

TECHNICAL REPORT

67-64-CM

AD 658062

**EFFECTS OF DYNEL FIBER BLENDING ON  
YARN SHRINKAGE AND  
WOVEN-FABRIC PROPERTIES**

by

Paul J. Angelo, Jr., David H. Pfister,  
John A. Goodwin and Victor Duxbury

Lowell Technological Institute Research Foundation  
Lowell, Massachusetts

Contract No. DA19-129-AMC-894 (N)

January 1967

UNITED STATES ARMY  
NATICK LABORATORIES  
Natick, Massachusetts 01760



Clothing and Organic Materials Division  
TS-150



DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official indorsement or approval of the use of such items.

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Distribution of this  
document is unlimited.

AD \_\_\_\_\_

TECHNICAL REPORT

67-64-CM

EFFECTS OF DYNEL FIBER BLENDING  
ON YARN SHRINKAGE AND  
WOVEN-FABRIC PROPERTIES

by

Paul J. Angelo, Jr.  
David H. Pfister  
John A. Goodwin  
Victor Duxbury

Lowell Technological Institute Research Foundation  
Lowell, Massachusetts

Contract No. DA19-129-AMC-894(N)

Series: TS-150

January 1967

Clothing and Organic Materials Division  
U.S. ARMY NATICK LABORATORIES  
Natick, Massachusetts 01760



## FOREWORD

The use of nonflammable synthetic fiber in tentage fabric, to meet new weight and bulk limitations, has presented many problems in attaining required waterproofness. Spinning and weaving characteristics have restricted the degree of fabric tightness obtainable on the loom. Also, these fibers do not swell when wetted, as does cotton, to close up remaining channels for water penetration. It has been necessary to develop new technology in design and manufacturing. Because of the unfamiliarity within the industry and low civilian market interest, the burden of development has been borne primarily by the Military.

The project described in the report is a phase of one approach in which a fiber type with high heat-shrinkage potential is incorporated in the yarns. After weaving, the fabric is contracted by heat treatment, to develop tightness. However, the effective closing of apertures has not been consistent in practice, and it appeared that the system is sensitive to the specific modes in yarn shrinkage, bulking and recontouring. It was believed that these behaviors were to a considerable extent controlled by the degree and pattern of interspersion of high-shrink and regular fibers within the yarns. This project is in exploration of the effects of such variables.

The investigation was initiated in January 1966 under Contract No. DA19-129-AMC-894(N). The contract was administered under the direction of the Clothing & Organic Materials Division, U.S. Army Natick Laboratories, with Mr. Richard D. Wells as Project Officer and Mr. Louis I. Weiner as alternate.

The significant contributions in yarn sectioning and photographic techniques made by Professor Fritz F. Kobayashi of the Lowell Technological Institute staff are acknowledged.

S.J. KENNEDY  
Director  
Clothing & Organic Materials Division

APPROVED:

DALE H. SIELING, Ph.D.  
Scientific Director

W. M. MANTZ  
Brigadier General, U.S.A.  
Commanding



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   | Page |
|---|------|
| List of Figures   | v    |
| List of Tables  | vii  |
| Abstract  | viii |
| 1. Introduction   | 1    |
| 2. Objectives and Requirements                              | 3    |
| a. Processing Phase I: Blending at Draw Frame               | 3    |
| b. Processing Phase II: Blending at Picker                  | 4    |
| c. Processing Phase III: Weaving                            | 4    |
| d. Processing Phase IV: Weaving of Phase I Yarns            | 5    |
| e. Laboratory Phase I: Fiber Distribution Patterns          | 5    |
| f. Laboratory Phase II: Evaluation of<br>Yarn Properties    | 6    |
| g. Laboratory Phase III: Evaluation of<br>Fabric Properties | 6    |
| 3. Processing Phase I: Blending at Draw Frame               | 7    |
| Manufacturing Procedures                                    | 7    |
| 4. Processing Phase II: Blending at Picker                  | 10   |
| Manufacturing Procedures                                    | 10   |
| 5. Processing Phase III: Weaving                            | 11   |
| Manufacturing Procedures                                    | 11   |
| 6. Processing Phase IV: Weaving of Phase I Yarns            | 13   |
| 7. Laboratory Phase I: Fiber Distribution Patterns          | 14   |
| Laboratory Procedures                                       | 14   |
| 8. Laboratory Phase II: Evaluation of Yarn Properties       | 17   |
| a. Test Procedures  | 17   |
| b. Comments   | 17   |
| c. Fiber Lengths on Removal from Shrunken Yarn              | 25   |
| d. Comments   | 25   |

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

|  | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| 9. Laboratory Phase III: Evaluation of Fabric Properties       | 27          |
| a. Scouring and Finishing Procedures                           | 27          |
| b. Water-Repellency Treatment Procedure                        | 27          |
| c. Test Procedures   | 28          |
| d. Comments  | 32          |
| 10. Observations   | 35          |
| Yarn Shrinkage Behavior  | 35          |
| 11. Conclusions  | 56          |
| 12. Recommendations  | 58          |
| Appendix: "Cup-Test" Procedure for Water-Repellency Evaluation | 59          |



## LIST OF FIGURES

| <u>Figure</u>   | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| 1. Shrinkage in Water of 100 Percent "H" and "R"-Type Yarns 16/1 cc               | 37          |
| 2. Shrinkage in Water of 4/4 RH Alt Yarns 16/1 cc                                 | 38          |
| 2A. Low-Twist 4/4 RH Alt D2   | 39          |
| 2B. Low-Twist 4/4 RH Alt D1   | 39          |
| 2C. High-Twist 4/4 RH Alt D2  | 39          |
| 2D. High-Twist 4/4 RH Alt D1  | 39          |
| 3. Shrinkage in Water of 4/4 RH Pr Yarns 16/1 cc                                  | 40          |
| 3A. Low-Twist 4/4 RH Pr D2  | 41          |
| 3B. Low-Twist 4/4 RH Pr D1  | 41          |
| 3C. High-Twist 4/4 RH Pr D1   | 41          |
| 4. Shrinkage in Water of 4/4 RH Core Yarns 16/1 cc                                | 42          |
| 4A. Low-Twist 4/4 RH Core D1  | 43          |
| 4B. Low-Twist 4/4 RH Core D2  | 43          |
| 4C. High-Twist 4/4 RH Core D1   | 43          |
| 4D. High-Twist 4/4 RH Core D2   | 43          |
| 5. Shrinkage in Water of 4/4 RH, 5/3 RH, and 3/5 RH Core Low-Twist Yarns 16/1 cc  | 44          |
| 5A. Low-Twist 5/3 RH Core D1  | 45          |
| 5B. Low-Twist 3/5 RH Core D1  | 45          |
| 6. Shrinkage in Water of 4/4 RH, 5/3 RH, and 3/5 RH Core High-Twist Yarns 16/1 cc | 46          |
| 6A. High-Twist 5/3 RH Core D1   | 47          |
| 6B. High-Twist 3/5 RH Core D1   | 47          |
| 7. Shrinkage in Water of 4/4 RDHD and 4/4 RHD Low-Twist Yarns 16/1 cc             | 48          |

LIST OF FIGURES (Cont'd)

|   | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| 7A. Low-Twist 4/4 RDHD Alt D1   | 49          |
| 7B. Low-Twist 4/4 RDHD Pr D1  | 49          |
| 7C. Low-Twist 4/4 RDHD Core D1  | 49          |
| 7D. Low-Twist 4/4 RHD Core D1   | 49          |
| 8. Shrinkage in Water of 4/4 RDHD and 4/4 RHD<br>High-Twist Yarns 16/1 cc                             | 50          |
| 8A. High-Twist 4/4 RDHD Pr D1   | 51          |
| 8B. High-Twist 4/4 RDHD Core D1   | 51          |
| 8C. High-Twist 4/4 RHD Core D1  | 51          |
| 9. Shrinkage in Water of 60/40 RH, 50/50 RH and<br>40/60 RH Picker-Blended Low-Twist Yarns 16/1 cc    | 52          |
| 9A. Low-Twist 60/40 RH Picker Blend   | 53          |
| 9B. Low-Twist 50/50 RH Picker Blend   | 53          |
| 9C. Low-Twist 40/60 RH Picker Blend   | 53          |
| 10. Shrinkage in Water of 60/40 RH, 50/50 RH, and<br>40/60 RH Picker-Blended High-Twist Yarns 16/1 cc | 54          |
| 10A. High-Twist 60/40 RH Picker Blend   | 55          |
| 10B. High-Twist 60/40 RH Picker Blend   | 55          |
| 10C. High-Twist 50/50 RH Picker Blend   | 55          |



## LIST OF TABLES

| <u>Table</u>   | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| I. Processing Phase I: Blending at Draw Frame                                    | 8           |
| II. Organizational Data  | 9           |
| III. Fillings Utilized   | 12          |
| IV. Fillings Used for Phase IV Weaving   | 13          |
| V. Yarn Test Results   | 15          |
| VI. Shrinkage Percent  | 18          |
| VII. Grand Mean Shrinkage Percent  | 24          |
| VIII. Fiber Length (in.) Analysis on Removal<br>from Shrunken Yarn               | 26          |
| IX. Half-Yard Fabric Samples, Ends and Picks, Air<br>Permeability and Shrinkage  | 29          |
| X. Five-Yard Fabric Samples Shrinkage Percent                                    | 30          |
| XI. Air Permeability (CFM) of Five-Yard Samples                                  | 31          |
| XII. Breaking Strength (lb) of Five-Yard Samples<br>(Water-Repellency Treated)   | 31          |
| XIII. Tearing Strength (lb) of Five-Yard Samples<br>(Water-Repellency Treated)   | 33          |
| XIV. Fabric Weight (oz/sq yd) of Five-Yard Samples<br>(Water-Repellency Treated) | 34          |
| XV. Water Repellency of Five-Yard Samples<br>(Water-Repellency Treated)          | 34          |

## ABSTRACT

Regular and high-shrink Dynel were blended in varying proportions and patterns and spun into 16<sup>s</sup> yarns with two twists. Microscopic examination showed semblances of expected groupings, but the high-shrink fibers tended to migrate to the outside. Shrinkages of the yarns in water (200°F) were measured under varying tensions. Minor loading reduced shrinkages to low levels, suggesting that mechanics of shrinkage in free yarn are different than those in fabric. There was little correlation of the yarn shrinkage results with the different combinations. Maximum shrinkage occurred in the 50/50, low-twist, draw-frame yarn with alternate feed. The least shrinkage in blended yarns was in low-twist yarns with greater proportions of high-shrink fiber. A 50/50 picker blend warp was woven with fillings from the various blends and twists. The fabrics were scoured and treated for water repellency. Highest shrinkage in scouring occurred with low-twist fillings while final shrinkage showed the reverse. High-twist filling yarns produced less air permeability. Differences in shrinkage and air permeability among various blend arrangements were not significant. There was little correlation among the results of yarn and fabric shrinkage and air permeability. All water repellency tests were poor; fabrics with low-twist fillings were better than those of high twist. Overall, among blended yarns, the 50/50 pair arrangements with one drawing seemed more favorable and distinctly better than the 50/50 picker blend. (All samples, including some woven with 100 percent regular shrink warp, have been retained by the U.S. Army Natick Laboratories for further analysis.)



## EFFECTS OF DYNEL FIBER BLENDING ON YARN SHRINKAGE AND WOVEN-FABRIC PROPERTIES

### 1. Introduction

The U. S. Army's continuing interest in lightweight tentage is greatly increasing because of new concepts of mobility. With modern systems for air and off-the-road transport, both weight and bulk become critical in deployment and support of operational forces. The limiting requirement has been that of nonflammability, leaving the choice between rather heavy flameproofing treatments for material such as the traditional cotton, or use of inherently nonflammable material. For the latter, the modacrylic fiber "Dynel"\* has been the only commercial material proven as meeting the requirement in practical evaluation.

Because of the physical characteristics of fibers of this type it is very difficult to weave a Dynel fabric tight enough for the necessary waterproofness. Also, unlike cotton, Dynel does not swell when wetted, to close up apertures. Another complication is that water-repellent treatments have not proved as generally and consistently effective on the Dynel substrate as on many other fibers.

To overcome these difficulties, one development approach has been to use a portion of heat-shrinkable Dynel so that by heating the fabric after weaving, the yarns will contract in length and thus compact and tighten the fabric. The portion of regular Dynel, not shrinking, is caused to buckle and helps to maintain or increase the yarn bulk and filling power. There have been numerous trials with various distributions and proportions of the two types of Dynel, and with various textures and several methods of heat treatment. Some results have been highly encouraging but there have been many inconsistencies and seeming anomalies. Fabric area contraction and final texture (ends and picks per inch) have proved no reliable measure of resistance to water penetration, and neither has air permeability in the critical range. Apparently the systems being used were sensitive to variables which were not being taken into account, and the modes as well as the amount of shrinkage behavior of fibers and yarns have considerable bearing on the fabric performance.

\*Dynel is a registered trademark of Union Carbide Company.

The Army program to control the variables and establish fabric construction and processing technology to achieve uniformly satisfactory results encompasses many phases. The one covered by this contract effort and report is specific as to the effects of fiber distribution within yarns, on the yarn and fabric shrinkage behavior and resulting fabric waterproofness. It was prompted by the question whether "intimate blend" approach, which had been followed by the mills, gave the most favorable distribution of high-shrink and regular fibers for achieving fabric tightness. (Though blended yarn was not the only approach being pursued, from the mill and economy standpoints there are distinct advantages in using the same blend and yarn in both warp and filling. Also, the system with shrinkage in both directions had seemed basically favorable to the result though, as noted, rather sensitive to minor variables.)

The thought was that if the two fiber types were overly interspersed, possibly the high-shrink fibers were restrained by too much contact with the regular fibers, and also that the latter were prevented from buckling and increasing the radial bulk of the yarn. Accordingly, it was decided to explore the effects of varying degrees of radial dispersion and dispersion patterns, as might be done by draw blending of separate slivers. Draw blending also offered potential advantage in achieving uniform linear distribution compared to the randomness of blends prepared by the usual opener and picker systems.

The scope of work was designed to prepare a range of yarns with varying degrees and patterns of dispersion of the two fiber types, and to compare these among themselves and with picker blend yarns with respect to yarn shrinkage potential, shrinkage behavior in the fabric, and resulting waterproofness. It was necessary, for economy, to concentrate on singles yarns and to do most of the fabric weaving on one standard warp which was taken as the 50/50 picker blend on which most mill experience was based. Some samples were also prepared on a second warp of 100 percent regular Dynel for supplemental study and comparison.

The original scope of work provided some options of detail, to be governed by experience at the several stages and by information being obtained in simultaneous studies being made elsewhere.



## 2. Objective and Requirements

The objective of this project was to determine the most favorable blend balances and blending systems to maximize shrinkage and yarn bulking (filling) power under the restraints of the fabric structure by use of Dynel fibers spun and woven into fabric.

For this purpose, two types of Dynel fiber were used:

- a. Regular shrink fibers, "R"-Type 180, 2 denier, 2-inch staple, uncrimped, natural color.
- b. High-shrink fibers, "H"-Type 183, 3 denier (approximately 2.4 denier as delivered), 2-inch staple, uncrimped, OG106 (solution dyed).

The contrasting colors were intended to simplify the work of correlating the systems of blending, yarn morphology, and performance values.

Specifically, the work was divided into four processing phases and three laboratory phases.

### a. Processing Phase I: Blending at Draw Frame

One hundred pounds each of fiber stocks "R" and "H" were to be processed separately through to card sliver by conventional means for subsequent drawing and spinning to 16<sup>S</sup>/1 (c.c.) yarn. Fifty pounds of each were then to be given one process of pre-blend drawing (8 x 8) to produce the same sliver weight. Draw-frame blending variations were then to be prepared in the following codes and combinations:

Components "R" - Type 180, natural, undrawn

"RD" - Type 180, natural, drawn once

"H" - Type 183, OG, undrawn

"HD" - Type 183, OG, drawn once



| Blend Identification | First Drawing<br>Sliver Arrangement | Number of<br>Blending Drawings |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 4/4 RH Alt D1        | RHRHRHRH                            | 1                              |
| 4/4 RH Alt D2        | RHRHRHRH                            | 2                              |
| 4/4 RH Pr D1         | RRHHRRHH                            | 1                              |
| 4/4 RH Pr D2         | RRHHRRHH                            | 2                              |
| 4/4 RH Core D1       | RRHHHHRR                            | 1                              |
| 4/4 RH Core D2       | RRHHHHRR                            | 2                              |
| 4/4 RDHD Alt D1      | RD HD RD HD RD HD RD HD             | 1                              |
| 4/4 RDHD Pr D1       | RD RD HD HD RD RD HD HD             | 1                              |
| 4/4 RDHD Core D1     | RD RD HD HD HD HD RD RD             | 1                              |
| 4/4 R HD Core D1     | R R HD HD HD HD R R                 | 1                              |
| 5/3 RH Core D1       | RRRHHHRR                            | 1                              |
| 3/5 RH Core D1       | RRHHHHHR                            | 1                              |
| 8 R D1               | RRRRRRRR                            | 1                              |
| 8 H D1               | HHHHHHHH                            | 1                              |

All blends were then to be processed through to singles 16<sup>S</sup>/1 (c.c.) yarns, each in two twist levels between 2.8 and 3.7 twist multipliers.

b. Processing Phase II: Blending at Picker

One hundred pounds each of "R" and "H" stock were to be utilized to prepare three picker blends. Fifty pounds of each were to be combined to make 100 pounds of 50/50 RH blend, and proportional quantities of the remainder to make 50 pounds each of 60/40 RH and 40/60 RH blends. Each blend was then to be drawn as necessary for operable spinning and spun to 16<sup>S</sup>/1 (c.c.) yarns at twist levels subsequently to be decided.

c. Processing Phase III: Weaving

Approximately 75 pounds of 50/50 RH (picker blend) yarn of suitable warp twist were to be creeled, wound, dressed, and drawn in as warp for a series of fabric samples with different fillings. The warp was to be drawn in 4 harnesses and reeded with 5 oval reeds at 2 ends per dent to yield 58 sley off the loom. Filling of the same picker blend 50/50 RH was to be used to establish loom settings for operability at 58 picks per inch with maximum cover. Settings were then to remain the same for the remaining filling series. A minimum of 10 yards each was to be woven with the following filling yarns:

50/50 RH (picker blend - Phase II)  
60/40 RH (picker blend - Phase II)  
40/60 RH (picker blend - Phase II)  
4/4 RH Alt D1 (draw blend - Phase I)  
4/4 RH Alt D2 (draw blend - Phase I)  
4/4 RH Core D1 (draw blend - Phase I)  
4/4 RH Core D2 (draw blend - Phase I)  
5/3 RH Core D1 (draw blend - Phase I)  
3/5 RH Core D1 (draw blend - Phase I)

plus three other filling yarns to be decided.

d. Processing Phase IV: Weaving of Phase I Yarns

The yarns produced in Phase I were to be used as filling across a warp differing from that used in Processing Phase III, the nature of which was to be subsequently decided upon.

e. Laboratory Phase I: Fiber Distribution Patterns

It has been postulated that differences in shrinkage behavior of yarns made from blends of high-shrinkage and regular-shrinkage Dynel fibers can be explained by varying degrees of contact between the two fiber types. It is further considered that lateral clumping of fibers might produce more effective shrinkage than a uniform, intimately dispersed blend. On the other hand, it is thought that to optimize shrinkage, uniform longitudinal dispersion in terms of equal percentages of each fiber type at any given cross section along the length of the yarn is desirable.

To evaluate the nature of the fiber distributions in the experimental yarns produced in Processing Phases I and II, a microscopic technique would be applied to selected yarn specimens with a view to relating subsequent yarn shrinkage behavior to the associated factors of lateral and/or longitudinal fiber distribution.

Selected constructional factors of the yarn, such as twist, number, and possibly evenness, were to be measured as a check on the original constructional requirements, and also to determine if variations from the planned structure could explain differences in observed shrinkage or other relevant factors.

A limited number of stress-strain measurements were to be made to characterize the yarns in terms of mechanical behavior. This could be useful in predicting optimum manufacturing techniques from considerations of the mechanical behavior of the finished fabrics.

Stapling diagrams might also be prepared from the yarns to evaluate differences which might be associated with the particular methods of drawing.

f. Laboratory Phase II: Evaluation of Yarn Properties

Since the major yarn property of interest was shrinkage, the shrinkage potential was to be evaluated in fluid systems as a function of time and temperature, with the yarn in a free and also a restrained configuration. In addition, fiber distribution patterns as outlined in Laboratory Phase I would be repeated on selected yarn specimens after shrinkage.

g. Laboratory Phase III: Evaluation of Fabric Properties

The fabrics attained in Processing Phase III were to be characterized by descriptive analysis, and then portions subjected to a standard finishing procedure, including desizing, scouring, wet development, dry development, and water-repellent application.

The finished samples were then to be tested for construction, weight, air permeability, hydrostatic resistance, and tear and tensile strengths.



### 3. Processing Phase I: Blending at Draw Frame

#### Manufacturing Procedures

Table I details the equipment used.

One hundred pounds of regular shrink fibers "R" and one hundred pounds of high-shrink fibers "H" were opened separately by passing them twice through the blending feeder. The opened stock was then fed to the blending reserve and the finisher section of the picker to form breaker laps. Two breaker laps were fed to the blending reserve to form the finisher laps.

An initial trial run was made without using the fancy, but the necessity for its use was clearly evident. The finisher laps were fed to a flat card (see Table I, Carding A) to produce a 52-grain sliver at approximately 9 pounds per hour. Eight card slivers were fed to drawing with a draft of 8 to produce a 52-grain, first-drawn sliver.

Where a second drawing process was required, eight slivers of first drawing were fed with a suitable draft to produce a 52-grain, second-drawn sliver.

One draw-frame sliver for each spindle was fed to roving to produce 1.45 hank (c.c.).

Initially, the roving was double creeled at the spinning frame to produce a  $16^S/1$  (c.c.) yarn. A series of yarns were spun from 100 percent "H" fiber with twist multipliers of 2.8, 3.0, 3.4, and 3.7, after which it was decided to standardize upon 2.8 and 3.4 twist multipliers for the various fiber combinations.

It was also decided to discontinue spinning from double-roving and, as a consequence, single-roving was fed with a corresponding reduction in the draft ratio to produce the  $16^S/1$  (c.c.) yarn.

The prescribed lots were spun at the two different levels of twist with the exceptions of 4/4 RH Pr D2, 4/4 RDHD Alt D1, 8 R D1, which were spun with 2.8 twist multiplier but not with 3.4 twist multiplier.

Some difficulty was experienced with static electrical charges when the room temperature was lower than 75°F and the relative humidity was less than 50 percent. In the roving frame, the Dayco cots on the front rollers had to be replaced with Accotex cots.

In the winding operation, a MacColl-type slub catcher was required to remove the "torpedo-type" slubs. A Boyce weaver's knotter was used for end piecing.

The organizational data are given in Table II.

TABLE I. PROCESSING PHASE I: BLENDING AT DRAW FRAME

Equipment Used

Blending Feeder: Whitin Model N-4 with Axi-feed attachment.

Picking Saco-Lowell single process, type F-4, equipped with blending reserve, Kirschner beater, fringe roll, supersensitive evener motion, pneumatic lap release

|                                   |          |
|-----------------------------------|----------|
| Beater to feed roll setting       | 3/8 in.  |
| Beater Speed                      | 965 rpm  |
| Fan Speed                         | 1310 rpm |
| Pneumatic lap release operated at | 25 psi   |

Carding A Revolving top flat, H & B, equipped with lickerin wound with #1 rayon wire and continuous-wound fancy roll.

|                |           |
|----------------|-----------|
| Cylinder Speed | 165 rpm   |
| Lickerin Speed | 435 rpm   |
| Doffer Speed   | 8-1/4 rpm |
| Fancy Speed    | 1250 rpm  |
| Flats Speed    | 3 in./min |

Carding B Roller top, Whitin Model M, equipped with 6 workers and strippers, 3-strip fancy, double coiler front, lickerin wound with #1 rayon wire.

|                 |          |
|-----------------|----------|
| Cylinder Speed  | 170 rpm  |
| Lickerin Speed  | 435 rpm  |
| Fancy Speed     | 1640 rpm |
| Doffer Speed    | 10 rpm   |
| Strippers Speed | 580 rpm  |

|                                 |                        |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| Worker Settings (back to front) | Thousandths of an inch |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|

|   |    |
|---|----|
| 1 | 26 |
| 2 | 26 |
| 3 | 26 |
| 4 | 24 |
| 5 | 21 |
| 6 | 19 |

Both coilers used.

TABLE I. (Cont'd)

|              | <u>Equipment Used</u>   |
|--------------|---|
| Drawing      | Saco-Lowell, 4 delivery, equipped with DS-24 (3 over 4) drafting.   |
|              | Speed                                      103 feet/min   |
| Roving       | Saco-Lowell 10 x 5 x 8 equipped with FS-3 drafting  |
|              | Spindle Speed                              810 rpm  |
| Spinning     | Whitin Model F-2, 4 in. gauge, 8 in. traverse, 2 in. ring, #2 flanges. Equipped with Whitin superdraft cradles and double apron drafting. |
|              | Spindle Speed                              6100 rpm   |
| Cone Winding | Foster Model 101, equipped with washer-type tensions and precision blade slub catchers  |
|              | Winding speed                              485 yd/min   |

TABLE II. ORGANIZATIONAL DATA

| <u>Process</u>   | <u>Size Produced</u>      | <u>Doublings</u> | <u>Draft</u> |
|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| Picker           | 13 oz/yd                  | -                | -            |
| Card             | 52 grains/yd              | 1                | 110.0        |
| Drawing (First)  | 52 grains/yd              | 8                | 8.0          |
| Drawing (Second) | 52 grains/yd              | 8                | 8.0          |
| Roving           | 1.45 hank (c.c.)          | 1                | 9.05         |
| Spinning         | 16 <sup>S</sup> /1 (c.c.) | 1                | 11.6         |
|                  |                           | (2)*             | (23.2)       |

\* Initially produced but subsequently discontinued.



#### 4. Processing Phase II: Blending at Picker

##### Manufacturing Procedures

Table I details the equipment used.

The fibers in the requisite proportions were opened by passing twice through the blending feeder. The opened stock was then fed to the blending reserve and the finisher section of the picker to form breaker laps. Two breaker laps were fed to the blending reserve to form the finisher laps.

The blended laps were fed singly to the roller card which produced two slivers concurrently. The roller card was used to give additional blending and also as a matter of expediency, since the flat card was fully occupied.

Each blend was drawn twice at the draw-frame process with eight slivers feeding each drawing and with a draft of eight approximately.

One draw-frame sliver for each spindle was fed to roving to produce 1.45 hank (c.c.).

The majority of the material was processed as 50/50 RH picker blend and spun to 16<sup>S</sup>/1 (c.c.) with a twist-multiplier of 3.4 to serve as warp-yarn for Processing Phase III - Weaving. Smaller quantities of yarn were produced from the other blends with 2.8 and 3.4 twist multipliers and also a small quantity of 50/50 RH picker blend with 2.8 twist multiplier.

## 5. Processing Phase III: Weaving

### Manufacturing Procedures

Approximately 75 pounds of 50/50 RH (picker blend) yarn, with a twist multiplier of 3.4, were selected for the weaving of the experimental fabrics.

Initially, 40 cones of yarn were creeled and five 40-end jacks-pools were prepared with sufficient yardage to insure a 200-yard dressed warp, approximately 38 inches wide.

The five jacks-pools containing a total of 200 ends were mounted on a jacks-pool stand and 11 200-yard sections were formed on the Dressing reel. The yarn was then wound on a loom beam with an end-and-end lease and the flanges set at 38-inch beam width.

The beam was slash-sized by the Wannalancit Textile Company, Lowell, Massachusetts. Slashing was accomplished on a 5-can slasher using a special size formulation prepared by Colloids, Inc. The slashing formulation, known by Colloids as X-8241A, can be described as a solution of a vinyl acetate copolymer dissolved in methanol and containing a small addition of ammonia. The slashing media were supplied already blended by Colloids and were applied cold (room temperature) without dilution. Colloids expected an approximate solids pickup of about 12 percent. The slasher was operated at about 10 yards per minute at dry-can temperatures in the range of 100° to 130°F.

The aforementioned slashing conditions were selected to avoid any shrinkage of the warp yarn, and thereby maximize shrinking potential of the woven fabrics.

The slashed warp was then drawn in, utilizing a straight draw on 6 harnesses and reeded 2 per dent, approximately 38-inches wide using a No. 29 reed.

After hanging of the warp, a count of the warp ends revealed too great a number per inch (61 ends per inch). The warp was then rereeded using a No. 27-1/2 reed, yielding the desired sley of 58 ends per inch.

The loom was set to yield maximum picks per inch and, once ascertained, weaving of the selected fillings commenced.

Initially, 1/2 yard lengths of each of the 12 selected yarns (high twist multiplier) were woven. These fabrics were to be utilized for subsequent testing phases. Additionally, 10 yards of each selected filling were woven, consisting of 5 yards of each selected filling (high twist) and 5 yards of each (low twist).

Some problems arose with the selvage yarns breaking repeatedly. This was minimized by the addition of two ends of nylon yarn to each edge of the fabric. Furthermore, weaving efficiency was improved by the spraying of the warp yarn in back of the loom with a water-soluble wax material known as "Spray," a product of Specialty Products Company.

A Crompton Knowles S-4 Loom with a Dobby head motion was utilized with a Precision let-off. Double springs were necessary to permit adequate shedding of the harnesses in light of the high warp tension employed.

Table III lists the 12 fillings employed, each section of the fabric being clearly marked for subsequent identification.

TABLE III. FILLINGS UTILIZED

|     | <u>Filling</u> | <u>Blend</u> |
|-----|----------------|--------------|
| 1.  | 50/50 RH       | Picker       |
| 2.  | 60/40 RH       | Picker       |
| 3.  | 40/60 RH       | Picker       |
| 4.  | 4/4 RH Alt D1  | Draw         |
| 5.  | 4/4 RH Alt D2  | Draw         |
| 6.  | 4/4 RH Core D1 | Draw         |
| 7.  | 4/4 RH Core D2 | Draw         |
| 8.  | 5/3 RH Core D1 | Draw         |
| 9.  | 3/5 RH Core D1 | Draw         |
| 10. | 4/4 RH Pr D1   | Draw         |
| 11. | 4/4 RDHD Pr D1 | Draw         |
| 12. | 8 R D1         | Draw         |



6. Processing Phase IV: Weaving of Phase I Yarns

A warp was prepared from 100 percent Dynel "R"-Type 180 fiber spun to 16<sup>S</sup>/1 (c.c.) with warp preparation as for manufacturing procedures Phase III - Weaving. Various fillings were used as detailed in Table IV.

The resulting fabrics were desized, scoured, and treated for water-repellency.

TABLE IV. FILLINGS USED FOR PHASE IV WEAVING

| <u>Filling</u>            | <u>Low Twist</u><br>(yds) | <u>High Twist</u><br>(yds) | <u>Regular Twist</u><br>(yds) |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 4/4 RH Alt D1             |                           | 5                          |                               |
| 4/4 RH Alt D2             |                           | 5                          |                               |
| 4/4 RH Pr D1              |                           | 5                          |                               |
| 4/4 RH Pr D2              |                           | 1-1/2                      |                               |
| 4/4 RDHD Core D1          | 5                         | 5                          |                               |
| 4/4 RH Core D2            |                           | 5                          |                               |
| 4/4 RDHD Alt D1           |                           | 5                          |                               |
| 4/4 RHD Core D1           | 5                         | 5                          |                               |
| 8 R                       | 5                         | 5                          |                               |
| 8 H                       | 5                         | 5                          |                               |
| 18 <sup>S</sup> /1 Cotton |                           |                            | 5                             |

The above fabric samples were delivered directly to the Natick Laboratories and were not used for evaluation under the contract.

7. Laboratory Phase I: Fiber Distribution Patterns

Laboratory Procedures

Physical tests were performed in accordance with Federal Specification CCC-T-191b.

|                                     |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Yarn Number                         | 1 skein, Method 4021  |
| Yarn Twist                          | 10 tests, Method 4054.1,<br>2-inch gauge  |
| Break Strength<br>and<br>Elongation | 5 tests, Method 4100 using<br>Instron CRE tester; 6 inches<br>per minute cross-head speed;<br>12 inches per minute chart speed;<br>10 inches gauge length |

The results are tabulated in Table V.

Samples of yarns were wound on a serigraph card with 28 yarns per inch. Photographs of some of these cards were made at magnifications of 1:1 and 4:1.

Two test specimens, about 1-1/2 inches long, of each yarn were embedded in an acrylic resin available commercially from Rohm & Haas Company as Rhoplex M R. These resin-embedded specimens were then embedded in paraffin wax at a temperature not exceeding 140°F.

Cross sections, nominally 20 microns thick, were cut from each end of each test specimen. These were mounted on microscope slides using mineral oil as the mounting medium. No attempt was made to remove the paraffin or resin. The four sections of each yarn were examined microscopically at a magnification of about 100X.

For those yarns in which the four sections showed a reasonably consistent pattern of the two components, a single section was selected as typical and a photomicrograph prepared. For those yarns in which the four sections did not show a reasonably consistent pattern of the two components, photomicrographs were prepared of each cross section. The photomicrographs were made using a magnification of 120X. Fiber distribution in the cross sections was not clearly distinctive in respect to theoretical patterns. However, the following conclusions have been drawn:

- a. A comparison of four consecutive cross sections prepared on each of the various yarns revealed a greater consistency on the draw-blend series than on the picker-blend series.

TABLE V. YARN TEST RESULTS

| Sample |      |      |    | Yarn No.<br>Cotton<br>Count | Twist Per<br>Inch, "Z" | Break<br>Strength,<br>Grams | Elongation<br>Percent | Count/Strength<br>Product |
|--------|------|------|----|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| 4/4    | RH   | Alt  | D1 | 14.8                        | 11.3                   | 496                         | 14.9                  | 7341                      |
| 4/4    | RH   | Alt  | D2 | 15.5                        | 11.7                   | 506                         | 14.9                  | 7843                      |
| 4/4    | RH   | Pr   | D1 | 14.3                        | 12.5                   | 483                         | 14.1                  | 6907                      |
| 4/4    | RH   | Pr   | D2 | 15.2                        | 11.9                   | 564                         | 15.4                  | 9583                      |
| 4/4    | RH   | Core | D1 | 15.8                        | 11.0                   | 462                         | 15.0                  | 7300                      |
| 4/4    | RH   | Core | D2 | 16.5                        | 10.8                   | 465                         | 14.8                  | 7673                      |
| 4/4    | RDHD | Alt  | D1 | 15.3                        | 11.4                   | 488                         | 14.4                  | 7466                      |
| 4/4    | RDHD | Pr   | D1 | 15.3                        | 11.3                   | 500                         | 15.5                  | 7650                      |
| 4/4    | RDHD | Core | D1 | 16.0                        | 11.3                   | 491                         | 14.6                  | 7856                      |
| 4/4    | RHD  | Core | D1 | 16.3                        | 11.9                   | 496                         | 15.8                  | 8085                      |
| 5/3    | RH   | Core | D1 | 16.6                        | 11.4                   | 485                         | 17.0                  | 8051                      |
| 3/5    | RH   | Core | D1 | 16.9                        | 11.2                   | 504                         | 14.9                  | 8518                      |
| 8      | R    |      | D1 | 14.9                        | 10.6                   | 619                         | 22.2                  | 9223                      |
| 8      | H    |      | D1 | 16.0                        | 11.7                   | 546                         | 13.7                  | 8736                      |



- b. None of the cross sections studied revealed a true intimate fiber-to-fiber blend. That is, regardless of blending techniques, the high- and low-shrink fibers appeared to remain in clumps of similar fibers.
- c. In many of the cross sections there appears to be a decided tendency of the high-shrink fiber to migrate to the outer regions of the yarn cross section. Yarn 5/3 RH Core D-1 (high twist) is an excellent example of an instance wherein the high-shrink fiber formed a ring about the low-shrink fiber, even though the reverse was the intent of the draw-blend setup.
- d. In one instance drawn slivers showed somewhat better cross-sectional patterns than those made up from card sliver directly.
- e. Degrees of twist produced no significant change in cross-sectional patterns.
- f. Uniformity of shade indicating the degree of fiber mixing was as expected. That is, the picker-blend series was more generally uniform in external appearance, followed by the multiple drawn yarns, and lastly, the single drawn series yarns.

## 8. Laboratory Phase II: Evaluation of Yarn Properties

### a. Test Procedures

It was considered that a differential shrinkage along the length of a yarn might explain some of the water-repellency properties and, therefore, a "short-length" shrinkage test was employed.

For this purpose, twelve yarn specimens, each approximately 15 inches long, were taken from each sample. Each strand of yarn was knotted to form a loop, and the individual loops were pretensioned with an 18-gram load (approximately 0.03 gram per denier) and marked at 11 points spaced at 1-inch intervals.

The loops were immersed in water at 200°F for 10 minutes. Three loops were exposed under each of the tensions: 0, 3.2 grams, 4.3 grams, and 8.5 grams; the appropriate tension being achieved by suspending weights from the loops.

After exposure, the specimens were air-dried at room temperature under zero tension and subsequently measured for shrinkage under an 18-gram load as used for pretensioning. The yarn specimens were measured to the nearest 1/50-inch, thus 10 1-inch shrinkage measurements were made on each specimen to the nearest 2 percent.

Table VI shows the raw data obtained on the various samples with the three loops from each sample designated A, B, and C. Thus the grand mean represents the mean of 30 readings, 10 from each of the loops A, B, and C.

Table VII shows the grand mean of Table VI presented for purposes of easier comparison, and Figures 1 through 10 show the curves for shrinkage plotted against exposure tensions.

### b. Comments

The variability as seen from the minimum and maximum values appears fairly consistent for most yarns and, except in one or two instances, is reasonably small.

While differences in shrinkage dependent upon yarn processing history were apparent, the application of 8.5 grams loop load (4.25 grams per single yarn) tended to reduce the shrinkage of most yarns to approximately the same low level. The effect of the application of this light load (considerably less than the pretensioning load of 0.03 grams per denier suggested by ASTM test procedures) was unexpected. The 100 percent Type 183 high shrinkage yarn exhibited only 6 percent shrinkage at low twist and most other yarns shrank only 0 to 4 percent.

TABLE VI. SHRINKAGE PERCENT

| Sample                      | Tension (grams) |      |      |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |     |     |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|-----|
|                             | 0               |      |      | 3.2  |     |      | 4.3  |     |      | 8.5  |     |     |
|                             | Mean            | Min  | Max  | Mean | Min | Max  | Mean | Min | Max  | Mean | Min | Max |
| 4/4 RH Alt D1<br>Low Twist  | A               | 14.8 | 12.0 | 16.0 | 4.0 | 8.0  | 7.0  | 4.0 | 8.0  | 2.2  | 0.0 | 4.0 |
|                             | B               | 16.6 | 16.0 | 18.0 | 4.0 | 8.0  | 7.4  | 6.0 | 10.0 | 2.8  | 2.0 | 4.0 |
|                             | C               | 16.2 | 14.0 | 18.0 | 4.0 | 8.0  | 7.2  | 6.0 | 10.0 | 3.0  | 2.0 | 4.0 |
| Grand Mean                  | 15.9            |      | 6.4  | 6.4  |     | 7.2  | 7.2  |     | 2.7  |      |     |     |
| 4/4 RH Alt D2<br>Low Twist  | A               | 18.4 | 16.0 | 22.0 | 8.0 | 12.0 | 7.2  | 6.0 | 10.0 | 3.2  | 2.0 | 4.0 |
|                             | B               | 17.2 | 16.0 | 20.0 | 8.0 | 10.0 | 8.4  | 6.0 | 10.0 | 3.6  | 2.0 | 6.0 |
|                             | C               | 16.6 | 16.0 | 18.0 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 7.8  | 4.0 | 10.0 | 3.4  | 0.0 | 6.0 |
| Grand Mean                  | 17.4            |      | 8.5  | 7.8  |     | 7.8  | 7.8  |     | 3.4  |      |     |     |
| 4/4 RH Pr D1<br>Low Twist   | A               | 13.6 | 12.0 | 16.0 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 6.2  | 4.0 | 8.0  | 3.0  | 2.0 | 4.0 |
|                             | B               | 13.8 | 12.0 | 16.0 | 6.0 | 8.0  | 6.6  | 6.0 | 8.0  | 2.8  | 2.0 | 4.0 |
|                             | C               | 13.4 | 12.0 | 16.0 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 6.4  | 6.0 | 8.0  | 3.2  | 2.0 | 4.0 |
| Grand Mean                  | 13.6            |      | 7.5  | 6.4  |     | 6.4  | 6.4  |     | 3.0  |      |     |     |
| 4/4 RH Pr D2<br>Low Twist   | A               | 14.8 | 12.0 | 16.0 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 5.6  | 4.0 | 6.0  | 3.6  | 2.0 | 6.0 |
|                             | B               | 15.0 | 14.0 | 16.0 | 4.0 | 10.0 | 5.4  | 4.0 | 10.0 | 4.0  | 2.0 | 6.0 |
|                             | C               | 14.0 | 12.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 10.0 | 7.4  | 4.0 | 10.0 | 4.2  | 2.0 | 6.0 |
| Grand Mean                  | 14.6            |      | 7.8  | 6.1  |     | 6.1  | 6.1  |     | 3.9  |      |     |     |
| 4/4 RH Core D1<br>Low Twist | A               | 13.0 | 10.0 | 16.0 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 5.4  | 4.0 | 8.0  | 3.0  | 2.0 | 6.0 |
|                             | B               | 12.8 | 10.0 | 16.0 | 4.0 | 10.0 | 6.6  | 4.0 | 10.0 | 3.2  | 2.0 | 6.0 |
|                             | C               | 13.4 | 12.0 | 14.0 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 5.8  | 4.0 | 8.0  | 2.6  | 2.0 | 4.0 |
| Grand Mean                  | 13.0            |      | 7.7  | 5.9  |     | 5.9  | 5.9  |     | 2.9  |      |     |     |
| 4/4 RH Core D2<br>Low Twist | A               | 8.4  | 6.0  | 10.0 | 4.0 | 6.0  | 2.6  | 2.0 | 4.0  | 1.4  | 0.0 | 2.0 |
|                             | B               | 8.4  | 6.0  | 12.0 | 4.0 | 6.0  | 4.4  | 4.0 | 6.0  | 3.2  | 2.0 | 6.0 |
|                             | C               | 8.6  | 8.0  | 10.0 | 4.0 | 6.0  | 3.0  | 2.0 | 6.0  | 2.2  | 0.0 | 4.0 |
| Grand Mean                  | 8.5             |      | 4.6  | 3.3  |     | 3.3  | 3.3  |     | 2.3  |      |     |     |



TABLE VI. (Cont'd)

| Sample         |   | Tension (grams) |      |      |      |     |      |      |     |     |      |      |     |
|----------------|---|-----------------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|-----|------|------|-----|
|                |   | 0               |      |      | 3.2  |     |      | 4.3  |     |     | 8.5  |      |     |
|                |   | Mean            | Min  | Max  | Mean | Min | Max  | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Min  | Max |
| 4/4 RDHD Alt   | A | 12.6            | 12.0 | 14.0 | 6.6  | 6.0 | 8.0  | 5.0  | 4.0 | 6.0 | 2.0  | 0.0  | 4.0 |
| Dl Low Twist   | B | 11.8            | 10.0 | 14.0 | 7.0  | 6.0 | 8.0  | 5.2  | 4.0 | 6.0 | 2.2  | 0.0  | 4.0 |
|                | C | 12.6            | 10.0 | 16.0 | 7.2  | 6.0 | 8.0  | 5.2  | 4.0 | 6.0 | 2.2  | 0.0  | 4.0 |
| Grand Mean     |   | 12.3            |      |      | 6.9  |     |      | 5.1  |     |     | 2.1  |      |     |
| 4/4 RDHD Pr Dl | A | 10.6            | 10.0 | 12.0 | 6.0  | 4.0 | 8.0  | 5.4  | 4.0 | 8.0 | 2.8  | 0.0  | 4.0 |
| Low Twist      | B | 11.2            | 8.0  | 16.0 | 6.4  | 6.0 | 8.0  | 5.2  | 4.0 | 8.0 | 1.0  | 0.0  | 2.0 |
|                | C | 11.0            | 10.0 | 14.0 | 7.4  | 6.0 | 10.0 | 6.2  | 4.0 | 8.0 | 2.6  | 0.0  | 4.0 |
| Grand Mean     |   | 10.9            |      |      | 6.6  |     |      | 5.6  |     |     | 2.1  |      |     |
| 4/4 RDHD Core  | A | 8.2             | 6.0  | 10.0 | 4.2  | 4.0 | 6.0  | 3.4  | 2.0 | 4.0 | 1.0  | 0.0  | 2.0 |
| Dl Low Twist   | B | 8.0             | 6.0  | 10.0 | 4.2  | 2.0 | 8.0  | 3.2  | 2.0 | 4.0 | 1.0  | 0.0  | 2.0 |
|                | C | 9.2             | 6.0  | 8.0  | 4.4  | 4.0 | 6.0  | 3.2  | 2.0 | 4.0 | 1.4  | 0.0  | 2.0 |
| Grand Mean     |   | 8.5             |      |      | 4.3  |     |      | 3.3  |     |     | 1.1  |      |     |
| 4/4 RHD Core   | A | 5.4             | 4.0  | 8.0  | 3.0  | 2.0 | 4.0  | 2.2  | 0.0 | 4.0 | 1.8  | 0.0  | 4.0 |
| Dl Low Twist   | B | 6.2             | 4.0  | 8.0  | 3.2  | 2.0 | 6.0  | 2.4  | 0.0 | 4.0 | 1.2  | 0.0  | 2.0 |
|                | C | 5.2             | 4.0  | 8.0  | 3.4  | 2.0 | 6.0  | 2.0  | 0.0 | 4.0 | 1.0  | 0.0  | 2.0 |
| Grand Mean     |   | 5.6             |      |      | 3.2  |     |      | 2.2  |     |     | 1.3  |      |     |
| 5/3 RH Core    | A | 5.6             | 4.0  | 10.0 | 1.2  | 0.0 | 2.0  | 2.4  | 2.0 | 4.0 | 0.0  | 0.0  | 2.0 |
| Dl Low Twist   | B | 6.0             | 4.0  | 8.0  | 2.4  | 0.0 | 4.0  | 2.4  | 2.0 | 4.0 | 0.6  | 0.0  | 2.0 |
|                | C | 5.6             | 4.0  | 8.0  | 2.4  | 0.0 | 4.0  | 2.2  | 2.0 | 4.0 | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0 |
| Grand Mean     |   | 5.7             |      |      | 2.0  |     |      | 2.3  |     |     | 0.2  |      |     |
| 3/5 RH Core    | A | 2.4             | 0.0  | 4.0  | 1.8  | 0.0 | 4.0  | 0.4  | 0.0 | 2.0 | +0.2 | +2.0 | 0.0 |
| Dl Low Twist   | B | 2.8             | 2.0  | 4.0  | 1.4  | 0.0 | 2.0  | 0.0  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0 |
|                | C | 3.0             | 2.0  | 4.0  | 1.0  | 0.0 | 2.0  | 0.6  | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.4  | +2.0 | 2.0 |
| Grand Mean     |   | 2.7             |      |      | 1.4  |     |      | 0.3  |     |     | 0.1  |      |     |

TABLE VI. (Cont'd)

| Sample                             |   | Tension (grams) |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |
|------------------------------------|---|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|
|                                    |   | 0               |      |      | 3.2  |      |      | 4.3  |      |      | 8.5  |      |     |
|                                    |   | Mean            | Min  | Max  | Mean | Min  | Max  | Mean | Min  | Max  | Mean | Min  | Max |
| 8 R D1<br>Low Twist                | A | 7.8             | 6.0  | 10.0 | 5.0  | 4.0  | 6.0  | 2.2  | 0.0  | 4.0  | 0.0  | +2.0 | 2.0 |
|                                    | B | 7.4             | 6.0  | 10.0 | 5.0  | 4.0  | 6.0  | 3.0  | 2.0  | 4.0  | +0.4 | +2.0 | 0.0 |
|                                    | C | 9.0             | 8.0  | 10.0 | 4.0  | 2.0  | 6.0  | 2.4  | 0.0  | 4.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0 |
| Grand Mean                         |   | 8.1             |      |      | 4.6  |      |      | 2.4  |      |      | +0.1 |      |     |
| 8 H D1<br>Low Twist                | A | 20.0            | 20.0 | 20.0 | 12.6 | 10.0 | 14.0 | 12.6 | 10.0 | 14.0 | 5.2  | 2.0  | 6.0 |
|                                    | B | 20.0            | 20.0 | 20.0 | 13.4 | 10.0 | 16.0 | 12.6 | 10.0 | 16.0 | 6.8  | 4.0  | 8.0 |
|                                    | C | 21.2            | 20.0 | 22.0 | 12.0 | 10.0 | 14.0 | 12.0 | 10.0 | 14.0 | 6.2  | 4.0  | 8.0 |
| Grand Mean                         |   | 20.4            |      |      | 12.7 |      |      | 12.4 |      |      | 6.0  |      |     |
| 50/50 RH<br>(Picker)<br>Low Twist  | A | 8.6             | 8.0  | 10.0 | 4.6  | 4.0  | 6.0  | 4.8  | 4.0  | 6.0  | 2.0  | 2.0  | 2.0 |
|                                    | B | 8.0             | 8.0  | 8.0  | 4.4  | 4.0  | 6.0  | 4.6  | 4.0  | 6.0  | 1.6  | 0.0  | 2.0 |
|                                    | C | 7.8             | 6.0  | 10.0 | 4.4  | 4.0  | 6.0  | 2.8  | 2.0  | 4.0  | 1.6  | 0.0  | 4.0 |
| Grand Mean                         |   | 8.1             |      |      | 4.5  |      |      | 4.1  |      |      | 1.7  |      |     |
| 60/40 RH<br>(Picker)<br>Low Twist  | A | 12.2            | 10.0 | 14.0 | 6.6  | 6.0  | 8.0  | 5.2  | 4.0  | 6.0  | 2.6  | 2.0  | 4.0 |
|                                    | B | 12.8            | 10.0 | 14.0 | 6.8  | 4.0  | 10.0 | 5.2  | 4.0  | 6.0  | 2.2  | 0.0  | 4.0 |
|                                    | C | 11.8            | 10.0 | 14.0 | 7.6  | 6.0  | 8.0  | 5.4  | 4.0  | 8.0  | 3.0  | 2.0  | 4.0 |
| Grand Mean                         |   | 12.3            |      |      | 7.0  |      |      | 5.3  |      |      | 2.6  |      |     |
| 40/60 RH<br>(Picker)<br>Low Twist  | A | 1.8             | 0.0  | 4.0  | +0.4 | +2.0 | 2.0  | +0.2 | +2.0 | 2.0  | 0.2  | +2.0 | 2.0 |
|                                    | B | 3.0             | 2.0  | 4.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | +0.4 | +2.0 | 0.0  | +0.6 | +2.0 | 2.0 |
|                                    | C | 1.6             | 0.0  | 2.0  | +0.2 | +2.0 | 2.0  | +0.2 | +2.0 | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0 |
| Grand Mean                         |   | 2.1             |      |      | +0.3 |      |      | +0.3 |      |      | +0.1 |      |     |
| 50/50 RH<br>(Picker)<br>High Twist | A | 7.2             | 6.0  | 8.0  | 5.4  | 4.0  | 6.0  | 3.8  | 2.0  | 4.0  | 1.6  | 0.0  | 4.0 |
|                                    | B | 6.2             | 6.0  | 8.0  | 5.4  | 4.0  | 8.0  | 3.8  | 2.0  | 6.0  | 1.2  | 0.0  | 2.0 |
|                                    | C | 6.4             | 4.0  | 10.0 | 5.0  | 4.0  | 8.0  | 4.2  | 4.0  | 6.0  | 1.8  | 0.0  | 4.0 |
| Grand Mean                         |   | 6.6             |      |      | 5.3  |      |      | 3.9  |      |      | 1.5  |      |     |

TABLE VI. (Cont'd)

| Sample                             |            | Tension (grams) |      |      |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |     |      |
|------------------------------------|------------|-----------------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|------|
|                                    |            | 0               |      |      | 3.2  |     |      | 4.3  |     |      | 8.5  |     |      |
|                                    |            | Mean            | Min  | Max  | Mean | Min | Max  | Mean | Min | Max  | Mean | Min | Max  |
| 60/40 RH<br>(Picker)<br>High Twist | A          | 14.4            | 14.0 | 16.0 | 6.8  | 6.0 | 10.0 | 4.2  | 2.0 | 6.0  | 2.8  | 0.0 | 8.0  |
|                                    | B          | 13.4            | 12.0 | 16.0 | 6.6  | 6.0 | 8.0  | 6.4  | 4.0 | 10.0 | 3.8  | 2.0 | 6.0  |
|                                    | C          | 14.6            | 12.0 | 16.0 | 6.2  | 6.0 | 8.0  | 5.0  | 4.0 | 6.0  | 3.2  | 0.0 | 6.0  |
|                                    | Grand Mean | 14.1            |      |      | 6.6  |     |      | 5.2  |     |      | 3.3  |     |      |
| 40/60 RH<br>(Picker)<br>High Twist | A          | 13.2            | 10.0 | 14.0 | 8.8  | 6.0 | 8.0  | 7.2  | 6.0 | 10.0 | 2.6  | 2.0 | 4.0  |
|                                    | B          | 12.0            | 10.0 | 14.0 | 7.4  | 6.0 | 10.0 | 5.0  | 4.0 | 8.0  | 3.0  | 2.0 | 6.0  |
|                                    | C          | 12.8            | 12.0 | 14.0 | 8.2  | 6.0 | 10.0 | 6.4  | 4.0 | 8.0  | 4.8  | 0.0 | 12.0 |
|                                    | Grand Mean | 12.7            |      |      | 8.1  |     |      | 6.2  |     |      | 3.5  |     |      |
| 4/4 RH Alt D1<br>High Twist        | A          | 6.2             | 4.0  | 8.0  | 5.0  | 2.0 | 6.0  | 4.0  | 2.0 | 6.0  | 2.4  | 2.0 | 4.0  |
|                                    | B          | 6.8             | 4.0  | 10.0 | 5.6  | 4.0 | 8.0  | 5.6  | 2.0 | 8.0  | 2.8  | 2.0 | 4.0  |
|                                    | C          | 8.4             | 6.0  | 10.0 | 5.2  | 4.0 | 6.0  | 4.0  | 2.0 | 6.0  | 1.0  | 0.0 | 2.0  |
|                                    | Grand Mean | 7.1             |      |      | 5.3  |     |      | 4.5  |     |      | 2.1  |     |      |
| 4/4 RH Alt D2<br>High Twist        | A          | 7.0             | 2.0  | 10.0 | 4.0  | 2.0 | 6.0  | 2.8  | 0.0 | 4.0  | 1.0  | 0.0 | 2.0  |
|                                    | B          | 8.0             | 6.0  | 10.0 | 4.4  | 2.0 | 6.0  | 2.2  | 0.0 | 6.0  | 1.8  | 0.0 | 4.0  |
|                                    | C          | 8.6             | 6.0  | 12.0 | 4.4  | 2.0 | 6.0  | 3.4  | 2.0 | 6.0  | 1.4  | 0.0 | 2.0  |
|                                    | Grand Mean | 7.8             |      |      | 4.3  |     |      | 2.8  |     |      | 1.4  |     |      |
| 4/4 RH Core<br>D1 High Twist       | A          | 4.4             | 2.0  | 6.0  | 4.0  | 2.0 | 6.0  | 3.0  | 2.0 | 4.0  | 1.4  | 0.0 | 4.0  |
|                                    | B          | 6.0             | 4.0  | 8.0  | 3.4  | 2.0 | 6.0  | 2.6  | 0.0 | 6.0  | 2.2  | 0.0 | 4.0  |
|                                    | C          | 5.6             | 4.0  | 6.0  | 2.8  | 2.0 | 4.0  | 2.8  | 2.0 | 4.0  | 0.6  | 0.0 | 2.0  |
|                                    | Grand Mean | 5.3             |      |      | 3.4  |     |      | 2.8  |     |      | 1.4  |     |      |
| 4/4 RH Core<br>D2 High Twist       | A          | 6.2             | 4.0  | 8.0  | 3.0  | 2.0 | 6.0  | 1.6  | 0.0 | 4.0  | 1.2  | 0.0 | 4.0  |
|                                    | B          | 6.4             | 6.0  | 8.0  | 4.0  | 2.0 | 6.0  | 2.4  | 2.0 | 4.0  | 2.4  | 0.0 | 4.0  |
|                                    | C          | 6.0             | 4.0  | 8.0  | 3.6  | 2.0 | 6.0  | 2.4  | 2.0 | 4.0  | 1.2  | 0.0 | 4.0  |
|                                    | Grand Mean | 6.2             |      |      | 3.5  |     |      | 2.1  |     |      | 1.6  |     |      |



TABLE VI. (Cont'd)

| Sample        |   | Tension (grams) |      |      |      |     |     |      |     |     |      |      |     |
|---------------|---|-----------------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|------|------|-----|
|               |   | 0               |      |      | 3.2  |     |     | 4.3  |     |     | 8.5  |      |     |
|               |   | Mean            | Min  | Max  | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Min  | Max |
| 5/3 RH Core   | A | 5.0             | 4.0  | 6.0  | 2.0  | 0.0 | 4.0 | 1.0  | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.4  | 0.0  | 2.0 |
| Dl High Twist | B | 4.0             | 4.0  | 4.0  | 1.6  | 0.0 | 4.0 | 3.2  | 2.0 | 4.0 | +0.2 | +2.0 | 2.0 |
|               | C | 6.0             | 4.0  | 8.0  | 2.2  | 0.0 | 4.0 | 1.0  | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.6  | 0.0  | 6.0 |
| Grand Mean    |   | 5.0             |      |      | 1.9  |     |     | 1.7  |     |     | 0.6  |      |     |
| 3/5 RH Core   | A | 4.6             | 4.0  | 6.0  | 3.4  | 2.0 | 6.0 | 2.2  | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.4  | +2.0 | 4.0 |
| Dl High Twist | B | 4.4             | 2.0  | 6.0  | 2.6  | 2.0 | 4.0 | 1.8  | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.2  | +2.0 | 2.0 |
|               | C | 4.8             | 4.0  | 6.0  | 3.4  | 2.0 | 4.0 | 1.0  | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.4  | 0.0  | 2.0 |
| Grand Mean    |   | 4.6             |      |      | 3.1  |     |     | 1.6  |     |     | 0.3  |      |     |
| 4/4 RH Pr Dl  | A | 0.8             | 0.0  | 2.0  | 0.2  | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.4  | 0.0 | 2.0 | +0.8 | +2.0 | 0.0 |
| High Twist    | B | 1.6             | 0.0  | 4.0  | 1.0  | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.8  | 0.0 | 2.0 | +1.0 | +2.0 | 0.0 |
|               | C | 0.8             | 0.0  | 2.0  | 1.0  | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.2  | 0.0 | 2.0 | +0.2 | +2.0 | 0.0 |
| Grand Mean    |   | 1.1             |      |      | 0.7  |     |     | 0.4  |     |     | +0.7 |      |     |
| 4/4 RDHD Pr   | A | 11.2            | 10.0 | 12.0 | 6.4  | 4.0 | 8.0 | 5.2  | 4.0 | 6.0 | 2.8  | 2.0  | 4.0 |
| Dl High Twist | B | 11.2            | 12.0 | 14.0 | 6.6  | 4.0 | 8.0 | 4.8  | 2.0 | 6.0 | 1.8  | 0.0  | 4.0 |
|               | C | 13.0            | 12.0 | 14.0 | 6.8  | 6.0 | 8.0 | 5.0  | 4.0 | 6.0 | 1.4  | 0.0  | 4.0 |
| Grand Mean    |   | 11.8            |      |      | 6.6  |     |     | 5.0  |     |     | 2.0  |      |     |

TABLE VI. (Cont'd)

| Sample        |   | Tension (grams) |      |      |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |      |     |
|---------------|---|-----------------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|------|------|------|-----|
|               |   | 0               |      |      | 3.2  |     |      | 4.3  |     |      | 8.5  |      |     |
|               |   | Mean            | Min  | Max  | Mean | Min | Max  | Mean | Min | Max  | Mean | Min  | Max |
| 8H D1         | A | 10.0            | 10.0 | 10.0 | 8.2  | 8.0 | 10.0 | 6.6  | 4.0 | 10.0 | 4.8  | 4.0  | 6.0 |
| High Twist    | B | 11.6            | 10.0 | 14.0 | 8.0  | 6.0 | 10.0 | 6.2  | 4.0 | 8.0  | 3.8  | 2.0  | 6.0 |
|               | C | 11.4            | 10.0 | 12.0 | 8.6  | 6.0 | 10.0 | 7.6  | 6.0 | 10.0 | 4.8  | 4.0  | 6.0 |
| Grand Mean    |   | 11.0            |      |      | 8.3  |     |      | 6.8  |     |      | 4.5  |      |     |
| 4/4 RDHD Core | A | 8.6             | 8.0  | 10.0 | 4.4  | 2.0 | 6.0  | 2.4  | 0.0 | 6.0  | 0.0  | +2.0 | 2.0 |
| D1 High Twist | B | 8.2             | 6.0  | 10.0 | 3.8  | 2.0 | 6.0  | 3.4  | 2.0 | 6.0  | 3.2  | +2.0 | 4.0 |
|               | C | 9.0             | 8.0  | 10.0 | 5.2  | 4.0 | 8.0  | 2.0  | 0.0 | 4.0  | 0.0  | +2.0 | 2.0 |
| Grand Mean    |   | 8.6             |      |      | 4.5  |     |      | 3.6  |     |      | 1.1  |      |     |
| 4/4 RHD Core  | A | 6.4             | 4.0  | 8.0  | 3.0  | 0.0 | 6.0  | 4.6  | 2.0 | 6.0  | 2.4  | 0.0  | 6.0 |
| D1 High Twist | B | 5.6             | 4.0  | 8.0  | 3.6  | 2.0 | 6.0  | 3.6  | 2.0 | 6.0  | 1.4  | 0.0  | 2.0 |
|               | C | 7.0             | 4.0  | 10.0 | 3.4  | 0.0 | 6.0  | 2.8  | 0.0 | 8.0  | 0.8  | 0.0  | 4.0 |
| Grand Mean    |   | 6.3             |      |      | 3.3  |     |      | 3.6  |     |      | 1.5  |      |     |

NOTE: A "+" indicates a stretch rather than a shrinkage.

TABLE VII. GRAND MEAN SHRINKAGE PERCENT

| Sample            | Tension (grams) |            |           |            |           |            |           |            |
|-------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
|                   | 0               |            | 3.2       |            | 4.3       |            | 8.5       |            |
|                   | Low Twist       | High Twist | Low Twist | High Twist | Low Twist | High Twist | Low Twist | High Twist |
| 4/4 RH Alt D1     | 15.9            | 7.1        | 6.4       | 5.3        | 7.2       | 4.5        | 2.7       | 2.1        |
| 4/4 RH Alt D2     | 17.4            | 7.8        | 8.5       | 4.3        | 7.8       | 2.8        | 3.4       | 1.4        |
| 4/4 RH Pr D1      | 13.6            | 1.1        | 7.6       | 0.7        | 6.4       | 0.4        | 3.0       | +0.7       |
| 4/4 RH Pr D2      | 14.6            | -          | 7.8       | -          | 6.1       | -          | 3.9       | -          |
| 4/4 RH Core D1    | 13.0            | 5.3        | 7.7       | 3.4        | 5.9       | 2.8        | 2.9       | 1.4        |
| 4/4 RH Core D2    | 8.5             | 6.2        | 4.6       | 3.5        | 3.3       | 2.1        | 2.3       | 1.6        |
| 4/4 RDHD Alt D1   | 12.3            | -          | 6.9       | -          | 5.1       | -          | 2.1       | -          |
| 4/4 RDHD Pr D1    | 10.9            | 11.8       | 6.6       | 6.6        | 5.6       | 5.0        | 2.1       | 2.0        |
| 4/4 RDHD Core D1  | 8.5             | 8.6        | 4.3       | 4.5        | 3.3       | 3.6        | 1.1       | 1.1        |
| 4/4 RHD Core D1   | 5.6             | 6.3        | 3.2       | 3.3        | 2.2       | 3.6        | 1.3       | 1.5        |
| 5/3 RH Core D1    | 5.7             | 5.0        | 2.0       | 1.9        | 2.3       | 1.7        | 0.2       | 0.6        |
| 3/5 RH Core D1    | 2.7             | 4.6        | 1.4       | 3.1        | 0.3       | 1.6        | 0.1       | 0.3        |
| 8 R D1            | 8.1             | -          | 4.6       | -          | 2.4       | -          | +0.1      | -          |
| 8 H D1            | 20.4            | 11.0       | 12.7      | 8.3        | 12.4      | 6.8        | 6.0       | 4.5        |
| 50/50 RH (Picker) | 8.1             | 6.6        | 4.5       | 5.3        | 4.1       | 3.9        | 1.7       | 1.5        |
| 60/40 RH (Picker) | 12.3            | 14.1       | 7.0       | 6.6        | 5.3       | 5.2        | 2.6       | 3.3        |
| 40/60 RH (Picker) | 2.1             | 12.7       | +0.3      | 8.1        | +0.3      | 6.2        | +0.1      | 3.5        |

NOTE: "+" indicates stretch rather than shrinkage.



Yarn shrinkages under tensions lower than might reasonably be expected during fabric wet processing were less than the anticipated fabric shrinkages. This is presumably attributable to the mechanics of shrinking under the application of a dead-weight load in the case of yarn; whereas in wet processing the fabric is subjected to intermittent and varying load applications. In this context, it is interesting to note that in the initial stages certain measurements of diameter were made before and after shrinkage on zero-tensioned yarns. There was some indication that either no diameter change occurred or there was a possible decrease in diameter even though the yarn shrank. Also, when viewed longitudinally under the microscope, hairiness was less apparent after shrinkage.

It was apparent that yarn shrinkage behavior was different from fabric shrinkage and it was thus pointless in carrying out additional tests such as shrinking the yarn while subjected to restrained configurations. It was therefore decided to transfer the equivalent effort into other phases.

c. Fiber Lengths on Removal from Shrunk Yarn

Measurements of fiber length distributions were made on certain picker blend yarns in accordance with ASTM-D 1440-65 with the exception that the total weight of fiber was less than specified because the fibers were extracted from yarns. Care was taken to insure minimum fiber breakage during untwisting and fiber extractions. The results are recorded in Table VIII.

d. Comments

In the majority of the yarns examined, the longest fibers were mainly "R" type. In general, the long fibers, whether "R" or "H", were either without crimp or exhibited a slightly wavy appearance. The fiber lengths were classified into 14 or 15 intervals and the upper three or four intervals (the longest fiber groups) contained mainly "R" fibers or equal amounts (by estimation) of "R" and "H" fibers. All other intervals contained mainly "H" fibers. The major exceptions to this distribution pattern were the 60/40 RH low-twist yarn and the 40/60 high-twist yarn both shrunk under 8.5 grams tension. In the former, the first eight (8) intervals (longest fibers) and in the latter the first five (5) intervals (longest fibers) contained "R" or equal proportions of "R" and "H" (estimated).

TABLE VIII. FIBER LENGTH (IN.) ANALYSIS ON REMOVAL FROM SHRUNK YARN

| <u>Sample</u>   | <u>Shrinkage Tension (grams)</u> | <u>Upper Quartile</u> |            | <u>Mean</u> |            |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|-------------|------------|
|                 |                                  | Low Twist             | High Twist | Low Twist   | High Twist |
| Control "R"     | (Unshrunk)                       | ---                   | 1.966      | ---         | 1.831      |
| Control "H"     | (Unshrunk)                       | ---                   | 1.963      | ---         | 1.773      |
| 60/40 RH Picker | 0                                | 1.888                 | 1.926      | 1.699       | 1.674      |
| 60/40 RH Picker | 8.5                              | 1.863                 | 1.917      | 1.709       | 1.756      |
| 40/60 RH Picker | 0                                | 1.916                 | 1.868      | 1.696       | 1.591      |
| 40/60 RH Picker | 8.5                              | 1.898                 | 1.853      | 1.750       | 1.540      |

9. Laboratory Phase III: Evaluation of Fabric Properties

a. Scouring and Finishing Procedures

To minimize hard creases and to provide maximum relaxation and working of the fabric, the 2 selvages of the fabric piece were brought together and tacked to form a tube. The fabric was placed in a Riggs and Lombard Standard Sample Dye-Beck, and wet-out and relaxed for 30 minutes at 120°F. The scouring agent was then added. This consisted of 2 percent by weight of Rohm and Haas Triton X-100. The bath was brought slowly to a boil and the cloth boiled for 1-1/2 hours. It was then rinsed once at 160°F and twice at 80°F. To insure a minimum amount of tension on the fabric, a low reel position was maintained with a slow reel speed of 8 rpm.

The fabric was then untacked and dried in open-width form in an Andrews and Goodrich Continuous Loop Dryer by means of electrical heating. A slight amount of overfeed was employed with a drying temperature of 200°F and a take-off speed of 3 yards per minute.

b. Water-Repellency Treatment Procedure

This process was in accordance with the Natick Laboratories' instructions. The resin was applied by means of a James Hunter Machine Company 3-roll, 15-tons pressure pad with a recorded pressure of 63-1/2 pounds per square inch and a speed of 6 yards per minute.

The padding solution was comprised of:

3.125 gal. (12.5% by volume) NALAN GN  
0.250 gal. ( 1.0% by volume) ZEPEL 8  
21.625 gal. (86.5% by volume) WATER

The wet pickup was 46.5 percent with 2.5 percent solids pickup.

After padding, the fabric was held out to 30 inches width on the open-clip tenter. The object of this operation was to remove the creases which were present following the first drying operation, and steam heat was used to dry at the tenter.

The padded and tented fabric was then cured in the Andrews and Goodrich Loop Dryer with a slight overfeed at a drying temperature of 250°F and a take-off of 2 yards per minute.



c. Test Procedures

Twelve 1/2-yard fabric samples, all woven with "low-twist" filling yarns, were tested for properties as follows:

Ends per inch: CCC-T-191b, Method 5050.2  
(5 determinations)  
Picks per inch: CCC-T-191b, Method 5050.2  
(5 determinations)  
Air Permeability: CCC-T-191b, Method 5450.1  
(5 determinations)

For purposes of determining changes in these properties and also the degree of shrinkage after scouring, the samples were marked at 3 places in the filling direction with marks at 18-inch intervals, and at 3 places in the warp direction with marks at 10-inch intervals.

The samples were scoured and measured for shrinkage and again for ends and picks per inch and air permeability. The test results are given in Table IX.

Twenty-four 5-yard fabric samples, 12 each woven with "low-twist" and "high-twist" filling yarns were prepared for shrinkage determinations by marking them at 3 places in the warp direction and 3 places in the filling direction, all marks being at 18-inch intervals.

The samples were scoured, measured for shrinkage, and tested for air permeability. Five air permeability measurements were made on each sample in accordance with Method 5450.1 of CCC-T-191b.

The samples were then treated for water repellency, shrinkages were determined, and tests made for air permeability (five measurements for each sample as before). The results of these tests are recorded in Tables X and XI. Additionally, the scoured and water-repellency-treated samples were tested for properties as follows:

Breaking Strength: CCC-T-191b Method 5100.1 Scott  
CRT Tester (3 warp and 3 filling  
determinations)  
Tearing Strength: CCC-T-191b, Method 5132.3 (3 warp  
and 3 filling determinations)  
Fabric Weight: CCC-T-191b, Method 5041.1  
Water Resistance: Details of "cup-test" procedure  
supplied by Natick Laboratories  
(see Appendix)(3 determinations)

The fabrics were conditioned at 70°F and 65 percent RH prior to testing.

TABLE IX. HALF-YARD FABRIC SAMPLES  
ENDS AND PICKS, AIR PERMEABILITY, AND SHRINKAGE

| <u>Sample</u>     | <u>Ends per Inch</u> |                | <u>Picks per Inch</u> |                | <u>Air Permeability, CFM</u> |                | <u>Shrinkage, %</u>   |                          |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|                   | <u>Grey</u>          | <u>Scoured</u> | <u>Grey</u>           | <u>Scoured</u> | <u>Grey</u>                  | <u>Scoured</u> | <u>Warp Direction</u> | <u>Filling Direction</u> |
| 4/4 RH Alt D1     | 61                   | 74             | 47                    | 62             | 133                          | 2.43           | 27.5                  | 20.0                     |
| 4/4 RH Alt D2     | 61                   | 74             | 47                    | 62             | 139                          | 2.61           | 31.5                  | 19.4                     |
| 4/4 RH Pr D1      | 60                   | 76             | 48                    | 64             | 153                          | 3.27           | 33.3                  | 18.7                     |
| 4/4 RH Core D1    | 61                   | 74             | 47                    | 62             | 149                          | 2.69           | 25.6                  | 21.3                     |
| 4/4 RH Core D2    | 60                   | 75             | 46                    | 62             | 136                          | 3.58           | 25.8                  | 20.3                     |
| 4/4 RDHD Pr D1    | 61                   | 76             | 48                    | 63             | 144                          | 3.00           | 25.0                  | 20.6                     |
| 5/3 RH Core D1    | 61                   | 72             | 47                    | 63             | 131                          | 3.18           | 41.3                  | 19.3                     |
| 3/5 RH Core D1    | 60                   | 75             | 46                    | 62             | 132                          | 2.78           | 27.5                  | 21.3                     |
| 8 H D1            | 60                   | 77             | 47                    | 64             | 146                          | 2.64           | 25.0                  | 22.8                     |
| 50/50 RH (Picker) | 61                   | 76             | 48                    | 62             | 122                          | 2.54           | 26.0                  | 20.1                     |
| 60/40 RH (Picker) | 60                   | 75             | 47                    | 62             | 131                          | 2.53           | 26.0                  | 20.8                     |
| 40/60 RH (Picker) | 61                   | 76             | 47                    | 62             | 135                          | 2.12           | 32.3                  | 20.5                     |

TABLE X. FIVE-YARD FABRIC SAMPLES SHRINKAGE PERCENT

| <u>Sample</u>     | <u>Scoured</u>        |            |                          |            | <u>Water-Repellency Treated</u> |            |                          |            |
|-------------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|
|                   | <u>Warp Direction</u> |            | <u>Filling Direction</u> |            | <u>Warp Direction</u>           |            | <u>Filling Direction</u> |            |
|                   | Low Twist             | High Twist | Low Twist                | High Twist | Low Twist                       | High Twist | Low Twist                | High Twist |
| 4/4 RH Alt D1     | 22.2                  | 22.5       | 19.4                     | 19.0       | 21.8                            | 22.5       | 20.4                     | 20.8       |
| 4/4 RH Alt D2     | 22.8                  | 22.3       | 19.9                     | 18.4       | 23.3                            | 23.1       | 19.4                     | 22.2       |
| 4/4 RH Pr D1      | 22.6                  | 23.4       | 19.4                     | 19.7       | 22.9                            | 23.0       | 20.5                     | 21.4       |
| 4/4 RH Core D1    | 22.0                  | 21.9       | 19.9                     | 19.1       | 22.8                            | 23.3       | 19.9                     | 21.8       |
| 4/4 RH Core D2    | 24.2                  | 24.4       | 19.2                     | 19.3       | 23.8                            | 22.2       | 19.7                     | 19.9       |
| 4/4 RDHD Pr D1    | 23.3                  | 24.7       | 20.1                     | 21.1       | 23.6                            | 23.6       | 20.8                     | 22.2       |
| 5/3 RH Core D1    | 22.5                  | 21.3       | 18.9                     | 16.8       | 21.3                            | 23.3       | 19.4                     | 22.0       |
| 3/5 RH Core D1    | 24.1                  | 23.6       | 20.5                     | 19.4       | 24.1                            | 23.4       | 20.1                     | 21.5       |
| 8 H D1            | 23.4                  | 23.4       | 22.5                     | 22.2       | 23.0                            | 22.6       | 22.9                     | 24.9       |
| 50/50 RH (Picker) | 25.2                  | 22.2       | 19.8                     | 18.5       | 23.6                            | 23.6       | 19.2                     | 20.8       |
| 60/40 RH (Picker) | 25.1                  | 22.1       | 19.8                     | 18.1       | 22.6                            | 23.4       | 18.4                     | 22.2       |
| 40/60 RH (Picker) | 23.5                  | 22.1       | 19.8                     | 18.9       | 22.9                            | 23.4       | 20.0                     | 21.8       |



TABLE XI. AIR PERMEABILITY (CFM) OF FIVE-YARD SAMPLES

| <u>Sample</u>     | <u>Scoured</u> |            | <u>Water-Repellency Treated</u> |            |
|-------------------|----------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|
|                   | Low Twist      | High Twist | Low Twist                       | High Twist |
| 4/4 RH Alt D1     | 4.05           | 2.89       | 3.26                            | 2.46       |
| 4/4 RH Alt D2     | 3.95           | 3.92       | 3.15                            | 2.89       |
| 4/4 RH Pr D1      | 2.52           | 2.40       | 3.69                            | 2.61       |
| 4/4 RH Core D1    | 3.10           | 3.45       | 2.84                            | 2.87       |
| 4/4 RH Core D2    | 3.48           | 2.79       | 3.33                            | 3.05       |
| 4/4 RDHD Pr D1    | 2.85           | 2.59       | 3.40                            | 3.20       |
| 5/3 RH Core D1    | 3.81           | 3.09       | 4.67                            | 3.45       |
| 3/5 RH Core D1    | 2.92           | 2.30       | 3.85                            | 2.56       |
| 8 H D1            | 2.62           | 2.05       | 3.10                            | 2.78       |
| 50/50 RH (Picker) | 2.75           | 3.34       | 2.87                            | 1.88       |
| 60/40 RH (Picker) | 3.06           | 3.98       | 3.06                            | 2.63       |
| 40/60 RH (Picker) | 3.89           | 2.98       | 2.85                            | 2.35       |

TABLE XII. BREAKING STRENGTH (lb) OF FIVE-YARD SAMPLES  
(WATER-REPELLENCY TREATED)

| <u>Sample</u>     | <u>Warp Direction</u> |            | <u>Filling Direction</u> |            |
|-------------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|
|                   | Low Twist             | High Twist | Low Twist                | High Twist |
| 4/4 RH Alt D1     | 129                   | 126        | 138                      | 129        |
| 4/4 RH Alt D2     | 134                   | 147        | 133                      | 137        |
| 4/4 RH Pr D1      | 134                   | 142        | 126                      | 134        |
| 4/4 RH Core D1    | 138                   | 130        | 131                      | 131        |
| 4/4 RH Core D2    | 141                   | 112        | 125                      | 133        |
| 4/4 RDHD Pr D1    | 139                   | 139        | 126                      | 128        |
| 5/3 RH Core D1    | 135                   | 130        | 124                      | 119        |
| 3/5 RH Core D1    | 134                   | 128        | 131                      | 134        |
| 8 H D1            | 156                   | 147        | 167                      | 160        |
| 50/50 RH (Picker) | 137                   | 126        | 136                      | 130        |
| 60/40 RH (Picker) | 127                   | 135        | 128                      | 128        |
| 40/60 RH (Picker) | 137                   | 128        | 140                      | 141        |

The results of the breaking strength, fabric weight, and water-resistance tests are recorded in Tables XII, XIV, and XV, respectively. For the tearing-strength test, trial runs indicated that the tearing strength of the fabrics was about equal to or greater than 85 percent of the capacity of the instrument (with single augmenting weight). The test specimens were, therefore, forwarded for testing at Natick Laboratories and the results are recorded in Table XIII.

d. Comments

The warp-direction shrinkages of some of the 1/2-yard samples were high, and these results were not corroborated when the 5-yard samples were tested. It is considered that the sample size influenced the short-length sample behavior. The filling direction shrinkages of the 1/2-yard and 5-yard samples are in close agreement.

Creases in the pieces probably influenced both the shrinkage and air permeability results; this condition was more marked in the 1/2-yard samples than in the 5-yard samples.

The majority of the breaking-strength results obtained were jawbreaks. Extensive trials with padding of jaws, use of rosin, and change of clamping pressures did not overcome this condition. A few "good" breaks were observed and the results were of the same order of magnitude as the jawbreaks.

As a check on water resistance, two samples of 8H D1 high twist, one of which had previously been tested and had failed and a further untested, but water-repellency-treated sample were recured at 340°F for eight minutes. There was a slight stiffening of the "hand" of the fabrics but they were still considered to be reasonably good. The two samples were subjected to the Natick "cup-test" for water-resistance evaluation. The sample which had previously been tested and failed in about 25 minutes, after reprocessing, failed in 22 hours. The previously untested sample allowed one drop of water to pass in 22 hours but no further percolation took place in 24 hours. This was considered to have passed the test.

In an attempt to isolate the effect of water-repellency treatment, two additional samples were prepared for testing. One sample had been desized and scoured only and the other desized, scoured, and water-repellency treated. Both samples were exposed to a curing temperature of 340°F for eight minutes. The "hand" was found to be very stiff, and in subjecting them to the "cup-test" difficulty was experienced in depressing them into a beaker. This resulted in crease formation rather than a smooth contour of the test fabric. However, the test was performed and the non-water-repellent-treated sample failed immediately while the treated sample failed in about four hours.

TABLE XIII. TEARING STRENGTH (LB) OF FIVE-YARD SAMPLES  
(WATER-REPELLENCY TREATED)

| <u>Sample</u>     | <u>Warp Direction</u> |            | <u>Filling Direction</u> |            |
|-------------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|
|                   | Low Twist             | High Twist | Low Twist                | High Twist |
| 4/4 RH Alt D1     | 6.41                  | 6.47       | 5.44                     | 5.03       |
| 4/4 RH Alt D2     | 6.52                  | 6.56       | 5.86                     | 5.00       |
| 4/4 RH Pr D1      | 6.21                  | 6.41       | 5.02                     | 5.16       |
| 4/4 RH Core D1    | 6.19                  | 6.21       | 5.35                     | 5.20       |
| 4/4 RH Core D2    | 6.65                  | 6.74       | 5.31                     | 5.49       |
| 4/4 RDHD Pr D1    | 6.01                  | 7.20       | 5.31                     | 5.47       |
| 5/3 RH Core D1    | 6.30                  | 7.24       | 5.53                     | 5.55       |
| 3/5 RH Core D1    | 6.14                  | 6.45       | 5.93                     | 5.18       |
| 8 H D1            | 6.34                  | 6.06       | 7.28                     | 7.20       |
| 50/50 RH (Picker) | 5.62                  | 5.82       | 5.33                     | 5.27       |
| 60/40 RH (Picker) | 6.04                  | 6.80       | 4.96                     | 5.25       |
| 40/60 RH (Picker) | 5.75                  | 7.09       | 5.95                     | 6.28       |



TABLE XIV. FABRIC WEIGHT (OZ/SQ YD) OF FIVE-YARD SAMPLES  
(WATER-REPELLENCY TREATED)

| <u>Sample</u>     | <u>Low Twist</u> | <u>High Twist</u> |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 4/4 RH Alt D1     | 8.4              | 8.8               |
| 4/4 RH Alt D2     | 8.4              | 8.7               |
| 4/4 RH Pr D1      | 8.4              | 9.0               |
| 4/4 RH Core D1    | 8.5              | 8.6               |
| 4/4 RH Core D2    | 8.4              | 8.7               |
| 4/4 RDHD Pr D1    | 8.4              | 8.6               |
| 5/3 RH Core D1    | 8.3              | 8.4               |
| 3/5 RH Core D1    | 8.5              | 8.4               |
| 8 H D1            | 8.7              | 9.0               |
| 50/50 RH (Picker) | 8.3              | 8.7               |
| 60/40 RH (Picker) | 8.4              | 8.6               |
| 40/60 RH (Picker) | 8.6              | 8.9               |

TABLE XV. WATER RESISTANCE OF FIVE-YARD SAMPLES  
(WATER-REPELLENCY TREATED)

| <u>Sample</u>     | <u>Failure Time (minutes)</u> |  |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|
|                   | <u>Low Twist</u>              | <u>High Twist</u>                          |
| 4/4 RH Alt D1     | 7                             | 7  |
| 4/4 RH Alt D2     | 8                             | 7  |
| 4/4 RH Pr D1      | 30                            | 10   |
|                   |                               | (One specimen<br>took one<br>hour to fail) |
| 4/4 RH Core D1    | 15                            | 7  |
| 4/4 RH Core D2    | 15                            | 7  |
| 4/4 RDHD Pr D1    | 30                            | 25   |
| 5/3 RH Core D1    | 30                            | 7  |
| 3/5 RH Core D1    | 30                            | 7  |
| 8 H D1            | 25                            | 25   |
| 50/50 RH (Picker) | 10                            | 7  |
| 60/40 RH (Picker) | 10                            | 7  |
| 40/60 RH (Picker) | 10                            | 7  |

## 10. Observations

### Yarn Shrinkage Behavior

The recorded values of yarn shrinkages are not necessarily absolute values. Due to the method of marking the individual yarns at one-inch intervals to determine shrinkage over short intervals, it is possible that both fiber and twist readjustment were inhibited to some extent. However, the results are relative and comparable, subject to the foregoing qualification.

Figure 1 shows the curves of yarn shrinkage plotted against applied load for yarn spun from 100 percent high-shrink fiber (8 H D1) with low and high twist (2.8 and 3.4 twist multipliers) and 100 percent regular fiber (8 R D1) with low twist (2.8 twist multiplier). Low-twist yarn spun from high-shrink fiber shows the greatest shrinkage values at zero and all other loads.

Figure 2 again demonstrates that maximum shrinkage occurs with low-twist yarn with draw-frame blended slivers (50 percent high shrink and 50 percent regular shrink) arranged alternately at the feed (RH Alt). Two blending drawings appear to be slightly better than one in terms of higher yarn shrinkage values, and the 50/50 blend has shrinkage values approximately midway between the 100 percent regular-shrink and 100 percent high-shrink fibers. With high-twist yarn there appears to be slightly more shrinkage with one blending drawing (RH Alt D1) when load is applied. Figures 2A, 2B, 2C and 2D illustrate the corresponding yarn cross sections.

Figure 3 illustrates the effect of arranging the slivers in pairs (RH Pr D1; RH Pr D2). Again, low twist is more favorable to greater yarn shrinkage with little difference between one or two blending drawings. Shrinkage values are somewhat lower than the alternate sliver arrangement, but high twist RH Pr D1 is appreciably lower. Figures 3A, 3B, and 3C show the corresponding yarn cross sections. It will be noted that Figure 3C reveals an accumulation of dark fibers (high shrink H type), whereas Figures 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, and Figures 3A and 3B show a reasonably homogeneous fiber arrangement. On this evidence it would appear that lateral clumping of fibers is not conducive to high yarn shrinkage. However, inspection of Figure 3C suggests that the percentage of "H" type fibers is somewhat lower than the nominal fifty, and this is confirmed by longitudinal inspection of the yarn (the appearance of which is lighter in shade than the other yarns of similar nominal construction).

Figure 4 shows the effect of arranging draw-frame slivers with the "H"-type fibers as a core. Again, the low-twist yarns have higher shrinkage values than the high-twist yarns; but in this instance the single blending-drawing is superior to the two passage blending-drawing. The corresponding cross sections




(Figures 4A and 4B) do not reveal any significant differences in fiber arrangement; however, inspection of the yarn longitudinally reveals that 4/4 RH Core D2 is less mottled (that is, has a more uniform appearance than 4/4 RH Core D1).

Figures 5 and 6 show, respectively, the effects on shrinkage of core arrangements of "H" fibers in different proportions with low and high twists. The shrinkage superiority of low-twist 4/4 RH Core D1 is clearly demonstrated. However, low-twist 5/3 RH Core D1 is not significantly different from high-twist 5/3 RH Core D1. Somewhat surprisingly, 3/5 RH Core D1 at both low and high twists exhibits a low level of shrinkage in spite of the presence of a higher percentage of "H"-type fibers. This is again seen to occur in Figure 9 with low-twist 40/60 RH picker blend. Inspection of Figure 5B fails to provide a clue to this behavior, but longitudinal comparison of the two yarns reveals that there is greater uniformity of fiber blending (3/5 RH Core D1).

The effect of drawing prior to blending is demonstrated in Figures 7 and 8. There appears to be little difference between low and high-twist effects, but the RHD Core D1 combination gives lower shrinkage values than the corresponding RDHD Core D1 at both low and high twists. Examination of the corresponding cross sections indicates a somewhat superior comingling of the two fiber types in Figures 7A when compared with 7B, 7C, and 7D, and Figure 8A, similarly, is slightly better than 8B and 8C.


The picker blends of Figure 9 low twist and Figure 10 high twist demonstrate the higher shrinkage values obtained with high-twist yarns for the various percentages of fiber combinations. This is, of course, a reversal of the behavior with draw-frame blending. Inspection of the corresponding yarn cross sections in Figures 9A, 9B, and 9C, indicates reasonably intimate blending in all instances. The shrinkage superiority of the 60/40 RH blend over the 50/50 blend is clearly demonstrated at both twist levels. The interesting behavior of the 40/60 RH blend, particularly at low twist, confirms the findings with draw-frame blends of similar fiber percentages.





SHRINKAGE IN WATER OF 100% "H" AND "R" TYPE YARNS 16/1 cc

FIGURE 1



SHRINKAGE IN WATER OF 4/4 RH ALT YARNS 16/1 cc

FIGURE 2




Figure 2A  
Low-Twist 4/4 RH Alt D2




Figure 2B  
Low-Twist 4/4 RH Alt D1




Figure 2C  
High-Twist 4/4 RH Alt D2





Figure 2D  
High-Twist 4/4 RH Alt D1





SHRINKAGE IN WATER OF 4/4 RH Pr YARNS 16/1cc

FIGURE 3




Figure 3A

Low-Twist 4/4 RH Pr D2





Figure 3B

Low-Twist 4/4 RH Pr D1



Figure 3C

High-Twist 4/4 RH Pr D1



SHRINKAGE IN WATER OF 4/4 RH CORE YARNS 16/1 cc

FIGURE 4






Figure 4A  
Low-Twist 4/4 RH Core D1




Figure 4B  
Low-Twist 4/4 RH Core D2




Figure 4C  
High-Twist 4/4 RH Core D1





Figure 4D  
High-Twist 4/4 RH Core D2



SHRINKAGE IN WATER OF 4/4 RH, 5/3 RH AND 3/5 RH CORE LOW TWIST YARNS 16 / 1 cc

FIGURE 5




Figure 5A

Low-Twist 5/3 RH Core D1





Figure 5B

Low-Twist 3/5 RH Core D1





SHRINKAGE IN WATER OF 4/4 RH, 5/3 RH AND 3/5 RH CORE HIGH TWIST YARNS 16/lcc

FIGURE 6




Figure 6A  
High-Twist 5/3 RH Core D1





Figure 6B  
High-Twist 3/5 RH Core D1



SHRINKAGE IN WATER OF 4/4 RDHD AND  
4/4 RHD LOW TWIST YARNS 16/1 cc

FIGURE 7






Figure 7A  
Low-Twist 4/4 RDHD Alt D1




Figure 7B  
Low-Twist 4/4 RDHD Pr D1




Figure 7C  
Low-Twist 4/4 RDHD Core D1





Figure 7D  
Low-Twist 4/4 RHD Core D1



SHRINKAGE IN WATER OF 4/4 RDHD AND  
4/4 RHD HIGH TWIST YARNS 16/1 cc

FIGURE 8




Figure 8A  
High-Twist 4/4 RDHD Pr D1




Figure 8B  
High-Twist 4/4 RDHD Core D1





Figure 8C  
High-Twist 4/4 RHD Core D1





SHRINKAGE IN WATER OF 60/40 RH, 50/50 RH AND 40/60RH PICKER BLENDED LOW TWIST YARNS 16/1 cc

FIGURE 9




Figure 9A

Low-Twist 60/40 RH Picker Blend




Figure 9B

Low-Twist 50/50 RH Picker Blend





Figure 9C

Low-Twist 40/60 RH Picker Blend



SHRINKAGE IN WATER OF 60/40 RH, 50/50 RH AND 40/60 RH PICKER BLENDED HIGH TWIST YARNS 16/1 cc

FIGURE 10






Figure 10A

High-Twist 60/40 RH Picker Blend




Figure 10B

High-Twist 40/60 RH Picker Blend




Figure 10C

High-Twist 50/50 RH Picker Blend

## 11. Conclusions

When Dynel fibers of "R" Type 180, 2 denier, and "H" Type 183, 3 denier, are blended in equal proportions, maximum yarn shrinkages measured over intervals of one-inch lengths occur when blending is performed by arranging card slivers "R" and "H" alternately at the first draw-frame process and simultaneously when the yarn twist multiplier is relatively low. Specifically, a twist multiplier of 2.8 is shown to give higher shrinkage than a twist multiplier of 3.4. Also, in terms of shrinkage, slivers arranged either "R" and "H" alternately or in pairs are superior to a core arrangement. There is evidence that two blending draw-frame passages are slightly superior to one in terms of enhanced yarn shrinkage.

The foregoing conditions appear to produce yarns in which the two fiber types are reasonably dispersed relative to each other in the yarn cross section, but in the longitudinal direction the fibers of similar types assume a reasonably continuous and unbroken path. With the fibers arranged in this fashion, the twist multiplier 2.8 apparently provides sufficient inter-fiber friction to permit the "H"-type fibers to contract and, simultaneously, take the "R"-type fibers along with them.

In the case of more homogeneous blends, such as would normally be produced by picker-blending followed by roller and clearer carding, the discontinuity of the fibers of a given type in the longitudinal direction of the yarn appears to produce a condition such that the shrinking "power" of the "H"-type fibers is unable to exert sufficient influence on the "R"-type fibers to produce a substantial overall yarn contraction. If, however, the twist multiplier is increased from 2.8 to 3.4, the additional fiber binding then creates a condition in which shrinkage is increased but at lower levels than with a draw-frame blended yarn of the same constituents.

For purposes of enhanced yarn shrinkage, there appears to be no advantage in increasing the percentage of "H"-type fiber from 50 percent to 60/62-1/2 percent. Indeed, there would seem to be a serious disadvantage, particularly when low twist is involved.

In terms of fabric behavior with a 50/50 RH picker blend warp, the highest cloth shrinkage after scouring occurs generally when low-twist filling is used. This is in agreement with yarn behavior. However, after the scoured fabric has been given a water-repellency treatment, a reversal occurs and highest cloth shrinkages are recorded with high-twist filling yarns. Presumably the curing temperature of 250°F is sufficient to induce contraction of the "H"-type fibers, which results in greater total yarn contraction in the high-twist yarn than in the low-twist yarn. This is not unreasonable in view of the more intimate fiber contact. In this context it will be noted that the temperature of the water

used for yarn shrinkage tests was 200°F and the drying temperature after scouring was also 200°F. Under these conditions, cloth shrinkage behavior was approximately the same as yarn shrinkage behavior except that the picker blends gave higher cloth shrinkage with low twist vis-a-vis high twist, whereas the yarn shrinkage trend was in the opposite direction. This is an interesting phenomenon and justifies further investigation.

Considering fabric shrinkage as a whole, there are only small differences in performance when the various blends and twists are compared. However, there are indications that a change in twist affects the relative shrinkage ability of some blends. For example, the 60/40 RH (picker) blend, when water-repellency treated, has the lowest ranking filling direction shrinkage with low twist, but becomes one of the highest ranking with high twist.

Air permeability tests on the water-repellency-treated fabric indicate that, in general, high-twist filling yarns produce a less permeable construction than low-twist yarns with the picker blends being particularly favorable. As with fabric shrinkage, there are relatively small differences in air permeability. Nevertheless, the 50/50 RH (picker) blend, high-twist water-repellency treated, is particularly good in respect to low air permeability, but is one of the least water-resistant specimens.

Water-repellency tests were very disappointing in that all samples failed the test. However, examination of the results reveals that the fabrics with low-twist fillings took somewhat longer to fail than did those with high-twist fillings. There is poor correlation of yarn and fabric shrinkage with air permeability and water resistivity, but the behavior of the blends 4/4 RH Pr D1 and 4/4 RDHD Pr D1 is worthy of note. Each shows favorable water resistance and, particularly after scouring, favorable air resistance.

12. Recommendations

a. Further experimentation could profitably be carried out in respect to fabric finishing; for example, scouring, the use of various other water-repellent materials, processing temperatures, and curing times.

b. An analysis of the mechanics of water penetration would assist in developing preventative measures.

c. It would be desirable to prepare further samples with slivers arranged in pairs employing both one and two draw-frame passages. The resultant yarn should be woven as warp and filling and compared with a standard 50/50 picker blend warp and filling.



## APPENDIX

### "CUP-TEST" PROCEDURE FOR WATER-REPELLENCY EVALUATION

A 10-inch by 10-inch fabric sample (face up) is placed over the top of a 1000 ml beaker (approximately 4.5 inches in diameter). The fabric shall be arranged so that the sample is depressed into the beaker by approximately 1.5 inches. A 200 ml distilled water at a temperature of approximately 25°C shall be gently poured into the depression. The underside of the fabric shall be noted for penetration of water. The fabric is considered to have failed this test when more than three drops of water penetrate to the underside in less than 24 hours.

## DISTRIBUTION

### Copies

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1 - Commanding General<br>U.S. Army Weapons Command<br>Attn: AMSWE-RDR<br>Rock Island, Illinois 61200                                       | 1 - Commanding General<br>U.S. Army Edgewood Arsenal<br>Attn: Directorate of<br>Commodity Mgmt<br>Edgewood Arsenal<br>Maryland 21010      |
| 1 - Commanding General<br>USA Test & Evaluation<br>Command<br>Attn: AMSTE-TAA<br>Aberdeen Proving Ground<br>Maryland 21005                  | 1 - Commanding Officer<br>U.S. Army Materials Research<br>Agency<br>Attn: Technical Library<br>Watertown, Mass. 02172                     |
| 1 - Commanding General<br>United States Continental<br>Army Command<br>Attn: DCSLOG, Maintenance<br>Division<br>Fort Monroe, Virginia 23351 | 1 - Commanding Officer<br>U.S. Army Combat Developments<br>Command<br>Combat Service Support Group<br>Fort Lee, Virginia 23801            |
| 1 - Commanding General<br>USA Combat Developments<br>Command<br>Attn: CDCMR-0<br>Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060                               | 1 - Commanding Officer<br>U.S. Army Combat Developments<br>Command<br>Attn: CDCQMA-F<br>Fort Lee, Virginia 23801                          |
| 1 - Commanding General<br>U.S. Army Mobility Command<br>Attn: AMSMO-RR<br>Warren, Michigan 48089  | 1 - Commanding Officer<br>Cold Weather & Mountain<br>Indoctrination School<br>Fort Greely, Alaska   |
| 1 - Commanding Officer<br>U.S. Army Polar Research<br>& Dev Ctr<br>Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060   | 1 - Commanding Officer<br>U.S. Army Research Office-<br>Durham<br>Attn: CRD-AA-IP<br>Box CM, Duke Station<br>Durham, North Carolina 27706 |

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <p>1 - Commanding Officer<br/>U.S. Army Cold Regions Research<br/>&amp; Engineering Laboratories<br/>Hanover, New Hampshire 03755</p>                       | <p>1 - Director, Library<br/>U.S. Army War College<br/>Carlisle Barracks<br/>Pennsylvania 17013</p>  |
| <p>1 - Command Officer<br/>U.S. Army Human Engineering<br/>Labs<br/>Aberdeen Proving Ground<br/>Maryland 21005</p>  | <p>1 - Director<br/>Marine Corps Landing Force<br/>Development Center<br/>Marine Corps Schools<br/>Attn: Ground Combat Divn<br/>Quantico, Virginia 22134</p> |
| <p>1 - Commanding Officer<br/>U.S. Army Coating &amp;<br/>Chem. Labs<br/>Aberdeen Proving Ground<br/>Maryland 21005</p>                                     | <p>1 - Director<br/>Engineering &amp; Ind Svcs<br/>Attn: Directorate of<br/>Chemical Engineer<br/>Edgewood Arsenal, Md, 21010</p>                            |
| <p>1 - Directorate of Training<br/>Literature<br/>U.S. Army Transportation<br/>School<br/>Fort Eustis, Virginia 23604</p>                                   | <p>1 - Director<br/>Army Technical Information<br/>U.S. Army Research Office<br/>OCD, Room 209A<br/>Arlington, Virginia 22200</p>                            |
| <p>1 - Directorate of Science &amp;<br/>Technology<br/>AFRSTD<br/>DCS/R&amp;D<br/>Hq., United States Air Force<br/>Washington, D.C. 20330</p>               | <p>4 - Commandant<br/>U.S. Army Quartermaster<br/>School<br/>Attn: Quartermaster<br/>Library<br/>Fort Lee, Virginia 23801</p>                                |
| <p>1 - Defense Director, Tech Info<br/>Office of Director of Defense<br/>Research &amp; Engineering<br/>Room 3D1040 Pentagon<br/>Washington, D.C. 20301</p> | <p>2 - Commandant<br/>U.S. Army Infantry School<br/>Attn: AJIIS-A<br/>Fort Benning, Georgia 31905</p>  |
| <p>1 - Director<br/>U.S. Army Engineer Research &amp;<br/>Development Laboratories<br/>Attn: Tech Document Center<br/>Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060</p>      | <p>1 - Commandant of the Marine Corps<br/>Headquarters Marine Corps<br/>CODE A04D<br/>Washington, D.C.</p>   |



- 1 - Director  
Air University Library  
Attn: AUL3T-7575  
Maxwell AFB, Alabama 36112
- 1 - Commander  
U.S. Army Chemical Research  
& Development Lab  
Attn: Tech Library  
Edgewood Arsenal  
Maryland 21010
- 1 - Commander  
U.S. Army Biological Labs  
Attn: Tech Library  
Fort Detrick  
Frederick  
Maryland 21701
- 1 - President  
U.S. Army Arctic Test Board  
APO 733 Seattle, Washington
- 1 - President  
U.S. Army Infantry Board  
Ft. Benning, Georgia 31905
- 1 - The Army Library  
Attn: Procurement Section  
Room 1A522, The Pentagon  
Washington, D.C. 20301
- 1 - Library  
U.S. Weather Bureau  
Washington, D.C. 20235
- 6 - U.S. Army Special Warfare  
Attn: Asst. Secretary  
Director of Instruction  
Ft. Bragg, North Carolina 28307
- 1 - U.S. Naval Research Laboratory  
Code 6140  
Washington, D.C. 20390
- 1 - Arctic AEROMED Laboratory  
Attn: Librarian  
APO 731  
Seattle, Washington
- 1 - Director  
Biological Sciences Div  
Office of Naval Research  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D.C. 20360
- 1 - Air Force Cambridge  
Research Labs  
Laurence G. Hanscom Field  
Attn: CRMXLR, Res Library  
Stop 29  
Bedford, Massachusetts 01731
- 2 - Chief, Status and Support  
Branch  
Maintenance Readiness Division  
U.S. Army Supply and  
Maintenance Command  
Washington, D.C. 20315
- 2 - USA NLABS Ln Office  
ASDL-8  
Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio
- 1 - United States Dept of  
Agriculture  
Division of Acquisitions  
National Agricultural Library  
Washington, D.C. 20250
- 1 - U.S. Atomic Energy Commission  
Reports Section, Hdqrs Library  
Division of Tech. Information  
Washington, D.C. 20545
- 1 - ACOFS, G3  
Hq, U.S. Army Combat  
Development Com  
Experimentation Center  
Fort Ord, California 93941
- 1 - Department of the Army  
Special Projects Office  
Washington, D.C. 20360
- 1 - National Aeronautics &  
Space Administration  
Ames Research Center  
Attn: Library (Reports)  
202-3  
Moffett Field, California  
94035



- 1 - Chief, Bureau of Ships  
Room 2510, Main Navy  
Code 364A4  
18th & Constitution Ave., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20001
- 1 - Chief, Programs & Policy Office  
Directorate of Tech Operations  
DCTSC  
2800 South 20th Street  
Philadelphia, Pa. 19101
- 1 - U.S. Naval Applied Science  
Lab  
Technical Library  
Bldg. 291, Code 9832  
Naval Base  
Brooklyn, New York 11251
- 1 - U.S. Army Command & Gen  
Staff College  
Library Division  
Fort Leavenworth, Kansas 66027
- 1 - Exchange and Gift Division  
Library of Congress  
Washington, D.C. 20540
- 2 - Chief, Supply Division  
Logistics Services  
Hq, Fort Monmouth  
Vail Hall  
Bldg. 1150  
Ft. Monmouth  
New Jersey, 07703
- 1 - National Aeronautics & Space  
Administration  
Ames Research Center  
Attn: Library (Reports) 202-3  
Moffett Field, California 94035
- 20 - DDC  
5 - Military Liaison  
2 - Tech Library  
4 - Tech Ed, COMD
- 1 - U.S. Army Materiel Command  
Research Division AMCRD-RL  
R&D Directorate  
Bldg. T-7  
Washington, D.C. 20315
- 1 - U.S. Army Engineering  
Research Devel Labs  
Attn: STINFO Branch  
Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060
- 1 - Library  
U.S. Naval Supply Research  
& Development Facility  
Naval Supply Center  
Bayonne, New Jersey 07002
- 1 - Reference Center Library  
The Institute for Cooperative  
Research  
Eglin Facility  
P.O. Box 1867  
Eglin Air Force Base, Florida
- 1 - National Research Council  
University of Rhode Island  
Kingston, Rhode Island 02881
- 1 - Senior Standardization  
Representative  
U.S. Army Standardization  
Group, Canada  
Attn: U.S. Army Stdzn  
Rep. (QMAE)  
c/o Directorate of  
Interservice Devel.  
138 Queen Street  
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

Unclassified

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

|  |  |  |                 |
|--|--|--|-----------------|
| 1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)<br>Lowell Technological Institute Research<br>Foundation, 450 Aiken Street<br>Lowell, Massachusetts   |  | 2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>Unclassified   |                 |
|  |  | 2b. GROUP  |                 |
| 3. REPORT TITLE<br>EFFECTS OF DYNEL FIBER BLENDING ON YARN SHRINKAGE AND WOVEN-FABRIC PROPERTIES   |  |  |                 |
| 4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)<br>Technical Report. January 1966 - January 1967   |  |  |                 |
| 5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)<br>Angelo, Paul J., Jr.; Pfister, David H.; Goodwin, John A.; Duxbury, Victor   |  |  |                 |
| 6. REPORT DATE<br>January 1967   |  | 7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES<br>59   | 7b. NO. OF REFS |
| 8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.<br>DA19-129-AMC-894(N)   |  | 9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)  |                 |
| b. PROJECT NO.   |  |  |                 |
| c.   |  | 9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)                |                 |
| d.   |  | 67-64-CM, TS-150   |                 |
| 10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT<br>Distribution of this document is unlimited.<br>Release to CFSTI is authorized.   |  |  |                 |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  |  | 12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY<br>U.S. Army Natick Laboratories<br>Natick, Massachusetts |                 |
| 13. ABSTRACT<br>Regular and high shrink Dynel were blended in varying proportions and patterns and spun into 16 <sup>s</sup> yarns with two twists. Microscopic examination showed semblances of expected groupings, but the high shrink fibers tended to migrate to the outside. Shrinkages of the yarns in water (200°F) were measured under varying tensions. Minor loading reduced shrinkages to low levels, suggesting that mechanics of shrinkage in free yarn are different than those in fabric. There was little correlation of the yarn shrinkage results with the different combinations. Maximum shrinkage occurred in the 50/50, low-twist, draw-frame yarn with alternate feed. The least shrinkage in blended yarns was in low-twist yarns with greater proportions of high-shrink fiber. A 50/50 picker blend warp was woven with fillings from the various blends and twists. The fabrics were scoured and treated for water repellency. Highest shrinkage in scouring occurred with low-twist fillings while final shrinkage showed the reverse. High-twist filling yarns produced less air permeability. Differences in shrinkage and air permeability among various blend arrangements were not significant. There was little correlation among the results of yarn and fabric shrinkage and air permeability. All water repellency tests were poor; fabrics with low-twist fillings were better than those of high twist. Overall, among blended yarns, the 50/50 pair arrangements with one drawing seemed more favorable and distinctly better than the 50/50 picker blend. (All samples, including some woven with 100 percent regular shrink warp, have been retained by the U.S. Army Natick Laboratories for further analysis.) |  |  |                 |

DD FORM 1473 1 NOV 68

REPLACES DD FORM 1473, 1 JAN 64, WHICH IS OBSOLETE FOR ARMY USE.

Unclassified

Security Classification



| 14. KEY WORDS       | LINK A |    | LINK B |    | LINK C |    |
|---------------------|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|
|                     | ROLE   | WT | ROLE   | WT | ROLE   | WT |
| Blending            | 8      |    | 6      |    |        |    |
| Twisting            | 8      |    | 6      |    |        |    |
| Dynel               | 9      |    | 6      |    | 9      |    |
| Fibers (Synthetic)  | 9      |    | 6      |    | 9      |    |
| Physical properties |        |    | 7      |    |        |    |
| Air circulation     |        |    | 7      |    |        |    |
| Permeability        |        |    | 7      |    |        |    |
| Shrinkage           |        |    | 7      |    | 8,9    |    |
| Fabrics             |        |    | 7      |    | 9      |    |
| Comparison          |        |    |        |    | 8      |    |
| Picker blended      |        |    |        |    | 0      |    |
| Draw-frame blended  |        |    |        |    | 0      |    |