimal dump of the CDFT digital tape was obtained at NOL by means of the program TESTP (reference 13). The program NEWGRL (reference 13) was then used on the IBM 7090 computer to calculate the maximum pressure, impulse, energy, pulse duration, spectral amplitude and energy density, and the octave band spectral energy of the pressure pulse. The program is quite flexible so that, for instance, the above pulse characteristics can be calculated for any portion of the pressure pulse, the frequency interval in the spectral energy density calculations could be varied, the number of octave bands changed, etc. The program SPRING (reference 13) was used with NEWGFL to provide plots of the amplitude and energy spectra, in dB, as a function of log frequency. Spectral energy data are reported in reference 14.

3.2 ANALYSIS OF OSCILLOSCOPE DATA

The few examples of pressure-time data obtained from oscilloscope records were read manually from photographic films at discrete time increments of equal value ($16-2/3 \mu$ sec) on the NOL Telereadex. (Photographic films were made from the original Polaroid prints to facilitate the reading of the data on the Telereadex.) Each pressure datum point was punched on an IEM card, and these cards were used with program PAPPY (reference 13) on the IEM 7090 to compute the pulse and spectral characteristics mentioned above.

3.3 ANALYSIS OF ANALOG TAPE DATA

The analog tape data were played back on the NOL FR-600 and a Visicorder* was used to provide a graphical record of pressure as a function of time. All pressure and all time duration values of the various phases of the pressure pulse reported herein were obtained from these records. Onl the impulse and energy values reported herein were obtained from the digital tape data; i.e., program NEWGRL. The reason the pressures and time durations were not obtained from the digital data was that the sampling rate was too coarse in many cases to provide accurate peak pressure values and also that the noise on the pressure-time records made it difficult to determine (from program TESTP) the times at which pressures were equal to the ambient pressure. It should be noted, however, that although the maximum pressures may differ by as much as $\pm 10\%$ by the two methods on some shots, the resulting equations of p_m vs $W^{1/3}/R$ were essentially identical.

4. RESULTS

The shock wave and bubble pulse data are shown in Table II for TNT, HEX-3, pentolite and Mitramex. Representative pressure-time records are reproduced in

^{*} The Visicorder (Model 906B) is a paper recorder complete with 12 channels of amplifiers and a multispeed paper drive. It is manufactured by Honeywell, Inc., Denver, Colorado.

NOLITE 67-87

Figures 2 and 3. The TMT and HEX.3 reduced data are plotted in Figures 4 through 16, and the equations of the curves in these figures are summarized in Table III. The sparse data obtained for pentolite and Mitramex were not sufficient for making plots.

In this report, the shock wave is also called "the first positive phase" since all integrations of impulse and energy were carried out to the time at which the shock wave pressures decayed to the ambient pressure level. This crossing point is clearly defined, in contrast to the shock waves from shallow water explosions for which the time at which the pressure decays to ambient pressure is quite uncertain. In all these pressure-time records, the base line; i.e., ambient pressure level, was not adjusted as was done in references 15 and 16 to correct for instrumentation errors.

In an attempt to determine the effect of expl.sion depths upon the pressure pulse characteristics, Y, it was assumed that Y was proportional to the product of two functions of independent variables: a function of only the total charge depth, Z_0 , and a function of only reduced range, $R/W^{1/3}$. The latter function had been determined by the principles of similitude from shallow water explosions and is assumed to be valid for all depths. The relationship is:

$$Y = g(Z_0) f(R/W^{1/3})$$
 (4.1)

where Y is pressure, reduced impulse, $I/W^{1/3}$, reduced energy, $E/W^{1/3}$, or a reduced time interval between characteristic points in the pulse, $T/W^{1/3}$, and where $Z_0 =$ charge depth + 33 ft, R is range, and W is charge weight. By assuming power laws for g of a form similar to that used for f (reference 10), equation (4.1) becomes:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{o}}^{\alpha} \cdot (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{w}^{1/3})^{\beta}$$
(4.2)

where β is a constant determined empirically from shallow water explosions, and where k and α are constants determined by the method of least squares from the measured values of Y, Z₀, and (R/W^{1/3}). The results are shown in Table III and discussed below.

The relaxation (hysteretic) effect of the hydrophone used results in a lag (see footnote page 4) in the response to a decaying pressure so that, for example, positive pressures may still be recorded after the explosion pressures have entered the negative pher. The magnitude of this distortion increases with increase in the shock wave peak pressure level. Hence, for the smaller reduced ranges, all data recorded subsequent to the shock wave peak pressure (except the bubble periods) were affected. This is apparent in the graphs shown. Since the relationship between the magnitude of this distortion and the pressure level is not known, a criterion was adopted arbitrarily to eliminate from the least squares determination all data (except the bubble periods and shock wave peak pressures) recorded at reduced ranges less than about 500 ft/lb^{1/3} (15-20 psi).

4.1 RESULTS FOR TNT

ş

The results reported here are compared with similar earlier data obtained by NOL in 1949, 1963, and 1964 and reported by Blaik and Christian (reference 8). In addition, some shallow, long-range shots reported by Arons (reference 3) and some short-range bubble data (references 15 and 16) are compared.

「おおおとう」というには、「おおおお」では、「おおいろ」のできた。 ちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう しょうちょう しょうちょう しょうちょう しょうちょう しょうちょう しょうちょう しょうちょう しょうちょう

at any intervention to the second second

4.1.1 <u>THT Pressures</u>. The first positive phase (shock wave) peak pressures, Ppp, are plotted for THT in Figure 4 for reduced ranges of $R/W^{1/3}$ between 200 and 14,000 ft/1b^{1/3}. A least squares fit to these data showed that the pressures varied as the reduced range, $R/W^{1/3}$, to the -1.13 power, as was determined by Arons (reference 3) from long-range, shallow-water explosions, by Blaik and Christian (reference 8) from long-range, deep explosions, by Vanzant and Deflart (reference 4) from short-range simulated deep explosions, and by Bebb and by Coles, et al (references 1 and 2) from short-range shallow water explosions. The pressures of references 1, 2, 3, 4, and 8 were only about 4% to 8% larger than the present peak pressures, and for clarity were omitted from Figure 4. In general, the peak pressure, from the 1-lb charges were lower than those from the 8-lb and 57-lb charges. All peak pressures were read from the apparent peaks; i.e., without correction for the finite gauge size and the 20 kHz recording response. This may account for the slightly lower peak pressures, particularly those for the 1-lb charges.

A similar plot of the first bubble pulse maximum pressures, F_B , against $R/W^{1/3}$ shows that the pressures were slightly depth dependent, as was indicated by a slight but significant increase in the slope of the curve at a reduced range of about $4000 \text{ ft/lb}^{1/3}$. This effect was not observed in reference ϑ , probably because the TNT measurements were made from explosions confined to depths greater than 4000 ft; i.e., 6000 to 22,000 ft, and because the scatter in the data was large. If it is assumed that the bubble peak pressures can be represented by simple power laws involving depth as well as the similitude requirements of charge weight and range (i.e., as in Equation 4.2) it can be shown by using the method of least squares, that k = 3300 and α is essentially zero; i.e., independent of depth, for depths less than about 4000 ft. For larger depths, however, a significant increase in P_B was observed with increase in charge depth: $\alpha = 1/6$ when $\beta = -1.00$. This is shown in Figure 5 where $P_B(R/W^{1/3})$ is plotted against Z_0 . Reference 8 data (not shown) for 1- and 8-lb TNT charges fired between 6000 and 22,000 ft depths are in good general agreement with our data.

A comparison of bubble pressures from 1-1b TMT charges fired at 512-ft depth (reference 15) and at reduced ranges of 2.85 and 5.69 ft/lb1/3, shows reference 15 pressures to be about 5% smaller than ours. Approximately the same difference was found with reference 16 results for 500- and 250-ft depths at a reduced range of $2.84 \text{ ft/lb}^{1/3}$.

From an incompressive approximation of the pulsating bubble, Arons derived the following expression (reference 16) for the minimum pressure of the first bubble negative phase:

 $P_{\min} = -5.16 \ z_o^{2/3} \ (R/w^{1/3})^{-1.00}$ (4.3)

By assuming our pressures also to vary inversely with the reduced range, the effect of charge depth upon the pressure is shown in Figure 6 by plotting $P_{\min}(R/W^{1}/3)$ against Z_0 . From a least squares fit to the data for $Z_0 < 4500$ ft, the pressure varied as the total charge depth, Z_0 , to the 2/3 power, as was determined by Arons. The absolute pressure level computed from Arons' equation (4.3) was about 7% larger than ours.

Below 4500 ft, however, a change in «lope occurs, and the negative pressures vary as depth to the 1/3 power. Interestingly enough, the reference 8 pressures, when plotted on the Figure 6 scales, do not show this change in slope.

NOLAR 67-87

4.1.2 TWT Impulses. The first positive phase impulse, Ipp, was reduced by the factor $(W^{1/3}/Z_0^{1/3})$ and is shown plotted against reduced range in the lower graph of Figure 7. The exponent of Z_0 was determined by applying least square fits to the data in Equation 4.2 where $Y = Ipp/W^{1/3}$ and $\beta = -0.97$.

Additional unreported data were obtained by NOL from a 1.8-lb TNT charge fired in the Pacific (reference 19) at 865-ft depth and measured at a horizontal range of about 8400-ft and 1500-ft depth. The transmission characteristics were such that the pulse was not refracted, and the reduced impulse of the first positive phase is shown in Figure 7 to be in good agreement with our data for a reduced range of 6900 ft/lb^{1/3}. Also shown are the reference 8 first positive phase impulses from 1-lb and 8-lb TNT charges fired at depths of 6000-22,000 ft. They average about 25% higher than the values reported here.

1

The insert at the bottom of Figure 7 shows the reference 4 first positive phase impulses obtained from 8.5 and 14.8 gram pentolite charges fired in a fresh water filled tank pressurized to simulate ocean depths of 1150 to 9000 ft. The impulses are about 25% higher than our data for THT extrapolated to reduced ranges of 1-10 ft/1b1/3.

Reference 3 shallow water ($Z_0 \approx 75$ ft) shock wave impulses were measured from 1/2-1b pentolite charges at reduced ranges from 100 to 1000 ft/1b^{1/3}. Adjustment of this data to include the impulse in the entire positive phase (reference 18) results in very good agreement with our curve in Figure 7.

The first bubble pulse impulse was reduced by the factor $(W^{1/3}/Z_0^{2/5})$ and is shown plotted against reduced range in the upper graph of Figure 7. The exponent of Z_0 was similarly determined from Equation 4.2; however, in this case, the value of β was assumed to be -1.00. Reference 8 first bubble pulse reduced impulses are also plotted in Figure 7. An extrapolation of our curve to the large reduced ranges shows reference 8 values to be about 20% larger than our impulses. Reference 16 gives values of bubble impulses measured at a very small reduced range from TNT charges fired at about 500-ft and 250-ft depths. Extrapolating the impulse curve for our data to this reduced range of 2.84 ft/lb^{1/3} gives impulse values equal to the reference 16 impulses. A similar comparison with reference 15 impulses is almost equally good for a 512-ft charge depth and reduced ranges of 2.84 and 5.69 ft/lb^{1/3}.

The bubble impulse and the bubble maximum pressure were calculated for a 1-1b charge for $Z_0 = R + 210$ ft from Arons' incompressible bubble theory (reference 17). The calculated impulses were within 15% of our curve for reduced ranges less than 10,000 ft/lb^{1/3}, and a similar deviation was obtained for the calculated bubble pressures for reduced ranges less than 4,000 ft/lb^{1/3}.

4.1.3 THT Energy Flux Densities. The energy, Epp, in the first positive phase for THT (as well as HEX-3) is shown in Figure 8. The data were reduced by the factor $wl/3/2_0^{1/5}$; the exponent of Z₀ was determined by a least squares fit to Equation 4.2 with exponent β taken as -2.07. The energies of reference 8 are shown for comparison; they are about 25% higher than the corresponding values of this report.

Also shown in Figure 8 is an unreported energy value of the first positive phase measured at a reduced range of $6900 \text{ ft/lb}^{1/3}$ (reference 19). This value is in good agreement with our data. The reference 3 shock wave energies averaged about 10% less than our curve in Figure 8 for reduced ranges between 100 and 1000 ft/lb^{1/3}. No energy values were reported in reference 4.

NOLAR 67-87

4.1.4 <u>TNT Pulse Durations</u>. Figure 2 shows the notations used for the various pulse durations. Figures 9 and 10 show how the durations of the various phases of the pressure pulse through the first bubble positive phase vary with Z_0 , the total charge depth. (The shock wave rise times are not included here, since the slow response of the recording and playback system masked the true rise times. The actual rise times are less than the 55 ± 5 microseconds recorded on all shots.) As a result of the hydrophone relaxation effect, the recorded values of $T_{\rm MP}$ were too small and the recorded durations of $T_{\rm PP}$, $\gamma_{\rm ERT}$, and $\tau_{\rm BPP}$ were too large at the smaller reduced ranges.

「おおういたがないないない」の「「おおお」ではないたいないないであるないとなってんます。

W Jary . War Richard & Ver

Sec. White A Contract of the second

These figures show that the reduced first bubble period, $\tau_1/W^{1/3}$, and the reduced duration of the first bubble negative phase, $\tau_{NP}/W^{1/3}$, varied as the total charge depth to the -5/6 power for all explosion depths between 500 and 15,0% ft. The equation for $\tau_{NP}/W^{1/3}$ in reference 8 obtained for 1- and 8-lb TMT charges for charge depths from 6500 to 22,000 ft is identical to that reported here. Jiso the equation for $\tau_1/W^{1/3}$ is in good agreement with values reported in references 5, 8, 15, and 16 for non-migrating TMT bubbles.

The reduced duration of the first bubble rise time, $T_{\rm RFF}/W^{1/3}$, varied as the -0.93 power of Z₀ over the entire range of depths (Figure 10); however, because of the short durations at the greater depths, the precision of measurement is only fair.

The reduced durations of the first positive phase, $\tau_{pp}/w^{1/3}$, and of the first bubble phase, $\tau_{BPP}/w^{1/3}$, also varied as the total charge lepth to the -5/6 power, but only for charge depths less than about 4500 ft. For larger depth i, a significant departure from the -5/6 slope was observed for these two duratic is. The curves drawn by eye through the cluster of durations for explosion depths of 4500, 7000, and 14,000 ft show a slope of -2/5 for $\tau_{pp}/w^{1/3}$, and -3/5 for $\tau_{BPP}/w^{1/3}$. The corresponding reduced durations* of references 6 and 8 in the depth into rval of 5000-22,000 ft are in good agreement. It should be noted that 4500 ft is the depth for which significant changes in the first bubble pressures occurred.

Shallow water $(Z_0 = 75 \text{ ft})$ shock wave time constants were shown in reference 3 to increase with increase in reduced range at a rate $(R/W1/3)^{0.22}$ for reduced ranges as large as 2000 ft/lb^{1/3}. Our reduced time constants** $(\theta/W1/3)$, however, were independent of reduced range; the measured values, after correction for the 20 kHz response, were 170, 160, and 150 microsec/lb^{1/3} for the 1-, 8-, and 57-lb TNT charges, respectively, in the reduced range interval of 500 to 14,000 ft/lb^{1/3}. The spreading of the profile (reference 3) with increase in range is apparently counteracted by a compression of the profile with increase in charge depth. This can be shown from Equation 4.2, where a selection of a reasonable value for 8 results in a being the negative of that value. The best fit to our data is obtained when $\neg \alpha = 8 \approx 0.10$.

Equation 4.2 and what little other data was reported (reference 18 and 19) were used to determine the effect of reduced range upon the durations of the first positive phase, $\tau_{\rm PP}$. The effect was negligible: β was less than 0.05 when α was assumed to be -5/6. However, as more data become available, especially measurements at

^{*} Some durations in Figures 9 and 10 are not reported in references 6 and 8 but were specially read for inclusion in this report.

^{**} The time constant is defined as the time required for the peak pressure to decay to 36.8% of its peak value, as for exponential pulses, although our palses approach a linear decay for the very large depths.

depths about equal to the charge depths, a better determination of the charge range and depth dependence will be obtained.

4.2 RESULTS FOR HEX-3

ł

ţ

ł

Table I lists the HEX-3 shots fired. Although the shallowest shot was at 1200 ft, the reduced ranges varied from 500 to $14,000 \text{ ft/}15^{1/3}$. No earlier deep HEX-3 data are available, so comparisons are wade only with shallow explosion data, and one set of close-in measurements made at 500-ft depth.

4.2.1 <u>HBX-3 Pressures</u>. In Figure 11, the HEX-3 first positive phase (shock wave) peak preasures are plotted against reduced range $(R/W^{1/3})$ between 500 and 14,000 $(ft/1b^{1/3})$. A least squares fit* to the data shows that a slope of -1.14 is maintained to 14,000-ft depths. The same value of slope was reported in reference 20 from several series of HEX-3 charges fired at reduced ranges of only 1.65 to 21.5 $ft/1b^{1/3}$ at about a 30-ft depth. The line of Figure 12 was extrapolated to these short ranges and the reference 20 values were found to lie about 2% below the line.

The peak pressures found here were 3 to 7% smaller than those for TNT at the corresponding ranges. This is slightly smaller than the results of shallow-water, short-range measurements, as summarized in reference 21. There, the peak pressure of HEX-3 averaged 3% higher than that of TNT.

As in the case of TMT, the first bubble maximum pressure, P_B , can be expressed by Equation 4.2. If β is assumed to be -1.00, the method of least squares applied to the data gives k = 2100 and α essentially zero (independent of charge depth) for Z_0 less than about 4000 ft. For $Z_0 > 4000$ ft, however, the pressure increases with increase in charge depth and $\alpha = 0.15$ when $\beta = -1.00$. This is shown in Figure 12 where $P_B \cdot (R/W^{1/3})$ is plotted against Z_0 .

Reference 15 reports bubble maximum pressures from 1-1b HBX-3 charges fired at a 512-ft depth and reduced ranges of 2.85 and 5.69 ft/1b^{1/3}. The reference 15 pressures were about 5% smaller than our values obtained from the appropriate equation in Table III for the above reduced ranges. While the absolute values differ, the ratio of the first bubble maximum pressures for TNT to those for HBX-3 is essentially the same here (0.62) and in reference 15 (0.64).

The effect of depth upon the first bubble minimum pressure, P_{\min} , was determined from Equation 4.2, with $\beta = -1.0$. In Figure 13, the pressure, reduced by $(W^{1/3}/R)$, is plotted against Z_0 . A least squares fit to the data for $Z_0 > 3000$ ft gives 1/2for the exponent of Z_0 and -16.5 for the proportionality constant k. At the shallower depths, the scatter is too great and the data too few to fit a line as was done for TNT in Figure 5. However, if the two 8-lb and one 50-lb data points were eliminated because of relaxation effects, an extension of the curve (dashed line) would provide a good fit to the remaining data including that from reference 15.

4.2.2 HBX-3 Impulses. In Figure 14, the HBX-3 first positive phase impulse, reduced by $(\overline{W/Z_0})^{1/3}$, is plotted against reduced range between values of 400 and 14,000 ft/lb^{1/3}. The parameters k and g were determined from Equation 4.2 assuming an exponent of -0.97 for the reduced range; these are shown in Table III.

^{*} The very small pressures at $R/y^{1/3} = 2550$ and 3400 were not used in this or other determinations since all pressure characteristics for these two shots were systematically low.

The impulse values found here were about 22% greater than those for TNT at the corresponding conditions. This is consistent with the short range data which averaged 24% greater (reference 21). When the line in Figure 14 is extrapolated to the short ranges and shallow depths for which the impulse equation in reference 20 applied, the agreement is to within a few percent if the differences in impulse due to integration times are taken into account.

มีสี่ระวิณาระกับรู้ได้จุกได้มีครามาระกับระการการ

The impulse of the first bubble positive phase, I_B , reduced by $W^{1/3}/Z_0^{2/5}$, is also plotted in Figure 14 against reduced range. Again, k and a were obtained from Equation 4.2, assuming $\beta = -1.00$, and are given in Table III. This line was extrapolated to the very short ranges (2.85 and 5.69 ft/lb^{1/3}) of the reference 15 shots fired at 512-ft depth. Even at this great extrapolation, the reference 15 impulses were only 3% higher than our values.

It is interesting to note that while the HBX-3 bubble pulse impulse is about 20% greater than the TNT values, the HBX-3 bubble pulse maximum pressures are only about 2/3 of the TNT values. This is because the positive duration of the HBX-3 bubble pulse is much greater than that of TNT. The 20% difference between TNT and HBX-3 bubble pulse impulses found here can be compared with the 30% difference reported in reference 15.

4.2.3 <u>HEX-3 Energy Flux Densities</u>. The reduced energy of the first positive phase for HEX-3 plotted against reduced range is shown in Figure 8, which also shows the TNT energy. As done for TNT, the best fit to Equation 4.2 was one in which the HEX-3 energy was reduced by $(W^{1/3}/Z_0^{1/5})$. However, in this case the exponent of the reduced range was assumed to be -2.04.

A comparison of the HBX-3 and TNT energies obtained in this study shows that the HBX-3 energies are 10% to 20% larger than the TNT energies at depths between 500 and 10,000 ft. Reference 21 gives for short range shallow data a value for HBX-3 of 1.28 relative to TNT.

The line of Figure 8 was extrapolated to the short ranges and shallow depths where the equation for energy given in reference 20 is applicable; the reference 20 values were all 0-10% below the line.

4.2.4 HBX-3 Pulse Durations. Reduced pulse durations are shown in Figures 15 and 16 as functions of depth*.

The range of depths is not as large as that for TNT and considerably fewer data were obtained. However, the scatter in the data for the first bubble period, T_1 , and for the duration of the negative phase $T_{\rm NP}$, was small and a least squares fit provided a slope of -5/6 down to depths of 10,000 ft. The first bubble period coefficient of 5.35 was 2.4% less than the reference 15 value obtained from 1-1b charges detonated at a 512-ft depth.

The reduced values of the first positive phase T_{pp} and the bubble positive phase duration T_{RPP} also varied as $Z_0^{-5/6}$ for depths down to about 5000 ft. For depths

^{*} Three pressure-time records were obtained from 14,000-ft HBX-3 shots. However, for lack of sonic ranging data, the depths for these three values were determined from the experimental bubble period equation. The depths thus calculated were used only with the Tpp, T_{HRT}, and T_{RPP} data.

greater than 5000 ft, a departure from this slope was observed similar to that for TMT; the absolute magnitudes of the slopes were slightly larger than the corresponding ones for TMT.

As was the case for TNT, a straight line was drawn through the reduced bubble rise time data τ_{RPT} between 1200 and 14,000-ft depths; a slope of 0.90 was obtained. The HEX-3 bubble pulse rise times were 58% to 70% greater than the TNT rise times.

The increase in time constant with increase in range was again counteracted by a corresponding decrease with increase in depth; the reduced time constants were independent of depth and range and were 200, 182, and 182 microsec/lb^{1/3} for the 1-, 8-, and 50-lb charges, respectively.

4.3 NITRAMEX AND PENTOLITE

1

Witramex and Pentolite pressure pulse measurements are included in Table II. No graphs and equations are presented since only four 80-1b Nitramex charges were fired at depths between 2800 and 10,300 ft and only three 1-1b Pentolite charges were fired between 550- and 6600-ft depths. The results of these shots are compared with the TMT results at corresponding conditions. Since the scatter in the Nitramex data is large, the Nitramex results are not considered reliable.

4.3.1 <u>Mitramex Comparison with TNT</u>. The values of the Nitramex shock wave and bubble pulse characteristics are, in general, considerably smaller than those for TMT. The first positive phase peak pressures averaged about 70% of the TNT pressures and the first bubble peak pressures averaged about 60% of the corresponding TNT pressures. The absolute value of the bubble minimum pressure averaged about 70% of the TMT pressure. The first positive phase impulse and the first bubble impulse were comparable to the TNT values at the shorter ranges; at the greater ranges, they were 75% and 60% of the corresponding TNT impulses. The energy in the first positive phase averaged 55% of the TNT energy for the longer ranges and was comparable for the shorter reduced ranges. The Nitramex reduced durations, however, are in fair agreement with the corresponding TNT values; in general, the Nitramex reduced durations fall within the scatter of the TNT data, $\tau_{\rm IRT}$ being slightly higher and $\tau_{\rm BNT}$ slightly lower. The average value of the first bubble period coefficient, K₁, was about 4.24, or 2.3% less than for TNT.

4.3.2 Pentolite Comparison with TNT. The three values of Pentolite first positive phase peak pressure are in very good agreement with the shalt we water Pentolite and TNT data of reference 3. The three data points are within the scatter of our TNT data, and no significant difference can be obtained. (Reference 21 gives Pentolite as having an 8% higher value than TNT at short ranges.) The Pentolite bubble maximum pressure, both impulses, and the first positive phase energy were roughly 25% greater than the corresponding 1-1b TNT data. All reduced durations were within the scatter of the TNT plots, and the average value of the first period coefficient, K_1 , was 4.40. The reference 5 value of K_1 was 4.47 for 6000-ft depths.

5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

An attempt has been made to determine the effects of reduced range and of the depth of an explosion upon the pressure pulse characteristics from data measured at ranges nearly equal to the charge depths. The following equation was used for each pressure pulse characteristic:

$$\Upsilon = k Z_0^{\alpha} (\Re/W^{1/3})^{\beta}$$

The similitude scaling laws of reduced range for shallow water explosions were used to find the parameters for all depths of explosion. The parameters in the above equation and the limits of the independent variables are given in Table III for each pressure pulse characteristic measured. In general, good agreement was obtained by extrapolating the characteristics dependent on depth and reduced range to corresponding data obtained from shallow water explosions at short and long ranges, from short range measurements at intermediate and large depths and for an isolated case of intermediate charge depth and long range. However, for a better check on the validity of the relationships developed for the dependence on depth and reduced range, pressure measurements are required from deep and intermediate depth explosions at short and intermediate ranges.

Some conclusions drawn from this analysis show that within the precision of the measurements, only the shock wave peak pressures, Ppp, were independent of depth and only the pulse durations were independent of reduced range. The absolute magnitudes of the bubble minimum pressure, P_{min} , and of the bubble peak pressure, $P_{\rm B}$, (only for $Z_{\rm O} > 4000$ ft) increased with increase in depth; all other characteristics measured decreased with increase in depth. Of all the depth-dependent characteristics, the effect of depth was greatest upon the first bubble rise times and least upon the first bubble peak pressure. However, the effect was great upon the other pulse durations and upon the bubble minimum pressure. At a depth of about 4500 ft, a change occurs in the effect of depth upon the bubble minimum and peak pressures, and upon the durations of the first positive phase and of the first bubble positive phase. The effect of depth counteracts that of the reduced ranges for this case where $Z_{\rm O} \approx R + 210$. Comparisons of the HEX-3 pressure pulse characteristics with those of TMT were in good general agreement with similar comparisons made in shallow water, and at a 500-ft depth.

101ER 67-87

REFERENCES

- 1. A. H. Bebb, "Measurement of Pressure, Momentum and Energy from Underwater Explosive Charges at Ranges from 100 to 1 Charge Radii", British Report MCRE/R 150, UNDEX 264, Nov 1951, Unclassified.
- 2. J. S. Coles, et al, "Shock Wave Parameters from Spherical HBX and THT Charges Detonated Under Water", MAVORD Report 103-46, Dec 1946, Unclassified.
- 3. A. B. Arons, "Underwater Explosion Shock Wave Parameters at Large Distances from the Charge", J. Acoust. Soc. Am. <u>26</u>, 343-346, 1954.
- 4. B. W. Vanzant and R. C. DeHart, "Effect of Hydrostatic Pressure on Shock Waves from Underwater Explosions", J. Appl. Phys. <u>36</u>, 3116-3117, 1965; and "Shock Characteristics of Small Pentolite Charges Detonated in Vessels Hydrostatically Pressured from Ambient to 4000 psi", Southwest Research Inst. Final Report, Contract No. Nonr-3940(00), Project 03-1284, Sep 1965, Unclassified.
- 5. John P. Slifko, "Studies of Explosions at Depths Greater than One Hile in the Ocean, VI. Bubble Period Measurements", MAVORD Report 2276, Aug 1954, Juclassified.
- 6. W. H. Faux and C. R. Miffenegger, "The Resistance of Hollow Glass Models to Underwater Explosions at Great Depths I. Spheres", NOLTR 65-145, Dec 1965, Unclass.lfied.
- 7. W. H. Faux, "The Resistance of Hollow Glass Models to Underwater Explosions at Great Depths II. Special Configurations", WOLTR 65-146, Jan 1966, Unclassified.
- 8. Maurice Blaik and Ermine A. Christian, "Mear Surface Measurements of Deep Explosions I. Pressure Pulses from Small Charges", J. Acoust. Soc. Am. <u>38</u>, 50-56, 1965.
- 9. C. R. Niffenegger, "Initiation of Mk 2 Mod 3 Demolition Charges", NOL Internal Memorandum TN 6989, Sep 1965, Unclassified.
- 10. R. H. Cole, "Underwater Explosions", Princetor University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1948.
- 11. D. J. Torpy, "A Transistorized High-Input Resistance Amplifier for Use with Piezoelectric Gages", NOL Internal Memorandum TN 6353, May 1964, Unclassified.
- 12. J. P. Slifko, "Evaluation of Hydrophones for Underwater Explosion Pressure Measurements", NOL Internal Memorandum, TH 7107, Jan 1966, Unclassified.
- 13. M. A. Genau, "Underwater Shock Wave Frequency Spectrum Analysis IV. Fortran IV Programs for the IBM 7090 Computer", NOLTR 66-3, Feb 1966, Unclassified.
- 14. M. A. Genau, "Spectrum and Octave Band Analysis of Pressure Pulses from Deep Underwater Explosions", MOLAR 66-128, Oct 1966, Unclassified.

MOLAR 67-87

- Ermine A. Christian, Jean A. Goertner, J. P. Slifko, and E. Swift, Jr., "The Effect of Aluminum on Underwater Explosive Performance: Bubble Pulse Parameters from 1-1b Charges", MAVORD Report 2277, Jan 1952, Unclassified.
- 16. A. B. Arons, et al, "Measurement of Bubble Pulse Phenomena IV: Pressure-Time Measurements in Free Water", NAVORD Report 408, Nov 1947, Unclassified.
- A. B. Arons, "Secondary Pressure Pulses Due to Gas Globe Oscillation in Underwater Explosions II. Selection of Adiabatic Parameters in the Theory of Oscillation", NAVORD Report 420, May 1948, Unclassified.
- 18. A. B. Arons and D. R. Yennie, "Energy Partition in Underwater Explosion Phenomena", NAVORD Report 406, Oct 1957, Unclassified.
- 19. E. A. Christian, C. R. Niffenegger, et al, "Explosive Echo Ranging Tests Against SQUAW-29; Preliminary Test Report (U)", NOLTR 61-126, Oct 1961, Confidential.
- 20. Alys R. Pittman and T. B. Heathcote, "Underwater Explosion Parameters for HEX-3", NAVORD Report 4167, Jun 1956, Confidential.
- K. Caudle, Jean Goertner, N. Holland, "Explosives--Effects and Properties (U)", NOLTR 65-218, 21 Feb 1967, Confidential.
- Ermine A. Christian and Maurice Blaik, "Near-Surface Measurements of Deep Explosions II. Energy Spectra of Small Charges", J. Acoust. Soc. Am. <u>38</u>, 57-62, 1965.
- 23. D. E. Weston, "Underwater Explosions as Acoustic Sources", Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) LXXVI, 2, 233-249 (1960).

and the state of the second state of the second state of the second second second second second second second s

THE REPORTS OF THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF THE REPORTS OF THE REPORTS



.

NOLTR 67-87

•

AND NORTH AND ADDRESS

•

ţ

ţ





17

Ser. 20 10

"Indiana

1, 10



FIG. 3 HBX-3 PRESSURE-TIME RECORDS FOR TWO WEIGHTS AND SEVERAL DEPTHS





19

and the second sec



20

ĺ



المالي المحافظية المستقدمات

in realized and the second



ł

ŝ







Bet stratistical and states the states and so the second second second second second second second second second











25

Alling & Creek & Lowerson weather Base - 100





A CONTRACTOR OF THE DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

ł

÷

ar you of the ball of the area with the

ودغاضات

110 Stores

NOLTR 67-87





NOLTR 57-87

NOLTR 67-87



FIG. 15 REDUCED PRESSURE PULSE DURATIONS: $\tau/w^{1/3}$ VS 7 , FOR HBX-3

1. N. N. ..

:

i.



FIG. 16 REDUCED PRESSURE PULSE DURATIONS: $\tau/w^{1/3}$ VS Z FOR HBX-3

NOLAR 67-87

-

,

A MARK TO S A MARK OF A LARD MALLER.

. , ,

:

.

ť

•

Ę

| | 1 | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|--------------------|---|---|-------------------|---|-------------|--|
| | 13 (MSEC) | | 1.962 3.962 1.252 | 0.934 0.945 0.946 0.934 0.934 | | 1.450 1.67 1.92 1.67 1.92 1.92 1.92 1.92 1.92 1.92 | | 8. 684 5.959 3.382 |
| | () (NSEC) | | 15.04 10.23 10.71 8.23 5.762 | 5.479 3.972 2.967 2.967 2.967 2.983 2.983 1.248 | | - 7.02.03.94.44 % 2.03.94.44 % 2.03.94.44 % 2.03.94.44 % 2.03.94 % | | 22.20 17.93 11.56 7.519 4.281 |
| | r ₁ (Meec) | | 2555 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 | 7.65 5.410 5.59 5.59 5.59 5.59 5.59 5.59 5.59 5.5 | | 49884389444 89886489444 89886484644 89789464 89888 8946 8946 8946 8946 8946 894 | | 30.55 26.85 11.84 10.22 10.20 10.20 5.52 |
| | ^T IHET (NERC) | | 3.647 2.5347 2.5347 2.956 2.848 2.848 2.848 1.1372 1.133 | 1.100 0.630 0.540 0.139 0.139 0.173 0.173 | | 6.938 6.938 6.114 6.115 | | 5.129 3.724 1.937 1.798 1.798 1.441 1.310 0.599 |
| | ¹ app (Heact) | | 8.923 8.923 9.126 9.126 9.23 126 9.23 126 9.23 126 9.23 126 9.23 126 9.23 126 9.23 126 9.23 126 9.23 126 9.23 126 9.23 126 9.23 126 9.23 126 9.23 126 9.23 126 126 126 126 126 126 126 126 126 126 | 2.048 1.465 1.122 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.164 | | 12.32 9.888 6.562 6.562 6.775 7.7757 7.7757 7.7757 7.7757 7.7757 7.7757 7.77577 7.775777 7.77577777777 | | 14.92 7.549 3.949 3.746 2.870 2.870 |
| | TRP (MBBC) | | 21.11 9.640 9.640 7.762 7.762 7.762 | 5.454 3.710 3.710 2.828 2.841 1.9335 1.9335 1.9335 1.9335 1.93355 1.93355 1.93555555555555555555555555555555555555 | | 8.28.29.29.29.29.29.29.29.29.29.29.29.29.29. | | 19.09 20.09 11.36 7.085 7.235 3.815 |
| | 775 (16170) | | 683 683 683 683 683 683 683 683 683 683 | 1.100 6.751 0.772 0.561 0.409 0.409 0.439 0.439 | | 11.15 4.338 4.378 4.378 4.338 4.3788 4.3788 4.3788 4.3788 4.3788 4.3788 4.3788 4.3788 4 | | 6.337 1.925 2.025 2.025 1.568 1.114 |
| | (rd) (r) | | 550.3 607.8 607.8 906.0 925.8 1,191 1,275 1,275 | 2,036 2,036 2,096 2,096 1,144 1,144 1,535 1,235 | | 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 | _ | 1,878 1,956 1,315 4,146 6,641 6,641 7,180 14,370 |
| II <i>TH</i> YI | E FUIGE NEAS In-SEC | (91-1) JH I | | 1,1 6,5 6,5 7,5 7,5 7,5 7,5 7,5 7,5 7,5 7,5 7,5 7 | (41-8) 111 | 65 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 | THE (57-16) | 16.52 5.275 5.275 4.275 2.270 2.2501 0.8118 |
| | Fuch Fuch (`La/In | | -0.747 -0.747 -0.64 -0.633 -0.617 -0.499 -0.499 | -0.41 -0.245 -0.243 -0.243 -0.243 -0.243 -0.243 -0.270 -0.210 | | -2.578 | | -0.492 -1.529 -1.078 -0.716 -0.907 -0.907 -0.504 |
| | Р _В (ць/тя ²) | | 9.60 8.373 9.160 9.101 1.72 | 1.728 1.073 1.12 0.859 0.859 0.571 | | 17.9 8.990 8.991 7.616 7.991 7.631 8.251 8.251 8.252 8.252 8.252 8.252 8.252 8.252 8.252 8.251 8.252 8.251 8.252 8.251 8.252 8.251 8.252 8.251 8 | | 8. 563 7. 785 3. 249 3. 059 2. 48 2. 48 1. 212 |
| | EPP-10 ³ IN-IU | | 12.53 8.930 8.930 8.930 8.9400 8.94000 8.94000 8.94000 8.94000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 0.368 0.097 0.0579 0.0550 0.01638 0.01638 0.01638 | | 160.5 160.5 23.58 23.58 53.37 53.57 55.57 | | 26.96 22.63 2.965 3.905 0.1775 0.1775 |
| | 01. 11 <u>118/387</u> 11 | | 8.71 5.638 6.035 2.739 2.739 2.863 2.863 2.863 0.7640 0.7640 | 0.858 0.424 0.424 0.190 0.152 0.153 0.133 | | 5.76 86.06 8.72 8.72 8.72 3.510 3.510 3.510 3.510 3.510 1.110 0.215 1.110 0.215 1.110 0.215 1.110 0.215 1.110 0.215 1.110 0.215 1.110 1.115 1.110 1.115 1.110 1.115 1.110 1.115 1.110 1.115 1.110 1.115 1.110 1.115 1.11 | | 15.35 13.74 1.353 1.353 1.048 2.277 2.277 2.252 2.831 |
| | ² 22 (لناقد/ 211 ²) | | 89.99 89.99 89.99 89.99 89.99 89.99 89.99 89.99 89.99 89.99 89.99 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 | 2.540 2.540 2.540 2.551 2.551 2.551 0.957 0.957 0.957 0.957 | | 8849212123020000000000 50753500000000000000000000000 | | 21.75 21.47, 7.117 7.117 7.117 7.117 7.117 1.451 1.451 |
| | я (тт) | | 337 397 1,059 1,059 1,059 1,059 1,059 1,059 1,059 | 1, 406 2, 731 2, 850 4, 244 1, 322 13, 760 13, 760 | | 34 212 212 212 212 212 22 22 22 22 22 22 2 | | 1,660 1,714 4,104 4,251 6,967 6,967 14,160 |
| | Shot No. | | %3 K7C7C | 123335852 | | 4%363\$ 44455%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% | | ୭ ୫୯୪ ଅଟିକ |

NOLITE 67-87

.

TABLE II (continued)

.

| | ¹ 3 (אצפכ) | | 6.72 | : : | 2.407 2.407 | 1.30 | | 20.14 | 00. 1 | : : | 3.743 | 0(0.2 | | 40.41 | 1.52 | 6.332 | | 95.LI | 1.631 | | | :: | 4.46 | | | | |
|--------------------|---|-------------|---|-----------------|-------------------|---------|--|--------|----------------|--------------------|--|--------|----------------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---|--------|-----------------|---|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------|--|--|------------------------|---------|
| | 122 (MSBC) | | 7.10 | 6.763 3.69 | 3.493 | 1.50 | | 53.01 | 17.53 | 7.818 | 4.251 3.304 | | | | 15.50 | 8.222 | | 16.14 | 1.556 | | | 16.99 7.48 | 6,32 | | | | |
| | T1 (MSEC) | | 12.91 | 9.74L | 4.70 2.463 | 1.813 | | 02 80 | 25.38 25.38 | 10.09 6.732 | 18 F | K in | | 39.90 26.07 | 18.05 12.05 | 9.295 6.823 | | 51.70 | 2.839 2.839 | | | 24.87 24.53 20.55 | 8.250 | | | | |
| | (วสรพ) **** | | 1.853 | 1.760 0.803 | 0.369 0.369 | 0.273 | | 1. 806 | 5.564 | 1.746 | 106.0 | | | 8.370 4.285 | 2.129 | 1.135 | | 3.736 | 0.958 0.386 | | | 3.738 6.785 2.012 | 1.374 | | | | |
| | ¹ BPT (MSBC) | | 3.263 | 3.335 1.657 | 1.50 | 0.681 | | cule o | 8.832 | 3.162 | 1.926 | 3 | | 15.93 8.388 | 5.946 | 3.212 | | 7.379 | 1.916 0.759 | | | 7.191 | 2.991 | | | | |
| | THP (HBEC) | | 9.225 | 6.752 3.282 | 3.25 | 1.180 | | 10 50 | 15.69 | 6.912 4.536 | 3.360 9.60 8.4 | 2 | | 23.39 18.25 | 12.99 8.175 | 6.174 4.384 | | 14.13 | 8.545 1.992 | | | 17.65 14.31 6.496 | 5.559 | | | | |
| | ¹ PP (MSEC) | | 1.832 | 1.232 0.725 | 0.680 0.425 | 0.360 | | and d | 1.210 | 1.436 1.057 | 0.732 | -01-02 | | 7.084 | 2.579 | 1.512 | | 3.831 | 1.100 0.461 | | | 3.485 3.438 2.042 | 1.315 | | | | |
| REMENTS | 2° (111) | | 1,364 | 1,924 4,557 | 4,550 9,857 | 14,690 | | 1 215 | 1, 391 | 4,235 6,993 | 9,882 | | - | 1,846 2,924 | 4,476 7,531 | 9, 580 14, 340 | • | 585.1 | 1,410 6,654 | | • | 2,825 2,934 7,084 | 10,340 | | | | |
| FULSE MEASUREMENTS | т <mark>в</mark> •10 ³ 18-910 111 ² | (9T-T) E-XE | 2.437 | 1.537 0.3835 | 0.3472 0.1095 | 0.06582 | (4T-8) (8-JH) | 10.18 | 9.316 | 1.520 0.4998 | 0,296 | | EXT-3 (50-1b) | 27.93 10.96 | 6.269 2.368 | 1.165 0.9159 | entolite (1-1b | 15.48 | 2.22 0.218 | | fitremex (80-1b | 11.07 9.736 2.039 | 1.135 | | | | |
| JANES STAT | PHIN (12/23 ²) | H | -0.502 | -0.501 | -0.260 | -0.147 | H | -0.971 | -0.652 | -0.530 | -0.348 -0.293 | | × | -0.970 -1.249 | -0.981 | -0.479 | Å | -0.542 | -0.206 | i | M1 t) | -1.281 -0.935 | | | | | |
| | ار کھک ²) | | 1.577 | 1.276 0.551 | 0.519 0.251 | 0.185 | | 107 | 0,5,0 | 0.910 0.422 | 0.502 | | | 5.175 2.684 | 1.755 1.251 | 0.625 0.588 | | 13.27 | 0.606 | | | 3.343 4.055 1.138 | 171 M | | | | |
| | 211-10 ³ 211-140 211-240 | | 0.8968 | 0.4727 | 0.0467 0.00873 | 0.00329 | | 11. W | 18 18 18 | 0.06892 0.06892 | 0.0326 | | | 38.46 10.90 | 3.669 0.7857 | 0.2274 0.1553 | | 14. CI | 1.030 0.0546 | | | 10.57 7.405 0.750 | 10.5121 | | | | |
| | 1 ₇₇ -10 ³ 126/620 111 ² | | 1.674 | 1.202 0.3215 | 0.2963 | 0.0655 | | 9.024 | 7.418 | 1.353 0.4814 | 0.4658 0.295 | | | 22.83 9.339 | 5.152 2.1 29 | 1.057 0.8598 | | 11.14 | 0.0576 | | | 9.655 7.948 1.979 | 1.100 | | | | |
| | ² 77 (1.386/13 ²) | | 6.666 | 6 % 6 % | 1.638 | 0.428 | | 11.77 | 15.19 | 3.719 | 1.460 0.843 | • | | 22.56 11.61 | 7.253 3.715 | 1.874 1.635 | | 28.64 | 1.028 | | | 20.01 20.02 25.05 | (11.5 | | | | |
| | r (f | | 1,150 1,705 4,344 1,336 9,637 14,480 | | | | 1,150 1,705 1,705 1,334 1,335 1,480 | | | | 1,1,20 1,1,1,00 1,1,1,00 1,1,1,00 1,1,1,00 1,1,1,00 1,1,1,00 1,1,1,00 1,1,1,00 1,1,1,00 1,1,1,00 1,1,1,00 1,1,1,00 1,1,1,00 1,1,1,1, | | | | | | 1,626 2,760 1,326 9,419 1,125 | | | | | 337 | 1,141 6,441 | | | 2,610 2,751 6,86 | 20, 122 |
| | Shot No. | | 19 17 | 8 K | 85 | 74 | | 44 | 19 | 28 | 4£ | | | . 98 | 27.2 | ଝସ | | ଷ | 8~ | | | 828 <u>9</u> | 5 | | | | |

33

the Presidentic County State of States of Acres in the second states and the second states and the second states

こうしょう しょうち ふん ひんかん とうないないないがく

t

TABLE III

EMPIRICAL EQUATIONS FOR PRESSURE PULSE CHARACTERISTICS*

Characteristic $Y = k Z_0^{\alpha} (R/W^{1/3})^{\beta}$ (W in lbs; R and Z in ft)

| | ¥ | | k | α | ß | Limits of Variable |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------|--------------|-------|----------------------------------|
| | | | TNF | | | |
| 1. | P _{PP} | $\left(\frac{1bs}{in^2}\right)$ | 20,800 | 0 | -1.13 | $14,000 \ge R/W^{1/3} \ge 200$ |
| 28. | P _B | $\left(\frac{1bs}{in^2}\right)$ | 3,300 | 0 | -1.00 | $4,000 \ge Z_0 \ge 500$ |
| 2ь. | P _B | $\left(\frac{1\mathbf{bs}}{\mathbf{in}^2}\right)$ | 875 | 1/6 | -1.00 | 15,000 ≥ Z _o ≥ 4,000 |
| 3 a . | P Win | $\left(\frac{1bs}{in^2}\right)$ | -7? | 1/3 | -1.00 | $14,000 \ge Z_0 \ge 4,500$ |
| 3b. | P min | $\left(\frac{1bs}{in^2}\right)$ | -4.8 | 2/3 | -1.00 | 4,500 ≥ Z _o ≥ 500 |
| 4. | I _{PP} /W ^{1/3} | $\left(\frac{1bs \cdot sec}{in^2 \cdot 1bs^{1/3}}\right)$ | 14.7 | -1/3 | -0.97 | 14,000 $\geq R/W^{1/3} \geq 500$ |
| 5. | I _B /W ^{1/3} | $\left(\frac{1bs \cdot sec}{in^2 \cdot 1bs^{1/3}}\right)$ | 38.5 | -2/5 | -1.00 | $8,000 \ge R/W^{1/3} \ge 500$ |
| 6. | E _{PP} /W ^{1/3} | $\left(\frac{\text{in}\cdot\text{lbs}}{(\text{in}^2\cdot\text{lbs}^{1/3})}\right)$ | 8,100 | -1/5 | -2.07 | $14,000 \ge R/W^{1/3} \ge 500$ |
| 7a. | ۳ _{PP} /W ^{1/3} | $\left(\frac{\sec}{\ln^{1/3}}\right)$ | 0.555 | -5/6 | 0 | 4,500 ≥ Z 500 |
| 7 b . | ¶ _{PP} /₩ ^{1/3} | $\left(\frac{\sec}{1 b s^{1/3}}\right)$ | 0.0145 | - 2/5 | 0 | 22,000 ≥ Z _o ≥ 4,500 |
| 8. | *, /w ^{1/3} | $\left(\frac{\sec}{\ln^{1/3}}\right)$ | 3.10 | -5/6 | o | 14,00° ≥ Z ≥ 500 |

* See Fig. 2 and text for definitions of characteristics I.

TABLE III (continued)

a de constante de la constant a ser de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la const

100

EMPIRICAL EQUATIONS FOR PRESSURE PULSE CHARACTERISTICS

Level 15 wide a line of the second provide and the second provide and the second second by a second of the second provided and the

A state of the second stat

-

3.4

ŝ

| | Y | | k | ۵ | ß | Limits of Variable |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------|------------------|-------|---|
| 9a. | TBPP/W1/3 | $\left(\frac{\sec}{1 b s^{1/3}}\right)$ | 1.10 | -5/6 | 0 | $4,500 \ge Z_0 \ge 500$ |
| 96. | TBPP/W1/3 | $\left(\frac{sec}{lbs^{1/3}}\right)$ | 0.155 | - 3/5 | 0 | 22,000 ≥ Z ≥ 4,500 |
| 10. | T1/W ^{1/3} | $\left(\frac{\sec}{\ln^{1/3}}\right)$ | 4 34 | - 5/6 | 0 | 14,000 ≥ Z _o ≥ 500 |
| 11. | TBRT/W1/3 | $\frac{\sec}{10^{1/3}}$ | 1.25 | -0.93 | 0 | $14,000 \ge Z_0 \ge 500$ |
| | | | HBX-3 | | | |
| 12. | P _{PP} | $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1\mathbf{bs}}{\mathbf{n}^2} \end{pmatrix}$ | 23,500 | 0 | -1.14 | $14,000 \ge R/W^{1/3} \ge 400$ |
| 13 a . | P B | $\begin{pmatrix} \underline{lbs} \\ \underline{in}^2 \end{pmatrix}$ | 2,100 | 0 | -1.00 | 4,000 ≥ Z _o ≥ 500 |
| 13Ъ. | P B | $\left(\frac{1bs}{tn^2}\right)$ | 600 | 0.15 | -1.00 | $15,000 \ge Z_0 \ge 4,000$ |
| 14. | P min | \ ⁱⁿ / | -16.5 | | | $14,000 \ge Z_0 \ge 3,000$ |
| 15. | I _{PP} /W ^{1/3} | $\left(\frac{1bs \cdot sec}{in^2 \cdot 1bs^{1/3}}\right)$ | 17.9 | -1/3 | -0.97 | 14,000 $\geq R/W^{1/3} \geq 500$ |
| 16. | I_B/W ^{1/3} | $\left(\frac{1ba \cdot sec}{in^2 \cdot 1bs^{1/3}}\right)$ | 46 .0 | -2/5 | -1.00 | $14,000 \ge R/W^{1/3} \ge 500$ |
| 17. | Epp/W ^{1/3} | $\left(\frac{\text{in}\cdot\text{lbs}}{(\text{in}^2\cdot\text{lbs}^{1/3})}\right)$ | 7,310 | -1/5 | -2.04 | $14,000 \ge R/W^{1/3} \ge 500$ |
| 18a. | "PP/W ^{1/3} | $\left(\frac{sec}{lbs^{1/3}}\right)$ | 0.7 5 | -5/6 | ο | 14,000 ≥ $R/W^{1/3} ≥ 500$ 5,000 ≥ $Z_0 ≥ 1,000$ |

HOLITE 67-87

TABLE III (continued)

EMPIRICA' EQUATIONS FOR PRESSURE PULSE CHARACTERISTICS

| | Y | | k | a | β | Limits of Variable |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------|--------------|---|----------------------------|
| 18 6 . | "pp/w ^{1/3} | $\left(\frac{sec}{lbs^{1/3}}\right)$ | 0.0438 | -1/2 | 0 | 14,000 ≥ Z ≥ 5,000 |
| 19. | T _{NP} /W ^{1/3} | $\left(\frac{\sec}{1 b s^{1/3}}\right)$ | 3•55 | -5/6 | 0 | $10,000 \ge Z_0 \ge 1,000$ |
| | *BPP/W ^{1/3} | | 1.74 | -5/6 | 0 | $5,000 \ge Z_0 \ge 1,000$ |
| 2 0 6. | TBPP/W1/3 | $\left(\frac{\sec}{\ln^{1/3}}\right)$ | 0.42 | -2/3 | 0 | $14,000 \ge Z_0 \ge 5,000$ |
| 21. | "1/W ^{1/3} | $\left(\frac{\sec}{\ln^{1/3}}\right)$ | 5•35 | - 5/6 | 0 | $10,000 \ge Z_0 \ge 1,000$ |
| 22. | "Ha /ul/3 | $\left(\frac{\sec}{1 \tan^{1/3}}\right)$ | 1.60 | -0.90 | 0 | $14,000 \ge Z_0 \ge 1,000$ |

With the set of a set of the set

NOLAR 67-87

APPENDIX A

ENERGY SPECTRA

Energy spectra of the pressure pulses are of interest in determining how the reduced range and depth affect the distribution of the energy with respect to frequency. The energy spectra of the first positive phase and of the total pressure pulse (through one or more bubble periods) have been reported for our data in refference 14, and for the reference 8 data in reference 22. In both cases, however, the depth and range were treated as the same variable.

Reference 14 spectra of the first positive phase show that the maximum values occurred at the lowest frequencies, and this energy value for the 500-ft shots was about 10 dB larger than for the 14,000-ft shots. The spectra for all depths of explosion decreased with increasing depth, converged at a reduced frequency of about 1500 Hz-1b^{1/3} and diverged again as the frequency increased further. At the highest frequency (~ 20 kHz-1b^{1/3}), the energy of the shallowest shots was again about 10 dB larger than that for the 14,000-ft shots. A similar effect was observed in reference 14 for the spectra of the total pressure pulse, except that the maximum (peak) in the spectrum for each depth occurred at the respective bubble frequency of the first bubble oscillation.

The reference 14 and 22 energy spectra and, Weston's energy spectra (reference of shallow water data, were reduced by the square of the impulse; i.e., by $W^{4/3}/R^2$, determined from shallow water explosions. In view of the reduced range and depth dependence upon the impulse determined in this report, all energy spectra[#] should be reduced by the factor $W^{4/3}/R^2 \cdot Z_0^{-2/3}$. Such a reduction results in a coincidence of all maximum (peak) energy levels at the low frequency end and also in a coincidence of all spectra at the high frequency end (about 20 kHz-1b1/3) of the spectrum, for both types of pulses. Also, the spectra for the first positive phase diverge at the mid-frequencies so that the spectrum for the 14,000-ft explosion depth was larger by 8 to 10 dB than that for the 500-ft shot. Such a divergence reflects a continuous change in the wave form of the first positive phase; i.e., from an exponential to a triangular pulse as the depth increases (see Figure 2). The maximum deviation for the case of the total pulse occurs at the frequency of the first bubble oscillation. Figures A-1 and A-2 are reproductions of Figures 7 and 11 in reference 14 with adjustments in energy level for the effect of depth. The non-conformance of the 500-ft depth spectra at the highest frequency is probably the result of gauge relaxation.

^{*} For the shock wave impulse, the range exponent of -0.97 is considered to be -1.00, as Weston assumed. Although the bubble impulse is proportional to $Z_0^{-2/5}$, the energy spectra of the total pulse is more nearly proportional to $(Z_0^{-1/3})^2$ since the energy in the first positive phase predominates over most of the frequency range (references 21 and 22).





武江市出土

A-2



A-3

| | ······ | | | _ |
|---|--|---|--|----------------|
| DOCIMENT CO | NTROL DATA - R& | D | | |
| (Security classification of title body of ebstract and index | ing annotation must be en | | and the second design of the s | _ |
| ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) | | | RT SECURITY CLASSIFICATI | ION |
| U. S. Maval Ordnance Laboratory | | 2 6 GROU | lassified | |
| White Oak, Silver Spring, Naryland 2 | 20910 | ZD GROU | P | |
| REPORT TITLE | | L | | |
| Pressure-Pulse Characteristics of | of Deep Explosio | ns as l | unctions of Depth | |
| and Range | | | - | |
| | | | | |
| DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) | | | | |
| AUTHOR(S) (Lest name, first name, initial) | | | | |
| John P. Slifko | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| REPORT DATE | 74 TOTAL NO OF P | AGES | 75 NO OF REFS | |
| 21 September 1967 | 1. + 36 | | 23 | |
| CONTRACT OR GRANT NO | 94 ORIGINATOR'S RE | PORT NUN | ABER(S) | |
| PROJECT NO ARPA Order 610, Amendment | - | R 67-87 | , | |
| No. 1, NOL Task No. NOL-785/ARPA | J JULI | N 01-0 | I | |
| | ST OTHER REPORT | NO(S) (Any | other numbers that may be ass | i () (|
| | "hie report) | | | |
| d | <u> </u> | | | |
| A VAIL ABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES | | | | |
| Distribution of this report is w | mlimited. | | | |
| _ | | | | |
| 1 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | 12. SPENSORING MILL | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Advanced Au | | Projects Agency | |
| | Advanced Ros | | Projects Agency | |
| 3 ABSTRACT | Advanced Ros | | Projects Agency | <u> </u> |
| 3 ABSTRACT Thirty-eight THT and 18 HB3 | | earch 1 | | |
| Thirty-eight THT and 18 HBD fifty pounds whre fired at depth | (-3 charges weig as between 500 a | bing or ind 14,0 | be, ight, and 009 ft; pressure- | |
| Thirty-eight THT and 18 HB fifty pounds whre fired at depth time data were measured directly | (-3 charges weig as between 500 a y above at 185-1 | thing on and 14,(| ne, ight, and 009 ft; pressure- 1. The shock wave | |
| Thirty-eight THT and 18 HBD fifty pounds whre fired at depth time data were measured directly peak pressure when independent of | C-3 charges weig as between 500 a y above at 185-1 t depth and decs | hing on and 14,('t depth yed with | ne, ight, and 009 ft; pressure- 1. The shock wave th reduced range | |
| Thirty-eight THT and 18 HBD fifty pounds whre fired at depth time data were measured directly peak pressure who independent of as in the shall water case. | (-3 charges weig to between 500 a above at 185-1 depth and dece Durations were of | hing or and 14,0 "t depti yed with i funct: | ne, ight, and 000 ft; pressure- 1. The shock wave th reduced range ion of depth alcne. | |
| Thirty-eight THT and 18 HBD fifty pounds while fired at depth time data were measured directly peak pressure with independent of as in the shall water case. I Empirical equations were derived | (-3 charges weig as between 500 a above at 185-1 depth and deca Durations were a d which show the | ting or and 14,0 t depth yed with i funct; it all (| te, fight, and 000 ft; pressure- a. The shock wave th reduced range ion of depth alone. other pressure- | - |
| Thirty-eight THT and 18 HE fifty pounds while fired at depth time data were measured directly peak pressure w - independent of as in the shall water case. I Empirical equations were derived pulse characteristics can be exp | (-3 charges weig as between 500 a above at 185-1 depth and deca Durations were a d which show the | ting or and 14,0 t depth yed with i funct; it all (| te, fight, and 000 ft; pressure- a. The shock wave th reduced range ion of depth alone. other pressure- | , |
| Thirty-eight THT and 18 HBD fifty pounds while fired at depth time data were measured directly peak pressure while independent of as in the shall water case. I Empirical equations were derived | (-3 charges weig as between 500 a above at 185-1 depth and deca Durations were a d which show the | ting or and 14,0 t depth yed with i funct; it all (| te, fight, and 000 ft; pressure- a. The shock wave th reduced range ion of depth alone. other pressure- | , |
| Thirty-eight THT and 18 HE fifty pounds while fired at depth time data were measured directly peak pressure w - independent of as in the shall water case. I Empirical equations were derived pulse characteristics can be exp | (-3 charges weig as between 500 a above at 185-1 depth and deca Durations were a d which show the | ting or and 14,0 t depth yed with i funct; it all (| te, fight, and 000 ft; pressure- a. The shock wave th reduced range ion of depth alone. other pressure- | |
| Thirty-eight THT and 18 HE fifty pounds while fired at depth time data were measured directly peak pressure w - independent of as in the shall water case. I Empirical equations were derived pulse characteristics can be exp | (-3 charges weig as between 500 a above at 185-1 depth and deca Durations were a d which show the | ting or and 14,0 t depth yed with i funct; it all (| te, fight, and 000 ft; pressure- a. The shock wave th reduced range ion of depth alone. other pressure- | |
| Thirty-eight THT and 18 HE fifty pounds while fired at depth time data were measured directly peak pressure w - independent of as in the shall water case. I Empirical equations were derived pulse characteristics can be exp | (-3 charges weig as between 500 a above at 185-1 depth and deca Durations were a d which show the | ting or and 14,0 t depth yed with i funct; it all (| te, fight, and 000 ft; pressure- a. The shock wave th reduced range ion of depth alone. other pressure- | |
| Thirty-eight THT and 18 HE fifty pounds while fired at depth time data were measured directly peak pressure w - independent of as in the shall water case. I Empirical equations were derived pulse characteristics can be exp | (-3 charges weig as between 500 a above at 185-1 depth and deca Durations were a d which show the | ting or and 14,0 t depth yed with i funct; it all (| te, fight, and 000 ft; pressure- a. The shock wave th reduced range ion of depth alone. other pressure- | |
| Thirty-eight THT and 18 HBJ fifty pounds while fired at depth time data were measured directly peak pressure w - independent of as in the shall water case. I Empirical equations were derived pulse characteristics can be exp | (-3 charges weig as between 500 a above at 185-1 depth and deca Durations were a d which show the | ting or and 14,0 t depth yed with i funct; it all (| te, fight, and 000 ft; pressure- a. The shock wave th reduced range ion of depth alone. other pressure- | , |
| Thirty-eight THT and 18 HBJ fifty pounds while fired at depth time data were measured directly peak pressure w - independent of as in the shall water case. I Empirical equations were derived pulse characteristics can be exp | (-3 charges weig as between 500 a above at 185-1 depth and deca Durations were a d which show the | ting or and 14,0 t depth yed with i funct; it all (| te, fight, and 000 ft; pressure- a. The shock wave th reduced range ion of depth alone. other pressure- | |
| Thirty-eight THT and 18 HBJ fifty pounds while fired at depth time data were measured directly peak pressure w - independent of as in the shall water case. I Empirical equations were derived pulse characteristics can be exp | (-3 charges weig as between 500 a above at 185-1 depth and deca Durations were a d which show the | ting or and 14,0 t depth yed with i funct; it all (| te, fight, and 000 ft; pressure- a. The shock wave th reduced range ion of depth alone. other pressure- | |
| Thirty-eight THT and 18 HE fifty pounds while fired at depth time data were measured directly peak pressure w - independent of as in the shall water case. I Empirical equations were derived pulse characteristics can be exp | (-3 charges weig as between 500 a above at 185-1 depth and deca Durations were a d which show the | ting or and 14,0 t depth yed with i funct; it all (| te, fight, and 000 ft; pressure- a. The shock wave th reduced range ion of depth alone. other pressure- | |
| Thirty-eight THT and 18 HE fifty pounds while fired at depth time data were measured directly peak pressure w - independent of as in the shall water case. I Empirical equations were derived pulse characteristics can be exp | (-3 charges weig as between 500 a above at 185-1 depth and deca Durations were a d which show the | ting or and 14,0 t depth yed with i funct; it all (| te, fight, and 000 ft; pressure- a. The shock wave th reduced range ion of depth alone. other pressure- | |
| Thirty-eight THT and 18 HE fifty pounds while fired at depth time data were measured directly peak pressure w - independent of as in the shall water case. I Empirical equations were derived pulse characteristics can be exp | (-3 charges weig as between 500 a above at 185-1 depth and deca Durations were a d which show the | ting or and 14,0 t depth yed with i funct; it all (| te, fight, and 000 ft; pressure- a. The shock wave th reduced range ion of depth alone. other pressure- | |
| Thirty-eight THT and 18 HE fifty pounds while fired at depth time data were measured directly peak pressure w - independent of as in the shall water case. I Empirical equations were derived pulse characteristics can be exp | (-3 charges weig as between 500 a above at 185-1 depth and deca Durations were a d which show the | ting or and 14,0 t depth yed with i funct; it all (| te, fight, and 000 ft; pressure- a. The shock wave th reduced range ion of depth alone. other pressure- | |
| Thirty-eight THT and 18 HE fifty pounds while fired at depth time data were measured directly peak pressure w - independent of as in the shall water case. I Empirical equations were derived pulse characteristics can be exp | (-3 charges weig as between 500 a above at 185-1 depth and deca Durations were a d which show the | ting or and 14,0 t depth yed with i funct; it all (| te, fight, and 000 ft; pressure- a. The shock wave th reduced range ion of depth alone. other pressure- | |
| Thirty-eight THT and 18 HE fifty pounds while fired at depth time data were measured directly peak pressure w - independent of as in the shall water case. I Empirical equations were derived pulse characteristics can be exp | (-3 charges weig as between 500 a above at 185-1 depth and deca Durations were a d which show the | ing a ind 14,0 t depth yed with it all (:10ns of | he, fight, and DOG It; pressure- a. The shock wave th reduced range ion of depth alcne. other pressure- f depth as well. | |
| Thirty-eight THT and 18 HE fifty pounds which fired at depth time data were measured directly peak pressure v independent of as in the shall water case. I Empirical equations were derived pulse characteristics can be exp as range. | (-3 charges weig as between 500 a above at 185-1 depth and deca Durations were a d which show the | ining or and 14,(t depth yed with it all (it all (it all (| te, fight, and 000 ft; pressure- a. The shock wave th reduced range ion of depth alone. other pressure- | |

•

「こう」というないないないというないないです。

.

·

ł

Unclassified

| ROLE | * T | ROLE | <u>**</u> * | ROLE | ų Ŧ |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | | | ļ | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| INSTRUCTIONS | INSTRUCTIONS | INSTRUCTIONS | INSTRUCTIONS | INSTRUCTIONS | INSTRUCTIONS |

ORIGINATING ACTIVITY. Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of De-fense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.

2a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION. Enter the over-"Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

26. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Di-re-tive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual, Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report sitie in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be inclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without c'assification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. REPORT DATE. Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES The total page count should follow normal pagination procedure . i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

76. NUMBER OF REFERENCES Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER. If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER. Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, e -,

9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) Enter the offi-cicl report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

95. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponser), also enter this number(s).

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Enter any 1 mitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

GPO 931-721

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through

- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of the report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Q(z)ified DDC users shall request through

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY. Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (pawing for) the research and development. Include address.

13 ABSTRACT Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though It may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical re-port - If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached

It is highly cosirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the milital occurity classification of the in-formation in the paragraph, a presented as $(TS)^{-1}(S)^{-1}(C)^{-1}$ or (U)

* There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words

KEY WORDS Key words are technically meaningful terms 14 14 KEY WORDS Key words are technically mean split terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identi-fiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name military protect rode name geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical con-text. The assignment of links, roles, and weights is optional

Unclassified

Security Classification

| <pre>l. Explosives Shock waves 2. Explosions, Underwater 3. TNT 3. TNT 4. HBX-3 4. HBX-3 1. Title 1. Sliflo, 11. Project 11. Project Abstract card is unolassified.</pre> | Explosives - |
|---|--|
| Naval Ordnance Laboratory, White Oak, Md. (NOL technical report 67-87) (NOL technical report 67-87) PHESSURA-FULSE CHARACTERISTICS OF DEFF EXPLOSIONS AS FUNCTIOKS OF DEFTH AND RANNE, by John P. 311fko. 21 Sept. 1967. v.p. UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED Thirty-eight TWT and 18 HBX-3 charges weigh- ing one, eight, a.d fifty pounds were fired at depus between SCO and 14, COC it; pressure- time data were neasure. directly abore at 185- ft depth. The whook wave peak pressure- ft depth. The whook wave one at 185- ft depth. The whook wave one beak pressure was independent of depth and Accayci with reduced range as functions of depth alone. Empirical equations were deprives of depth as well as well as expressed as functions of depth as well as | Naval Ordnance Labcratory, White Oak, Md. (NOL technical report 67-87) PHESSURE-FULSE CHARACTERISTICS OF DEEP EXPLOJIONS AS FUNCTIONS OF DEFFH AND RANGE, by John P. Slifko. 21 Sept. 1967. V.P. UNCLASSIFIED Thirty-eight TWT and 18 HBX-3 charges weigh- ing one, eight, and fifty pounds were fired at depths between 500 and 14,000 fit; pressure- time data were measured directly above at 185- ft depth. The whook wave peak pressure was independent of depth and decayed with reduced range as in the shallow water case. Durationa were a function of depth alone. Empirical equations were derived which show that all other pressure-pulse characteristics can be expressed as functions of depth as well as |
| Explosives Explosives Explosives Explosions, Undervatur TNT TNT<!--</td--><td> Expluctives - Shock waves Explosions, Undervater Explosions, 1. Title TNT TNT</td> | Expluctives - Shock waves Explosions, Undervater Explosions, 1. Title TNT TNT |
| Naval Ordnance Laboratory, White Oak, Md. (NJL technical report 67-87) (NJL technical report 67-87) EXPLOSION: AN FUNCTRRESTICS OF DEEP by Jchn P. Silfko. 21 Sept. 1967. v.p. by Jchn P. Silfko. 21 Sept. 1967. v.p. charta, tables. NOL task 795/ARPA. UNCTASSIFIED Ing ons, eight, and 18 HBX-3 charges weigh- ing ons, eight, and 16 HBX-3 charges weigh- time data were measured directly above at 10- time data were measured directly above at 10- time as in the shallow water case. Durations were a functions were directuristical equations were directured and expressed as functions of depth as well as | Naval Ordnance Laboratory, White Oak, Md. (NOL techninal report 67.87) PHRSSURE-FULSE CHARCERRISFICS OF UTEP EXPLOSIONS AS FUNCTIONS OF DEFFF AND RANGE, by John P. Slifko. 21 Sept. 1967. v.p. charte, tables. NOL task 785/ARPA. Thirty-sight TWT and 18 HHX-3 charges weigh- ing one, right, and 18 HHX-3 charges weigh- ting one, right, and 14 CCC ft; pressure- ting depth. The whock wave peak pressure was depths between 500 and 14 CCC ft; pressure- ting dath. The whock wave peak pressure was i.idependent of depth and decayed with roduced range as in the shallow water case. Durations were a function of depth alone. Empirical equitions were derived which alow that all other pressure-pulse characteristics can be expressed as functions of depth as well as range. |

1.1.1

× 3 G. (W.) 30