File Copy



-

BB BB RI 1855

MEMORANDUM REPORT NO. 1855

PROOF TESTING AND COMPUTER ANALYSIS OF BRL 81/26-MM LIGHT-GAS GUN

by

Vural Oskay

July 1967

Distribution of this document is unlimited.

U. S. ARMY MATERIEL COMMAND BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

١.

X

١

; -

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute indorsement of any commercial product.

BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES

MEMORANDUM REPORT NO. 1855

JULY 1967

PROOF TESTING AND COMPUTER ANALYSIS OF BRL 81/26-MM LIGHT-GAS GUN

Vural Oskay

Exterior Ballistics Laboratory

Distribution of this document is unlimited.

RDT&E Project No. 1P014501A33D

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND

BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES

MEMORANDUM REPORT NO. 1855

VOskay/sjw Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md. July 1967

PROOF TESTING AND COMPUTER ANALYSIS OF BRL 81/26-MM LIGHT-GAS GUN

ABSTRACT

A computer program and its use to simulate the performance of a light-gas gun is described. This technique is applied to simulate proof tests of the 81/26-mm light-gas gun. The analysis is extended to determine performance characteristics and maximum muzzle velocity attainable with a 29-gram launch weight. Results of computer analyses indicate that a sabot/model combination of that weight could be launched at a velocity above 21,000 feet per second if it could be designed to withstand about 1,000,000-g acceleration loads.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	
LIST OF FIGURES	
INTRODUCTION	
COMPUTER PROGRAM	
COMPUTER SIMULATION OF GUN PERFORMANCE	
PROOF TESTS OF 81/26-MM LIGHT-GAS GUN	
The Test	
The Test Results	
DETERMINATION OF PARAMETRIC CONSTANTS	
COMPUTED PERFORMANCE OF 81/26-MM LIGHT-GAS GUN	
REFERENCES	
DISTRIBUTION LIST	

•

.

LIST OF FIGURES

•

•

.

•

		Page
1	Photograph of the 81/26-mm light-gas gun	13
2	Schematic of the white range	13
3	Schematic of dual image Fastax camera station	15
4	Reproduction of a 16-mm Fastax camera record	15
5	Schematic of a shadowgraph station	15
6	Breech pressure vs powder weight, with piston shot start as parameter	19
7	Piston Velocity vs powder weight, with piston shot start as parameter	19
8	Piston performance vs powder weight, with piston resistance as parameter	19
9	Model velocity vs powder weight, with model resistance as parameter	21
10	Model velocity vs powder weight, with model shot start as parameter	21
11	Model velocity vs powder weight, with model shot start as parameter	23
12	Breech pressure vs powder weight for 81/26-mm gun	25
13	Computed piston velocity vs powder weight for 81/26-mm gun .	25
14	Computed model velocity vs powder weight for $81/26$ -mm gun .	26
15	Breech pressure, piston velocity and pressure ahead of piston as functions of piston travel	26
16	Model base pressure and velocity as functions of model travel	26
17	Model velocity vs time for 81/26-mm gun	27
18	Computed model acceleration vs time for 81/26-mm gun	27

INTRODUCTION

The 81/26-mm light-gas gun was built to launch models for obtaining interferometric data about high Mach number flows. Since the size of the models that can be used for such a study lies within narrow limits, it is important to know the capability of this launcher and its operating characteristics with a given model size (or launch weight).

Determination of gun performance and capabilities by actual testing is very costly and, therefore, impractical when hypervelocity launchers are involved. Some of the reasons for this impracticability are that: (a) these guns are hard to operate and firing is time consuming, (b) the type of information necessary to determine the details of performance would require the gun to be highly instrumented, thus further slowing the testing, and (c) these guns have very short lives owing to the high gas pressures and velocities involved. Hence, it is more convenient to perform a short series of proof tests for an indication of the gun performance at lower velocities and then simulate this performance with a computer program. When this simulation is completed, the program could further be used to determine the characteristics and the capabilities of the gun under loading conditions not covered during the proof testing. This report is an outgrowth of this process.

In the following pages, first a description of the computer program will be given, then the proof testing of the 81/26-mm light-gas gun will be discussed, and finally the mathematical simulation of these test results and the analysis of the gun performance will be described.

COMPUTER PROGRAM

An interior ballistic program developed by Baer and Smith^{1*} was used for the performance analysis of the 81/26-mm light-gas gun. This program utilizes the Richtmyer-Von Neuman "q" method to describe the

 $^{^*}$ Superscript numbers denote references which may be found on page 29.

motion of the light gas between the model and the piston. The gas column is divided into 50 mass points having volume, temperature, and pressure characteristics. Assumptions used in the mathematical model are as follows:

- 1. the light gas behaves as an ideal gas with constant specific heats
- 2. frictional losses experienced by the piston and the model in the pump and launch tubes, respectively, are functions of piston and model travel
- 3. frictional losses between the light gas and the gun, including the transition section, are negligible
- 4. heat loss from the light gas to the gun is negligible
- 5. conventional interior ballistic equations determine the pressure of the powder gas propelling the piston

The input data to the program include the following:

- 1. gun design parameters: powder chamber volume, pump tube dimensions, transition section dimensions, launch tube dimensions, and maximum allowable light-gas pressure during the launching cycle
- 2. gun loading parameters: piston weight and length, lightgas properties (specific heat ratio, initial pressure and temperature, molecular weight), and model weight
- 3. igniter data: weight, specific heat ratio, force constant, and flame temperature
- 4. powder data: weight, specific heat ratio, force constant, burning rate constants (α and β), flame temperature, and grain dimensions of the propellant
- 5. parametric constants: shot-start pressure (defined as the pressure equivalent of the force required to initiate projectile motion) and resistive pressure (defined as the pressure equivalent of the dissipative forces, such as tube friction, encountered during the launch cycle) for both the piston and the model (These constants can also be taken into account if any of the above assumptions prove to be erroneous during the attempt to match the computer results with the actual test data.)
- 6. duration of the print interval

The output of the computer program is given in both graphical and tabulated form. The plotted curves show (a) piston velocity, breech pressure, and pressure immediately ahead of the piston as functions of piston travel; and (b) model velocity and base pressure as functions of model travel.

Each interval of the printout includes:

- 1. time elapsed since ignition
- 2. piston position, velocity, and acceleration
- 3. piston configuration (position, velocity, area of both the leading and the trailing faces of the piston, and the piston length)
- 4. breech pressure and piston base pressure
- 5. model position, velocity, and acceleration
- 6. pressure immediately ahead of the piston
- 7. model base pressure
- 8. maximum light-gas pressure between the piston and the model
- 9. percentage of propellant burned
- 10. position, velocity, temperature, pressure, and local Mach number of each of the 50 mass points between the piston and the model

The launch cycle terminates when the model leaves the launch tube; the muzzle velocity of the model and the maximum light-gas pressure encountered during the launch cycle are also displayed in its printout.

COMPUTER SIMULATION OF GUN PERFORMANCE

Performance of a light-gas gun is simulated under the assumptions described in the previous section if the calculated muzzle velocity of the model is matched to the experimentally obtained value for the same loading conditions. These loading conditions and the model velocity are determined by the existing test data; the gun dimensions are fixed by the design of the gun. With the input data fixed, matching of the calculated and the experimental muzzle velocities could be attained only by varying the values of the parametric constants of the program, i.e., shot-start and resistive pressures for both the piston and the model. Therefore, simulation of the performance of a light-gas gun could be accomplished only after the completion of (a) at least a partial proof testing of the gun to determine its operating characteristics and (b) a computer study to determine the best values of the parametric constants of the program in order to match the test data obtained during the proof tests.

The test set-up and the results of the proof tests of the 81/26-mm light-gas gun are described in the next section. Another section is reserved for the description of the parametric study based on these proof tests.

PROOF TESTS OF 81/26-MM LIGHT-GAS GUN

The 81/26-mm light-gas gun, shown in Figure 1, is a two-stage gun with a powder-chamber volume of 620 cubic inches. The pump tube (3.2inch inside diameter and 238.8-inch length) holds the piston, and is pressurized to 200 psig with helium. The 1.015-inch inside diameter, 216-inch long launch tube is connected to the pump tube through a long conical transition section 12.9 inches long.

Models used during the proof testing of this gun were 29-gram 1.5caliber long, Lexan cylinders. Their weight, thus their length, was selected to simulate the expected weight of a model (saboted, 3/4-inch aluminum sphere) to be used in a later test. The model was separated from the pump tube by a Lexan shear disk 1/4 inch thick.

The Test

Proof tests consisted of firing the gun several times with each of three different powder weights and determining average breech pressures and model velocities as functions of powder weight. Breech pressures were measured with one hat-gage and two T-18 copper-crush gages (the



Figure 1. Photograph of the 81/26-mm light-gas gun



Figure 2. Schematic of the white range

copper-crush gages giving breech pressure values, on the average, about 1000 psi lower than the hat-gage). For the gun simulation computations, the breech pressures obtained with the hat-gage were used.

The White Range² instrumentation scheme was used in the determination of the muzzle velocities. This free-flight range, schematically shown in Figure 2, consists of two dump tanks and an instrument tank. The first dump tank is 5 feet in diameter, 32 feet long and contains two smear camera stations. The first camera is 4 feet from the gun muzzle, and it is used only to observe sabot separation. The second smear camera is used for velocity determination. The second dump tank is 10 feet in diameter and 16 feet long. It contains one of three Fresnelllens shadowgraph stations. The instrument tank is also 10 feet in diameter. It is 64 feet long and contains the remaining two shadowgraph stations and a Mach-Zehnder interferometer.

A complete description of the instrumentation of the White Range is given in Reference 2; only those parts of the instrumentation pertinent to velocity determination will be discussed here.

The first velocity measurement is made by the dual-image Fastex camera station, shown schematically in Figure 3. This smear camera uses a photographic technique developed at the Naval Research Laboratory in Washington, D.C.³ The camera takes two smear photographs of the model and sabot as they pass in front of the two viewing ports. By use of a mirror system, both images are exposed on a single strip of film, Figure 4, traveling through the camera. Due to the motion of the film, these images are displaced relative to one another. Since the speed of the film can be accurately determined by the timing marks, the time required for the model to travel the distance between the two ports is easily calculated by measuring the displacement between the two images. Hence, a good velocity determination can be made for the model and for the sabot parts.

The shadowgraph stations are used to obtain three additional velocities along the trajectory of the model. Each station, Figure 5, is located by an optical survey to within 0.010 inch. A beaded-wire $1\frac{1}{4}$



Figure 3. Schematic of dual image Fastax camera station



Figure 4. Reproduction of a 16-mm Fastax camera record



Figure 5. Schematic of a shadowgraph station

.

reference system is also located by the survey and is used to determine the model's position in space. A 1.6-megacycle Potter counter chronograph is connected to the electrical circuit of each shadowgraph station. These chronographs are started by a signal from the firing circuit of the gun and stopped by the triggering of the spark sources of the corresponding shadowgraph stations. Readings from the counters and the known distances between the stations permit determination of model velocity along its trajectory.

This network of data stations gives average model velocities at the following distances from the gun muzzle:

Location	Distance (ft)
Second smear camera station	26.0
Between shadowgraph stations 1 and 2	64.5
Between shadowgraph stations 1 and 3	74.5
Between shadowgraph stations 2 and 3	86.5

Model velocities thus determined are then extrapolated back to the gun for an estimate of the muzzle velocity. This method is good for determining the velocity of each round; but when used to determine the range of muzzle velocities of tumbling cylinders, for a given loading condition, the result is a larger velocity dispersion than would be encountered with spheres due to drag variations from round-to-round.

The Test Results

For ease of handling, a 3/4-inch aluminum sphere and sabot were simulated with a 29-gram, 1.5-caliber long Lexan cylinder during the proof test. The gun loading conditions were:

Piston weight	10 pounds
Pump-tube gas	Helium at 200 psig
Range pressure	76 mm mercury (absolute)

Also, 2.0, 2.5, and 3.0 pounds of M6-MP (0.0375-inch web) powder were used during the tests.

Test data used to determine the parametric constants of the computer program were (a) average breech pressures measured with the hat-gage, and (b) average muzzle velocities. These data are listed below as functions of powder weight:

Powder weight (lb)	No. of rounds	Average breech pressure (psi)	Average muzzle Velocity (ft/sec)
2.0	3	5170	10,400
2.5	6	6020	11,800
3.0	3	8460	13,400

DETERMINATION OF PARAMETRIC CONSTANTS

Simulation of the gun performance was accomplished by determining values of the parametric constants of the computer program which permitted the best matching of the test results listed in the previous section. A description of this procedure follows.

As stated previously, the input to the program includes gun dimensions, propellant characteristics, light gas properties, gun loading conditions, and values of the four parametric constants. For reference, these input data are summarized below:

1. Gun dimensions

Powder chamber volume	620.0 cubic inches
Pump tube diameter	3.2 inches
Pump tube length	238.4 inches
Transition section length	12.9 inches
Transition section shape	Conical
Launch tube diameter	1.015 inches
Launch tube length	216.0 inches

2. Propellant data

Igniter type	Black powder
Igniter weight	0.131 pound
Powder type	M6-MP, 0.0375-inch web
Powder weight(s)	2.0, 2.5, and 3.0 pounds

3. Nominal gun loading conditions

4.

Piston weight Piston length Model weight Pump-tube gas Initial pump-tube gas temperature Initial pump-tube gas pressure	10 pounds 14 inches 29 grams Helium 350 ⁰ K 200 psig
Parametric constants	
Piston shot-start pressure	e 2000, 3000, 4000, and 5000 psi with 500 psi for piston resistive pressure
Piston resistive pressure	0, 500, and 1000 psi with 4000 psi for piston shot-start pressure
Model shot-start pressure	2000, 2500, and 3000 psi with 0 psi for model resistive pressure
Model resistive pressure	0, 200, and 400 psi with 2000 psi for model shot- start pressure

The nature of the assumptions made in arriving at the mathematical model of the light-gas gun performance enables a parametric study like this to be divided into two parts:

- a. determination of shot-start and resistive pressures for the piston, because its performance is governed by the conventional interior ballistic equations as modified only by the pressure ahead of the piston
- b. determination of the model shot-start and resistive pressures to match the existing test data once the values of the parametric constants of the piston were set.

Figures 6, 7, and 8 show results of the effort to determine best values of the piston shot-start and resistive pressures. Since the model parametric constants do not affect the calculated piston performance, they are not indicated on the figures.













Figures 6 and 7 show effects of piston shot-start pressure on the breech pressure and the piston velocity, respectively. These graphs indicate that both the breech pressure and the piston velocity increase with increasing piston shot-start pressure. Test data shown in Figure 6 are the breech pressures measured with hat-gages during the proof tests of the 81/26-mm light-gas gun. From the results indicated in Figure 6, we can conclude that the value of the piston shot-start pressure which best simulates the available data is 4000 psi. The difference shown in Figure 6 between measured and computed breech pressures at 3.0-pound powder weight is thought to be largely due to a chance combination of experimental variables. One of these variables is the diameter of the piston. If, because of machining tolerances, the diameter of the pistons used during the 3.0-pound powder-weight tests were larger than the others, then higher shot-start pressures would result, with consequently higher breech pressures.

Figure 8 shows the effects of piston resistive pressure on the piston performance. Indications are that increased piston resistive pressure increases the breech pressure while decreasing the piston velocity. Test data shown in Figure 8 are again the measurements obtained during the proof testing. According to these measurements, any value of the piston resistive pressure between 0 and 500 psi can simulate the piston performance. For the remainder of this study, 500 psi is used.

After the values parametric constants of the piston were determined, an attempt was made to determine the effect of varying model resistive pressure on the muzzle velocity for an arbitrary shot-start pressure, say 2000 psi. Results of these calculations are shown in Figure 9. Since the ratio of model bearing area to its cross-sectional area is about one-fourth the same ratio for the piston, a model resistive pressure of 200 psi was used as a starting point. 0 and 400 psi were used to obtain additional curves as shown in Figure 9. These curves indicate that for the calculated conditions the model velocity increases with increasing resistive pressure, the reverse of the result obtained for the piston performance. This anomalous result may be an indication that



Figure 9. Model velocity vs powder weight, with model resistance as parameter



Figure 10. Model velocity vs powder weight, with model shot-start as parameter

the launch tube is not long enough for the friction effects to start dominating the gun performance. Calculations also indicate that the model base pressure is several orders of magnitude larger than any value of model resistive pressure that could reasonably be assumed, thus reducing the effects of the latter even further. Therefore, during the remainder of this study, the value of model resistive pressure is assumed to be 0 psi.

To complete the simulation of the 81/26-mm light-gas gun performance, the value of the model shot-start pressure needed to be determined. Results of this determination are presented in Figure 10. A comparison with the experimentally obtained model velocities, shown in the figure by the scatter bars, indicates that a model shot-start pressure of 2500 psi gives the best simulation of the gun performance under the assumed conditions.

At this point in the study, it was noticed that the value of the initial gas temperature used in the analysis, 350° K, was erroneous. Therefore, calculations to determine the model shot-start pressure were repeated with the correct initial gas temperature, 300° K. The reasons for not repeating the other parts of the study are that: (a) piston performance is affected only by the propellant and the parametric constants of the piston, and (b) effects of the initial gas temperature on the value of model resistive pressure are expected to be minimal.

Results of this second effort to determine the value of model shot-start pressure are shown in Figure 11. Also indicated in this figure are the model velocity data obtained during the proof testing of the 81/26-mm light-gas gun. This graph indicates that the value of model shot-start pressure which best simulates the gun performance is 2800 psi.

In summary, we can list the values of the parametric constants used during the computed performance analysis of the gun:

Piston shot-start pressure	4000	psi
Piston resistive pressure	500	psi
Model shot-start pressure	2800	psi
Model resistive pressure	0	psi



Figure 11. Model velocity vs powder weight, with model shot-start as parameter

COMPUTED PERFORMANCE OF 81/26-MM LIGHT-GAS GUN

After the parametric constants are determined, the computer program can be used to predict the performance of the gun with any loading condition. In this section we will (a) determine the maximum muzzle velocity, (b) display launch cycle characteristics under one loading condition, and (c) analyze the effect of doubling the powder weight on the model velocity and acceleration as functions of elapsed time.

In order to obtain a realistic value of the maximum model velocity, a strength limitation must be imposed on the gun. For this study, the strength limit was set so that the maximum permissible light-gas pressure during any part of the launch cycle must be less than 250,000 psi. This limit was reached with a powder weight slightly over 5.25 pounds for the loading conditions under consideration. The resulting muzzle velocity for a 29-gram launch weight was 21,700 feet per second. Computed breech pressure and piston velocity dependence on the powder weight are shown in Figures 12 and 13, respectively. In Figure 12, the breech pressures measured during the proof tests are also shown for comparison. Since no test data were available for powder weights larger than 3.0 pounds, it is not possible to estimate the quality of simulation obtained in that regime.

Figure 13 shows that for the loading conditions under consideration the maximum calculated piston velocity is still below the initial speed of sound of the light gas (helium). In spite of both this and the low value of piston acceleration, there exists a system of strong shock waves in the launch tube; this will be shown later.

The variation of model velocity as a function of powder weight is shown in Figure 14. This curve indicates that the maximum attainable velocity, for the existing gun design and loading conditions considered, is already being approached.

Figures 15 and 16 show various aspects of gun performance during a launching cycle. These figures were obtained for a powder weight of 2.5 pounds. Variations of the piston velocity, breech pressure, and pressure of the pump-tube gas just ahead of the piston as functions of piston travel are shown in Figure 15. Figure 16 depicts the computed model velocity and base pressure as the model travels down the launch tube. Sharp peaks in the curve for the base pressure are indications of the existance of a system of shock waves that are traveling along the launch tube. This phenomenon is more evident in the printed output. Another interesting observation is that for the last 100 inches the model travels, the base pressure oscillates between 11,000 and 12,000 psi as compared to 9800 psi that would be required for the constant acceleration launching cycle.

Figures 17 and 18 compare the launcher operation at two different powder weights. Figure 17 shows model velocity as a function of time elapsed from the start of the model motion for powder weights of 2.5 and 5.0 pounds. One obvious difference between these two curves is the fact that the total launching cycle is about 30 percent shorter for the



Figure 12. Breech pressure vs powder weight for 81/26-mm gun



Figure 13. Computed piston velocity vs powder weight for 81/26-mm gun













Figure 18. Computed model acceleration vs time for 81/26-mm gun

5.0-pound powder curve. Another important difference is that the 2-1/2pound powder-weight curve is approaching a constant acceleration cycle during the last millisecond of the launching, as shown by the almost linear increase of the model velocity with time, while the velocity history of the 5-pound powder-weight curve does not bear any relation to a constant acceleration launching cycle. Finally, from the velocity data for the 5-pound powder-weight calculations, we can see that the model base pressure is falling rapidly, as it would for a conventional gun. The reason for this behavior is apparent from the printout data for this computation, i.e., the model velocity is about three times the instantaneous local speed of sound at the time of exit.

Acceleration histories for these two calculations are compared in Figure 18. Facts which were implied by the velocity-time relationships are more clearly indicated by these curves. For the low powder-weight launching cycle, the model acceleration oscillates about an average value of 145,000-g (compared with a value of 124,000-g required by the constant acceleration cycle) during the last millisecond of the launching. The acceleration history of the high powder-weight launching is characterized by an "Alpine" peak reaching 870,000-g, compared with a constant acceleration of 391,000-g needed for the same model velocity.

Based on these computer analyses, some of which are discussed above, it is concluded that the BRL 81/26-mm light-gas gun is capable of launching 29-gram saboted models at velocities just under 22,000 feet per second. However, this would require sophisticated model and sabot designs which will remain intact under acceleration loads of approximately 1,000,000-g.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to F. J. Brandon for his help during the tests and the preparation of this report, and to P. G. Baer of Interior Ballistics Laboratory and J. T. Harrison of Computer Laboratory for their assistance and suggestions with the computer program used in this study.

REFERENCES

- P. G. Baer and H. C. Smith, "Experimental and Theoretical Studies on the Interior Ballistics of Light Gas Guns," Proceedings of the Sixth Symposium on Hypervelocity Impact, Volume 1, August 1963, pp 41-106.
- 2. J. W. Bradley, V. Oskay and J. R. Patchell, "An Interferometric Study of a 3/4-inch Sphere at Mach 9.66 in Air," Ballistic Research Laboratories Memorandum Report No. 1665, AD 475554, June 1965.
- 3. S. D. Bailey, A. B. J. Clark, D. A. Hall and H. F. Swift, "Facilities and instrumentation of NRL Hypervelocity Laboratories," Proceedings of the Third Symposium on Hypervelocity Impact, Volume 1, pp 385-404.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of	
<u>Copies</u>	Organization

- 20 Commander Defense Documentation Center ATTN: TIPCR Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314
- 1 Commanding General U.S. Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCRD-TE Washington, D.C. 20315
- 1 Commanding General U.S. Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCRD-M Washington, D.C. 20315
- 1 Commanding Officer U.S. Army Engineer Research & Development Laboratories ATTN: STINFO Div Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060
- 1 Commanding Officer U.S. Army Frankford Arsenal ATTN: SMUFA-L8100 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19137
- 2 Commanding Officer U.S. Army Picatinny Arsenal ATTN: SMUPA-V SMUPA-D, Mr. S. Kravitz Dover, New Jersey 07801
- 1 Commanding Officer U.S. Army Research Office (Durham) Box CM, Duke Station Durham, North Carolina 27706

No. of

Copies Organization

3 Commander U.S. Naval Air Systems Command Headquarters ATTN: AIR-5367 RMMP-331 RRE-6 Washington, D.C. 20360

- 3 Commander U.S. Naval Ordnance Systems Command Headquarters ATTN: ORD-9132 Washington, D.C. 20360
- 2 Commander U.S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory ATTN: Code 730, Lib Code 321, Mr. J. Fedenia Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
- 1 Commander U.S. Naval Ordnance Plant ATTN: Lib Engr Dept, Mr. K. Brown Louisville, Kentucky 40200
- 1 Commander U.S. Naval Ordnance Test Station ATTN: Code 753, Lib China Lake, California 93557
- 1 Superintendent U.S. Naval Postgraduate School ATTN: Tech Repts Sec Monterey, California 93940
- 2 Director U.S. Naval Research Laboratory ATTN: Code 6240, Mr. W. Atkins Code 6420 CBD Mr. C. Porter Washington, D.C. 20390

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. o:	f	No. of	
Copies	<u>Organization</u>	Copies	Organization
_		-	
Ţ	Commander	T	Director
	ATTN. MAL Tech Lib		Administration
	Dahlgren, Virginia 22448		Goddard Space Flight Center
			ATTN: Code 252, Lib Br
1	AEDC		Greenbelt, Maryland 20771
	Arnold AFS	-	
	Tennessee 37389	T	Director
٦	ልፑልጥፒ. (ልጥ₩)		ATTN. Mr. I. Newlan
1	Eglin AFB		4800 Oak Grove Drive
	Florida 32542		Pasadena, California 91103
1	APGC (PGBPS-12)	1	Director
	Eglin AFB		Administration
	F10F1da 52742		Langley Research Center
l	Headquarters		ATTN: MS-185, Tech Lib
	National Aeronautics and Space		Langley Station
	Administration .		Hampton, Virginia 23365
	ATTN: Code US	٦	Director
	washington, D.C. 20940	Ŧ	National Aeronautics and Space
l	Director		Administration
	NASA Scientific and Technical		Lewis Research Center
	Information Facility		ATTN: MS-60-3, Tech Lib
	ATTN: SAK/DL		21000 Brookpark Road
	College Park Maryland 20740		cieverand, onio 4415)
	correge rain, margiana 20110	l	Director
1	Director		Applied Physics Laboratory
	National Aeronautics and Space		The Johns Hopkins University
	Administration		ATTN: Solid Propellant Info
	Ames Research Center		Agey 8621 Georgia Avenue
	Mr. A. Boissevain		Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
	Moffett Field, California 940	35	
		1.	Aerojet-General Corporation
1	Director		ATTN: Lib
	National Aeronautics and Space	2	Aguan Colifornia 01703
	George C. Marshall Space Fligh	t	Azusa, calliolmia 91/05
	Center	1	ARO, Incorporated
	ATTN: MS-I, Lib		Von Karman Gas Dynamics Facility
	Huntsville, Alabama 35812		ATTN: Mr. J. Lukasiewicz
			Arnold AFS
		30	rennessee 31309

DISTRIBUTION LIST

•

.

, .

.

.

No. o:	f N	Io. 0:	f
Copie	s <u>Organization</u> (Copies	<u>Organization</u>
1	Arthur D. Little, Inc. ATTN: Mr. W. Varley 15 Acorn Park Cambridge, Massachusetts 02140	1	IIT Research Institute ATTN: Mr. F. Zimmerman 10 West 35th Street Chicago, Illinois 60616
1	Atlantic Research Corporation Shirley Highway at Edsall Road Alexandria, Virginia 22314	1	Lincoln Laboratory (MIT) ATTN: Mr. R. Slattery 244 Wood Street Lexington, Massachusetts 02173
l	AVCO Corporation Research & Advanced Development Division ATTN: Mr. W. Reinecke 201 Lowell Street Wilmington, Massachusetts 0188	2 1 87	Midwest Research Institute ATTN: Lib 425 Volker Blvd Kansas City, Missouri 64110
1	Boeing Company Aerospace Division ATTN: MS 09-94, Mr. W. Harris P.O. Box 3707 Seattle, Washington 98124	1	Denver Research Institute ATTN: Mr. W. Howell P.O. Box 10127 Denver, Colorado 80210
l	Douglas Aircraft Company, Inc. ATTN: Mr. R. Teng 3000 Ocean Park Blvd Santa Monica, California 90406	ADE	Ch, Tech Lib Air Force Ln Ofc Marine Corps Ln Ofc Navy Ln Ofc
l	General American Transportation Corporation Mechanics Research Division ATTN: Mr. P. Rosenberg 7501 North Natchez Avenue Niles, Illinois 60648	L	CDC Ln Ofc
l	General Motors Corporation Defense Research Laboratories ATTN: Mr. W. Rogers Santa Barbara, California 93108		

DUCUMEN (Security classification of title, body of abstract and		C & D	he overall report is classified)	
ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	moexing amolance meet be	28. REPORT	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	
U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laborat	ories	Uncla	ssified	
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland		25. GROUP		
REPORT TITLE		- 1		
PROOF TESTING AND COMPUTER ANALYSIS	OF BRL 81/26-MM L	IGHT-GAS (JUN	
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusion dates)			· ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
. AUTHOR(5) (First name, middle initial, last name)				
Vural Oskav				
. THIN 1067	7#. TOTAL NO. כ ככ	OF PAGES	76. NO. OF REFS	
. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.) IV/PE P(S)	
	M	ALL D.	- N- 1955	
6. PROJECT NO. RDT&E 1P014501A33D	Memoran	dum Report	5 No. 1855	
с.	95. OTHER REP	ORT NO(S) (Any	other numbers that may be assi	
	une report)			
d				
0. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT				
I. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING	MILITARY AC	τινιτγ	
I. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING U.S. Arm Washingto	MILITARY AC y Materiel on, D.C.	Command	
I. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	U.S. Arm Washingto	Military Ac y Materiel on, D.C.	Command	
I. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12 SPONSORING U.S. Arm Washingto	MILITARY AC y Materiel on, D.C.	Command	
ABSTRACT A computer program and its use to sir	12 SPONSORING U.S. Arm Washingto nulate the perform	Military ac y Materiel on, D.C. mance of a	Command L Command	
ABSTRACT A computer program and its use to sin described. This technique is applied	u.S. Arm U.S. Arm Washingto nulate the perform i to simulate proc	MILITARY AC Materiel on, D.C. mance of a	Command L Command a light-gas gun is of the 81/26-mm light	
ABSTRACT A computer program and its use to sin described. This technique is applied gas gun. The analysis is extended to	nulate the perform d to simulate perform	MILITARY AC Materiel on, D.C. mance of a of tests of rmance cha	TIVITY L Command a light-gas gun is of the 81/26-mm ligh aracteristics and	
ABSTRACT A computer program and its use to sin described. This technique is applied gas gun. The analysis is extended to maximum muzzle velocity attainable wi analyses indicate that a sebot/model	nulate the perform d to simulate perform ith a 29-gram lau combination of the	MILITARY AC y Materiel on, D.C. mance of a of tests of rmance cha ach weight	TIVITY Command a light-gas gun is of the 81/26-mm ligh aracteristics and c. Results of compu	
ABSTRACT A computer program and its use to sim described. This technique is applied gas gun. The analysis is extended to maximum muzzle velocity attainable wi analyses indicate that a sabot/model a velocity above 21.000 feet per seco	nulate the perform d to simulate perform ith a 29-gram lau combination of th	MILITARY AC Materiel on, D.C. mance of a of tests of mance cha nch weight hat weight designed	a light-gas gun is of the 81/26-mm light aracteristics and c. Results of compu- could be launched	
ABSTRACT A computer program and its use to sin described. This technique is applied gas gun. The analysis is extended to maximum muzzle velocity attainable wi analyses indicate that a sabot/model a velocity above 21,000 feet per seco 1,000,000-g acceleration loads.	nulate the perform d to simulate proc o determine perfo ith a 29-gram lau combination of th ond if it could be	MILITARY AC y Materiel on, D.C. mance of a of tests of mance cha hch weight hat weight e designed	TIVITY L Command a light-gas gun is of the 81/26-mm ligh aracteristics and t. Results of compu- t could be launched t to withstand about	
A computer program and its use to sin described. This technique is applied gas gun. The analysis is extended to maximum muzzle velocity attainable wi analyses indicate that a sabot/model a velocity above 21,000 feet per seco 1,000,000-g acceleration loads.	nulate the perform d to simulate proto determine perform ith a 29-gram laun combination of the ond if it could be	MILITARY AC Materiel on, D.C. mance of a of tests of mance cha nch weight nat weight e designed	Command a light-gas gun is of the 81/26-mm ligh aracteristics and c. Results of compu- c could be launched t to withstand about	
ABSTRACT A computer program and its use to sin described. This technique is applied gas gun. The analysis is extended to maximum muzzle velocity attainable wi analyses indicate that a sabot/model a velocity above 21,000 feet per seco 1,000,000-g acceleration loads.	12. SPONSORING U.S. Arm Washingto nulate the perform d to simulate proto o determine perform ith a 29-gram laum combination of th bond if it could be	MILITARY AC y Materiel on, D.C. mance of a of tests of mance chan het weight at weight e designed	TIVITY Command a light-gas gun is of the 81/26-mm ligh aracteristics and c. Results of compu- c could be launched t to withstand about	
A computer program and its use to sin described. This technique is applied gas gun. The analysis is extended to maximum muzzle velocity attainable wi analyses indicate that a sabot/model a velocity above 21,000 feet per seco 1,000,000-g acceleration loads.	U.S. Arm U.S. Arm Washingto nulate the perform d to simulate prod o determine perform ith a 29-gram lau combination of th ond if it could be	MILITARY AC Materiel on, D.C. mance of a of tests o rmance cha nch weight hat weight e designed	TIVITY Command a light-gas gun is of the 81/26-mm ligh aracteristics and c. Results of compu- c could be launched t to withstand about	
A computer program and its use to sin described. This technique is applied gas gun. The analysis is extended to maximum muzzle velocity attainable wi analyses indicate that a sabot/model a velocity above 21,000 feet per seco 1,000,000-g acceleration loads.	u.S. Arm U.S. Arm Washingto nulate the perform d to simulate prod o determine perfor ith a 29-gram lau combination of th ond if it could be	MILITARY AC Materiel on, D.C. mance of a of tests o rmance cha nch weight hat weight e designed	TIVITY Command a light-gas gun is of the 81/26-mm ligh aracteristics and c. Results of compu- c could be launched t to withstand about	
A computer program and its use to sin described. This technique is applied gas gun. The analysis is extended to maximum muzzle velocity attainable wi analyses indicate that a sabot/model a velocity above 21,000 feet per seco 1,000,000-g acceleration loads.	u.S. Arm Washingto nulate the perform d to simulate prod o determine perfor ith a 29-gram lau combination of th ond if it could be	MILITARY AC Materiel on, D.C. mance of a of tests o mance cha nch weight hat weight e designed	TIVITY I Command a light-gas gun is of the 81/26-mm ligh aracteristics and c. Results of compu- c could be launched I to withstand about	
A computer program and its use to sin described. This technique is applied gas gun. The analysis is extended to maximum muzzle velocity attainable wi analyses indicate that a sabot/model a velocity above 21,000 feet per seco 1,000,000-g acceleration loads.	u.S. Arm Washingto nulate the perform d to simulate prod o determine perfor ith a 29-gram lau combination of th ond if it could be	MILITARY AC y Materiel on, D.C. mance of a of tests of mance cha nch weight hat weight e designed	TIVITY Command a light-gas gun is of the 81/26-mm ligh aracteristics and c. Results of compu- c could be launched I to withstand about	
A computer program and its use to sin described. This technique is applied gas gun. The analysis is extended to maximum muzzle velocity attainable wi analyses indicate that a sabot/model a velocity above 21,000 feet per seco 1,000,000-g acceleration loads.	12. SPONSORING U.S. Arm Washingto nulate the perform d to simulate proto o determine perfo- ith a 29-gram laun combination of th ond if it could be	MILITARY AC y Materiel on, D.C. nance of a of tests of mance cha hch weight hat weight e designed	Command a light-gas gun is of the 81/26-mm ligh aracteristics and c. Results of compu- could be launched to withstand about	
A computer program and its use to sin described. This technique is applied gas gun. The analysis is extended to maximum muzzle velocity attainable wi analyses indicate that a sabot/model a velocity above 21,000 feet per seco 1,000,000-g acceleration loads.	12. SPONSORING U.S. Arm Washingto nulate the perform d to simulate proto o determine perform ith a 29-gram laun combination of th bond if it could be	MILITARY AC y Materiel on, D.C. mance of a of tests of mance chan ach weight hat weight e designed	TIVITY Command a light-gas gun is of the 81/26-mm ligh aracteristics and c. Results of compu- c could be launched t to withstand about	
ABSTRACT A computer program and its use to sin described. This technique is applied gas gun. The analysis is extended to maximum muzzle velocity attainable wi analyses indicate that a sabot/model a velocity above 21,000 feet per seco 1,000,000-g acceleration loads.	12. SPONSORING U.S. Arm Washingto nulate the perform d to simulate prodo o determine perform ith a 29-gram laun combination of th bond if it could be	MILITARY AC Materiel on, D.C. mance of a of tests of mance chan hat weight hat weight e designed	Command a light-gas gun is of the 81/26-mm ligh aracteristics and c. Results of compu- could be launched to withstand about	
A computer program and its use to sin described. This technique is applied gas gun. The analysis is extended to maximum muzzle velocity attainable wi analyses indicate that a sabot/model a velocity above 21,000 feet per seco 1,000,000-g acceleration loads.	12. SPONSORING U.S. Arm Washingto nulate the perform d to simulate prod o determine perform ith a 29-gram lau combination of th ond if it could be	MILITARY AC Materiel on, D.C. mance of a of tests of mance chan hat weight hat weight e designed	Command a light-gas gun is of the 81/26-mm ligh aracteristics and c. Results of compu- could be launched to withstand about	
A computer program and its use to sin described. This technique is applied gas gun. The analysis is extended to maximum muzzle velocity attainable wi analyses indicate that a sabot/model a velocity above 21,000 feet per seco 1,000,000-g acceleration loads.	12. SPONSORING U.S. Arm Washingto nulate the perform d to simulate prod o determine perfor ith a 29-gram lau combination of th ond if it could be	MILITARY AC Materiel on, D.C. mance of a of tests of mance chan hat weight hat weight e designed	TIVITY Command a light-gas gun is of the 81/26-mm ligh aracteristics and c. Results of compu- ; could be launched to withstand about	
A computer program and its use to sin described. This technique is applied gas gun. The analysis is extended to maximum muzzle velocity attainable wi analyses indicate that a sabot/model a velocity above 21,000 feet per seco 1,000,000-g acceleration loads.	12 SPONSORING U.S. Arm Washingto nulate the perform d to simulate prod o determine perfor ith a 29-gram lau combination of th ond if it could be	MILITARY AC y Materiel on, D.C. mance of a of tests of mance chan hat weight hat weight e designed	I Command a light-gas gun is of the 81/26-mm ligh aracteristics and c. Results of compu- could be launched to withstand about	
A computer program and its use to sin described. This technique is applied gas gun. The analysis is extended to maximum muzzle velocity attainable wi analyses indicate that a sabot/model a velocity above 21,000 feet per seco 1,000,000-g acceleration loads.	12 SPONSORING U.S. Arm Washingto nulate the perform d to simulate prod o determine perfor ith a 29-gram lau combination of th ond if it could be	MILITARY AC y Materiel on, D.C. mance of a of tests of rmance chan hat weight hat weight e designed	A light-gas gun is of the 81/26-mm light aracteristics and c. Results of compu- could be launched to withstand about	
Abstract A computer program and its use to sin described. This technique is applied gas gun. The analysis is extended to maximum muzzle velocity attainable wi analyses indicate that a sabot/model a velocity above 21,000 feet per seco 1,000,000-g acceleration loads.	12. SPONSORING U.S. Arm Washingto nulate the perform d to simulate proto o determine perform ith a 29-gram laun combination of th bond if it could be	MILITARY AC y Materiel on, D.C. mance of a of tests of rmance chan hach weight hat weight e designed	Command a light-gas gun is of the 81/26-mm ligh aracteristics and c. Results of compu- could be launched to withstand about	
A computer program and its use to sin described. This technique is applied gas gun. The analysis is extended to maximum muzzle velocity attainable wi analyses indicate that a sabot/model a velocity above 21,000 feet per seco 1,000,000-g acceleration loads.	12. SPONSORING U.S. Arm Washingto nulate the perform d to simulate proto to determine perform ith a 29-gram laun combination of th bond if it could be	MILITARY AC y Materiel on, D.C. mance of a of tests of mance chan hat weight hat weight e designed	Command a light-gas gun is of the 81/26-mm ligh aracteristics and c. Results of compu- could be launched to withstand about	

٠

٠

f ٠

, , 1

•

;

I. ŧ. t

> . .

Т

.....

.

	U	nc	:1	as	s	i	f	i	е	d	
--	---	----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	--

I.

÷.,

ROLE	K A WT	ROLE	K B WT	BOLE	K C
ROLE	WT	ROLE	W T	BOLE WT	
					w i
	Unc	Unclassif	Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified

Security Classification