

THEORETICAL PREDICTION OF THE FLOW IN THE WAKE OF A HELICOPTER ROTOR ADDENDUM - EFFECTS DUE TO A FUSELAGE IN A CONSTANT, NONUNIFORM FLOW

25

∞ ∽

3

9

CLEARINGHOUSE FOR FEDERAL SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

1.50

COPY

Hardcopy | Microfiche

ARCHIVE

13.00

By: Peter Crimi and Andrew R. Trenka

CAL No. BB-1994-S-3

Prepared for: U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratories Aberdeen Praving Graund, Moryland 21005

Final Report - Addendum Contract Na. DA30-069-AMC-645(R) August 1966

> 20 3786 20 3786

> > 120

CORNELL AERONAUTICAL LABORATORY, INC.

OF CORNELL UNIVERSITY, BUFFALO, N. Y. 14221





CORNELL AERONAUTICAL LABORATORY, INC. BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14221

THEORETICAL PREDICTION OF THE FLOW IN THE WAKE OF A HELICOPTER ROTOR

3 ADDENDUM EFFECTS DUE TO A FUSELAGE IN A CONSTANT, NONUNIFORM FLOW

> By: PETER CRIMI ANDREW R. TRENKA

CAL NO. BB-1994-5-3

FINAL REPORT - ADDENDUM Contract no. da30-069-AMC-645(R)

AUGUST 1966



i

.

PREPARED FOR

U.S ARMY BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21005

SUMMARY

This addendum reports the results of an extension to the study carried out at Cernell Aeronautical Laboratory for the U. S. Army (Contract No. DA 30-069-AMC-645(R)) to determine the time varying flow in the vicinity of a helicopter rotor in hovering or forward flight and having a fuselage immersed in the rotor wake. The purpose of this extension was to compare two models representing the fuselage. The first fuselage model, reported in Parts 1 and 2, was based upon the assumption that the fuselage was immersed in a constant and uniform flow; the second model was based upon the assumption that the fuselage was immersed in a constant but nonuniform flow.

Comparisons between the two models are presented.

Also presented is the digital program employed to solve the problem.

FOREWORD

The work reported herein, performed between January 1966 and April 1966, was accomplished by the Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, Inc. (CAL), Buffalo, New York, for the Director of Ballistic Research Laboratories, (BRL) Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland. The research effort was performed under Contract DA 30-069-AMC-645(R) and was monitored for BRL by Mr. Thomas Coyle as Technical Supervisor. Dr. Peter Grimi and Mr. Andrew R. Trenka of CAL conducted the study. Mr. Harvey Selib developed the digital computer program.

This document is an addendum to Parts 1 and 2 of the final report under the contract. It describes the modifications made to the theory and the digital program to allow the determination of the effects of a fuselage immersed in a constant, nonuniform flow. Part 1 of the final report describes the development of the theory, discusses the results of the computation, and provides a comprehensive discussion of the work performed under the contract. Part 2 of the final report describes the formulation and application of the rotor wake-flow computer program and is of use primarily to those who plan to use the digital computing program.

CAL Report Numbers have been assigned as follows:

BB-1994-S-1, THEORETICAL PREDICTION OF THE FLOW IN THE WAKE OF A HELICOPTER ROTOR, Part 1 - Development of Theory and Results of Computations

BB-1994-S-2, THEORETICAL PREDICTION OF THE FLOW IN THE WAKE OF A HELICOPTER ROTOR, Part 2 - Formulation and Application of the Rotor Wake Flow Computer Programs

BB-1994-S-3, THEORETICAL PREDICTION OF THE FLOW IN THE WAKE OF A HELICOPTER ROTOR, Addendum -Effects Due to a Fuselage in a Constant, Nonuniform Flow

v

CONTENTS

. 8

Page

1

ī.

2.

3.

4.

ł.,

:

- -----

SUMMARY		iii
FOREWORD		v
ILLUSTRATION	IS	vii
SYMBOLS		ix
INTRODUCTION	1	1
PROCEDURES I	USED TO ACCOUNT FOR FLOW OVER THE FUSELAGE	2
RESULTS OF CONTROL FOR NONUNIFC	OMPUTATIONS WHICH ACCOUNT ORM FLOW OVER THE FUSELAGE	6
GENERAL	REMARKS	6
THE FLOW	AT A HIGH ADVANCE RATIO	6
THE FLOW	AT A LOW ADVANCE RATIO	7
CONCLUSIONS	AND RECOMMENDATIONS	18
REFERENCES		19
APPENDIX I	OPERATIONAL INFORMATION FOR THE MODIFIED MAIN PROGRAM	20
APPENDIX II	OPERATIONAL INFORMATION FOR THE MODIFIED SUPPLEMENTAL FUSELAGE PROGRAM	36

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figures		Page
1	Comparison of the Variation of Velocity Components with \varkappa for $y = 0, 3 = -0.45$ and $\mu = 0.220$	8
2	Comparison of the Variation of Velocity Components with y for $x = -0.25$, $z = -0.45$, $y = 0.220$ and $y > 0$	9
3	Comparison of the Variation of Velocity Components with x for $y = -0.25$, $z = -0.25$ and $\mu = 0.220$	10
4	Comparison of the Variation of Velocity Components with x for $y = 0$, $z = -0.15$ and $\mu = 0.220$	11
5	Comparison of the Variation of Velocity Components with \varkappa for $\gamma = 0$, $z = -0.45$ and $\mu = 0.0732$	12
6	Comparison of the Variation of Velocity Components with y for $z = -0.25$, $z = -0.45$, $\mu = 0.0732$ and $y < 0$	13
7	Comparison of the Variation of Velocity Components with y for $z = -0.25$, $z = -0.45$, $\mu = 0.0732$ and $y>0$	14
8	Comparison of the Variation of Velocity Components with z for $y = 0.30$, $z = -0.45$, and $\mu = 0.0732$	15
9	Comparison of the Variation of Velocity Components with z for $y = 0.30$, $z = -0.25$ and $\mu = 0.0732$	16
10	Comparison of the Variation of Velocity Components with z for $y = 0$, $z = -0.15$ and $\mu = 0.0732$	17

SYMBOLS

•

<u>je</u>		в [`]	coefficients relating velocities induced by element a and
	Γ.	^D mn	its image on element m
8		NA	number of azimuthal stations per revolution
Q		NB	number of rotor blades
,		Nr	number of fuselage points
0		R	rotor radius
1		Vş	free stream velocity
2		V ₂₈ ^(m) & V ₃ ^(m)	x and z components of the time averaged local velocity at m
3		V ₃₅₀₀ & V ₃₇₀₀	time and spacial averaged velocities in the x and z directions (x, y, z) components of velocity induced by the fuselage
4		×t · yt · st	the (x, y, y) components of velocity induced by the fuscinge the (x, y, y) components of velocity induced at a point m by a source of unit strength
5		W	aircraft weight
6		x, y, ş	rectilinear coordinates with origin in the tip-path plane, nondimensionalized by R
7		α_r	inclination of the tip-path plane to the free stream
		λ	blade loading parameter; $\lambda = 4W/(\pi^2 N_{g} \rho \Omega^2 R^4)$
		$\lambda_{s_m} a \nu_{s_m}$	direction cosines of the normal to the m^{th} element with respect to the (x, g) axes
	-	μ	advance ratio; $\mu = V_f / \Omega R$
		p	air density
		σ'n	normal component of the normalized source strength per unit area of the n^{th} element
		σīn	x -component of the normalized source strength per unit area of the n^{th} element

 σ_{jn} 3-component of the normalized source strength per unit area of the n^{th} element

 $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}$ rotor azimuth angle at \mathbf{k}^{th} position

Ω rotor ang lar speed

1. INTRODUCTION

and has see

As was described in Part 1 of this report (Reference 1), a model for a helicopter fuselage was developed consisting of an array of quadrilateral source sheets. This model formed part of the representation used for determining the flow in the wake of a helicopter rotor. The strengths of the individual source sheets were assigned by satisfying the fuselage boundary condition resulting from a steady uniform free stream. The magnitude and direction of this free strean. were determined by computing an average in space and time of the flow obtained, in the absence of the fuselage representation, in the region the fuselage would normally occupy.

Extensive calculations revealed that the fuselage was adequately represented in this manner for a wide range of flight conditions, provided the region of interest in the flow was sufficiently removed (on the order of one to twotenths of a rotor radius) from the fuselage. However, considerable spacial variation of the time average of the flow over the region occupied by the fuselage was observed to be present in most cases, so that the flow was not well represented in the immediate vicinity of the fuselage surface.

This addendum reports the results of work performed subsequent to completion of the study reported in References 1 and 2. The objective of this continuation was to develop and evaluate an improved fuselage representation which takes account of the nonuniformity of the time average of the flow imposed on the fuselage. The procedures used in implementing the improved model are described in Section 2. The results of the computations performed are discussed in Section 3. A listing of the modified digital programs is presented in Appendixes I and II. Familiarity with the developments of References 1 and 2 is assumed in these descriptions and discussions.

2. PROCEDURES USED TO ACCOUNT FOR NONUNIFORM FLOW OVER THE FUSELAGE

To account for the nonuniformity of the time-averaged flow over the fuselage, it was necessary to make changes both in the main rotor-wake-flow program and in the supplemental fuselage program. The changes were coded as alternative procedures; so the original structures of the programs were retained. The program changes will be outlined first, and their significance and implementation will then be discussed.

Consider first the revisions to the supplemental fuselage program. The supplemental fuselage program which determines the normalized source strengths was modified to solve for the source strengths σ_n , in a specified nonuniform flow field. The set of equations (Equations (20) of Reference 2)

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{f}} B_{mn} \sigma_{\mathbf{x}_{n}} = -\lambda_{\mathbf{x}_{m}} \\ \sum_{n=1}^{N_{f}} B_{mn} \sigma_{\mathbf{x}_{n}} = -\nu_{\mathbf{x}_{m}} \end{array} \right\}; \quad m = 1, 2, \cdots N_{f}$$

was replaced by the set

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N_{f}} \mathcal{B}_{mn} \sigma_{n} = - \left[\lambda_{s_{m}} V_{z}^{(m)} + v_{s_{m}} V_{s}^{(m)} \right]; \ m = 1, 2, \cdots N_{f}$$
(20a)

where

 $V_{x}^{(m)} \notin V_{z}^{(m)}$ are known x and z components of the timeaveraged local velocity at the m^{th} element

 $\lambda_{s_m} \overset{\circ}{\sim} \overset{\vee}{s_m}$ are the direction cosines of the normal to the m^{th} element with respect to the (x, y) axes.

$$\mathcal{B}_{mn}$$
 are coefficients relating velocities induced
by element n and its image on element m

 N_f is the number of fuselage points.

All other relationships of the fuselage program are unchanged.

in he sulli

The main program which computed the position of the wake was modified to account for the contribution of a fuselage in a steady nonuniform flow as follows. The equations (Equations (13) of Reference 2)

$$V_{x_{f}}(x, y, z) = \sum_{m=1}^{N_{f}} \left[\sigma_{\overline{x}_{m}} V_{x_{m}} + \sigma_{\overline{z}_{m}} V_{\overline{z}_{m}} \right] \left[V_{\overline{x}_{m}} + \widetilde{V}_{\overline{x}_{m}} \right]$$

$$V_{y_{f}}(x, y, z) = \sum_{m=1}^{N_{f}} \left[\sigma_{\overline{x}_{m}} V_{\overline{x}_{m}} + \sigma_{\overline{z}_{m}} V_{\overline{z}_{m}} \right] \left[V_{y_{m}} - \widetilde{V}_{y_{m}} \right]$$

$$V_{z_{f}}(x, y, z) = \sum_{m=1}^{N_{f}} \left[\sigma_{\overline{x}_{m}} V_{\overline{x}_{m}} + \sigma_{\overline{z}_{m}} V_{\overline{z}_{m}} \right] \left[V_{\overline{z}_{m}} + \widetilde{V}_{\overline{z}_{m}} \right],$$
(13)

which define x, y, z components of the velocity induced by the fuselage at a point (x, y, z), were replaced by the equations

$$V_{\mathbf{x}_{f}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = \sum_{m=1}^{N_{f}} \sigma_{m} \left(V_{\mathbf{x}_{m}} + \widetilde{V}_{\mathbf{x}_{m}} \right)$$

$$V_{\mathbf{y}_{f}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = \sum_{m=1}^{N_{f}} \sigma_{m} \left(V_{\mathbf{y}_{m}} - \widetilde{V}_{\mathbf{y}_{m}} \right)$$

$$V_{\mathbf{z}_{f}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = \sum_{m=1}^{N_{f}} \sigma_{m} \left(V_{\mathbf{z}_{m}} - \widetilde{V}_{\mathbf{z}_{m}} \right)$$

$$(13a)$$

where

 $V_{x_{on}}$ & $V_{are the time and spacial averaged velocities in the <math>x$ and z directions.

$$V_{z_m}$$
, V_{y_m} , V_{m}

That is, the quantity $[\sigma_{z_m} V_{z_m} + \sigma_{y_m} V_{y_m}]$ is simply replaced by σ_m as computed by solving Equations (20a).

The modified programs are utilized in the following manner. First a flight condition is chosen, by specifying μ , λ , α_7 , etc. Then, the main program is run with the fuselage representation omitted, until periodicity is established in the wake flow. The computations are then continued through one

period (i.e. through N_A/N_B azimuth positions), computing the flow at the points

$$(\overline{z}_m, \overline{y}_m, \overline{\overline{s}}_m), (\overline{z}_m, -\overline{v}_m, \overline{\overline{s}}_m), \quad m = 1, 2, \cdots, N_f$$

It will be recalled that these are the points and their images with respect to the fuselage plane of symmetry at which the fuselage boundary condition is satisfied. Nonuniformity in the flow is taken into account by computing a time average of the flow at each of these points, and then requiring the fuselage source strengths to be such as to just cancel the component of this average flow which is normal to the element. Specifically, the quantities $V_{g}^{(m)}$ and $V_{g}^{(m)}$ of Equations (20a) are computed according to

$$V_{\mathbf{x}}^{(m)} = \frac{N_{\mathbf{g}}}{2N_{A}} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{N_{A}/N_{\mathbf{g}}} \left[V_{\mathbf{x}}\left(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{m}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}_{m}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}_{m}; \psi_{k}\right) + V_{\mathbf{x}}\left(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{m}, -\bar{\mathbf{y}}_{m}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}_{m}; \psi_{k}\right) \right] \right\}$$
$$V_{\mathbf{x}}^{(m)} = \frac{N_{\mathbf{g}}}{2N_{A}} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{N_{A}/N_{\mathbf{g}}} \left[V_{\mathbf{x}}\left(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{m}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}_{m}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}_{m}; \psi_{k}\right) + V_{\mathbf{x}}\left(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{m}, -\bar{\mathbf{y}}_{m}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}_{m}; \psi_{k}\right) \right] \right\}$$

where V_{z} and V_{z} are components of fluid velocity in the z and z directions, respectively, as defined by Equations (3) of Reference 2, and Ψ_{k} denotes the k^{th} rotor azimuth position. Note that the flow at the image point has been averaged into $V_{z}^{(m)}$ and $V_{z}^{(m)}$ to account in part for any asymmetry in the flow with respect to the z-z plane.

The values of $V_{z}^{(m)}$ and $V_{z}^{(m)}$ as so computed are supplied as inputs to the fuselage program and utilized in Equation (20a). The right-hand side of that equation may be identified as the negative of the component of the time-averaged velocity at $(\bar{x}_{m}, \bar{y}_{m}, \bar{y}_{m})$ which is normal to element *m*. The

source strengths σ_m are computed by solving Equations (20a) and are then made available to the main program where they are used in Equations (13a) when computing the flow at a given point.

. *

3. RESULTS OF COMPUTATIONS WHICH ACCOUNT FOR NONUNIFORM FLOW OVER THE FUSELAGE

GENERAL REMARKS

It was reasoned in Reference 1 that the assumption of uniform flow employed to determine the strengths of the source sheets representing the fuselage was most questionable in the region close to the fuselage when the rotor was in the flight range $0.05 \le \mu \le 0.10$. In this flight range, wake vortices are swept directly over the region which is occupied by the fuselage. Thus spacial variations in the velocity occur which are much larger than those experienced at higher or lower advance ratios. Since the fuselage influence is substantial in this range of advance ratios, these large spacial variations should be important in determining the contribution of the fuselage to the net flow. To determine the soundness of this reasoning, the analysis of two flight configurations reported in Reference 1, which had been based on a constant and uniform flow over the fuselage. The results of the computations are discussed below. To facilitate comparisons between the two fuselage models, the results are presented for the same spacial locations as in Reference 1.

THE FLOW AT A HIGH ADVANCE RATIO

The flight configuration at an advance ratio of 0.220 was selected as typical of the high advance ratio flows. This case was computed using a nonuniform flow to determine the fuselage source strengths. Presented in Figures 1 through 4 are plots of the spacial distributions of the velocity components at various rotor azimuths. Comparisons are shown between the velocities computed using the uniform and nonuniform fuselage flow models.

As expected, no appreciable difference was obtained in the velocities computed at distances greater than 0.2R from the fuselage. The largest deviations were obtained in the V_x and V_y components in the immediate

vicinity of the fuselage (see Figure 1). The computed value of the $V_{\frac{1}{2}}$ component using the nonuniform flow model was found to be reduced by approximately 10%, while the $V_{\frac{1}{2}}$ component was increased by approximately 10%.

The spacial variations in the velocities are still primarily attributable to the fuselage and the azimuthal variations remained nearly constant.

THE FLOW AT A LOW ADVANCE RATIO

Of the cases investigated in Reference 1, the flow at $\mu = 0.0732$ was found to exhibit a relatively large dependence upon the fuselage (see Figure 46 of Reference 1). It was also found that the spacial variation of the downwash in the volume occupied by the fuselage (computed with the fuselage removed from the flow) was large (see Figure 55 of Reference 1). Hence, this case was selected to be recomputed using the nonuniform flow model to determine the source strengths representing the fuselage. The results of the computations are presented in Figures 5 through 10 along with the corresponding results for the uniform flow model.

It was found that all of the velocity components were altered. The magnitudes of the differences and whether the variation was an increase or a decrease depended upon the distances from the fuselage and the rotor plane. The velocities computed using the nonuniform flow model differed from the velocities computed using the uniform flow model by 8% to 14% in the region 0.1R to 0.2R from the fuselage.

The general character of the flow was found, however, to be the same for both models.

.

1



Figure 1 COMPARISON OF THE VARIATION OF VELOCITY COMPONENTS WITH \varkappa FOR ϑ = 0, \mathfrak{z} = -0.45 AND μ = 0.220



. *

Figure 2 COMPARISON OF THE VARIATION OF VELOCITY COMPONENTS WITH y FOR $\infty = -0.25$, 3 = -0.45, $\mu = 0.220$ AND y > 0

0.3

y

0.4

0

0.2



N'ER W

ł,

Figure 3 COMPARISON OF THE VARIATION OF VELOCITY COMPONENTS WITH x FOR y = 0.3, z = -0.25 AND $\mu = 0.220$



. *

and in

Figure 4 COMPARISON OF THE VARIATION OF VELOCITY COMPONENTS WITH \approx FOR y = 0, z = -0.15 AND $\mu = 0.220$



Figure 5 COMPARISON OF THE VARIATION OF VELOCITY COMPONENTS WITH \approx FOR y = 0, y = -0.45 AND $\mu = 0.0732$

a grain



Figure 6 COMPARISON OF THE VARIATION OF VELOCITY COMPONENTS WITH y FOR \approx = -0.25, z = -0.45, μ = 0.0732 AND y < 0



Figure 7 COMPARISON OF THE VARIATION OF VELOCITY COMPONENTS WITH y FOR \varkappa = -0.25, z = -0.45, μ = 0.0732 AND y > 0



in stand

Figure 8 COMPARISON OF THE VARIATION OF VELOC: TY COMPONENTS WITH \times FOR y = 0.30, 3 = -0.45 AND $\mu = 0.0732$



Figure 9 COMPARISON OF THE VARIATION OF THE VELOCITY COMPONENTS WITH \approx FOR $\gamma = 0.30$, $\gamma = -0.25$ AND $\mu = 0.0732$



7.4

NAME AND ADDRESS OF

)

>

)

Figure 10 COMPARISON OF THE VARIATION OF VELOCITY COMPONENTS WITH \approx FOR y = 0, 3 = -0.15 AND $\mu = 0.0732$

4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

. .

Based on the comparisons made between the results obtained using a fuselage model for uniform and for nonuniform flow, the following conclusions were drawn.

No differences between the two models were observed in the effects due to the fuselage at distances greater than 0.2R from the fuselage. This was true for both high and low advance ratios.

At the low advance ratio ($\mu = 0.0732$), the nonuniform flow model was found to yield axial velocities which were approximately 14% lower at 0.1R from the fuselage than the uniform flow model. At the high advance ratio ($\mu = 0.220$), the axial velocity was approximately 10% lower at the same locations.

Except for small changes in magnitudes, the character of the flow was the same for both models.

The results of this study show that the effects of the nonuniform flow over the fuselage are significant only in the immediate vicinity of the fuselage (within about 0.1R). Otherwise, the simpler uniform flow model for the fuselage is recommended in view of the reduction in digital computation time.

REFERENCES

a the designation

1.

2.

- Crimi, Peter, Theoretical Prediction of the Flow in the Wake of a Helicopter Rotor, Part 1, Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory Report BB-1994-S-1, September 1965.
- Crimi, Peter, <u>Theoretical Prediction of the Flow in the Wake</u> of a Helicopter Rotor, Part 2, Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory Report BB-1994-S-2, September 1965.

APPENDIX I

OPERATIONAL INFORMATION FOR THE MODIFIED MAIN PROGRAM

This program is written in FORTRAN IV, with the exception of subroutine CLEAR, which is written in MAP. This routine is used to initialize storages to be zero.

INPUTS	5
--------	---

*

CARD 1	NB:	Number of blades, Ng
	NRW:	Number of Revolutions of wake per blade, N _R
	NA:	Number of azimuth stations, NA
	NPNCH:	Punch option. If zero, no cards are
		punched at the end of a run. If not zero,
		all wake point coordinates and core sizes
		at the final azimuth position are punched
		on cards.
	NOPT:	If zero, the initial wake configuration is
		computed. If not zero, initial wake
		configuration is read in.
	NTAPE:	If not zero, wake point coordinates and
		velocities are saved on utility Tape 4.
	NPRINT:	If NPRINT = 1, coordinates and velocities
		for each wake point are printed; if
		NPRINT = 2, those for every other point
		are printed; if 3, every third; etc.
	LNCT:	Number of lines desired per page of output.
	NFPT:	Number of fuselage points, N _f
	NXPT:	Number of points off the wake for which
		velocities are to be calculated.
	NPINT:	Output is produced at intervals of NPINT
		steps; i.e., if NPINT = 1, the data for
		each azimuth position is printed.

CARD 2	NFOPT:	Fuselage Option	$\begin{cases} = 0; \text{ indicates} \\ V_{z_{\infty}} = 1, V_{j_{\infty}} = 0 \\ \neq 0; \text{ indicates uniform} \\ \text{flow option.} \end{cases}$
	NOUPT:	Output Option	<pre>{ = 0; no print-out { ≠ 0; print-out and punch cards.</pre>
CARD 3	PSIO: REV: XLAM: XMU:	Initial position of b Number of revoluti calculations are to λ	blade 1, ψ_{init} , degrees. ions of rotor for which be performed, N_{RY}

 a_r (degrees)

Factor applied to $V_{3_{o}}$, K_{f}

Strengths of blade 1; NA of them.

1

A1:	Core sizes at Blade 1; (NA of them).
A:	Initial core sizes; (NRW)(NA)(NB) of them.

R/b

Fuselage Data: Four cards for each point; (4)(NFPT) cards in all. These are punched by the Fuselage Program.

ALPHAT:

FACTR:

GAMB:

RB:

CARD 4, 5,

Card 1	XBAR ī	YBAR ÿ	ZBAR 3	SIGX O _z	SIGZ	
Card 2	XII <i>E</i> ,	XI2 <i>5</i> 2	XI3 <i>E</i> 3	XI4	ЕТА1 ? 1	ета2 7 2

Card 3	ETA3	ETA4	DI	D2	D 3	D4
	73	7+	di	dz	d 3	d.+
Card 4	XLE	XME	XNE	XLZ	XMZ	XNZ
	λη	My	27	λŗ	145	25

Coordinates of points off the wake at which velocities are to be computed NXPT points in all (up to three sets of coordinates per card):

			XIPT		YIPT		ZIPT
			76		y		3
Initial	Wake	Configuration	- Read	in only	if NOPT	is not	zero.

X:	(NRW)(NB)(NA) of them.
Y:	(NRW)(NB)(NA) of them.
Z:	(NRW)(NB)(NA) of them.

A listing of the program is given on the pages which follow.

21 m		1.00
Section of the sectio	1980 . 185 March 1980	
186 B	P41221 - 52	

	CALCULA	TION OF	THE W	AKE VI	DRITIC	ITY DI	STRIB	JTEON	FUR	A HEI	IC NPT	FR
	CUMMON	X1340.4	1. 4134	0.41.2	21340	41.01	340,4	1. 134	0,41	. W(34	C. 41.	
1	(C	SAMA (340	.41.SF	G1 340	41.1.1	451 (1	A. 100	1(10)	.A(3	40.41	PI.R	۸D,
• •)	VMP . XMC	L . XMSL	.NB.N	W.NA	NW.NO	PT.NT	APF, VI	RITIA	. NOVO	H.PST	0.
	3	XMU. XLA	M. AL PH	AT.PIN	T.PSI	F.XNA	DPSI	NBL .!	141. 4	NH. X'	A. TPN	в.
		SAT . CAT	.01.02	PST .1	PI.X	TIT	2 . X J . I	NPS.JP	S. IP	5.100	1.XXX	
. 'ı	5	777.151	. IND. I	FIG.SI	G1.5	62.51	63.66	G. DEN.	XNIII	. XNU	.XNU3	. 181
		XMX . XMY	.XM7.	164.51	165.RF		SF .S	01.56	85.1	12.29	GLASE	62.
_	,	SUM. LNC	T. XIDI	14001	VIDT	4001.	71011	4001.1	11147	21.41	(400)	
	4	V714001	VYEIG	061.01	F1400	1.V7F	(400)	NYPT	NAH.	FACTE	RA RA	•
	COMMON	/FUSE/	YHAR (1	001.44	ARIIC	01.78	AR (100	11.510	×(10	01.51	67(10	01.
22 8		XIIII00	1. 1.2121	1001.3	(13/10	101.11	41100	L.ETAI	(10)	1.ET/	2(100	1.
	2	ETA3/10	01.614	411001	XIF	1001.	XME (1)	101.XM	FLID	21.11	7(100	1
	1	YX7(100	1. XNZ		UF DT .F	1141	F.1(4)	H.1(4)	EMI	141.1	01(100	1.
		02(100)	.03/10	01.041	1001	VXINE	VYIN	E.VZIN	E.UE	VEAN	IF	• •
	DIMENSI	ION CAME	(100)		10.771							
	FOUTVAL	ENCELC	MR1. C/	MR 1								
0 S	DIMENSI		2001 1	17 11 200				•			· ·	-
		CAD/Y B										
	CALL CL	CARLAN	D UEL									
	CALL UL	CARLADA										
	CALL CL	EARLVA.	1(1), V)	J12001								
	CALL CL	.FAR(V/.	1(1),V2	J[200]								
	PI = 3	1415924	1536									-
	RAD =	01.74532	925									
	<u>TPI =</u>	2.0*P1										
	RFAD 10)00 + NR + N	IRW, NA,	NPNCH	NOPT	NTAPE	NPR II	NI, LNC	, T , NF	PT . N)	(PT,NP	LNT,
	1	NDVC	H.									
1000	FORMAT	1216)	-									
	RFAD 10	CO+NFOP	T, NOUT	Ρ								
	CALL DY	VDC.HK (NE	VCH)									
	IFINTAP	2E.LT.01	REWIN	ID 4								
	RFAD 10	001,PSIC	,REV,X	(LAM, XM	1U.ALF	PHAT, F.	ACTR,	RB				
1001	FURMAT	[9F8+6]										
	READ 10	CI. (GAM	181(1),	1=1,N4	1)							
	READ 10)01, (A10	1)+1=1	,NA)			- 31 H					
	NXPT2 T	NXPT/2	2									
	NBL = M	IR										
	NW = NP	W#NA										
	NW1 = M	W+1										
	NAB = M	B+NA										
	ILIM =	NA/NB										
	ICOUNT	= 0	-								-	
	AVG =	S*FLOAT	(NB)/F	LOATIN	(AL							
	XNA = M	٧A										
	DPSI =	2.0+P1/	XNA									
	XNH = M	16				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••				
	XNR = M	A										
		STN/AL DL		11				- · ·	·			1 C
		JENTIMERT										
	CAT - C	INCIAL DL										
	CAT = (ISTALPH	ATTRAL									
-	$\frac{CAT}{C1} = \frac{C}{C1}$	US(ALPH	VI AMA									
_	CAT = C $C1 = XH$ $C2 = ()$	<u>()S(ALPH</u> 1U+CAT (MU+SAT+	XLAM)	A M & VAIR	1/2 01	-						
-	CAT = C C1 = XP C2 = () C3 = XP	<u>()S(ALPH</u> 1U+CAT (MU+SAT+ 1U+SAT+S	XLAM)	AM*XNE	3/2.01	-			3			. –

XMSI = XMU+SAT/XLAM
VXINE = XMCI
VIINE = -EACTH + (XMS1 + SORT(, 5+XNB/XLAM))
TE INFORT NE AL CA TA 99
V/INF = 0.00
$\frac{1}{2}$
[MP1 = SQR[[MP+2][MP+2][MP+2]]
$FRB = (IMP-IMP[+AL])G(I_{\bullet}) + IMP+IMP[])/D(S)$
$KEAU IOOI_{\bullet}((A(I)_{J})_{\bullet}I=I_{\bullet}NW_{\bullet}J=I_{\bullet}NUI_{J}$
IF(NFPT.EQ.O) GO TO LOO
READ 1003, (XRAR(I), YBAR(I), /BAR(I), SIGX(I), SIG/(I), MLNK, XIL(I),
$1 \qquad XI2(1), XI3(1), XI4(1), ETAI(1), FTA2(1), ETA3(1), ETA4(1),$
2 D1(I),D2(I),D3(I),D4(I),XLE(I),XME(I),XNE(I),XLZ(I),
3 XXZ(I),XNZ(I),I=1,NFPT)
1003 FORMAT(6E12.5)
100 [F(NXPT.EQ.0) GD TO 103
READ 1001, (XIPT(I), YIPT(I), ZIPT(I), I=1, NXPT)
101 DO 102 I=1,NXPT
CALL FUSLGE(XIPT(I), YIPT(I), ZIPT(I), VXF(I), VYF(I), VZF(I))
102 CUNTINUF
103 PSIF = PSI0+360.0*REV
CALL IDOUT
NCT = 0
TPNH - 2.0+P1/XNB
PST = PSTO + RAD
PS10 = PS1
PSIE = PSIE + RAD + 0.05
IE(NOPT-ED-0) GO TO 3
2 READ 1003.((X(1.1).T=1.NW1).1=1.NR)
PEAD 1003.((V(1.1).[=].NW1).(=).NB)
86AD 1003 ((7([,1),[=],NW]), [=],NB)
3 DD 4 [=1.NW]
XI = FLUAT(I-I) + 0 + 31
13 = 1 + 13
XJ = FLUAI(J-L) = IPNH
$X(I_{i}J) = CUS(PSIU+XJ-XIJ+II)$
$Y(I_{+}J) = SIN(PSIO+XJ-XI)$
Z(I,J) = -13
5 CONTINUE
6 CONTINUE
$7 \text{ NPS} = \text{AMOD}(\text{PSIO}_2.0 \neq \text{PI})/\text{DPSI}_{1.5}$
IF (NPS.GT.NA) NPS = 1
D(1 9 J=1,NB
JPS = MOD(NPS+(NA*(J-1))/NB+NAB,NA)
IF (JPS.FQ.0) JPS = NA
IPS1 = JPS
DO 8 [=1,NW
IPS = IPS1
IPS1 = IPS-1
$IF(IPS1 \cdot EQ \cdot 0)$ $IPS1 = NA$

.

. .

5

-

·

ţ

GAMA(1,J) = (GAMB(1PS)+GAMB(1PS1))/2.0
8 CONTINUE
9 CONTINUE
10 DO 12 J=1+NR1
DO 11 T=1+NW
SEG(I+J) = SQRT((X(I+J)-X(I+1+J)) + 2 + (Y(I+J)-Y(I+1+J)) + 2 + (Z(I+J)-Y(I+1+J)) + 2 + (Z(I+J)-Y(I+I+J)) + 2 + (Z(I+J)-Y(I+J)) + 2 + (Z(I+J
1 7(1+1,J))**2)
11 CONTINUE
12 CONTINUE
13 DO 29 I=1,NW
DO 28 J=1,NH1
XXX = X(1,J)
YYY = Y(I,J)
27.2 = 2(1, j)
U(1, J) = 0.0
V(1, J) = 0.0
W(I, J) = 0.0
14 DU 25 L=1.NB1
1ST = 1
IND = NW
IFIG = 1
IF (LANEAL) GO TO 16
IND = 1-2
IE (IND, GT, O) GO TO IA
15 107 - 141
100 - NH 1510 - 1
$\frac{1}{16} + 1$
IF { 131+01+0W} UU (() 10 14 CICS _ CONT//VVV_V/ICT \\++34/VVV_V/ICT \\++34/777_7/ICT \\++34
= 10 3162 = 30011100 + 100
$\frac{S161 = S162}{S161 = S162}$
SIG2 = SORT((XXX-X(IR+1,L)) == 2+(YYY-Y(IR+1,L)) == 2+(222-2(IR+1,L))
1 **2)
SEGSQ = SEG(IR,L) + 2
IF(HML.GT.SEGSQ)GD TO 160
$\underline{HM2} = \underline{.25 + ((S1G1 + S1G2) + + 2 - SFGSQ) + (SEGSQ - (S1G1 - S1G2) + + 2) / SEGSQ}$
IF(HM2.GT.A(IR,L)++2)G0 T0 160
GGG = GAMA(IR,L)/SFG(IR,L)
GO TO 161
160 GGG = GAMA(IR,L)*(SIG1+SIG2)/(SIG1*SIG2*((SIG1+SIG2)**2-SEGSQ))
161 XNU1 = (YYY-Y(IR+1,L))*(2(IR,L)-2(IR+1,L))-(222-7(IR+1,L))*
1 (Y(IR,L)-Y(IR+1,L))
XNU2 = (722-2(1R+1,L))*(X(1R,L)-X(1R+1,L))-(XXX-X(1R+1,L))*
1 (7(1R+L)-7(1R+1+L))
$x_{NU3} = (x_{XX} - x([P+1,L]) + (Y([R,L]) - Y([R+1,L])) - (YYY - Y([R+1,L])) +$
1 (X(IR+L)-X(IR+1+L))
U(1,J) = U(1,J) + XNU1 + GGG
V(1,J) = V(1,J) + XNU2 * GGG
W(1,J) = W(1,J) + XNU3 + GGG
17 CONTINUE
GO TO (18,15), JELG
18 IF (L.NE.J) GO TO 25

-

[R] = [-1]
IF(1.FQ.1) IR1 = 1
XMX = (Y(1R), () - Y(1R) + (, ()) + (7(1R) + (, ()) - 7(1R) + 2, ()) - (Y(1R) + 1, () - ())
1 Y(1P1+2+1))+(7(TR1+1)-7(TR1+1+1))
XMY = {/([R],L)-/{[R]+[,L)}*(X([R]+1,L)-X([R]+2,L))-(/([R]+1,L)-
1 Z([R]+2+L))*(X([R]+L)-X([R]+1+L))
XMZ = (X([R1,L)-X([R1+1,L))*(V([R1+1,L)-Y([R1+2,L))-(X([R1+1,L)-
1 X([R1+7,1))*(Y([R1,1)-Y([R1+1,L))
SIG4 = SFG(IR1+1,L)
SIG3 = SFG(IR1,L)
SIG5 = SQRT((X([R]+2,L)-X([R],L))**7+(Y([R]+2,L)-Y([R],L))**2+
1 $(7([R]+2+L)-Z([R]+L))**2)$
DEN = (SIG3+SIG4-SIG5)*(SIG3+SIG4+SIG5)*(SIG4+SIG5-SIG3)*(SIG3+
1 \$165-\$164)
LE (DEN.EQ.0.0) GO TO 25
IE(DEN_LT_0.2)WRITE(6.1002)1.J.SIG3.SIG4.SIG5
1002 FORMAT(2X43HDENOMINATOR NEGATIVE FOR & COMPUTATION I = 13.3X3HJ =
$1 = 13.3 \times 6 + 51 \times 6 = 516.8.3 \times 6 + 51 \times 6 = 516.8.3 \times 6 + 51 \times 6 = 516.8$
RR = SIG3*SIG4*SIG5/SORT(ARS(DEN))
SOI1 = SORT((2, 0*RR-S(G3)*(2, 0*RR+S(G3)))
IF (\$163##2-1F,\$164##2+\$165##2) 60 TO 19
$SE = 12.0 \pm 0.0111/SIG3$
10 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20
$17 \ 5F = (2.07 \text{KR}^{-} 30117/3103)$
17137+FU+U+U1 37 - 1+97720 20 501 - 5007123 0+00-51563442 0+004515633
$\frac{20.541 = 5481(12.0+88-5)(647+12.0)+88451(647)}{10.00000000000000000000000000000000000$
IF [5]64++2+LE+5]63++7+5]63++21 60 10 21
2h = 15*0+KK+2A11/2104
$Z_1 = (Z_*)^* RR - 5017/5164$
$[F(S_0, t_0, 0, 0)] = 1 \cdot 0t - 20$
HF = {{GAMALLKI,J}#{ALUGL8,9#\F/ALIKI,J}}*.271+GAMALL,J]#{ALUGL8,9#
$\begin{bmatrix} 56/A(1)J) + 0.7511/(4) (J = RK = SUK + (I = RK + (I = $
23 HF = (GAMA(I)J) = (AL)JJ = (AL
$\frac{24 \text{ U}(1, j) = \text{U}(1, j) + XMX + H}{MX + H}$
$V(I,J) = V(I,J) + XM^{or} + ISF$
W([,J] = W([,J] + XM/ + 1) + XM/ + 1)
25 CONTINUE
SIG1 = SORT(XXX**2+YYY**2+2/2/**2)
26 DO 27 L=1+NB
LPS = MOD(NPS+(NA*(L-1))/NB+NAB,NA)
IF(LPS,FQ,O) LPS = NA
IF(I.EQ.L.AND.L.EQ.J)GO TO 260
PSIBK = FLUAT(LPS-I) + DPSI
SINPSI = SIN(PSIRK)
COSPSI = COS(PSIBK)
RMH2 = [XXX-COSPSI] ++ 2+ [YYY-SINPSI] ++ 2+ 222 ++ 2
IF (RMH2+SIG1++2.GT.1.0) GO TO 258
RMH = SORT(RMH2)
H2 = .25*((SIG1+RMH)**2-1.0)*(1.0-(SIG1-RMH)**2)
IF (H2+RB++2,GT,1,0) GO TO 258

.

HH = SORT(H2)
XHT = XXX*(COSPSI**2+SINPSI**2/(HH*RB))-YYY*SINPSI*COSPSI*(1.C/
1 (HH+RB)-1.0)
YHT = YYY+(SINPSI++2+COSPSI++2/(HH+RB))-XXX+SINPSI+COSPSI+(1.0/
1 (i + RB) - 1 + 0
7HT = 2777(HH + RB)
XNU1 = -YHT + 7(1 + L) + 7HT + Y(1 + L)
XNU2 = -7HT + X(1 + L) + XHT + 7(1 + 1)
XNU3 =- XHT+Y(1,1)+YHT+X(1,1)
SIG2 = SORT((XHT-X(1,J)) + *2 + (YHT-Y(1,J)) + *2 + (7HT-7(1,J)) + *2)
GO TO 259
$258 \times 101 = -YYY + 7(1 + 1) + 777 + Y(1 + 1)$
$XNU2 = -777 \pm X(1 + 1 + X + 2 + 7 + 1 + 1)$
XNII3 = -XXX + Y[] + I + VV + Y[] + I
SIG2 = SORT((XXX-X(), ())) + (2) +
3102 = 300111000 01110101010101010101010101010
1111.11 = 1111.11 + 1111 + 0.00
$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$
$W(I \bullet J) = W(I \bullet J) \bullet XN(F3 \bullet GG)$
<u>GO TO 27</u>
260 W(I,J) = W(I,J) - GAMB(LPS) * FRB
27 CONTINUĘ
CALL FUSLGE(X(T,J),Y(T,J),Z(T,J),UF,VF,WF)
U(I,J) = U(I,J) + XMCL + UF
V(I,J) = V(I,J) + VF
W(I,J) = W(I,J) - XMSL + WF
28 CONTINUE
29 CONTINUE
IF(NTAPE.EQ.0) GO TO 30
WRITE(4)PSI, XMU, XLAM, ALPHAT, NR, NRW, NA, NW
WRITE(4) ((X(1,J),Y(1,J),Z(1,J),U(1,J),V(1,J),W(1,J),GAMA(1,J),
$1 \qquad A(I,J), I=1, NW1), J=1, NB1)$
30 IFINCT.NE.01GD TO 31
IF(NXPT.EQ.0) GO TO 302
CALL VLCTY
IF (NOUTP.EQ.0) GO TO 300
IF (ICOUNT.GE.ILIM) GO TO 302
300 DO 301 I=1,NXPT2
$J = 2 \neq 1 - 1$
VXJ(I) = VXJ(I) + AVG + (VX(J) + VX(J+1))
VZJ(I) = VZJ(I) + AVG * (VZ(J) + VZ(J+1))
301 CONTINUE
ICOUNT = ICOUNT+1
302 CALL OUTPUT
31 NCT = NCT + 1
$IE(NCT_{O}GE_{O}NPINT)NCT = 0$
PSI = PSI+DPSI
NDS = NDS + 1
IF (NRS. GT. NA) NRS = 1
$\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}$
IFIFJIOLEOFJIFT UU (U)C
IFINIAFE+NE+VI ENV FILE 4 TEINDNCH EO ON CO TO 330
LITINFNUM.EW.UJ 60 10 320
TUNA FURMATI /AMEL MELILUPTER WARE VURTICITY CALCULATIONS - MARVEY

	ISELLA 27)
	PUNCH_1001,((A(1,J),1=1,NW),J=1,NA)
	PUNCH 1003+((X(I+J)+I=1+NW1)+J=1+NB)
	PUNCH 1003+((Y(1+J)+I=1+NW1)+J=1+NB)
	PUNCH 1003+((Z(1,J),I=1,NW1)+J=1,NB)
320	IF (NUUTP.EQ.0) GR TR 1
	PUNCH 1005
1005	FI)RMAT(90H************************************

	PUNCH 1006.(VXJ(I).V7J(I).I=1.NXPT)
1006	FORMAT(6F12.5)
	WRITE(6.1007) (1 IM. (VXJ(1).V7J(1).I=1.NXPT2)
1007	FORMATCIHI. 39X39HVFLOCITY AVERAGES FOR OTHER POINTS OVER 13.
1001	9H AZINUTHS//5422HHVX.20X2HV7/(F59.5.F22.5))
32	00 35 (±1,NR)
52	$T_{Y1} = y(1, 1)$
	$T_{M1} = M(1)$
	111 = 1110
	121 = 2(1)J
	1X2 = 1X1
	$T_{2} = T_{2}$
	TX = X(I,J)
	TY1 = Y(1, J)
·	T71 = Z(1,J)
	X(I,J) = TX2+XLAM+IJ(I-I,J)+OPSI
	Y(I,J) = TY2+XLAM+V(I-I,J)+DPSI
	$Z(I,J) = TZ2+XLAM \neq W(I-I,J) \neq OPSI$
33	CONTINUE
	XJ = FLUAT(J-1) + TPNB
	X(1,J) = COS(PSI+XJ)
	Y(1,J) = SIN(PSI+XJ)
	7(1, J) = 0.0
35	CONTINUE
	DO 38 J=1,NB1
	JPS = MOD(NPS+(NA*(J-1))/NB+NAB,NA)
	IF(JPS.FQ.0) JPS = NA
	JPS1 = JPS-1
	IF(JPS1.EQ.0)JPS1 = NA
	SEG1 = SEG(1,J)
	GAM1 = GAMA(1,J)
	TA1 = A(1,J)
36	00 37 I=2.NW
	GAM2 = GAM1
	$GAMI = GAMA(I_A)$
	GAMA(1,1) = GAM2
	SEC2 = SEC1
	SEC1 = SEC(1.1)
	- 560/ = - 560/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
	$\partial (1) (1) - \partial (1) (1) (1) (1) - (1) (1) (1) - (1) (1) - (1) (1) - (1) (1) - (1) (1) - (1) (1) - (1) (1) - (1) (1) - (1) (1) - (1$
	L TA1 - TA1
	196 = 191 TAL _ ALT IN
	A = A(1+J)
	$AII_{I}JI = IA/TSYKIISEGZ/SEGII_{I}JII$

-

37	CONTINUE
	SEG(1,J) = SORT((X(1,J)-X(2,J))**2+(Y(1,J)-Y(2,J))**7+(Z(1,J)-
	1 7(2, 1))**2)
	A(1+J) = Al(JPS)
	GAMA(1,J) = (GAMB(JPS)+GAMB(JPS1))/2.0
38	CONTINUE
	GO TO 13
	END

e

w

SIBFTC ZZIDT LIST, REF
C WAKE VORTICITY CALCULATION PROGRAM - SUBROUTINE IDOUT
SUBROUTINE IDOUT
COMMON X(340,4),Y(340,4),7(340,4),U(340,4),V(340,4),W(340,4),
1 GAMA(340,4),SFG(340,4),GAMB1(100),A1(100),A(340,4),PI,RAD
2 VMP, XMCL, XMSL, NB, NRW, NA, NW, NOPT, NTAPE, NPRINT, NDVCH, PSIO,
3 XMU, XLAM, ALPHAT, PINT, PSIF, XNA, DPSI, N91, NW1, XNW, XN9, TPNB,
4 SAT, CAT, C1, C2, PS1, TP1, X1, T1, T2, XJ, NP5, JP5, IP5, IP51, XXX, Y
5 722+1ST, IND, IFLG, SIG1+SIG2+SIG3+GGG+DEN, XNU1+XNU2, XNU3+1
6 XMX, XMY, XMZ, SIG4, SIG5, RK, SQI1, SF, SQI, SG, BF, LPS, SEG1, SFG2.
7 SUM,LNCT,XIPT(400),YIPT(400),ZIPT(400),VX(400),VY(400),
8 VZ(400),VXF(400),VYF(400),VZF(400),NXPT,NAB,FACTR,RB
COMMON /FUSE/ XBAR(1 ', YPAR(10))+ZBAR(100)+STGX(100)+STGZ(100)
1 XI1(100),XL/),XI3(100),XI4(100),ETA1(100),ETA2(100),
2 ETA3(100),ETA4(100),XLE(100),XME(100),XNE(100),XLZ(100),
3 XXZ(100),XNZ(100),NEPT,RJ(4),EJ(4),HJ(4),EMJ(4),D1(100),
4 D2(100),D3(100),D4(100),VXINF,VYINF,VZINF,UF,VF,WF
1 WRITE(6,1000)NB,NRW,NA,NFPT,PSIO,PSIF,XLAM,XMU,ALPHAT,RB,FACTR
1000 FORMAT(1H1,49X33HHELICOPTER WAKE VORTICITY PROGRAM //45X31HNUMB
10F BLADES =111 /45X31HNUMBER OF REVOLUTIONS OF WAKE
2 III /45X31HNUMBER OF AZIMUTH STATIONS = III/45X31HNUMBER OF
XELAGE POINTS =111/45X23HPST (INITIAL) =
3 F11.3,8H DEGREES /45X23HPSI (FINAL) =F11.3,
4 BH DEGREES /45X23HLAMBDA =F12.5 /45X23HMU
5 = F12.5 /45X23HALPHAT = F11.3,8H DEGREE
6 45X23HR/B =F11.3/45X23HVZ INFINITY FAC
7R =F11.3)
2 DPS = 360.0/FLDAT(NA)
PS = 0.0
WRITE(6,1001)
1001 FURMATI//30X3HPSI,15X22H STRENGTH OF BLADE 1 ,14X20HCORE SIZE /
1BLADE 1)
3 DD 4 1=1+NA
WRITE(6,1002)PS,GAMB1(1),A1(1)
1002 FORMAT(F35.3,E30.5,E35.5)
PS = PS+DPS
4 CONTINUE
RETURN
END

SIBFTC ZZOUTP LIST-REF
C WAKE VORTICITY CALCULATION PROGRAM - SUBROUTINE OUTPUT
SUBROUTINE OUTPUT
COMMON X(340,4),Y(340,4),7(340,4),U(340,4),V(340,4),W(340,4),
1 GAMA(340,4),SEG(340,4),CAMH1(100),A1(100),A(347,4),PI,RAD,
2 VMP, XMCL, XMSL, NB, NRW, NA, NW, NIPT, NTAPE, NPRINT, NDVCH, PSIO,
3 XMU, XLAM, ALPHAT, PINT, PSIF, XNA, DPSI, NB1, NW1, XNW, XNB, TPNB,
4 SAT, CAT, C1, C2, PS1, TP1, X1, T1, T2, XJ, NPS, JPS, IPS, IPS1, XXX, YYY,
5 227, 1ST, 1ND, 1FLG, SIG1, SIG2, SIG3, GGG, DEN, XNU1, XNU2, XNU3, 1R1,
6 XMX, XMY, XMZ, SIG4, SIG5, RR, SQI1, SF, SQI, SG, BF, LPS, SEG1, SFG2,
7 SUM, LNCT, XIPT(400), YIPT(400), ZIPT(400), VX(400), VY(400),
8 VZ(400),VXF(400),VYF(400),VZF(400),NXPT,NAB,FACTR,RB
1 ILINE = 0
PSID = PSI/RAD
UPSID = DPSI/RAD
2 DO 5 J=1+NR1
IF(IIINF.EQ.0) WRITE(6,1000)NB, NA, NRW, XLAM, XMU, ALPHAT, DPSID, PSID
1000 FORMAT(1H1+46X38HHELICOPTER WAKE VORTICITY DISTRIBUTION //13X
1 15HNU. OF BLADES = 12,23X25HND. OF AZIMUTH STATIONS = 13,
2 21X214ND. OF REV. OF WAKE = 12 /9X8HLAMBDA 3E12.5,15X4HMU =
3 E12.5,12X9HALPHA T = F7.3,5H DFG. 11X11HDELTA PSI = F7.3,
4 5H DEG. //55X5HPS1 = F8.3,8H DEGREES)
3 WRITE(6,1002)J
1002 FORMAT(/59X12HBLADE NUMBER 12 /)
4 WRITE(6,1003)(I,X(I,J),Y(I,J),Z(I,J),U(I,J),V(I,J),W(I,J),
1 GAMA(I,J),A(I,J),I=1,NW1,NPRINT)
1003 FORMATI 4X5HSTAT., 10X1HX, 14X1HY, 14X1HZ, 14X2HVX, 13X2HVY, 13X2HVZ,
1 10X8HSTRENGTH, 6X9HCORE SIZE /(18,E18.5,7E15.5))
ILINE = ILINE + NW1/MAXO(NPRINT,1)+3
IF (ILINE.GE.LNCT) ILINE = 0
5 CONTINUE
IF(NXPT.FQ.0) GD TO 6
IFILINE.EQ.OIWRITE(6,100C)NB,NA,NRW,XLAM,XMU,ALPHAT,DPSID,PSID
WRITE(6,1004)(XIPT(1),YIPT(1),ZIPT(1),VX(1),VY(1),VZ(1),I=1,NXPT)
1004 FORMATI /53X26HVFLOCITIES AT OTHER POINTS //19X1HX, 14X1HY, 14X1HZ,
1 14X2HVX,13X2HVY,13X2HVZ /(E26.5,5E15.5))
6 RETURN
END

.

.

C	WAKE VO	DRTICITY CALCULATION PROGRAM - SUBROUTINE FUSLGE
	SUBROUT	LINE FUSI GE(XD.YD.7D.UED.VED.WED)
	COMMON	/FUSE/ XBAR(100).YBAR(100).784R(100).SIGX(100).SIGZ(100).
	1	x11(100).x12(100).X13(100).X14(100).ETA1(100).ETA2(100).
	2	ETA3(100).ETA4(100).X(E(100).XME(100).XNE(100).X12(100).
-	2	XN7(100), XN7(100), NFPT, RJ(4), FJ(4), HJ(4), FMJ(4), D1(100).
	6	D2(100), D3(100), D4(100), VXINE, VYINE, V7INE, UF, VE, WE
	DIMENS	(ON VIK(100-4) - FTAK(100-4) - DD ((100-4)
	EQUITION	$= \left\{ \left($
- • • • •	1 CUMU -	A A
	1 30M0 -	
	20mm =	
	IFINFP	
	2 00 12	J=I+N+PI
	NFLG #	
	XLX = X	(ME(J) # XN/(J) - XM/(J) # XNE(J)
	XMX = 3	(NE(J)*XL7(J)-XN7(J)*XLE(J)
	XNX = 3	(LE(J) * XMZ(J) - XLZ(J) * XME(J)
	XB = XI)-XBAR(J)
	YB = YI)-YBAR(J)
	78 = 7.1	D-ZRAR(J)
	D5 = ()	x[3(J)-X11(J))**2+(ETA3(J)-ETA1(J))**2
	D6 = (2	x14(J)-x12(J))**2+(ETA4(J)-ETA2(J))**2
	D7 = AI	1AX1(D5,D6)
	3 XI = XI	_X*XB+XMX*YB+XNX*ZR
	FTA = 2	KLE(J) *XB+XME(J) *YB+XNE(J) *ZB
	ZFTA =	XLZ(J) + XB + XMZ(J) + YB + XN7(J) + 7B
	RO = X	[**2+ETA**2+ZETA**2
	TJ = R	0/07
	IF(TJ.	LT.6.01 GO TO 5
	4 SJ = .4	5*(X13(J)-X11(J))*(ETA2(J)-ETA4(J))/(R0*SQRT(R0))
	= 1XV	SJ*XI
	VETA =	SJ#ETA
	VZETA	= SJ+ZETA
	GO TO	90
	5 DO 6	[=].4
	RJ(1) :	= SURT((XI-XIK(J.1))**2+(ETA-FTAK(J.1))**2+7ETA**2)
	FJ(1) :	= 7ETA**2+(XI-XIK(J.1))**2
	HJUD	= (FTA-FTAK(J.[))*(X1-X(K(J.1))
	11 = 14	•1
	IE(I.E	-41 + 1 = 1
	TRM1 =	XIK(J.1))-XIK(J.1)
	TELTRM	1.60.0.01 TRN1 = $1.0E-6$
	EMILT	= (FTAK(1,1))-FTAK(1,1))/TRM1
	6 CONTIN	
	VXI =	
	VETA	
	V767A	
	7 00 0	
_	11 - 1	1 - 1 9 - 1
	11 = 1	
	17(1+0)	407/ 11 - 1 (01/11/01/11)_001/1.11//01/11/001/11/001/11/00
	IKHI =	<pre>tkJt1/*KJt1/*UUJtJ#1//KJt1/*KJt11/*UUJtJ#1// ALOC/TOM1)</pre>
	IKMI *	

STRETC 77ESLG LIST.REE

	TRM2 = (FTAK(J,II) - ETAK(J,I)) / DDJ(J,I)
	TSM3 = (X[K(J,I)-X[K(J,I]))/DDJ(J,I)
	VXI = VXI+TRM2+TRM1
	VETA = VETA+TRM3*TRM1
8	IF(7FTA.FQ.0.0) GO TO 9
	TRM4 = ATAN((EMJ(I) * EJ(I) - HJ(I))/(ZETA * RJ(I)))
•	TRM5 = ATAN((EMJ(I) * EJ(II) - HJ(II))/(7ETA * RJ(II)))
	V7FTA = VZETA+TRM4-TRM5
9	CONTINUE
90	VVX = XLX+VXI+XLE(J)+VFTA+XL7(J)+V7ETA
	VVY = XMX+VXI+XME(J)+VETA+XMZ(J)+VZETA
	VVZ = XNX*VXI+XNE(J)*VETA+XNZ(J)*VZETA
	GO TO (10,11),NFLG
10	VVVX = VVX
	VVVY = VVY
	VVV7 = VV2
	YB = -YD - YBAR(J)
	NFLG = 2
	GO TO 3
11	TRM = SIGX(J)+VXINF+SIGZ(J)+VZINF
	SUMU = SUMU+TRM+{VVVX+VVX}
	SUMV = SUMV+TRM+(VVVY-VVY)
	$SUMW = SUMW + TRM + {VVVZ + VVZ}$
12	CONTINUE
13	UFD = SUMU
	VFD = SUMV
	WFD = SUMW
	RETURN
	FND

SIDFIC A	AVE VODITETY CALCULATION DROCRAM - SURPOUTINE VICTY
C WI	ARE VURTILITY CALCULATION PROGRAM - SUBROUTING VECT
50	
	$\begin{array}{c} \text{UMMUN} X(340,4), Y(340,4), Z(340,4), U(340,4), Y(340,4), V(340,4), U(340,4), U(340,4)$
L	GAMA(340,4),SFG(340,4),GAMB1(100),A1(100),A134',47,PT,RAD,
2	VMP, XMCL, XMSL, NR, NRW, NA, NW, NUPT, NTAPE, NPRINT, NUV(H, PSI),
3	XMU, XLAM, ALPHAT, PINT, PSIF, XNA, DPSI, N91, NW1, XNW, XNB, PPNB,
4	SAT, CAT, C1, C2, PS1, TP1, X1, T1, T2, XJ, NPS, JP5, IP5, IP51, XXX, YYY
5	ZZZ, IST, IND, IFLG, SIG1, SIG2, SIG3, GGG, DEN, XNU1, XNUZ, XNU3, IR1,
. 6	XMX, XMY, XMZ, SIG4, SIG5, KR, SQI1, SF, SQI, SG, BF, LPS, SEG1, SEG2,
7	SUM,LNCT,XIPT(400),YIPT(400),ZIPT(400),VX(400),VY(400),
8	VZ(400),VXF(400),VYF(400),VZF(400),NXPT,NAB,FACTR,RB
1 D(0 7 1=1,NXPT
V	X(I) = 0.0
V	Y(1) = 0.0
V	Z(1) = 0.0
2 0	0 5 J=1,NB1
S	IG2 = SQRT((XIPT(1)-X(1,J))**2+(YIPT(1)-Y(1,J))**2+(ZIPT(1)-
ī	7(1, J))**2)
3 0	0 4 K=1.NW
S	IG1 = SIG2
S	IG2 = SORT((XIPT(1)-X(K+1.J))**2+(YIPT(1)-Y(K+1.J))**2+(ZIPT(1)-
1	7(K+1.J))**2)
S	$FGSQ = SFG(K \cdot J) \neq 2$
H	M1 = S1G1 + 2 + S1G2 + 2
11	E(HM)_GT_SEGSOIGO_TO_30
H	M2 = _25+((\$1G1+\$1G2)++2-\$EG\$Q)+(\$EG\$Q-(\$1G1-\$1G2)++2)/\$EG\$Q
11	E(HM2,GT,A(K,J) = 2)GO TO 30
-	GG = GAMA(K, 1)/SEG(K, 1)
6	
30 6	GG = GAMA(K.1)+(SIG1+SIG2)/(SIG1+SIG2+((SIG1+SIG2)++2-SEGSD))
31 8	$N(1) = (Y(PT(1) - Y(K_{*}J)) + (7(K_{*}J) - 7(K_{*}J_{*})) - (7(PT(1) - 7(K_{*}J_{*})) + (7(PT(1) - 7(K_{*}J_{*}))) + (7(PT(1) - 7(K_{*}J_{*})))) + (7(PT(1) - 7(K_{*}J_{*}))) + (7(PT(1) - $
	(Y(K, 1) - Y(K+1, 1))
Ť.	$N(12 = (71PT(1) - 7(K_{0})) + (X(K_{0})) - X(K+1_{0})) - (X(PT(1) - X(K_{0}))) + (X(PT(1) - X(F(1)))) + (X(PT(1))) + (X(PT(1)))) + (X(PT(1))) + (X(PT(1)))) + (X(PT(1))) + (X(PT(1))) + (X(PT(1)))) + (X(PT(1))) + (X(PT(1)))) + (X(PT(1))) + (X(PT(1)))) + (X(PT(1))) + (X(PT(1))) + (X(PT(1)))) + (X(PT(1))) + (X(PT(1)))) + (X(PT(1))) + (X(PT(1))) + (X(PT(1))) + (X(PT(1))) + (X(PT(1))) + (X(PT(1))) + (X(PT(1)))) + (X(PT(1))) + (X(PT(1))) + (X(PT(1))) + (X(PT(1))) $
·	(71K - 1) = 71K + 1 - 111
• •	NU2 = (YIDT(T)-Y/K, 1))*(V/K, 1)-V/K+1, 1))-(VIDT(T)-V/K, 1))*
1	$(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}) + ($
•	Y/TY = VY/TYANNAGG
V	V(1) = VV(1)+VN(2+6.56
v	$7/11 = \sqrt{7}(1) + \sqrt{13} + CC$
4 ()	
5 (
5 0	
	$\mathbf{D} \leftarrow \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{N} \mathbf{R}$
	00 - MODINDSA (NA# (1-1)) /NRANAR, NA)
	$E_{1} = E_{1} = E_{1$
	NII1 = -VIDT/I147/1,1147/01/114V/1.11
X.	1102
	$\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}$
	103 - "AIFILITTILIGTTIFILITTALIGE 103 - CORTIIVIDTITIAGTTIFILITALIGE
	$\frac{102}{2(1-1)} = \frac{102}{2(1-1)} = 10$
1	$c_1 + c_1 + c_1 + c_1 + c_1 + c_1 + c_1 + c_2 + c_2 + c_1 + c_1 + c_1 + c_2 $
0	$c_1 = 2101 + 2102 + (12101 + 2102) + - 2 = 1 + 0 + - 2 = 1 + 0 + - 2 = 1 + 0 + - 2 = 1 + 0 + - 2 = -$
1	FLUER+EW+U+U1 UER = +UU1 CC _ CANDI/10C1+1C1C1+C1C31/DEN
G	00 = 0AMD1114314131021/UEN

34

ł



	VX(I) = VX(I) + XNU1 = (VX(I) + XNU1)	GGG			
	VY(I) = VY(I) + XNU2 + (GGG			
,	 V7(1) = V2(1) + XNU3 + 0	GGG			
	6 CONTINUE				
	VX(I) = VX(I) + XMCL + Y	VXF(I)	-		
	VY(I) = VY(I) + VYF(I))			
Y .	VZ(I) = VZ(I) - XMSL + Y	VZF(1)		•	
1,	7 CONTINUE				
	RETURN				
	END				

(1)-

-

•

. .

1

----) -

.

.- -

• | |

35

_

.

APPENDIX II

OPERATIONAL INFORMATION FOR THE MODIFIED SUPPLEMENTAL FUSELAGE PROGRAM

This program is written completely in FORTRAN IV.

INPUTS

CARD 1	NPTS:	Number of fuselage elements N_{arphi}
	NPRNT:	Number of β_{ij} coefficients to be printed;
		i.e. NPRNT = (NPTS) (NPTS).
	NIT:	Maximum number of iterations to be
		allowed in solving the equations (in case
		of divergence of the iterations).
	NOPT:	$\int \neq 0$; indicates nonuniform flow option.
		= 0; indicates uniform flow option.
	NDVCH:	Not used.
CARD 2, 3,,	(2)(NPTS) + 1	×11, 411. 311 : ×21. 421. 321.

(=)(1(1 = 0)) + 1	~11 > 911 . 311 .	221. 921. 721.	
	×31,431,731;	X41 · Y41 · J41 ·	
	×12. 912 + \$12 >	×22,422,722.	etc.

A listing of the program is given on the pages which follow.

310FT	CALCHERE LIDIERE CALCHEATION OF DOTENTIAL FLOW ADOUT A HELTCOPTER FUSELAGE
<u> </u>	COMMON VILLOON VOLDON, VOLDON VALLON VILLON, VOLDON, VOLDON, VOLDON,
	CUMMUN AILEOUJAZELYOJAZELYOJAZELYYJAZELOUJATELEYJAYZELU/JAYZELY/
	$1 \qquad \qquad$
	$Z = \frac{1}{2} $
	$ = \frac{1}{2} + \frac$
	4 /ETAL(100), /ETA2(100), /ETA3(100), /ETA4(190), ×LK(190),
	$5 \qquad XMX(100), XNX(100), YLF(100), XMF(100), XL7(100), $
	$6 \qquad XMZ(100), XNZ(100), RIJ(4), EIJ(4), HIJ(4), DI(100), DZ(100),$
-	7 $D3(100) \cdot D4(100) \cdot B(100 \cdot 102) \cdot SIGX(100) \cdot SIGX(100) \cdot NPTS \cdot NDVCH$
	$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{EPS}_{1} \mathbf{AN}_{1} \mathbf{BN}_{2} \mathbf{GN}_{1} \mathbf{AX}_{2} \mathbf{BX}_{3} \mathbf{GX}_{4} \mathbf{AE}_{3} \mathbf{BE}_{3} \mathbf{GE}_{1} \mathbf{CX}_{4} \mathbf{CE}_{4} \mathbf{GZ}_{3} \mathbf{D5}_{4} \mathbf{D6}_{5} \mathbf{D7}_{3} \mathbf{SJ}_{3} \mathbf{NF} \mathbf{LG}_{4}$
	9 EM1, EM2, FM3, EM4, XPP, YPP, Z4P, YRPP, XIIJ, ETAIJ, ZETAIJ, RO, RI
	COMMON XIRIJ, FTARIJ, 7ETRIJ, TIJ, TRIJ, VX', VETA, V7ETA, TMP1, TMP2, TMP3,
	1 TMP4, TMP, VX, VY, VZ, AIJ, ARIJ, NL, N2, NPRNT, MPRNT, NIT
	DIMENSION X(100,4),Y(100,4),Z(100,4),XIK(100,4),FTAK(100,4),
	1 7.ETAK(100,4)
	EQUIVALENCE (X,X1),(Y,Y1),(7,Z1),(X1K,X11),(ETAK,ETA1),(ZETAK,
	1 ZETAL)
	DIMENSION VXM(100),VZM(100)
1	CALL CLEAR(X1,NIT)
	KEAD 1000,NPTS, NPRINT,NIT,NOPT,NOVCH,EPS
1000	FORMAT(516,F6.1)
	READ 1001+((X(I+J)+Y(I+J)+Z(I+J)+J=1+4)+I=1+NPTS)
_1001	FORMAT(6F12.5)
	IF (NOPT.NE.O) READ 1001, (VXM(I), VZM(I), I=1, NPTS)
	CALI DVDCHK (NDVCH)
2	DO 18 1=1,NPTS
	AN = (Y4(1) - Y2(1)) * (Z3(1) - Z1(1)) - (Z4(1) - Z2(1)) * (Y3(1) - Y1(1))
	RN = (24(1)-72(1))*(X3(1)-X1(1))-(X4(1)-X2(1))*(73(1)-71(1))
	GN = (X4(1)-X2(1))*(Y3(1)-Y1(1))-(Y4(1)-Y2(1))*(X3(1)-X1(1))
•	xBAR(1) = (x1(1)+x2(1)+x3(1)+x4(1))/4.0
	YBAR(1) = (Y1(1)+Y2(1)+Y3(1)+Y4(1))/4.0
	7BAR(1) = (21(1)+72(1)+73(1)+24(1))/4.0
3	IF(AN.NE.0.0) GU TO 8
	IF(BN.NE.0.0) GO TO 8
	IF(GN.NF.0.0) GO TO 6
- 4	WRITE(6,1002)1.(X(1.J).Y(1.J).Z(1.J).J=1.4)
1002	FORMAT(40H BAD SET OF POINTS FOR QUADRILATERAL NO. 15/4(3F9.4,5X))
	GO TO 1
6	00 7 J=1.4
	XPT(J) = X(I,J) - XBAR(I)
	YPT(J) = Y(I,J) - YBAR(I)
	7PT(J) = 0.0
1	CONTINUE
	GO TO 14
8	$\Delta MTX(1,1) = \Delta N$
	AMTX(1.2) = BN
	AMTX(1.3) = GN
	AMTX(2.1) = RN
	ANTX(2,2) = AN
	AMTX(2,3) = 0.0
	TE(AN_NE_0_0) GO TO 11
a	AMTX(3.1) = 0.0
	ANTY(3,2) = CN

-

	AMTX(3,3) = -BN	
	DO 10 J=1,4	
	AMTX(1,4) = BN*YBAR(1)+GN*ZBAP(1)	
	AMTX(2.4) = (N*X(1.J))	
	AMTX(3.4) = GN+V(1.1)-BN+7(1.1)	•
	CALL SIMSOL (AMTX-3-3)	
	VDT(1) - ANTV(1,4)-VRAP(1)	• •
	APT(J) = APTA(1) + TADPA(1)	
	TPT(J) = A TA(2) + TDAR(1)	
	$LPIIJI = AMIX(3_{9}4) - LBAK(1)$	
10		
	Gri Tri 14	
<u>_</u> 11	$(4MTX(3_{+})) = GN$	· <u>-</u>
	$\Lambda MTX(3,2) = 0.0$	
	$\Lambda MTX(3,3) = -AN$	
12	2 DO 13 J=1+4	
	AMTX(1,4) = AN*XBAR(I)+BN*YRAR(I)+GN*ZBAR(I)	
	AMTX(2+4) = BN*X(1+J)-AN*Y(1+J)	
	$\Delta MTX(3,4) = GN + X(I,J) - AN + Z(I,J)$	
	CALL SIMSOL(AMTX, 3, 3)	
	XPT(J) = AMTX(1,4) - XBAR(I)	
	YPT(J) = AMTX(2,4) - YBAR(1)	
	7PT(J) = AMTX(3.4) - ZBAR(I)	
	CONTINUE	· · ·
14	$\Delta X = XPT(3) - XPT(1)$	
• •	8x = VPT(3) - VPT(1)	
	Gx = JUT(3) - 7PT(1)	
	AF = AN\$CY+HY=CK	
	$BE = CN \pm A Y = CY \pm AN$	
	$CE = AN \pm RY \pm AY \pm RN$	
	CY = 1 (/CODT/AY=2ARY=2ARY=2ACY=2)	
	$CE = 1 \circ 0? SWRT(WATTERDATEROATEROATER)$	· · · · · · ·
	$C_{1} = 1 = 0 / C_{0} + 1 (AR + 2 + 0) + 2 + 0 + 2 + 0 + 2 + 2 + 0 + 2 + 2 + 2$	
	(L - 1)(T) = C + A + V	
	X[X[I] = CATAA	
	$X \square X \square$	
	$X \times I = C + C \times C$	
12	XLE(I) = UL*AL	
	XME(1) = UE=DF	
	XNE(1) = CF = GE	
	XLZ[1] = LZ = AN	
	XMZ[]] = C7=N	···· •••••
	XNZ(I) = C/*GN	
16	5 DN 17 J=1,4	
	X[K[I,J] = X[X[I] = XPT[J] + XMX[I] = YPT[J] + XNX[I] = 7PT[J]	
	ETAK(I,J) = XLE(I) * XPT(J) + XMF(I) * YPT(J) + XNE(I) * ZPT(J)	
	7ETAK(I,J) = XLZ(I) * XPT(J) + XMZ(I) * YPT(J) + XNZ(I) * 7PT(J)	
17	CONTINUE	
18	B CONTINUE	
19	9 DN 31 J=1+NPTS	
	D1(J) = SQRT((XI2(J)-XI1(J))**2+(ETA2(J)-ETA1(J))**2)	
	D2(J) = SORT((XI3(J)-XI2(J))**2+(FTA3(J)-ETA2(J))**2)	
	D3(J) = SQRT((X14(J)-X13(J))**?+(ETA4(J)-ETA3(J))**?)	
	D4(J) = SQRT((X11(J)+X14(J))**2+(ETA1(J)+ETA4(J))**2)	
	D5 = (XI3(J)-XI1(J))**2+(FTA3(J)-ETA1(J))**2	
	D6 = (XI4(J)-XI2(J))**2+(ETA4(J)-ETA2(J))**2	

,

t

	$D_{T} = A H A Y I / D_{T} D / A$
	I// # AMAALLUD#UD/
	$SJ = .5 \mp (XIS(J) - XII(J)) \mp (FIAZ(J) - FIAF(J))$
	TRM = X12(J) - X11(J)
	$IF(TRM \cdot EQ \cdot Q \cdot Q)TRM = 1 \cdot QE + 6$
	EM1 = (FTA2(J) - ETA1(J))/TRM
	TRM = XI3(J) - XI2(J)
	$IF(TRM \cdot FQ \cdot Q \cdot Q)TRM = 1 \cdot QE - 6$
	EM2 = (ETA3(J) - ETA2(J)) / TRM
	TRM = X14(J) - X13(J)
	IF(TRM = 0.0)TRM = 1.0F-6
	EM3 = (ETA4(J)-ETA3(J))/TRM
	TRM = XII(J) - XI4(J)
	$IF(TRM \cdot EQ \cdot Q \cdot Q) TRM = 1 \cdot QE - 6$
	EM4 = (FTA1(J)-ETA4(J))/TRM
20	DD 30 1=1+NPTS
	NFLG = 1
•	XPP = XRAR(I) - XRAR(J)
	YPP = YBAR(1) - YBAR(1)
· •• ··	7PP = 7RAR(1) - 7RAR(1)
	YRPP = -YRAR(1) - YRAR(1)
	X111 = X1X(1)+XPD+XMX(1)+YPP+XNX(1)+7PP
	$ETAT = \{Y \in I \ Y \in Y$
	7CTAIL - VI7/ 11+YDDAYM7/ 11+YDDAYN7/ 11+7DD
	$V[D] I = V[V] I] \pm V[D] + V[V] I] \pm V[V] + V[V] I] \pm V[V] + V[V] +$
	AIRIJ = ALA(J) + AFF + A A(J) + FF + A A(J) + FF + A A(J) + FF + A A(J) +
	ETAKIJ = ALE(J) + APP + APE(J) + PPP + APE(J)
	$\frac{2E1KIJ}{VIDI + 24ETADI + 247ETDI + 247ETDI + 27$
	KI = AIKIJ++2+CTAKIJ++2+751810++7
	KU = XIIJ + 2 + EIAIJ + 2 + 2 + 2 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2
	IIJ = KU/D/
	1KIJ = KI/D/
21	$[F(1] \circ NE \circ J) U U ZZ$
	VXI = 0.0
	VZETA = 6.2831853072
	GU TU 27
22	IF(TIJ.GT.6.0) G0 10 26
23	DO 24 K=1,4
	$\frac{RIJ(K) = SQRT((XIIJ-XIK(J_{0}K)) = 2 + (FIALJ-EIAK(J_{0}K)) = 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2$
	EIJ(K) = ZETAIJ + 2 + (XIIJ - XIK(J + K)) + 2
	$HIJ(K) = (ETAIJ-ETAK(J_{*}K))*(XIIJ-XIK(J_{*}K))$
24	CONTINUE
	TMP1 = ALOG((RIJ(1)+RIJ(2)-DI(J))/(RIJ(1)+RIJ(2)+DI(J)))/DI(J)
	TMP2 = ALOG((RIJ(2)+RIJ(3)-D2(J))/(RIJ(2)+RIJ(3)+D2(J)))/D2(J)
	$\frac{\text{TMP3} = \text{ALOG}((RIJ(3) + RIJ(4) - D3(J))/(((IJ(3) + RIJ(4) + D3(J)))/(D3(J))}{((IJ(3) + RIJ(4) + D3(J)))/(D3(J))}$
	TMP4 = ALOG((RIJ(4)+RIJ(1)-D4(J))/(RIJ(4)+RIJ(1)+D4(J)))/D4(2)
	VXI = (ETA1(J)-ETA2(J))*TMP1+(ETA2(J)-ETA3(J))*TMP2+(ETA3(J)-
	1 ETA4(J))*TMP3+(ETA4(J)-ETA1(J))*TMP4
	XXI = -XXI
	VETA = {X11(J)-X12(J))*TMP1+(X12(J)-X13(J))*TMP2+{X13(J)-X14(J))*
	1 TMP3+(X14(J)-X11(J))*TMP4
	IFIZETAIJ.NE.0.0) GO TO 25
	VZETA = 0.0
	GO TO 27
25	VZETA = ATAN((EM1+EIJ(1)-HIJ(1))/(7ETAIJ+RIJ(1)))-ATAN((EM1+EIJ(2)

1	-HIJ(2))/(7FTAIJ#RIJ(2)))+ATAN((EM2#EIJ(2)-HIJ(2))/(7ETAIJ
2	*RIJ(2)))-ATAN((FM2*EIJ(3)-HTJ(3))/(/ETATJ*RTJ(3)))+
3	ATAN((FM3*F1J(3)-H1J(3))/(/ETAIJ*R1J(3)))-ATAN((EM3*E1J(4)
3 4	-HIJ(4)1/(7ETAIJ*RIJ(4)))+ATAN((EM4*EIJ(4)-HIJ(4))/(ZETAIJ
	*RIJ(4)))-ATAN((EM4*EIJ())-HIJ(1))/(ZE7AIJ*RIJ(1)))
GO T	0 27
26 TMP	= SJ/(RO+SQRT(RO))
VXI	= XIIJ+TMP
VETA	= ETAIJ+TMP
V7ET	A = ZETAIJ + TMP
27 VX =	XLX(J) +VXI+XLF(J) +VETA+XL7(J) +V7ETA
VY =	XMX(J)+VXI+XME(J)+VETA+XMZ(J)+VZETA
¥Z =	XNX(J)+VXI+XNE(J)+VETA+XNZ(J)+VZETA
GO T	D (28,29),NFLG
28 ATJ	= XLZ([)*VX+XMZ(])*VY+XNZ(])*VZ
NFLG	= 2
XIIJ	= XIRIJ
ETAI	J = ETARIJ
ZETA	IJ = ZETRIJ
<u>R0 =</u>	R1
TIJ	= TRIJ
GO T	0 22
29 ARTJ	= XLZ([)*VX-XMZ([)*VY+XNZ([)*VZ
B(I,	J) = AIJ+ARIJ
30 CONT	INUE
31 CONT	INUE
N1 =	NPTS+1
N? =	NPTS+2
32 00 3	3 I=1+NPTS
[F (NOPT-NE.0) GO TO 320
B(I,	N(1) = -XLZ(1)
R(1,	N(2) = -IN((1))
GIJ T	
	N[] = -XLZ(1] + VAM(1) - XNZ(1] + VZM(1)
1110	
33 CUNI	
11-(1)	NT - MINGINDANT NOTS)
34 00 3	$N_{1} = M_{1} N U N F N T_{1} N F T_{2}$
	MINOLIAT, MODINIS
	FIG. 100211. 19. NDDINT
1003 EORM	ATTINI, 44142HONTENTIAL FLOW ABOUT & HELICOPTER FUSELAGE //501
1	11HBLI FOR J =13.2H -13.9H . I = 1-13/)
35 00 3	A LEI-MPRINT
WRIT	F(6,1004)(B(J,K),K=1,18)
1004 FORM	AT (8E16.5)
36 CONT	TNUE
37 CONT	INUE
38 CALL	SIMEQ
CALL	OUTPUT
GN T	0 1
END	

.

SIBETC 775MEQ LIST	REF	• • • • •	
C CALCULATION O	IF PUTENTIAL FLOW	ABOUT & HEL	ICOPTER FUSFLAGE - SIMFO
SUBROUTINE ST	MEQ		
	x2(100) x3(100)	-X-(100)-V1	$(100) \cdot (2(100) \cdot (3(100)))$
1 V4(100	1.71(100).72(100)	.73(100).74	(100) . XBAR (100) . YBAR (100)
2 70400	1001 AMTY/3 41 YO	123110074/4	70T(4), YI1(100), YI2(100)
Z + ZDAKI		(100) 5747	$\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \bullet $
3 •XI3(1	.001+X14(100)+ETAL	CLUUI+EIAZI	
4 ZFTA1(100),ZETA2(100),7	ETA3(100),Z	E1A4(100), XLX(100),
5 XMX(10	(0), XNX(100), XLE(1	.00), XME(100),XNE(100),XLZ(100),
6 XMZ(10	0),XNZ(10C).RIJ(4),EIJ(4),HI	J(4),D1(100),D7(100),
7 D3(100))+D4(100)+B(100+1	02),SIGX(10	0),SIGZ(10),NPTS,NDVCH,
8 EPS+AN	1, BN, GN, AX, BX, GX, A	E+BE,GE+CX+	CE,CZ,D5,D6,D7,SJ,NFLG,
9 EM1,EM	12, FM3, EM4, XPP, YPP	,ZPP,YRPP,X	IIJ, ETAIJ, ZETAIJ, RO, RI
COMMON XIRIJ,	ETARIJ, ZETRIJ, TIJ	,TRIJ,VXI,V	ETA, VZETA, TMP1, TMP2, TMP3,
1 TMP4, T	MP . VX . VY . VZ . AIJ . A	RIJ.NI.NZ.N	PRNT, MPRNT, NIT
DIMENSION X()	00.4) .Y(100.4) .Z(100.4) .XIK(100.4) .ETAK(100.4).
1 7FT	AK(100.4)		
FOULVALENCE (X . X1) . (Y . Y1) . (7 . 7	1).(XTK.XT)).(FTAK.FTA1).(7FTAK.
1 1	ETAIN		
	1001 42(100)		
	100710211007		
1 J J - H I I			
EPSI = 100.04	EPS		
2 11 = 0			
3 DU 6 L=L;NP1	5		
V1(I) = 0.0			
<u>4 00 5 J=1,NPT</u>	S		
TERM = -B(I, J))/B(I,I)		
IF(T.EQ.J) TE	RM = 0.0		
U1(I) = U1(I)	+TERM#U1(J)		
5 CONTINUE			
	+B(I,JS)/B(I,I)		
6 CONTINUE			
7 DO 10 I=1.NF	PTS		
IF(U2(I).NE.C	0.0)GO TO 8		
TMP = AHS(1)20	(I) = ((I))		
GO TO 9			
A THE = ABSILLI	(T) = (1) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T)		
O ISITAD CT LUS			
	<u> </u>		
TY CONTINUE	CO TO 13		
SIGX(1) = UI(• =	
12 CONTINUE			
<u>JS = N2</u>			
GO TO 2			
13 DO 14 I=1,NP	TS		
SIGI(I) = UI(
14 CONTINUE			
RETURN			
15 IT = IT+1			
IF (IT.GE.NI)	() GO TO 18		
16 DO 17 I=1-NP	TS		
112(1) = 111(1)			
17 CONTINUE			
TI CONTINUE			and the second

`

GO TO 3
18 [F(JS.FQ.N2) GO TO 19
WRITE(6,1000) EPS1,NIT
1000 FORMAT (5X48HEQUATIONS FOR SIGMA X DID NOT CONVERGE TO WITHIN F7.4
1 +12H PER CENT IN 16,11H ITERATIONS)
GO TO 11
19 WRITE(6,1001) EPS1,NIT
1001 FORMATE 5X48HEQUATIONS FOR SIGMA Z DID NOT CONVERGE TO WITHIN F7.4
1 ,12H PERCENT IN 16,11H ITERATIONS)
GN TN 13
END

SIBFT	C 72001 LISTARFE CALCULATION OF DOTENTIAL FLOW ABOUT A HELICODIER SUSSIACE - OUTDUT
<u>c</u>	CALCULATION OF POTENTIAL FLOW ABOUT A HELICOPTER FUSE AGE - DOTPOT
	CONMON XI(100), X2(100), X3(100), X4(100), X1(100), X2(100), X3(100),
	$Y_{4}(100) \cdot 71(100) \cdot 72(100) \cdot 73(100) \cdot 74(100) \cdot XBAR(100) \cdot YBAR(100)$
	2 .78AR(100), AMTX(3,4), XPI(4), YPT(4), ZPT(4), XI1(100), XI2(100)
-	3 .XI3(100),XI4(100),FTA1(100),FTA2(100),ETA3(100),FTA4(107).
	47FTA1(100),ZETA2(100),ZETA3(100),ZETA4(100),XLX(100),
	5 XMX(100),XNX(100),XLE(100),XME(100),XNE(100),XL7(100),
	6 XM2(100),XN2(100),RIJ(4),EIJ(4),HIJ(4),D1(100),D2(100),
	7 D3(100), D4(100), B(100,)C2), SIGX(100), SIGZ(107), NPTS, NDVCH,
	C EPSIANIONICHIAXIOXICXIACIDEICEICXICEICAIDIDIDIDIDIDIDIDI C ENI ENI ENI ENI ENI VOD VOD TOO VDOD VIIL ETALLIZETALI DO DI
	CONMON VIDILETADIL. JETDILITILITILUVI.VETA.VITADILIJILETALJIKU KU
	1 TMP4. TMP. VX. VV. V7. A LI. AR LI. N1. N2. NPRNT. MPRNT. NIT
	DIMENSION X(100.4) . Y(100.4) . Z(100.4) . XIK(100.4) . ETAK(100.4) .
	1 ZETAK(100,4)
	EQUIVALENCE (X,X1), (Y,Y1), (2,21), (XIK,X11), (ETAK, ETAL), (ZETAK,
	1 ZETA1)
. <u></u>	DIMENSION TI(100)
1	PUNCH 1000,NPTS
_1000	FIRMATI 32HZ7 HELICIPTER FUSELAGE PRUGRAM 10, 22H PUINTS - HARVEY
	14 = 4
2	00 3 I=1,NPTS
	PUNCH 1001, XBAR(I), YBAR(I), ZBAR(I), SIGX(I), SIGZ(I), 7ERO, I, II
	PUNCH 1001,X11(1),X12(1),X13(1),X14(1),ETA1(1),ETA2(1),1,12
	PUNCH 1001, ETA3(I), ETA4(I), D1(I), D2(I), D3(I), D4(I), I, I3
	PUNCH 1001, XLE(1), XME(1), XNE(1), XLZ(1), XMZ(1), XNZ(1), 1, 14
1001	
2	NUACE = NOTS/50
	IF (NPAGE = NPAGE = NPAGE + 1
4	DI 5 I=I,NPAGE
	$11 - 50 \neq (1 - 1) + 1$
	12 = MINO(11+47, NPTS)
	WRITE(6,1002)(SIGX(J),SIGZ(J),XLZ(J),XMZ(J),XNZ(J),J=11,12)
1002	FORMAT(1H1,44X42HPOTENTIAL FLOW ABOUT A HELICOPTER FUSELAGE //18X
	1 /HSIGMA X, 10X/HSIGMA L, 12XIIHLAMBUA /EIA, 14X/MMU LEIA, 10X
5	2 (ANU LETA / TECTODINE CONTINUE
1003	FORMAT (80H++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
	[**************************************
6	DN 7 I=1+NPTS
	T1(1) = -YRAR(1)
7	CONTINUE
	PUNCH 1004, (XBAR(I), YBAR(I), ZBAR(I), XBAR(I), T1(I), ZBAR(I),
1.0.0.1	1 [=1,NPTS]
1004	TURMAI(978.3) Dethon

.

_	1.4	
0.74m		

Ŧ

Unclassified

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CO (Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexis	NTROL DATA · R&	D Itered when	the overall report is classified)
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		24. REPO	RT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, Inc. Buffalo, New York 14221		Uncl	lassified
		25 GROUI	P
3. REPORT TITLE		L	
Theoretical Prediction of the Flow in	the Wake of a	Helico	pter Rotor
Addendum - Effects Due to a Fuselag	e in a Constan	t, Nonu	niform Flow
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)			<u></u>
Final Report Addendum January	- May 1966		
S. AUTHOR(S) (Leer name, inter name, interal)			
Trenka Andrew B			
TTENKA, ANDTOW R.			
6. REPORT DATE	74. TOTAL NO. OF P	AGES	76. NO. OF REFS
August 1966	45		<u> </u>
SA. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	Se. ORIGINATOR'S RE	PORT NUM	18 E R(5)
DA 30-069-AMC-645(R) b. PROJECT NO.	BB-1994-S	- 3	
с.	S. OTHER REPORT	NO(S) (Any	other numbers that may be assigned
d.	<u> </u>		
Distanth.			
DISCHIDU	itton of this docume	nt is unli	imited.
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Parts 1 and 2	12. SPONSORING MILI	TARY ACTI	VITY
lem formulation, computational re-	Ballistic R	y esearch	Laboratories
sults and digital programs. Aberdeen Proving Ground. Marvland			Ground, Maryland
13. ABSTRACT	L		
This addendum reports the resul	ts of an extens	ion to	the study to determine
the time varying flow in the vicinity of	a helicopter r	otor in	hovering and forward
flight and having a fuselage immersed	in the rotor wa	ake. T	he purpose of this
extension was to compare two models	representing t	he fuse	lage. The first fuse-
lage model, reported in Parts 1 and 2,	, was based up	on the	assumption that the
hased upon the assumption that the fus	elage was imm	w; the	in a constant but non-
uniform flow.	ciage was min	leiseu	in a constant but non-
Comparisons between the two me	odels are pres	ented.	Program listings and
operational information related to the	programs are	given i	n the appendi ces.
DD FORM 1473		Un	classified

Security Classification

Unclassified

Security Classification

14				I INK D		1 1 1 1 1 1		
KEY WORDS								
		HOLE	W T	ROLE	WT	HOLE	WT	
Helicopter Rotor Rotor Flow Field Aerodynamics Fluid Dynamics Digital Computations								
INST	RUCTIONS	3						
 ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of De- fense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report. 2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the over- all security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accord- ance with appropriate security regulations. 2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Di- rective 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as author- ized. 	 AVAILABILITY/LIMITA ΓΙΟΝ NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as: "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC." "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized." "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through 							
3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classifica- tion, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.	• (4)	 (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through 						
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.	(5)							
5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.	If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indi- cate this fact and enter the price, if known. 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explana- tory notes. 12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (pay- ing for) the research and development. Include address. 13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical re- port. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.							
6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year; or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.								
74. IUTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.								
references cited in the report. 84. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate enter								
the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written. 8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate	It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified re- ports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S),							
military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.	 (C), or (U). There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. ever, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words. 14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful or short phrases that characterize a report and may be us index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must selected so that no security classification is required. 						. How-	
9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the offi- cial report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.							ul terms used as st be Iden-	
assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).	fiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, mili- tary project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.							