AD 637953

THE STUDY OF A SHELTER COOLING SYSTEM USING METHANOL AS THE HEAT SINK AND AS THE FUEL

Office of Civil Defense Work Unit 1422A

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE Columbus Laboratories 505 King Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43201



June, 1966

Distribution of this document is unlimited.

20050218113

SEP 1 2 1966

ت ت ت ت ت D Battelle Memorial Institute · COLUMBUS LABORATORIES

505 KING AVENUE COLUMBUS, OHIO 43201 · AREA CODE 614, TELEPHONE 299-3151 · CABLE ADDRESS: BATMII

August, 19

Dear Sir:

Enclosed is Battelle's research report, "The Study of a Shelter Cooling System Using Methanol as the Heat Sink and as the Fuel", prepared under Subcontrac⁺ No. B-64207(4949A-9)-US, Work Unit 1422A. This report is being sent to you in accordar with the instructions for distribution received from the Office of Civil Defense.

Sincerely,

Emer a. Tibe

James A. Eibling, Chief Thermal Systems Division

· · . .

JAE:pa Enc.

Ti

TABLE OF CONTENTS

																							Page
INTRODUC	TION	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
OBJECTIVI	ES.	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.•	•	•	1
SUMMARY	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
THE COOL	ing sy	STE	M	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2
	Metha	nol				•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	2
	Throt	tling	De	evi	ce		•	•	•	•				•	•	•		•			•	•	2
	Evapo	rato	r,		•					•			•	•	•		•	•		•	•	•	5
	Comp	ress	or					•						•		•						•	5
	Lubri	cant					•				•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•		•	6
	Blowe	r								•				•									6
	Power	r '					•		•					•			•					·	7
	Electr	ric H	lea	ter	an	d Si	tea	m			•		•		•		•						7
	Instru	lmen	tat	ion	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7
EXPERIME	INTAL	RES	SUI	LTS	5.	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	8
	Evapo	rato	r.	Co	unt	erf	low					-											8
	Evapo	rato	r.	Co	กตบ	TTE	ent	Flo	w	•	•	•			•		•	•		•	•	•	10
	Comp	ress	or							•	•	•	•	•			•		•	•	•	•	13
	Lubri	cant		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	14
		00.4	~ ~						***	C 11	· ~ ~	-		000	20								• •
OPTIMUM	LVAP	UKA	10	K 1	-RE	22	UR.	l A	UND	21	5T.	ĽM		051	5	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	14
FUTURE W	ORK		•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	17

THE STUDY OF A SHELTER COOLING SYSTEM USING METHANOL AS THE HEAT SINK AND AS THE FUEL

by .

John D. Hummell and W. Donald Beck

INTRODUCTION

A study of both novel and conventional cooling systems was described in a Battelle report to the Office of Civil Defense, "Methods for Disposing of Excess Shelter Heat", by J. D. Hummell, D. E. Bearint, and L. J. Flanigan, under Contract No. OCD-OS-62-191, Subtask 1422A. That report included a section on the open-cycle vaporcompression system using methanol as the working fluid, the heat sink, and the fuel. Possible applications and merits of the system were discussed and compared with alternative cooling methods which could be used under similar shelter cooling circumstances.

This report covers the first phase of additional work under Contract No. B-64207 (4949A-9)-US to evaluate experimentally the potential of the methanol cooling system.

OBJECTIVES

The objectives of the research were to determine:

- (1) The adaptability of commercially available compressors as methanolvapor compressors
- (2) The adequacy of commercial lubricants for compressors requiring lubrication,
- (3) The evaporator temperature and pressure which would result in the best system performance.

SUMMARY

The data obtained during the operation of a cooling system using a lubricated rotary-vane compressor clearly demonstrated that:

- (1) Commercial lubricants are satisfactory for lubricating methanol-vapor compressors.
- (2) Compressor performance on methanol vapor is nearly the same as when pumping air.

- (3) For the lowest system cost the evaporator pressure was about 1.5 psia, saturation temperature 62 F.
- (4) The refrigerating capacity of a commercial finned-tube evaporator when using methanol was about one-half the rated design capacity for typical air-conditioning service.
- (5) System costs are comparable to those of alternative systems which could function under the same circumstances.
- (6) Further studies should be made of a methanol system using the methanol vapors in an engine as the power source.

THE COOLING SYSTEM

The open-cycle methanol cooling system consists of five major components; me anol supply sufficient to absorb the cooling load by evaporation, a throttling device, direct-expansion evaporator, vapor compressor, blower, and a power source. The liuid methanol from a storage facility would be throttled into an evaporator at a pressurbelow 2 psia where the methanol would evaporate to satisfy the cooling load. An engir or electric-motor driven, vapor compressor would maintain the evaporator suction pressure and pump the vapors to a pressure high enough for discharge into the atmosphere, perhaps through an atmospheric-pressure burner to prevent the spread of the toxic vapors.

Figure 1 is a sketch and Figure 2 a photograph of the laboratory cooling system and the test loop used for its evaluation. To provide a test facility which would be insensitive to ambient air temperature and humidity, a closed loop was used for the air flow portion of the laboratory set up. The methanol, evaporator, throttling device, ai compressor were typical components which could be used in a shelter cooling system. The remainder of the laboratory system was designed only to provide the needs of the research program.

Methanol

The methanol used for the laboratory studies was a commercial grade obtained i 55-gallon drums from a local distributor of chemicals. During the laboratory work, temperature and pressure measurements verified that the purchased supply had vapor pressure-temperature relations characteristic of methanol.

Throttling Device

A capillary tube on the inlet of each of the 20 coil circuits was used as the throttling device, and also as a metering device to assure uniform distribution of methanol throughout the evaporator.









Evaporator

The evaporator was a commercial direct expansion unit of the type used for the direct expansion of refrigerants R-12 and R-22. Features of the coil were as follows:

30 by 36-in. face dimensions
20 tube circuits
6 rows deep
5/8-in. - OD copper tubes
Mechanically bonded aluminum fins
90 fins per foot
495 sq ft approximate external area
55 sq ft approximate internal area
188,000 Btu per hr capacity, standard air-conditioning rating.

Compressor

A Leiman 100-J vacuum pump was selected as the compressor for the methanol vapor after consideration of the features of 14 pumps listed in Table 1. The Leiman compressor was less costly and lighter in weight than any other of equal capacity. Also, the Leiman unit could be cooled by means of its lubricating oil and an air-cooled radiator, whereas the other choices would have required water cooling.

	Speed,	Rated C cfm	apacity, 1. at	Power,	Type of	Weight,	Cost,
Pumps	rpm	2. 5 Psia	1, ö Psia	hp	Cooling	15	\$
Leiman							
100-J	900	112	110	7-1/2	Oil radiator	760	9 70
Vane							
Kinney			•				
KDH-130	535	118	118	5	Water	840	1760
KDH-250	475	222	222	10	Water	210C	3730
Rotary piston							•
Fuller							
V-15	1160	55	40	5	Water	460	1310
V-30	1160	127	107	10	Water	780	1930
V-60	1160	244	212	15	Water	1500	2570
Vane							
Beech-Russ							
SS 7W	275	9 5	92	7-1/2	Water	2150	1440
SS-9W	260	193	188	15	Water	3150	222 0
Vane							
Stokes							
212-H	475	140	140	5	Water	950	1760
412	490	270	270	10	Water	1750	3210
Rotary piston							
Nash							
CL203	1750		110	10	Water	••	1250
CL403	1170	•-	260	20	Water	••	2200
Nout seens							

TABLE 1. VACUUM PUMPS CONSIDERED FOR COMPRESSION OF THE METHANOL VAPOR

5

Pump body Material - cast iron

Vanes

Number - 4 Material - phenolic resin

Bearings Antifriction

Shaft seal Mechanical

Cooling By lubricant

Rated discharge temperature 140 F

Lubricant circulation Pump section separator, and gravity 1-1/2 gal per min

Maximum-yacuum 29.8 in. Hg

Horsepower requirement at 27 in. Hg vacuum 7.4 at 125 cfm and 1000 rpm

Specifications on the Leiman 100-J pump for speeds between 500 and 1400 rpm showed that the volume displacement was directly proportional to speed and that at 27 in. Hg vacuum, the ratio of pumping capacity to horsepower was about 17 cfm per horsepower. This pump is not recommended for speeds above 1000 rpm for industrial applications requiring long life. However, for a period as short as 2 weeks, the pump should perform satisfactorily at 1400 rpm.

Lubricant

Keystone's 2K5 x Lt lubricant was selected according to the compressor manufacturer's suggestion and after consultation with lubricant manufacturers. This lubricant is a petroleum-base fluid of high molecular weight as provided by a mixture of longchain hydrocarbons. The grade corresponded to an SAE 30 oil.

Blower

The blowe: for the laboratory system was a No. 152 Centaxial fan rated at 4400 cfm at 4 in. of water pressure, 3450 rpm, and 7.9 brake horsepower. The fan was capable of providing an air velocity of 600 fpm at the face of the evaporator against the pressure drops of the laboratory test facility. This fan is not of the type which would be

than that needed to operate the test facility.

Power

Electric motors were used to drive the blower and the compressor. In a shelter installation where circumstances would require the use of this methanol cooling system, internal combustion engines would probably be used to drive the mechanical equipment.

Electric Heater and Steam

An electric heater and steam were used to provide the desired temperature and humidity at the upstream face of the evaporator.

Instrumentation

In general, the variables measured were temperature, pressure, flow, and electric power. Sixty the mocouples were installed and read out on potentiometric recorders. The thermocouple installation is briefly as follows:

- 14 on the pump and associated equipment
 - I in the methanol at pump suction
- 1 in the methanol at the top evaporator tube inlet
- 6 on the bends of the top evaporator tube
- 7 on the bends of the tenth evaporator tube
- 6 on the top of the top row of evaporator tubes along the evaporator centerline
- 2 in the airstream on each side of the evaporator
- 1 in the airstream on each side of the heater
- 8 between the coils of the top row of evaporator tubes in the air stream
- 1 on the bottom of the evaporator inlet manifold
- 1 in the ambient air.

Wet and dry bulb thermometers were located on either side of the evaporator transition pieces, and on the discharge side of the fan. Dry bulb thermometers were located adjacent to the two evaporator faces.

Air velocity in the loop was measured with a pitot tube and an inclined water manometer. The methanol flow was rate set using a rotameter and was measured with a scale and stop watch.

The vacuum of the methanol system was measured at the pump, evaporator inlet, and evaporator outlet with mercury manometers.

The power delivered to the vacuum pump motor was measured with a three-phase recording wattmeter.

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

ļ :

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The laboratory test loop was arranged in such a way as to allow variation of air temperature, humidity, air flow, methanol pressure, and methanol flow. Prior to the experimental program, data were taken and equipment modified to assure nearly uniform air velocity at the evaporator face and equal distribution of methanol to the evaporator, as indicated by uniform temperatures at the numerous thermocouples. Tests were run with the intent of studying the effect of each variable separately while holding the others constant. The range of variation is restricted by the limitations of the physical system itself, i.e., a certain degree of system matching is required to obtain significant and useful data. The data obtained, however, was quite sufficient to allow an evaluation of the concepts under study.

A total of 34 tests were made and the selected data are presented in Table 2 to support the discussion of the results. The cooling load was calculated as the product of the methanol flow and its latent heat of vaporization; consequently the accuracy of the cooling capacity is unknown in those cases where the evaporator was completely flooded. The number of rows wetted by the methanol was determined by the temperature of the return bends of the tubes. The methanol temperature was measured by a thermocouple placed inside a tube near the outlet of the capillary tube.

Evaporator, Counterflow

The first 29 tests were completed using a counterflow evaporator and a compressor speed of 1000 rpm. The air temperature, humidity, and velocity and methanol temperature were varied for the performance determinations.

The relatively small difference in cooling capacity was obtained because the capacity of the compressor was the limiting factor. Therefore, the performance data of the evaporator are most meaningful when analyzed on the basis of minor variation in cooling capacity.

Tests 5, 6, and 7 show that the air temperature drop through the evaporator was about the same for various air temperatures at the inlet. This was accomplished by the use of more of the evaporator surface as indicated by more rows being wetted by the methanol.

Comparison of Tests 11 and 14 with Tests 5 and 6 shows that the cooling capacity was decreased only from about 25,000 Btu per hr to 17,000 Btu per hr with an air flow change from 4750 cfm to 1050 cfm. The significance of this is that the major barrier to heat flow was on the methanol film on the inside of the tubes.

Tests 12, 13, and 23 show how fewer rows of tubes were required to evaporate the methanol as the methanol pressure and temperature were decreased. Qualitatively this is because of the greater temperature difference for heat transfer and higher heat-transfer coefficients on the methanol side due to the higher methanol velocities at the lower pressures. For these tests, the average overall heat-transfer coefficient was 3.9 Btu per hr per F log mean temperature difference per sq ft of evaporator surface.

											Xunooo	Icmp		
			Air Temp	crature, P			Air			Flow.	Capacity.	Pump	Pumo Brake	Mn of Bre
		Inlet			Outlet		Flow.	Press.	Temp.	- (4)	1000 Bmr/	Discharge		
Teit	d.b	w. b.	E. T.	d.b.	ч. М	E. T.	cfin	pela	ц.	min		L L	hp hp	wented by Methanol
						S	iterilow Ev	aporator, (Compressor S	peed, 1000	uđ.			
ŝ	80. 0	86.0	. 98	85,0	84.3		47.50	09 I	4 23		ž	5		
9	87.2	84.0	85	83. 5	82.2	5 2	4750	69 I	- 00 	0.620	23.U	R.1	:	5-9 1
2	84.9	81.1	82	79.8	79.0	62	3530	1.52	6 4 3	0.820	0.02	021	:	9 -0
11	90.8	82.0	85	78.2	78.2	78	1050	1.61	66.4	0.571	17 4			0 ·
12	90.0	82.0	85	80.0	79.5	80	1050	2.03	72.2	0.518	15 7(8)	816	: :	.
13	89.1	81.7	85	78.7	79.3	79	1050	1.16	52.8	0.457	14.0	916	: :	0-
1	96.5	77.2	85	74.0	71.0	73	1050	1.67	64.7	0.574	17.5	;	8 18	2 - F
21	83.0	81.5	85	77.0	75.4	. 75	800	1.62	63.7	0.562	17.1	226	5 95	
23	91.0	80.0	87	78.0	76. 0	. 18	1220	1.52	62.0	0. 562	17.1	219	5.95	8 8 8
				0	oncurrent	Flow Eva	porator, On	c-Half Eva	porator Used	l, Compress	or Speed, 1450	mq		
31	82.7	80.2	85	74.0	73.5	74	800	57 L	63 6	0 607	010	836	:	
32	93.0	81.9	86	77.0	77.0	3	800		5.02 1		7 . T .	000	2.11	
33	92.2	81.0	85	76.0	75. 5	76	800	1.44	66.0	0.647	10.7		11.U	
34	93.0	81.2	85	76.5	76.0	76	800	2.05	73.6	0.982	29, B(a)		11.0	•

TABLE 2. SELECTED TEST DATA

(a) Accuracy unknown when evaporator is flooded.

.

ī

Evaporator, Concurrent Flow

In order to investigate the possibilities of further increasing the methanol film coefficients, three changes were made to increase the methanol velocity. The evaporator was so installed in the test loop that the air entered over the tubes where the methanol was admitted, and the methanol was admitted to only the top 10 of the 20 tub The reason for these changes was to achieve maximum velocity and evaporation as ea in the methanol tubes as possible. The other change was to increase the compressor capacity by running it at 1450 rpm rather than 1000 rpm.

Tests 31 and 33 showed an average cooling capacity of 20, 500 Btu per hr at a methanol temperature of 62 F, and a total air flow of only 800 cfm. If four rows of th entire evaporator could have been used, the cooling capacity would have been 41,000 I per hr. This is 2.4 times the 17,000 Btu per hr of Test 21 which was run at an equal air flow rate and at about the same methanol temperature. Thus the concurrent-flow evaporator heat-transfer rates would be at least 2 times those for the counterflow arrangement, that is 7.8 and 11.0 Btu per hr per log mean temperature difference per sq ft of total area at velocities at the face of 133 and 630 ft per min, respectively.

Figures 3 through 6 show the temperature profiles obtained in the last four tests The curve labeled elbow was obtained by drawing a straight line between the temperatures measured by the thermocouples attached to the evaporator return elbows. These temperatures were close to the methanol temperature when there was a significant amount of liquid methanol in the tube. When the methanol was mostly vapor, heat tran fer from the methanol to the tube was small and the elbow temperatures approached ambient air temperature. Thus the elbow curve can be used as an indication of where vaporization occurs in the evaporator tube; a sudden increase in elbow temperature occurred when vaporization was complete. Of the four tests, complete vaporization occurred earliest in Test 32, then in Test 33, next in Test 31, and possibly not at all in Test 34.

The data for the curve labeled air in Figures 3 through 6 was obtained from the thermocouples located between the tubes in the top row of the evaporator. These show the variation of air stream temperature with evaporator tube length.

The four figures demonstrate the system matching briefly mentioned earlier. In Test 31 the methanol vaporized shortly after entering the tube because of the large tem perature difference for heat transfer and high heat-transfer coefficients resulting from high-vapor velocities at low pressure. When the methanol flow rate was increased to obtain a higher cooling rate with no increase in compressor capacity, as in Test 33, the methanol pressure increased. This reduced the temperature difference and velocity in the tubes; consequently more tube length was needed to evaporate the methanol. This trend continues into Test 31. In Test 34, however, the flow and pressure was too great and heat transfer fell off since the temperature difference was so small. This gives an indication of the matching of evaporator and pump required to obtain the most efficient combination of flow, pressure, and temperature difference, i.e., optimum heat transfer.

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

· . . .







BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

~ ~

7







FIGURE 6. TEMPERATURE DISTRIBUTION - TEST 34 BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

12

Compressor

Vapor pressure and temperature measurements at the compressor inlet in conjunction with the methanol flow rate verified that the compressor's volumetric capacity was equal to that specified for air by the manufacturer. The volumetric flow rate and equivalent cooling capacity when pumping methanol vapors are given in Table 3.

100	0 Rpm	140	0 Rpm
Cím	Btu/Hr	Cím	Btu/Hr
112	9,700	160	13,900
118	20, 300	165	28, 500
120	31,000	167	43, 500
122	41,200	169	58, 500
125	54,000	170	73, 500
	100 Cfm 112 118 120 122 125	1000 Rpm Cfm Btu/Hr 112 9,700 118 20,300 120 31,000 122 41,200 125 54,000	1000 Rpm 140 Cfm Btu/Hr Cfm 112 9,700 160 118 20,300 165 120 31,000 167 122 41,200 169 125 54,000 170

TABLE 3.VOLUMETRIC FLOW RATE AND EQUIVALENT COOLINGCAPACITY OF 100-J LEIMAN COMPRESSOR

In a real installation, the piping connecting the compressor and the evaporator would be designed for a pressure drop of less than 0. 1 psi; thus the pressure at the compressor inlet would be essentially the same as the evaporator pressure. For the laboratory work, the piping at the pump inlet included a value for flow control and a filter which resulted in pressure loss and reduc. d the compressor's mass flow capacity.

Figure 7 shows how the brake horsepower requirement changed with pump temperature. Using the lubricant which was the equivalent to an SAE 30, the power reduced substantially as the pump temperature increased to 215 F because of reduced viscosity. Further increase in temperature resulted in higher horsepower because of binding in the compressor as the rotor expanded more than the cylinder. For shelter applications, the high initial starting power could require an oversized motor generator set if electric motors were used; on the other hand, if an internal-combustion engine was the prime mover, the compressor could be driven at rated power during the warm-up period by the reduction of speed. The power required at 140 F matched the rate published in the manufacturer's catalogue. As discussed later, the heat equivalent of the power input would have to be rejected to an appropriate heat sink.

At a gas discharge temperature of 180 F compressor housing temperatures were from 110 F at the inlet to 177 F near the outlet. None of the nine thermocouples on the pump indicated any hot spots.

In a cold startup, some of the methanol vapors would condense in the compressor, be separated with the recirculated lubricant from the remaining vapor, and drained to the lubricant sump. After the compressor and lubricant temperature increased above the boiling point of the methanol, the methanol would evaporate from the sump and the compressor would run free of all liquid except the lubricant.





Lubricant

The Keystone 2K5 x Lt lubricant was completely suitable for operation of the vane compressor when pumping methanol vapors. As discussed earlier on a cold startup, liquid methanol collected in the lubricant sump, but there was a clear line of separation between the lubricant and the methanol.

The lubricant used for the laboratory work discolored slightly but the adequacy of the lubricant was verified by no significant change in the viscosity.

OPTIMUM EVAPORATOR PRESSURE AND SYSTEM COSTS

The optimum evaporator pressure is that which would allow the lowest cost system. The components which have costs dependent upon evaporator pressure are the evaporator, compressor, blower, and power. To study these costs, estimates were based on the cost of equipment purchased for this laboratory work, flow rates, temperatures, and heat-transfer coefficients from the laboratory tests, and where necessary the costs shown in the first Battelle report on shelter cooling. The assumptions and costs used to evaluate the relation between the system cost and the evaporator pressure and temperature were:

1. Evaporator, \$0.90 per sq ft of heat-transfer surface

2. Compressor, \$5.90 per cfm gas at inlet at 1400 rpm

3. Heat-transfer coefficient

7.8 Btu/(hr)(sq ft)(F) diff. at 160 fpm face velocity 11.4 Btu/(hr)(sq ft)(F) diff. at 630 fpm face velocity

- 4. Air entering evaporator 85 F effective 93 F dry bulb 80 F wet bulb
- 5. Air leaving evaporator 74 F effective 74 F dry bulb 73-1/2 F wet bulb
- 6. Alcohol, \$30 per 1,000 Btu per hr for 2 weeks
- 7. Alcohol storage per 1,000 Btu per hr for 2 weeks
 - \$12, fallout shelter
 - \$54, 30-psi blast shelter

\$94, 100-psi blast shelter.

Figure 8 shows how costs vary for the components which are dependent upon the methanol temperature and pressure and air flow rate. The lowest cost of evaporator, compressor, blower, and engine is about \$48 at a suction pressure of about 1.5 psia, saturation temperature of 62 F.

15

The total cost of the complety system must include the components of Figure 8 plus the methanol, methanol storage, and means for cooling the engine and compressor. At 1400 rpm, the compressor had a cooling capacity of 43, 500 Btu per hr at a suction pressure of 1.5 psia and a power input of 11 horsepower, equivalent to 28,000 Btu per hr. This power plus an equivalent amount for engine jacket cooling for a total of 56,000 Btu per hr would have to be rejected to some heat sink. The acceptable heat sinks for cooling the compressor and engine are air or water. Where the situation would permit, air would probably be the most convenient and would cost the least. However, if the system had to be completely isolated from the atmosphere, water would be the only other choice. This methanol system is considered primarily for situations where adequate quantities of natural water would not be available and, therefore, the water from limited natural supplies or from provided storage would have to be utilized by evaporation. Fortunately, as was demonstrated during this study, the compressor can operate at temperatures above the boiling point of water. Thus both the compressor and engine could be cooled by boiling water and ejecting the steam to the atmosphere.

Table 6 shows the costs of complete methanol cooling systems. The costs for the air or natural water-cooled compressor and engine are nearly the same as those predicted during the first shelter-cooling study and, therefore, the methanol system still warrants consideration for cooling shelters when refrigeration would be necessary.



ţ.

FIGURE 8. COSTS OF SYSTEM COMPONENTS AT VARIOUS SUCTION PRESSURES

25

< 1 - L

17 and 18

TABLE 4. METHANOL COOLING SYSTEM COSTS

System Costs for 2-Week Operation, Dollars per 1,000 Btu per Hr

	Fallout Shelter	30-Psi Blast Shelter	100-Psi Blast Shelter
Air or Natural Wate	er-Cooled	Compressor and	Engine
Compressor, Evaporator, Power, and Blower From Figure 8	48	48	48
Methanol	30	30	30
Methanol Storage	12	54	94
Total	90	132	172
Stored Water-C	ooled Corr	pressor and Eng	ine
Compressor, Evaporator, Power, and Blower From Figure 8	48	48	48
Methanol	. 30	30	30
Methanol Storage	12	54	94
Water Storage	8	35	<u>_61</u>
Total	98	167	231

• •

FUTURE WORK

The feasibility and reasonable cost of an open-cycle methanol cooling system has been demonstrated. The next step in the development program should be the assembly and operation of the complete system using the methanol vapors as fuel in an internalcombustion engine as the power source. The laboratory facility used for this study could be used as the refrigeration portion of the system to which would be added an engine with fuel metering for methanol and an appropriate means for cooling the engine and compressor.

المحمد المحمد المتعلقة المحمد الممقل المعقول المستعمات	INI CONTROL DATA - RI		
TIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		20. AEPO	AT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
Battelle Memorial Institute			
Columbus Laboratories		28. 6800	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	
The Study of a Shelter Cooling	System Using Meth	anol as	the Heat Sink and as
the Fuel	-,		
ESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive (datee)		
Final Report			
UTHOR(3) (Loot name. Hist name, Millel)	M. Denslal		
Hummell, John D., and Beck,	W. Donald		
·			
EPO NT DATE	78. TOTAL NO. OF	PAGES	78. NO. OF REFS
June 1966	17		None
CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. D_ 64207 (4040 A_ 0) TI S	96. ORÍGINATOR'S I	EPORT NUL	10 E M(3)
D- VILVI (177775-77-0.0.			
Work Unit 1422A			
	SA OTHER REPORT	NO(S) (Any	other numbers that may be assigned
	this report)		
	l		
AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES			÷:
Distribution of this document i	s unlimited		
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Office of C	ivil De	ense
	Departme	nt of the	Army – OSA
•	Washingto	n, D. C	. 20310
Methanol as the heat sin	k, for shelter cooli		•
Methanol as the heat sin in a laboratory setup of a prac- cially available rotary vane co anol, a direct-expansion evapor ployed to produce more than 2 temperature of 85 F. Analysis of test data sho evaporator pressure of 1.5 ps of 62 F. Total system costs a systems.	k, for shelter cooli ctical open-cycle co ompressor, a lubric orator, and a comm 5,000 Btu per hour owed that the lowest ia which correspon- are comparable with	oling sy ant non- nercial r of cooli system ds to a s a those of	stem. A commer- miscible with meth- nethanol were em- ng at an effective cost occurred at an saturation temperature of alternative cooling
Methanol as the heat sin in a laboratory setup of a prac- cially available rotary vane co anol, a direct-expansion evapo- ployed to produce more than 2 temperature of 85 F. Analysis of test data sho evaporator pressure of 1.5 ps of 62 F. Total system costs a systems.	k, for shelter coolin ctical open-cycle co ompressor, a lubric orator, and a comm 5,000 Btu per hour owed that the lowest ia which correspon- are comparable with	oling sy ant non- nercial r of cooli system ds to a r those o	stem. A commer- miscible with meth- nethanol were em- ng at an effective cost occurred at an saturation temperature of alternative cooling
Methanol as the heat sin in a laboratory setup of a prac- cially available rotary vane co anol, a direct-expansion evapo- ployed to produce more than 2 temperature of 85 F. Analysis of test data sho evaporator pressure of 1.5 ps of 62 F. Total system costs a systems.	k, for shelter cooli ctical open-cycle co ompressor, a lubric orator, and a comm 5,000 Btu per hour owed that the lowest ia which correspon- are comparable with	oling sy ant non- nercial r of cooli system ds to a r a those o	stem. A commer- miscible with meth- nethanol were em- ng at an effective cost occurred at an saturation temperature of alternative cooling
Methanol as the heat sin in a laboratory setup of a prac- cially available rotary vane co anol, a direct-expansion evapo- ployed to produce more than 2 temperature of 85 F. Analysis of test data sho evaporator pressure of 1.5 ps of 62 F. Total system costs a systems.	k, for shelter cooli ctical open-cycle co ompressor, a lubric orator, and a comm 5,000 Btu per hour owed that the lowest ia which correspon- are comparable with	oling sy ant non- nercial r of cooli system ds to a s a those o	stem. A commer- miscible with meth- nethanol were em- ng at an effective cost occurred at an saturation temperature of alternative cooling
Methanol as the heat sin in a laboratory setup of a prac- cially available rotary vane co anol, a direct-expansion evapo ployed to produce more than 2 temperature of 85 F. Analysis of test data sho evaporator pressure of 1.5 ps of 62 F. Total system costs a systems.	k, for shelter cooli ctical open-cycle co ompressor, a lubric orator, and a comm 5,000 Btu per hour owed that the lowest ia which correspon- are comparable with	oling sy ant non- nercial r of cooli system ds to a r a those o	stem. A commer- miscible with meth- nethanol were em- ng at an effective cost occurred at an paturation temperature of alternative cooling
Methanol as the heat sin in a laboratory setup of a prac- cially available rotary vane co anol, a direct-expansion evapo ployed to produce more than 2 temperature of 85 F. Analysis of test data sho evaporator pressure of 1.5 ps of 62 F. Total system costs a systems.	k, for shelter cooli ctical open-cycle co ompressor, a lubric orator, and a comm 5,000 Btu per hour owed that the lowest ia which correspon- are comparable with	oling sy ant non- nercial r of cooli system ds to a r a those o	stem. A commer- miscible with meth- nethanol were em- ng at an effective cost occurred at an saturation temperature of alternative cooling
Methanol as the heat sin in a laboratory setup of a prac- cially available rotary vane cc anol, a direct-expansion evapo- ployed to produce more than 2 temperature of 85 F. Analysis of test data sho evaporator pressure of 1.5 ps of 62 F. Total system costs a systems.	k, for shelter cooli ctical open-cycle co ompressor, a lubric orator, and a comm 5,000 Btu per hour owed that the lowest ia which correspon- are comparable with	oling sy ant nom ercial r of cooli system ds to a r those of	stem. A commer- miscible with meth- nethanol were em- ng at an effective cost occurred at an saturation temperature of alternative cooling
Methanol as the heat sin in a laboratory setup of a prac- cially available rotary vane cc anol, a direct-expansion evapo ployed to produce more than 2 temperature of 85 F. Analysis of test data sho evaporator pressure of 1.5 ps of 62 F. Total system costs a systems.	k, for shelter cooli ctical open-cycle co ompressor, a lubric orator, and a comm 5,000 Btu per hour owed that the lowest ia which correspon- are comparable with	oling sy ant nom ercial r of cooli system ds to a s those of	stem. A commer- miscible with meth- nethanol were em- ng at an effective cost occurred at an saturation temperature of alternative cooling
Methanol as the heat sin in a laboratory setup of a prac- cially available rotary vane co anol, a direct-expansion evap- ployed to produce more than 2 temperature of 85 F. Analysis of test data sho evaporator pressure of 1.5 ps of 62 F. Total system costs a systems. D * JAN 44 1473	k, for shelter cooli ctical open-cycle co ompressor, a lubric orator, and a comm 5,000 Btu per hour owed that the lowest ia which correspon- are comparable with	oling sy ant nom nercial r of cooli system ds to a a those of Un	stem. A commer- miscible with meth- nethanol were em- ng at an effective cost occurred at an saturation temperature of alternative cooling

- -

• •

F '

KEY WORDL	·	<u>.</u>		FIN		5 LIN	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MOLE	**	ROLE	**	ROLE	**
Fallout Shelter	•						
Blast Shelter		•					
Cooling System		•					
Methanol		1					
Vapor Compressors							
Rotary-Vane Compresso	8				-		
Lubrication-Compressor							• • •
Costs							
Evaporators							
							· ·
. · · · ·	INSTRUCTIONS						

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.

2a. REFORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Dats" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

28. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. AUTHOR(5): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year; or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

76. NUMBER OF REFERENCES Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

85, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

95. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s). 10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U.S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through

- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through
- (5) ⁴⁴All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.

13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no necurity classification is required. Idenfiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.

.....

Unclassified Security Classification