

CS 74

の、

BY

GEORGE E. FORSYTHE

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. CS 74 AUGUST 7, 1967

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT School of Humanities and Sciences STANFORD UNIVERSITY

Reproduced by the CLEARINGHOUSE for Federal Scientific & Technical Information Springfield Va. 22151



et and proved

13

WHAT IS A SATISFACTORY QUADRATIC EQUATION SOLVER?

George E. Forsythe

Computer Science Department Stanford University Stanford, California 94305 USA

WHAT IS A SATISFACTORY QUADRATIC EQUATION SOLVER?

George E. Forsythe

This Symposium has dealt with provable algorithms for finding zeros of general polynomials, with the tacit assumption that the processes would be implemented on an ideal computer system capable of exact arithmetic operations. In contrast, I should like to point out the near absence of algorithms to solve even a quadratic equation in a satisfactory way on actually used digital computer systems. The difficulties are partly caused by round-off error in floating-point arithmetic, but much more by the ever-present possibility of overflow or underflow (defined below).

This note presents specifications for a satisfactory quadratic equation solver suggested by Professor W. Kahan of the University of Toronto in lectures at Stanford University in 1966. The general level of performance is Kahan's, but the details are mine.

Consider the following set $F = F(\beta, t, m, M)$ of normalized floatingpoint numbers. This uses a number base β (bases 2, 8, 10, and 16 are in use) and a prescribed number t of significant digits. There are two limiting integer exponents m and M. The set F contains precisely

「「「「「

This is a brief written contribution to Symposium on Constructive Aspects of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, held at the IBM Research Laboratories, Rueschlikon, Switzerland, 5-7 June 1967. The preparation of this note and the author's attendance at the Symposium were sponsored by the U.S. Office of Naval Research under project NR 044 211.

(1)
$$1 + 2(\beta - 1)(M - m + 1)\beta^{t-1}$$

numbers. One of these is 0. Each other number in F has a unique representation

(2)
$$+ N \times \beta^{e}$$
,

where the <u>sign</u> is + or -, where the integer <u>exponent</u> e satisfies the inequality

$$(3) m \le e \le M,$$

and where the integer significand N satisfies the normalization condition

$$\beta^{t-1} \leq N \leq \beta^t - 1 .$$

Frequently 0 is given the unique computer representation

$$(5) + 0 \times \beta^{m}$$

See [1] for a discussion of this floating-point number system in a slightly different notation.

We choose \vec{F} to be a certain subset of \vec{F} consisting of numbers not too close to overflow or underflow.

<u>Definition</u>. To be definite (and somewhat arbitrary), let $F^* = F^*(\beta, t, m, M)$ be the set consisting of C and all numbers (2) subject to (4) and also to

$$(3^{\dagger}) \qquad m+1 \leq e \leq M-1$$

<u>Definitions</u>. A real number x is said to be in the range of \mathbf{F} if either $\mathbf{x} = 0$ or

(6)
$$\beta^{t-1} \beta^{m+1} \leq |x| \leq (\beta^t - 1)\beta^{M-1}$$

A complex number z is in the range of F^* if both $\operatorname{Re}(z)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z)$ are real numbers in the range of F^* .

One similarly defines the expression in the range of F for real and complex numbers.

The statement (in computer jargon) that a real number y suffers from <u>over- or underflow</u> is equivalent to saying that y is not in the range of F.

In terms of these concepts I now give specifications in the form of a commented heading in Algol 60 of what I consider to be a satisfactory quadratic-equation solver for use with a processor of floating-point numbers in $F(\beta, t, m, M)$.

procedure QUADRATIC (a, b, c, x1, y1, x2, y2, error);

<u>value</u> a, b, c; <u>real</u> a, b, c, xl, yl, x2, y2; <u>switch</u> error; <u>comment</u> We are solving the equation $az^2 + bz + c = 0$, for arbitrary input parameters a, b, c in F^* . Where values of the output parameters are not specified, they are irrelevant.

If a = b = c = 0, exit to error[1], since all complex numbers z satisfy the equation.

If a = b = 0 and $c \neq 0$, exit to error[2], since no z satisfies the equation.

Otherwise, let z_1 and z_2 be the exact roots of the equation, numbered so that $|z_1| \le |z_2|$. (If a = 0, let $z_2 = -$.)

Whenever z_1 is in the range of F^* , set x1 and y1 to numbers in F close (defined below) to the real resp. imaginary part of z_1 .

Whenever z_2 is in the range of F, set x2 and y2 to numbers in F close to the real resp. imaginary part of z_2 .

Let $\zeta_1 = xl + i \times (yl)$. We require that $\zeta_1 = 0$ (if $z_1 = 0$), and otherwise (again being somewhat arbitrary) that $|\zeta_1 - z_1| \le \beta + 1$ units in the least-significant digit of the significand of the floating-point representation (2) of max (|xl|, |yl|). To repeat this requirement in symbols, if entier[x] denotes the greatest integer $\le x$, we demand that

(7)

 $|\zeta_1 - z_1| \leq (\beta + 1) \times \beta^e,$

where $e = entier[log_{A}max(|x1|, |y1|) - t]$.

We make a corresponding requirement of $\zeta_2 = x^2 + i \times (y^2)$.

If z_1 is not in the range of F, but z_2 is in the range of F^{*}, set x2, y2 as above and exit to error[3].

If z_2 is not in the range of F (including the case $z_2 = \bullet$), but z_1 is in the range of F^{*}, set x1, y1 as above and exit to error[4].

If neither z_1 nor z_2 is in the range of F, exit to error[5].

If a root z_i is in the range of F but not in the range of F, we permit either an indication of over- or underflow via the appropriate exit to error, or a determination of z_i with an accuracy satisfying (7) above.

The procedure QUADRATIC should make no unnecessary use of multiple-precision computation, but computation with 2t significant digits is essential at one part of the procedure, to achieve the accuracy (7). End of comment;

The main source of practical difficulty in writing the procedure QUADRATIC is the possibility of over- or underflow in many places. What is actually programmed depends crucially on what the computing system does in case of over- or underflow. An ideal system permits the user's program to regain control of the algorithm, if the user wishes, with the ability to interrogate Boolean variables to learn whether there has been overflow, underflow, or neither. Though such systems are rare, they have been implemented at Toronto [3] and at Stanford [2], and these systems wake programming such an algorithm as QUADRATIC far more satisfactory.

Some systems merely dismiss a user's program in case of overflow. If a result underflows, many systems merely set the result to 0 and return to the user's program without any indication. Faced with systems like these, the programmer must take great pains to insure that over- or underflow can never occur. These precautions make a satisfactory algorithm tedious to write, lengthy to store, and slow to execute. One necessary subroutine must determine the exponents of a, b, c, and other real

numbers local to the procedure. This is probably most gracefully written in machine code.

The ability to achieve the prescribed accuracy (7) of $\beta + 1$ units in the last place depends on the detailed properties of the floating-point arithmetic processor. If the prescribed accuracy is not achievable, condition (7) must be relaxed as necessary. If necessary, one could also make the set F^* smaller by changing (3^{*}).

As a simple illustration suppose that $\beta = 10$, t = 4, m = -54, M = 45. Then the smallest and largest positive numbers in F are

$$1000 \times 10^{-54} = 10^{-51}$$

and

9999 ×
$$10^{45}$$
 = .9999 × 10^{49} = (1 - 10^{-4}) × 10^{49} .

Here are some equations that may give trouble for this system:

(a) $10^{-40}z^2 - 5 \times 10^{-40}z + 6 \times 10^{-40} = 0$:

The roots are 2 and 3, and the only danger is that undetected underflows will introduce an error.

(b) $z^2 + 10^{10}z - 1 = 0$:

Use of the standard quadratic formula will yield 0 for the positive root, instead of the correctly rounded value 1.000×10^{-10} .

(c) $10^{-30}z^2 - 10^{40} + 1 = 0$: The roots are near 10^{70} and 10^{-40} ; use of the quadratic formula can easily cause overflow or underflow.

$$2.864 \pm \sqrt{(2.864)^2 - (4 \times 2.864) \times 0.7160}$$

2 × 2.864

in single-precision rounded arithmetic yields 0.5000 ± 0.05477 i, with an error of over 547 units in the last place of 0.5000.

It is not purely academic to make strict demands of the procedure QUADRATIC . Quadratic equations arising in the course of solving determinantal equations by Muller's method [6] or Laguerre's method [5], particularly in connection with large matrices, sometimes have one of the roots out of the range of F^* , and yet make essential use of the root in the range of F^* . The accuracy requirement (7) is perhaps overstrict for equations with nearly double roots.

I believe the specifications to be very reasonable for a basic process like solving a quadratic equation. Nevertheless, I venture to guess that not more than five quadratic solvers exist anywhere that meet the general level of the specifications. Kahan [4] has prepared an algorithm (in Fortran IV for the 7094-II under the Toronto version of the IBSYS operating system) which achieves the specifications for $\beta = 2$, t = 27, m = -155, M = 100. The error never exceeds 9/4 (= $\beta + 1/4$) units in the least-significant place of the root. The only multipleprecision operations occur in the computation of $\Delta = b_1^2 - 4a_1c_1$, followed by storage of a single-precision value of Δ . (Here a_1 , b_1 , c_1

日本にいたい

「「「「「「「」」」」」」

are proportional to a, b, c .) The excess time for the double-precision computation is negligible in comparison with the time required to deal with over- and underflow.

It is obviously relevant to ask to what extent the various computer algorithms presented at this Symposium for general polynomials make provision for over- and underflow, and also what accuracy they achieve.

It is noteworthy that the programming of QUADRATIC depends crucially on the arithmetic properties of the computing system, especially on its behavior with over- and underflow. The practical numerical analyst with high standards is thus inextricably involved with the arithmetic behavior of his digital computer hardware and accompanying operating systems. Unfortunately, few numerical analysts have formulated their systems requirements explicitly, not to mention communicating them effectively to the persons who design hardware and software systems. With existing computing systems a numerical analyst faces a most disagreeable dilemma-either i.e writes less than satisfactory algorithms, or he undertakes the never-ending chore of writing basic software systems (and perhaps even rebuilds the arithmetic unit). Professor Kahan has generally taken the second alternative.

References

1. George E. Forsythe and Cleve B. Moler, COMPUTER SOLUTION OF LINEAR ALGEBRAIC SYSTEMS, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1967; see Sec. 20.

2. Michael D. Green, "Coping with over/underflow on the B5500," multilithed typescript, Computer Science Dept., Stanford University, Stanford Calif. 94305, USA, 15 July 1966, c. 50 pp.

W. Kaha', "7094-II system support for numerical analysis," draft,
 Department of Computer Science, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada,
 Aug. 1966.

4. W. Kahan, The FORTRAN IV subroutine QDRTC, computer library of McLennan Laboratories, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, c. 1966.

5. H. J. Maehly, "Zur iterativen Auflösung algebraischer Gleichungen," Z. Angew. Math. Phys., <u>5</u>, 260-263 (1954).

 David E. Muller, "A method for solving algebraic equations using an automatic computer." Math. Tables Other Aids Comput., <u>10</u>, 208-215 (1956).

> Computer Science Department Stanford University Stanford, California 94305 USA

	NT CONTROL DATA - RAD		
(Becusity eleccidentian of side, bady of obsident at	MI CONTROL DATA - KAD of indexing association must be entered wi	an die everalt report is clocoliled)	
SHATIN & ACTIVITY (Corporate author			
Computer Science Department		Unclassified	
Stanford University	43. 45	EL CAOUP	
Stanford, California 94305		*******	
PORT TITLE			
WHAT IS A SATISFACTORY QUADR	ATIC EQUATION SOLVER?		
Manuscript for Publication (
HOR(8) (Last name, first name, initial)			
George E. Forsythe			
ORT DATE	Te. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES	74. 00. 07 8579	
August 7, 1967	nine	six	
WTRACT OR GRANT NO.		UNDER(E)	
Nonr-225(37)		CS 74	
OJECT NO.			
NR-044-211			
	9. STHER REPORT HO(2) (Any other members that may be coold and report		
-		none	
AILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES			
		Office of Naval Research Code 432 Washington, D. C. 20360	
		-	
TRACT		-	
		. 20360	
Following the suggest	Washington, D. C	an, the author	
Following the suggest details precise requirements	Washington, D. C tions of Professor W. Kah for a satisfactory compu-	an, the author ter program to	
Following the suggest details precise requirements solve a quadratic equation wi	Washington, D. C tions of Professor W. Kah for a satisfactory compu- th floating-point coefficient	an, the author ter program to cients. The	
Following the suggest details precise requirements	Washington, D. C tions of Professor W. Kah for a satisfactory compu- th floating-point coefficient	an, the author ter program to cients. The	
Following the suggest details precise requirements solve a quadratic equation wi	Washington, D. C tions of Professor W. Kah for a satisfactory compu- th floating-point coefficient	an, the author ter program to cients. The	
Following the suggest details precise requirements solve a quadratic equation wi	Washington, D. C tions of Professor W. Kah for a satisfactory compu- th floating-point coefficient	an, the author ter program to cients. The	
Following the suggest details precise requirements solve a quadratic equation wi	Washington, D. C tions of Professor W. Kah for a satisfactory compu- th floating-point coefficient	an, the author ter program to cients. The	
Following the suggest details precise requirements solve a quadratic equation wi	Washington, D. C tions of Professor W. Kah for a satisfactory compu- th floating-point coefficient	an, the author ter program to cients. The	
Following the suggest details precise requirements solve a quadratic equation wi	Washington, D. C tions of Professor W. Kah for a satisfactory compu- th floating-point coefficient	an, the author ter program to cients. The	
details precise requirements solve a quadratic equation wi	Washington, D. C tions of Professor W. Kah for a satisfactory compu- th floating-point coefficient	an, the author ter program to cients. The	
Following the suggest details precise requirements solve a quadratic equation wi	Washington, D. C tions of Professor W. Kah for a satisfactory compu- th floating-point coefficient	an, the author ter program to cients. The	
Following the suggest details precise requirements solve a quadratic equation wi	Washington, D. C tions of Professor W. Kah for a satisfactory compu- th floating-point coefficient	an, the author ter program to cients. The	
Following the suggest details precise requirements solve a quadratic equation wi	Washington, D. C tions of Professor W. Kah for a satisfactory compu- th floating-point coefficient	an, the author ter program to cients. The	
Following the suggest details precise requirements solve a quadratic equation wi	Washington, D. C tions of Professor W. Kah for a satisfactory compu- th floating-point coefficient	an, the author ter program to cients. The	
Following the suggest details precise requirements solve a quadratic equation wi	Washington, D. C tions of Professor W. Kah for a satisfactory compu- th floating-point coefficient	an, the author ter program to cients. The	
Following the suggest details precise requirements solve a quadratic equation wi	Washington, D. C tions of Professor W. Kah for a satisfactory compu- th floating-point coefficient	an, the author ter program to cients. The	
Following the suggest details precise requirements solve a quadratic equation wi	Washington, D. C tions of Professor W. Kah for a satisfactory compu- th floating-point coefficient	an, the author ter program to cients. The	

4 641 0 Block

Planet is the second

all of the surger stands and

Unclassified

A.

•

Ret woods quadratic equation overflow underflow floating-point computation 1. OREGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the same of advances of a subscription of the report. 1. OREGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the same of advances of advances of the second s	NK A		HK B		K C
quadratic equation overflow underflow floating-point computation INTRUCTIONS INTRUCTIONS INTRUCTIONS INTRUCTIO	WT	ROLE		LINK C	
 overflow underflow floating-point computation INSTRUCTIONS INSTRUCTIONS				MOLE	WT
 OREGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the same and address of the centracter, subscatracter, grantee, Department of De- fence activity or other erganization (comparate author) isoning the repeat. REFORT SECURTY CLASSEPICATION: Enter the over- ell security elsestification of the repeat. Indicate whether "Recorricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accord- anse with appropriate socurity regulations. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is apocified in DoD Di- rective 5200,10 and Armed Ferces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional merilage have been und for Group 3 and Group 4 as author- ised. REFORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all cepital letters. Titles in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title. DEECRUPTIVE NOTEE If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summery, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific report as day, mooth, year, or meath, yeas. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication. ADEECRIPTIVE NOTEE If the total page count should follow normal periation procedures, i.e., enter the should follow mean againation. MUMBER OF PAGEE: The total page count should follow mean periation procedures, i.e., enter the anoth, year, or meath, yeas. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication. ADETRACT: Sa. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the date of pages containing information. 					
 ORIGINA'IING ACTIVITY: Enter the same and address of the centracter, subscatracter, greates, Department of De- fence activity or other erganization (cooperate author) isoning the repeat. REFORT SECURTY CLASSEPICATION: Enter the over- ell socurity classification of the repeat. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accord- anser with appropriate socurity regulations. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is apocified in DoD Di- rective 5200.10 and Armed Fercos Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as author- ised. REFORT TITLE: Enter the complete report this in all cepital letters. Titles in all capitals is parenthesis immediately following the title. DEECRUPTIVE NOTEE If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summery, ensued, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific report as day, mooth, year, or menth, yeas. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication. ADEPORT DATE. Enter the date of the report as day, mooth, year, or menth, yeas. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication. MUMBER OF PAGEE: The total page count should follow menul pegination procedures, i.e., enter the mumber of pages centaining information. MUMBER OF REFERENCER Enter the total sumber of references cited in the report. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the addication of the report. 					
the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written. Sh, Sc, & Sd. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc. 9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the offi- cial report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report. 9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (alther by the criginator or by the aponsol), also enter this number(s). 10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any lim- itations on further dissemination of the report, other than those	i request DDC is mounce DDC is mounce DDC is mounce DDC is mounce directly from set through the set of Cost of through the set of Cost of the set of Cost of Co	ters may el rement and net authoris it agencies it agencies it agencies it agencies it agencies it agencies pencies may pencies may agent pencies may pencies may	dissemine may obta . Other - . other	in capies ation of this in capies gualified opies of (fied users 	de of DDC

Unclassified Security Classification

.

I