REPORT 551 55 REPORT ADVISORY GROUP FOR AEROSPACE RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT -AD 654035 A review of some recent progress in understanding catastrophic yaw by J. D. Nicolaides \star 1966 1967 1967 NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION

REPORT 551

÷

1

• • · · ·

JANNANA KALINK **

1001

31.5

¥ 6.5

· · Statistical · ·

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION

ADVISORY GROUP FOR AEROSPACE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

(ORGANISATION DU TRAITE DE L'ATLANTIQUE NORD)

A REVIEW OF SOME BECENT PROGRESS IN UNDERSTANDING CATASTROPHIC YAW

by

John D.Nicolaides

Aerospace Engineering Department, University (f Notre Dame, Indiana, USA

This Report was prepared for the US Air Force, Eglin Air Force Base, Florida, under Contract AF 09(635)-5275 and made available to the Fluid Dynamics Panel of AGARD.

SUMMARY

Anternation (Language Contraction)

Catastrophic Yaw and Roll Lock-In are studied at supersonic and subsonic speeds. Roll Lock-In is found to occur on isolated fins and is traced to a leading edge vortex. Free rolling motions are found to be accurately represented by the Roll Lock-In Theory. Catastrophic Yaw is observed in three-degree-of-freedom and six-degree-of-freedom wind tunnel tests. Mass asymmetry in a 10° cone is found to cause rolling velocity, Roll Lock-In, and Catastrophic Yaw.

RESUME

Les questions du lacet catastrophique et du monvement de roulis dont la fréquence est la même que le mouvement de nutation ("roll lock-in") sont étudiées en supersonique et en subsonique. On a constaté que ce deruier mouvement se produit sur des ailettes isolées et qu'il est dû aux tourbillons qui prennent naissance au bord d'attaque de l'ailette. La théorie concernant le "roll lock-in" se révèle représenter avec précision les mouvements ce roulis libres. Des essais en soufflerie à trois et à six degrés de liberté ont permis de constater le phénomène du lacet catastrophique. On trouve qu'une asymétrie de masse dans un cône d'angle su soncet de 10° donne lieu à la vitesse de roulis, au "roll lock-in" et au lacet catastrophique.

533, 6, 013, 154

ii

CONTENTS

インドチンドノクションス・シング

そうちゃく ちょうちょうちょう ちょう

12. 21 - 1414

- 小田子 - フィック

ý

and the second states when when a second

ی منبع محمد محمد محمد

I ALL

	Page
SUMMARY	ii
RESUME	ii
LIST OF TABLES	ív
LIST OF FIGURES	iv
1. INTRODUCTION	1
 ROLL LOCK-IN 2.1 Subsonic Roll Lock-In 2.2 Supersonic Roll Lock-In 2.3 Exceptions and Modification to the Theory 	2 2 3 4
3. WIND TUNNEL CATASTROPHIC YAW TESTS	4
4. CONCLUSIONS	4
REFERENCES	5
TÁBLES	6
FIGURES	8
DISTRIBUTION	

iii

LIST OF TABLES

and some a locate

		Page
TABLE 1	Basic Finner Constants from Computer Fit	6
TABLE II	Basic Finner Aerodynamic Data	6
TABLE III	Aerobee "Fins Only"	7

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Fig. 1	The effects of slight rotational asymmetry of the model tip on the variation with roll of the vortex configuration	8
Fig. 2	Vortex on a rotating flat plate	9
Fig. 2	The three configurations studied for supersonic roll lock-in	10
Fig. 4	Basic finner model	11
Fig. 5	Roll lock-ip basic finner missile	12
Fig. 6	Roll lock-in	13
Fig.7	Roll lock-in Aerobee 350	14
Fig. 5	Induced mode "undamping" motion	15
Fig.9	Roll lock-in Aerobee 350	16
Fig. 10	Soll lock-in Aerobee 350	

:

4

2

iv

A REVIEW OF SOME RECENT PROGRESS IN UNDERSTANDING CATASTROPHIC YAW

John D.Nicolaides

1. INTRODUCTION

The most important problem facing the exterior ballistician today is Catastrophic Yaw.^{1, 2} The designers of bombs. finned projectiles, re-entry missiles, and unguided rockets must all overcome this problem. History, both recent and past, records numerous failures in all fields. What is clearly needed is a better understanding of Catastrophic Yaw, and Roll Lock-In, which is the necessary condition for Catastrophic Yaw.

Roll-Yaw Resonance in fin-stabilized free-flight mis__es has been known for many years. The linear theory (i.e. Tricyclic Theory)³ has been successfully used, both to predict the motion of missiles when the various stability coefficients were known, and to determine the various stability coefficients when the actual motion was known.

The Tricyclic Theory yields the complex angle of attack as

$$\vec{\alpha} = K_{N} e^{(\lambda_{N} + i\omega_{N})t} + K_{p} e^{(\lambda_{p} + i\omega_{p})t} + K_{T} e^{ipt} .$$
 (i)

When

 $\vec{\alpha} = \beta + id$ $K_{N} = nutation arm$ $K_{P} = precession arm$ $K_{T} = trim due to configurational, mass, or thrust asymmetries$ $\lambda_{N,P} = damping rates$ $\omega_{N,P} = rotation rates$ p = rolling velocity of missile

While there have been many examples of the excellence of the Linear Tricyclic Theory, there have been an ever increasing number of cases where the linear theory simply does not work. In these cases two special features stand out. First, the missile's rolling motion stays the same as the frequency of the wobbling motion; and, second, the size of wobbling motion is much larger than predicted by the linear theory. This special rolling motion is called Roll Lock-In and this special large wobbling motion is called Catastrophic Yaw^{1, 2}. In this case of Catastrophic Yaw, Equation (1) reduces to either

$$\vec{\alpha} = (K_N e^{\Lambda_N t} + K_T) e^{(\omega_N t)}$$
, when $p = \omega_N = \text{constant}$, (2)

or

$$\vec{\alpha} = K_{\rm T} e^{ipt}$$
, where $p \neq \alpha_{\rm N}$. (3)

In both cases the roll angle of the missile with respect to the plane of the complex angle of attack is a constant (Roll Trim Angle) and the wobbling motion is, thus, Lunar.

It has been found that Roll Lock-In is caused by the action of the Induced Roll Moment. It has, also, been found that Catastrophic Yaw is due to the Roll Lock-In which produces a Roll Trim Angle which, in turn, causes an Induced Side Moment. This Side Moment during Roll Lock-In acts like a Magnus moment so as to reduce the damping of the nutational or precessional components. The size of the Trim component at resonance .s greatly increased, therefore, due to this Side Moment.

A better understanding of Catastrophic Yaw could come about if we had a better understanding of the Induced Roll Moment causing Catastrophic Yaw.

2. ROLL LOCK-IN

At the University of Notre Dame we have been studying the causes and nature of Roll Lock-In at both subsonic and supersonic speeds^{4, 5}.

In the subsonic case, it was known that Roll Lock-In could exist. So our interests were primarily concerned with the flow conditions which cause Roll Lock-In. Some preliminary studies were carried out by using the Smoke Wind Tunnel.

In the supersonic case, it was not known whether Roll Lock-In could occur. Thus, we sought to find Roll Lock-In and to study its characteristics.

2.1 Subsonic Roll Lock-In

In previous studies it was found that Roll Lock-In occurred on free-rolling cruciform fins by themselves.⁶ It had originally been thought that vortices shedding off the body of the missile caused Roll Lock-In. The flow around the body of the Low Drag Boab was studied by Professor Brown. Figure 1 shows the body vortices at 53° angle of attack. The periodic shedding may be noted. These same flow features are seen at smaller angles of attack. It was also noted that small asymmetries at the bomb's mose could significantly affect the flow fluid. However, by testing cruciform fins by themselves, the same Roll Lock-In characteristics were obtained.

Thus, in the Notre Dame subsonic tests, the cruciform fins from the Low Drag Bomb were used by themselves in studying the flow field.

It was noted in the flow studies that strong vortices were originating directly behind the leading edge of the fins. Figure 2 shows this vortex on a flat plate which is at an angle of attack of 90° and is rotating. The vortex is seen on the retreating fin.

2

This same vortex is seen on a free-rotating cruciform fin system when a particular fin has a certain combination of angle of attack and angle of side step (i.e. a particular roll orientation, $\gamma_{\rm T}$).

In the case of Roll Lock-In, this fin leading edge vortex is quite prominent. Our studies suggest that it is this vortex that produces the Induced Roll Moment which overgomes the Roll Moment due to cant and, then, produces Roll Lock-In.

$$L(\delta_{A}) + L(\gamma_{\mu}\alpha) = 0$$
 (4)

or

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{T}} = \frac{C_{l_0 \Lambda} \delta_{\mathbf{A}}}{C_{l_{\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}} \alpha} \alpha}.$$
 (5)

Special tests have been carried out at Notre Dame studying various configurational changes. Of particular importance is the work of Mr Daniels of the Naval Weapons Laboratory.

2.2 Supersonic Roll Lock-In^{5, 7, 4}

Supersonic Roll Lock-In studies have been carried out on three configurations, the Aerobee Rocket, the Basic Finner, and the Aerobee Fins (Figs. 3 and 4). Their rolling motions are shown in Figures 5-8.

The Roll Lock-In Theory is given by

$$\gamma = \gamma_{\rm T} + {\rm Be}^{\rm At} \cos \left(\omega t + \delta\right) , \qquad (6)$$

where

 $\gamma_{\rm T} = \text{Roll frim Angle (Equation (5))}$ $\lambda = \frac{1}{2I_{\rm x}} \left(C_{l_{\rm F}} \frac{\rm d}{2v} \frac{\rm 1}{2} p v^2 s \rm d \right)$ $\omega = -\frac{1}{I_{\rm x}} (\overline{C}_{l_{\gamma_{\rm H}} \alpha} \frac{\rm 1}{2} p v^2 s \rm d) \quad .$

This Roll Lock-In Theory has been "fitted" to these rolling motions by using a special technique developed by Professor Eikenberry which employs the Method of Differential Corrections. The results are given in Tables I-III.

The Roll Lock-In Theory has been found to represent the rolling motion to an accuracy of less than 2^0 .

Also, it has been found possible to determine quite accurate values for the Roll Trim Angle, the Induced Roll Moment Stability Coefficient, and the Roll Damping Moment Stability Coefficient.

2.3 Exceptions and Modification to the Theory

Not all of the experimental rolling motions, however, have been represented by the Theory. Figures 9 and 10 illustrate some interesting exceptions. In Figure 9 the Foll Trim Angle is changing slowly with time. In Figure 10 the missile is seeking three different Roll Trim Angles, 90° apart. This "hunting" characteristic may be due to poor wind tunnel flow or it may be real. Some of our studies suggest that it is real and it is due to poor roll dynamic stability and to serious non-linearities.

It was also observed that (i) differences in fin area and (ii) mass asymmetries affected the rolling motion. Thus a Modified Roll Lock-In Theory has been developed;

$$L(\delta_{A}) + L(p) + L(\gamma_{u}\alpha) + L(\gamma, \alpha) + L(\Omega m) = I_{p} \dot{p}$$

For the wind tunnel case where α is a constant and the transient rolling motion has damped ($\dot{p} = p = 0$), we may solve for the new Roll Trim Angle. Here we find that the model will, in fact, prefer one fin orientation to the other three. Special model designs and tests should be carried out to study this motion and its dynamic stability.

3. WIND TUNNEL CATASTROPHIC YAW TESTS

The complete three-degrees-of-freedom angular motion of two missiles has been studied in special wind tunnel tests.

The first missile was the Basic Finner. By mounting a model on a unique central pivot, the model was able to freely pitch and yaw. The fore and aft portions of the model were able to freely roll due to the angle of cant on the fins. By carefully selecting the angle of cant, this model was able to demonstrate Catastrophic Yaw. Movies were taken and are shown. The films are now being measured and the motion is being studied.

Also, a second model of a 10^o cone was free-flight tested in the University's Vertical Free Flight Wind Turnel and in the Air Force Vertical Wind Tunnel at Wright Field. The six-degree-of-freedom motions were observed. Roll Lock-In and Catastrophic Yaw were obtained. The data is being analyzed. A special film of these motions was shown for the first time at the Conference.

When the cone model had no mass asymmetry, there was no rolling velocity, and the pitching and yawing motions were quite well damped. However, when mass asymmetry was introduced a large rolling velocity was created. Also, a large and persistent circular and lunar pitching and yawing motion existed. This motion is accurately represented by Equations (2) and (3).

4. CONCLUSIONS

It is concluded that

- (i) Roll Lock-In exists at both subsonic and supersonic speeds.
- (ii) The cruciforms fins, not the body of the missile, are the origin of Roll Lock-In.

4

- (iii) Vortices originating at the fin leading edge cause Roll Lock-In.
- (iv) Missile fin area and mass asymmetries can cause preferential Roll Trim Angles.
- (v) Catastrophic Yaw may be demonstrated in a wind tunnel (a) by using a special three-degree-of-freedom pivot (b) by free flight tests in a vertical stream.
- (vi) Mass asymmetries in a simple 10^o cone model cause a rolling velocity, Roll Lock-In, Lunar motion, and Catastrophic Yaw.

REFERENCES

1.	Nicolaides,	John D.,	Two Non-Linear F-oblems in the Flight Dynamics of Modern Ballistic Missiles. IAS Report No. 59-17, 1059.
2.	Nicolaides,	John D.,	Missile Flight and Astrodynamics. TN 100A, Bureau of Naval Weapons, 1961.
3.	Nicolaides,	John D.,	On the Free Flight Motion of Missiles Having Slight Configurations Asymmetries, Ballistics Research Labora- tories Report No.858, 1953, and IAS Preprint No.395, 1952.
4.	Nicclaides, Eikenberry,	John D., Rober S.,	Dynamic Wind Tunnel Testing Techniques, AIAA Paper No.66-752, 1966.
5.	Nicolaides, Stumpfl, Sto	John D., ephen C.,	On Roli Lock-In In Unguided Rockets, UNDAS-TR-668JDN, SCS, August 1966, under Contract NSR-15-004-016 prepared for NASA, Washington, DC.
6.	Griffin, T.! Heald, R.	P.,	On the Pure Rolling Mation of the Low Drag Bomb at Large and Small Angles of Attack, Department of the Navy, Ballistic Tochnical Note No. 19, Wasbington, DC.
7.	Bozzonetti,	E.R. Jr.,	Analysis of the Oscillatory Krtions Associated with Roll Lock-In. Report, Department of Aero-Space Engineer-

ing, University of Notre Dame, 1966.

TABLE I

$\gamma_{\mathbf{T}}$	s _r	В	λ	ω	δ
		Damping	Mode		
(deg)	(deg/sec)	(deg)	(sec ⁻¹)	(rad/sec)	(rud)
37.1	-0. 416	17.5	~0.135	4.25	3.78
P.E. 0, 255	0.047	0.482	0.0073	0.0071	0.026
		Undampin	g Mode		
49.3	2.08	26.2	0.023	3.86	4.73
P.E. 0.507	0. 240	0.693	0.0115	0.0113	C.024

Basic Finner Constants from Computer Fit

TABLE II

Basic Finner Aerodynamic Data

	Damping		Undam	ping
Ve	alue	% Error	Value	% Error
γ_{T}	37. 1 ⁰	0.687	49.3 ⁰	1.029
Cira	-0.027	0.1646	-0. 019	0.285
Clp	-6.66	C 518	1.11	47.8

6

TABLE III

Aerobee "Fins Only"

Parameter	Value	Probable Error
γ_{T}	43.784 ⁰	0.507°
s _r	-8.361 ⁰	3. 335 ⁰
λ	3.212	0. 492
ω	130.717	0.468
В	6.409	9. 578
δ	4. 244	0.086
Sum of residuals squared	364.0	
Probable error of fit	1.875 ⁰	

, ,

State of the second second

Ĩ

語の語が



Fig. 1 The effects of slight rotational asymmetry of the model tip on the variation with roll of the vortex configuration

transmitter in the state of the state and





Fig.3 The three configurations studied for supersonic roll lock-in

10

and a second sec



	·····	r	·			·
		<u> </u>				
	1 1		and a second	1		
1.1						
		contraction				
	······································	he				
			1.2.7			
		in the second second		< m		
			P			
-						
						i i i
		free		5 5		
		1 the man		Z		
	<u></u>		×	ω ····		
. .	┫┿┿┿╼╧┯╧╼╼ ╼			┝╍╍╌┙ _┙ ╹╹╶╌╸		
	┫╼╪╼╤╴┿╼╤╼┿╼╤╾┯ ╼╼╴╼╾			<u>0</u> <u>0</u>		┠╼╴┷╍╤╾╽╍╍╍╼╼┷┷
	<u>┫╼┊╶┊╍╤╍╤╼</u> ╡╍ ╍╶┊ ╺╼╌	S		· Z ≤		└─ ───────────────────────────────────
	}		***********	× 2		
• •	<u> </u>					
- •						
	1.1.6					
	1					1111
-						00
	<u></u>			f		ļ
	thing and	for the second	}	<u>+</u>		│┼┼┯╡╇┊╇╞ ╄╸
		┟╼╌╍┶┿┷╍╼╼┶╴	<u> </u>	f	┟╍╍┶┵╶┿╍┯╼┯╧┿┿╌	┠╼┥┽┥┯┿┿
	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++			***** *******************************	╺╼╶╤╼╾╤╼╋╌╤╾╋╶╧╼╤╼╼	┝┯┿╅┯┾┽┿┿
	╋╗╈╪┿╡╍╼╍╍			<u> </u>	┢╺╍┲┅╤╤╉╌╧╼╦═╧┷┥╼	┠┯┿┿┿┼┿┽┿
			134	<u>+</u>	┟╍┰┿┿╍╼╍┥╍╸┯╺┝╸	<mark>┫╶╕╍┱╼╋╾╪╌┿╼╞╍┽╴╂╼</mark>
	1.000			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	1					
						S I
<u> </u>	Life and the second					
	hearing				L	
┶┿┿┷╋┿	6.0	£	+		<u>↓</u>	
┨╞┊╡┠┊╡ ╞┿		2		frank and the second		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
╉╋╧╋╋	╉╍┿╍┷┼┿┿┝┯╼╸	f			[╏╍┶╼┶╍╼┶┥┼┿
┪┥┥	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +				╏╍╸╼╍╸╏╍╸╏╺╸╸╸	┟┯╍╍╴╱╺╍┾┼╸
		A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER	f ······		┠╼╼┷╂┷╂╼╼┯┥╴	╏┿╍┯╶╎┯╍┯
1111110						<u>C</u>
		and the second s				N IN T
1		L	1	1		
	1.1.1					
	trank in and	Linger and the second	 		┟┈╍╍╴╍╸┟╶╕╶┨╼╍╍╍╼╸	<mark>├</mark> Σ <u></u>
╶┥┍╍┥┥┑	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	and an and the second s			┟╍╧╼╼╄╍╶┠╼╍╍╼	f∽r÷⊷r ⊂ ∱-∤
┿╍┾╍┼┥┼╍┥╍┥╌┑	╂┅ ┊╌┇╺╈╌┪╼╕ ╼╾	<u>}</u>			┧╌┑╌┓╴╷╴┨╸┥╺┨╸┛╸╸	┠ ╶┍┶┷ ┡╸┾╅┿
╅╆┽┿┿	{- 				<u>┤╾╍╼╍╼</u> ┤ <u>╼╍</u> ┥ ╍╼ ┆╼╍╴	┠╍╍┯┼┯┼┼┼
1					│────┤ ╴१ ┝━─┑	
			1	1		
	hill and have a second	متسرفيها ويستدعها			L	
the line of the local data						
	<u></u>					┠━╍╍╍┿╍╍┾╾╍┿╸
	+			<u></u>		┨╍╍╍╼╍┶┶┶┯┿╍┿╼
╅╧╪╧╌╌╌╌╌╌╌╌╌╌	<u>╊╌╤─╧─<u></u>╆╌╤─┍─┲── ╼┯─</u>	<u>╋╾╧╾╼╼╼</u> ╼┿╼┯╼╼╼╼╼				<u>╊╌╍╍╶╍╌</u> ┲╌┱╌╅╶┿╌╄╍
· • - • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				\ 	j	
		1 ·····		1		
			1	1	·	
	1					
				here a summer so		
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	1					
	1 m					<u> </u>
++++	╉╼╍╆╍┿╺╼┿┯	<u> </u>	f		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	┦╌╍╌┥╌┿╌┿╌┯╌┯┿ ╸
	♥~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	to the second		f	┫──── ────────────────────────────────	┫╼╍╕╕┵╕╡ ╸╪
····	+					╏╼╍┼┿╺╸┽╼╸┽
<u> </u>	1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	~		1		
LL L		Lawrence and the second		T		
		L				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	to and the second			L		
		19	+	L		
╅╇╧┿┯┷┿┿┷	· {- } - <u></u>	<u>↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ </u>				f
╅┽┽┵┿┵┿┷	+	1	+		÷	J
	++			· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<u>╋</u> ╌ ╗╍╍┊╌╤╸ ┱╌┠╶╾╼╼╕╴	╊ ╺╺╺╘╸╪╸╪╸╡╸ ╞╴┠╴┠╴
114	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	╆╍┲┵┍╍╄╞┷┶	+	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	f	┠╼╍╍┶╈┾╄┿┿┿
	t-indiana		· } - • - • - • - • - • - • - • - • - • - 	·	}	1
			1	+	 	╈╋╌╄═┹┿╍╼╧╧┿
11-1-11	**************************************	1		+		╡┥┥┥┥┥ ┥┥┥
	6	6	0	Ø		
	6	1	12	N		
		1		L		
_~	1 . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	VACLE (DEC	NOITATNAIS	בב אסרר טו		
	· • •					1 1 1 1 1 1
						╉ ╶╼╼╸┥ ╼┊╌╡╌┍╴┽╶┿╸
		╉╌ _{┝╍} ╍┥╍┥┥┥┥		 		

Roll lock-in basic finner missile F1g.5

2

12

South Andrews





Fig.7 Roll lock-in Aerobee 350

14



15

V CAME OF

ŧ

	<u>└──────</u>	
		┊╪╧┇╊╾┫╽╿┥┎┲┲╕╕╽╤╡┠═┥╪╪╎╢╪┶┼╏╅╢┪┟╕╏╕╎╎╎┼┿┿╈╢
		╶┶┥┲┶╒┊╿╷╎╔╤╕┵┰╪┟╕╽╤╽╎╡╎┆╧╬┶╁╏╞┧┱┟╎╢╺┽┽║┾┽╄╿┽┽╽╡╡
		<u>┙</u> ╅╪╁╽┥┊┠╎╽┍┰╤┲╤╤┰╽╛┾┑┆╎┿╅╁┼╽ <u>┼┾┤</u> ┝┽╿┍╿╽╡╎┾╆┧┾┽╽
		╪┥┺┲╊┥╏╌┿┽┲┚┲╛╴┾┚┉┊┝┶┲╼┲╆╏╛╡┍┥╺╝╗┽╢╏┍┤┙╎╵╞╞┲┢╢
	┟╴┥╺┧╴┥╴╡╴╡╴╡╴╡╴╡╴╡╴╴	╋╋┿┝┽┼┟┝┼╹┝┿┝┿┝┿┝┿┿╽╎┽┽┝╍╌╢╴╹╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴
	│ ╵╹┥ ┲┲┱╅╹ <u>┲</u> ┱╅┥╞╼┲┱┥╧╺┲╼┲╘┍┠╝┱	┲╍╍┶┥╔┶┼╤┶╪┼┆┟╪╘╅┼╞┶╍┾┾┼╢╢╝┽╿┙┼╷┥╪╧┾┥
		┟┽╹╾┽┽╏╒┶┿┿╪╴┆╽┟╞┝┿┽┝┽┽┝┽╎┝╏╱┍┍┼┽┽╄╪┝╌╡╞┥╽┼┾╸
		╶╶ ╶╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴
	┝┥┿┥╴┥╴┥╴┥╴┥╴┥╴┥	╘┾╅╂╌┦╔╡┼┝┽╪╪┊┊╷╽╪┼╱╧┿┾╅┼╽┽┾┽┼╎┼┼┽╴┼┥┊╞┼┥╡╞┼┿╪╞
		╘┝╪╌╘╎╴╽╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴
		╧╋┥┽╴╽╶╴╔╼┿┿╪┿┾╎╎╻╞╬┝╽┼┾┧┿┼┾╻╘┝┼┝┙╴╎┥╻╻╴┥┽┿┿┥┿┿┿
		<u>┽┼╁╀╢</u> ╟╫╄╗┲┿┿╪╪╡┝╪╪╪╪╲┽╪┽╪╽ <u>┼</u> ╡┥┼┿┝╢╢╢
		┿╘┶┶┶┶╘┶┶┶┶┶┶┶┶┶┶┶┶┶┶┶┶┶┶┶┶┙┶┶┶┶┶╸
		╈╪╋┿╋┍╌┝┶╋╋╗╗╌┍┝┍╴╧╧╧╧╧╧╧╧╧╧╧╧╧╧╧╧╧╧╧╧╧╧╧
		╈┲╅┾╅┟╎╪┿┿┿┾╴╝╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴
		<u>╺╶┽┽┥┥</u> ┝┽╅┿┽╘╱┽┼┷╽╾╢╌╎┽╗╧╄╪╷╽╪╷┙╤┥╽╶╤╎╏┿╪┾┢┿╆┿╋╝
		┍┥╕╀╷┊╏╴┽┽┥┺┝┿╪┿┼┥┝┿┿┝┼┝┟┹╗╌╴╔╌╴╺┍┱┥┶┽┠╏╶╷┊┍┽┥┿┝┾╦╢
		┍┱╼┲┱┑╏┝╺┲╼┲╈╗╬╗┿╴╛╞╘╸┢╺╪╼┽┥╄╶┫┑┥╌┙╴╏╶┑┥╴┥╺┯╾┍┝╼┍╌╿╸╸┥┝┝┿┥┿┿┿┥┨ ┍┪╼┱┲┑╏┝╶╉╼╄╼╪╲╎┙╴╴╞╺┶╸┢╺╪╼┥╴┨╶╛╴╴╴╴╴
		╺┽┲╅┽╢┝┽┽┽┽╲╲┧┽┥┢┽┼╤┥┽╷╧╧╌╴┢╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴
КОЛС ОКІЕИТАТІОН АНСТИРИТИТИ КОЛС ОКІЕИТАТІОН АНСЕ (ОЕС.)		
		╪╧┥┽┨╏╎╴╴┥┥┙┙┙┥┝┽┥┙╸┥╎┝┥┥┙┊╎┝╵┥┥╎┝╸┝╵╴╸┝╸┙╴╴╸╸╸
яли и страниции и		
ROLL ORIENTATION ANGLE (DEG.)		
яли и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и		╋ <u>┥┥┿</u> ┝┿╋┿╋╧╋┙╧┥┖╤┍┿┿┽╴┥┿┿┝┿┿┿┿┝┿┿┝┿┿┿┿┾┝┼╋╸
ПОПИТИТИТИТИТИТИТИТИТИТИТИТИТИТИТИТИТИТИ		
		╋╪┝╪╢┟╪┧╪╪┼┲╪╢╌╗╖╴╗╴╧╖╴╧┱╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴
	┟╺┲┯╺╞╼╄╼┥┝╼┝╼╽╸┥╵╴╵╵╵╵╵╴╹╶╹┲╌┠┑┲┯┱┫	┍┍┍┽ <u>╏╶┼┽┽┾┽┽┽┽</u> ┟ <u>┲┿┝┽┽┝┝┽┽╤</u> ┲┎╤╌┥╤╤┆┆╤╝╣╎╧╪┾┿╪┥┥╧┫
		ROLL ORIENTATION ANGL
		╘┨┝┽╏┝┽┽┽┽┾┊┥┼┊┨╞┽┥╎┽╽╽╷╽╴╴╴╴╴╸╸╸╸╸╸╸╸╸╸╸╸╸
	<u>╶</u> ┹┽┍┿┆╪┽┊╏ [╺] ┥╪┾┿┿╡╞┽ <u>╞┝</u> ┺┺┶┯┽	
	╶┨╴┥┥╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷	<u>╋</u> ┝┫┍╉ ╗╗╗╗ ╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╎╽╪ <u>╁</u> ╍╔┝┾╕╠╬╪╪╪╪╪╪╪
┥┫┿┿╅┥╅╎╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪	╧╪╧╘┥╼╞╌┍╼╖┇╌┼╼╴╞┹╕┽╞┼╏┠┤	<u>╴</u> ╴ <u>╴</u> ╴ <u>╴</u>
<u>┥╺┥┕└╌╌╌╌┲┎┲┎┲┝┲┲┲</u> ╪╬╔┠╊┺╊╋┿┼╊╊┨╈┨╶┽┨╖╦┲┲╖╖╗╞╋┿┿┿┿┼┼┍┾┑┨┝┾┥┿┿┿┿┿┾┾╋┝┶┝┝┶╎┍┾┯┥┿┿┿╋	╶┧╧╧╋╧╋╪╿╤┽╴╽┽╞┾╪╪╎╽┽╞╪┿┿┙┼┼	╪╘┼┠┽┝╍┽┽╕┽╡┼┣┼┼┽┥╎┶┼┟┽┟┟┼┼┼┝┝┼┊┟╻╴┍┥┼┿┿┽┰┥
		<u>╋┨┽╽</u> ╫┥┼┥┽┽┽┼╬┇╉┽┽┽╎┼┼┥┨╧╏╧┧╧╧┝┟┼└╧╽┶┼┉╍┯┥╪┾┾┨

Fig.9 Roll lock-in Aerobee 350

16

NOPENAL



Roll lock-in Aerobee 350

DISTRIBUTION

with the transmission

AND REAL OF

Copies of AGARD publications may be obtained in the various countries at the addresses given below.

On peut se procurer des exemplaires des publications de l'AGARD aux adresses suivantes.

BELGIUM	Centre National d'Etudes et de Recherches
BELGIQUE	Aéronautiques
	il, rue d'Egmont, Bruxelles
CANAPA	Director of Scientific Information Service
	Defence Research Board
	Department of National Defence
	'A' Building, Ottawa, Ontario
DENMARK	Danish Defence Research Board
DANEMARK	Østerbrogades Kaserne,
	Copenhagen, Ø
FRANCE	O.N.E.R.A. (Direction)
	25, Av. de la Division Leclerc
	Châtillon-sous-Bagneux (Seine)
GERMANY	Zentralistelle für Luftfahrtdokumentation
ALLEMAGNE	und Information
	8 Munchen 27 Maria-Therosia-Str.21
	Attn: Dr. H.J. Rautenberg
GREECE	Greek National Defence General Staff
GRECE	B.JSG, Athens
ICELAND	Director of Aviation
ISLANDE	c/o Flugrad.
	Reykjavik
ITALY	Ufficio del Delegato Nazionale
JTALIE	all'AGARD
	Ministero Difesa - Aeronautica
	Roma
LUXEMBURG	Obtainable through Belgium
LUXEMBOURG	
NETHERLANDS	Netherlands Delegation to AGARD
PAYS BAS	Kluvverweg 1. Delft

NORWAY NORVEGE	Norwegian Defence Research Establishment Kjeller per Lilleström Attn: Mr. O. Blichner
PORTUGAL	Delegado Nacional do 'AGARD' Direcção do Serviço de Material da Forca Aerea Rua da Escola Politecnica, 42 Lisboa
TURKEY	Ministry of National Defence
TURQUIE	Ankara
	Attn: AGARD National Delegate
UNITED KINGDOM	Ministry of Aviation
ROYAUME UNI	T. I. L. 1,
	Block A,
	Station Square House,
	St.Mary Cray,
	Orpington, Kent
UNITED STATES ETATS UNIS	National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Washington, D.C. 20546



Printed by Technical Editing and Reproduction Ltd Harford House, 7-9 Charlotte St. London. W.1.