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FOREWORD

This report was prepared by Mr. Nicholas R. Rotta, Research Assistant.
The contract number under which this investigation has been carried out is
NONR285(63) entitled, "Analytical and Experimental Investigations of Viscous
Interactions at Low Reynolds Numbers".
ABSTRACT

The effect of nose blunting on the boundary layer characteristics over the conical part of a body is investigated. The boundary layer parameters $\delta^*$, $\overline{\delta}$, $Re_\theta$, $Nu/(Re_{ol})^{1/2}$ are found as functions of the similarity parameter $s/Re_\infty^{1/3}$, and the boundary layer equations are integrated numerically. The resulting profiles are general, being independent of unit freestream Reynolds number and nose radius. The effect of bluntness on transition is investigated. Using the variation of Reynolds number based on the momentum thickness in the swallowing region as an indicator, the type of transition likely to occur, i.e., blunt body $Re_\theta \approx 340$ or conical transition $Re_\theta \approx 700$, is examined. The range of unit freestream Reynolds number for which conical transition will occur is identified specifically for the family of blunted conical bodies of $8^\circ$ half angle at Mach 10. Based on the transition data, the heat transfer is calculated for regions of the swallowing process for which the boundary layer is laminar.

The results indicate a reduction of heat transfer is associated with nose bluntness and can be significant downstream of the nose region if the body nose radius is chosen to make the swallowing distance approximately twice that of the body surface length.
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### LIST OF SYMBOLS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>defined by Eq. 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>constant by integration Eq. 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>ratio: $\frac{R_e}{R_{eol}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_p$</td>
<td>specific heat at constant pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{dT}$</td>
<td>drag coefficient of spherical nose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>$\frac{u_\infty \rho_\infty \mu_\infty^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(h_{ol}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho_{ol} \mu_{ol}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>transformed stream function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>$\frac{h_{o}}{h_{oe}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>enthalpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j</td>
<td>defined by Eq. 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>thermal conductivity coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mach number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_u$</td>
<td>Nusselt number $= \frac{C_{poe} R_{eol} \theta'}{k_{oe} (h_{oe} - h_{\infty})}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{q}$</td>
<td>heat transfer rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_r$</td>
<td>Prandtl number $= \frac{C_p \mu}{k}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>gas constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>radial body coordinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_o$</td>
<td>nose radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{e\infty}$</td>
<td>Reynolds number $= \frac{\rho_{\infty} u_{\infty} R_0}{\mu_{\infty}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{eol}$</td>
<td>Reynolds number $= \frac{\rho_{ol} \left(h_{ol}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} R_0}{\mu_{ol}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{eos}$</td>
<td>Reynolds number $= \frac{\rho_{ol} \left(h_{ol}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} s}{\mu_{ol}}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\( s' \) distance along body surface from the stagnation point

\( \tilde{s}' = s'/R_o \)

\( S \) distance along body surface measured from the sharp cone vertex

\( \tilde{S} = S/R_o \)

\( S_c \) swallowing distance

\( \gamma \) ratio of specific heats

\( \delta_c \) conical shock angle

\( \delta^* \) normalized boundary layer displacement thickness, \( \delta/R_o \)

\( \eta \) Lees transverse coordinate

\( \theta_B \) cone half angle

\( \tilde{\theta} \) normalized momentum thickness, \( \theta/R_o \)

\( \mu \) dynamic viscosity

\( \rho \) density

**Subscripts**

\( o \) stagnation conditions

\( e \) local conditions at boundary layer edge

\( c \) inviscid sharp cone conditions

\( \infty \) freestream conditions

\( l \) conditions behind normal shock

\( s \) conditions corresponding to blunted cone shoulder

\( T \) conditions corresponding to boundary layer transition

\( w \) conditions at the body surface

\( - \) overbar denotes non-dimensionalization with respect to \( R_o \), or freestream conditions
INTRODUCTION

The entropy layer caused by the curved shock associated with nose blunting provides a rotational external stream through which the boundary layer develops. As pointed out by Ferri and Libby the interaction between the rotational external flow and the viscous flow near the wall may in some instances invalidate the classical boundary layer approach. This interaction effect becomes important when the vorticity of the external stream is on the same order as the average vorticity in the boundary layer (Ref 2). These conditions may exist for example in the combination of low Reynolds number (low boundary layer vorticity) and high Mach number (high external stream vorticity due to the highly curved shock). This problem was investigated for heat transfer in the nose region in Ref. 3 and it was found that a modification of the classical boundary layer external boundary conditions was necessary to satisfactorily describe the flow, even at very low Reynolds numbers. At higher Reynolds number the effect of the free-stream vorticity on the boundary layer profile becomes negligible and the results of a classical boundary layer analysis may closely approximate the true physical situation. For this set of conditions, calculation of heat transfer and shear can be carried out in a consistent scheme accounting for the variation of the boundary layer edge conditions caused by the curved shock. The additional simplifying assumption of boundary layer similarity can be introduced when the analysis concerns the case of the highly-cooled wall. Indeed, for this case Lees showed that the velocity gradient term in the transformed boundary layer equation may be neglected and the resulting equation is the Blasius equation for the flat plate. In reference 5, exactly this type of analysis is carried out to determine the conditions at the edge of the boundary layer. In the present report, the method is generalized and extended to include the quantitative effects of shock curvature on the laminar heat transfer.
Fluid particles having crossed the curved shock at different shock inclinations carry with them through the inviscid entropy layer different entropies and stagnation pressures. By identifying the streamline shock coordinate and the body coordinate corresponding to the point at which the streamline enters the boundary layer, the conditions at the boundary layer edge can be determined. Experimental evidence shows that the static pressure on the conical surface of blunted cones is virtually constant at distances greater than 20 nose radii downstream of the shoulder and is equal to the sharp cone value. Use is made of this observation in the calculation of the boundary layer edge conditions.

The author takes this opportunity to thank Dr. V. Zakkay for suggesting this investigation and for his guidance in the work reported here.
METHOD OF ANALYSIS

The mass flow through the laminar boundary layer on an axisymmetric
body at zero angle of attack is given by,

$$\dot{\omega} = 2\pi \int_0^\delta \rho u dy'$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

introducing Lees variables,

$$\eta = \frac{\rho e u r y'}{(2S_L)^{1/2}} \rho_d y'$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\tilde{S}_{L} = \int_0^\delta u e \rho e u r^2 ds'$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

(1) becomes

$$\dot{\omega} = 2\pi (2\tilde{S}_{L})^{1/2} f(\eta_e)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

considering streamtubes entering the shock at a distance y from the axis of
symmetry (Fig. 1)

$$y^* = \frac{2(2\tilde{S}_{L})^{1/2} f(\eta_e)}{u_\infty \rho_\infty}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

introducing the non-dimensional variable \( \tilde{S} \) defined by

$$\tilde{S} = \frac{\tilde{S}_{L}}{u_\infty \rho_\infty R_0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

the non-dimensional shock coordinate \( \tilde{y} \equiv \frac{y}{R_0} \) is given by

$$\tilde{y} = \left[ 2^{3/2} f(\eta_e) R_0^{1/2} \tilde{S}^{-1/2} \right] \tilde{S}_{L}^{-1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where

$$R_{e\infty} \equiv \frac{u_\infty \rho_\infty R_0}{\mu_\infty}$$

defining the coefficient \( a \equiv 2^{3/2} f(\eta_e) R_0^{1/2} \)

$$\tilde{y} = aS^{1/4}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$\tilde{S}_{L} = \int_0^\delta u e \rho e u r^2 ds + \int_{\tilde{S}_{L}}^\infty u e \rho e u r^2 ds$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)
For blunted cones of a given cone angle and freestream Mach number, the function $u_e\rho_e u_e$ along the boundary layer edge is a function of the inclination of the shock. Assuming similar shock shapes for similar bodies and that the pressure on the conical portion of the body ($\bar{s} \geq \cot \theta_B$) is constant and equal to the sharp cone pressure, $u_e\rho_e u_e$ is a function only of the non-dimensional shock coordinate $\tilde{y}$.

$$
\frac{\tilde{s}}{a} = \cot \theta_B
$$

for $\tilde{s} \geq \cot \theta_B$

$$
\left(\frac{\tilde{s}}{a}\right)^4 = \int_0^{\tilde{s}} u_e\rho_e u_e r^2 ds = \int_0^{\tilde{s}} u_e\rho_e u_e r^2 ds + \int_0^{\tilde{s}} u_e\rho_e u_e r^2 ds'
$$

(11)

A technique for evaluating (14) graphically has been given by Rubin to obtain the edge conditions as a function of $\tilde{s}$. With the equation in this form, real gas effects may be computed by evaluating $j(\tilde{y})$ using the real gas oblique shock relations and the real gas effects in the expansion to the inviscid cone surface. The following analysis assumes a perfect gas with $\gamma = 1.4$.

$$
\frac{\tilde{y}^3}{a^4} = \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\eta_0} \right) \sin^2 \theta_B \int_{y_s}^{\tilde{y}} \frac{\tilde{y}^3}{j(\tilde{y})} dy
$$

(13)

$$
\frac{\tilde{y}^3}{a^4} = \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\eta_0} \right) \sin^2 \theta_B \int_{y_s}^{\tilde{y}} \frac{\tilde{y}^3}{j(\tilde{y})} dy
$$

(14)

The first term on the right hand side of (15) is an exact form of the similarity parameter for the swallowing process. If the pressure distribution on the spherical cap is assumed to be a modified Newtonian and is used in computation of the value of $\tilde{y}_s$, it is found that for $Re_{\infty} > 10,000$, the effects of $\tilde{y}_s$ and $\frac{\cot \theta_B}{Re_{\infty}}$ are negligible for $\theta_B = 5^\circ$ to $20^\circ$. Thus, the form of the similarity parameter becomes,
\[
\frac{\tilde{S}^3}{R_{e\infty}} = \frac{3}{2} \int_{(\tilde{y})}^{1} \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta_B} \int_{0}^{\tilde{y}} y^3 \ dy
\]

or its equivalent forms \( \tilde{S}/R_{e\infty}^{1/3}, \tilde{S}/R_{e\infty}^{1/4} \), where,

\[
\frac{\tilde{S}}{R_{e\infty}^{1/4}} = \frac{\tilde{S}^{3-1/4}}{R_{e\infty}}
\]

If Eq. (16) is integrated, the functional relationship between \( \tilde{S} \) and \( \tilde{y} \) is established and the conditions at the boundary layer edge are expressible directly as functions of the surface coordinate \( \tilde{S} \) or for general freestream conditions as functions of the similarity parameter \( \tilde{S}/R_{e\infty}^{1/3} \). The integration of (16) is performed numerically using the correlated shock shape of Ref. 8.

\[
\tilde{y} = 1.424 \cos \theta_B \left[ C_{DT} 0.5 \left( \frac{\bar{x}}{\cos \theta_B} \right) \right]^{0.46}
\]

where

\[
C_{DT} = 2.0 - \cos^2 \theta_B
\]

The value of \( \tilde{y} \) where the shock takes the conical angle

\[
\delta_c = \arcsin \left\{ \frac{1}{M_{\infty}} \left[ 4.0 + 1.01 (M_{\infty} \sin \theta_B - 3.43) \right] \right\}
\]

is taken as the upper limit of integration \( \tilde{y}_c \) and is given by:

\[
\tilde{y}_c = \cos \theta_B \left\{ 9.84 C_{DT} \left[ \frac{1}{\sin^2 \delta_c} - 1 \right] \right\}^{0.426}
\]

Comparison of this shock shape with photographs of the shock show very good agreement with the actual shock in the region of interest \( 0 \leq \tilde{y} \leq \tilde{y}_c \).

The results of this computation expressing the boundary layer edge conditions as functions of the similarity parameter for several values of cone angles and freestream Mach numbers are given in Figs. 2 and 3.

For lower Reynolds number flow and for bodies of large nose blunting where the region \( \tilde{S} \approx \cot \theta_B \) is of interest, the similarity parameter \( \tilde{S}/R_{e\infty}^{1/3} \).
breaks down. In this case, if the shock shape is known, Eq. (9) may be integrated from the stagnation point along the body with the streamline stagnation pressure determined by iteration from the previous point.

Having established the boundary layer edge conditions downstream of the shoulder to be functions of the parameter $\tilde{s}/Re^{1/3}$, the assumption of similar boundary layer profiles enables the boundary layer properties $\delta^*$, $\delta$, $Re_\delta$ to be expressed in a general way, applicable to a family of blunted conical bodies. From the standard definitions, obtain,

$$
\delta^* = Re^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(2\tilde{s}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\rho_e u_e r} \frac{\gamma-1}{2} \int_0^\infty \left[\tilde{f}'(\tilde{f}')^2\right] d\eta \tag{18}
$$

$$
\tilde{\delta} = Re^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(2\tilde{s}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\rho_e u_e r} \int_0^\infty \left[f'-(f')^2\right] d\eta \tag{19}
$$

$$
Re_\delta = Re^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(2\tilde{s}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\rho_e u_e} \int_0^\infty \left[f'-(f')^2\right] d\eta \tag{20}
$$

From (18), (19) and (20) it can be established that the boundary layer parameters $\delta^* Re^{1/3}$, $\tilde{\delta} Re^{1/3}$, $Re_\delta Re^{2/3}$ are functions of the similarity parameter $\tilde{s}/Re^{1/3}$. The results of numerical calculations expressing these parameters as functions of the similarity parameter for several values of freestream Mach numbers for a cone of half angle 15° are given in Figs. 4, 5 and 6. The effect of blunting on $Re_\delta$ at different $Re^{1/3}$ is shown in Figs. 7 and 8.

At hypersonic speeds, where effects of the external stream gradients on stability are as yet uncertain, the knowledge of $Re_\text{ex}$ or $Re_\delta$ alone along the body surface may not be enough to predict the onset of transition. As pointed out most recently by Stetson and Rushton, when transition appears on the body between the shoulder and the swallowing distance, $Re_\delta T$ will vary from a blunt body value of approximately 340 to a conical body value of approximately 700. From shock tunnel experiments conical transition
will occur when $R_{e\theta} < 340$ at $S \geq 0.3S_c$ and blunt body transition will occur when $R_{e\theta} > 340$ at $S \leq 0.03S_c$.

With the generality afforded by the results in Fig. 6, the range of $R_{e\theta}$ for which conical and blunt body transition will occur can be found as a function of unit freestream Reynolds number and degree of blunting. The results are given in Figs. 8a and 8b, for the specific family of $8^\circ$ blunted cones at $M_\infty = 5.5$. The extension of these results to include the effects of cone angle and Mach number must necessarily await additional experimental transition data at different Mach numbers and cone angles.

Fig. 8b indicates that the effects of bluntness on transition are very important in the design of hypersonic flight vehicles. For example, at Mach 5.5 and $\theta_B = 8^\circ$ at 100,000 ft., a vehicle with a three inch nose radius will undergo transition at some point along the surface between 4.6 ft. and 20.8 ft., corresponding to $R_{e\theta}$ of 340 and 680 respectively. Therefore, the assumption of one type of transition for a body having a surface length of between 4 and 20 ft. can result in error over a large part of the conical surface.

Nagamatsu et al. report transition on a blunted cone of .2 in. radius $5^\circ$ cone half angle, $M_\infty = 11$ with the stagnation conditions, $T_0 = 1400^\circ$K, $P_0 = 1300$ psia. Transition is observed to occur at a distance of 18.72 in. from the cone tip. With the same conditions but at $P_0 = 890$ psia, no transition is observed within the distance of 45.38 inches. Determination of the $R_{e\theta}$ for these conditions indicates that at $P_0 = 890$ $R_{e\theta}$ is below 700 for the entire length and since the flow is laminar, it may be assumed that these conditions are in the conical transition region. At 1300 psia, $R_{e\theta}$ is about 340 at 18.72 in from the tip, where transition is observed. These calculations lead to the conclusion that in going from $R_{e\theta} = 0.8 \times 10^4$ to $R_{e\theta} = 0.13 \times 10^5$, the transition moves from conical to blunt body type. This suggests, moreover, that the influence of Mach number and cone angle on the type of transition is significant.
By assuming a linear Mach number variation along the conical surface, Zakkay and Krause\(^5\) obtained the expression:

\[
\tilde{S}_c = \left[ \frac{3}{2} \frac{(R/\gamma)^{1/2} \rho_{\infty}^{1/2}}{\lambda P_c (3M_c + M_s) \ell^2 (\eta) \sin^2 \theta_B} \tilde{y}_c R_c \right]^{1/3}
\]  

(21)

for the extent of the bluntness effects (i.e. the swallowing distance).

Cast in the form of the present analysis, this expression is:

\[
\frac{\tilde{S}_c}{R_{\infty}^{1/3}} = \frac{1.5 M_c \tilde{y}_c}{\tilde{P}_{\infty}^{1/3} (\eta) \sin^2 \theta_B (3M_c + M_s)^{1/3}}
\]  

(22)

or dimensionally as

\[
\frac{P_{\infty}^{1/3} S_c}{R_{\infty}^{4/3} (\rho_{\infty} u_{\infty})^{2/3}} = \left[ \frac{3}{2} (R/\gamma)^{1/2} \frac{P_{\infty}}{P_c \lambda (3M_c + M_s) \ell^2 (\eta) \sin^2 \theta_B} \tilde{y}_c \right]^{1/3}
\]  

(23)

Eq. (21) or (22) can be used to conveniently express the swallowing distance as a function of the degree of blunting and freestream conditions. The results for several cone angles are given in Fig. 9 in comparison with the swallowing distance computed by numerically integrating (16) to the upper limit \(\tilde{y}_c\). Where \(\tilde{y}_c\) is defined as the non-dimensional shock coordinate at which the shock is nearly conical. Specifically, Ref. 9, uses the value of \(\tilde{y}_c\) where the shock angle yields a Mach number on the inviscid cone of .95 \(M_c\). An examination of the integrand of (16) indicates that the value of \(\tilde{S}_c / R_{\infty}^{1/3}\) is strongly dependent on the value of \(\tilde{y}_c\). The critical nature of the value of \(\tilde{y}_c\) is evident in the approximate form of the swallowing distance parameter given by Eq. (22) where \(\tilde{y}_c\) appears as the fourth power relative to the other terms in the expression. Physically this expresses the fact that most of the flow in the boundary layer near the swallowing point has entered the shock at values of \(\tilde{y}\) near \(\tilde{y}_c\). This implies that the shape of the shock in the \(\tilde{y}_c\) region is more important than the shape
of the shock at smaller values of $\tilde{y}$, for the determination of $\bar{S}_c$. Thus, if the shock is assumed to have the constant conical angle throughout ($M_s$ replaced by $M_c$ in Eq. 22), and the correct value of $\tilde{y}_c$ from the curved shock retained, the approximate Eq. 22 simplifies to:

$$\frac{\bar{S}_c}{R_{e\omega}^{1/3}} = \left[ \frac{1.5\tilde{y}_c M_c}{P_c/P_e^{2/3}(\eta) \sin^2 \theta_B (4M_c)} \right]^{-1/3}$$

(24)

the results shown on Fig. 9 indicate that Eq. (24) approximates the shock shape more closely in the region near $\tilde{y}_c$ than does Eq. (22) (since the Mach number will approach $M_c$ asymptotically, as shown in Fig. 2). The importance of approximating the shock shape near $\tilde{y}_c$ rather than an overall approximation is shown in Fig. 9 where the approximation (22) is seen to be in closer agreement with the numerical integration. The role of the shock shape in the region near $\tilde{y}_c$ in the calculation of $\bar{S}_c$ lies at the root of the discrepancy between the results of this method and the momentum integral method of Wilson$^{10,11}$.
HEAT TRANSFER

The variation of the heat transfer along the conical portion of the body is due to the local surface coordinate and the external stream conditions at the boundary layer edge affecting the physical plane temperature gradient at the wall. The effect of the external stream vorticity on the boundary layer profile is assumed to be negligible due to the Reynolds number to which the present analysis is applied. The heat transfer is calculated in the non-dimensional form \( \frac{Nu}{(Re_{eol})^{1/2}} \) where the Nusselt number and the Reynolds number are defined with respect to the normal shock stagnation conditions.

\[
\begin{align*}
Nu &= \frac{C_{poe} \rho_{oe} q}{k_{oe} (T_{oe} - T_{ow})} \\
Re_{eol} &= \frac{(T_{oe})^{1/2} \rho_{o1} R_{o1}}{\mu_{oe}}
\end{align*}
\]

The heat transfer parameter \( \frac{Nu}{(Re_{eol})^{1/2}} \) in terms of the analysis becomes,

\[
\frac{Nu}{(Re_{eol})^{1/2}} = 0.333 D^{1/3} \bar{P}_{e}^{1/3} \frac{u_{e}^{*} T_{e}^{*}}{S_{w}}
\]

where

\[
D = \left[ \frac{u_{e}^{*} \rho_{o1} T_{o1}}{(T_{o1})^{1/2} \rho_{o1} \mu_{o1}} \right]^{1/2}
\]

and \( \bar{P}_{e} \) is an average Prandtl number across the boundary layer. In obtaining the form of Eq. (24), the conclusion of Lees for the cold wall

\[
\frac{g_{w}^{*}}{1 - g_{w}^{*}} = 0.47 \bar{P}_{e}^{1/3}
\]

is used.

The results of the numerical evaluation of (25) for a cone of 15° half angle at freestream Mach number of 20, is presented in Fig. 10. Shown also, are the limiting values obtained by assuming normal shock and conical shock conditions to exist at the boundary layer edge.
The influence of shock curvature on heat transfer as illustrated in Fig. 10 for conditions of $M_\infty = 20$, $Re_{\infty}$ (per ft.) = $1.0 \times 10^5$ is representative of the quantitative influence of shock curvature on the heat transfer to the portion of the body downstream of the shoulder.

Examining the variable terms appearing on the right hand side of (25)

$$-u_e \rho_e u_e' = f_1 \left( \frac{s}{Re_{\infty}} \right)^{1/3}$$

$$r = Re_{\infty}^{1/3} \left( \frac{s}{Re_{\infty}} \right)^{1/3} \sin \theta_B$$

$$\bar{s}^2 = \frac{Re_{\infty}^{1/2}}{2^{3/2} f(\eta)} \bar{y} \left( \frac{s}{Re_{\infty}} \right)^{1/3}$$

Eq. (25) is seen to have the form,

$$\frac{Nu}{(Re_{\infty})^{1/2}} = Re_{\infty}^{-1/6} F_1 \left( \frac{s'}{Re_{\infty}} \right)^{1/3}$$  (28)

where

$$F_1 \left( \frac{s'}{Re_{\infty}} \right) = 0.333 D^2 \bar{P}_r^{1/3} \sin \theta_B^{3/2} f(\eta) \frac{\bar{y} \bar{s}'}{Re_{\infty}^{1/3}} \frac{f_1 \left( \frac{s'}{Re_{\infty}} \right)^{1/3}}{\bar{y} \left( \frac{s'}{Re_{\infty}} \right)^{1/3}}$$

Thus, the heat transfer parameter $Nu/(Re_{\infty})^{1/3}$ is a function of the similarity parameter $s/Re_{\infty}$ and by Eq. (17) also of the similarity parameter $s'/Re_{\infty}$.

The value of $Nu/(Re_{\infty})^{1/3}$ as a function of $s'/Re_{\infty}$ represents the effect of the shock curvature on the laminar heat transfer along the conical surface for a family of blunted cones. The heat transfer calculations for a family of cones at various values of the freestream Mach number are presented in Fig. 12.

Calculation of the heat transfer on the conical part of the body based on constant external stream stagnation properties corresponding to normal shock or conical shock conditions can be made in the cases of high $Re_{\infty}$ or low $Re_{\infty}$ or respectively. Essentially, if the surface coordinate is small with respect to the swallowing distance (high $Re_{\infty}$), normal shock stagnation con-
ditions will exist at the boundary layer edge and similarly, if the surface coordinate is large with respect to the swallowing distance, conical shock stagnation conditions may be assumed to prevail. It follows, that bluntness effects will be important when the surface coordinate is on the same order as the swallowing distance and in these cases, the heat transfer should be calculated by accounting for the variation in external stream properties. The typical heat transfer results accounting for bluntness effects, is shown in Fig. 10 with respect to the limits of normal and conical shock stagnation conditions. Qualitatively, Fig. 10 indicates that the choice of nose radius will influence downstream heat transfer at sections whose position with respect to the swallowing distance is shifted from $>0.5s_c$ to $<0.5s_c$ as nose radius is increased. These conditions are approximated in the conditions of Fig. 11 where the results of downstream heat transfer are shown as the result of an increase in nose radius from 1.2 to 3 inches.

The laminar heat transfer results must of course be accepted only when transition can be assumed to occur downstream. In light of the previous discussion of transition for the case of the condition of Fig. 8, when a rough extrapolation of results is assumed valid*, conical transition may be expected to prevail in both cases, and transition will occur downstream of the region indicated.

*And this is by no means apparent - as indicated, more transition data at different $M_\infty$ and $\Theta_B$ must be accumulated before Fig. 8a can be generalized for $M_\infty$ and $\Theta_B$.  
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RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

The general boundary layer parameters $\delta^*, \theta, R_e \theta, \frac{Nu}{(R_e \theta)^{1/2}}$ are found and presented for a family of correlated cones and shock shapes at Mach numbers from 5 to 20, for distances along the conical surface corresponding to the swallowing distance. The effects of nose bluntness on transition and heat transfer are judged in terms of the swallowing distance, for which a simplified approximation is presented (Eq. 24). Based on the general $R_e \theta$ results along the conical surface, regions of $R_e$ for which conical transition ($R_e \theta T = 700$) and blunt body transition ($R_e \theta T = 340$) can be expected is presented in Fig. 8a. For family of 8° cones at $M_\infty = 5.5$ the reversal region will occur for $0.216 \times 10^5 < R_e \theta < 0.26 \times 10^6$. The need for additional experimental studies to extend the results to account for $M_\infty$ and cone angle effects on transition is indicated. The investigation of heat transfer accounting for shock curvature effects shows that the laminar heat transfer to the conical part of the body can be influenced by the degree of nose blunting when the nose radius is increased enough to shift that part of the body from $>0.5s_c$ to $<0.5s_c$. 
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FIG. 2 MACH NUMBER DISTRIBUTION ($M_e$ vs. SIMILARITY PARAMETER $\bar{S}/Re^{1/3}$)
FIG. 3 MACH NUMBER DISTRIBUTION ($M_e$ vs. SIMILARITY PARAMETER $\bar{S}/Re_\infty^{1/3}$), $M_\infty = 15$
FIG. 4 DISPLACEMENT THICKNESS PARAMETER $\frac{\delta^*}{\delta R_{\infty}^{1/3}}$ AS A FUNCTION OF THE SIMILARITY PARAMETER $\frac{\delta}{\delta R_{\infty}^{1/3}}$, $15^\circ$ CONE HALF ANGLE
FIG. 5 MOMENTUM THICKNESS PARAMETER \( \frac{S}{R_{\infty}} \) AS A FUNCTION OF THE SIMILARITY PARAMETER \( \frac{S R}{u_{\infty}} \), 15° CONE HALF ANGLE.
FIG. 7a MOMENTUM THICKNESS REYNOLDS NUMBER ($\mathcal{R}_{e\theta}$) DISTRIBUTION FOR BLUNT AND SHARP 10° HALF ANGLE CONE ($\mathcal{R}_{e\theta}$ vs. $\tilde{s}$), UNIT FREESTREAM REYNOLDS NUMBER $= 10^5$
FIG. 7b MOMENTUM THICKNESS REYNOLDS NUMBER ($R_{e\theta}$) DISTRIBUTION FOR BLUNT
AND SHARP 10° HALF ANGLE CONE ($R_{e\theta}$ vs. $\bar{s}$), UNIT FREESTREAM REYNOLDS NUMBER = $10^4$
FIG. 8a REGIONS OF CONICAL AND BLUNT BODY TRANSITION IN TERMS OF UNIT FREESTREAM REYNOLDS NUMBER AND NOSE RADIUS, $M_\infty = 8$, CONE HALF ANGLE ($\theta_B$) = 5.5°
FIG. 8b REGIONS OF CONICAL AND BLUNT BODY TRANSITION IN TERMS OF FLIGHT ALTITUDE AND NOSE RADIUS, $M = 8$, CONE HALF ANGLE ($\theta_b$) = 5.5°.
FIG. 9  SWALLOWING DISTANCE PARAMETER $\frac{\bar{S}_c}{Re_{\infty}^{1/3}}$ AS A FUNCTION OF FREESTREAM MACH NUMBER, $(\bar{S}_c/Re_{\infty}^{1/3})$ vs. $M_{\infty}$
FIG. 10  HEAT TRANSFER DISTRIBUTION (\(\frac{Nu}{(Re_{	ext{col}})^{\frac{1}{2}}}\) vs. \(\bar{s}\)), \(M_{\infty} = 20\), \(\theta_{B} = 10^\circ\), UNIT FREESTREAM REYNOLDS NUMBER = 10^5
FIG. 11  EFFECTS OF NOSE RADIUS ON DOWNSTREAM HEAT TRANSFER ($\dot{q}_w$ vs. $s$), $M_\infty = 10$, $\theta_B = 5^\circ$ UNIT FREESTREAM REYNOLDS NUMBER = $0.73 \times 10^5$
FIG. 12a  HEAT TRANSFER PARAMETER $\frac{Nu}{(Re_{o1})^{1/3}}$ AS A FUNCTION OF THE SIMILARITY PARAMETER $\frac{S}{Re_{\infty}^{1/3}}$, $\theta_B = 5^\circ$
FIG. 12b  HEAT TRANSFER PARAMETER \( \frac{Nu}{(Re_{\infty})^{1/3}} \) AS A FUNCTION OF THE SIMILARITY PARAMETER \( \frac{S}{Re_{\infty}^{1/3}} \),

\( \theta_B = 10^\circ \)
FIG. 12c HEAT TRANSFER PARAMETER $\frac{Nu}{(Re_{\infty})^{1/3}}$ AS A FUNCTION OF THE SIMILARITY PARAMETER

$\frac{\bar{S}}{Re_{\infty}^{1/3}}$, $\theta_b = 15^\circ$
FIG. 12d HEAT TRANSFER PARAMETER $\frac{\text{Nu}}{\sqrt[3]{\text{Re}_o^{1/3}}}$ AS A FUNCTION OF THE SIMILARITY PARAMETER $\frac{\tilde{s}}{\text{Re}_e^{1/3}}$, $\theta_B = 20^\circ$
The effect of nose blunting on the boundary layer characteristics over the conical part of a body is investigated. The boundary layer parameters $\delta^{*}$, $\delta$, $R_e$, $N_u/(R_{eo})^{1/2}$ are found as functions of the similarity parameter $s/R_{eo}^{1/3}$, and the boundary layer equations are integrated numerically. The resulting profiles are general, being independent of unit freestream Reynolds number and nose radius. The effect of bluntness on transition is investigated. Using the variation of Reynolds number based on the momentum thickness in the swallowing region as an indicator, the type of transition likely to occur, i.e., blunt body $R_{e\theta} \approx 340$ or conical transition $R_{e\theta} \approx 700$, is examined. The range of unit freestream Reynolds number for which conical transition will occur is identified specifically for the family of blunted conical bodies of $8^\circ$ half angle at Mach 10. Based on the transition data, the heat transfer is calculated for regions of the swallowing process for which the boundary layer is laminar.

The results indicate a reduction of heat transfer is associated with nose bluntness and can be significant downstream of the nose region if the body nose radius is chosen to make the swallowing distance approximately twice that of the body surface length.
bluntness effects
laminar boundary layer
conical bodies
hypersonic
vorticity interaction
heat transfer
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