

AD 661799

**U. S. NAVAL
PERSONNEL RESEARCH ACTIVITY**
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92152

RESEARCH REPORT SRR 68-6A

SEPTEMBER 1967

AD 661799

**A STUDY OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL RETENTION IN THE NAVY
(TECHNICAL SUPPLEMENT)**

John S. Malone

DDC
RECEIVED
DEC - 1 1967
B

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN APPROVED FOR PUBLIC
RELEASE AND SALE; ITS DISTRIBUTION IS UNLIMITED



AN ACTIVITY OF THE BUREAU OF NAVAL PERSONNEL

Reproduced by the
CLEARINGHOUSE
for Federal Scientific & Technical
Information Springfield Va. 22151

104

ACCESSION		
CPSTI	WRITE SECTION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ODC	BUFF SECTION	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED		<input type="checkbox"/>
JUSTIFICATION		
BY		
DISTR BU 134/AVAILABILITY CODES		
DIST.	AvAIL. and/or	SPECIAL
/		

NOTE: The contents of this publication do not necessarily represent the official position or policy of the Department of the Navy.

A STUDY OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL RETENTION IN THE NAVY
(TECHNICAL SUPPLEMENT)

by

John S. Malone

September 1967

PF016080103
Research Report SRR 68-6A

Submitted by

R. V. May, Jr., Director, Personnel Systems Research Department

Approved by

E. I. Jones, Ph.D., Technical Director, Acting

This document has been approved for public release and sale;
its distribution is unlimited

U. S. Naval Personnel Research Activity
San Diego, California 92152

A STUDY OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL RETENTION IN THE NAVY
(TECHNICAL SUPPLEMENT)

INTRODUCTION

Under the direction of the Chief of Naval Personnel, this Activity is conducting a comprehensive research program on enlisted personnel retention. This program has two major objectives: First, to identify variables related to enlisted retention so as to provide a basis for evaluating Navy policies, procedures, and other factors related to these variables and, secondly, to develop a method for predicting the reenlistment action of individuals and/or retention rates by specific occupational fields or classes of personnel. The report on the results of the first of these two objectives is identified as SRR 68-6 of September 1967. This Technical Supplement (SRR 68-6A) contains six large Correlation Matrices described and discussed in the basic report (SRR 68-6).

The first envelope (labeled Table 1) contains the Correlation Matrix for the First Enlistment population studied in this research. The second envelope (labeled Table 2) contains the Correlation Matrix for the Second Enlistment population.

BLANK PAGE

CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	iii
TABLE 1, FIRST ENLISTMENT CORRELATION MATRIX	Envelope 1
TABLE 2, SECOND ENLISTMENT CORRELATION MATRIX	Envelope 2

F

87	88	89	90	91	92	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	
1	1	1	1	1	1	.05	1	.04	1	-.03	1	.04	.04	-.04	1 REENLISTMENT DECISION
1	1	1	.06	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	.06	1	-.44	2 IN GENERAL RATE SEAMAN
1	1	1	.06	1	1	1	1	1	1	-.04	1	.06	1	-.26	3 IN GENERAL RATE FIREMAN
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	.05	4 A QUARTERMASTER
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	.04	5 A SIGNALMAN
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	.17	6 A RADARMAN
1	.06	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	.11	7 A SONAR TECHNICIAN
.04	1	1	-.04	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	.17	8 A FIRE CONTROL TECHNICIAN
1	1	1	-.05	1	1	.04	1	-.04	1	1	1	-.05	1	.24	9 AN ELECTRONICS TECHNICIAN
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	.19	10 A RADIOMAN
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-.04	11 A MACHINISTS MATE
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	12 A MACHINERY REPAIRMAN
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	.04	1	1	1	1	1	.14	13 AN ELECTRICIANS MATE
1	1	1	-.03	1	1	1	1	1	.04	1	1	1	1	.14	14 AN INTERIOR COMMUNICATIONS ELECTRICIAN
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	.13	15 WORKING IN RATE OF FIRST CHOICE
1	1	1	1	1	1	.04	1	1	1	1	-.04	1	1	-.08	16 ASSIGNED TO A CARRIER TYPE
1	1	1	1	1	-.03	.05	1	-.04	1	1	1	-.03	-.04	1	17 ASSIGNED TO A CRUISER TYPE

ASSIGNED TO A DESTROYER TYPE

AN INTERIOR COMMUNICATIONS
ELECTRICIAN

14

1.00

.07

.04

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

WORKING IN RATE OF
FIRST CHOICE

15

1.00

-.05

.06

-

.05

-.05

-

-

-

-

-

-

-.05

ASSIGNED TO A CARRIER TYPE

16

1.00

-.16

-.24

-.06

-.16

-.04

-.06

-.20

-.08

-.13

.09

ASSIGNED TO A CRUISER TYPE

17

1.00

-.25

-.06

-.16

-.04

-.06

-.21

-.09

-

-.15

ASSIGNED TO A DESTROYER TYPE

18

1.00

-.09

-.25

-.06

-.09

-.32

-.04

-

.04

ASSIGNED TO A SUBMARINE TYPE

19

1.00

-.06

-

-

-.07

-

-

-

ASSIGNED TO AN AMPHIBIOUS TYPE

20

1.00

-.04

-.06

-.21

-.09

.12

.04

ASSIGNED TO A MINECRAFT TYPE

21

1.00

-

-.05

-

.06

-

ASSIGNED TO A PATROLCRAFT TYPE

22

1.00

-.08

-

-

-

ASSIGNED TO AN AUXILIARY TYPE

23

1.00

-.11

.05

-

ASSIGNED TO SHORE DUTY

24

1.00

-

-

ASSIGNED TO LANT VERSUS
PAC FLEET SHIP

25

1.00

-

ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-
OVERSEAS VERSUS CONUS

26

1.00

.05

NUMBER OF PERSONNEL IN
ACTIVITY ALLOWANCE

28

1.0

UNIT NOT DEPLOYED
VERSUS DEPLOYED

29

ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-
PORTED IN BOSTON

33

ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-
PORTED IN NORFOLK

ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-
PORTED IN MAYPORT

ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-
PORTED IN SAN DIEGO

ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-
PORTED IN PEARL HARBOR

ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-
PORTED IN YOKOSUKA

ATTITUDE TO
TIME SHIP IS U

RESIDENCE
OF FIRST

F

-	-	-	-	-	.03	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-.04	-	.14	14	AN INTERIOR COMMUNICATIONS ELECTRICIAN
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.13	15	WORKING IN RATE OF FIRST CHOICE
-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-.08	16	ASSIGNED TO A CARRIER TYPE
-	-	-	-	-	-.03	.05	-	-.04	-	-	-	-.03	-.04	-	-	17	ASSIGNED TO A CRUISER TYPE
-	.04	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.13	18	ASSIGNED TO A DESTROYER TYPE
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.10	19	ASSIGNED TO A SUBMARINE TYPE
-	-	-	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.06	-	-	20	ASSIGNED TO AN AMPHIBIOUS TYPE
-	-	-	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.05	-	-	21	ASSIGNED TO A MINECRAFT TYPE
-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	ASSIGNED TO A PATROLCRAFT TYPE
-	-	-	-	-	-.05	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-.09	-	-	23	ASSIGNED TO AN AUXILIARY TYPE
-	-	-	.04	-	-.04	-	-	-.05	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-	24	ASSIGNED TO SHORE DUTY
.14	-.16	-	.16	.11	.35	-.31	-.16	-.06	-.20	-.04	.15	.17	.36	-	-	25	ASSIGNED TO LANT VERSUS PAC FLEET SHIP
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOMEPORTED OVERSEAS VERSUS CONUS
-	-	-	-	-.04	-.04	.05	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-.04	-.10	-	28	NUMBER OF PERSONNEL IN ACTIVITY ALLOWANCE
-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	29	UNIT NOT DEPLOYED VERSUS DEPLOYED
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33	ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-PORTED IN BOSTON
-.08	.12	-	-.09	-.07	-.21	.15	.16	-	.16	-	-.08	-.09	-.22	.04	-	34	ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-PORTED IN NORFOLK
-.04	.05	.04	-.05	-.04	-.10	.05	-	.07	.05	.04	-.06	-.05	-.10	-.07	-	35	ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-PORTED IN MAYPORT
.10	-.11	-	.09	.07	.22	-.19	-.09	-.07	-.12	-	.09	.09	.25	-	-	36	ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-PORTED IN SAN DIEGO
.04	-	-	-	.08	.05	-.09	-.05	-	-.06	-	.07	-	.05	.06	-	37	ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-PORTED IN PEARL HARBOR
-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	.04	-	-	38	ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-PORTED IN YOKOSUKA
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42	ATTITUDE TOWARD AMOUNT OF TIME SHIP IS UNDERWAY
-.04	.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	.29	-.05	-.04	-.04	-	-	.04	-	46	RESIDED IN FLORIDA AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-	-	-	-	.23	-	-.04	-	-	-.04	-	-	.16	-	-	-	47	RESIDED IN NEW MEXICO AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.10	-.05	-.05	-.09	-.05	-.09	-.08	-	-.07	.55	-.10	-.09	-.09	-.11	-	-	48	RESIDED IN PENNSYLVANIA AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-	-	-	-	.22	-	-	-	-	-	-	.13	-	-	-	-	50	RESIDED IN WYOMING AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.19	-.12	-.10	-.17	-.12	-.21	.67	-.09	-.12	.27	-.19	-.18	-.17	-.23	-	-	52	RESIDED IN NORTHEASTERN STATES CENSUS REGION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
.47	-.18	-.12	-.20	-.11	-.19	-.25	-.11	-.17	.06	.51	.34	-.21	-.19	.05	-	53	RESIDED IN NORTH CENTRAL STATES CENSUS REGION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.19	.46	.30	.51	.08	-.16	-.20	.31	.43	-.16	-.21	-.18	.49	.18	-.06	-	54	RESIDED IN THE SOUTH CENSUS REGION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.15	-.15	-.08	-.14	.35	.62	-.19	-.10	-.14	-.18	-.18	-	-.09	.66	-	-	55	RESIDED IN THE WEST CENSUS REGION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.08	-.04	-.04	-.08	-.04	-.10	.51	-.04	-.05	-.09	-.08	-.08	.08	.11	-	-		RESIDED IN THE NEW ENGLAND

RESIDED IN THE SOUTH CENSUS REGION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	54	1.00	-.28	-.14	-.26	-.28	-.23	.57	.35	.62	-.13	-.23	-.23	.39
RESIDED IN THE WEST CENSUS REGION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	55	1.00	-.12	-.22	-.24	-.20	-.16	-.10	-.17	.47	.84	-.20	-.12	
RESIDED IN THE NEW ENGLAND CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	56	1.00	-.11	-.12	-.10	-.08	-.05	-.09	-.06	-.10	.59	-.06		
RESIDED IN THE MIDDLE ATLANTIC CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	57	1.00	-.22	-.18	-.15	-.09	-.16	-.10	-.18	.48	-.11			
RESIDED IN THE EAST NORTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	58	1.00	-.20	-.16	-.10	-.18	-.11	-.20	-.20	-.08				
RESIDED IN THE WEST NORTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	59	1.00	-.13	-.08	-.14	-.09	-.16	-.16	-.10					
RESIDED IN THE SOUTH ATLANTIC CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	60	1.00	-.06	-.11	-.07	-.13	-.13	.52						
RESIDED IN THE EAST SOUTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	61	1.00	-.07	-.05	-.08	-.08	.21							
RESIDED IN THE WEST SOUTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	62	1.00	-.08	-.14	-.14	-.08								
RESIDED IN THE MOUNTAIN CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	63	1.00	-.09	-.09	-.05									
RESIDED IN THE PACIFIC CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	64	1.00	-.17	-.10										
<i>K</i> RESIDED IN FIRST RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	66	1.00	-.10											
RESIDED IN SECOND RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	67	1.00												
RESIDED IN THIRD RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	68													
RESIDED IN FOURTH RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	69													
RESIDED IN FIFTH RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING														
RESIDED IN SIXTH RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING														
RESIDED IN SEVENTH RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING														
RESIDED IN EIGHTH RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING														
WOULD RESIDE IN FLORENCE UPON LEAVING NAVY														
WOULD RESIDE IN UPON LEAVING														
WOULD RESIDE IN UPON LEAVING														

WO
ST

.30	.51	.08	-.16	-.20	.31	.43	-.16	-.21	-.18	.49	.16	-.06		AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.08	-.14	.35	.62	-.19	-.10	-.14	-.18	-.18	-	-.09	.66	-	55	RESIDED IN THE WEST CENSUS REGION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.04	-.08	-.06	-.10	.51	-.06	-.05	-.09	-.08	-.08	-.08	-.11	-	56	RESIDED IN THE NEW ENGLAND CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.08	-.14	-.10	-.17	.43	-.07	-.11	.35	.16	-.15	-.14	-.19	-	57	RESIDED IN THE MIDDLE ATLANTIC CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.08	-.14	-.10	-.16	-.17	-.06	-.11	.19	.59	-.16	-.15	-.18	.05	58	RESIDED IN THE EAST NORTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.07	-.11	-.04	-.08	-.15	-.09	-.10	-.14	-	.64	-.12	-.05	-	59	RESIDED IN THE WEST NORTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.04	-.10	-.06	-.13	-.10	.42	.50	-.09	-.12	-.10	-.10	-.14	-	60	RESIDED IN THE SOUTH ATLANTIC CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
.82	-.05	-.03	-.08	-.07	.16	.35	-.03	-.07	-.06	-.05	-.08	-	61	RESIDED IN THE EAST SOUTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.05	.82	-.04	-.05	-.13	-.07	-.09	-.12	-.13	-.11	.80	-.06	-.07	62	RESIDED IN THE WEST SOUTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.04	-.07	.72	-	-.09	-.05	-.07	-.09	-.09	.16	-	.15	-	63	RESIDED IN THE MOUNTAIN CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.07	-.11	-.05	.70	-.16	-.09	-.11	-.15	-.15	-.13	-.12	.65	-	64	RESIDED IN THE PACIFIC CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.07	-.13	-.09	-.17	.87	-.08	-.09	-.15	-.14	-.14	-.13	-.18	-	66	RESIDED IN FIRST RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
.17	-.07	-.04	-.11	-.07	.80	-	-.06	-.09	-.08	-.08	-.12	-	67	RESIDED IN SECOND RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
.38	-.08	-.06	-.10	-.11	-.06	.81	-.10	-.11	-.09	-.08	-.11	-	68	RESIDED IN THIRD RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.06	-.12	-.08	-.14	-.12	-.06	-.10	.85	-.15	-.13	-.13	-.16	-	69	RESIDED IN FOURTH RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.07	-.12	-.08	-.14	-.16	-.06	-.10	.15	.82	-.14	-.13	-.15	.04	70	RESIDED IN FIFTH RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.06	-.11	.17	-.07	-.15	-.09	-.10	-.14	-.12	.81	-.11	-.08	-	71	RESIDED IN SIXTH RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.05	.79	-	-.04	-.14	-.08	-.09	-.13	-.13	-.11	.82	-.05	-.07	72	RESIDED IN SEVENTH RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.08	-.13	.12	.65	-.17	-.10	-.12	-.17	-.17	-.14	-.13	.73	-	73	RESIDED IN EIGHTH RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-	-.05	-.03	-.08	-.06	-.03	.49	-.06	-.06	-.05	-.05	-.08	-	74	WOULD RESIDE IN FLORIDA UPON LEAVING NAVY
-	-	-	.13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	75	WOULD RESIDE IN HAWAII UPON LEAVING NAVY
-	-	.33	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	.24	-.04	-	76	WOULD RESIDE IN NEW MEXICO UPON LEAVING NAVY
-	-.06	-.04	.33	-.07	-.04	-.05	-.07	-.07	-.06	-.06	.31	-	78	WOULD RESIDE IN WASHINGTON STATE UPON LEAVING NAVY
-.09	-.16	-.12	-.26	.79	-.11	-.15	.32	-.20	-.17	-.16	-.28	-	80	WOULD RESIDE IN NORTHEASTERN STATES CENSUS REGION UPON LEAVING NAVY
-.12	-.19	-.15	-.33	-.25	-.12	-.19	.12	.64	.38	-.20	-.32	.04	81	WOULD RESIDE IN NORTH CENTRAL STATES CENSUS REGION UPON LEAVING NAVY
.35	.59	-.12	-.28	-.21	.40	.56	-.17	-.21	-.18	.56	-.30	-.06	82	WOULD RESIDE IN THE SOUTH CENSUS REGION UPON LEAVING NAVY
-.11	-.19	.39	.87	-.24	-.14	-.18	-.22	-.24	-.04	-.15	.90	-	83	WOULD RESIDE IN THE WEST CENSUS REGION UPON LEAVING NAVY
-.04	-.08	-.06	-.13	.61	-.06	-.07	-.09	-.10	-.08	-.08	-.14	-	84	WOULD RESIDE IN THE NEW ENGLAND CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
-.08	-.13	-.10	-.22	.50	-.09	-.12	.42	-.17	-.14	-.13	-.23	-	85	WOULD RESIDE IN THE MIDDLE ATLANTIC CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
-.08	-.14	-.11	-.24	-.18	-.07	-.13	.25	.71	-.15	-.15	-.26	.04	86	WOULD RESIDE IN THE EAST NORTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
-.06	-.11	-.08	-.18	-.14	-.08	-.10	-.13	.06	.73	-.11	-.15	-	87	WOULD RESIDE IN THE WEST NORTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
-.06	-.10	-.08	-.17	-.13	.51	.62	-.09	-.13	-.11	-.11	-.18	-	88	WOULD RESIDE IN THE SOUTH ATLANTIC CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
1.00	-.06	-.04	-.10	-.07	.20	.41	-.05	-.07	-.06	-.06	-.10	-	89	WOULD RESIDE IN THE EAST SOUTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
1.00	-.07	-.16	-.12	-.07	-.09	-.12	-.12	-.12	-.11	.97	-.17	-.06	90	WOULD RESIDE IN THE WEST SOUTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY

REGION UPON LEAVING NAVY

WOULD RESIDE IN THE WEST CENSUS REGION UPON LEAVING NAVY	83	1.00	-.15	-.25	-.27	-.21	-.20	-.11	-.19	.39	.87	-.24
WOULD RESIDE IN THE NEW ENGLAND CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY	84	1.00	-.10	-.11	-.09	-.08	-.04	-.08	-.06	-.13	.61	
WOULD RESIDE IN THE MIDDLE ATLANTIC CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY	85	1.00	-.19	-.15	-.14	-.08	-.13	-.10	-.22	.50		
WOULD RESIDE IN THE EAST NORTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY	86	1.00	-.16	-.15	-.08	-.14	-.11	-.24	-.18			
WOULD RESIDE IN THE WEST NORTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY	87	1.00	-.12	-.06	-.11	-.08	-.18	-.14				
WOULD RESIDE IN THE SOUTH ATLANTIC CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY	88	1.00	-.06	-.10	-.08	-.17	-.13					
WOULD RESIDE IN THE EAST SOUTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY	89	1.00	-.06	-.04	-.10	-.07						
WOULD RESIDE IN THE WEST SOUTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY	90	1.00	-.07	-.16	-.12							
WOULD RESIDE IN THE MOUNTAIN CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY	91	1.00	-.12	-.09								
WOULD RESIDE IN THE PACIFIC CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY	92	1.00	-.21									
WOULD RESIDE IN FIRST RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY	93	1.00										
WOULD RESIDE IN SECOND RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY	95											
WOULD RESIDE IN THIRD RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY	96											
WOULD RESIDE IN FOURTH RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY												
WOULD RESIDE IN FIFTH RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY												
WOULD RESIDE IN SIXTH RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY												
WOULD RESIDE IN SEVENTH RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY												
WOULD RESIDE IN EIGHTH RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY												
ATTENDED NAVY TRAINING MORE THAN RECRUITING DISTRICT												



																83	WOULD RESIDE IN THE WEST CENSUS REGION UPON LEAVING NAVY
																84	WOULD RESIDE IN THE NEW ENGLAND CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
																85	WOULD RESIDE IN THE MIDDLE ATLANTIC CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
																86	WOULD RESIDE IN THE EAST NORTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
																87	WOULD RESIDE IN THE WEST NORTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
																88	WOULD RESIDE IN THE SOUTH ATLANTIC CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
																89	WOULD RESIDE IN THE EAST SOUTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
																90	WOULD RESIDE IN THE WEST SOUTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
																91	WOULD RESIDE IN THE MOUNTAIN CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
																92	WOULD RESIDE IN THE PACIFIC CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
																94	WOULD RESIDE IN THE FIRST RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY
																95	WOULD RESIDE IN SECOND RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY
																96	WOULD RESIDE IN THIRD RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY
																97	WOULD RESIDE IN FOURTH RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY
																98	WOULD RESIDE IN FIFTH RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY
																99	WOULD RESIDE IN SIXTH RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY
																100	WOULD RESIDE IN SEVENTH RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY
																101	WOULD RESIDE IN EIGHTH RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY
																102	ATTENDED NAVY SCHOOLS (OTHER THAN RECRUIT TRAINING)

190	194	201	202	203	204	205	207	211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	232	235	237	241	245	246
.04	.04	.04					.12	-.12	.09	.05	.04	.10	.05	.07	.04	.06	-.11	.06	.04	.05	-.05
	.04															-.19				-.07	
								-.05								-.16				-.05	
							.12				.04					.06					-.04
																.07		.06		.09	
		.04														.05					
		.04						.04	-.04			-.04			.13					.04	.04
															-.04	.07					
		-.04														.04					
					.05											.04			.05		-.04
					.04										.05				.04		
-.05											-.04				.05						
								-.04													
							.03	-.06								.04					.06
							.03					-.04									-.21
		.04																			.06
															.04						-.09
				.03															-.04	-.09	-.09
																					.08
																			.85		
																			-.05		
																		.04			.05

E

327	PERCENT OF COUNTY POPULATION WHO MIGRATED FROM DIFFERENT COUNTY, 1960	1	REENLISTMENT DECISION
329	PERCENT OF COUNTY POPULATION IN LABOR FORCE, 1960	-06	
333	PERCENT OF COUNTY EMPLOYED IN CONSTRUCTION, 1960	.04	
340	PERCENT OF COUNTY EMPLOYED IN PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION, 1960	.06	
346	MEDIAN NUMBER OF ROOMS PER HOUSING UNIT IN COUNTY, 1960	-06	
349	PERCENT OF COUNTY HOUSING UNITS WITH ADEQUATE PLUMBING FACILITIES, 1960	-05	
352	PERCENT OF COUNTY HOUSING UNITS WITH MORE THAN ONE PERSON PER ROOM, 1960	.07	
353	PERCENT OF COUNTY HOUSING UNITS MOVED INTO DURING 1958-1960	.08	
357	COUNTY MEDIAN GROSS RENT PER MONTH, 1960	-05	
363	PERCENT OF COUNTY HOUSING UNITS WITH TELEVISION, 1960	-08	
364	PERCENT OF COUNTY HOUSING UNITS WITH TELEPHONES, 1960	-07	
369	PERCENT OF COUNTY MANUFACTURING ESTABLISHMENTS WITH 20-99 EMPLOYEES, 1960	-	
370	PERCENT OF COUNTY MANUFACTURING ESTABLISHMENTS WITH 100 OR MORE EMPLOYEES, 1960	-	
374	MEDIAN COUNTY FARM SIZE, 1960	.04	
378	PERCENT OF COUNTY FARMS WITH TELEPHONES, 1960	-06	
		1	REENLISTMENT DECISION
		2	IN GENERAL RATE SEAMAN
		3	IN GENERAL RATE FIREMAN
		4	A QUARTERMASTER
		5	A SIGNALMAN
		6	A RADARMAN
.05		7	A SONAR TECHNICIAN
.04		8	A FIRE CONTROL TECHNICIAN
		9	AN ELECTRONICS TECHNICIAN
		10	A RADIOMAN
		11	A MACHINISTS MATE
		12	A MACHINERY REPAIRMAN
		13	AN ELECTRICIANS MATE
		14	AN INTERIOR COMMUNICATIONS ELECTRICIAN
		15	WORKING IN RATE OF FIRST CHOICE
		16	ASSIGNED TO A CARRIER TYPE
.04		17	ASSIGNED TO A CRUISER TYPE
		18	ASSIGNED TO A DESTROYER TYPE
		19	ASSIGNED TO A SUBMARINE TYPE
		20	ASSIGNED TO AN AMPHIBIOUS TYPE

-	-.05	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	.03	-	-	.03	-	.03	-	-	-	-	.03	-
	G	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.05	-
-.10	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.06	.04	.04	-	-.04	-	.04	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-	.04	-	.05	-	-	-	-	.05
	.08	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	.05	-	-	-.04	-	.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-.07	-.06	-	-	-	-	-	-.05	-	.05	-.06	-	-	.05	-	-.07	-	-	-	-	-	-.05	-
	-	-	-	-	-.06	-	.03	-	.06	-	-	.04	-	-	-.04	-	-	.04	-	-	-	.07	-
	-.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.03	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-
.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
.05	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-.05	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-.04	-	-.04	-	-	-	-.05	-
-.09	.05	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.06	.04	.04	-	-.04	-	.04	-	-.05	-	-	-	-	-
	.08	.05	-	-	-.04	.04	-	.04	-	-	.05	-	-	-.05	-	.08	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-
	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	.08	.04	-.03	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.06
	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04
	-.07	-.08	-	-	-	-	-	-.05	-	.04	-.05	-	-	.04	-	-.08	-	-	-	-	-	-.05	-
.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.05	-	-.04	-	.04	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-.11	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	.05	-	-.04	-	.04	-	-	-	.04	-.04	-	-	-	-	.05	-
	.04	.04	-	-	-	-	-	.03	-	-.05	.07	-	-	-.06	-	.09	-	.05	-	-	-	.04	.03
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-.03	-	-	.04	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-.06	-.07	-	-	-	-	-	-.05	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-.06	-	-	-	-	-	-.05	-
.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-.03	-	-.07	-	-	-	-.04	-
-.05	-	-	-	-.05	-	-	-	.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.03	-	-	-	-	-	.06	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-
-.12	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.05	-	-	-	-.03	-	.04	-	-.06	-	-	-	-	-
	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	.05	-	-	-.03	-	.04	-	.03	-	-	-	-	.04
.05	.04	.04	-	-	-.04	-	-	.04	-	-	.05	-	-	-.04	-	.07	-	.04	-	-	-	.05	-
	-	-.06	-.05	-	-	-	-	-.05	-	.04	-.05	-	-	.04	-	-.06	-	-	.07	-	-	-.05	-.03
	-	-	-	-	-.06	-	-	.07	-	-.04	.04	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	.08	-
-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-.03	-	-	-	-	-.05	-	-	-	-	-	.03	-

.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.06	-	-	-	-
H	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	.05	.04	-
-	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.06	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-.04	.04	-	-	.07	.04	-	.04	-	-	-.06	.05	-	-	-.09	-	-	.04	-
-	-.05	-	.06	-.04	-	-	-.06	-.06	-.07	-	-	.04	-.04	-	.05	.06	-.05	-	-	-
-	.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.05	-.05	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-
-	-.05	-	-	-	-	-	.05	.04	-	.04	.04	-	.04	-	-.05	-	.05	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	.05	.04	-
-	.04	-	-.04	-	-	.06	.04	-	-	-	-	-.07	.05	-	-	-.12	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.07	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	.06	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.05	-.07	-	-	-	-
-	.04	-.04	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-.05	-	.06	-	-	-.03	-.04	-.06	-.06	-	-	.06	-.03	-	.06	.06	-.04	-	-.05	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-
-	.04	.03	-.05	.04	.04	.04	.06	.04	.04	-	-	-.06	.06	-	-	-.09	-	.05	.04	-
-	-	.07	-	-	-	-	-.04	-.04	-	-	-	.06	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-	-
-	-.05	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-.03	-.05	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.03	-	-
-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-
-	.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	.05	.03	-
-	.04	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.07	-	-	-	-	-
-	.05	-	-.03	-	.04	.05	.06	.04	-	.04	-	-.05	.05	-	-	-.07	-	.05	.06	-.06
-	-.05	-.03	.05	-.04	-	-	-.05	-.05	-.05	-	-	.06	.04	-	.06	.06	-.05	-	-	-
-	.08	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	.03	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-	.03	-	-	-	-	-	.03	-	-	-	-

.11	.14	.10	-	-.19	.21	.06	-.20	-.19	-.34	-	.10	-.05	-.33	60	RESIDED IN THE SOUTH ATLANTIC CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.15	.05	-	-.07	-.24	.19	-	-.26	-.22	-.24	-	.11	-.04	-.25	61	RESIDED IN THE EAST SOUTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.26	.27	.05	-.27	-.26	.36	.17	-.33	-.26	-.31	-	-.07	.10	-.35	62	RESIDED IN THE WEST SOUTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.09	.19	.14	-.19	-	.16	.26	-	-.14	-.04	-.09	-.15	.42	-.08	63	RESIDED IN THE MOUNTAIN CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-	.16	.17	-.24	.25	-.09	.48	.21	-	.07	-.18	-.30	-	.17	64	RESIDED IN THE PACIFIC CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
.33	-.18	-.03	.12	.19	-.26	-.30	.22	.22	.18	.14	-	-.10	.26	66	RESIDED IN FIRST RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.16	.04	.14	.04	-.17	.13	-.05	-.14	-.14	-.23	-	.08	-.05	-.24	67	RESIDED IN SECOND RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.09	.15	-	-.11	-.23	.27	.13	-.30	-.25	-.36	-	.11	-.04	-.35	68	RESIDED IN THIRD RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
.04	-.18	-.09	.40	.13	-.24	-.29	-	.26	.20	.16	.27	-.10	.17	69	RESIDED IN FOURTH RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
.08	-.22	-.16	-	-	-.04	-.12	.15	.13	.12	-	.12	-.09	.11	70	RESIDED IN FIFTH RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-	-	-.06	.10	-.10	-.07	-.08	-	-.05	.17	-.08	-.12	.07	.05	71	RESIDED IN SIXTH RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.29	.30	.06	-.30	-.27	.40	.21	-.32	-.29	-.34	-	-.09	.18	-.39	72	RESIDED IN SEVENTH RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-	.19	.19	-.28	.25	-	.51	.19	-	.04	-.19	-.33	.10	.15	73	RESIDED IN EIGHTH RECRUITING DISTRICT AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-	.09	-	-.04	-	.04	.08	-	-.06	-.10	-	-.05	-	-.06	74	WOULD RESIDE IN FLORIDA UPON LEAVING NAVY
-	-	.04	-.05	-	.13	-	-	-.05	-	-	-	-	-	75	WOULD RESIDE IN HAWAII UPON LEAVING NAVY
-.09	.12	-	-.09	-	.13	.11	-	-.10	-.11	-	-.07	.19	-.12	76	WOULD RESIDE IN NEW MEXICO UPON LEAVING NAVY
-	.05	-	-.09	-	-	.08	-	-.04	-	-.08	-.10	-	-	78	WOULD RESIDE IN WASHINGTON STATE UPON LEAVING NAVY
.26	-.20	-.06	.28	.20	-.31	-.40	.13	.27	.21	.20	.10	-.11	.25	80	WOULD RESIDE IN NORTHEASTERN STATES CENSUS REGION UPON LEAVING NAVY
-	-.24	-.17	.15	-	-.09	-.18	.12	.13	.19	-	.10	-.08	.14	81	WOULD RESIDE IN NORTH CENTRAL STATES CENSUS REGION UPON LEAVING NAVY
-.24	.23	.06	-.18	-.35	.40	.12	-.41	-.33	-.46	-	.10	-	-.48	82	WOULD RESIDE IN THE SOUTH CENSUS REGION UPON LEAVING NAVY
-.05	.22	.18	-.26	.16	-	.45	.13	-.08	-	-.17	-.29	.19	.07	83	WOULD RESIDE IN THE WEST CENSUS REGION UPON LEAVING NAVY
.13	-.08	-	.14	.09	-.17	-.16	.08	.14	.11	.06	.06	-.05	.15	84	WOULD RESIDE IN THE NEW ENGLAND CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
.21	-.18	-.05	.23	.17	-.24	-.35	.10	.22	.17	.19	.07	-.10	.19	85	WOULD RESIDE IN THE MIDDLE ATLANTIC CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
.06	-.23	-.14	.14	.08	-.09	-.14	.18	.19	.15	-	.16	-.10	.15	86	WOULD RESIDE IN THE EAST NORTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
-	-.06	-.08	.04	-.13	-	-.09	-.05	-.05	.09	-.05	-.06	-	-	87	WOULD RESIDE IN THE WEST NORTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
-.08	.08	.07	-	-.16	.18	-	-.18	-.16	-.29	-	.12	-.05	-.29	88	WOULD RESIDE IN THE SOUTH ATLANTIC CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
-.10	.04	-	-.07	-.21	.16	-	-.23	-.18	-.20	-	.09	-.03	-.20	89	WOULD RESIDE IN THE EAST SOUTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
-.20	.23	-	-.23	-.21	.30	.15	-.28	-.21	-.25	-	-.05	.08	-.28	90	WOULD RESIDE IN THE WEST SOUTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
-.07	.18	.10	-.14	-	.10	.20	-	-.13	-.03	-.08	-.11	.33	-.06	91	WOULD RESIDE IN THE MOUNTAIN CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
-	.14	.14	-.20	.17	-	.38	.14	-	.05	-.14	-.26	-	.11	92	WOULD RESIDE IN THE PACIFIC CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
.28	-.16	-	.11	.17	-.22	-.27	.20	.20	.16	.14	-	-.09	.23	94	WOULD RESIDE IN THE FIRST RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY
-.10	-	.11	.04	-.12	.09	-.05	-.10	-.09	-.16	-	.10	-.04	-.16	95	WOULD RESIDE IN SECOND RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY
-.07	.09	-	-.09	-.22	.24	.08	-.26	-.22	-.32	-	.11	-.04	-.32	96	WOULD RESIDE IN THIRD RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY
.16	.07	.24	.10	.18	.24	-	.21	.14	.12	.24	-.08	.12		97	WOULD RESIDE IN FOURTH RECRUITING

18	ASSIGNED TO A DESTROYER TYPE	.14	-	.07	-.06	-	-.05	-	-	-	-	.07	-	-
19	ASSIGNED TO A SUBMARINE TYPE	.11	-	.05	.05	-.08	-.05	.11	-	-.03	-	.09	-	-
20	ASSIGNED TO AN AMPHIBIOUS TYPE	-.07	-.05	-	-	-	-	-	.05	-	-	-	.06	-
21	ASSIGNED TO A MINECRAFT TYPE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	ASSIGNED TO A PATROLCRAFT TYPE	.04	.05	.05	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	ASSIGNED TO AN AUXILIARY TYPE	-.09	-.08	-.08	.05	-	-	-	.03	-	-	-.10	-	-
24	ASSIGNED TO SHORE DUTY	-	.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	ASSIGNED TO LANT VERSUS PAC FLEET SHIP	-.04	-	-	-	-	.06	-	.08	-	-	-	-	.06
26	ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOMEPORTED OVERSEAS VERSUS CONUS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	NUMBER OF PERSONNEL IN ACTIVITY ALLOWANCE	-.08	-	-.04	-	-	.08	-	-.09	-	-	-	-.05	-
29	UNIT NOT DEPLOYED VERSUS DEPLOYED	-	-	-	-.04	-	.10	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-
33	ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-PORTED IN BOSTON	-	.08	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
34	ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-PORTED IN NORFOLK	.07	-	-	-	-	-.11	-	-.07	-	-	-	-	-.04
35	ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-PORTED IN MAYPORT	-	-	-	-	-	.06	-	-	-	.03	-	-	-
36	ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-PORTED IN SAN DIEGO	-.06	-	-.05	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	.06
37	ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-PORTED IN PEARL HARBOR	.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	.04	-	-
38	ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-PORTED IN YOKOSUKA	-	-	-	-	-.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
42	ATTITUDE TOWARD AMOUNT OF TIME SHIP IS UNDERWAY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
46	RESIDED IN FLORIDA AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	RESIDED IN NEW MEXICO AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.08
48	RESIDED IN PENNSYLVANIA AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	.04	-	-
50	RESIDED IN WYOMING AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	-	-	-	-	-	-	.11	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	RESIDED IN NORTHEASTERN STATES CENSUS REGION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.05	-.03	-	-	-.06	-.04
53	RESIDED IN NORTH CENTRAL STATES CENSUS REGION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	.07	-	-	-	-.07	-	-	-.04	-	-	.07	-	-
54	RESIDED IN THE SOUTH CENSUS REGION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	-.08	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-.09	-	-
55	RESIDED IN THE WEST CENSUS REGION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	-.04	-	-	-	-	.04	-	.06	.06	-	-	.06	.07
56	RESIDED IN THE NEW ENGLAND CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	RESIDED IN THE MIDDLE ATLANTIC CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-.03	-	-	-.05	-.04
58	RESIDED IN THE EAST NORTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
59	RESIDED IN THE WEST NORTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	-	-	-	-	-.08	-	-	-.03	-.04	-	.06	-	-

E2

-	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-.04	-.09	-	-.05
-	-	-	-	.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.85	-	.08
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.05	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	.05
-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-.04	.04	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-.07	-	.11
-	-	.05	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	.17
.06	-	.05	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-.07	.07
-	-	-.07	-	-	-	.08	-.10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.05	-	-	.08	.04	.05	-
-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.10	-	-.11
-	-	-.04	-	-	-	.04	-	-.04	-	-	.04	-.04	-	-	-	.09	-	.04	-	-	-.11
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.07	-	-	-	-	.11
-.04	-	-.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	.04	-.04	-	-.05
.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.08	-	-	-.05	-.04	.07
-	-	.09	-	-.03	-	-.08	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.09	.12	-.04	-
-	-	.05	-	-	-	-.05	.14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-.05	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
.08	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.06	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.03	-	-	-	-	-	.05	-	.05	-	-	-	-
.06	-.08	-	.03	-	-	-.05	-	.05	-.06	-	-	.06	-	-.09	-	.07	-	-.05	-	.07	-.05
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	.04	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.05
-	-	-.04	-	-	-	.05	-	-.05	-	.05	-	-.05	-	-	.04	-	-	-	.05	-	-.05
.06	.07	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-.05	.07	-	-	-.05	-	.08	-	.04	-	-	-	.05	-.05
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.03	-	-	-	.04	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	.07
.05	-.06	-	-	-	-	-.05	-	.03	-.06	-	-	.04	-	-.08	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-.04	.06	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-.05	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-.08	-	-	-.03	-	-	-

-	-0.09	-	-0.09	-0.12	-0.05	.08	-0.07	-	-0.10	-	-	-0.24	-0.07	-0.09	-	-	-	-	-0.12	-0.04	-0.15	-	
-	-	.85	-	.08	.12	.11	-	-0.05	-	-0.04	-0.05	-0.13	-0.13	-	.04	.04	-	-	.03	-	-	.11	-
-	-	-0.05	-	-	-	-	-	.07	.05	-	-	-	-	.08	.08	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-0.07	-
-	.04	-	-	.05	.06	.08	.04	-	-	-	-	-0.08	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-0.07	-	-	-	-	-	-0.06	-	-	-0.07	-	-	.04	.06	-	-	-0.04	-	-0.04	-0.05
-	-	-0.07	-	.11	.07	.05	-0.06	.05	-	.08	-	.06	.04	.11	.09	-	-	.08	.11	.10	.07	.04	-
-	-0.04	-	-	.17	.15	.16	.12	.21	.14	.16	.13	.09	.11	.19	-	.04	.05	.07	.18	.04	-	.07	.09
-	-0.04	-	-0.07	.07	.08	.05	.08	.09	.10	.04	-	-	-0.10	.04	.07	-	-0.10	.06	.09	.12	.12	-	.11
-	.08	.04	.05	-	-	-	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.04	-0.07	-0.04	-	-	-0.03	-	-
-	-	-0.10	-	-0.11	-0.07	-0.13	-0.14	-0.05	-0.09	-	-0.08	-0.07	.26	-0.10	-0.07	-0.06	-	-0.18	-0.16	.06	-	.14	-
-	.04	-	-	-0.18	-0.13	-0.12	-0.09	-0.10	-0.10	-0.05	-0.05	-0.04	-	-	-0.12	-0.06	-	-0.06	-0.07	-0.04	-0.06	-	-0.05
-	-	-	-	.11	.09	.11	.05	.13	.08	.11	.11	.06	.12	.07	-	-	.05	-	.10	.03	-	.05	-
-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.08	-	-	.05	-	-	-0.03	-0.07	-0.05	-	-
.04	.04	-0.04	-	-0.05	-	-	-0.08	-	-0.08	-	-0.06	-0.05	.04	.04	-0.05	-	-	-0.09	-0.07	-	-0.06	.09	-0.08
-	-	-0.05	-0.04	.07	.08	-	.09	.11	.11	.06	.04	.04	.05	-	.04	-0.08	-0.15	-	-	.08	.07	.04	.08
-	-0.09	.12	-0.04	-	-	-	-	-0.04	-0.03	-0.06	-0.04	-0.08	-0.20	-	-	.06	.09	.09	.08	-	-	-0.10	-
-	-0.05	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.04	-	-	-	.07	.04	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-0.05	-	.07	-0.03	-	-	-0.05	-0.05	-0.06	-	-	-	.04	-0.04	-	.06	.06	-0.03	-	-0.04	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-0.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	.05	-	-	.04	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.06	.05	.04	-	-	-	-
-	-	.05	-0.05	.04	.03	-	.06	.04	-	-	-	-	-0.06	.05	-	-	-0.10	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	.07	-	-	-	-	-0.04	-0.04	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-0.04	-	-	-	-	-	-0.03	-0.04	-0.05	-	-	-	-	-0.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.05	.04	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.04	-	-
-	-0.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.07	-	-	-	-	-	.05

2	-.04	-.15	-	-	-.04	-.10	-	-	-	.11	.08	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<i>EH</i>	.11	-	-	-.04	.07	-	-	.06	.10	.11	.07	.07	.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.08	-.04	-.09	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-.05	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-.04	-	-	.04	-	.04	-
4	-	-.04	-.05	-	-	-.08	-	-	.03	-	-	-	-	-	.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
0	.07	.04	-	-	.04	.11	-	.03	-	-.10	-.09	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-
1	-	.07	.09	-	-	.15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.03	.04	-	-	-
2	.12	-	.11	.13	-.11	.05	-	.06	.05	-.03	-.05	.04	-	-	-	-.16	-.13	-.09	.12	.22	-.13	.18
	-.03	-	-	-	-.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	.14	-	-.06	.05	-.04	-	-.04	-.06	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-.05	-.05	-	-
1	-.06	-	-.06	-.06	.09	-.11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	.05	-	-	-.07	.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	-.05	-	-	-	.14	-	-	-.04	-.04	.04	.06	-.04	-	-	-.04	.09	.07	.04	-.05	-.13	.07	-.11
	-.06	.09	-.08	-.08	.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-.04	-.07	.04	-.06	-	-
	.07	.04	.08	.09	-.04	.06	-	.05	-	-.04	-.05	-	-	-	-.09	-.07	-.04	.06	.14	-.07	.12	.04
	-	-.10	-	-	-.13	-.06	-	-	.06	.04	-	.03	-	-	-.05	-	-.06	.07	.08	-.06	.04	-
	-	-	-	-	.14	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	.05	-.10	.09	.21	-.05	.22	-
	-	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.05	-.16	-.15	-	-	.13	-.11	.13	.05
	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	.04	-.08	.05	-.04	-	.17	.18	-	-.09	-.25	.04	-.15	-.08
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.05	-	.09	-
1	-	-	-	-.04	.04	-	.04	-	.07	.07	-.11	-	-	-	.42	.37	.22	-.29	-.30	.31	-.23	-.08
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	.06	.04	.09	.06	-	.05	-.04	-	-	-.04	-.18	.05	-.27	-.21
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.15	-.06	-.08	-.06	-	-	-.29	-.29	-.47	.52	.07	-.33	.31	.10
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.06	.09	-	-	-	-.09	-.11	.23	-.19	.45	-	.25	.23
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	.03	-.04	-	-	-	.13	.13	.12	-.16	-.11	.15	-.08	-
5	.04	-	-	-	.03	-	.04	-	.05	.06	-.10	-	-	-	.39	.34	.17	-.22	-.27	.25	-.21	-.07
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	.05	.03	.04	-	.04	-	-	-	.20	-.19	-.22	.08	-.27	-.16
	-	-	.05	-	-.05	-	-	-	-	.09	.05	-.08	.04	-.04	.04	-.20	.16	-	-	-	-.06	-.10

																		18	ASSIGNED TO A DESTROYER TYPE	
																			19	ASSIGNED TO A SUBMARINE TYPE
																			20	ASSIGNED TO AN AMPHIBIOUS TYPE
																			21	ASSIGNED TO A MINECRAFT TYPE
																			22	ASSIGNED TO A PATROLCRAFT TYPE
																			23	ASSIGNED TO AN AUXILIARY TYPE
																			24	ASSIGNED TO SHORE DUTY
																			25	ASSIGNED TO LANT VERSUS PAC FLEET SHIP
																			26	ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOMEPORTED OVERSEAS VERSUS CONUS
																			28	NUMBER OF PERSONNEL IN ACTIVITY ALLOWANCE
																			29	UNIT NOT DEPLOYED VERSUS DEPLOYED
																			33	ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-PORTED IN BOSTON
																			34	ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-PORTED IN NORFOLK
																			35	ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-PORTED IN MAYPORT
																			36	ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-PORTED IN SAN DIEGO
																			37	ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-PORTED IN PEARL HARBOR
																			38	ASSIGNED TO SHIP HOME-PORTED IN YOKOSUKA
																			42	ATTITUDE TOWARD AMOUNT OF TIME SHIP IS UNDERWAY
																			46	RESIDED IN FLORIDA AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
																			47	RESIDED IN NEW MEXICO AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
																			48	RESIDED IN PENNSYLVANIA AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
																			50	RESIDED IN WYOMING AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
																			52	RESIDED IN NORTHEASTERN STATES CENSUS REGION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
																			53	RESIDED IN NORTH CENTRAL STATES CENSUS REGION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
																			54	RESIDED IN THE SOUTH CENSUS REGION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
																			55	RESIDED IN THE WEST CENSUS REGION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
																			56	RESIDED IN THE NEW ENGLAND CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
																			57	RESIDED IN THE MIDDLE ATLANTIC CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
																			58	RESIDED IN THE EAST NORTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
																			59	RESIDED IN THE WEST NORTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING

BLANK PAGE

78	WOULD RESIDE IN WASHINGTON STATE UPON LEAVING NAVY	-	-	-	-	-	.03	-	-.04	-	-	-	.04	-
80	WOULD RESIDE IN NORTHEASTERN STATES CENSUS REGION UPON LEAVING NAVY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.05	-.04	-	-	-.07	-.08
81	WOULD RESIDE IN NORTH CENTRAL STATES CENSUS REGION UPON LEAVING NAVY	.05	-	-	-	-.05	-	-	-.05	-	-	.06	-	-
82	WOULD RESIDE IN THE SOUTH CENSUS REGION UPON LEAVING NAVY	-.08	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.11	-	-
83	WOULD RESIDE IN THE WEST CENSUS REGION UPON LEAVING NAVY	-	-	-	-	-	-	.06	.06	.04	-	.04	.04	-
84	WOULD RESIDE IN THE NEW ENGLAND CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
85	WOULD RESIDE IN THE MIDDLE ATLANTIC CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.03	-	-	-	-.06	-.07
86	WOULD RESIDE IN THE EAST NORTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY	.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-
87	WOULD RESIDE IN THE WEST NORTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY	-	-	-	-	-.06	-	-	-.04	-	-	.05	-	-
88	WOULD RESIDE IN THE SOUTH ATLANTIC CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.05	-	-
89	WOULD RESIDE IN THE EAST SOUTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
90	WOULD RESIDE IN THE WEST SOUTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY	-.09	-.06	-.06	-	.04	.03	-	.05	-	-	-.12	.05	-
91	WOULD RESIDE IN THE MOUNTAIN CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-	.04
92	WOULD RESIDE IN THE PACIFIC CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.06	.04	.05	.04	.04	-
94	WOULD RESIDE IN THE FIRST RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.03	-.04	-	-	-.06	-.05
95	WOULD RESIDE IN SECOND RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY	-	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-.04	-	-	-
96	WOULD RESIDE IN THIRD RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY	-.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-
97	WOULD RESIDE IN FOURTH RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY	.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.08
98	WOULD RESIDE IN FIFTH RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-
99	WOULD RESIDE IN SIXTH RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-.04	-	-	.04	-	-
100	WOULD RESIDE IN SEVENTH RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY	-.10	-.05	-.06	-	-	-	-	.05	-	-	-.11	.05	.05
101	WOULD RESIDE IN EIGHTH RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.06	.04	.04	-	.05	-
102	ATTENDED NAVY SCHOOLS (OTHER THAN RECRUIT TRAINING)	.76	-	.52	.10	-.14	-.27	-	-.13	-.07	-.08	.40	-.06	-

103 ATTENDED CLASS A SCHOOL

104 NUMBER OF WEEKS ATTENDED CLASS A SCHOOL

109 NOW ASSIGNED DUTIES FOR WHICH RECEIVED NAVY SCHOOL TRAINING

115 STANDS AUXILIARY WATCHES WHILE UNDERWAY

141 TOTAL TIME ASSIGNED TO MESS COOKING

146 NUMBER MONTHS REQUIRED TO ADVANCE PAY GRADE E-2 TO E-3

148 NUMBER MONTHS REQUIRED TO ADVANCE PAY GRADE E-4 TO E-5

160 HAS A DISCIPLINARY RECORD

167 CONVICTED BY SUMMARY COURT MARTIAL

169 CONVICTED BY SPECIAL COURT MARTIAL

172 EDUCATIONAL LEVEL AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING

189 HAD VARIOUS ODD JOBS AFTER LEAVING SCHOOL AND BEFORE FIRST ENLISTING

190 NUMBER OF CIVILIAN JOBS HELD BEFORE FIRST ENLISTING

	.05	-	-.09	-	-	.08	-	-.04	-	-.08	-.10	-	-	78	WOULD RESIDE IN WASHINGTON STATE UPON LEAVING NAVY	
	.26	-.20	-.06	.28	.20	-.31	-.40	.13	.27	.21	.20	.10	-.11	.25	80	WOULD RESIDE IN NORTHEASTERN STATES CENSUS REGION UPON LEAVING NAVY
	-	-.24	-.17	.15	-	-.09	-.18	.12	.13	.19	-	.10	-.08	.14	81	WOULD RESIDE IN NORTH CENTRAL STATES CENSUS REGION UPON LEAVING NAVY
	-.24	.23	.06	-.18	-.35	.40	.12	-.41	-.33	-.46	-	.10	-	-.48	82	WOULD RESIDE IN THE SOUTH CENSUS REGION UPON LEAVING NAVY
	-.05	.22	.18	-.26	.16	-	.45	.13	-.08	-	-.17	-.29	.19	.07	83	WOULD RESIDE IN THE WEST CENSUS REGION UPON LEAVING NAVY
	.13	-.08	-	.14	.09	-.17	-.16	.08	.14	.11	.06	.06	-.05	.15	84	WOULD RESIDE IN THE NEW ENGLAND CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
	.21	-.18	-.05	.23	.17	-.24	-.35	.10	-.22	.17	.19	.07	-.10	.19	85	WOULD RESIDE IN THE MIDDLE ATLANTIC CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
	.06	-.23	-.14	.14	.08	-.09	-.14	.18	.19	.15	-	.16	-.10	.15	86	WOULD RESIDE IN THE EAST NORTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
	-	-.06	-.08	.04	-.13	-	-.09	-.05	-.05	.09	-.05	-.06	-	-	87	WOULD RESIDE IN THE WEST NORTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
	-.08	.08	.07	-	-.16	.18	-	-.18	-.16	-.29	-	.12	-.05	-.29	88	WOULD RESIDE IN THE SOUTH ATLANTIC CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
	-.10	.04	-	-.07	-.21	.16	-	-.23	-.18	-.20	-	.09	-.03	-.20	89	WOULD RESIDE IN THE EAST SOUTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
	-.20	.23	-	-.23	-.21	.30	.15	-.28	-.21	-.25	-	-.05	.08	-.28	90	WOULD RESIDE IN THE WEST SOUTH CENTRAL CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
	-.07	.18	.10	-.14	-	.10	.20	-	-.13	-.03	-.08	-.11	.33	-.06	91	WOULD RESIDE IN THE MOUNTAIN CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
	-	.14	.14	-.20	.17	-	.38	.14	-	.05	-.14	-.26	-	.11	92	WOULD RESIDE IN THE PACIFIC CENSUS DIVISION UPON LEAVING NAVY
	.28	-.16	-	.11	.17	-.22	-.27	.20	.20	.16	.14	-	-.09	.23	94	WOULD RESIDE IN THE FIRST RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY
	-.10	-	.11	.04	-.12	.09	-.05	-.10	-.09	-.16	-	.10	-.04	-.16	95	WOULD RESIDE IN SECOND RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY
	-.07	.09	-	-.09	-.22	.24	.08	-.26	-.22	-.32	-	.11	-.04	-.32	96	WOULD RESIDE IN THIRD RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY
	-	-.15	-.07	.34	.10	-.19	-.24	-	.21	.16	.13	.24	-.09	.13	97	WOULD RESIDE IN FOURTH RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY
	.06	-.20	-.13	-	-	-.05	-.11	.13	.11	.10	-	.09	-.08	.09	98	WOULD RESIDE IN FIFTH RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY
	-	-	-.04	.09	-.09	-.06	-.07	-	-.05	.14	-.08	-.10	.07	.04	99	WOULD RESIDE IN SIXTH RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY
	-.22	.25	.03	-.25	-.21	.33	.18	-.27	-.23	-.27	-	-.07	.13	-.30	100	WOULD RESIDE IN SEVENTH RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY
	-	.17	.16	-.24	.17	-	.42	.13	-.05	-	-.15	-.28	.12	.09	101	WOULD RESIDE IN EIGHTH RECRUITING DISTRICT UPON LEAVING NAVY
	-	-	-	.08	.07	-.07	-	.08	.08	.10	-	-	-	.09	102	ATTENDED NAVY SCHOOLS (OTHER THAN RECRUIT TRAINING)

329	PERCENT OF COUNTY POPULATION IN LABOR FORCE, 1960
333	PERCENT OF COUNTY EMPLOYED IN CONSTRUCTION, 1960
340	PERCENT OF COUNTY EMPLOYED IN PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION, 1960
346	MEDIAN NUMBER OF ROOMS PER HOUSING UNIT IN COUNTY, 1960
349	PERCENT OF COUNTY HOUSING UNITS WITH ADEQUATE PLUMBING FACILITIES, 1960
352	PERCENT OF COUNTY HOUSING UNITS WITH MORE THAN ONE PERSON PER ROOM, 1960
353	PERCENT OF COUNTY HOUSING UNITS MOVED INTO DURING 1958-1960
357	COUNTY MEDIAN GROSS RENT PER MONTH, 1960
363	PERCENT OF COUNTY HOUSING UNITS WITH TELEVISION, 1960
364	PERCENT OF COUNTY HOUSING UNITS WITH TELEPHONES, 1960
369	PERCENT OF COUNTY MANUFACTURING ESTABLISHMENTS WITH 20-99 EMPLOYEES, 1960
370	PERCENT OF COUNTY MANUFACTURING ESTABLISHMENTS WITH 100 OR MORE EMPLOYEES, 1960
374	MEDIAN COUNTY FARM SIZE, 1960
378	PERCENT OF COUNTY FARMS WITH TELEPHONES, 1960

BLANK PAGE

TABLE 1 PART 3

		103	104	109	115	141	146	148	160	167	169	172	189
ATTENDED CLASS A SCHOOL	103	1.00	-	.55	.12	-.20	-.27	-.10	-.20	-.10	-.11	.49	-.07
NUMBER OF WEEKS ATTENDED CLASS A SCHOOL	104	1.00	.25	-.29	-.19	-.13	-	-.10	-	-	-	.17	-
NOW ASSIGNED DUTIES FOR WHICH RECEIVED NAVY SCHOOL TRAINING	109	1.00	.12	-.15	-.26	-	-.17	-.10	-.09	.28	-.04	-	-
STANDS AUXILIARY WATCHES WHILE UNDERWAY	115	1.00	.06	-.07	-	-.05	-.04	-	.05	-	-	-	-
TOTAL TIME ASSIGNED TO MESS COOKING	141	1.00	.18	-	.14	.10	-	-.16	-	-	-	-	-
NUMBER MONTHS REQUIRED TO ADVANCE PAY GRADE E-2 TO E-3	146	1.00	-	.14	.08	.08	-.24	-	-	-	-	-	-
NUMBER MONTHS REQUIRED TO ADVANCE PAY GRADE E-4 TO E-5	148	1.00	.10	.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAS A DISCIPLINARY RECORD	160	1.00	.34	.26	-.23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONVICTED BY SUMMARY COURT MARTIAL	167	1.00	.46	-.11	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONVICTED BY SPECIAL COURT MARTIAL	169	1.00	-.10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EDUCATIONAL LEVEL AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING	172	1.00	-.10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAD VARIOUS ODD JOBS AFTER LEAVING SCHOOL AND BEFORE FIRST ENLISTING	189	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NUMBER OF CIVILIAN JOBS HELD BEFORE FIRST ENLISTING	190	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIVED IN A HOME OR INSTITUTION BEFORE FIRST ENLISTING	194	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PRESENTLY SINGLE, NEVER BEEN MARRIED AND NOT ENGAGED		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PRESENTLY SINGLE, NEVER BEEN MARRIED BUT NOW ENGAGED		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PRESENTLY DIVORCED OR SEPARATED		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PRESENTLY WIDOWED		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PRESENTLY MARRIED		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

A

E

AGE AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTMENT	PERCENT OF COUNTY POPULATION AGE 21 AND OVER, 1960	MEDIAN COUNTY AGE, 1960	MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME IN COUNTY, 1960	PERCENT OF COUNTY FAMILIES WITH INCOME UNDER \$3000, 1960	PERCENT OF COUNTY POPULATION WHO MIGRATED FROM DIFFERENT COUNTY, 1960	PERCENT OF COUNTY POPULATION IN LABOR FORCE, 1960	PERCENT OF COUNTY EMPLOYED IN CONSTRUCTION, 1960	PERCENT OF COUNTY EMPLOYED IN PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION, 1960	MEDIAN NUMBER OF ROOMS PER HOUSING UNIT IN COUNTY, 1960	PERCENT OF COUNTY HOUSING UNITS WITH ADEQUATE PLUMBING FACILITIES, 1960	PERCENT OF COUNTY HOUSING UNITS WITH MORE THAN ONE PERSON PER ROOM, 1960	PERCENT OF COUNTY HOUSING UNITS MOVED INTO DURING 1958-1960	COUNTY MEDIAN GROSS RENT PER MONTH, 1960	PERCENT OF COUNTY HOUSING UNITS WITH TELEVISION, 1960	PERCENT OF COUNTY HOUSING UNITS WITH TELEPHONES, 1960	PERCENT OF COUNTY MANUFACTURING ESTABLISHMENTS WITH 20-99 EMPLOYEES, 1960	PERCENT OF COUNTY MANUFACTURING ESTABLISHMENTS WITH 100 OR MORE EMPLOYEES, 1960	MEDIAN COUNTY FARM SIZE, 1960	PERCENT OF COUNTY FARMS WITH TELEPHONES, 1960
299		311	318	319	327	329	333	340	346	349	352	353	357	363	364	369	370	374	378
.11			.06	.08					.11	.07	.09	-.06	.08	.09	.11				.10
.05															.05				.06
.08									.06						.05				
-.07																			
-.08					.04							.06							
-.15		-.04			.05				-.06			.11			-.03				
-.09					.05							.07							
-.06												.04	.04						
.41					-.04		-.04		.07		-.05	-.06	.03	.03	.06				.05
-.15	-.05		-.05	.05		-.09	.05		-.05	-.05	.04		-.06	-.05		-.05			
.20	-.05		-.06	.06		-.08	.04		-.05	-.06	.06		-.07	-.08	-.06			.09	-.05
				.03		-.03				-.04					-.04				-.04
											-.06								
-.05	.04	.04									-.04								
												.05							
															.05				

103 ATTENDED C
104 NUMBER OF V
CLASS A SCH
109 NOW ASSIGNE
RECEIVED NA
115 STANDS AUX
WHILE UNDER
141 TOTAL TIME
MESS COOKIN
146 NUMBER MON
PAY GRADE
148 NUMBER MON
PAY GRADE
160 HAS A DISCI
167 CONVICTED
169 CONVICTED
172 EDUCATION
OF FIRST E
189 HAD VARIOU
SCHOOL AN
190 NUMBER OF
BEFORE FI
194 LIVED IN A
BEFORE FI
201 PRESENTLY
MARRIED A
202 PRESENTLY
MARRIED B
203 PRESENTLY
204 PRESENTLY

E

F

IN PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION, 1960												
346	.11			.06							103	ATTENDED CLASS A SCHOOL
349	.07										104	NUMBER OF WEEKS ATTENDED CLASS A SCHOOL
352	-.09										109	NOW ASSIGNED DUTIES FOR WHICH RECEIVED NAVY SCHOOL TRAINING
353	-.06										115	STANDS AUXILIARY WATCHES WHILE UNDERWAY
357	.08										141	TOTAL TIME ASSIGNED TO MESS COOKING
363	.09										146	NUMBER MONTHS REQUIRED TO ADVANCE PAY GRADE E-2 TO E-3
364	.11										148	NUMBER MONTHS REQUIRED TO ADVANCE PAY GRADE E-4 TO E-5
369	-										160	HAS A DISCIPLINARY RECORD
370	-										167	CONVICTED BY SUMMARY COURT MARTIAL
374	-										169	CONVICTED BY SPECIAL COURT MARTIAL
378	.10										172	EDUCATIONAL LEVEL AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
											189	HAD VARIOUS ODD JOBS AFTER LEAVING SCHOOL AND BEFORE FIRST ENLISTING
											190	NUMBER OF CIVILIAN JOBS HELD BEFORE FIRST ENLISTING
											194	LIVED IN A HOME OR INSTITUTION BEFORE FIRST ENLISTING
											201	PRESENTLY SINGLE, NEVER BEEN MARRIED AND NOT ENGAGED
											202	PRESENTLY SINGLE, NEVER BEEN MARRIED BUT NOW ENGAGED
											203	PRESENTLY DIVORCED OR SEPARATED
											204	PRESENTLY WIDOWED

PRESENTLY SINGLE, NEVER BEEN MARRIED AND NOT ENGAGED

201

1.00

-.48

-.20

-.05

-.72

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

PRESENTLY SINGLE, NEVER BEEN MARRIED BUT NOW ENGAGED

202

1.00

-.05

-

-.19

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

PRESENTLY DIVORCED OR SEPARATED

203

1.00

-

-.08

-

-

.04

-

-

-

-.03

-

-

PRESENTLY WIDOWED

204

1.00

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

PRESENTLY MARRIED

205

1.00

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

NUMBER OF DEPENDENT CHILDREN

207

1.00

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

PARENTS MARRIED AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING

211

1.00

-.73

-.56

-.14

.80

-.27

PARENTS DIVORCED OR SEPARATED AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING

212

1.00

-.13

-.03

-.58

.36

ONE PARENT DECEASED AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING

213

1.00

-

-.45

-.04

BOTH PARENTS DECEASED AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING

214

1.00

-.12

-

PARENTS PRESENTLY MARRIED

215

1.00

-.35

PARENTS PRESENTLY SEPARATED

216

1.00

PARENTS PRESENTLY DIVORCED

217

1.00

ONE PARENT PRESENTLY DECEASED

218

LENGTH (TERM) OF ENLISTMENT

WIFE EMPLOYED

AMOUNT OF PRO-PAY RECEIVED

LIVING IN GOVT. QUARTERS BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR QUARTERS

RECEIVING SUBMARINE PAY

REGULARLY AT SEA

OPINION

OP

G

-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-.04	194	LIVED IN A HOME OR INSTITUTION BEFORE FIRST ENLISTING
-	-	-	-	-.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	201	PRESENTLY SINGLE, NEVER BEEN MARRIED AND NOT ENGAGED
-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	202	PRESENTLY SINGLE, NEVER BEEN MARRIED BUT NOW ENGAGED
-	-	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	203	PRESENTLY DIVORCED OR SEPARATED
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.05	-	-	-	204	PRESENTLY WIDOWED
-	-	-	-	.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	205	PRESENTLY MARRIED
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	207	NUMBER OF DEPENDENT CHILDREN
-.05	.04	-	-.04	-.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	211	PARENTS MARRIED AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
.04	-	-	-	.08	-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	212	PARENTS DIVORCED OR SEPARATED AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-	-	-	.06	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	213	ONE PARENT DECEASED AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	214	BOTH PARENTS DECEASED AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTING
-.04	.05	-	-.04	-.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	215	PARENTS PRESENTLY MARRIED
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	216	PARENTS PRESENTLY SEPARATED
-	-.05	-	-	.10	-	-	-	-	-	.05	-	217	PARENTS PRESENTLY DIVORCED
-	-	-	.04	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	218	ONE PARENT PRESENTLY DECEASED
.05	-	.04	-	-	.04	-	-	-.04	-	-	.05	232	LENGTH (TERM) OF ENLISTMENT
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	235	WIFE EMPLOYED
-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	237	AMOUNT OF PRO-PAY RECEIVED
-	-	-	-	.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	241	LIVING IN GOVT. QUARTERS (OR RECEIVING BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR QUARTERS)
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	245	RECEIVING SUBMARINE DUTY PAY
-	.05	-	-.03	-.06	-	.05	.04	-	-	-	.04	246	REGULARLY ATTENDS CHURCH SERVICES
-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	247	OPINION OF MESSING FACILITIES
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	248	OPINION OF FOOD VARIETY
-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	249	OPINION OF FOOD PREPARATION
-	-	-	-	-	-.03	-.03	-	-.04	-	-	-	250	OPINION OF BUNK
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	252	OPINION OF STOWAGE SPACE FOR PERSONAL BELONGINGS
-	-	-.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	253	OPINION OF BERTHING AREA
-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	254	OPINION OF FACILITIES FOR LETTER WRITING, STUDY, AND OTHER SUCH ACTIVITIES
-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	255	OPINION REGARDING ADEQUACY OF HEAD FACILITIES
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	256	OPINION REGARDING ADEQUACY OF SHOWER FACILITIES
-	-	-	-	-.06	-	-	-	-	.06	-	-	259	OPINION OF QUANTITY, QUALITY, AND VARIETY OF BOOKS IN SHIPS LIBRARY
-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	260	OPINION OF FACILITIES FOR VIEWING MOTION PICTURES

H

WAGE SPACE BELONGINGS	252	1.00	.57	.39	.33	.30	.21	.29	.16	.11	.07	.20	.24	.17	.19	.15	.19	.16
F BERTHING AREA	253	1.00	.45	.48	.42	.24	.35	.22	.14	.11	.24	.28	.23	.22	.17	.17	.16	
OPINION OF FACILITIES FOR LETTER WRITING, READING, AND OTHER SUCH ACTIVITIES	254	1.00	.36	.32	.31	.30	.19	.13	.14	.22	.24	.24	.21	.20	.14	.15		
OPINION REGARDING ADEQUACY OF HEAD FACILITIES	255	1.00	.73	.24	.30	.22	.20	.17	.23	.26	.21	.23	.19	.19	.19			
OPINION REGARDING ADEQUACY OF SHOWER FACILITIES	256	1.00	.25	.31	.22	.20	.17	.24	.27	.20	.22	.17	.18	.20				
OPINION OF QUANTITY, QUALITY, AND VARIETY OF BOOKS IN SHIPS LIBRARY	259	1.00	.26	.21	.16	.10	.14	.16	.31	.26	.25	.17	.17					
OPINION OF FACILITIES FOR VIEWING MOTION PICTURES	260	1.00	.23	.15	.13	.24	.29	.24	.21	.21	.18	.18						
OPINION OF TREATMENT RECEIVED IN SICK BAY	263	1.00	.39	.24	.23	.23	.25	.26	.18	.18	.22							
OPINION OF TREATMENT RECEIVED IN MILITARY HOSPITAL	264	1.00	.59	.23	.19	.21	.25	.16	.20	.23								
OPINION OF TREATMENT RECEIVED BY DEPENDENTS AT MILITARY HOSPITALS	265	1.00	.16	.17	.14	.17	.16	.15	.18									
OPINION OF NAVY BARBER SERVICES	267	1.00	.39	.23	.24	.14	.19	.21										
OPINION OF NAVY LAUNDRY SERVICES	268	1.00	.26	.23	.16	.20	.20											
OPINION REGARDING VARIETY OF MERCHANDISE IN SHIPS STORE	270	1.00	.63	.26	.28	.26												
OPINION REGARDING QUALITY OF MERCHANDISE IN SHIPS STORE	271	1.00	.23	.33	.34													
OPINION OF SHIP'S PARTIES, ETC.	275	1.00	.25	.24														
OPINION REGARDING VARIETY OF GROCERY ITEMS IN COMMISSARY	276	1.00	.81															
OPINION REGARDING QUALITY OF GROCERY ITEMS IN COMMISSARY	277	1.00																
OPINION REGARDING ADEQUACY OF EM AND CPO CLUBS	279	1.00																
OPINION OF PRESENT DUTY STATION	280																	
ATTITUDE OF WIFE, GIRL FRIEND AND/OR FAMILY REGARDING REENLISTMENT																		
STATED INTENTION TO EXTEND																		
STATED INTENTION TO REENLIST																		
GENERAL CLASSIFICATION TEST																		
ARITHMETIC TEST SCORE																		
MECHANICAL TEST																		
CLERICAL TEST																		
TOTAL																		

L

-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	252	OPINION OF STOWAGE SPACE FOR PERSONAL BELONGINGS	
-	-	-	-.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	253	OPINION OF BERTHING AREA	
-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	254	OPINION OF FACILITIES FOR LETTER WRITING, STUDY, AND OTHER SUCH ACTIVITIES	
-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	255	OPINION REGARDING ADEQUACY OF HEAD FACILITIES	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	256	OPINION REGARDING ADEQUACY OF SHOWER FACILITIES	
-.04	-	-	-	-	-.06	-	-	-	-.06	-	-	259	OPINION OF QUANTITY, QUALITY, AND VARIETY OF BOOKS IN SHIPS LIBRARY	
-	-	-	-	-	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	260	OPINION OF FACILITIES FOR VIEWING MOTION PICTURES	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	263	OPINION OF TREATMENT RECEIVED IN SICK BAY	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	264	OPINION OF TREATMENT RECEIVED IN MILITARY HOSPITAL	
-	-	-	-.06	-	-.08	-.07	-	-	-.08	-	-.06	265	OPINION OF TREATMENT RECEIVED BY DEPENDENTS AT MILITARY HOSPITALS	
-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-.05	-.04	-.04	-	-	-.04	267	OPINION OF NAVY BARBER SERVICES	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	268	OPINION OF NAVY LAUNDRY SERVICES	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	270	OPINION REGARDING VARIETY OF MERCHANDISE IN SHIPS STORE	
.04	.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	271	OPINION REGARDING QUALITY OF MERCHANDISE IN SHIPS STORE	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	275	OPINION OF SHIP'S PARTIES, ETC.	
.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	276	OPINION REGARDING VARIETY OF GROCERY ITEMS IN COMMISSARY	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-.05	-	-	-	-	-	277	OPINION REGARDING QUALITY OF GROCERY ITEMS IN COMMISSARY	
-.04	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	279	OPINION REGARDING ADEQUACY OF EM AND CPO CLUBS	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-.04	-	-	-.05	-	-	280	OPINION OF PRESENT DUTY STATION	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	281	ATTITUDE OF WIFE, GIRL FRIEND AND OR FAMILY REGARDING REENLISTMENT	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-.03	-	-	-.04	-	-	282	STATED INTENTION TO EXTEND	
.04	-	-	-.05	-	-	-.06	-.04	-.04	-	-	-.05	283	STATED INTENTION TO REENLIST	
-	-	.09	.14	-.14	-.03	.16	.14	.16	-	-	.17	284	GENERAL CLASSIFICATION TEST SCORE	
-	-	.11	.05	-.08	-.09	.05	.08	.09	-	-	.07	285	ARITHMETIC TEST SCORE	
.07	-	.05	-	-	.04	-	-	.05	-.09	-	.05	.05	286	MECHANICAL TEST SCORE
-.04	-	.04	.04	-.05	-.04	.05	.05	.07	-	-	-.06	287	CLERICAL APTITUDE TEST SCORE	
-	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	298	TOTAL TIME FEDERAL SERVICE	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	299	AGE AT TIME OF FIRST ENLISTMENT	
-.31	-.06	-	.29	-.57	-.26	.21	.20	.30	.22	-	-.18	.39	309	PERCENT OF COUNTY POPULATION AGE 21 AND OVER, 1960
-.28	-.15	.09	.14	-.57	-.42	.06	.11	.23	.14	-	-.16	.26	311	MEDIAN COUNTY AGE, 1960
-.14	.15	.13	.88	-.45	.21	.88	.71	.75	.20	-	-.06	.72	318	MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME IN COUNTY, 1960
.16	-.14	-.17	-.87	.48	-.16	-.82	-.76	-.80	-.22	-.05	-	-.76	319	PERCENT OF COUNTY FAMILIES WITH INCOME UNDER \$3000, 1960
.61	.34	-.21	.22	.09	.72	.32	-	-	-.19	-.33	.25	-	327	PERCENT OF COUNTY POPULATION WHO MIGRATED FROM DIFFERENT COUNTY, 1960

Variable No. 15, Working In Rate of First Choice

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .04

TABLE C-1

Question: In your opinion, are you either in or striking for the rating of your first choice?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
No	1	156	42.3	1587	49.3	1743	48.6	48.6	9.0
Yes	2	213	57.7	1633	50.7	1846	51.4	100.0	11.5
Total		369	100.0	3220	100.0	3589	100.0	100.0	10.3

Conclusion: The percentage of personnel who answered this question "Yes" and the corresponding reenlistment rate are slightly higher than those who answered "No." These small differences, combined with the low correlation coefficient (.04) suggests that relatively small gains in reenlistment rate would be achieved from improvements in this area, although there would, of course, be some.

Variable No. 42, Attitude Toward Amount of Time Ship is Underway

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .05

TABLE C-2

Question: Which one of the following best describes your feelings in regard to the underway time your ship has experienced?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Samp'le	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Too Much	1	149	42.7	1632	52.8	1781	51.8	51.8	8.4
About Right	2	176	50.4	1205	39.0	1381	40.2	92.0	12.7
Not Enough	3	24	6.9	251	8.1	275	8.0	100.0	8.7
Total		349	100.0	3088	99.9	3437	100.0	100.0	10.2

Conclusion: The reenlistment rate of personnel who answered the question "About Right" (12.7) is significantly higher than the reenlistment rates for those who selected one of the other two responses. Therefore, although the overall correlation is only .05, any effort to change operating schedules so as to reduce the number of "Too Much" responses would have a positive effect upon the reenlistment rate.

Variable No. 247, Opinion of Messing Facilities

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .06

TABLE C-3

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the facilities and equipment in your mess?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	41	11.1	645	20.1	686	19.2	19.2	6.0
Just Fair	2	101	27.4	832	25.9	933	26.1	45.3	10.8
OK	3	142	38.6	1173	36.5	1315	36.7	82.0	10.8
Very Good	4	71	19.3	446	13.9	517	14.4	96.4	13.7
Excellent	5	13	3.5	117	3.6	130	3.6	100.0	10.0
Total		368	99.9	3213	100.0	3581	100.0	100.0	10.3

Conclusion: Although the overall reenlistment rate of .06 is low and the reenlistment rates of personnel who responded to each of the alternatives is rather equally distributed, the fact that 45.3% of the respondents considered the mess facilities and equipment "Poor" or "Just Fair" suggests that some increase in reenlistment rate would be realized with improvements in this area.

Variable No. 247, Opinion of Messing Facilities

Second Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .14

TABLE C-4

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the facilities and equipment in your mess?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	12	8.9	9	11.6	21	10.4	10.4	57.1
Just Fair	2	22	16.3	16	24.2	38	19.0	29.4	57.9
OK	3	44	32.6	20	30.3	64	31.8	61.2	68.8
Very Good	4	47	34.8	19	28.8	66	32.8	94.0	71.2
Excellent	5	10	7.4	2	3.0	12	6.0	100.0	83.3
Total		135	100.0	66	99.9	201	100.0	100.0	67.2

Conclusion: Although 70.6% of second enlistment personnel rated facilities and equipment "OK" through "Excellent," the overall correlation with reenlistment was only .14. Therefore, little improvement in reenlistment rate of second enlistment personnel could be expected from changes in this area.

Variable No. 248, Opinion of Food Variety

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .04

TABLE C-5

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the variety of food in your mess?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	70	19.0	718	22.3	788	22.0	22.0	8.9
Just Fair	2	101	27.4	968	30.1	1069	29.8	51.8	9.4
OK	3	129	35.1	1101	34.2	1230	34.3	86.1	10.5
Very Good	4	60	16.3	377	11.7	437	12.2	98.3	13.7
Excellent	5	8	2.2	53	1.6	61	1.7	100.0	13.1
Total		368	100.0	3217	99.9	3585	100.0	100.0	10.3

Conclusion: It can be seen that the reenlistment rate for personnel who answered this question in one of the three positive categories have reenlistment rates a few points higher than those who answered in the two low categories. Although the overall correlation is only .04, the fact that 51.8% answered in the two low categories suggests that some increase in reenlistment rate could be achieved by improvements in this area.

Variable No. 248, Opinion of Food Variety

Second Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .16

TABLE C-6

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the variety of food in your mess?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	14	10.4	10	15.2	24	11.9	11.9	58.3
Just Fair	2	21	15.6	15	22.7	36	17.9	29.8	58.3
OK	3	49	36.3	26	39.4	75	37.3	67.1	65.3
Very Good	4	43	31.9	14	21.2	57	28.4	95.5	75.4
Excellent	5	8	5.9	1	1.5	9	4.5	100.0	88.9
Total		135	100.1	66	100.0	201	100.0	100.0	67.2

Conclusion: The overall correlation of .16 and the increase in reenlistment rate from 58.3 to 88.9 for personnel who answered the question from "Poor" through "Excellent" indicates that "variety of food" corresponds positively with reenlistment. Therefore, efforts should be continued to maintain high standards in this area.

Variable No. 249, Opinion of Food Preparation

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .05

TABLE C-7

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the quality of the preparation of food in your mess?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	90	24.5	987	30.7	1077	30.0	30.0	8.4
Just Fair	2	106	28.8	966	30.0	1072	29.9	59.9	9.9
OK	3	130	35.3	946	29.4	1076	30.0	89.9	12.1
Very Good	4	37	10.1	275	8.5	312	8.7	98.6	11.5
Excellent	5	5	1.4	44	1.4	49	1.4	100.0	10.2
Total		368	100.1	3218	100.0	3586	100.0	100.0	10.3

Conclusion: It can be seen that 59.9% answered this question "Poor" or "Just Fair." Therefore, although the overall correlation is only .05, improvement would have a positive effect on the reenlistment rate.

Variable No. 250, Opinion of Bunk

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .07

TABLE C-8

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the bunk in which you sleep?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	73	19.8	826	25.7	899	25.1	25.1	8.1
Just Fair	2	70	19.0	771	24.0	841	23.5	48.6	8.3
OK	3	143	38.9	1155	35.9	1298	36.2	84.8	11.0
Very Good	4	68	18.5	358	11.1	426	11.9	96.7	16.0
Excellent	5	14	3.8	105	3.3	119	3.3	100.0	11.8
Total		368	100.0	3215	99.9	3583	100.0	100.0	10.3

Conclusion: Although less than fifty percent (48.6%) answered this question in one of the two low categories, improvements would undoubtedly have a positive effect upon the reenlistment rate.

Variable No. 252, Opinion of Stowage Space for Personal Belongings

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .04

TABLE C-9

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the stowage space for your clothing and personal belongings?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	156	42.4	1554	48.3	1710	47.7	47.7	9.1
Just Fair	2	83	22.6	739	22.9	822	22.9	70.6	10.1
OK	3	87	23.6	632	19.7	719	20.1	90.7	12.1
Very Good	4	34	9.2	230	7.2	264	7.4	98.1	12.9
Excellent	5	8	2.2	60	1.9	68	1.9	100.0	11.8
Total		368	100.0	3215	100.0	3583	100.0	100.0	10.3

Conclusion: Slightly more than seventy percent considered "stowage space" "poor" or "Just Fair." Therefore, improvements in this area would undoubtedly have a positive effect upon the reenlistment rate.

Variable No. 253, Opinion of Berthing Area

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .07

TABLE C-10

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the overall facilities in your berthing area?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	83	22.6	980	30.5	1063	29.7	29.7	7.8
Just Fair	2	107	29.1	993	30.9	1100	30.7	60.4	9.7
OK	3	121	32.9	917	28.5	1038	29.0	89.4	11.7
Very Good	4	51	13.9	273	8.5	324	9.0	98.4	15.7
Excellent	5	6	1.6	53	1.6	59	1.6	100.0	10.2
Total		358	100.1	3216	100.0	3584	100.0	100.0	15.3

Conclusion: It can be seen that 60.4% responded to this item in the two low categories. Therefore, improvements would have a positive effect upon the reenlistment rate.

Variable No. 254, Opinion of Facilities for Letter Writing, Study, and Other Such Activities

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .05

TABLE C-11

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the facilities and equipment for letter writing, reading, correspondence course study, and other such leisure time activity?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	150	40.9	1472	45.8	1622	45.3	45.3	9.2
Just Fair	2	69	18.8	662	20.6	731	20.4	65.7	9.4
OK	3	78	21.3	657	20.4	735	20.5	86.2	10.6
Very Good	4	54	14.7	322	10.0	376	10.5	96.7	14.4
Excellent	5	16	4.4	100	3.1	116	3.2	99.9	13.8
Total		367	100.1	3213	99.9	3580	99.9	99.9	10.3

Conclusion: The response of 65.7% in the lower two categories indicates that improvements in this area would have a positive effect upon the reenlistment rate, even though the overall correlation is only .05.

Variable No. 255, Opinion Regarding Adequacy of Head Facilities

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .06

TABLE C-12

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the adequacy of head facilities you use?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	62	16.8	718	22.3	780	21.7	21.7	7.9
Just Fair	2	80	21.7	768	23.9	848	23.6	45.3	9.4
OK	3	143	38.9	1204	37.4	1347	37.6	82.9	10.6
Very Good	4	71	19.3	463	14.4	534	14.9	97.8	13.3
Excellent	5	12	3.3	66	2.1	78	2.2	100.0	15.4
Total		368	100.0	3219	100.1	3587	100.0	100.0	10.3

Conclusion: It can be seen that 54.7% of the personnel considered "head facilities" to be "OK" through "Excellent." Therefore, improvements in this area would probably increase the reenlistment rate somewhat.

Variable No. 256, Opinion Regarding Adequacy of Shower Facilities

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .05

TABLE C-13

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the adequacy of shower facilities you use?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	54	14.7	625	19.4	679	18.9	18.9	7.9
Just Fair	2	89	24.2	785	24.4	874	24.4	43.3	10.2
OK	3	136	36.9	1241	38.6	1377	38.4	81.7	9.9
Very Good	4	79	21.5	482	15.0	561	15.7	97.4	14.1
Excellent	5	10	2.7	83	2.6	93	2.6	100.0	10.8
Total		368	100.0	3216	100.0	3584	100.0	100.0	10.3

Conclusion: Although 56.7% of the population considered "shower facilities" to be "OK" or "Better," the overall correlation was only .05. Therefore, a significant increase in the reenlistment rate could not be expected from improvements in this area.

Variable No. 257, Opinion Regarding Adequacy of Fresh Water for Showers

Second Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .22

TABLE C-14

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the adequacy of fresh water for showering?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	11	8.1	12	18.2	23	11.4	11.4	47.8
Just Fair	2	19	14.1	10	15.2	29	14.4	25.8	65.5
OK	3	28	20.7	20	30.3	48	23.9	49.8	58.3
Very Good	4	43	31.9	18	27.3	61	30.3	80.1	70.5
Excellent	5	34	25.2	6	9.1	40	19.9	100.1	85.0
Total		135	100.0	66	100.1	201	99.9	100.1	67.2

Conclusion: It can be seen that 74.2% of second enlistment personnel answered this question in one of the three favorable categories and the overall correlation was .22. Therefore, continued effort to provide fresh water showers would have a positive effect on reenlistment.

Variable No. 259, Opinion of Quantity, Quality, and Variety of Books in Ship's Library

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .04

TABLE C-15

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the quantity, quality, and variety of books in your library?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	50	14.2	521	16.6	571	16.4	16.4	8.8
Just Fair	2	68	19.3	579	18.5	647	18.6	35.0	10.5
OK	3	91	25.9	987	31.5	1078	30.9	65.9	8.4
Very Good	4	100	28.4	798	25.5	898	25.8	91.7	11.1
Excellent	5	43	12.2	250	8.0	293	8.4	100.1	14.7
Total		352	100.0	3135	100.1	3487	100.1	100.1	10.1

Conclusion: Although 65.0% of the personnel considered the library books as "OK" through "Excellent," the overall correlation was only .04. Therefore, little significant improvement in the reenlistment rate could be expected from changes in this area.

Variable No. 260, Opinion of Facilities for Viewing Motion Pictures

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .04

TABLE C-16

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the facilities for viewing motion pictures?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	83	22.7	862	26.9	945	26.5	26.5	8.8
Just Fair	2	87	23.8	790	24.7	877	24.6	51.1	9.9
OK	3	118	32.3	1011	31.6	1129	31.7	82.8	10.5
Very Good	4	62	17.0	436	13.6	498	14.0	96.8	12.4
Excellent	5	15	4.1	103	3.2	118	3.3	100.1	12.7
Total		365	99.9	3202	100.0	3567	100.1	100.1	10.2

Conclusion: The 51.1% responding to this question in the two low categories indicates that some positive effect upon the reenlistment rate could be expected from improvements in this area, even though the overall correlation is only .04.

Variable No. 263, Opinion of Treatment Received in Sick Bay

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .06

TABLE C-17

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the treatment you receive in the sick bay or dispensary?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	36	9.9	408	12.8	444	12.5	12.5	8.1
Just Fair	2	47	12.9	571	18.0	618	17.4	29.9	7.6
OK	3	120	33.0	1073	33.7	1193	33.7	63.6	10.1
Very Good	4	111	30.5	837	26.3	948	26.7	90.3	11.7
Excellent	5	50	13.7	291	9.2	341	9.6	99.9	14.7
Total		364	100.0	3180	100.0	3544	99.9	99.9	10.3

Conclusion: Although the overall correlation is only .06, there is a significant difference in reenlistment rates between those personnel who answered the question in the lower two categories and those who answered in the upper three categories. Therefore, a positive effect on reenlistment rates could be expected from improvements in this area.

Variable No. 263, Opinion of Treatment Received in Sick Bay

Second Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .25

TABLE C-18

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the medical treatment you receive in the sick bay or dispensary?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	10	7.5	6	9.1	16	8.0	8.0	62.5
Just Fair	2	13	9.8	15	22.7	28	14.1	22.1	46.4
OK	3	28	21.1	25	37.9	53	26.6	48.7	53.0
Very Good	4	55	41.4	15	22.7	70	35.2	83.9	79.0
Excellent	5	27	20.3	5	7.6	32	16.1	100.0	84.3
Total		133	100.1	66	100.0	199	100.0	100.0	67.2

Conclusion: The overall correlation of .25 indicates that this item is correlated with reenlistment at a higher degree than the preceding item. However, the interpretation of the bi-model distribution of reenlistment rates among the responses to this item is not obvious.

Variable No. 264, Opinion of Treatment Received in Military Hospital

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .08

TABLE C-19

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the medical services or treatment you receive in the military hospital closest to your home port or station?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	20	7.0	219	9.3	239	9.0	9.0	8.4
Just Fair	2	20	7.0	243	10.3	263	9.9	18.9	7.6
OK	3	90	31.4	876	37.1	966	36.5	55.4	9.3
Very Good	4	101	35.2	733	31.0	834	31.5	86.9	12.1
Excellent	5	56	19.5	290	12.3	346	13.1	100.0	16.2
Total		287	100.1	2361	100.0	2648	100.0	100.0	10.8

Conclusion: The overall correlation of .08 and the increase in reenlistment rates of personnel who answered this question from "Poor" through "Excellent" indicate that it is correlated positively with reenlistment. However, the fact that only 18.9% answered the question in the two low categories suggests that improvements in reenlistment rates would be negligible from changes in this area.

Variable No. 264, Opinion of Treatment Received in Military Hospital

Second Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .31

TABLE C-20

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the medical services or treatment received in military hospital?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	10	8.7	15	26.8	25	14.6	14.6	40.0
Just Fair	2	13	11.3	11	19.6	24	14.0	28.6	54.2
OK	3	34	29.6	17	30.4	51	29.8	58.4	66.7
Very Good	4	35	30.4	9	16.1	44	25.7	84.1	79.5
Excellent	5	23	20.0	4	7.1	27	15.8	99.9	85.2
Total		115	100.0	56	100.0	171	99.9	99.9	67.3

Conclusion: The overall correlation of .31 and the significant increase in reenlistment rates of the personnel who responded in the five answer categories show that this item deserves attention to the end of maintaining and improving the present level of services in military hospitals.

Variable No. 265, Opinion of Treatment Received by Dependents at Military Hospitals

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .08

TABLE C-21

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the medical services or treatment received by your dependents at the closest military hospital?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	21	13.1	282	21.9	303	20.9	20.9	6.9
Just Fair	2	23	14.4	164	12.7	187	12.9	33.8	12.3
OK	3	54	33.8	475	36.8	529	36.5	70.3	10.2
Very Good	4	38	23.8	258	20.0	296	20.4	90.7	12.8
Excellent	5	24	15.0	111	8.6	135	9.3	100.0	17.8
Total		160	100.1	1290	100.0	1450	100.0	100.0	11.0

Conclusion: The small positive overall correlation of .08 and the reenlistment rates in the last column of the table indicate that this item is positively correlated with reenlistment. Therefore, the Navy should continue its positive efforts in this area. (NOTE: These data were collected prior to the implementation of the Medical Service Uniformed Services Health Benefits Program (Medi-Care) (SECNAV Instruction 6320.8C)).

Variable No. 265, Opinion of Treatment Received by Dependents at Military Hospitals

Second Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .34

TABLE C-22

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the medical services or treatment received by your dependents at the closest military hospital?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	32	29.4	27	60.0	59	38.3	38.3	54.2
Just Fair	2	15	13.8	8	17.8	23	14.9	53.2	65.2
OK	3	20	18.3	6	13.3	26	16.9	70.1	76.9
Very Good	4	29	26.6	3	6.7	32	20.8	90.9	90.6
Excellent	5	13	11.9	1	2.2	14	9.1	100.0	92.9
Total		109	100.0	45	100.0	154	100.0	100.0	70.8

Conclusion: The relatively high overall correlation of .34 and the reenlistment rates shown in the last column of the table indicate that this item deserves continued attention by the Navy. (NOTE: These data were collected prior to the implementation of the Medical Service Uniformed Services Health Benefits Program (Medi-Care) (SECNAV Instruction 6320.8C)).

Variable No. 267, Opinion of Navy Barber Services

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .07

TABLE C-23

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the quality of Navy barber services available to you?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	101	27.6	1118	34.9	1219	34.1	34.1	8.3
Just Fair	2	68	18.6	669	20.9	737	20.6	54.7	9.2
OK	3	95	26.0	865	27.0	960	26.9	81.6	9.9
Very Good	4	82	22.4	424	13.2	506	14.2	95.8	16.2
Excellent	5	20	5.5	132	4.1	152	4.3	100.1	13.2
Total		366	100.1	3208	100.1	3574	100.1	100.1	10.2

Conclusion: The positive overall correlation of .07, although small, and the increase in reenlistment rates from "Poor" through "Excellent" shown in the last column of the table suggest that improvements in Navy barber services would have a positive effect on reenlistment.

Variable No. 268, Opinion of Navy Laundry Services

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .04

TABLE C-24

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the Navy laundry services available to you?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	111	30.5	1189	37.1	1300	36.4	36.4	8.5
Just Fair	2	93	25.5	811	25.3	904	25.3	51.7	10.3
OK	3	99	27.2	780	24.3	879	24.6	86.3	11.3
Very Good	4	47	12.9	320	10.0	367	10.3	96.6	12.8
Excellent	5	14	3.8	107	3.3	121	3.4	100.0	11.6
Total		364	99.9	3207	100.0	3571	100.0	100.0	10.2

Conclusion: The overall correlation of .04 and the reenlistment rates shown in the last column of the table indicate that Navy laundry services are related to reenlistment. Therefore, any improvements in this area should have a positive effect upon reenlistment.

Variable No. 270, Opinion Regarding Variety of Merchandise in Ship's Store

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .05

TABLE C-25

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the variety of merchandise in the ship's store or Navy exchange?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	24	6.6	211	6.6	235	6.6	6.6	10.2
Just Fair	2	42	11.5	449	14.0	491	13.8	20.4	8.6
OK	3	105	28.8	1080	33.8	1185	33.2	53.6	8.9
Very Good	4	128	35.1	1083	33.8	1211	34.0	87.6	10.6
Excellent	5	66	18.1	377	11.8	443	12.4	100.0	14.9
Total		365	100.1	3200	100.0	3565	100.0	100.0	10.2

Conclusion: The small overall correlation of .05 and the fact that 79.6% of the respondents answered this question in the upper three categories leads to the conclusion that negligible effects on reenlistment could be expected from improvements in this area.

Variable No. 270, Opinion Regarding Variety of Merchandise in Ship's Store

Second Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .19

TABLE C-26

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the variety of merchandise in the ship's store or Navy exchange?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	7	5.2	5	7.5	12	6.0	6.0	58.3
Just Fair	2	15	11.2	12	17.9	27	13.4	19.4	55.6
OK	3	26	19.4	21	31.3	47	23.4	42.8	55.3
Very Good	4	62	46.3	25	37.3	87	43.3	86.1	71.3
Excellent	5	24	17.9	4	6.0	28	13.9	100.0	85.7
Total		134	100.0	67	100.0	201	100.0	100.0	66.7

Conclusion: Although the overall correlation of .19 indicates that this item is correlated with reenlistment, the fact that 80.7% of the personnel answered the question in the upper three categories suggests that negligible positive effects on reenlistment would be realized from improvements in this area.

Variable No. 271, Opinion Regarding Quality of Merchandise in ship's store

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .05

TABLE C-27

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the quality of merchandise in the ship's store or Navy exchange?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	7	1.9	97	3.0	104	2.9	2.9	6.7
Just Fair	2	25	6.9	252	7.9	277	7.8	10.7	9.0
OK	3	116	31.9	1103	34.5	1219	34.2	44.9	9.5
Very Good	4	140	38.5	1324	41.4	1464	41.1	86.0	9.6
Excellent	5	76	20.9	423	13.2	499	14.0	100.0	15.2
Total		364	100.1	3199	100.0	3563	100.0	100.0	10.2

Conclusion: Although the small overall correlation of .05 shows that this question is positively related to reenlistment, the fact that 89.3% of the respondents answered the question in the upper three categories suggests that little positive effect would result from improvements in this area.

Variable No. 270, Opinion Regarding Variety of Merchandise in Ship's Store

Second Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .19

TABLE C-26

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the variety of merchandise in the ship's store or Navy exchange?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	7	5.2	5	7.5	12	6.0	6.0	58.3
Just Fair	2	15	11.2	12	17.9	27	13.4	19.4	55.6
OK	3	26	19.4	21	31.3	47	23.4	42.8	55.3
Very Good	4	62	46.3	25	37.3	87	43.3	86.1	71.3
Excellent	5	24	17.9	4	6.0	28	13.9	100.0	85.7
Total		134	100.0	67	100.0	201	100.0	100.0	66.7

Conclusion: Although the overall correlation of .19 indicates that this item is correlated with reenlistment, the fact that 80.7% of the personnel answered the question in the upper three categories suggests that negligible positive effects on reenlistment would be realized from improvements in this area.

Variable No. 271, Opinion Regarding Quality of Merchandise in ship's store

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .05

TABLE C-27

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the quality of merchandise in the ship's store or Navy exchange?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	7	1.9	97	3.0	104	2.9	2.9	6.7
Just Fair	2	25	6.9	252	7.9	277	7.8	10.7	9.0
OK	3	116	31.9	1103	34.5	1219	34.2	44.9	9.5
Very Good	4	140	38.5	1324	41.4	1464	41.1	86.0	9.6
Excellent	5	76	20.9	423	13.2	499	14.0	100.0	15.2
Total		364	100.1	3199	100.0	3563	100.0	100.0	10.2

Conclusion: Although the small overall correlation of .05 shows that this question is positively related to reenlistment, the fact that 89.3% of the respondents answered the question in the upper three categories suggests that little positive effect would result from improvements in this area.

Variable No. 273, Opinion Regarding Athletic Equipment in Gear Locker

Second Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .20

TABLE C-28

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the quantity, quality, and variety of athletic equipment you can check out from the athletic gear locker?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	16	12.6	16	25.4	32	16.8	16.8	50.0
Just Fair	2	23	18.1	16	25.4	39	20.5	37.3	59.0
OK	3	49	38.6	19	30.2	68	35.8	73.1	72.1
Very Good	4	29	22.8	10	15.9	39	20.5	93.6	74.4
Excellent	5	10	7.9	2	3.2	12	6.3	99.9	83.3
Total		127	100.0	63	100.1	190	99.9	99.9	66.8

Conclusion: The overall correlation of .20 and the reenlistment rates shown in the last column of the table indicate that the Navy should continue to provide the present quantity, quality, and variety of athletic equipment available for second enlistment personnel.

Variable No. 275, Opinion of Ship's Parties, etc.

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .03

TABLE C-29

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate ship's parties, picnics, smokers, etc., your command may sponsor?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	96	26.5	968	30.7	1064	30.3	30.3	9.0
Just Fair	2	83	22.9	681	21.6	764	21.8	52.1	10.9
OK	3	91	25.1	834	26.5	925	26.3	78.4	9.8
Very Good	4	66	18.2	513	16.3	579	16.5	94.9	11.4
Excellent	5	26	7.2	154	4.9	180	5.1	100.0	14.4
Total		362	99.9	3150	100.0	3512	100.0	100.0	10.3

Conclusion: The small overall correlation of .03 and the slight increase in reenlistment rates shown in the last column of the table indicate that this question is positively related to reenlistment although changes in this area would probably result in negligible improvement in the reenlistment rate.

Variable No. 276, Opinion Regarding Variety of Grocery Items in Commissary

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .06

TABLE C-30

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the variety of grocery items stocked in the commissary store?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	9	4.1	134	7.4	143	7.0	7.0	6.3
Just Fair	2	16	7.3	153	8.4	169	8.3	15.3	9.5
OK	3	71	32.6	655	36.1	726	35.7	51.0	9.8
Very Good	4	80	36.7	629	34.7	709	34.9	85.9	11.3
Excellent	5	42	19.3	244	13.4	286	14.1	100.0	14.7
Total		218	100.0	1815	100.0	2033	100.0	100.0	10.7

Conclusion: Although the overall correlation of .06 indicates a positive relationship with reenlistment, the fact that 84.7% of the personnel answered this question in the upper three categories suggests that little improvement in reenlistment could be expected from changes in this area.

Variable No. 277, Opinion Regarding Quality of Grocery Items in Commissary

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .07

TABLE C-31

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate the quality of grocery items stocked in the commissary store?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	7	3.3	94	5.3	101	5.1	5.1	6.9
Just Fair	2	16	7.5	166	9.3	182	9.1	14.2	8.8
OK	3	73	34.1	731	41.2	804	40.4	54.6	9.1
Very Good	4	84	39.3	592	33.3	676	34.0	88.6	12.4
Excellent	5	34	15.9	193	10.9	227	11.4	100.0	15.0
Total		214	100.1	1776	100.0	1990	100.0	100.0	10.8

Conclusion: Although the overall correlation of .07 indicates a positive relationship with reenlistment, the fact that 85.8% of the personnel answered this question in the upper three categories suggests that little improvement in reenlistment could be expected from changes in this area.

Variable No. 279, Opinion Regarding Adequacy of EM and CPO Clubs

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .05

TABLE C-32

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate your service club (that is, EM Club, PO Club, CPO Club, etc)?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	37	10.9	434	14.7	471	14.3	14.3	7.9
Just Fair	2	35	10.4	376	12.7	411	12.5	26.8	8.5
OK	3	118	34.9	988	33.5	1106	33.6	60.4	10.7
Very Good	4	92	27.2	832	28.2	924	28.1	88.5	10.0
Excellent	5	56	16.6	322	10.9	378	11.5	100.0	14.8
Total		338	100.0	2952	100.0	3290	100.0	100.0	10.3

Conclusion: Although the overall correlation of .05 and the reenlistment rates in the last column of the table show that this question is positively related to reenlistment, the fact that 73.2% of the respondents answered this question in the upper three categories suggests that negligible improvement in the reenlistment rate could be expected from changes in this area.

Variable No. 280, Opinion of Present Duty Station

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .11

TABLE C-33

Question: According to your own judgement, how do you rate your present duty station overall?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Poor	1	103	28.2	1277	39.8	1380	38.7	36.7	7.5
Just Fair	2	73	20.0	770	24.0	843	23.6	62.3	8.7
OK	3	106	29.0	797	24.9	903	25.3	87.6	11.7
Very Good	4	69	18.9	310	9.7	379	10.6	98.2	18.2
Excellent	5	14	3.8	51	1.6	65	1.8	100.0	21.5
Total		365	99.9	3205	100.0	3570	100.0	100.0	10.2

Conclusion: The overall correlation of .11 and the fact that 62.3% of the personnel answered this question in the lower two categories suggests that a positive effect could be expected from improvements in this area.

Variable No. 281, Attitude of Wife, Girl Friend and/or Family Regarding Reenlisting

First Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .27

TABLE C-34

Question: Which of the following best describes the feelings of your wife, girl friend and/or family concerning your future in the Navy?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Wants me to leave Navy	1	155	67.4	2099	93.7	2254	91.3	91.3	6.9
Wants me to reenlist	2	75	32.6	140	6.3	215	8.7	100.0	34.9
Total		230	100.0	2239	100.0	2469	100.0	100.0	9.3

Conclusion: The overall correlation of .27 indicates this question is correlated with reenlistment to a much higher degree than most of the preceding questions. Even more significant is the fact that the reenlistment rate of personnel whose families wanted them to reenlist is five times greater than those whose families opposed reenlistment. Therefore, it follows that concerted effort by the Navy to influence dependents and friends in a positive direction toward the Navy and reenlisting would undoubtedly effect the reenlistment rate in a significant manner.

Variable No. 281, Attitude of Wife, Girl Friend and/or Family Regarding Reenlisting

Second Enlistment Population

Coefficient of Correlation With "Reenlistment Decision": .39

TABLE C-35

Question: Which of the following best describes the feelings of your wife, girl friend and/or family regarding your future in the Navy?

Response	Scale	Number Reenlisting	Percent of Total Reenlistees	Number Not Reenlisting	Percent of Total Non Reenlistees	Total	Percent of Sample	Cum. % of Total	Reenlistment Rate
Wants me to leave Navy	1	40	49.4	47	87.0	87	64.4	64.4	46.0
Wants me to Reenlist	2	41	50.6	7	13.0	48	35.6	100.0	85.4
Total		81	100.0	54	100.0	135	100.0	100.0	60.0

Conclusion: With regard to second enlistment personnel, the overall correlation of .39 is higher than for first enlistment personnel shown on the preceding page. It can also be seen that the reenlistment rate of personnel whose families favored reenlistment was forty points higher than the rate for personnel whose families opposed reenlistment. Therefore, any changes leading toward improving the opinion of dependents and family toward the Navy would undoubtedly have a significant positive effect upon the reenlistment rate.

Unclassified
Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D		
<i>(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)</i>		
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) U. S. Naval Personnel Research Activity San Diego, California 92152		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified
		2b. GROUP
3. REPORT TITLE A STUDY OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL RETENTION IN THE NAVY		
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) A Final Report		
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name) John S. Malone		
6. REPORT DATE September 1967	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 96	7b. NO. OF REFS 11
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) SRR 68-6	
b. PROJECT NO. PF016080103		
c.	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
d.		
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Chief of Naval Personnel (Pers-A3) Navy Department Washington, D. C. 20370	
13. ABSTRACT This report presents the results of a research study designed to identify socio-economic, in-service, and personal variables related to the reenlistment rate of enlisted personnel in their first and second enlistments. The major source of data was a questionnaire administered on site to over 5,000 Navy men. (U) The major conclusion concerning first enlistment personnel is that this population is heterogeneous and, therefore, general Navy policy and procedure changes would probably have negligible effects on reenlistments. The second enlistment population, however, was relatively homogeneous and could probably be affected more by general Navy policy and procedure changes relative to reenlistment. (U) Copies of the Correlation Matrices for the first and second enlistment populations are contained in Technical Supplement to this report (SRR 68-6A). (U)		

DD FORM 1473 (PAGE 1)
NOV 65
S/N 0101-807-6801

Unclassified
Security Classification

Unclassified
Security Classification

14	KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
		ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT