REPORT NUMBER 150 SEPTEMBER 1964

CALCULATED INSTA DWERPLANT PERFOR

LIFT FAN FLIGHT RESEARCH AIRCRAF

CONTRACT NUMBER DA44-177-50

CENERAL (36) ELECTR

ARGHIVE GOPY

DDC AVAILABILITY NOTICES

1.) Distribution of this document is unlimited.

2. This document is subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of US Army Aviation Materiel Laboratories, Fort Eustis, Virginia 23604.

3. In addition to security requirements which must be met, this document is subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of USAAVLABS, Fort Eustis, Virginia 23604.

4. Each transmittal of this document outside the agencies of the US Government must have prior approval of US Army Aviation Materiel Laboratories, Fort Eustis, Virginia 23604.

5. In addition to security requirements which apply to this document and must be met, each transmittal outside the agencies of the US Government must have prior approval of US Army Aviation Materiel Laboratories, Fort Eustis, Virginia 23604.

6. Each transmittal of this document outside the Department of Defense must have prior approval of US Army Aviation Materiel Laboratories, Fort Eustis, Virginia 23604.

7. In addition to security requirements which apply to this document and must be met, each transmittal outside the Department of Defense must have prior approval of US Army Aviation Materiel Laboratories, Fort Eustis, Virginia 23604.

8. This document may be further distributed by any holder <u>only</u> with specific prior approval of US Army Aviation Materiel Laboratories, Fort Eustis, Virginia 23604.

9. In addition to security requirements which apply to this document and must be met, it may be further distributed by the holder <u>only</u> with specific prior approval of US Army Aviation Materiel Laboratories, Fort Eustis, Virginia 23604.

DISCLAIMER

(10.) The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

(11.) When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as

REPORT NUMBER 150

Calculated Installed Power Plant Performance

	XV-5A 1	Lift Fan	
Flight	Research	Aircraft	Program



September 1964

Advanced Engine and Technology Department General Electric Company Cincinnati, Ohio 45215



0375 B 1757

ACCERSION INF CPBIS WHITE SECTION DE BOG BUFF SECTION DE DEARNORMED DE ACCERTION DE ACCERTION DE DE TREBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES DIST. AVAIL and/or SPECIAL DE TREBUTION

CONTENTS

SECTION		PAGE
1.0	SUMMARY	1
2.0	INTRODUCTION	3
3.0	INSTALLED PERFORMANCE: FOR LIFT	
	FAN MODE OPERATION	8
	3.1 General	8
	3.2 Installed Static Fan Mode Performance	9
	3.3 Correlation of Installed Static Fan	
	Mode Performance	10
	3.4 Recommended Fan Mode Performance	
	Study	13
4.0	INSTALLED PERFORMANCE: TURBOJET	
	MODE OPERATION	14
	4.1 General	14
	4.2 Installed Performance	17
	4.3 Idle Power Operation	17
	4.4 Ejector Performance	19
	4.5 Thrust Spoiler Performance	19
	4.6 Inlet Performance	20
5.0	XV-5A AIRCHAFT COOLING AIR DRAG	154
6.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	181
7.0	APPENDIX	183
	7.1 References	183
	7.2 System Static Performance X-353-5B	
	and X-376	186
	7.3 Method of Estimating Turbojet Mode	
	Installed Performance	187

A 1-440

iii

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE

- Western

I

I

:

ſ

2.1	General Arrangement XV-5A	5
2.2	XV-5A Propulsion System	6
2.3	GE X333-5B Speed Altitude Envelope	7
3.1	Estimated XV-5A Installed Power Plant	
	Static Performance - Wing Lift Fan	12
3.2	Estimated XV-5A Installed Power Plant Static	
	Performance - Pitch Control Fan	13
4.1	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM;	
	Altitude = 0 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day	25
4.2	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM;	
	Altitude = 5000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day	26
4.3	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM;	
	Altitude = 10,000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day	27
4.4	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RMP;	
	Altitude = 20,000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day	28
4.5	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM;	
	Altitude = 30,000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day	29
4.6	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and $\%$ RPM;	
	Altitude = 40,000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day	30
4.7	Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM;	
	Altitude = 0 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day	31
4.8	Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and $\%$ RPM;	
	Altitude = 5000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day	32
4.9	Propulsion System Drag va Mach No. and % RPM;	
	Altitude = 10,000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day	33
4.10	Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM;	
	Altitude = 20,000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day	34
4.11	Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM;	
	Altitude = 30,000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day	35
4.12	Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM;	
	Altitude = 40,000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day	36
4-13	Net Thrust vs Mach No. and $\%$ RPM; Altitude = 0	
	ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day	37
4.14	Net Thrust vs Mach No. and $\%$ RPM; Altitude =	
	5000 ft,, 2 Engines, Standard Day	38

FIGURE

PAGE

4.15	Net Thrust vs Mach No. and $\%$ RPM;	
	Altitude=10,000 ft., 2 Engines Standard Day	39
4.16	Net Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM;	
	Altitude=20,000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day	40
4.17	Net Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM;	
	Altitude=30,000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day	41
4.18	Net Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM;	
	Altitude=40,000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day	42
4.19	Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and RPM;	
	Altitude = 0 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day	43
4.20	Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and % RPM:	
	Altitude=5000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day	44
4.21	Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and % RPM:	
	Altitude=10,000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day	45
4.22	Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and % RPM:	
1.22	Altitude=20 000 ft 2 Engines Standard Day	46
4 23	Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and $\%$ RPM.	10
1.20	Aititude=30 000 ft 2 Engines Standard Day	47
1 91	Fuel Flow vg Mach No. and & BDM.	
7.27	Altitudo=40,000 ft 2 Engines Standard Day	48
4 95	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and & DDM:	10
4.20	Altitude = 0 ft $= 1$ Engine Standard Day	40
4 96	Cross Thrust us Mach No. and C DDM.	45
4.20	Gross inrust vs mach No. and % RPM;	50
4.07	Altitude=5000 It., I Engine, Standard Day	50
4.27	Gross Inrust vs Mach No. and % RPM;	C 1
	Altitude=10,000 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day	51
4.28	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM;	50
	Altitude=20,000 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day	52
4.29	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM;	-
	Altitude=30,000 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day	53
4.30	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM;	
	Altitude=40,000 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day	54
4.31	Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM;	
	Altitude = 0 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day	55
4.32	Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and $\%$	
	RPM; Altitude=5000 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day	56
4.33	Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and $\%$ RPM;	
	Altitude=10,000 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day	5 7
4.34	Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and $\%$ RPM;	
	Altitude=20,000 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day	58

1 1: gas . Ba

V

. .

FIGURE

R-10-946484

I

r,

Ī

1

PAGE

4.35	Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=30,000 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day	5 9
4.36	Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM:	
	Altitude=40,000 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day	60
4.37	Net Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM:	
	Altitude = 0 ft. 1 Engine. Standard Day	61
4.38	Net Thrust vs Mach No. and $\%$ RPM:	
	Altitude=5000 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day	62
4.39	Net Thrust vs Mach No. and $\%$ RPM: Altitude=	
	10.000 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day	63
4.40	Net Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	
	20,000 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day	64
4.41	Net Thrust vs Mach No. and $\%$ RPM; Altitude=	
	30,000 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day	65
4.42	Net Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	
	40,000 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day	66
4.43	Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and $\%$ RPM: Altitude = 0 ft.	
	1 Engine. Standard Day	67
4.44	Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and % RPM: Altitude=	
	5000 ft. 1 Engine Standard Day	68
4.45	Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and % RPM: Altitude=	
	10.000 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day	69
4.46	Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and % RPM: Altitude=	
	20.000 ft., 1 Engine. Standard Day	70
4.47	Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and % RPM: Altitude=	
	30.000 ft., 1 Engine. Standard Day	71
4.48	Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and % RPM: Altitude=	
	40,000 ft., 1 Engine. Standard Day	72
4.49	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM: Altitude= 0	
	ft., 2 Engines. Hot Day	73
4.50	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and $\%$ RPM:	
1.00	Altitude= 2500 ft_2 2 Engines. Hot Day	74
4.51	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM:	
1,01	Altitude=5000 ft. 2 Engines. Hot Day	75
4.52	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM:	
	Altitude=10,000 ft., 2 Engines. Hot Day	76
4.53	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM:	
	Altitude=20,000 ft., 2 Engines. Hot Day	77
4.54	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM.	• •
1101	Altitude=30,000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day	78
	meneral bo, oo w, a menes, mit pay	10

PAGE FIGURE 4.55 Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 40,000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day 79 Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; 4.56 80 Altitude = 0 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; 4.57 81 Altitude=2500 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; 4.58 82 Altitude=5000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day 4.59 Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; 83 Altitude=10,000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; 4.60 84 Altitude=20,000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; 4.61 85 Altitude=30,000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day 4.62 Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; 86 Altitude=40,000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day 4.63 Net Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude = 87 0 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day Net Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 4.64 88 2500 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day Net Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 4.65 89 5000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day 4.66 Net Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 10,000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day 90 4.67 Net Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 91 20,000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day 4.68 Net Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 30,000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day 92 Net Thrust vs Mach No. and % RMP; Altitude= 4.69 93 40,000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day 4.70 Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude = 94 0 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 4.71 95 2500 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 4.72 96 5000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 4.73 10,000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day 97 Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 4.74 20,000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day 98

vii

I

.

ľ,

•

IN NUMBER OF STREET

a

À.

ľ

FIGURE		PAGE
4.75	Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 30,000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day	99
4.76	Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and % RPM: Altitude=	
	40,000 ft., 2 Engines. Hot Day	100
4.77	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM: Altitude=	
	0 ft., 1 Engine. Hot Day	101
4.78	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	
	2500 Ft., 1 Engine. Hot Day	102
4.79	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	
	5000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day	103
4.80	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM: Altitude=	. –
	10,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day	104
4.81	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	
	20,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day	105
4.82	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	
	30,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day	106
4.83	Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and $\%$ RPM; Altitude=	
	40,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day	167
4.84	Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM;	
4 95	Altitude = 0 it., 1 Engine, Hot Day Description System Drag up Mach No. and \mathcal{Q} DDM.	108
4.00	Altitude=2500 ft 1 Engine Het Dev	100
1.00	Altitude-2500 It., I Engine, not Day	109
4.86	Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM;	110
6 0-	Altitude=5000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day	110
4.87	Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM;	
	Altitude=10,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day	111
4.88	Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM;	110
	Altitude=20,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day	112
4.89	Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM;	
	Altitude=30,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day	113
4.90	Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM;	
	Altitude=40,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day	114
4.91	Net Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	
	0 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day	115
4.92	Net Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	
	2500 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day	116
4.93	Net Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	
	5000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day	117
4.94	Net Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	
	10,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day	118
4.95	Net Thrust vs Mach No. and $\%$ RPM; Altitude=	
	20,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day	119

viii

FIGURE PAGE . 96 Net Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 30,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day 120 4.97 Net Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 40,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day 121 Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude = 4.98 0 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day 122 Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 4.99 2500 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day 123 Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 4.100 5000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day 124 Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 4.101 10,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day 125 Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 4.102 20,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day 126 Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 4.103 30,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day 127 Fuel Flow vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 4.104 40,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day 128 4.105 Corrected Shaft Horsepower Extraction vs 129 Corrected % Speed 4.106 Effect of Engine RPM on Exhaust Gas Temperature 130 Effect of Reingestion and % RPM on Exhaust Gas 4.107 Temperature 131 4.108 Effect of Horsepower Extraction on Exhaust Gas Temperature **13**2 4.109 Effect of % RPM on Net Thrust: Idle Power to 85% RPM 133 4.110 XV-5A Ejector Configuration 134 Thrust Spoiler Angles and Jet Deflection 4.111 Effectiveness vs % Thrust Spoiler Deflection 135 Reduction in Axial Net Thrust vs Effective Jet 4.112 Deflection Angle, Hot Day, 2500 feet Altitude, **100%** RPM 136 4.113 Reduction in Axial Net Thrust vs % Thrust Spoiler 137 Deflection - Hot Day, 2500 feet Altitude, 100% RPM 4.114 XV-5A Inlet Area Profile 138 4.115 Typical XV-5A Trimmed Flight Attitudes vs Mach 139 No. and Altitude 4.116 XV-5A Inlet Total Pressure Recovery vs Mass Flow 140 Ratio and Mach No. for Two Engine Operation

ix

Contraction of Contraction

F

ľ

K 10 N 64B015

FIGURE		PAGE
4.117	XV-5A Inlet Total Pressure Recovery vs Mass Flow	
	Ratio and Mach No. for Single Engine Operation	141
4.118	Total Pressure Distribution at Left/Hand Engine	
	Compressor Face; M=0, α =0, β =0, 30 E Oval	
	Inlet, 2 Engine Operation	14 2
4.119	Total Pressure Distribution at Left Hand Engine	
	Compressor Face; M=.15, α =20, β =0, 30E Oval	
	Inlet, 2 Engine Operation	143
4.120	Total Pressure Distribution at Left Hand Engine	
	Compressor Face; M=.2, α =10, β =0, 30E Oval	
	Inlet, 2 Engine Operation	144
4.121	Total Pressure Distribution at Left Hand Engine	
	Compressor Face; M=.4, α =0, β =0, 24E Oval	
	Inlet, 2 Engine Operation	145
4.122	Total Pressure Distribution at Left Hand Engine	
	Compressor Face; M=.6, α =0, β =0, 24E Oval	
	Inlet, 2 Engine Operation	146
4.123	Total Pressure Distribution at Left Hand Engine	
	Compressor Face; M=.7, $\alpha = 0, \beta = 0, 30$ E Oval	
	Inlet, 2 Engine Operation	147
4.124	Total Pressure Distribution at Left Hand Engine	
	Compressor Face; M=.7, $\alpha = 0, \beta = 0, 24$ E Oval	
	Inlet, 2 Engine Operation	148
4.125	Total Pressure Distribution at Left Hand Engine	
	Compressor Face; M=.02, α =0, β =30, M/M*=	
	.743, 30E Oval Inlet, 2 Engine Operation	149
4.126	Total Pressure Distribution at Left Hand Engine	
	Compressor Face; M=.02, α =0, β =30, M/M* =	
	.819, 30E Inlet, 2 Engine Operation	150
4.127	Total Pressure Distribution at Left Hand Engine	
	Compressor Face; M=.02, $\alpha = 0, \beta = -30, M/M^* =$	
	.461, 30E Inlet, 2 Engine Operation	151
4.128	Total Pressure Distribution at Left Hand Engine	
	Compressor Face; M=.02, $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = -30$, M/M* =	
	.785, 30E Inlet, 2 Engine Operation	152
4.129	Estimated External Drag Increment Coefficient	
	vs Mass Flow Ratio and Mach No.	153
5.1	Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	
	0 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day	155

X

FIGURE

PAGE

5.2	Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	166
5 9	Cooling Air Drog vo Moch No. and & PDM: Altitudo-	190
0.0	10 000 ft 2 Engines Standard Day	167
5 4	Cooling Air Drag ve Mach No. and & PDM: Altitude=	101
J.7	20 000 ft 9 Engines Standard Day	159
55	Cooling Air Drag ve Mach No. and & PDM: Altitude=	100
0.0	20 000 ft 2 Engines Standard Day	160
R C	Cooling Air Drog vo Moch No. and & PDM: Altitude	199
0.0	40 000 ft 2 Engines Standard Day	160
E 77	40,000 It., 2 Englies, Standard Day Cooling Air Drog ve Mech No. and \emptyset DDM. Altitude=	100
0.1	Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % APM; Altitude-	101
E 0	Onling Air Dreg ve Mash Ne and W DDM. Altitudes	101
0.8	Cooling Air Ling vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude-	1 4 0
	SUUDIL, I Engine, Standard Day	162
2.8	Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	4 4 4
	10,000 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day	163
5.10	Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	
	20,000 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day	164
5.11	Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	
	30,000 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day	165
5.12	Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	
	40,000 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day	166
5.13	Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	
	0 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day	167
5.14	Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	
	2500 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day	168
5.15	Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	
	5000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day	169
5.16	Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	
	10,000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day	170
5.17	Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	
	20,000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day	171
5.18	Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	
	30,000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day	172
5.19	Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	
	40,000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day	173
5.20	Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude=	
	0 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day	174
5,21	Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM: Altitude=	-
	2500 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day	175

Commission of the Party of the

- A.....

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued) PAGE FIGURE Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 5.22 5000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day 176 Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 5.23 177 10,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day 5.24 Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 20,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day 178 5.25 Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 179 30,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day 5.26 Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude= 180 40,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day

-

a second

I

a un de car

- and should

Γ

Ι

I

I

The second secon

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
4.1	Tailpipe Area Effect on Installed Performance of GE X353-5B Power Plant - Turbojet Mode	16
4.2	Ryan XV-5A 1/5 Scale Inlet Model Configuration Notation and Configurations Tested	22
4.3	XV-5A Inlet Model Configuration - Performance Comparison Chart	24
7.3.1	Effect of Altitude and Day on $\sqrt{T_{amb}}/P_{amb}$ Ratio and Ambient Pressure (PO)	189
7.3.2	Estimated XV-5A 30E Inlet Performance for 2 Engine Operation and External Incremental Drag Coefficient	190
7.3.3	Estimated XV-5A 30E Inlet Performance for 1 Engine Operation	193
7.3.4	Estimated Windmilling Drag for 1 Engine Out Condition	19 5
7.3.5	Estimated Ejector Performance Affecting Gross Thrust	196
7.3.5	Estimated Secondary Ejector Air to Ambient Pressure Ratio	198
7.3.7	Representative Initial Installed Performance Output from GE X353–5B Turbojet Mode Computer Deck vs NRA	199
7.3.8	Representative Initial Installed Performance Output from GE X353-5B Turbojet Mode Computer Deck vs NRA	200
7.3.9	Representative Initial Installed Performance Output from GE X353-5B Turbojet Mode Computer Deck	201

- 2 per 74 7

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

.

ſ

A THIOMAGE

A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC

Reflective .

ſ

I

RYN Nappole

l

ſ

I

TABLE		PAGE
7.3.10	Representative Initial Installed Performance Output from GE X353-5B Turbojet Mode Computer Deck	202
7.3.11	Representative Initial Installed Performance Output from GE X353-513 Turbojet Mode Computer Deck	203
7.3.12	Representative XV-5A Installed Performance Output for GE X353-5B Turbojet Mode	204
7.3.13	Definition of Symbols for Tables 7.3.7 through 7.3.11 (in Order of their Appearance)	206
7.3.14	Definitions of Symbols for Table 7.3.12 (in Order of their Appearance)	207

1.0 SUMMARY

This report presents calculated installed performance characteristics for the U. S. Army XV-5A lift fan research aircraft propulsion system. The propulsion system consists of two General Electric X353-5B powerplants, one G.E. X376 pitch control fan, and associated ducting, controls and accessory equipment.

Installed performance of turbojet mode is presented for ARDC Standard Day and ANA 421 Hot Day for one and two engine operation. Performance data include gross thrust, propulsion system drag, net thrust, fuel flow and cocling system drag. A sea level static thrust of 4,920 pounds is estimated for an ARDC Standard Day. For ANA 421, Hot Day conditions at 2,500 feet altitude, static thrust is 4,250 pounds.

Performance of the XV-5A propulsion system in the lift fan mode is treated as an integral part of the performance of the total airplane system. The estimate for airplane lift fan mode performance was based on:

- 1. Static (zero speed) installed performance data supplied by General Electric. This data was used to represent the aircraft for out-of-ground effect.
- 2. Special aerodynamic propulsion system coefficients derived from full and small scale wind tunnel model test results. These aeropropulsion data, applicable to in-ground effect and transition flight operations, are presented in other XV-5A Reports. For the hovering condition, the total installed untrimmed lift without allowance for pitch or roll control requirements is 15,020 pounds for standard sea level day, and 12,625 pounds for ANA hot day at 2,500 foot altitude.

A detailed analysis of J85 engine operation at near idle condition (47% to 60% rpm) showed that exhaust gas temperature increased rapidly with increasing engine air inlet temperature and shaft power extraction. Thus, to preclude exceeding exhaust gas temperature limits, due to reingestion of hot engine exhaust gases and/or varying power extraction for system checkout, a minimum rpm of 70% for the J85 engines is recommended for XV-5A fan mode operation.

The engine air inlet shows excellent performance throughout its required operating envelope. A minimum total pressure recovery of 98.4% is available for static operation and the pressure recovery exceeds 99% for high speed cruise flight at Mach 0.7.

Recommendations also are made for research studies to investigate:

Strangene B

RXA Note 15

ſ

- 1. Methods of isolating and defining lift fan contributions to aircraft performance, as a function of the operating environment governed by aircraft geometrical and flight variables.
- 2. Factors affecting fan-induced flow fields near the ground which produce re-circulation of hot gas, particle impingement and re-ingestion, induced steady and unsteady forces, moments etc.

The propulsion system and its associated components, such as thrust spoilers, ejectors etc. are estimated to perform their intended functions adequately throughout the XV-5A flight envelope.

2.0 INTRODUCTION

Presented herein are the calculated installed powerplant performance characteristics of the U.S. Army XV-5A Lift Fan Research Aircraft. The XV-5A, designed and built under contract for the General Electric Company, by the Ryan Aeronautical Company, is a two-place V/STOL aircraft capable of flight at high subsonic Mach numbers, and designed for research flight testing of the General Electric lift fan propulsion system.

The XV-5A propulsion system consists of the following elements: a single engine air inlet with provisions for boundary layer bleed, two G. E. X353-5B lift fan propulsion systems including two J85-GE-5 gas generators modified for non-reheat operation, two gas diverter valves for selecting fan mode or turbojet mode operation, and two wing, tip-turbine lift fans, two wing fan inlet closure systems, one G. E. X376 tip-turbine pitch control fan, one pitch fan inlet closure system, and two pitch fan thrust reverser doors, two sets of wing-fan crossover and pitch fan ducting, and two exhaust duct systems, each including one tailpipe, cooling air shroud, nozzle, ejector and thrust spoiler. The relationship of these components is illustrated in Figures 2.1 and 2.2.

Each gas generator is directly connected to a gear box assembly at the power take-off pad; which drives a set of two cooling air blowers, one electrical generator, and one hydraulic oil pump. Each gas generator drives an air turbine driven fuel boost pump by means of compressor bleed air. Cross ducting and check valves are used to permit single engine operation of both boost pumps.

The gas power distribution system in fan mode operation is designed to provide balanced and proportionate power to the wing and pitch fans from each gas generator. The forward inboard and the aft inboard quadrants of the wing fan tip turbines are supplied gas power by the left and right gas generators respectively. Likewise, the left and right gas generators supply gas power to the aft-left and aft-right quadrants of the pitch fan tip turbine respectively. Thus, in the event of an engine-out condition, aircraft balance is retained even though at reduced fan thrust levels. The wing fans counter-rotate. Viewed from above, the left wing fan rotates counterclockwise, and the pitch fan rotates clockwise. Viewed from the aft position, the gas generator turbines rotate counterclockwise.

In the turbojet mode, the gas generators act as conventional turbojet engines with fixed nozzles. Thrust spoiler blades may be extended into the exhaust streams, deflecting the streams symmetrically outward to reduce aircraft axial thrust, while maintaining high gas generator speeds in preparation for conversion from turbojet mode to fan mode flight. While in the turbojet mode, powerplant operation is restricted to the speed-altitude envelope shown in Figure 2.3, which was based on the X353-5B engine specification described in Reference 1 of this report. Engine performance data is included for 40,000 feet altitude (above the 36,089 foot specification limit) as it was derived using the digital computer card deck supplied by General Electric Company.



A





- 1. NOSE FAN INLET LOUVERS
- 2. GE-X376 PITCH CONTROL FAN
- 3. NOSE FAN THRUST REVERSERS AND NOSE FAIRINGS
- 4. ZERO-ZERO EJECTION SEAT
- 5. CONVENTIONAL CONTROL STICK
- 6. RUDDER PEDALS
- 7. THROTTLES
- 8. LIFT CONTROL STICK
- 9. INSTRUMENT PANEL
- 10. HYDRAULIC COMPARTMENT
- 11. ELECTRICAL COMPARTMENT
- 12. NOSE GEAR
- 13. FUEL TANK
- 14. SINGLE SPLIT INTAKE
- 15. CROSSOVER DUCT
- 16. NOSE FAN SUPPLY DUCT
- 17. MAIN FAN CLOSURE
- 18. EXIT LOUVER ACTUATORS
- 19. TWO POSITION LANDING GEAR
- 20. FLAP
- 21. AILERON
- 22. ENGINE TAIL PIPES
- 23. THRUST SPOILERS
- 24. GE-X353-5 LIFT FAN
- 25. FULL MOVEABLE HORIZONTAL STABILIZER
- 26. ELEVATORS
- 27. VERTICAL FIN
- 28. RUDDER
- 29. GE J85 GAS GENERATOR
- **30. DIVERTER VALVE**

Figure 2.1 General Arrangement XV-5A

C

- 1. Nose Fan
- 2. Gas Generator
- 3. Diverter Valve
- 4. Engine Tail Pipe
- 5. Wing Fan
- 6. Crossover Ducts
- 7. Nose Fan Supply Duct
- 8. Left Wing Fan Scroll
- 9. Right Wing Fan Scroll
- 10. Nose Fan Scroll









Figure 2.3 G.E. X353-5B Speed Altitude Envelope

Ž

3.0 INSTALLED PERFORMANCE LIFT FAN MODE OPERATION

3.1 GENERAL

Presentation of lift fan mode powerplant performance characteristics is limited to the static (zero speed) condition. These characteristics are discussed in the following Sections of this report. While References 1 through 6 of Section 7.0 may be utilized for the calculation of fan performance in the transition flight regime, the characteristics so derived to not represent <u>installed</u> performance. Instead, they represent portions of a total which are in effect inseparable from the whole and are only theoretically derivable and accountable as components. Thus, it is considered necessary to assume, as contributing to fan performance, some of the aerodynamic forces generated on the aircraft, such as on the wing leading edge immediately ahead of the fan, but not necessarily aerodynamic forces generated (or induced) on other areas of the airframe, such as wing undersurface, in order to arrive at the theorized contribution.

Inasmuch as installed fan-alone data (with or without bellmouth and exit louver system) was not available, it was decided early in the XV-5A program to work with the airframe and propulsion system in combination, in order to estimate the transition flight performance of the aircraft. With the assistance of General Electric Company, experimental data from tests of small scale wind tunnel models and a full scale model with actual G.E. tip-turbine lift-fan hardware, have been utilized exclusively for prediction of aircraft transition flight performance. The methods used in arriving at predicted aircraft characteristics; the data handling procedures, and the characteristics developed, are described in Reference 7. The techniques used in calculation of transition performance permit the determination of fan speeds from fan power absorption characteristics, as well as the airplane forces and moments as a function of power setting, airspeed, attitude with respect to flight path, and airplane configuration (louver angle, door position, etc.). In using the calculation procedures, all fan mode characteristics are referenced to installed static lift fan performance characteristics.

3.2 INSTALLED STATIC FAN MODE PERFORMANCE

Installed static propulsion system performance for fan mode operation has been provided directly by General Electric (See Reference 8 of Section 7.0 which is also reproduced in whole in this report in Section 7.2) for ARDC standard day and ΛNA bulletin 421 hot day conditions. These characteristics were developed using test results derived during the propulsion system flight worthiness test program performed at the General Electric Flight Propulsion Division facility. Previous to the receipt of this data, References 1 through 6 of Section 7.0 had been used to derive estimates of static propulsion system performance, and results obtained using the digital computer deck were assumed to express airplane characteristics applicable to operation out of ground effect. Subsequent review of model pressure data verified the early assumption that for the XV-5A configuration removed from ground proximity, fan slipstream induced pressures producing loads on the adjacent wing-fuselage undersurfaces were negligibly small. Also it was found that the propulsion system performance specifications reflected by the computer deck had been based on measured performance obtained from operation of the fan system in a wing panel where local effects had been automatically integrated into the data.

Reference 4 of Section 7.0 concerning the nose fan, specifies a 1500 pound lift capability for the design conditions of 13.08% bleed flow, and a 2,500 foot altitude ANA 421 Hot Day without correction for gas generator or nose fan inlet losses. Accounting for these effects and assuming a three percent loss in lift for nose fan inlet (due to the closure system), a maximum nose-fan lift of 1,360 pounds was calculated which was considered to be too low to meet hovering control requirements for design conditions. While the fan speed is somewhat higher than orignally specified, the pitch fan performance data of Reference 8 of Section 7.0 indicates lift slightly in excess of the originally requested 1,500 pounds at a bleed flow of only 12.3%. Somewhat improved performance is also indicated in Reference 8 of Section 7.0 for the main fans.

For convenience, Reference 8 of Section 7.0 is reproduced in whole in this report, and may be found in Section 7.2.

Installation factors considered and assumed in determining Static System Fan Mode Performance are as follows, (Reference 8 and Section 7.2):

1. Average pitch and main fan performance (based on full scale test of actual propulsion system).

THE VIEW

- 2. Minimum J85 gas generator performance.
- 3. Main lift fan louver vector and stagger angles equal zero.
- 4. Pitch fan thrust reverser doors set at maximum lift position.
- 5. Inlet pressure recovery equal to 98.4%.
- 6. Power extraction from each gas generator equal to 58 H.P.
- 7. Compressor bleed air equal 0.022 lbs/sec.
- 8. Pitch fan and main fan inlet losses based on scale model tests.
- 9. No hot gas re-ingestion.
- 10. No ground effects.

64B015

αI

- 11. Diverter valve, wing-lan cross-over and pitch-fan duct losses based on full scale tests.
- 12. Pitch-fan scroll area set for 12.3% bleed.
- Wing-fan scroll area trimmed for exhaust gas temperature (EGT) for an ANA 421 not day at conditions of 2500 feet altitude and 93.7°F ambient temperature.
- 14. Definition of 100% rpm:
 - a. Gas generator 16,500 rpm
 - b. Wing fan 2,640 rpm
 - e. Pitch fan 4,074 rpm

3.3 <u>CORRELATION OF INSTALLED STATIC FAN MODE</u> PERFORMANCE

From the data noted in the References 1, 4, and 8 in Section 7.0, a convenient method of relating static lift fan and gas generator performance was developed. Presented in Figures 3.1 and 3.2 for wing lift fan and pitch control fan respectively, the correlation represents data of Reference 8 of Section 7.0. More important, however, are the convenient insights that the correlation makes possible, regarding effects on fan mode performance of such factors as installation losses, altitude, ambient temperature, different air temperatures entering the gas generator, pitch and wing fans due to re-ingestion resulting from locally induced aircraft environments, % bleed to pitch fan, and average to guaranteed minimum gas generator performance.

3.4 RECOMMENDED FAN MODE PERFORMANCE STUDY

While the procedures used in determination of aircraft transition performance (where the airframe and propulsion system are treated as a unit) do not provide accountability of contributions, the techniques are nevertheless effective. Procedures for determination of net propulsion system contributions will unquestionably prove desirable, if not necessary, in the future. Thus, fan-mode powerplant contributions might be given as a function of fan-inlet inflow characteristics, including velocity and/or pressure distributions. Conditions at the exit should also be defined in terms of back pressure, to cover effects of exit louvers, wing local pressure distributions, etc. The determination of the fan inflow and outflow characteristics, which would be required to utilize these performance calculation procedures, would however, be an extremely difficult task. Nevertheless, it is recommended that a study be undertaken, and research be conducted, to develop this or some other method of accurately defining propulsion system contributions to aircraft performance.



().

I

I

I

I

I

I.;

I

I

I

I

.5



Figure 3.2 Estimated XV-5A Installed Power Plant Static Performance - Pitch Control Fan

4.0 INSTALLED PERFORMANCE - TURBOJET MODE OPERATION

4.1 <u>GENERAL</u>

Turbojet mode performance of the GE X353-5B power plant installed in the XV-5A aircraft is based on the following sources of data:

- Guaranteed minimum performance of the GE X353-5B power plant is provided by References 1, 9 and 10 of Section 7.0, which include effects of diverter-valve leakage and pressure loss.
- Inlet pressure recovery factors used are presented in Section 4.6 based on the data of Reference 11 for one and two engine operation.
- External incremental drag data were derived from Reference 12 of Section 7.0 and verified by wind tunnel tests. The drag coefficients are presented in terms of flight speed and mass flow ratio, and are referenced to the minimum inlet area.
- Ejector performance affecting gross thrust was derived from data described in Reference 13 of Section 7.0. Ejector performance factors are presented in terms of tailpipe to ambient pressure ratio, and secondary air to ambient pressure ratio. The former is obtained from the computer deck indicated in Reference 10 of Section 7.0, and the latter from cooling system performance of Reference 14.

The method of estimating installed performance for the GE X353-5B power plant in turbojet mode involved the following steps:

Step 1

RYAN TIDIE

The area of the turbojet exhaust nozzle was sized by assuming a matrix of inlet recovery factors and tailpipe nozzle areas, and obtaining installed performance via the computer deck indicated in Reference 10 of Section 7.0. From the output, computed inlet recoveries were plotted in terms of assumed values to obtain the condition of equality for each area. The harness exhaust gas temperature (HRGT) also was plotted vs assumed inlet recovery factor, and the proper value selected for each area. Then the HRGT values were plotted vs assumed nozzle area and the correct area of 111.54 square inches was read at an HRGT value of 1710°R.

Later it was determined that tailpipe temperature (T8) should have been used, rather than HRGT to size the nozzle area. Re-sizing the area, as above, showed a requirement of 110.96 square inches. Spot-checks o^f installed performance (See Table 4.1) showed the propulsion system drag was unaffected by the area change and that the gross thrust was increased by approximately 1%. The increase in net thrust therefore is dependent upon the magnitude of ram drag. Generally the increase is about 1% except at high ram drag conditions where the increase may amount to as much as 3%.

The XV-5A aircraft tailpipe has an exit area adjustment using tabs which may be used to vary tailpipe area over a range of 111.03 to 114.05 square inches.

Step 2

Following nozzle area sizing, an input data matrix was prepared slightly exceeding the speed-altitude envelope of Figure 2.2 and a range of power plant operation from 75 to 100% RPM for both standard and hot day conditions. Again it was necessary to assume a range of inlet recovery factors as a primary variable. This input data matrix was based on compressor face inlet conditions of total temperature and pressure.

Step 3

The data of Step 2 was combined with the performance computer deck (see Reference 10) to obtain an initial output matrix of installed performance data in preparation for final calculation of installed performance.

Step 4

To eliminate extensive manual manipulation of the data of Step 3, a special digital computer routine, designed to accept the data of Step 3 as an input, was used to iterate calculated vs assumed inlet recovery factors; to interpolate performance data at the correct inlet recovery; and to calculate additional performance factors such as external drag, windmilling drag for engine-out conditions, and ejector contributions

64B015

, 90 •

.,

#**h**,

TABLE 4.1

 Tailpipe Area Effect on Installed Performance of G. E. X353-5B Power Plant-Turboject Mode

 2 Engine Operation. ANA Bulletin 421 Hot Day

					-	ſ								
			CASE 1-7	CAL PIPE	AREA = 11	0.96 tm. ²	CASE II-	TALPUPE	AREA = 11	1.54 ta. ²	PERFORM	IANCE FAC	TOR CASE	L/CASE II)
			Total		Total	Total	Total		Totai	Total	Total		Total	Total
Altimole	R RPM	З Х	Groes	Drag	Net Thrug				Thrust	La Maria	Brunt Brunt		Thrust	Puel Flow
•	100	•	4288	•	4298	4674	4245	•	1245	4616	1.010	1.0	1.010	1.026
<u> </u>		•	4896	1226	3670	48.84	4851	1226	3625	48.27	1.009	1.0	1.012	1.013
		9 .	1895	2076	3355	12	2371	2076	3300	4906	1.010	1.0	1.017	1.015
	8	٩.	3006	•	3036	3420	3009	0	3006	3386	1.009	1.0	1. 009	60 0
			3279	1096	2183	3356	3247	1096	2151	3316	1.010	1.0	1.015	1.011
		9.	3591	1693	1698	3324	3555	189.3	1662	3282	1.010	1.0	1. 022	1 013
	38	•	1550	•	1550	2125	15 39	0	1539	2116	1.007	1.0	1.007	1.003
		•	1800	934	996	2158	1756	934	854	2147	1.007	1.0	1.014	1.005
		9.	2015	1608	360	2061	2004	1656	346	2049	1. 907	1.0	1.040	1.006
5,000	100	•	3903	•	3903	1236	3965	•	3865	4174	1.010	1.0	1.010	1.012
		₹.	4506	1064	3442	4493	9974	1064	3402	4438	1.009	1.0	1.011	1.012
		9.	5151	1900	3351	4663	5107	1600	3307	4625	1.006	1.0	1.013	1.013
	R	0.	2906	•	9062	31 42	2974	•	2674	3103	1.011	1.6	1.011	1 013
		₹.	3200	960	2240	3176	3146	996	2167	3111	1.017	1.0	1.024	1.021
		9.	3420	1636	1782	3104	3380	1636	1742	3056	1.012	1.0	1.023	1.016
	38	۰.	1449	•	1449	1961	1437	0	1437	1950	1.006	1.0	1.00%	1.006
		4	1570	80	766	1787	1559	604	755	1777	1. 007	1.0	1.016	1.006
		9.	1827	1427	\$ 0 0	1836	1614	1427	387	1824	1.007	1.0	1.034	1 007
10,000	100	•	3486	•	3466	3755	3454	•	3454	3707	1.010	1.0	1.010	1 013
		•	4060	915	3165	4057	4036	915	312.3	4000	1.010	1.0	1.013	1.014
		9.	4705	1549	3156	4295	4667	1549	3116	4242	1.006	1.0	1.012	1.012
	8	•	27735	0	2735	2889	2697	•	2697	28 42	1.014	1.0	1.014	1.017
		*	3079	835	+722	2963	3045	835	2210	29.39	1.011	1.0	1.015	1 015
		9	3322	1419	1 903	2976	3287	1419	1858	2932	1.011	1.6	1.019	1.015
	3	•	1221	0	1322	1701	1312	0	1312	1691	1.006	1.0	1.006	1.006
		•	1466	687	Ê	1663	1455	687	766	1654	1.006	1.0	1.014	1.005
		9.	1604	1219	365	1530	1592	1219	373	1520	1.006	1.0	1.032	1.007

- -

to gross thrust. Step 4 provides installed performance for the GE X353-5B powerplant operating in the turbojet mode. Data presented include gross thrust, fuel flow, total drag and net thrust, exclusive of cooling system drag, which is treated separately in this report. Parameters include one and two engine operation for standard and hot day conditions for the required range of flight speeds, altitudes, and J85-GE-5 gas generator speeds from 75% to 100%.

4.2 INSTALLED PERFORMANCE

The following factors affecting installed performance of the GE X353-5B power plant installed in the XV-5A aircraft were held constant:

Power Extraction	40 Horsepower
Compressor Bleed Air	0.022 pounds/sec.
Exhaust Duct Pressure Loss	3.1%
Nozzle Area	111.54 square inches

Estimated installed performance, including gross thrust, propulsion system drag, net thrust and fuel flow, is presented in Figures 4.1 through 4.104. The gross thrust vector is at an angle of 6.5° with respect to the aircraft axis. In providing net thrust, the gross thrust vector was not resolved because the ultimate error involved is less than 20 pounds of thrust for the total aircraft, and this occurs at the maximum speed minimum altitude condition. Actually, the ultimate error of not resolving the gross thrust vector is directly related to the ram drag component of gross thrust, since in estimating aerodynamic performance, it is assumed the net thrust vector is at an angle of 6.5° and is resolved in computing aircraft forces. Component performance data used in estimating final installed performance are summarized in Tables 7.3.1 through 7.3.6 as indicated in Step 1 of the calculation procedure. The data of Figures 4.1 through 4.104 should be increased by the factors of Table 4.1. Cooling system drag must be accounted for in determining thrust available for XV-5A aerodynamic performance estimates.

4.3 IDLE POWER OPERATION

When estimating installed performance data at idle power, the output from the IBM Customer Performance deck (see Reference 10 and step 3, Paragraph 4.1) showed that input variable limits had been exceeded.
A review of horsepower extraction values indicated limits were not exceeded for 10 HP or less, but were exceeded for 15 HP or more.

ſ

I

RXA Not Boll5

A review of horsepower extraction requirements for pre-flight checkout showed an average value of 19.9 MP and a peak value of 38.9 HP for 8 to 19 seconds. Subsequent discussions with General Electric Company developed the information of Figure 4.105, and resulted in a lifting of the horsepower extraction limits to normal J85-5 limits. The data of Figure 4.105 reveals that severe power extraction limits were imposed by both the GE X353-5B Model Specification (Reference 1) and the Customer Computer deck, Reference 10 of Section 7.0.

Even with the relaxed limit, however, an engine rpm of 52% is required to meet the peak demands of 38.9 HP. This particular value is in the undesirable speed range of 50 to 58% rpm for steady-state operation (See Reference 15). Therefore, pre-flight system checkout will require engine speeds of 60% rpm or greater. This causes no hardship because other reasons outlined below also dictate idle power settings greater than 60% rpm. Figure 4.106 shows that the minimum exhaust gas temperature (EGT) occurs between 70 and 80 rpm, dependent to some extent upon ambient temperature. Study of Figure 4.106 also reveals a tendency for EGT to exceed the allowable limit of 1710°R (1250°F or 677°C) near idle power. This tendency was the subject of a more thorough evaluation for sea level static conditions in order to explore effects of possible re-ingestion in fan mode operation. Results of this study are summarized in Figure 4.107, which presents exhaust gas temperature in terms of ambient temperature and % rpm. In a similar study, summarized in Figure 4.108, the effects of horsepower extraction on exhaust gas temperature were evaluated. In both studies, it was found that increasing ambient temperature or horsepower extraction caused a rapid increase in exhaust gas temperature when the gas generators were operating near idle speeds (47 to 50% rpm). Considering the likelihood of re-ingestion when operating the XV-5A in the fan mode, it is evident that engine over-temperatures are possible at engine speeds below 65%rpm, but not likely at speeds above this value, except possibly at 100%rpm. Another factor more or less directly related to engine speed is the cooling system performance. Since the cooling air blowers are directly connected to the engine through the gear box assembly, the higher the gas generator speed, the higher the cooling system performance. Thus, it is apparent that engine speeds approaching 75% are desirable for providing minimum EGT; for maximizing cooling system performance, and generally for promoting minimum aircraft temperatures. Countering these effects are the increasing braking power requirements with increasing rpm resulting from the increasing thrust, as shown in Figure 4.109.

4.4 EJECTOR PERFORMANCE

The XV-5A ejector configuration presented in Figure 4.110 is nearly identical to one of the full scale ejectors tested (Reference 13 of Section 7.0) from which its estimated performance was derived. Only that ejector performance affecting gross thrust is considered in this report. The presence of the ejector may increase or decrease gross thrust, depending upon the conditions of shroud air (secondary air) and turbojet exhaust (primary air) at the ejector. The ratio of thrust, with and without the ejector, is correlated in terms of two pressure ratios. These are secondary air to ambient pressure ratio, and primary air to ambient pressure ratio. The primary air to ambient pressure is a function of aircraft and gas generator operating conditions as they are affected by installation factors. It is derived from the initial computer deck output, (See Step 3, Section 4.1). The secondary air to ambient pressure is dependent upon the aircraft cooling system performance, which in turn is a function of aircraft and gas generator operating conditions. It is determined only when the cooling system shroud air flow rates and pressures match the ejector pumping capabilities. Analysis indicated this ratio was independent of ambient temperature and altitude for a given Mach number and gas generator rpm. Spot checks of conditions for cooling system balance at extremes of altitude and ambient temperature validated this conclusion and led to the data of Table 7.6 of this report.

Details of ejector performance are shown in Reference 14 of Section 7.0. Data used for estimating gross thrust are summarized in Tables 7.3.5 and 7.3.6. They form a part of the computer routine of Step 4, Section 4.1.

4.5 THRUST SPOILER PERFORMANCE

The thrust spoiler function is to permit high power levels when approaching VTOL conversion speeds from conventional flight conditions, while at the same time, reducing the net axial thrust. In the retracted position, the thrust spoilers form a fairing centered between and slightly aft of the turbojet nozzles. In the extended position, the slightly concave and tapered blades intercept the turbojet exhaust gases to deflect them symmetrically about the aircraft axis (see Item 17, Figure 2.1).

The reduction in axial thrust is determined by the method of approach outlined below and as shown in Figures 4.111, 4.112, and 4.113 based on References 16 and 17 of Section 7.0. The main problem is to estimate the effective jet deflection in terms of percent of thrust

A Class

spoiler deflection. Having an estimate of the jet deflection, the net axial thrust is determined simply by forming a product of the cosine of the deflected jet angle and the gross thrust vector from which is subtracted the propulsion system drag and the cooling air drag. Comparing the resulting net axial thrust with that of the undeflected jet yields the fraction or percent reduction at the given aircraft operating condition and thrust spoiler deflection.

Thrust spoiler angles expressed in terms of percent of thrust spoiler deflection are presented in Figure 4.111. In the retracted position (0%) deflection) the thrust spoiler forms a relative angle of -7° with respect to the undeflected jet centerline. In the maximum extended position (100%) deflection, 81° of travel) the relative angle is 74° . Because the base of the thrust spoiler is offset approximately 1 inch laterally from the projected tailpipe nozzle, the thrust spoiler must move through an angle of 10.8° from its retracted position (13.3%) deflection) before it begins to enter the jet. Thus, the effective deflection angle of the thrust spoiler is the actual angular deflection less 10.8° . In a given effective thrust spoiler position, the jet actually is deflected somewhat less as shown in Figure 4.111.

Knowing the effective jet deflection, the estimated reduction in axial net thrust is obtained from data as shown in Figure 4.112 for a particular set of aircraft operating conditions. Figure 4.113 summarizes the range of estimated thrust spoiler performance in terms of percent of thrust spoiler deflection. Also shown is a derived data point based on XV-5A full-scale model thrust-spoiler tests at the NASA-Ames Laboratory. The substantial agreement with Curve A (Figure 4.113) lends support to the effective thrust spoiler angle concept.

Some concern existed over the possibility of ejector reverse flow at high thrust spoiler deflections. Although no pertinent factual data were uncovered in the literature, recent static ground tests of the XV-5A indicate reverse flow in the ejector will not occur until after 80% deflection. Since XV-5A thrust reductions beyond 64% are not required, no reverse flow problems are expected in the use of the spoilers.

4.6 INLET PERFORMANCE

As shown in Figure 2.1, the inlet is located at the top of the aircraft slightly aft of the cockpit canopy and slightly forward of the leading edge of the wings. In this position, inlet performance is affected somewhat by air flow over the canopy, and may be affected by upwash from the

interaction of pitch control and lift fan downwash patterns during lift mode operation in ground effect. Tending to offset canopy effects are the two sources of boundary layer removal: the first at the rear of the canopy closure which is unsealed and serves as a primary source of air to the aircraft cooling system; the second at the boundary layer bleed duct centered directly beneath the inlet primarily during turbojet mode operation. A total of thirteen simulated aircraft operating configurations (see Table 4.2) were investigated during the inlet model wind tunnel test program conducted at David Taylor Model Basin (DTMB) (Reference 11). Model configurations included three inlets (24E oval, 30E oval, and 30E dual), two inlet splitters (long and short), and two canopies (basie and cut-down). Operating configurations included one and two engines operating, and boundary layer duct open or closed. Suction was used to induce the required inlet airflows during the low speed portion of the test program. From the results of the wind tunnel tests, the aircraft inlet configuration selected was a minor modification of the 30E oval inlet with the long splitter, and the basic canopy. Only internal lines of the 30E eonfiguration were modified. The upper outboard duct quadrant, beginning immediately aft of the throat, was filled in to reduce the diffusion angle of this duct section, and thus improve the pressure distribution shown at the compressor face for the 30E duct configuration. In addition, to improve single-engine inlet performance, a duet splitter with an elliptical leading edge was substituted for the original splitter which had a sharp leading edge. This selection offered the best over-all inlet performance for the operating range of the XV-5A aircraft with specific consideration of its VTOL and Maeh = 0.7 cruise speed capabilities. Table 4.2 summarizes the comparisons of inlet recovery and distortion performance parameters (NRI, K and L) for the 30E inlet with the other inlet systems evaluated by Reference 11 of Section 7.0. The actual inlet as fabricated has a capture to throat area ratio of 1.29 and should be designated as a 29E inlet. The lip section contours for the inlet model (and the aircraft) were based on the elliptical lip coordinates of NACA TN3170. The external lines were based on NACA Series 1 coordinates. Nacelle lines were based on the 24E inlet starting at Station 170 and ending at Station 203.25 where it met the aft fuselage linc. To hold this point for the 30E inlet, the coordinate line ran only 16.5 inches from which point (Station 186.8) loft lines were faired to the fuselage lines at Station 203.25.

Use of the modified long splitter reduced the inlet minimum area from 183.9 to 182.3 square inches, and moved its location aft approximately four inches as shown by the area-station profile of Figure 4.114. Al-though Schlieren studies showed that the eut-down canopy improved high

TABLE 4.2

Ryan XV-5A 1/5 Scale Inlet Model Configuration Notation and Configurations Tested

Configuration Notation

F

(

I

I

I

I

I:

I

RXA Normols

I

1

- C 1 Basic Canopy
- C 2 Cut Down Canopy
- I 0 24E Oval Inlet
- I 1 30E Oval Inlet
- I 2 30E Dual Inlet
- S 0 Short Splitter Plate
- S 1 Long Splitter Plate
- S 2 Dual Inlet Splitter Plate
- B 0 Boundary Layer Duct Plug Closed
- B 1 Boundary Layer Duct Plug Open
- E 1 Single Engine Operation
- E 2 Two Engine Operation

Configuration Tested

С	Ι	S	В	E	Low Speed Wind Tunnel*	High Speed Wind Tunnel*
1	1	1	1	2	Х	Х
1	0	1	1	2	x	x
1	1	0	1	2	x	-
1	1	0	0	2	x	-
1	0	0	1	2	X	-
1	0	0	0	2	x	-
1	2	2	1	2	x	x
1	2	2	0	2	х	-
2	1	1	1	2	Х	-
1	1	1	1	1	x	-
1	0	1	1	1	x	x
1	0	0	1	1	Х	-
1	2	2	1	1	х	Х

X Indicates configuration tested

- Indicates configuration not tested

speed characteristics, cockpit and aircraft considerations favored the basic canopy; this was particularly true, since it permitted adequate aircraft performance.

Inlet performance data used in determining installed power plant performance were prepared for trimmed flight conditions (See Figure 4.115) based on the wind tunnel inlet model data of Reference 11 with no allowance for inlet improvements discussed previously. They are presented in Figures 4.116 and 4.117 for two and one engine operating conditions respectively. Also shown on Figure 4.116 are typical values of mass flow ratio for a range of XV-5A aircraft operating conditions; from which it is evident the inlet shows excellent performance over its required operating range. Cross plots of these data led to the inlet recovery factors of Table 7.2 and 7.3 which were used to calculate installed performance.

Aircraft attitudes at other than trimmed flight conditions are considered transitory in nature. For these conditions primary concern is to minimize compressor face pressure distortion. Typical distortion parameters for a range aircraft attitudes are presented in Figures 4.118 to 4.124, which show inlet performance to be relatively insensitive to aircraft attitudes likely to be encountered. Data of Figures 4.121 and 4.122 are for the 24E oval inlet since the 30E oval inlet model was not tested at M = 0.4 and M = 0.6. A comparison of the 24E and 30E oval inlets at M = 0.7 is provided by Figures 4.123 and 4.124. The effect of simulated cross winds or side slip angles of $\pm 30^{\circ}$ is presented in Figures 4.125 to 4.128. These figures show greater distortion is experienced by the upwind engine and that the distortion increases with increased engine power as represented by the mass flow ratio m/m*.

Estimated external drag coefficients used are presented in Figure 4.129. They were derived from Reference 12 of Section 7.0. The spot check, derived from available conventional wind tunnel model data, showed excellent agreement with the estimated data.

TABLE 4.3

XV-5A Inlet Model Configuration Performance Comparison Chart

NOTE: (>) = greater than, (<) = less than, (=) = essentially equal. Example: NRI > means 11112 greater than 11012, etc.

the space of the s

₹ Y ^ N 64B015

ſ

MACH NO.	30E OVAL VS CONFIGURATION CISBE INLET	CISBE	NRI	к	L
0	1 1 1 1 2 vs 30E Oval	1 1 0 1 2	=	=	=
		1 1 0 0 2	=	=	=
	24E Oval	1 0 1 1 2	>	<	=
		$1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 2$	>	<	>
		$1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 2$	>	<	=
	24E Dual	$1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2$	=	=	>
		12202	=	=	>
. 15	1 1 1 1 2 vs 24E Dual	$1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2$	=	=	>
	30E Oval	1 1 0 1 2	=	=	=
		1 1 0 0 2	=	=	=
		2 1 1 1 2	=	=	>
	24E Oval	1 0 1 1 2	>	<	>
		$1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 2$	>	<	>
,	30E Oval	1 1 0 1 2	=	=	=
		1 1 1 1 1	>	<	>
	1 1 1 1 1 vs 24E Oval	10111	>	=	=
		10011	<	>	=
	24E Dual	$1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 1 \ 1$	<	<	>
. 40	1 0 1 1 2 vs 24E Oval	10111	=	=	=
	24E Dual	$1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2$	=	=	=
.6	1 0 1 1 2 vs 24E Dual	$1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2$	=	=	=
	24E Oval	10111	¥	≅	≅
.7	1 1 1 1 2 vs 24E Oval	10112	>	<	>
	24E Dual	1 2 2 1 2	>	<	<
		1 2 2 1 1	>	<	<
	24E Oval	10111	>	<	=
.8	1 1 1 1 2 vs 24E Oval	10112	>	<	>
	24E Dual	1 2 2 1 2	>	<	<

NRI - Total Pressure Recovery

K- Total Pressure Distortion

L - Static Pressure Distortion

See Table 4.2 for Configuration Symbol Definition



0 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day

-



N

Γ,

1

 α

i q

ŧ,



Figure 4.3 Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude = 10,000 ft., 2Engines, Standard Day



F

Borran w

The other states of

I

R Y IN [1] 64B015

1





Figure 4.5 Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude = 30,000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day

. L'yer di

29



Figure 4.6 Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude = 40,000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day

30

Contract of

Y . . .

1 APPENDED 1 APPENDED

Y

1

- - - 2



Figure 4.7 Propulsion System Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude = 0 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day

1 H



and some state

<u>(</u>)

and the second second

I

RYAN MULTS





**** BA. Y



(]

112 Y 1 N 64B015

Altitude = 20,000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day

. . .



Altitude = 30,000 ft. 2 Engines, Standard Day

. Lit



I

RYIN 64 BUIS

47



Figure 4.13 Net Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude = 0 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day





10,000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day

.



r,

L K 64BUIS

-1--

20,000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day



Ł

Figure 4.17 Net Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude = 30,000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day



F

I

C

I

I

LEYEN 64B015



0 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day

43

AKS H



i



10,000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day





30,000 ft., 2 Engines, Standard Day





Figure 4.25 Gross Thrust vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude = 0 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day



64.5015

į.



51

and the state of the

16-12-19-15-19-1-



L

I

HILL Y TO 64 BUIS

52



30,000 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day


Contraction of the

()

I

11-2242

F

I

LYH 64B015



Altitude = 0 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day



Antonicality

F()

and the second second

Number of Street of Street

I

I

TR DN N4B015







- 57



Altitude = 30,000 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day



Π

F

Terroriti

Antibuch to

ALC: NAME

and the second

in the second

- Alliphington

I

64B015



0 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day

28>













· ·



Notes a

ALTERNAL SECTION

Π

Í

Γ

[

I

No. (20%) All

I



0 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day

-





10,000 ft., 1 Engine, Standard Day

12.00



I



71

'0



1. 1.1



0 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day

.



D

R THN 64B015

Contraction of

()



No.



D

64B015

76

the state of the second



a second second

-



L.

R K N M N

.,



40,000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day



.

ŀ

ĺ

D

Sparte Mar

R Y IN 6419015

I P





ħ





and the second state of th







- A TRANSPORT



Ð

ید کر پر N و 15

88

wala Maar yoo a




•











「日本のない」というためのである



D

- R Y AN GIBUIS

l ſ





. /

96

marker of antipation and





Γ

Π

A REAL PROPERTY.

eff account of the

Non-18

I

• '





CANCELLA MARTINE

l state

A MERICAN

and the second

TRANCE ABOLS

F





R YAN GEBUIS

ŗ,



See ma







6

A THE PARTY OF

R Y ANN 64BU15





- ----

D

CONTRACTOR OF





ſ

(

Π

I

I

Ľ

R YMN 64B015

f.

,

۶.

- こうちゃきをうたいというないないないないないないないないないないない

1 - 201

Marting and

and an and an an and an an

Altitude = 5000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day





The Land of the



2.101.2

Ŀ

Parana -

ſ.



Altitude = 30,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day

and the second second second



ANT SOL



0 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day

Sec. Sec.





5000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day

「夏日本のいた日」「や

大大学の学校



「「



20,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day

•

64B015



30,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day



40,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day

· Carriel





2500 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day



Π

Ĩ

 Π

and N 64B015

...

5000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day






30,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day

A STATE OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTIO

なたのである



[]

Ι

E

Colonia -



Figure 4.105 Corrected Shaft Horsepower Extraction vs Corrected % Speed

Suma and



ľ

()

Constant of

64B015

Figure 4.106 Effect of Engine RPM on Exhaust Gas Temperature



Figure 4.107 Effect of Reingestion and % RPM on Exhaust Gas Temperature





. .



Figure 4.110 XV-5A Ejector Configuration







Π

0

[

Constanting of the



Figure 4.113 Reduction in Axial Net Thrust vs % Thrust Spoiler Deflection - Hot Day, 2500 feet Altitude, 100% RPM

																			I						ų	I																					
		+					H					+							1					-	11				4	1		+		1			#										
		T						İ											1		ľ				11				ľ				r r										!!				
							ļ					-									+			-	2												+										
		╢	#	₩						ļ								11	+					-					╞	H		t				+	+	Э		-	3				ł		
									Ï	Ï		i					1.								الملية	+			1												0		1				
					-												X	FI	+	+	1																+				¢.	6	1				•
		t				₩	H			#	11	+		ł				ť		5	t			-	1	+						T					+			t							
							ļ				0							1	ţ			~	5	H																!		F	2				Ţ
											07							K						1.1.1					-					+	-						5	TN					
					ł						A	+						ľ	ł	Ł	+			0	5	t			ł	ζ							+			\dagger		K	1				
						\prod	ļ				A T								i]									A.	U.										ļ		þ	Ż				++
						H					6						T	•••			K		 	-				-	k	Ľ										+		F				1	
		t					İ				i		4	+			1	b	1		ţ	¥				+-		N	t	1 U		ľ			t		t			1	5	t)		††		+++
																		1			-	1					<u>.</u>	4	f											-		H					
											Ŧ			+				1	1	•			A						1	+												L	5				
							l			#									X	7	T		Į	K				X	F	1					Ì					1	in	R C					Ť
							#	Щ											-	Ł	+			H		4	/										+			$\left \right $:: ::
																				Ì				Y	/																	Ļ					ł
					Ţ		I														N	V		X	<				T					.11	1					Ţ		NN			Ī		
					+							+					- i - i		+			3	Ł							 										+	th.	F			+	+	
					1	t																			Ţ				ł					0								È	5	•••		+	
	11: 14:																		-				X	9	3				Ţ											Ţ					Ţ		•••
		+			+			H	-			+	-	+		h			+	•••	+		6	Ř		+			+		•••	+		ā				++		$\frac{1}{1}$		+					
																Ē				••••				V					t			t		-11			1	ļ			n						
::' ::::													••••				~	1					1		8		•••			•••			•••	TC.										;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;			
		+	4		+					+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++		+	••••• ••••	+	:::: ::::	-				>	¥		F	-	W	+						+			1		+			+		+					•••
												-				7	R		1						24			••••						: •							0	E	Ľ	i.	Ē		
							N	202	R	11111		R A			K	2			\$			5	-			5	•••		¢			6			4		4		. (2		na			Ł		
								-				1	1	+-	1	1			1	J				-		ŧ	4		Ŧ			Ŧ								+					$\left \right $		
										II			1		N					N	10+	71	FR		1	+-	1	\$		5	4	P	n	٦													
1111	!!!									if.		1		1					1							F			1								I	111									

Π

0 (-) 0

Strategy.

and the second

Conceptibilities

I

I

64BUIS

ľ

1.1.1.1

Figure 4.114 XV-5A Inlet Area Profile



Figure 4.115 Typical XV-5A Trimmed Flight Attitude vs. Mach No. and Altitude



E

FIN 64B015

Figure 4.116 XV-5A Inlet Total Pressure Recovery vs Mass Flow Ratio and Mach No. for Two Engine Operation



Figure 4.117 XV-5A Inlet Total Pressure Recovery vs Mass Flow Ratio and Mach No. for Single Engine Operation



I,

2 Y ... N 64B015



Face; M = .15, $\alpha = 20$, $\beta = 0$, 30E Oval Inlet, 2 Engine Operation



Figure 4.120 Total Pressure Distribution at Left Hand Engine Compressor Face; $M = .2, \alpha = 10, \beta = 0, 30E$ Oval Inlet, 2 Engine Operation







.....

EYAN 64BUI5









1

64 BU 15

Face; M = .7, $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 0$, 24E Oval Inlet, 2 Engine Operation



Figure 4.125 Total Pressure Distribution at Left Hand Engine Compressor Face; M = .02, $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 30$, $M/M^* = .743$, 30E Oval Inlet, 2 Engine Operation



64B015









64B015





Figure 4.129 Estimated External Drag Increment Coefficient vs Mass Flow Ratio and Mach No

5.0 XV-5A AIRCRAFT COOLING AIR DRAG

As pointed out in Section 4.0, cooling air drag must be subtracted from the net thrust in order to obtain thrust available for estimating XV-5A aircraft aerodynamic performance. These data are presented in Figures 5.1 through 5.26. The cooling air drag is determined in the same manner as engine air ram drag, knowing the total cooling system air taken on board in terms of the various aircraft and gas generator operating parameters. Total cooling air taken on board during turbojet mode conventional flight operation includes air entering the cockpit, the coolingfan-plenum fuselage inlet, the boundary-layer bleed duct, and the pitch fan compartment. Recirculation of air through the wing fan cavities was found to be negligible for purposes of calculating cooling air drag. Details of the cooling system performance are presented in Reference 14.



Figure 5.1 Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude = 0 ft., 2 Engines, Std. Day







. -





...



0 ft., 1 Engine, Std. Day


A STATE



Figure 5.9 Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude = 10,000 ft., 1 Engine, Std. Day













5000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day





20,000 ft., 2 Engines, Hot Day



,•





. -



•••

0

0

9

.

.....

•

.....

「日本の書いての湯に行 Sale and





10,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day



Figure 5.24 Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude = 20,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day



Figure 5.25 Cooling Air Drag vs Mach No. and % RPM; Altitude = 30,000 ft., 1 Engine, Hot Day



6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- Calculated installed fan mode performance based on model specification data could not be used to predict aircraft fan mode operation except for zero speed hovering conditions out of ground effect. Total untrimmed static lift with no allowance for control requirements is 15,020 pounds for an ARDC Standard Day at sea level; and 12,625 pounds for an ANA 421 Hot Day at 2500 feet.
- 2. Current state-of-the-art does not permit separation of lift-fan propulsion system and airframe contributions to aircraft performance. This requires that XV-5A transition performance be derived, using lift-fan power coefficients obtained from wind tunnel model tests and correlated in terms of propulsion system and aircraft operating conditions.
- 3. A systematic study of lift fan propulsion system and aircraft variables is recommended in order to isolate and establish the respective contributions to, and effects on, aircraft performance; and to develop methods for their prediction and correlation. Its scope should be sufficient to establish scale, transition speed, geometry, and ground effects on aircraft performance.
- 4. A systematic study of factors affecting locally induced environments of the aircraft is recommended in order to develop a detailed knowledge and understanding of the flow fields around the aircraft as they affect hot gas re-ingestion, propulsion system performance, external surface heating, downwash particle impingement and particle re-ingestion phenomena, and stability and control characteristics.
- 5. Calculated turbojet mode installed performance as presented in this report must be limited to the flight-altitude envelope of the model specification. Estimated sea level static thrust of the aircraft is 4920 pounds for an ARDC Standard Day. For an ANA 421 Hot Day at 2500 feet, the static thrust is 4250 pounds.

6. The presence of substantial hot gas re-ingestion by the J85 gas generator at near idle power (47 to 60% RPM) when in proximity to the ground, combined with power extraction from the gas generator causes the exhaust gas temperatures to exceed their allowable limits, therefore, a minimum J85 gas generator rpm of 70% is recommended for XV-5A fan mode operation.

- MANER

- 7. Performances of the ejector and thrust spoiler are adequate to perform their intended functions.
- 8. The J85 gas generator air inlet shows excellent performance over its required operating range. Inlet model test data suggest total pressures at large sideslip angles and low speeds may exceed slightly the g 3 generator limits of 10%, however in the actual inlet, internal lines were modified slightly in the region of maximum distortion to reduce the diffusion angle; thus, actual variations should be within allowable limits. The inlet provides a minimum static pressure recovery of 98.9 percent and the pressure recovery exceeds 99 percent for high speed cruise flight (Mach 0.70).
- 9. The propulsion system and its associated components should perform intended functions adequately throughout the XV-5A flight envelope.

64B015

7.0 APPENDIX

7.1 REFERENCES

- General Electric Company, Flight Propulsion Laboratory Dept., Cincinnati, Ohio, X353-5B Propulsion System Specification, Specification No. 112 - January 15, 1962; Revised October 23, 1962.
- 2 General Electric Company, Flight Propulsion Laboratory Dept., Cincinnati, Ohio, Instruction Manual Customer Computer Deck, GE X353-5B Lift Fon System Performance (Specification No. 112), January 1962.
- 3 General Electric Company, Flight Propulsion Laboratory Dept., Cincinnati, Ohio, Customer Computer Deck for GE X353-5B Lift Fan System Performance (Specification No. 112), January 1962.
- 4 General Electric Company, Flight Propulsion Laboratory Dept., Cincinnati, Ohio, X376 Pitch Fan Specification, Specification No. 113, March 1, 1962; Revised October 23, 1962.
- 5 General Electric Company, Flight Propulsion Laboratory Dept., Cincinnati, Ohio, Instruction Manual, Customer Computer Deck for GE-X376 Pitch Fan System Performance, April 1962.
- 6 General Electric Company, Flight Propulsion Laboratory Dept., Cincinnati, Ohio, Customer Computer Deck for GE-X376 Pitch Fan System Performance, April 1962.
- 7 Estimated Static Stability and Control Characteristics, General Electric Company, Report No. 146.

183

5-1

- 8 Letter E. G. Smith (G.E.) to 'I. B. Starkey/W. B. Davis (Ryar), System Static Performance X353-5B and X376, (Reproduced in Appendix 7.2), May 15, 1863.
- 9 General Electric Company, Flight Propulsion Laboratory Dept., Cincinnati, Ohio, Instruction Manual Customer Computer Deck for General Electric X353-5B Turbojet Mode Performance (Specification No. 112), January 1962.
- 10 General Electric Company, Flight Propulsion Dept., Cincinnati, Ohio, Customer Computer Deck for GE X353-5B Turbojet Mode Performance (Specification No. 112), January 1962.

Ę

A Marine

64B015

A C I

- 11 B. W. Ela, Wind Tunnel Test Report of 1/5 Scale Inlet Model U.S. Army XV-5A Lift Fan Research Aircraft, in preparation.
- 12 R. E. Pendloy, J. R. Milillo, F. F. Fleming, An Investigation of Three NACA 1-Series Nose Inlets at Subsonic and Transonic Speeds, NACA Report RML52J23, 7 January 1953.
- 13 W. K. Greathouse and D. P. Hollister, Freliminary Air Flow and Thrust Calibrations of Several Cooling-Air Ejectors with a Primary to Secondary Temperature Ratio of 1.0, NACA Report RME52E21, dated July 22, 1952.
- 14 B. W. Ela, C. G. Brenson, Calculated Heat Transfer and Cooling System Performance U.S. Army XV-5A Lift Fan Research Aircraft, in preparation.
- 15 General Electric Company, Systems Description and Operating Instructions J85-5B Non-After-burning Engine, (Undated and Unidentified).
- 16 G. Ernst, The Use of Turbojet Thrust Deflection for Airplane Flight Control, Paper Delivered at Association Technique Maritime et Aeronautique; I, bcul. Haussmann, Paris, 1957 Session.

17 U. H. Von Glahn and J. H. Povolny, Considerations of Some Jet-Deflection Principles for Directional Control and for Lift, SAE Paper 219 Delivered at SAE National Aeronautic Meeting, Los Angeles, California, October 1957.

.

7.2 SYSTEM STATIC PERFORMANCE X-353-5B AND X-376

d d an

64B015

)

The following document is that noted in Reference 8, Section 7.0, and is reproduced in whole for the convenience of the reader.

an martine

11 . W. Relow

Ele

SYSTEM STATIC FERFORMANCE

X-353-5B ani X-376

63-1107 LOG NO. Return To ENGINEERING CORRES. FILE

MAY 17 1963 AM PM 7,8,9,0,11,12,12,3,4,5,6

DISTRIBUTION:

F. J. Asmus D. R. Geehring B. J. Shucktis E. F. Beeler J. D. Corbett, Jr. H. C. True W. R. Morgan R. H. Goldsmith D. V. Robinett D. E. Clark L. C. Jensen R. T. Haenel J. T. Kutney

Shink

E. G. Smith

1

May. 15, 1963

2.2

May 15, 1963

R Y N 64B015

H. B. Starkey/W. B. Davis XV-5A Program Ryan Aerospace Division Ryan Aeronautical Company San Diego 12, California

System static performance of the X-353-5B and X-376 fan system has been evaluated for the XV-5A installation. The performance is summarized in the attached figures for a range of altitudes at both standard day and hot day conditions. The sources of data and assumed installation effects included in the performance are as follows.

- 1. <u>Average</u> main and pitch fan performance based on Flightworthiness and Acceptance Tests of first three main and pitch fans.
- 2. <u>Minimum</u> J-85 gas generator performance as presently included in X-353-5B and X-376 specifications and customer deck.
- 3. Usin fan exit louver vector and stagger engles, β_v and β_s , are zero degrees.
- 4. Nose fan thrust modulator is set at the maximum vertical lift position.
- 5. Gas generator performance corrected for inlet performance and power extraction using the following Ryan furnished data:
 - inlet recovery, Nr = 0.984
 - * horsepower extraction, HPE = 58 per engine
 - compressor bleed, WG3 = .022 $\frac{n}{2}$ /suct per engine.
- 6. Main fan and pitch fan inlet losses as obtained Curing scale model tests using the 26" LSSM fan.
- 7. No main fan, pitch fan or gas generator hot gas reingestion.
- 8. No ground endets (height greater than 3 fan diameters).
- 9. Diverter statue, cross duct and pitch fan duct losses as measured during the Flightworthiness and Acceptance Tests.

10. Pitch far scroll areas set for 12.3 percent bleed. This bleed setting is maximum permissible without causing pitch fan overspeed problems and at the same time produce an installed lift in excess of 1500[#]/₄ at the hot day, 2500 ft. conditions.

11. Main fan scroll area trimmed for rated ECT at hot day, 2500 ft. condition.

May 15, 1963 - H. B. Starkey/M. B. Davis - Page 2.

12. 100% RFM on the gas generator and fans is defined as follows:

100% J-85 RFM = 16,500 100% X-353-5B RPM = 2,640 100% X-376 RFM = 4,074

13. Total trimmed lift is defined as the sum of the maximum main fan lift ble at 100% gas generator, with no allowance for control, and the cuccant of pitch fan lift required for longitudinal trim for the particular center of gravity position.

this performance is furnished as being the best estimate of the X-353-5B (main) and X-376 (pitch) fan performance at this time. It is anticipated that the X-353-5B and X-376 performance specifications will be up-dated based upon these and subsequent test data, at a future date.

Esmith

D. G. Smith FRV Aerodynamics Project Engineer V/STOL Systems Operation - ALCO F-144, Ext. 1721

ICS/ht



Section 1

A STATE OF STATE

64B015



and in such



R YANN 64B015

. •

¹⁸⁶⁻F



and states



l

ALC: NO.

- and -

êd Buls

186-H



A suggestion of the



186-J

64B015

.

I




186-L

7.3 <u>METHOD OF ESTIMATING TURBOJET MODE INSTALLED</u> PERFORMANCE

The method of estimating GE X353-5B turbojet mode installed performance calls upon the data of the following Tables 7.3.1 through 7.3.6 while operating upon the initial computer output of Step 3, (Section 4.1) to obtain the final installed performance in Step 4.

Tables 7.3.7 through 7.3.11 present a typical set of Step 3 output data from the computer deck (see Reference 10 of Section 7.0 of this report). Table 7.3.12 contains typical Step 4 final installed performance resulting from the special IBM routine using data of Tables 7.3.7 through 7.3.11 as input. Symbols for the above Tables are defined in Tables 7.3.13 and 7.3.14. The calculation procedure from Tables 7.3.7 through 7.3.11 and Table 7.3.12 is as follows:

- 1. Data of Tables 7.3.7 through 7.3.11 are key-punched to designated fields.
- 2. From the input data, the type of day and altitude is determined for the particular run number in question.
- 3. The value of M/M* is obtained from the equation M/M* = (1.8805) Wa $\sqrt{T_{amb}}$ / A_t P_{amb} (1 + .2MP²)³ where A_t = 182.3 in² and the appropriate values of $\sqrt{T_{amb}}$ / P_{amb} and WA are read from Tables 7.3, 1 through 7.3, 11 respectively.
- 4. For the given Mach No. and number of engines operating, values of inlet recovery factor (NRI) and external drag coefficient (CDS) are read from Tables 7.3.2 and 7.3.3.
- 5. Steps 2 through 4 are repeated for all runs for a given aircraft and engine operating condition, to form a set of computed and assumed inlet recovery factors, (NRI) and (NRA) respectively.
- 6. The correct inlet recovery is then computed directly from the data of Step 5.
- 7. All output performance variables are then interpolated or extrapolated to obtain their proper value at the calculated inlet recovery factor of Step 6.

8. The external incremental drag (SID) is calculated by the equation SID = (127.61) (CDS) (PO) (MO)² based on the minimum area of the 30E inlet. The proper value of PO is selected from Table 7.3.1.

 $\overline{()}$

and the second

F

I

LE Y & GABUIS

[{-

- 9. For the case of single engine operation, the windmilling drag is selected from Table 7.3.4.
- 10. Several arithmetical steps complete the intermediate performance before applying ejector effects:
 - a. Gross thrust (FG) is obtained by adding ram drag (FD) to net thrust (FN).
 - b. Propulsion system drag (DRAG) is obtained by adding ram drag (FD), incremental drag (SID), and windmilling drag (FWIND).
- To obtain ejector effects on gross thrust, the proper value of thrust ratio (FEJ/FG) was interpolated from the data of Table 7.3.5, at the exhaust gas-to-ambient pressure ratio (P8/P0) obtained after interpolation at the proper inlet recovery factor for a pre-selected series of shroud air to ambient pressure ratios (PS/PO) thereby forming a set of data in terms of (PS/PO).
- Selecting the appropriate value of (PS/PO) from the data of Table
 7.3.6 then permitted interpolation of the gross thrust ratio and determination of gross thrust (FEJ).
- 13. Net thrust (FNET) was obtained by subtracting the propulsion system drag (DRAG) from the gross thrust (FEJ).
- 14. Since estimated performance is based on single engine performance, total aircraft performance with two engines operating is obtained by simple multiplication of the appropriate quantity by ENG=2; e.g. TDRAG = (DRAG) (ENG) = (DRAG) (2). Of course, for one engine operating, (ENG) = 1 and TDRAG = DRAG.

DAY	ALTITUDE (Feet)	$\sqrt{T_{amb}}/P_{amb}$	PO (psi)
Std.	0	1.549747	14.6958
	5,000	1.830143	12.2285
	10,000	2.174270	10.1083
	20,000	3.129630	6.7588
	30 , 0 00	4.641250	4.3726
	40,000	7.233760	2.7300
Hot	0	1.614141	14.6958
1	2,500	1.741273	13.5098
	5 , 0 00	1.881008	12.4018
	10,000	2.203316	10.4C ¹
	20,000	3.060443	7.1862
	30,000	4.410434	4.8188
	40,000	6.520842	3.1229

Effect of Altitude and Day on $\sqrt{T_{amb}}/P_{amb}$ Ratio and Ambient Pressure (PO)

ŋ

Γ

(

Γ

Ensur

- Sectores

ľ

64BUI5

F.

Estimated XV-5A 30E Inlet Performance for 2 Engine Operation and External Incremental Drag Coefficient

мо	M/M*	NRI	CDS	мо	M/M*	NRI	CDS
0	.35	.9927		.2	.35	. 9975	
	.4	.9925	0.00		.4	. 9976	0.00
	.45	.9919			.45	.9975	
	.5	. 9908	0.00		.5	.9974	0.00
	. 55	. 9889			.55	.9971	
	.6	.9867	0.00		.6	.9964	0.00
	.65	. 9843			.65	. 9953	
	.7	.9 814	0.00		.7	.9940	0.00
	. 75	.9782			.75	. 9923	
	.8	.9747	0.00		.8	. 9903	0.00
	.85	.9707			. 85	.9880	
	.90	.9644	0.00		. 90	.9853	0.00
.1	.35	.9935		.3	.35	.9992	
	.4	, 9936	0.00		.4	. 9993	0.015
	.45	. 9930			.45	.9993	
	.5	. 9920	0.00		.5	. 9992	0.000
	.55	. 9904			. 55	. 9987	
	.6	.9887	0.00		.6	.9980	0.000
	.65	. 9869			.65	.9971	
	.7	. 9823	0.00		.7	.9956	0.000
	.75	.9811			.75	.9946	
	.8	.9770	0.00		.8	.9930	0.000
	. 85	.9721			. 85	.9913	
	.90	.9701	0.00		.95	.9889	0.000

мо	M/M*	NRI	CDS	MO	M/M*	NRI	CDS
.4	.35	.9998		0.6	.35	1.0000	
	.4	.9999	0.065		.4	1.0000	0.150
	,45	. 9999			.45	1.0000	
	.5	. 9998	0.053		.5	1.0000	0.112
	. 55	. 9993			. 55	1.0000	
:	.6	. 9990	0.007		.6	1.0000	0.076
	. 65	.9980			.65	. 9997	
	.7	.9969	0.000		.7	.9990	0.041
	.75	. 9955			. 75	. 9970	
	.8	.9940	0.000		.8	. 9966	0.012
	.85	.9921			.85	.9951	
	. 90	.9900	0.000		.90	. 9933	0.000
0.5	.35	.9999		0.7	. 35	.9822	
	.4	1.0000	0.110		.4	. 9940	0.184
	.45	1.0000			.45	. 9972	
	.5	. 9999	0.075		.5	. 99 93	0.145
	.55	. 9998			.55	1.0000	
	.6	.9994	0.044		.6	1.0000	J.104
	.65	. 9990			.65	. 9999	
	.7	.9982	0.011		.7	. 9997	0.067
	.75	. 9967			. 75	. 9994	
	.8	.9952	0.000		.8	. 9988	0.034
	.85	. 9934			. 85	. 9980	
	. 90	.9912	0.000		. 90	. 9968	0.001

 TABLE 7.3.2 (Continued)

)

TABLE 7.3.2 (Continued)

мо	M/M*	NRI	CDS	мо	M/M*	NRI	CDS
0.8	.35	.9220					
	.4	.9503	C.216				
	.45	.9650					
	.5	.9739	0.171				
	.55	.9795					
	.60	.9833	0.127				
	.65	. 9844					
	.70	.9847	0.089				
	. 75	.9846					
	.80	.9842	0.051				
	. 85	.9835					
	.90	.9828	0.018				

Ì

Ľ

Ì

мо	M/M*	NRI	MO	M/M*	NRI
0	.4	. 9655	.2	.4	. 9883
	.45	.9645		.45	. 9879
	.5	. 9633		.5	. 9875
	. 55	.9624		.55	. 9865
	.6	. 96 0 9		.6	. 9853
	.65	. 9595		.65	. 9837
	.7	.9564		.7	. 9807
	. 75	. 9526		. 7 5	.9752
	.8	.9489		.8	.9645
	.85	.9391		.85	. 9 546
	.90	.9262		.90	. 9409
.1	.4	. 9732	.3	.4	. 9964
	.45	.9713		.45	. 9963
	.5	. 9698		. 5	. 99 62
	.55	. 9685		.55	. 9959
	.6	. 9670		.6	. 9955
	. 65	. 9653		.65	.9947
	.7	.9625		.7	. 9939
	.75	.9580		.75	. 99 25
	.8	.9535		.8	. 9904
	.85	.9447		.85	. 9870
	. 90	. 9315		. 95	. 9660

Estimated XV-5A 30E Inlet Performance for 1 Engine Operation

 TABLE 7.3.3 (Continued)

мо	M/M*	NRI	мо	M/M*	NRI
.4	.4	. 998 6	.6	.4	. 9764
	.45	.9986		.45	.9814
	.5	.9985		.5	. 9858
	. 55	. 9983		. 55	.9894
	.6	. 9980		.6	. 9922
	.65	.9976		.65	.9942
	.7	.9971		.7	. 9952
	.75	. 9965		.75	.9953
	۶.	.9954		.8	. 9951
	.85	. 9944		.85	. 9944
	.90	. 99 26		.90	. 99 28
.5	.4	.9940	.7	.4	.9310
	.45	. 9959		.45	.9450
	.5	.9964		.5	.9578
	. 5 5	. 9966		. 55	. 9689
	.6	. 9973		.6	. 9784
	.65	.9975		.65	.9863
	.7	.9970		.7	.9921
	.75	.9961		.75	. 9 948
	.8	.9956		.8	. 9943
	.85	.9950		.85	. 991 6
	.90	. 9943		.90	.9873

I C

6

F

RYAN GEDALS

l f

11

i.

Estimated	Windmilling	Drag	for	1	Engine	Out	Condition
		(Poun	ds)				
	ARI	C ST	D. I	DA	Y		

MP/ALT	0	2,500	5,000	10,000	20,000	30,000	40,000
0	0		0	0	0	0	0
.1	3.7		3.1	2.5	1.7	1.1	.7
.2	11.5		9.6	7.9	5.3	3.4	2.1
.3	26.5		22.1	18.2	12.2	7.9	4.9
.4	50.0		41.6	34.4	23.0	14.9	9.3
.5	83.5		69.5	57.4	38.4	24.8	15.5
.6	127.5		106.1	87.7	58.6	37.9	23.7
.7	182.0		151.4	125.2	83.7	54.2	33,8
.8	245.5		204.3	168.9	112.7	73.0	45.8
		A NI			v		
			A BUL. 4/		I 		·····
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
.1	3.4	3.1	2.9	2.4	1.7	1.1	0.7
.2	10.6	9.7	8.9	7.5	5.2	3.5	2.3
.3	24.4	22.4	20.6	17.3	11.9	8.0	5.2
.4	46.1	42.4	38.9	32.6	22.5	15.1	9.8
. 5	77.0	70.8	65.0	54.5	37.7	25.2	16.4
.6	117.5	108.0	99.2	83.2	57.5	38.5	25.0
.7	167.8	154.3	141.6	118.8	82.1	55.0	35.7
.8	226.3	208.0	191.0	160.2	110.7	74.2	48.1

TAI	BLE	7.	3.	5
-----	-----	----	----	---

Estimated Ejector Performance Affecting Gross Thrust

PS/PO	P8/P0	FEJ/FJ	PS/PO	P8/PO	FEJ/FJ
0.8	1.00	. 968	0.9	3.10	. 981
	1.50	. 968		3.20	. 979
	2.00	. 968		3.30	. 97 5
	2.28	. 96 8		3.40	. 971
	2.30	. 969		3.43	. 968
	2.40	. 971		10.0	. 9 6 8
	2.50	. 973	. 95	1.0	. 9895
	2.6	. 976		2.08	. 9895
	2.7	. 978		2.20	. 98 95
	2.8	. 979		2.40	. 9905
	2.9	. 97 5		2.6	. 9925
	3.0	. 962		2.8	. 9925
	3.02	. 954		3.0	. 9915
	10.0	. 954		3.2	. 989
0.9	1.00	. 982		3.4	. 984
	2.18	. 982		3.6	. 975
	2.20	. 983		3.65	. 9 7 25
	2.30	. 9835		10.	. 9 72 5
	2.40	. 984	1.0	1.0	. 990
	2.50	. 9850		2.05	. 990
	2.60	. 9855		2.20	. 9915
	2.70	. 986		2.50	. 998
	2.80	. 985		3.00	1.001
	2.90	. 984		3.50	. 9925
	3.00	. 983		3.66	. 989

() |

F

I

I

I

I

LY 64B015

ľ f

I

PS/PO	P8/PO	FEJ/FJ	PS/PO	P8/P0	FEJ/FJ
1.0	3.85	. 978	1.20	1.000	1.059
	10.00	. 978		1.011	1.059
1.05	1.00	. 997		1.40	1. 0 55
	2.01	. 997		1.90	1.049
	2.1	1.000		2.4	1.040
	2.5	1.007		2.9	1.031
	3.0	1.009		3.4	1.021
	3.4	1.001		3.9	1.009
	3.9	. 991		4.4	. 999
	4.06	. 982		4.6	. 991
	10.0	. 982		10.0	. 991
1.10	1.00	1.014			
	1.70	1.014			
	1.90	1.017			
	2.40	1.0195			
	2.50	1.020			
	2.90	1.018			
	3.40	1.011			
	3.90	1.002			
	4.00	. 997			
	4.22	. 985			
	10.	. 985			

TABLE 7.3.5 (Continued)

ľ

F

F

a series

and the second

l

Estimated Secondary Ejector Air to Ambient Pressure Ratio

A/C ACH NO.	RPM 100%	RPM 99%	КРМ 98%	RPM 97%	RPM 95%	RPM 90%	R PM 85%	RPM 80%	RPM 75%
0	.957	.964	.967	.968	.970	.965	.961	. 963	.969
.10	. 964	.971	.973	.974	.975	. 969	.965	.968	.978
.20	.976	.979	.980	.981	.982	.981	.979	.980	. 988
.30	. 993	. 993	.994	. 994	1994	. 993	. 993	.995	1.001
.40	1.014	1.010	1.009	1.008	1.007	1.008	1.010	1.012	1, 016
.50	1.038	1.030	1.027	1.025	1.023	1.021	1.022	1.025	1.031
.60	1.065	1.050	1.044	1.039	1.035	1.032	1.034	1.037	1.047
. 70	1.097	1.066	1.058	1.053	1.047	1.040	1.042	1.048	1.064
. 80	1.138	1.089	1.078	1.070	1.060	1.050	1.049	1.055	1.083

Representative Initial Installed Performance Output from GE X353-5B Turbojet Mode Computer Deck vs NRA

XV-5A FINAL INSTALLED PERFORMANCE ARDC STANDARD DAY, DP6=0.031, A8=111.54 ALTITUDE 00000 NRA=0.980 MP=0.0

GENERAL ELECTRIC X-353-5B TURBOJET MODE PERFORMANCE

MILITARY RATED PERFORMANCE

INPUT

ТО	РО	P.S.	I
518.69	14.696	4.000	1
Т2	P2	HP	WEB
518.69	14.402	40.000	0. 022
RPMI	МР	ALT.	DELTO
100.0			0.0
	OUTPUT		
8FC	FD	FN	ERI
1.042	0.00	2479.08	0
TEB	PEB	PCT. N	HRGT
946.0	87.80	100.00	1689.0
TIB	PIB	FNIB	WIB
0.0	0. 000	0.00	0.0009
Т8	P8/PO	AE8	WA
1675.2	2.02	109.6	42.84
	W6	P6	WF
	42.76	30.64	2583.7

DATED JANUARY 1962

I

LIN 64B015

ļ

н^а.

Representative Initial Installed Performance Output from GE X353-5B Turbojet Mode Computer Deck vs NRA

XV-5A FINAL INSTALLED PERFORMANCE ARDC STANDARD DAY, DP6=0.031, A8=111.54 ALTITUDE 00000 NRA=0.985 MP=0

GENERAL ELECTRIC X353-5B TURBOJET MODE PERFORMANCE

MILITARY RATED PERFORMANCE

		INPUT	
I	P.S.	РО	то
2	4.000	14.696	518.69
WEB	HP	P2	T 2
0. 022	40.000	14.475	518.69
DELTO	ALT.	MP	RPMI
0.0			100. 0
•		OUTPUT	
ERI	FN	FD	SFC
0	2499.27	0.00	1.039
HRGT	PCT. N	PEB	TEB
1688.7	100.00	88.24	945.9
WIB	FNIB	PIB	TIB
0.0000	0.00	0.000	0. 0
WA	AE8	P8/P0	Т8
43.06	109.6	2.03	1674.9
WF	P6	W6	
2596.1	30,79	42,98	

DATED JANUARY 1962

Representative Initial Installed Performance Output from GE X353-5B Turbojet Mode Computer Deck

XV-5A FINAL INSTALLED PERFORMANCE ARDC STANDARD DAY, DP6-0.031, A8-111.54 ALTITUDE 00000 NRA=0.990 MP=0

GENERAL ELECTRIC X353-5B TURBOJET MODE PERFORMANCE

MILITARY RATED PERFORMANCE

		INPUT	
I	P.S.	РО	то
3	4.000	14.696	518.69
WEB	HP	P2	T 2
0. 022	40.000	14.549	518.69
DELTO	ALT.	МР	RPMI
0.0			100.0
		<u>OUTPUT</u>	
ERI	FN	FD	SFC
0	2519.38	0.00	1.035
HRGT	PCT. N	PEB	TEB
1688.3	100.00	88.68	945.9
WIB	FNIB	PIB	TIB
0.0000	0.00	0.000	0.0
WA	AE8	P8/P0	тв
43.28	109.6	2.04	1674.6
WF	P6	W6	
2608.5	30.94	43.20	

DATED JANUARY 1962

. .

. Stranding

1

Harris Walks

Representative Initial Installed Performance Output from GE X353-5B Turbojet Mode Computer Deck

XV-5A FINAL INSTALLED PERFORMANCE ARDC STANDARD DAY, DP6=0.031, A8=111.54 ALTITUDE 00000 NRA=0.995 MP=0

GENERAL ELECTRIC X353-5B TURBOJET MODE PERFORMANCE

MILITARY RATED PERFORMANC

INPUT

то	РО	P.S.	I
518.69	14.696	4.000	4
T 2	P2	НР	WEB
518,69	14.622	40.000	0. 022
RPMI	MP	ALT.	DELTO
100. 0			0.0
	<u>OUTPUT</u>		
SFC	FD	FN	ERI
1.032	0.00	2539.78	0
TEB	PEB	PCT. N	HRGT
945.9	89.13	100.00	1688.1
TIB	PIB	FNIB	WIB
0.0	6.000	0.00	0.0000
T 8	P8/PO	AE8	WA
1674.4	2.05	109.6	43.50
	W6	P6	WF
	43.42	31.10	2621.2

DATED JANUARY 1962

Representative Initial Installed Performance Output from GE X353-5B Turbojet Mode Computer Deck

XV-5A FINAL INSTALLED PERFORMANCE ARDC STANDARD DAY, DP6=0.031, A8=111.54 ALTITUDE 00000 NRA=1.000 MP=0

GENERAL ELECTRIC X353-5B TURBOJET MODE PERFORMANCE

MILITARY RATED PERFORMANCE

THE OT	INPUI	Γ
--------	-------	---

I	P.S.	PO	то
5	4.000	14.696	518.69
WEB	НР	P2	T 2
0. 022	40.000	14.696	518.69
DELTO	ALT.	MP	R P MI
0.0			100.0
		OUTPUT	
ERI	FN	FD	SFC
0	2559,88	0.00	1.029
HRGT	PCT. N	PEB	TEB
1687.8	100,00	89. 5 7	945.9
WIB	FNIB	PIB	TIB
0.0000	Ŭ. 00	0.000	0.0
WA	AE8	P8/PO	Т8
43.72	109.6	2.06	1674.0
WF	P6	W6	
2633.5	31,26	43.64	

DATED JANUARY 1962

K 64B015

Į)

64B015

Representative XV-5A Installed Performance Output for GE X353-5B Turbojet Mode

DATE 4/27/64 XV-5A FINAL INSTALLED PERFORMANCE (TURBOJET MODE)

				d NI	5				
A 8		DP6	WEB	НР	DAY	ENG	PCT.N	ALT	MO
111.54		0.031	0.022	40.0	0	0	100.0	•	0.
RUN	ERI	NRA	HRGT	FN	FD	FNIB	WF	WA	P8/P0
1	0	0.9800	1689.0	2479.08	••	••	2583.7	42.84	2.02
2	0	0.9850	1688.7	2499.27	0.	•0	2596.1	43.06	2.03
က	0	0066'0	1688.3	2519.38	••	0.	2608.5	43.28	2.04
4	0	0,9950	1688.1	2539.78	0.	0.	2621.2	43.50	2.05
ວ	0	1.0000	1687.8	2559.88	•0	0.	2633.5	43.72	2.06
				OUT	PUT				
NRI		HRGT	FN	FD	FNI	m	WF	WA	P8/P0
0.9847		1688.9	2488.13	-0-	-0-		2589.2	42.94	2.02
		*W/W	CDS	TFD		РО	TWF	SID	FDWIND
		0.6861	0.	-0-	14.	6958	5178.5	0.	•0

TFNET	4817.01	4886.68	4921.51	4926.49	4963.90	5063.95	5208.94	TDRAG	-0-
			ئو.	,				DRAC	-0-
FNET	2408.51	2443.34	2460.76	2463.24	2481.95	2531.97	2604.47	TENET	4920.26
TFEJ	4817.01	4886.68	49°1.51	4926.49	4963.90	5063.95	5208.94	FNET	2460.13
FEJ	2408.51	2443.34	2460.76	2463.24	2481.95	2531.97	2604.47	TFEJ	4920.26
								ГIJ	2460.13
FEJ/FG	0.9680	0.9820	0.9890	0.9900	0.9975	1.0176	1.0468	FEJ/FG	0.9887
PS/PO	.8000	0006.0	0.9500	۱. 0000	L. 05 00	۱.1000	1.2000	PS/PO	0.9570

TABLE 7.3.12 (Continued)

NOTE -- NRI IS WITHIN NRA BOUNDS

Definition of Symbols for Tables 7.3.7 through 7.3.11 (in Order of their Appearance)

() and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second s

J

I

LE Y AN STOLS

]

SYMBOL	MEANING
DP6	% Pressure Loss in Exhaust Duct/100
A8	Exhaust Nozzle Area in ²
NR	Assumed Inlet Recovery Factor
МР	Mach No.
I	Run Number
P. S.	Power Setting
РО	Ambient Pressure PSIA
то	Ambient Temperature °R
WEB	Customer Compressor Bleed Air lbs/sec.
HP	Power Extraction HP
P2	Total Pressure at Compressor Face PSIA
T2	Total Temperature at Compressor Face
DELTO	(Not used as input)
Alt	(Not used as input)
RPMI	% RPM of Gas Generator
ERI	Error Indicator
FN	Net Thrust lbs.
FD	Ram Drag lbs.
SFC	Specific Fuel Consumption (WF)/(FN) = (lbs./hr)/ lbs Thrust
HRGT	Harness Gas Temperature °R
PCTN	% RPM Gas Generator
PEB	Customer Compressor Bleed Air Pressure PSIA
TEB	Customer Compressor Bleed Air Temperature °R
WIB	Interstage Bleed Air lbs/sec

TABLE 7.3.13 (Continued)

SYMBOL	MEANING
FNIB	Ideal Thrust Obtainable from Interstage Bleed Air
PIB	Interstage Bleed Air Pressure PSIA
TIB	Interstage Bleed Air Temperature °R
WA	Engine Inlet Air lbs/sec
AE8	Effective Tailpipe Area in ²
P8/PO	Tailpipe to Ambient Pressure Ratio
T 8	Actual Tailpipe Temperature
WF	Fuel Flow lbs/hr
P6	Pressure at Outlet of Diverter Valve PSIA
W6	Exhaust Gas after Diverter Valve lbs/sec

TABLE 7.3.14

Definitions of Symbols for Table 7.3.12 (in Order of their Appearance)

SYMBOL	MEANING
A8	Tailpipe Nozzle Area-in ²
DP6	% Pressure Loss in Exhaust Duct
WEB	Customer Compressor Bleed Air - lbs/sec
нр	Power Extraction – HP
DAY	ARDC Standard Day (2), ANA Bulletin 421 Hot Day (1)
ENG	Number of Engines Operating
PCT. N	% RPM Gas Generator
Alt.	Altitude – feet
мо	Mach No.
RUN	Run No. of Table 7-7
ERI	Error Indicator
NRA	Assumed Inlet Pecovery Factor

TABLE 7.3.14 (Continued)

I

I

RYAN 64BUI5

SYMBOL	MEANING
HRGT	Harness Exhaust Gas Temperature °R
FN	Net Thrust – lbs.
FD	Ram Drag - lbs.
FNIB	Ideal Thrust Possible from Interstage Bleed
WF	Fuel Flow - lbs/hr.
WA	Engine Inlet Air - lbs/sec.
P8/PO	Tailpipe to Ambient Pressure Ratio
NRI	Computed Inlet Recovery Factor
м/м*	Inlet Mass Flow Ratio
CDS	External Incremental Drag Coefficient
TFD	Total Ram Drag for Engines Operating - lbs.
РО	Ambient Pressure - PSIA
TWF	Total Fuel Flow for Engines Operating - lbs/hr.
SID	External Incremental Drag – lbs.
FDWIND	Windmilling Drag - Ibs.
PS/PO	Secondary Ejector Air to Ambient Pressure Ratio
FEJ/FG	Gross Thrust ratio with and without Ejector - lbs.
FEJ	Gross Thrust per Engine with Ejector – lbs.
TFEJ	Total Gross Thrust with Ejector for Engines Operating – lbs.
FNET	Net Thrust per Engine with Ejector – lbs. (Does not account for Cooling Air Drag)
TFNET	Total Net Thrust with Ejector for Engines Operating – lbs. (Does not account for Cooling Air Drag)
DRAG	Propulsion System Drag per Engine – lbs. (Does not account for Cooling Air Drag)
TDRAG	Total Propulsion System Drag for Engines Operating (Does not include Cooling Air Drag)