ARL 66-0205 OCTOBER 1966



Aerospace Research Laboratories

SIMULTANEOUS TESTS FOR THE EQUALITY OF VARIANCES AGAINST CERTAIN ALTERNATIVES (Reprint from The Australian Journal of Statistics, Vol. 7, No. 3, pp. 105-109, November 1965) P. R. KRISHNAIAH APPLIED MATHEMATICS RESEARCH LABORATORY

B

Distribution of this document is unlimited

OFFICE OF AEROSPACE RESEARCH

enited States Air Force



ARCHIVE GOPY

NOTICES

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from the Defense Documentation Center, (DDC), Cameron Station, Alexandria, Virginia.

(Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the U.S. Gov't)

Distribution of this document is unlimited

Copies of ARL Technical Documentary Reports should not be returned to Aerospace Research Laboratories unless return is required by security considerations, contractual obligations or notices on a specified document.



14

Reprinted from The Australian Journal of Statistics, Vol. 7, No. 3, pages 105-109, November, 1965

or Bracella

Ē

Ē

SIMULTANEOUS TESTS FOR THE EQUALITY OF VARIANCES AGAINST CERTAIN ALTERNATIVES

P. R. KRISHNAIAH Aerospace Research Laboratorics, Wright-Patterson A.F.B., Ohio Austral. J. Statist., 7 (3), 1985, 105-109

SIMULTANEOUS TESTS FOR THE EQUALITY OF VARIANCES AGAINST CERTAIN ALTERNATIVES¹

P. R. KRISHNAIAH

Aerospace Research Laboratories, Wright-Patterson A.F.B., Ohio

1. Introduction

In many situations, the experimenter is interested in testing which of the variances differ significantly from others when the overall hypothesis of equality of variances is rejected. The simultaneous test procedures play an important role in these situations. Eartley (1950) considered the problem of pairwise comparisons of variances simultaneously. Gnanadesikan (1959) considered the problem of comparing several variances simultaneously against a standard one. In the present paper, test procedures are proposed for comparing each variance simultaneously against the next one by using the union-intersection principle of Roy (1953).

2. Simultaneous Test Procedures

Consider k normal populations with means (known or unknown) $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_k$ and unknown variances $\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \ldots, \sigma_k^2$. Let s_i^2 be the sample estimate of σ_i^2 based on n_i degrees of freedom. In addition, let $\Pi: \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = \ldots = \sigma_k^2$. The total hypothesis H can be expressed as $\Pi = \bigcap_{\substack{i \neq j=1 \\ i \neq j=1}} \Pi_{ij}, \Pi = \bigcap_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j=1}} \Pi_{ik}$ and $\Pi = \bigcap_{\substack{i=1 \\ i=1}} \Pi_{i,i+1}$ where $\Pi_{rs}: \sigma_r^2 = \sigma_s^2$. Now, let $\Lambda_1 = \bigcup_{\substack{i \neq j=1 \\ i \neq j=1}}^k \Lambda_{ij}, \Lambda_2 = \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i=1}}^{k-1} \Lambda_{ik}$ and $\Lambda_3 = \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i=1}}^{k-1} \Lambda_{i,i+2}$ where $\Lambda_{ri}: \sigma_r^2 \neq \sigma_i^2$.

Hartley (1950) considered the problems of testing H against A_1 when the sample sizes are equal. This test is known as the F_{max} test. Ramachandran (1956) showed that Hartley's F_{max} test is unbiased. The tables which are useful in the application of this test procedure are given in David (1952).

Guanadesikan (1959) considered the problems of testing H against A_2 ; his proof for the monotonicity of the power of the test is not correct. We now discuss a one-sided version of the test considered in Guanadesikan (1959).

¹ Manuscript received June 24, 1965; revised October 25, 1965

P. R. KRISHNALAH

A procedure for testing H_{1k} , H_{2k} , ..., $H_{k-1,k}$ and H simultaneously against the respective alternatives A_{1k}^* , A_{2k}^* , ..., A_k^* $_{1,k}$ and A_{2}^{*} , where $A_{2}^{*} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} A_{ik}^{*}$ and $A_{ik}^{*} : \sigma_{i}^{2} > \sigma_{k}^{2}$ is discussed here.

According to this procedure, we accept or reject Π_{ik} according as

$$\mathbf{F}_{ik} > \mathbf{c}_{ia}$$

(2.1)
$$P[F_{ik} \le c_{i\alpha}; i=1, 2, ..., k-1|H] = (1-\alpha)$$
, and $F_{ii} = s_i^2/s_i^3$.

The total hypothesis II is accepted if all the individual hypotheses $H_{1k}, H_{2k7}, \ldots, H_{k-1,k}$ are accepted. This test procedure is similar to the test proposed by (Hosh (1955) for testing several hypotheses simultaneously under the ANOVA Model I. For practical purposes, we choose the critical values o_{ia} to be equal, and call them o_a . When $n_1 = n_2 = \ldots = n_k = n$, we can obtain the critical values c_{α} from the tables of Gupta (1963) for $\alpha = 0.25$, 0.10, 0.05, 0.01, n = 2(3)50 and k=2(1)11. When $n_1=n_2=\ldots=n_{k-1}=n$, we can obtain the critical values c_a from the tables of Armitage and Krishnaiah (1964) for $\alpha = 0.10, 0.05, 0.025, 0.01, n = 1(1)19, k = 2(1)13$ and $n_k = 5(1)45$. The simultaneous confidence intervals associated with the above test procedure are given by

(3.2)
$$P\left[\frac{\sigma_{k}^{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}} \le \frac{s_{k}^{2}}{s_{1}^{2}}\sigma_{i\alpha}; \quad i=1, 2, \ldots, (k-1)\right] = (1-\alpha)$$

where c_{ij} 's are given by (2.1). We will now discuss about another one-sided version of the test considered in Gnanadosikan (1959).

Let $A_2^{\bullet\bullet} = U A_{ik}^{\bullet\bullet}$ where $A_{ik}^{\bullet\bullet}: \sigma_1^2 < \sigma_k^2$. A procedure is proposed in Krishnaiah and Armitage (1964) to test $H_{1k}, H_{2k}, \ldots, H_{k-1,k}$ and H simultaneously against the respective alternatives and H simultaneously against the respective alternatives A_{1k}^{*} , A_{2k}^{*} , ..., $A_{k-1,k}^{*}$ and A_{2}^{*} . When $n_{1}=n_{k}$..., $n_{k}=n_{k}$, the 25%, 10%, 5% and 1% critical values which are useful in the application of this test procedure can be obtained from the tables of Gupta and Sobel (1963) for n=2(2)50 and k=2(1)11. The 10%, 5%, 2.5% and 1% critical values for this test procedure can be obtained from the tables of Krishnaiah and Armitage (1964) for k=2(1)13, $n_{\lambda}=5(1)45$ and m=1(1)20 when $n_1=n_2=\ldots=n_{k-1}=m$. The simultaneous confidence intervals associated with this test procedure are given by

(2.3)
$$\mathbf{P}\left[\frac{\sigma_{\tilde{k}}}{\sigma_{\tilde{i}}^{2}} \geq \frac{s_{\tilde{k}}}{s_{\tilde{i}}^{2}} d_{i_{1}}; \quad i=1, 2, \ldots, k-1\right] = (1-\alpha)$$

where d_{ia} 's are chosen such that

 $P[F_{ik} \ge d_{i\alpha}; i=1, 2, ..., k-1|H] = (1-\alpha).$ (2.4)

We will now propose a test procedure for testing $H_{13}, H_{23}, \ldots, H_{k-1,k}$ and H simultaneously against the respective alternatives $A_{12}, A_{33}, \ldots, A_{k-1,k}$ and A_3 . According to this procedure, we accept $H_{i,i+1}$ if

(2.5) $f_{i\alpha} \leq \mathbf{F}_{i,i+1} \leq g_{i\alpha}$ and reject $\mathbf{H}_{i,i+1}$ otherwise. The constants $f_{i\alpha}$ and $g_{i\alpha}$ are chosen such that

106

EQUALITY OF VARIANCES AGAINST CERTAIN ALTERNATIVES 107

(2.6) $P[f_{i\alpha} \leq F_{i,i+1} \leq g_{i\alpha}; i=1, 2, ..., k-1|H] = (1-\alpha).$ The optimum choice of the critical values $f_{i\alpha}$ and $g_{i\alpha}$ is not known. For practical purposes, we impose the restriction that the acceptance regions (2.5) are of equal size. In addition, we impose the restriction that, for each *i*, the test with the acceptance region (2.5) is locally unbiased.

When H is true, $n_1s_1^2$, $n_2s_2^2$, ..., $n_ks_k^2$ are distributed independently as central chi-square variates with n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k degrees of freedom. Starting from the joint distribution of $s_1^2, s_2^2, \ldots, s_k^2$, making the transformations $F_{i,i+1} = s_i^2/s_{i+1}^2$, $s_k^2 = s_k^2$ and integrating out s_k^2 we obtain the following expression for the joint frequency function of $F_{12}, F_{23}, \ldots, F_{k-1,k}$ when H is true:

$$f(\mathbf{F}_{12}, \mathbf{F}_{23}, \dots, \mathbf{F}_{k-1,k}|\mathbf{H}) = \frac{(n_1/n_k) \begin{bmatrix} k-1 \\ \prod j=1 \\ j=1 \end{bmatrix} n_j \prod_{i=j}^{k-1} \mathbf{F}_{i,i+1}/n_k]^{\frac{1}{2}(n_k-2)}}{\prod_{j=1}^{k} \Gamma[n_j/2] [1+n_k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} n_j \prod_{i=j}^{k-1} \mathbf{F}_{i,i+1}]^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=j}^{k} n_j}}$$

The simultaneous confidence intervals associated with the above test are given by

(2.8) $P[f_{ia}s_{i+1}^2/s_i^2 \le \sigma_{i+1}^2/z_i^2 \le g_{ia}s_{i+1}^2/s_i^2, i=1, 2, \ldots, k-1] = (1-\alpha).$ The above simultaneous confidence intervals can be derived by using the fact that

$$\mathbf{P}[f_{i\alpha} \leq \mathbf{F}_{i,i+1} \leq g_{i\alpha}; i=1, 2, \ldots, k-1|\mathbf{H}]$$

 $= \mathbb{P}[f_{i\alpha} \leq \sigma_{i+1}^2 \mathbb{F}_{i,i+1}/\sigma_i^2 \leq g_{i\alpha}; i=1, 2, \ldots, k-1| \bigcap \mathbf{A}_i] = (1-\alpha).$

A procedure is proposed below for testing H_{13} , H_{23} , ..., H_{k-1k} , and H simultaneously against the respective alternatives $A_{12}^{\bullet}, A_{23}^{\bullet}, \ldots, A_{k-1,k}^{\bullet}$ and A_3^{\bullet} where $A_3^{\bullet} = \bigcup_{\substack{k=1 \\ i=1}}^{\bullet} \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i=1}}^{\bullet} A_{i,i+1}^{\bullet} : \sigma_i^2 > \sigma_{i+1}^2$ According to this procedure, we accept or reject $H_{i,i+1}$ according as $F_{i,i+1} > a_{ia}$

where a_{ia} 's are chosen such that

(2.7)

(2.9)

(2.10) $P[F_{i,i+1} \le a_{i\alpha}; i=1, 2, ..., k-1|H] = (1-\alpha).$ The simultaneous confidence intervals associated with the above test are given by

(2.11) $P[\sigma_{i+1}^2/\sigma_i^2 \le a_{i\alpha}s_{i+1}^2/s_i^2; i=1, 2, ..., k-1] = (1-\alpha).$ For practical purposes, we choose the critical values $a_{i\alpha}$ in (2.10) to be equal.

We test H_{12} , H_{23} , ..., $H_{k-1,k}$ and H simultaneously against the respective alternatives A_{12}^{**} , A_{23}^{**} , ..., $A_{k-1,k}^{**}$ and A_{3}^{**} where $A_{3}^{**} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} A_{i,i+1}^{**}$ and $A_{i,i+1}^{**}$; $\sigma_{i}^{2} < \sigma_{i+1}^{2}$, by accepting or rejecting $H_{i,i+1}$ according as

where b_{ia} 's are chosen such that

(2.12) $P[F_{i,i+1} \ge b_{i\alpha}; i=1, 2, ..., k-1|H] = (1-\alpha).$

The simultancous confidence intervals associated with the above test are given by

(2.13)
$$P\left[\frac{\sigma_{i+1}^2}{\sigma_i^2} \ge \frac{s_{i+1}^2}{s_i^2} b_{i\alpha}; \quad i=1, 2, \ldots, k-1\right] = (1-\alpha).$$

As before we can, for practical purposes, choose the critical values $b_{i\alpha}$'s to be equal. When k=3, the values of α in (2.12) can be computed for given values of $b_{i\alpha}$ and n_i by using the method discussed in Bechhofer and Sobel (1954) and Bozivich, Bancroft and Hartley (1956).

3. General Remarks

Hartley's F_{max} is useful when the experimenter is interested in testing the hypotheses H_{rs} $(r \neq s = 1, 2, \ldots, k)$ simultaneously and the sample sizes are equal. But there are many situations when the experimenter is interested in testing a subset of these hypotheses. In these situations, it is not desirable to use the F_{max} test since alternative simultaneous test procedures yield shorter (in terms of lengths) confidence intervals. For example, if we are interested in testing H_{12} , H_{23} , \ldots , $H_{k-1,k}$ and H simultaneously against the respective alternatives A_{12} , A_{23} , \ldots , $A_{k-1,k}$ and A_3 , the lengths of the confidence intervals associated with the simultaneous test procedure proposed in this paper are shorter than those associated with the F_{max} test if the critical values f_{ia} and g_{ia} in (2.6) are chosen such that $g_{ia} = g_a$ and $f_{ia} = g_a^{-1}$ for $i=1, 2, \ldots, k-1$ when the sample sizes are equal. Similarly, if we choose the critical values properly, the lengths of the confidence intervals associated with Gnanadesikan's test are shorter than the lengths of the corresponding confidence intervals associated with the F_{max} test.

Now let β denote the Type II error associated with the test proposed in this paper for testing H against A_2° . When $\bigcap A_{44}^{\circ}$ is true,

$$1 - \beta = P[\mathbf{F}_{ik} \ge c_{ia}; i = 1, 2, ..., k - 1 | \bigcap_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{A}_{ik}^{*}]$$

= $\int_{c_{1,a}}^{\infty} ... \int_{c_{k-1,a}}^{\infty} f(\mathbf{F}_{1,k}, ..., \mathbf{F}_{k-1,k} | \mathbf{H}) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} d\mathbf{F}_{i,k}$

where $\delta_{i,k} = \sigma_i^2 / \sigma_k^2$. It is now evident that $(1-\beta)$ increases as each non-centrality parameter δ_{ik} increases. The above proof can be easily modified when only some of the A_{ik}^2 's are true. We can similarly show that other one-sided test procedures considered in this paper are monotonic increasing functions of the non-centrality parameters.

The test procedures discussed in the present paper are analogous to some special cases of the tests considered in Krishnaiah (1965a, 1965b) for multiple comparisons of means.

108

References

- Armitage, J. V., and Krishnaiah, P. R. (1964). Tebles for the Studentized Largest Chi-Square Distribution and Their Applications. ARL 64-188, Aerospace Research Laboratories, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.
- Beechhofer, R. E., and Sobel, M. (1954). "A single sample multiple decision procedure for ranking variances of normal popule ons." Ann. Math. Statist., 25, 275-286.
- Bozivich, H., Bancroft, T. A., and Hartley, H. O. (1956). "Power of analysis of variance test procedures for certain incompletely specified models, I." Ann. Math. Statist., 27, 1017-1043.
- David, H. A. (1952). "Upper 5 and 1% points of the maximum F-ratio." Biometrika, 39, 422-424.
- Ghosh, M. N. (1955). "Simultaneous tests of linear hypotheses." Biometrika, 42, 441-449.
- Gnanadesikan, R. (1959). "Equality of more than two variances and more than two dispersion matrices against certain alternatives." Ann. Mcth. Statist., 30, 177–184.
- Gupta, S. S., and Sobel, M. (1962). "On the smallest of several correlated F statistics." Biometrika, 49, 509-523.
- Gupta, S. S. (1963). "On a selection and ran.ing procedure for gamma populations." Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., 14, 199-216.
- Hartley, H. O. (1950). "The maximum F-ratio as short-cut test for heterogeneity of variances." Biometrika, 37, 308-312.
- Krishnaiah, P. R., and Armitage, J. V. (1964). Distribution of the Studentized Smallest Chi-Square, with Tables and Applications. ARL 64-218, Aerospace Research Laboratories, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.
- Krishnaiah, P. R. (1965a). "On the simultaneous ANOVA and MANOVA tests." Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., 17, 55-53.
- Krishnaiah, P. R. (1965b). "Multiple comparison tests in multi-response experiments." Sankhyā, 27.
- Ramachandran, K. V. (1956). "On the Tukey test for the equality of means and the Hartley test for the equality of variances." Ann. Math. Statist., 27, 825-831.
- Ramachandran, K. V. (1956). "Contributions to simultaneous confidence interval estimation." Biometrics, 12, 51-56.
- Roy, S. N. (1953). "On a heuristic method of test construction and its uses in multivariate analysis." Ann. Math. Statist., 24, 220-238.