

63

01

C.V

 \mathcal{O}

AD 64

AD

CCL REPORT NO. 216

FINAL REPORT

SINTERED IRON BRAKE CYLINDER PISTONS

BY

CHAPLES B. JORDAN NOVEMBER 1966

DC FEB 6 1967 LIL U

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

U. S. ARMY COATING & CHEMICAL LABORATORY

Aberdeen Proving Ground Maryland

ARCHIVE COPY

ACCESSIO	1 for
CFSTI	W.JTE SECTION
000	BUFF SECTION
USAN ARU	670/
TINS IT IC!	10 per Elalipnin
	471 Cor 1
Isy h	1
DISTR'BU	TON AVAILABILITY CODES
DIST.	AVAIL and or SPECIAL
/	

DDC AVAILABILITY NOTICE

1

Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from Defense Documentation Center, Cameron Station, Alexandria, Virginia 22314

THE FINDINGS IN THIS REPORT ARE NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AS AN OFFICIAL DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY POSITION, UNLESS SO DESIGNATED BY OTHER AUTHORIZED DOCUMENTS.

DESTROY THIS REPORT WHEN IT IS NO LONGER NEEDED. DO NOT RETURN IT TO THE ORIGINATOR.

UNCLASSIFIED

• • •

.

.

. •

٠,

CCL REPORT NO. 216

FINAL REPORT

SINTERED IRON BRAKE CYLINDER PISTONS

BY

CHARLES B. JORDAN

NOVEMBER 1966

AMCMS CODE NO. 5025.11.802

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY PROJECT NO. ICO24401A108

U.S. ARMY COATING AND CHEMICAL LABORATORY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND MARYLAND

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

UNCLASSIFIED

i

ABSTRACT

This study consisted of a comparison of Sintered Iron Pistons versus Aluminum Pistons in hydraulic brake cylinders under conditions encountered in packaging, standby storage, stroking tests and vehicle operation.

While corrosion, staining and gumming are experienced with Aluminum Pistons, corrosion and staining are eliminated and gumming greatly reduced by Sintered Iron Pistons. Operational characteristics are improved with Sintered Iron Pistons as evidenced by reduced metal wear and almost total elimination of sediment in the Hydraulic Brake Fluid.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE	i
ABSTRACT	ii
INTRODUCTION	1
DETAILS OF TEST	1 - 3
RESULTS OF TEST	3 - 4
CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION	4
RECOMMENDATIONS	4
REFERENCES	5
DISTRIBUTION LIST	6 - 8
APPENDIX A	9
Tables I - II	9 - 12
DD FORM 1473	13

111

••

ł

Page No.

I. INTRODUCTION

Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, was authorized by AMC Directive AMCMS Code 5025.11.802 dated September 3, 1965 to conduct research on hydraulic brake fluids.

A parameter encountered during the development of brake fluids is the study of compatibility of the fluid with components of the brake system. The present military brake system contains several dissimilar metals, including tin, steel, aluminum, cast iron, brass and copper. Galvanic cells are present. These cells promote fluid oxidation, corrosion, and gum formation in brake cylinders in storage and on vehicles which are prepositioned or on standby storage. The removal of one of the more reactive metals from the brake system decreases the potential. Therefore, replacing the aluminum pistons with a treated sintered iron piston holds real promise in reducing or eliminating galvanic action caused by untreated aluminum.

A number of Sintered Iron Pistons were obtained during their development stage and were examined for compatibility with Hydraulic Brake Fluids. The tests conducted on these pistons are included in this report.

II. DETAILS OF TEST

A. Sintered Iron Pistons

The sintered iron pistons were supplied by Delco Moraine Division of GMC and are made from iron powder and small quantities of Babbitt. They are sintered, sized, and impregnated with an inhibited synthetic preservative lubricant which is compatible with conventional brake fluids. The porosity of the piston is approximately 20%. The powder is compressed until the final product has a Brinell hardness of about 86. This hardness approximates that of standard aluminum pistons. Exudation of the preservative oil supplies lubrication as well as increased corrosion protection.

B. Tests Conducted

1. Packaging Tests - Brake cylinders containing sintered iron pistons were packaged with 4 different brake fluids and stored in an unheated warehouse. Duplicate cylinders were packaged with aluminum pistons. Periodic examinations were made by removing the right hand piston from each cylinder and replacing it after examination. If a cylinder showed excessive corrosion or gumming, it was discarded and the length of storage time recorded.

The following fluids were used in this test as packaging fluids:

- a. Fluid meeting Federal Specification VV-B-680 (Commercial)
- Fluid meeting Military Specification MIL-H-13910 (Reference formulation)
- c. Fluid meeting Military Specification MIL-H-13910 (Commercial)
- d. Fluid meeting Military Specification MIL-P-46046, Composition 3

2. Simulated Standby Storage Tests - This series of tests involved the use of systems simulating vehicle brake systems. These systems are in the open and subjected to weather and temperature conditions prevalent in this locality. Corrosion and gumming of brake fluids are normally accelerated in these systems and correlates with that found in vehicles on standby storage <- prepositioned status.

Four systems each consisting of one master cylinder and two wheel cylinders were set up. Two systems contained sintered iron wheel cylinder pistons and two contained aluminum pistons. The fluids used were:

- a. Fiuid meeting Federal Specification VV-B-680 (Commercial)
- Fluid meeting Military Specification MIL-P-46046, Composition 1.

3. Stroking Tests - Eight stroking tests were conducted with sintered iron pistons using six different brake fluids. Comparative tests were conducted with aluminum pistons. Tests were conducted on specification stroking equipment for 300,000 strokes at 158°F.

4. Operational Field Tests - Test brake cylinders were installed on nine facility vehicles in use at Yuma Proving Ground, Arizona. Included in the test were three- 1/4 ton, three- 3/4 ton, and three- 2-1/2 ton vehicles. Three of the vehicles (one of each class) were equipped with sistered iron wheel cylinder pistons, three with standard aluminum pistons, and three were equipped with electroless nickel brake cylinders. All other brake components were standard items. Brake lines were finders are filled with all-weather brake fluid meeting MIL-H-139 (Gale Carl vehicle was examined monthly to detect fluid leaks or brake malfunction. Brake applications and mileage figures were recorded.

After one year's service ony cylinder from each vehicle involving sintered iron pistons and aluminum pistons was removed, examined, photographed and reinstalled on the vehicles. The test on the three vehicles equipped with electroless nickel was discontinued.

III. RESULTS OF TEST

1

A. Packaging Tests

Results of inspections after one, two, and three years storage are included in Table I. It was found that the use of sintered iron pistons reduced or eliminated stain, corrosion, and gum formation regardless of the packaging fluid used. Those cylinders packaged with aluminum pistons, and operational fluids, were discarded after one or two years storage due to their inoperable condition. Stain and slight to moderate gum deposits had formed in the cylinders containing aluminum pistons and preservative fluid, MIL-P-46046. All cylinders with sintered iron pistons were still satisfactory after three years.

B. Simulated Standby Storage Tests

Six month inspections were made by opening the boot of one wheel cylinder in each test. The cylinders containing the sintered iron pistons and the operational fluid showed a slight amount of a "greasy deposit". Those containing the sintered iron pistons and the preservative fluid were in perfect condition. The cylinders containing the aluminum pistons and operational fluid showed moderate moist deposits, slight stain and incipient corrosion but were operable. Those containing aluminum pistons and the preservative fluid showed slight deposits but no stain or corrosion. All systems remain in test

C. Stroking Tests

All stroking tests involving sintered iron pistons gave superior results. No malfunctioning occurred. Cylinder walls, pistons, and cups were in excellent condition. All residual brake fluid was free of debris and sedimentation. Comparable tests involving aluminum pistons showed slight to moderate scoring of pistons and cylinder walls and slight chipping and scuffing of cups. Fluid from the tests showed a moderate amount of black debris and slight to excessive amounts of sedimentation. Two of the six fluids tested with aluminum pistons failed the stroking requirements of VV-B-680. All fluids tested with sintered iron passed specification requirements.

D. Operational Field Tests

Results of the one year inspection of the cylinders in the operational field tests at Yuma Proving Ground are included in Table II.

All brake cylinders and pistons in the sintered iron test were in perfect condition. In the cylinders containing aluminum pistons, moderate quantities of deposits had formed. Slight pitting was evident beneath the deposits on the pistons and cylinder wall. The cylinders were operational but trouble could occur in future operation.

Replacement cylinders for this field test had been packaged with the operational fluid and stored in a wooden box in the open at Yuma Proving Ground. These cylinders were inspected after one year's storage. The cylinders containing sintered iron pistons showed slight greasy deposits but no stains or corrosion. Those containing aluminum pistons were operational but showed moderate hard dry deposits with slight pitting on the pistons and very slight pitting on the cylinder walls.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

This application of powdered metallurgy and impregnation imparts chemical stability to this area of the brake system by eliminating a troublesome galvanic cell. As a result, corrosion, staining, and gumming were reduced or eliminated in all tests involving sintered iron pistons versus aluminum pistons. Operational characteristics were improved, as evidenced by reduced metal wear and almost total elimination of fluid sediment. All systems containing sintered iron pistons were superior to those containing aluminum pistons.

The replacement in military vehicle brake systems of aluminum pistons with sintered iron pistons will allow a tremendous advance in the areas of packaging, and storing of brake parts and prepositioning vehicles. Brake system maintenance during operation will be less frequent and brake malfunction will be reduced.

The sintered iron pistons are readily available and cost no more than anodized aluminum pistons.

General Motors Corporation started using sintered iron pistons in some of their 1964 automobiles and at the present time employ them in all GMC vehicles. They have reported that their performance has been superior.

V. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings reported herein, it is recommended that aluminum pistons in the brake wheel cylinders of all military vehicles be replaced with sintered iron pistons in the earliest possible time-frame.

VI. REFERENCES

()

- A. Authority: AMC Directive, AMCMS, Code 5025.11.802 dated Sept. 3, 1965.
- B. Federal Specification, VV-B-680, Brake Fluid Automotive, dated Dec. 15, 1964.
- C. Military Specification, MIL-H-13910A, Hydraulic Fluid, Non-Petroleum Base, Automotive Brake, All-Weather, dated May 15, 1963.
- D. Military Specification, MIL-P-46046, Preservative Fluid Automotive Brake System and Components, dated August 26, 1964.

DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR AMCMS CODE NO. 5025.11.802

No. of Copies Department of Defense 20 Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314 Department of the Army - Technical Service Commanding General U.S. Army Materiel Command 1 ATTN: AMCRD-RC Washington, D. C. 20315 Continental Army Command 3 Department of the Army Fort Monroe, Virginia 23351 Commanding General U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Center 1 ATTN: Mr. J. P. Jones Warren, Michigan 48090 Commanding Officer FrankFord Arsenal ATTN: L7000-64-4 1 Library 1 Philadelphia, Pa. 19137 Commanding Officer U.S. Army Materials Research Agency Watertown Arsenal ATTN: Technical Information Center 2 Watertown, Massachusetts 02172 Commanding Officer 1 Yuma Proving Ground Arizona 85364 Commanding General U.S. Army Weapons Command ATTN: AMSWE-RDR 2 Rock Island, Illinois 61200 Commanding Officer U.S. Army Chemical Research & Development Laboratories ATTN: Librarian 1 Edgewood Arsenal, Maryland 21040

DISTRIBUTION LIST CONTINUED

	<u>No. of Copies</u>
U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Laboratories ATTN: STINFO Branch Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060	2
Commanding Officer Rock Island Arsenal ATTN: Laboratory 9320 Rock Island, Illinois 61200	1
Commanding Officer U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratories ATTN: Mr. R. Eichelberger Mr. J. Sperrazza Aberdcen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005	1
Technical Library Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005	2
Air Force Systems Command ATTN: STLO Bldg. 314, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md.	1
Department of the Navy	
Department of the Navy c/o Navy Liaison Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005	1
Department of the Navy Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons Washington, D. C. 20360	1
Other Government Agencies	
Scientific and Technical Information Facility ATTN: NASA Representative (S-AK/DL) P.O. Box 5700 Bethesda, Maryland 20014	3

DISTRIBUTION LIST CONTINUED

Foreign Address

i

No. of Copies

2

Commander British Army Staff British Embassy 3100 Massachusetts Ave., N. W. Washington, D. C.

Canadian Army Staff Canadian Liaison Office Headquarters, U.S. Army Materiel Command Washington, D. C.

2

APPENDIX A

TABLE I

WAREHOUSE PACKAGING AND STORAGE OF BRAKE WHEEL CYLINDERS WITH SINTERED IRON PISTONS

One Year Inspection

	Fluid	Sintered Iron Pistons	Aluminum Pistons			
1.	VV-B-680 (Synthetic Base)	No stain, corrosion or gum.	Piston frozen in Cylinder-Heavy gum deposits-Cylinder discarded.			
2.	MIL-H-13910A (All-Weather- Reference formu- lation)	No stain, corrosion or or gum.	Slight etching, Slight stain, Moderate gum deposits.			
3.	MIL-H-13910A (All-Weather, Commercial)	Slight stain, no corro- sion or gum.	Piston frozen in Cylinder, Heavy gum deposits – Cylinder discarded.			
4.	MIL-P-46046 (Composition 3)	No stain, corrosion or gum.	Slight stain, Slight gum deposits.			
Two	Year Inspection					
۱.	VV-B-680	No stain, corrosion or gum.				
2.	MIL-H-13910A (Reference formu- lation)	No stain, corrosion, slight greasy deposits.	Slight pitting, Moderate stain, Heavy gum deposits- Cylinder discarded.			
3.	MIL-H-13910A (Commercial)	Slight stain, no cor- rosion, slight greasy deposits.				
4.	MIL-P-46046	No stain, corrosion or or gum.	Slight stains, Slight gum deposits, no corrosion.			

1

-

TABLE I - Cont'd.

WAREHOUSE PACKAGING AND STORAGE OF BRAKE WHEEL CYLINDERS WITH SINTERED IRON PISTONS

Thr	ee Year inspection		A 1		
		Sintered Iron	Aluminum		
-	Fluid	Pistons	Pistons		
1.	VV-B-680	No stain or corrosion, slight to moderate greasy deposits.			
2.	MIL-H-13910A (Reference Formulation)	No stain or corrosion, slight greasy deposits.			
3.	MIL-H-13910A (Commercial)	Slight stain, no corrosion, slight greasy deposits.			
4.	MIL-P-46046	No stain, corrosion or gum.	Slight stain, moderate gum deposits, no corrosion.		

1

TABLE II

ONE YEAR INSPECTION - SINTERED IRON VERSUS ALUMINUM PISTONS YUMA PROVING GROUND, ARIZONA

Vehicle	Type of Piston	Miles Operated	Brake Applic's	Inspection Results
1/4 ton M151 Reg. No. 2G4871	Aluminum	5082	8410	Piscons-grayish black deposits, blight scoring. Cylinder Wall- Normal wear areas Cups - OK Fluid - Black Sediment.
1/4 ton M151 Reg. No. 2F5821	Sintered Iron	6007	32120	Pistons - OK Cyl Wall - Normal Wear areas Cups - OK Fluid - Clear
3/4 ton M-37 Reg. No. 3C1255	Aluminum	6523	34532	Pistons - Mod Hard dry deposits, Slight pitting, Cyl Wall - Slight gritty deposits, Cup - OK Fluid - Black Sedimentation
3/4 ton M-37 Reg. No. 3C1257	Sintered Iron	6962	20972	Pistons - OK Cyl Wall - Normal Wear Areas Cups - OK Fluid - Clear
2-1/2 ton M-49 Reg. No. 8015824	Aluminum	ĩ 208	3478	Pistons - Slight dry deposits, slight gouged area on one piston. Cyl Wall - Very slight to slight pitting. Cup - OK Fluid - Slight black sedimentation.

11

· · · · ·

TABLE II - Cont'd.

サラー の 日本福田寺学の 三

Vehicle	Type of	Miles	Brake	Inspection
	Piston	Operated	Applic's	Results
2-1/2 ton M-35 USA 4C9839	Sintered Iron	607	1979	₽istons - OK Cyl Wall - OK Cups - OK Fluid - Clear

1

ONE YEAR INSPECTION - SINTERED IRON VERSUS ALUMINUM PISTONS YUMA PROVING GROUND, ARIZONA

Security classification of title, body of abstract and		R&D	the overall report is cleasified)	
ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) U.S. Army Coating and Chemical Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryla	Laboratory nd	20. REPO	hen the overall report is classified) EPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
REPORT TITLE SINTERED IRON BRAKE CYLINDER PI	STONS		<u></u>	
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive date Final report AUTHOR(5) (Last name, first name, initial)	a)			
Jordan, charles B.				
November 1966	78. TOTAL NO. 01	PAGES	76. NO., OF REFS	
. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	Se. ORIGINATOR'S	REPORT NU	MBER(S)	
A PROMETRY CODE No. 5025.11.802	CCL REP	DRT #216		
c. IC024401A108	96. OTHER REPOI	PORT NO(S) (Any other sumbers that may be as		
Qualified requesters may obtain Center. Distribution of this de SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	copies of this ocument is unlim	report fr ited.	om Defense Documentati	
	U. S. Army Materiel Command Washington, D. C. 20315			
	westringto	n, U. C.	20315	
ABSTRACT This study consisted of a Aluminum Pistons in hydraulic b in packaging, standby storage, While corrosion, staining Pistons, corrosion and staining by Sintered Iron Pistons. Oper Sintered Iron Pistons as eviden elimination of sediment in the	comparison of Sin rake cylinders un stroking tests and and gumming are are eliminated ational characte ced by reduced m Hydraulic Brake	ntered in nder cond nd vehici experience and gummi ristics a etal wear Fluid.	20315 Fon Pistons versus litions encountered e operation. The with Aluminum ng greatly reduced are improved with the and almost total	
ABSTRACT This study consisted of a Aluminum Pistons in hydraulic b in packaging, standby storage, While corrosion, staining Pistons, corrosion and staining by Sintered Iron Pistons. Oper- Sintered Iron Pistons as eviden elimination of sediment in the	comparison of Si rake cylinders un stroking tests and and gumming are are eliminated ational characte ced by reduced m Hydraulic Brake	ntered in nder cond nd vehici experience and gummi ristics a etal wear Fluid.	20315 Fon Pistons versus litions encountered e operation. The with Aluminum ing greatly reduced are improved with the and almost total	
ABSTRACT This study consisted of a Aluminum Pistons in hydraulic b in packaging, standby storage, While corrosion, staining Pistons, corrosion and staining by Sintered Iron Pistons. Oper Sintered Iron Pistons as eviden elimination of sediment in the N PORM 1473	comparison of Sir rake cylinders un stroking tests and and gumming are are eliminated ational characte ced by reduced m Hydraulic Brake	ntered in nder cond nd vehici experience and gummi ristics a etal wear Fluid.	20315 Fon Pistons versus litions encountered e operation. The with Aluminum ing greatly reduced are improved with the and almost total	

Unclassified Security Classification

14. C. A.

3

2000

14.	KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINKC		
		ROLE	ΨT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	
	Sintered Iron Pistons hydraulic brake cylinders packaging standby storage stroking tests corrosion gumming metal wear Hydraulic Brake Fluid							
	INSTRUCTIONS	5						

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.

2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. **REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, 1.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

76. NUMBER OF REFERENCES. Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

85, 8c, 8 8d. PRCJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(5): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

96. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the aponsor), also enter this number(s). 10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

2

1.2

٠.

COLORNAL ST.

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.

13. ABSTRACT Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear claewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (75), (5), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14 KEY BORDS. Key words are technically meaningful terms or sh.: phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selecter so that no security classification is required. Idenfiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.

Security Classification