AD 6372722



HEL-Sponsored Report 295/66

The Investigation Reported Marein Was Conducted Under

Contract Number <u>N161-26236</u>

for the

CLEARINGHOUSE FOR FEDERAL SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION Hardcopy Microfighe 61 \$3.00 ,75 ß pp COPY ARCHIVE

Natural Frequencies and Damping Capabilities of Laminated Beams

by

R. A. DiTaranto, Ph.D. 24 June 196**6**

Assignment MEL - R & D Report 295/66

Re de Jaranto

R. A. DiTaranto, Ph.D. 436 Alliston Road Springfield, Pa. 19064

and

Professor of Engineering PMC Colleges Chester, Pennsylvania

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the U. S. Government

ABSTRACT

Further analytical investigations are made into the damping capability and determination of natural frequencies of laminated beams, consisting of elasticviscoelastic-elastic layers, as a means for reducing the vibratory energy transmitted through machine foundation supports in naval vessels.

An exact analytical solution is obtained for determining the natural frequencies of simply-supported sandwich beams having no rivets at the ends. Three possible modes of vibration are shown to exist. The case of the simply-supported sandwich beam having rivets at each end is considered and the equations reduced to the solution of 12×12 determinant for calculation on a digital computer.

An approximate method is suggested for determining the natural frequencies of sandwich beams having any end conditions. The procedure is simple to use and is exact for simply-supported beams.

A simple but approximate expression is also developed for determining the composite loss factors of sandwich beams. The procedure yields good engineering results.

Administrative Information

This study was conducted under contract No. N161-26236 and this report is submitted as part of the requirements thereof in connection with the Structural Damping Program of the Ships Silencing Division of the U. S. Navy Marine Engineering Laboratory.

References:

- DiTaranto, R. A. "Summary Report on Structural Foundation Damping Program" RAD Project #101 August 28, 1964.
- 2. DiTaranto, R. A. "Summary Report on Structural Foundation Damping Program" RAD Project #100 September 6, 1963.
- 3. DiTaranto, R. A. and Blasingame, W. "Composite Loss Factors of Selected Laminated Beams", MEL R&D Report 18/65, February 1965.
- DiTaranto, R. A. "Theory of Vibratory Bending for Elastic and Viscoelastic Layered Finite-Length Beams" Journal of Applied Mechanics December 1965.
- 5. DiTaranto, R. A. "Analytical Investigation of the Damping Capability of Laminated Beams" MEL R&D Report 235/65 June 18, 1965.
- DiTaranto, R. A. "A Short Survey of Viscoelastic Theory" MEL R&D Report 37/66.

1

.-

 $\zeta_1 = mid-plane$ extension $R = R_1(1+i\beta)$ β = material loss factor $R_1 = \frac{G_1 b}{2H_2 K_1}$ b = width of beam $G = G_1(1+i\beta) - complex shear modulus$ G₁ = real part of complex modulus $2H_1$ = thickness of elastic layer $2H_2$ = thickness of viscoelastic layer K = EAE = elastic modulus A = cross-sectional area $\delta = H_1 + H_3 + 2H_2 = H_1 + H_3$ ρ = mass per unit length B = EI $I = \frac{1}{12} b(2H_1)^3$ $s = \frac{K_1 + K_3}{K_1}$ $\omega^2 = \omega_1^2 (1+i_n)$ ω_1 = natural circular frequency γ = mass density of elastic material $h_{1} \stackrel{\circ}{=} 48 \frac{\gamma E}{G_{1}^{2}} H_{2}^{2} \omega_{1}^{2}$ = composite loss factor η L = length of beam

iv

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Abstract | ii |
| Administrative Information | iii |
| References | iii |
| Nomenclature | iv |
| Synopsis | ٦ |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Scope of Study | 1 |
| Results | .2 |
| Continued Investigation | 4 |
| Determination of the Natural Frequencies of sandwich beams - exact solution | 5 |
| Simply-supported=3 layer beam | |
| Ends unconstrained | 9 |
| Ends constrained (riveted) | 20 |
| Determination of Natural Frequencies | |
| Approximate Method | 30 |
| Miscellaneous Considerations | 42 |
| Determination of the natural frequencies for small values of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ | 44 |
| Design of a Sandwich Beam for Optimum Composite Loss-Factor | 47 |
| A suggested method for determining the Shear Moduli and Loss Factor | 49 |
| An approximate equation for the composite loss-factor | 50 |
| Comments on the apparent increase in ω_1 when damping is present | 52 |
| Errata | 54 |

۷

,

Ш,

Natural Frequencies and Damping Capability of Laminated Beams

I. Synopsis

5

- 1.0 Introduction
- 1.1 Overall Program: As part of the overall Navy Program to reduce noise emanating from vessels, a "Structural Damping Program" is in progress at the U. S. Navy MEL. The aim of this program is to investigate methods for attenuating vibratory energy in the structure between the machines and the hull.
- 1.2 Specific Program: The U.S.N.M.E.L. has taken an approach to accomplish the above goal through the use of laminated material as structural members. This material is made of steel and viscoelastic layers, so that structural rigidity is maintained concurrent with damping (or dissipation of vibratory energy).
- 1.3 Previous Results. Tests have shown that reasonable amounts of damping are possible by using laminated material. In order to better understand, use and design with the material analytical investigations have been in progress. The results of References 1,2,3 and 4 indicate that mathematical expressions are available for determining the composite loss-factor of a laminated beam as a function of the natural frequency. These results also show that the composite lossfactor versus frequency curve is independent of the boundary conditions and solely dependent upon the physical and geometric properties of the cross-section of the beam. The natural frequencies themselves are dependent upon the boundary conditions. In reference 5 the free and forced vibrations of sandwich beams were investigated. The eigenvalues $n_{0s} s_{1s} s_{2s} t_{2s}$ the and the were obtained as a function of h_{1} and plotted. The mathematical expression for $\zeta_{y}\zeta'$, y, ϕ , \ddot{M} and V were explicitely written in terms of the eigenvalues. A generalized plot is given of the composite loss factor versus h_1 and β from which the composite loss-factor n can be readily found. Reference 6 is a short survey of the theory of viscoelasticity especially as it pertains to this program.
- 2.0 Scope of this Study: Details of the free vibrations of sandwich beams have been investigated. The 'exact' natural frequencies have been obtained for a simply-supported sandwich beam. In view of the complexity of the 'exact' solution.

•] »

approximate solutions have been evolved which can be used to calculate the natural frequencies of sandwhich beams having any end conditions. These approximate procedures allow one to design beams for optimum damping and/or measure the properties of the viscoelastic material.

3.0 Results

3.1 Natural Frequencies - Exact: The two cases considered are the simply-supported sandwich beam with and without rivets at each end. The unriveted case yielded the analytical result that a natural frequency exists when

$$\sin n_0^2 R_1^2 L = 0$$

or

$$n_0^{\frac{1}{2}} R^{\frac{1}{2}} L = n\pi$$
.

This result is to be expected and is the result for a sinusoidal deflection $y = y_0 \sin \frac{n\pi x}{L}$. An unexpected result is that natural frequencies exist when

$$2t_{1}^{*} R_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} L = n\pi$$

and

$$2t_2'' R_1^{\frac{1}{2}} L = m\pi$$
.

This result appears to be new and indicates that natural frequencies can occur in simply-supported sandwich beams for other than sinusoidal mode shapes. The t_1^* vs h_1 curves show that only the lower values of n yield natural frequencies and a cut-off value of n exists above which none of this type of mode of vibration occurs. The t_2^* vs h_1 curve displays a similar characteristic; i.e. only the first few values of n yield natural frequencies and a cut-off value of n exists above which no natural frequencies exist.

The case having riveted ends did not yield to a closedform solution and required the calculation of a 12 by 12 determinant. The necessary elements of the determinant are presented in a form ready for use in a digital computer.

- 2 -

- 3.2 Approximate Relations for determining the Natural Frequencies of Sandwich Beams.
 - (a) The natural frequency is written in the form

$$\omega_{1}^{2} = \frac{a_{n}}{oL^{2}} [B_{1} + B_{2} + K_{j} \delta^{2} \alpha]$$

in which

?

- an is a constant dependent upon end~conditions (see page 35).
- ρ is the mass/unit length
- B_i is the stiffness of the ith elastic layer.
- $K_1 = EA_1$
- A_1 is the cross-sectional area of the elastic layer.
- δ is the distance between the neutral axes of layer 1 and 3.
- α is shear parameter given by equation ΠF_{μ}

For the case in which $\beta \ll 1$, it is found that

$$\alpha = \left[a_{n}\left(\frac{2H_{1}2H_{2}}{L^{2}}\right)\frac{E}{G} + 2\right]^{-1}$$

and

$$\omega_{1}^{2} = \frac{4EH_{1}^{3}a_{n}^{2}}{3(4\gamma_{1}H_{1}+2\gamma_{2}H_{2})L^{4}} [1+6\alpha].$$

- 3.3 A simplified method for determining the shear moduli and lossfactor of a viscoelastic for β .<<1 is proposed in section III in which the composite loss factor n and natural frequency ω_1 are measured and G_1 and β calculated. This procedure may be used as a procurement test-method for the viscoelastic material.
- 3.4 Optimum damping for a sandwich beam.
 - (a) Design Given E, H_2 ; γ , ω_1 and the end conditions, one

- 3 -

can determine the optimum length of the beam and elastic layer thickness to yield maximum damping for a given viscoelastic material.

- (b) Design of the shear properties of the viscoelastic may be performed so that optimum damping may be G_1 obtained over a wide frequency range. By letting $\overline{w_1}$ be constant for the maximum value of n, maximum damping is attainable over the frequency range. Thus, if the real part of the shear modulus is specifically designed into a material to increase ω_1 , then an optimum material can be obtained. In all cases the tangent loss factor, β_2 should be as large as possible.
- 3.5 An approximate equation has been found for the composite loss factor of a sandwich beam, which is within 10% of the correct value. The relation is

$$h_{1}^{*} = .66\beta \frac{h_{1}^{*}8}{[(2+.475 h_{1}^{*})^{2}+(2\beta)^{2}]}$$

- 3.6 It is further shown in section III, that the natural frequency of a sandwich beam increases with damping. This is in agreement with a result derived in reference 3.
- 4.0 Continued Investigations

It is recommended that the following be considered for further investigations of sandwich beams.

- 4.1 Computer solutions be performed to find the natural frequencies for the following end-condition
 - a. Simply-supported riveted ends
 - b. Free-free
 - c. Cantilever
 - d. Fixed fixed
 - e. Fixed-pinned

Correlation with the approximate methods should be investigated.

4.2 Consider the mode shapes and meaning of the natural frequencies associated with

 $t_1L = n\pi$ and $t_2L = m\pi$.

Consider correlation with tests if necessary

<u>~</u>4~

- II. Determination of the natural frequencies of sandwich beams exact solution.
 - 1.0 As discussed in reference 5, the determination of the natural frequencies of three layer laminated beams may be found by satisfying six boundary conditions, i.e., the usual four of deflection, and/or slope, and/or moment and/or shear; plus an extensional effect at each end, i.e. zero stress, and/or zero deflection and/or zero shear.

The equations for extensional effect

 $\zeta \approx 0$ $\zeta'' = 0$ $\zeta'' = 0$ and for 'lateral' effects y = 0

> φ ~ 0 M = 0

V = 0

are given in reference 5, as equations II=1C, II=2, II=3, II=5, II-7, II-8, and II=10. Equations for M and V are rewritten in the appendix as errata to II=8 and II=10. The general case of different elastic layers can be solved on the basis of individual cases. In general, the solution requires the evaluation of a 12 by 12 determinant and the results cannot be easily generalized. The special but important results of the sandwich beam can be generalized and this case has been solved herein for the simply-supported beam with and without rivets at each end. The simply-supportedno-rivets case requires that

 $M = y = \zeta = 0$.

since the moment deflection and axial stress is zero at each end. These six boundary conditions yield 12 linear homogeneous equations. By selecting, the coordinate system at one end, the twelve equations become two sets of six equations each having

- 5 -

its own set of six undetermined coefficients. Since one set is independent of the length of the beam (the only remaining variable in the determinant of coefficients) then this set can only be satisfied, in general, if the six undetermined coefficients in that determinantal set are all zero. The determinant of coefficients of the second set of six equations can be made equal to zero for three cases

 $\sin a_1 L = 0$ $\sin 2t_1 L = 0$

and

$$\sin 2t_2 L = 0$$

The first case is the expected one in which the mode shape is sinusoidal ($y = y_0 \sin \frac{n\pi X}{L}$) and the natural frequencies are obtained when

$$a_1 = \frac{n\pi}{L}$$

or

 $n_0 = \left(\frac{n\pi}{L}\right)^2 \frac{1}{R_1}$

where n is an integer 1, 2, 3, etc. For a given $L_s R_1$ and n

the value of n_0 may be computed. With this value of n_0 and a value of β_0 one may find h₁ from the curve on page II-34 of reference 5. These natural frequencies correspond to the usual relation

$$\omega^2 = n^2 \pi^2 \frac{EI}{\rho L}$$

The second and third cases were unexpected results. These imply that natural frequencies also occur for a simply-supported no-rivets case at other frequencies and mode-shapes than sinusoidal. The mode shapes were not investigated but the frequencies were. For a natural frequency to exist

 $2t_{1}L = n\pi$

or

 $2t_2L = m\pi$

- 6 -

where m and n are integers. A given value of n or m and L yields a value for t_1 and t_2 which can be used in curves II-37 and II-38 of reference 5. Recalling that

$$t_{l} = R_{l}t_{l}^{\dagger}$$

and

~**`A**

$$t_2 = R_1 t_2^{"}$$

the values of t_1^* and t_2^* may be calculated and used in the curves to obtain h_1 from which ω_1 may be obtained.

It is seen that the t¹₁ type of vibration could yield an h₁ for a given L and n = 1 and for n = 2 it is possible for lower value of h₁ to be obtained. At some value of n and ß the curves indicate a cut-off of any of these type of vibrations. Thus the lower mode is associated with a high natural frequency of vibration in this kind of vibration. This is contrary to the usual vibration phenomenon and merits further academic investigation. The t⁴₂ type of vibration can yield a value of h₁ for m = 1 and a given L. For higher values of m, larger values of h₁ may be found until a cut-off frequency is reached. This too merits further academic study. The simplysupported beam with riveted ends requires that

M = 0

y ≃ 0

and

 $\zeta^{\circ \circ} = 0$

at each end.

Since each equation is complex, the six boundary conditions yield twelve linear homogeneous algebraic equations. Unlike the unriveted case, the resulting 12×12 determinant does not simplify by factoring. In this case, the origin of the coordinate system was placed at the center of the beam and the equations written accordingly. In order to retain some generalization to the results, a factor of R_1 was eliminated from each of the equations by using

- 7 -



and using $F = R_1^{\frac{1}{2}}L$ as a parameter. The specific equations and the 144 elements of the resulting determinant have been written and are to be used to find the natural frequencies of this type of beam. Computations are in progress on the IBM 360 computer for finding the first five natural frequencies. Using the results of reference 5 for n_0 , s_1^i , s_2^i , t_1^i and t_2^i and values of h_1 equal to 6, 20, 50, 150, 500, 2000 and 5000 for β equal to .1 and 1, values of F will be found which satisfy the 12 x 12 determinant. These results will be reported in the future as a plot F vs h_1 for the first five modes of vibration. 2.0 Simply-supported - 3 layer beam .. ends unconstrained

The boundary conditions involve the Moment, the deflection y and the axial strain.

$$M = K_1 \delta \zeta_1^* + (B_1 + B_3) \phi^*$$

and

Now

$$\phi' = \frac{s}{\delta} c_1' - \frac{1}{R\delta} c_1'' - \frac{1}{R\delta} c_1''$$

so that

$$M = [K_{1}\delta + (B_{1}+B_{1})\frac{s}{\delta}]\varsigma_{1}^{\prime} - (\frac{B_{1}+B_{3}}{R\delta})\varsigma_{1}^{\prime}'' = -3$$

also

 $\zeta' = 0 \quad 0 = 0 \quad \& = L$

and in addition

$$y = \frac{s}{\delta} \int \zeta dx - \frac{\zeta'}{R\delta} \,.$$

In view of the fact that ζ^{*} must be zero at each end, then this term in M and y may be dropped so that for these boundary conditions, there remains

| 51 | = 0 | |
|------|-----|----------------|
| ∫çdx | = 0 | @ x = 0 and |
| ς' | = 0 | x - L. |

In order to evaluate the above expressions the values of the coefficients R_{ij} , S_{ij} , T_{ij} , U_{ij} and $\int R_{ij}$ dx are evaluated at x = 0. They are:

- 9 -

$$S_{31} = 0$$

$$S_{32} = 0$$

$$S_{41} = s_{1}$$

$$S_{42} = t_{1}$$

$$T_{31} = (s_{1}^{2} - t_{1})$$

$$T_{32} = 2s_{1}t_{1}$$

$$T_{41} = 0$$

$$T_{42} = 0$$

$$U_{31} = 0$$

$$U_{32} = 0$$

$$U_{41} = s_1 [s_1^2 - 3t_1^2]$$

$$U_{42} = t_1 [3s_1^2 - t_1^2]$$

$$x = \frac{s_1}{s_1^2 + t_1^2}$$
$$x = -\frac{t_1}{s_1^2 + t_1^2}$$

به

$$+ E_{62} \frac{t_2}{s_2^2 + t_2^2} = 0$$

- 11 -

-

$$(3-2) \quad -E_{22} \frac{1}{a_1} - E_{41} \frac{t_1}{s_1^2 + t_1^2} + E_{42} \frac{s_1}{s_1^2 + t_1^2} - E_{61} \frac{t_2}{s_2^2 + t_2^2} + E_{62} \frac{s_2}{s_2^2 + t_2^2} = 0$$

These six equations are all independent of the length L, indicating that the only non-trivial solution is for:

$$E_{21} = 0$$

 $E_{22} = 0$
 $E_{41} = 0$
 $E_{42} = 0$
 $E_{61} = 0$
 $E_{62} = 0$

0 x = L

- 12 -

ب معون

$$U_{31} = s_1[s_1^2 - 3t_1^2] R_{41} - t_1 [3s_1^2 - t_1^2]R_{42}$$

$$U_{32} = s_1[s_1^2 - 3t_1^2] R_{42} + t_1 [3s_1^2 - t_1^2]R_{41}$$

$$U_{41} = s_1[s_1^2 - 3t_1^2] R_{31} - t_1 [3s_1^2 - t_1^2]R_{32}$$

$$U_{42} = s_1[s_1^2 - 3t_1^2] R_{32} + t_1 [3s_1^2 - t_1^2]R_{31}$$

$$U_{51} = s_2[s_2^2 - 3t_2^2] R_{61} - t_2 [3s_2^2 - t_2^2]R_{62}$$

$$U_{52} = s_2[s_2^2 - 3t_2^2] R_{62} + t_2 [3s_2^2 - t_2^2]R_{61}$$

$$U_{61} = s_2[s_2^2 - 3t_2^2] R_{51} - t_2 [3s_2^2 - t_2^2]R_{52}$$

$$U_{62} = s_2[s_2^2 - 3t_2^2] R_{52} + t_2 [3s_2^2 - t_2^2]R_{51}$$

Letting

?

 $P_{ij} = \int R_{ij} dx$

We have

ł

$$P_{31} = \frac{s_1}{s_1^2 + t_1^2} R_{41} + \frac{t_1}{s_1^2 + t_1^2} R_{42}; P_{52} = \frac{s_2}{s_2^2 + t_2^2} R_{62} - \frac{t_2}{s_2^2 + t_2^2} R_{61}$$

$$P_{32} = \frac{s_1}{s_1^2 + t_1^2} R_{42} - \frac{t_1}{s_1^2 + t_1^2} R_{41}; P_{61} = \frac{s_2}{s_2^2 + t_2^2} R_{51} + \frac{t_2}{s_2^2 + t_2^2} R_{52}$$

$$P_{41} = \frac{s_1}{s_1^2 + t_1^2} R_{31} + \frac{t_1}{s_1^2 + t_1^2} R_{32}; P_{62} = \frac{s_2}{s_2^2 + t_2^2} R_{52} - \frac{t_2}{s_2^2 + t_2^2} R_{51}$$

$$P_{42} = \frac{s_1}{s_1^2 + t_1^2} R_{32} - \frac{t_1}{s_1^2 + t_1^2} R_{31}$$

$$P_{51} = \frac{s_2}{s_2^2 + t^2} R_{61} + \frac{t_2}{s_2^2 + t_2^2} R_{62}$$

- 13 -

Considering the boundary conditions at the other end.

(4) at
$$x = L$$

 $z'= 0$
(4-1) $-E_{11} a_1 \sin a_1 L + E_{31} S_{31} - E_{32} S_{32} + E_{51} S_{51} - E_{52} S_{52} = 0$
and
(4-2) $-E_{12} a_1 \sin a_1 L + E_{31} S_{32} + E_{32} S_{31} + E_{51} S_{52} + E_{52} S_{51} = 0$
(5) at $x = L$
 $z''' = 0$
so that
(5-1) $E_{11} a_1^3 \sin a_1 L + E_{31} U_{31} - E_{32} U_{32} + E_{51} U_{51} - E_{52} U_{52} = 0$
and
(5-2) $E_{12} a_1^3 \sin a_1 L + E_{31} U_{32} + E_{32} U_{31} + E_{51} U_{52} + E_{52} U_{51} = 0$
(6) at $x = L$
 $\int z dx = 0$
so that
(6-1) $E_{11} (\frac{\sin a_1 L}{a_1}) + E_{31} [\frac{s_1}{s_1^2 + t_1^2} R_{41} + \frac{t_1}{s_1^2 + t_1^2} R_{42}]$
 $-E_{32} [\frac{s_1}{s_1^2 + t_1^2} R_{42} - \frac{t_1}{s_1^2 + t_1^2} R_{41}] + E_{51} [\frac{s_2}{s_2^2 + t_2^2} R_{61} + \frac{t_2}{s_2^2 + t_2^2} R_{62}]$

•

$$-E_{52}\left[\frac{s_2}{s_2^2 + t_2^2}R_{62} - \frac{t_2}{s_2^2 + t_2^2}R_{61}\right] = 0$$

and

ł

- 14 -

.

and the second secon

$$(6-2) \quad E_{12}(\frac{\sin a_{1}L}{a_{1}}) + E_{31}[\frac{s_{1}}{s_{1}^{2} + t_{1}^{2}}R_{42} - \frac{t_{1}}{s_{1}^{2} + t_{1}^{2}}R_{41}]$$
$$-E_{32}[\frac{s_{1}}{s_{1}^{2} + t_{1}^{2}}R_{41} + \frac{t_{1}}{s_{1}^{2} + t_{1}^{2}}R_{42}]$$
$$-E_{51}[\frac{s_{2}}{s_{2}^{2} + t_{2}^{2}}R_{62} - \frac{t_{2}}{s_{2}^{2} + t_{2}^{2}}R_{61}]$$
$$-E_{52}[\frac{s_{2}}{s_{2}^{2} + t_{2}^{2}}R_{61} + \frac{t_{2}}{s_{2}^{2} + t_{2}^{2}}R_{62}] = 0$$

It is seen that when the six above equations are written in matrix form

 $|a_{ij}| |E_{ik}| = 0$

that the first two columns are made up of a the common factor sin a_lL , so that the matrix equation may be written as

(6-4)
$$\sin^2 a L |b_{ij}| |E_{ik}| = 0$$

The matrix $\left| {{_{jj}}} \right|$ is the same as $\left| {a_{ij}} \right|$ except for the first two columns in which

$$(6-5) \qquad a_{il} = b_{il} \sin a_{lL}$$

and

(6-6)
$$a_{i2} = b_{i2} \sin a_{1}L.$$

Equation () shows that a solution is obtained when

$$(6-7) \qquad \qquad \sin a_1 L = 0$$

 $(\hat{o}-8)$ $a_{j}L = n_{\pi}$ or

(6-9)
$$a_1 = \frac{n\pi}{L}$$
.

The $\mathbf{b}_{i\,j}$ determinant has the following elements

$$b_{11} = -a_1 \qquad b_{21} = 0$$

$$b_{12} = 0 \qquad b_{22} = -a_1$$

$$b_{13} = s_1 R_{41} - t_1 R_{42} \qquad b_{23} = s_1 R_{42} + t_1 R_{41}$$

$$b_{14} = -s_1 R_{42} - t_1 R_{41} \qquad b_{24} = s_1 R_{41} - t_1 R_{42}$$

$$b_{15} = s_2 R_{61} - t_2 R_{62} \qquad b_{25} = s_2 R_{62} + t_2 R_{61}$$

$$b_{16} = -s_2 R_{62} - t_2 R_{61} \qquad b_{26} = s_2 R_{61} - t_2 R_{62}$$

$$b_{31} = a_1^{3} \qquad b_{41} = 0$$

$$b_{32} = 0 \qquad b_{42} = a_1^{3}$$

$$b_{33} = C_1 R_{41} - C_2 R_{42} \qquad b_{43} = C_1 R_{42} + C_2 R_{41}$$

$$b_{34} = -C_1 R_{42} - C_2 R_{41} \qquad b_{44} = C_1 R_{41} - C_2 R_{42}$$

$$b_{35} = C_3 R_{61} - C_4 R_{62} \qquad b_{45} = C_3 R_{61} - C_4 R_{62}$$

$$b_{36} = -C_3 R_{62} + C_4 R_{61} \qquad b_{46} = C_3 R_{62} + C_4 R_{61}$$

$$e^{C_1} = s_1 (s_1^2 - 3t_1^2) \qquad \text{and} \qquad C_2 = t_2 (3s_1^2 - t_1^2)$$

where

)

)

$$C_1 = s_1(s_1^2 - 3t_1^2)$$
 and
 $C_3 s_2(s_2^2 - 3t_2^2)$ and

$$b_{45} = C_3 R_{61} - C_4 R_{62}$$

$$b_{46} = C_3 R_{62} + C_4 R_{61}$$

$$C_2 = t_1 (3s_1^2 - t_1^2)$$

$$C_4 = t_2 (3s_2^2 - t_2^2)$$

-. .

$$b_{51} = \frac{1}{a_1}$$

$$b_{52} = 0$$

$$b_{52} = 0$$

$$b_{61} = 0$$

$$b_{62} = \frac{1}{a_1}$$

$$b_{53} = d_1 R_{41} + d_2 R_{42}$$

$$b_{63} = d_1 R_{42} - d_2 R_{41}$$

$$b_{54} = -d_1 R_{42} + d_2 R_{41}$$

$$b_{64} = -d_1 R_{41} - d_2 R_{42}$$

$$b_{55} = d_3 R_{61} + d_4 R_{62}$$

$$b_{65} = -d_3 R_{62} + d_4 R_{61}$$

$$b_{56} = -d_3 R_{62} + d_4 R_{61}$$

$$b_{66} = -d_3 R_{61} - d_4 R_{62}$$
where $d_1 = \frac{s_1}{s_1^2 + t_1^2}$ and $d_2 = \frac{t_1}{s_1^2 + t_1^2}$

 $d_3 = \frac{s_2}{s_2^2 + t_2^2}$ and

$$d_4 = \frac{t_2}{s_2^2 + t_2^2}$$

- 16 -

Equation (6-4) may be written as the sum of two matrices or

$$b_{ij} E_{kj} + b_{ij} E_{kj} = 0.$$

| -a ₁ | 0 | s ₁ R ₄₁ | -s1 ^R 42 | ^s 2 ^R 61 | -s2 ^R 62 | E ₁₁ |
|------------------|-----|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| 0 | -a1 | t1 ^R 41 | -t1 ^R 42 | ^t 2 ^R 61 | -t2 ^R 62 | E ₁₂ |
| a ₁ 3 | 0 | C ₁ R ₄₁ | - ^C 1 ^R 42 | ^C 3 ^R 61 | -C3R62 | E ₁₃ |
| 0 | | C2R41 | - ^C 2 ^R 42 | ^C 3 ^R 61 | ^C 3 ^R 62 | E ₁₄ |
| 0 | 0 | d ₁ R ₄₁ | -d1 ^R 42 | ^d 3 ^R 61 | ^d 3 ^R 62 | E ₁₅ |
| 0 | 0 | -d2 ^R 41 | -d2 ^R 42 | ^d 4 ^R 61 | -d ₄ R ₆₂ | E ₁₆ |

- 7.

| | 0 | 0 | -t1 ^R 42 | -t ₁ R ₄₁ | -t ₂ R ₆₂ | -t ₂ R ₆₁ | E ₁₁ | | |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----|--------|
| | 0 | 0 | s1 ^R 42 | ^s 1 ^R 41 | ^s 2 ^R 62 | -s ₂ ^R 61 | E ₁₂ | | |
| + | 0 | 0 | ∽ ^C 2 ^R 42 | ^{-C} 2 ^R 41 | -C4 ^R 62 | C4R61 | E ₁₃ | - 0 | (6.10) |
| • | 0 | 0 | C1R42 | C ₁ R ₄₁ | -C4R62 | ^C 4 ^R 61 | E ₁₄ | - 0 | (0=10) |
| | $\frac{1}{a_1}$ | 0 | ^d 2 ^R 42 | ^d 2 ^R 41 | ^d 4 ^R 62 | d ₄ R ₆₁ | E ₁₅ | | • |
| | 0 | $\frac{1}{a_1}$ | ^d 1 ^R 42 | -d,R41 | -d3 ^R 62 | -d ₃ R ₆₁ | E ₁₆ | | |

*

,

•

~~ ~

It is seen that the above equation may be written in the form

and any the construction of the co

$${}^{R}_{41}{}^{R}_{42}{}^{R}_{61}{}^{R}_{62} {}^{C}_{ij} {}^{E}_{kj} + {}^{R}_{42}{}^{R}_{41}{}^{R}_{62}{}^{R}_{61} {}^{D}_{ij} {}^{E}_{kj} = 0$$
 (6-11)

an and the state of the state o

and the second second

Now

• ×

ي يەرىكەن بەركىرى بەركىيە

therefore

$${}^{R}_{41}{}^{R}_{42}{}^{R}_{61}{}^{R}_{62} = 0 \tag{6-12}$$

This becomes

sinh s₁L cos t₁L sin t₁L cosh s₁L sinh s₂L cos t₂L sin t₂L cosh s₂L = 0 or

$$(\frac{1}{2} \sin 2t_1 L)(\frac{1}{2} \sin 2t_2 L)(\frac{1}{2} \sinh 2s_1 L)(\frac{1}{2} \sinh s_2 L) = 0$$
 (6-13)

therefore

$$2t_1L = n\pi$$
 (6-14)

and

$$2t_2L = m\pi$$
. (5-15)

Using the relation developed in ref (5) we have

$$t_{1}^{*} R_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} = t_{1}$$
 (6-16)

$$t_2^* R_1^{\frac{1}{2}} = t_2^{(6-17)}$$

so that a natural frequency exists when

$$2t_1^{R_1^{L}} = 2t_1^{r} = n\pi$$
 (6-18)

and

$$2t_2^{*}R_1^{L_2}L = 2t_2^{*}F = m\pi . \qquad (6-19)$$

This may be written as

$$t_1 = \frac{n\pi}{2F}$$
 (6-20)

and

$$t_2' = \frac{m\pi}{2F}$$
 (6-21)

- 18 -

7

This may be interpreted in the following manner. Given a beam in which R₁ and L are known then assume n = 1; a value for t₁ is calculated. (recall R₁ = $\frac{G_1 h}{2H_2 K_1}$). This value of t₁ is used to find h₁ for a given β using the chart on pg II - 37 ref (5). Knowing h₁, the corresponding composite loss-factor n may be found from pg II - 33 of the same reference and the natural frequency ω_1 may be calculated using

$$h_1 \stackrel{\circ}{=} 48 \frac{\gamma E}{G_1^2} H_2^2 \omega_1^2$$

Integer values of n (2, 3, 4 etc) may be substituted to obtain larger values of t_1^i and b_1^i use of the charts obtain the corresponding natural frequency and composite loss-factor. For a given β the t_1^i vs h_1^i curves indicate lower natural frequencies for increasing n with a cut-off frequency for which no natural frequencies occur above a given value of n.

A similar procedure may be followed to obtain the natural frequencies and associated composite loss-factor for t_2^{\prime} using the curves of t_2^{\prime} vs h_1^{\prime} on pg II - 38. In this case the natural frequencies increase with m and reach a cut-off frequency for a given value of β .

3.0 Simply-supported-3 layer beam-ends constrained (riveted)

and a market in the other

رجارت محسميان

The boundary conditions at both ends are

M = 0 y = 0and $\zeta^{**} = 0$, (ends riveted).

The moment and deflection equations do not simplify as for the previous case with no rivets. The moment equation of reference \mathcal{B} is corrected and shown as errata at the end of this report. For ease of writing, the three equations are written as

Ì

1. Au

. . . .

Ł

$$M = \sum [p_{ji}x_i + i\alpha_{ji}x_i] = 0$$
$$y = \sum [d_{ji}x_i + ig_{ji}x_i] = 0$$

and

$$\zeta^{**} = \sum [u_{ji}x_i + il_{ji}x_j] = 0$$
.

The origin of the coordinate system is selected at the middle of the beam, so that the above three relations hold at x equal to plus and minus L/2. For a solution to exist the resulting 12 x 12 determinant of coefficients must be zero. All elements of the determinant are written in terms of R_{ij} . The R_{ij} terms are trigonometric or hyperbolic functions of i^{j} .

$$n_0 \frac{R_1^2 L}{2}$$
, $s_1^* R_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{L}{2}$, $s_2^* R_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{L}{2}$, $t_1^* R_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{L}{2}$ and/or $t_2^* R_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{L}{2}$.

The factor $R^{\frac{1}{2}}L$ is made a parameter F and for a given geometric cross section and physical properties of the elastic and viscoelastic layers a variation of F with h_1 can be obtained by solving the 12 x 12 determinant on a digital computer. This will be done in the near future.

$$m_{2}^{i} = m_{2}R_{1} = \frac{B_{1}+B_{3}}{1+\beta^{2}}$$
Moment
Real part of M = $\sum \sum p_{ji}X_{i}$
 $p_{11} = [-m_{1}n_{0}^{b_{2}} - m_{2}n_{0}^{3/2}]\sin a_{1}X = q_{11}\sin a_{1}X$
 $p_{12} = [m_{2}^{i}\beta n_{0}^{3/2}]\sin a_{1}X = q_{12}\sin a_{1}X$
 $p_{13} = [m_{1}a_{1}+m_{2}n_{0}^{3/2}]\cos a_{1}X = q_{13}\cos a_{1}X$
 $p_{14} = [-m_{2}^{i}\beta n_{0}^{3/2}]\cos a_{1}X = q_{14}\cos a_{1}X$
 $p_{15} = [m_{1}s_{1}^{i}-m_{2}^{i}(s_{1}^{i}^{3}-3s_{1}^{i}t_{1}^{i}^{2})+m_{2}^{i}\beta(3s_{1}^{i2}t_{1}^{i}-t_{1}^{i3})]R_{41}$
 $+ [-m_{1}t_{1}^{i}+m_{2}^{i}(3s_{1}^{i2}t_{1}^{i}-t_{1}^{i3})+m_{2}^{i}\beta(s_{1}^{i3}-3s_{1}^{i}t_{1}^{i2})]R_{42}$
 $= q_{15}R_{41}+r_{15}R_{42}$
 $p_{16} = [-m_{1}t_{1}^{i}+m_{2}^{i}(s_{1}^{i3}-3s_{1}^{i}t_{1}^{i2})-m_{2}^{i}\beta(3s_{1}^{i2}t_{1}^{i}-t_{1}^{i3})]R_{42}$
 $= q_{16}R_{41}+r_{16}R_{42}$
 $p_{17} = q_{15}R_{31}+r_{15}R_{32}$
 $p_{18} = q_{16}R_{31}+r_{16}R_{32}$

- 21 -

$$p_{19} = [m_1 s_2^i - m_2^i (s_2^{i3} - 3s_2^i t_2^{i2}) + m_2^i \beta (3s_2^{i2} t_2^i - t_2^{i3})]R_{61}$$

$$+ [-m_1 t_2^i + m_2^i (3s_2^{i2} t_2^i - t_2^{i3}) + m_2^i \beta (s_2^{i3} - 3s_2^i t_2^{i2})]R_{62}$$

$$= q_{19}R_{61} + r_{19}R_{62}$$

$$p_{1,10} = [-m_1 t_2^i + m_2^i (s_2^{i3} t_2^i - t_2^{i3}) + m_2^i \beta (s_2^{i3} - 3s_2^i t_2^{i2})]R_{61}$$

$$+ [-m_1 s_2^i + m_2^i (s_2^{i3} - 3s_2^i t_2^{i2}) - m_2^i \beta (3s_2^{i2} t_2^i - t_2^{i3})]R_{62}$$

$$= q_{1,10}R_{61} + r_{1,10}R_{62}$$

a set that a set is a set of the set of the

^p],11 ^{= q}19^R51⁺r19^R52

.

 $p_{1,12} = q_{1,10}^{R} 51^{+} r_{1,10}^{R} 52$

-

Imag. part of M = $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{ii} X_{i}$ $\alpha_{11} = [m_2 \beta n_0^{3/2}] \sin a_1 X = \beta_{11} \sin a_1 X$ $\alpha_{12} = [-m_1 n_0^{1_2} - m_2^{1_2} n_0^{3/2} + m_2^{1_2} \beta n_0^{3/2}] \sin a_1 X = \beta_{12} \sin a_1 X$ $\alpha_{13} = [-m_2 \beta n_0^{3/2}] \cos a_1 X = \beta_{13} \cos a_1 X$ $\alpha_{14} = [m_1 n_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + m_2^{*}(1-\beta^2)n_0^{3/2}]\cos \alpha_1 X = \beta_{14}\cos \alpha_1 X$ $\alpha_{15} = [m_1 t_1' - m_2' (3s_1'^2 t_1' - t_1'^3) + m_2' \beta (s_1'^3 - 3s_1' t_1'^2)]R_{41}$ + $[m_1s_1'-m_2'(s_1'^3-3s_1't_1'^2)-m_2'\beta(3s_1'^2t_1'-t_1'^3)]R_{42}$ $= \beta_{15}^{R} 41^{+\gamma} 15^{R} 42$ $\alpha_{16} = q_{15}R_{41} + \gamma_{15}R_{42}$ $\alpha_{17} = \beta_{15}R_{31} + \gamma_{15}R_{32}$ $\alpha_{18} = q_{15}R_{31} + \gamma_{15}R_{32}$ $\alpha_{19} = [m_1 t_2' - m_2 (3s_2'^2 t_2' - t_2'^3) + m_2'\beta(s_2'^3 - 3s_2' t_2'^2)]R_{61}$ + $[m_1s_2^i - m_2^i(s_2^{i^3} - 3s_2^it_2^{i^2}) - m_2^i\beta(3s_2^{i^2}t_2^i - t_2^{i^3})]R_{62}$ $\alpha_{19} = \beta_{19}R_{61} + \gamma_{19}R_{62}$ $a_{1,10} = q_{19}^{R} 61^{+\gamma} 19^{R} 62$ $\alpha_{1,11} = \beta_{19}^{R} 51^{+\gamma} 19^{R} 52$ $\alpha_{1,12} = q_{19}^{R} 51^{+\gamma} 19^{R} 52$

- 23 -

$$\sum \sum d_{j1}X_{i} = \text{Real part of y}$$
Using $a_{1} = n_{0}^{-l_{2}}R_{1}^{\frac{l_{2}}{2}}$
 $d_{11} = [\frac{S}{n_{0}^{-l_{2}}} + \frac{n_{0}^{-l_{2}}}{(1+\beta^{2})}] \sin a_{1}X = a_{11} \sin a_{1}X$
 $d_{12} = [\frac{-\beta n_{0}^{-l_{2}}}{(1+\beta^{2})}] \sin a_{1}X = a_{12}\sin a_{1}X$
 $d_{12} = [\frac{-\beta n_{0}^{-l_{2}}}{(1+\beta^{2})}] \sin a_{1}X = a_{12}\sin a_{1}X$
 $d_{13} = -[\frac{S}{n_{0}^{-l_{2}}} + \frac{n_{0}^{-l_{2}}}{1+\beta^{2}}] \cos a_{1}X = a_{13}\cos a_{1}X$
 $d_{14} = [\frac{n_{0}^{-l_{2}}}{1+\beta^{2}}] \cos a_{1}X = a_{14}\cos a_{1}X$
 $d_{15} = [\frac{Ss_{1}}{s_{1}^{-l_{2}} + t_{1}^{-l_{2}}} - \frac{s_{1}}{(1+\beta^{2})} + \frac{\beta t_{1}}{(1+\beta^{2})}]R_{41} + [\frac{St_{1}}{s_{1}^{-l_{2}} + t_{1}^{-l_{2}}} + \frac{\beta s_{1}^{*}}{1+\beta^{2}}]R_{42}$
 $= a_{15}R_{41} + f_{15}R_{42}$
 $d_{16} = [\frac{St_{1}}{s_{1}^{-l_{2}} + t_{1}^{-l_{2}}} + \frac{t_{1}}{1+\beta^{2}} + \frac{\beta s_{1}}{1+\beta^{2}}]R_{41} + [\frac{St_{1}}{s_{1}^{-l_{2}} + t_{1}^{-l_{2}}} - \frac{\beta t_{1}}{1+\beta^{2}}]R_{42}$
 $= a_{16}R_{41} + f_{16}R_{42}$
 $d_{17} = [\frac{Ss_{1}}{s_{1}^{-l_{2}} + t_{1}^{-l_{2}}} - \frac{s_{1}}{1+\beta^{2}} + \frac{\beta t_{1}}{1+\beta^{2}}]R_{31} + [\frac{St_{1}}{s_{1}^{-l_{2}} + t_{1}^{-l_{2}}} + \frac{\beta s_{1}}{1+\beta^{2}}]R_{32}$

×

٠

-

-

- 24 -

$$d_{18} = \lambda_{16}R_{31} + f_{16}R_{32}$$

$$d_{19} = \left[\frac{Ss_{2}^{i}}{s_{2}^{i^{2}} + t_{2}^{i^{2}}} - \frac{s_{2}^{i}}{1 + \beta^{2}} + \frac{\beta t_{2}^{i}}{1 + \beta^{2}}\right]R_{61} + \left[\frac{St_{2}^{i}}{s_{2}^{i^{2}} + t_{2}^{i^{2}}} + \frac{t_{2}^{i}}{1 + \beta^{2}} + \frac{\beta s_{2}^{i}}{1 + \beta^{2}}\right]R_{62}$$

$$= \lambda_{19}R_{61} + f_{19}R_{62}$$

$$d_{1,10} = \left[\frac{St_{2}^{i}}{s_{2}^{i^{2}} + t_{2}^{i^{2}}} + \frac{t_{2}^{i}}{1 + \beta^{2}} + \frac{\beta s_{2}^{i}}{1 + \beta^{2}}\right]R_{61} + \left[\frac{-Ss_{2}^{i}}{s_{2}^{i^{2}} + t_{2}^{i^{2}}} + \frac{s_{2}^{i}}{1 + \beta^{2}} - \frac{\beta t_{2}^{i}}{1 + \beta^{2}}\right]R_{62}$$

$$d_{1,10} = \lambda_{1,10}R_{61} + f_{1,10}R_{62}$$

$$d_{1,11} = \lambda_{19}R_{51} + f_{1,9}R_{52}$$

$$d_{1,12} = \lambda_{1,10}R_{51} + f_{1,10}R_{52}$$

- -

and the second sec

>

Imaginary part of y

......

$$\begin{split} &\text{Im } y = \sum \sum g_{ji} \chi_{i} \\ &\text{factor out } \frac{1}{R_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &g_{11} = [\frac{n_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1+\beta^{2}}] \sin a_{1}\chi = h_{11} \sin a_{1}\chi \\ &g_{12} = [\frac{S}{n_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{\beta n_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1+\beta^{2}}] \sin a_{1}\chi = h_{12} \sin a_{1}\chi \\ &g_{13} = (\frac{-n_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1+\beta^{2}}) \cos a_{1}\chi = h_{13} \cos a_{1}\chi \\ &g_{14} = [-\frac{S}{n_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{n_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}\beta}}{1+\beta^{2}}] \cos a_{1}\chi = h_{14} \cos a_{1}\chi \\ &g_{15} = [\frac{St_{1}^{i}}{s_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}+t_{1}^{i}2}} - \frac{t_{1}^{i}}{1+\beta^{2}} + \frac{\beta s_{1}^{i}}{1+\beta^{2}}]R_{41} + [\frac{Ss_{1}^{i}}{s_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}+t_{1}^{i}2}} - \frac{s_{1}^{i}}{1+\beta^{2}} - \frac{\beta t_{1}^{i}}{1+\beta^{2}}]R_{42} \\ &= h_{15}R_{41} + k_{15}R_{42} \\ &g_{16} = [\frac{Ss_{1}^{i}}{s_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}+t_{1}^{i}2}} - \frac{s_{1}^{i}}{1+\beta^{2}} - \frac{\beta t_{1}^{i}}{1+\beta^{2}}]R_{41} + [\frac{St_{1}^{i}}{s_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}+t_{1}^{i}2}} + \frac{t_{1}^{i}}{1+\beta^{2}} - \frac{s_{1}^{i}}{1+\beta^{2}}]R_{42} \\ &= h_{16}R_{41} + k_{16}R_{42} \\ &g_{17} = h_{15}R_{31} + k_{16}R_{32} \\ &g_{18} = h_{16}R_{31} + k_{16}R_{32} \\ &g_{19} = [\frac{St_{2}^{i}}{s_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}+t_{2}^{i}2}} - \frac{t_{2}^{i}}{1+\beta^{2}} + \frac{\beta s_{2}^{i}}{1+\beta^{2}}]R_{61} + [\frac{Ss_{2}^{i}}{s_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}+t_{2}^{i}2}} - \frac{s_{1}^{i}}{1+\beta^{2}} - \frac{\beta t_{2}^{i}}{1+\beta^{2}}]R_{62} \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

A NUMBER OF STREET

$$g_{19} = h_{19}R_{61} + k_{19}R_{62}$$

$$g_{1,10} = \left[\frac{ss_{2}^{i}}{s_{2}^{i^{2}} + t_{2}^{i^{2}}} - \frac{s_{2}^{i}}{1 + \beta^{2}} - \frac{\beta t_{2}^{i}}{1 + \beta^{2}}\right]R_{61} + \left[\frac{st_{2}^{i}}{s_{2}^{i^{2}} + t_{2}^{i^{2}}} + \frac{t_{2}^{i}}{1 + \beta^{2}} - \frac{s_{2}^{i}}{1 + \beta^{2}}\right]R_{62}$$

$$= h_{1,10}R_{61} + k_{1,10}R_{62}$$

$$g_{1,11} = h_{19}R_{51} + k_{19}R_{52}$$

3.

1

- AND THE ADDRESS OF THE ADDRESS OF

100

- -

-

Real part of
$$\zeta'' = \sum u_{ij}X_j$$

 $u_{11} = -n_0 \cos a_1 X = v_{11} \cos a_1 X$
 $u_{12} = 0$
 $u_{13} = -n_0 \sin a_1 X = v_{11} \sin a_1 X$
 $u_{14} = 0$
 $u_{15} = (s_1^{*2} - t_1^{*2})R_{31} - 2s_1^{*}t_1^{*}R_{32} = v_{15}R_{31} + w_{15}R_{32}$
 $u_{16} = 2s_1^{*}t_1^{*}R_{31} + (s_1^{*2} - t_1^{*2})R_{32} = -w_{15}R_{31} + v_{15}R_{32}$
 $u_{17} = (s_1^{*2} - t_1^{*2})R_{41} - 2s_1^{*}t_1^{*}R_{42} = v_{15}R_{41} + w_{15}R_{42}$
 $u_{18} = 2s_1^{*}t_1^{*}R_{41} + (s_1^{*2} - t_1^{*2})R_{42} = -w_{15}R_{41} + w_{15}R_{42}$
 $u_{19} = (s_2^{*2} - t_2^{*2})R_{51} - 2s_2^{*}t_2^{*}R_{52} = v_{19}R_{51} + w_{19}R_{52}$
 $u_{1,10} = 2s_2^{*}t_2^{*}R_{51} + (s_2^{*2} - t_2^{*2})R_{52} = -w_{19}R_{51} + v_{19}R_{52}$
 $u_{1,12} = 2s_2^{*}t_2^{*}R_{61} + (s_2^{*2} - t_2^{*2})R_{62} = -w_{19}R_{61} + v_{19}R_{62}$

×

- 28 -

Imag. part of $\zeta'' = \sum l_{ij} X_j$ $l_{11} = 0$ $l_{12} = -n_0 \cos a_1 X$

1

111 = 0 $l_{12} = -n_0 \cos a_1 X$ $1_{13} = 0$ $1_{14} = -n_0 \sin a_1 X$ $1_{15} = w_{15}R_{31} - v_{15}R_{32}$ $1_{16} = v_{15}R_{31} + w_{15}R_{32}$ $1_{17} = w_{15}^{R} 4 1^{-v} 15^{R} 42$ $1_{18} = v_{15}^{R} 41^{+w} 15^{R} 42$ $1_{19} = w_{19}^R 5_1 - v_{19}^R 5_2$ $1_{1,10} = v_{19}^{R} 51^{+w} 19^{R} 52$ $1_{1,11} = w_{19}^{R} 61^{-v} 19^{R} 62$

 $1_{1,12} = v_{19}^{R} 61^{+w} 19^{R} 62$

· 1 ·

III. Determination of Natural Frequencies - Approximate Method

1.0 In view of the complexity in solving the 12 by 12 determinants for various boundary conditions, an approximate procedure was considered. The characteristic equation of the sixth order homogeneous differential equation developed in reference 5 is re-examined. This is re-written as equation (III-1). When solved for the natural frequency, equation III-4 is obtained. An investigation of equation III-4 reveals that it is in the usual form for homogeneous beams

..... ... Kat

$$\omega_1^2 = \frac{a_n^2}{L^4} \left[\frac{EI}{\rho}\right]$$

in which the eigenvalue λ_0 is comparable to $\frac{a_n}{L^2}$, and EI, the stiffness in the homogeneous beam, is to $(B_1+B_3+K_1\delta^2\alpha)$. The values of a_n are determined by the boundary conditions and a table of such values is given on page 35. The quantity $(B_1+B_3+K_1\delta^2\alpha)$ represents the effective stiffness on the vibrating beam, in which B_1 and B_3 are stiffnesses of the individual steel layers about their own neutral axes and $K_1\delta^2$ is the portion of the stiffness due to transferring the area moments of inertia of the elastic layers to the composite neutral axis. The factor α is a factor which indicates the shear carrying capacity of the viscoelastic. When α is equal to one-half then there is no shear strain in the viscoelastic, whereas when α is equal to zero, the viscoelastic cannot transmit any shear stress and each elastic layer bends independently except for being restricted to moving laterally the same amount. For the case of the simply-supported beam having no axial constraints at each end, the value for the eigenvalue λ_0 is exact; i.e.; $\lambda_0 = (\frac{n\pi}{L})^2$, so that substituting this value for λ_0 into the frequency equation yields the exact natural frequencies. The factor α is exact for this case and truly represents the effect of frequency on the stiffness of the beam. Guided by this form of the frequency equation and by the exactness of using this form for a simplysupported beam, it is postulated that this form of the equation for the natural frequency may be used as an approximation to the natural frequency of beams having other end conditions. Thus it is assumed that $\lambda_0 = \frac{a_n}{L^2}$ where a_n is the usual factor determined by the boundary Thus, given the geometry of the cross-section, length of the beam, the physical properties of the materials and the boundary conditions, the natural frequency may be approximated by equation III-4 in which α is given by equation III-5. For ease of calculation the curves of α versus G are plotted for the first five modes of the cantilever, simply-supported, free-free, fixed-fixed, and fixed-pinned beams.

>

It is recognized that this procedure, suggested for finding the natural frequencies of laminated beams, is approximate and that its accuracy can only be checked by exact solutions of the kind performed in section II, and/or by tests of actual beams. It is felt that the procedure should yield good engineering results. 1.1 Approximation of Natural Frequencies Equation (36) of reference 3 , is

$$\lambda_{0}^{4} + \lambda_{0}^{3} \left[\frac{R_{1}(2d_{1}S+1)}{d_{1}}\right] + \lambda_{0}^{2} \left[\frac{SR_{1}^{2}(1+\beta^{2})(1+Sd_{1})}{d_{1}} - \frac{d_{2}}{d_{1}}\omega_{1}^{2}\right]$$
$$-\lambda_{0}\left[\frac{2SR_{1}d_{2}\omega_{1}^{2}}{d_{1}}\right] - \frac{d_{2}}{d_{1}}\omega_{1}^{2}S^{2}R_{1}^{2}(1+\beta^{2}) = 0 \qquad \text{III-1}$$

the second se

Solving for ω_1^2 , we obtain,

$$\omega_{1}^{2} = \frac{d_{1}}{d_{2}} \lambda_{0}^{2} \left[\frac{\lambda_{0}^{2} + \lambda_{0} [2SR_{1} + \frac{R_{1}}{d_{1}}] + R_{1}^{2}S(1 + \beta^{2})(\frac{1}{d_{1}} + S)}{\lambda_{0}^{2} + 2\lambda_{0}SR_{1} + S^{2}R_{1}^{2}(1 + \beta^{2})} \right]$$
 III-2

or,

$$\omega_{1}^{2} = \frac{B_{1} + B_{3}}{\rho} \lambda_{c}^{2} \left[1 + \frac{K_{1} \delta^{2} R_{1} [\lambda_{o} + R_{1} S(1 + \beta^{2})]}{(B_{1} + B_{3}) [\lambda_{o}^{2} + 2\lambda_{o} SR_{1} + S^{2} R_{1}^{2}(1 + \beta^{2})]} \right]$$
 III-3

where $d_1 = \frac{B_1 + B_3}{K_1 \delta^2}$

)

J

$$d_2 = \frac{\rho}{K_1 \delta^2}$$

The last equation may be written as,

4

$$\omega_{\overline{1}}^{2} = \lambda_{0}^{2} \left[\frac{B_{1} + B_{3}}{\rho} + \frac{K_{1} \delta^{2}}{\rho} \alpha \right]$$
 III-4

where

$$\alpha = \frac{R_1[\lambda_0 + R_1 S(1 + \beta^2)]}{\lambda_0^2 + 2\lambda_0 SR_1 + S^2 R_1^2 (1 + \beta^2)}$$
III-5

If $\lambda_{o} = n_{o}R_{1}$ then $\alpha = \frac{n_{o}+S(1+\beta^{2})}{n_{o}^{2}+2n_{o}S+S^{2}(1+\beta^{2})}$ III-6

For a sandwich beam having a thin viscoelastic layer S = 2, so that

$$\alpha \stackrel{\cdot}{=} \frac{n_0^{+2(1+\beta^2)}}{n_0^2 + 4n_0^{+4(1+\beta^2)}} \cdot 111-7$$

Reconsidering equation III-5, for α , it is seen that, for a sandwich beam, if we let $\gamma_0 = \frac{a_n}{L^2}$

.

* -

$$\alpha = \frac{a_n R_1 L^2 + 2R_1^2 L^4 (1 + \beta^2)}{a_n^2 + 4a_n R_1 L^2 + 4R_1^2 L^4 (1 + \beta^2)}$$
III-8

- 4 - - - ----

or letting

then

۲ ---

Ţ

$$G = F^2 = R_1 L^2$$
 III-9

$$\alpha = \frac{a_n G + 2G^2 (1 + \beta^2)}{a_n^2 + 4a_n G + 4G^2 (1 + \beta^2)} \cdot III - 10$$

For the special case in which $\beta <<1$

Using the relations III-10 and III-11, plots of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ versus G are obtained and are given for the following end conditions

1. Cantilever

- 2. Simply-supported
- 3. Free-free
- 4. Fixed-fixed
- 5. Fixed-pinned

For a simply supported beam with no axial constraints on the layers at each support it is found that

$$\lambda_0 = a_1^2 = (\frac{n\pi}{L})^2$$
 (See page 35) III-12

Same in Kas

à très de la companya de la companya

so that

ł

$$\omega_{1}^{2} = \left(\frac{n\pi}{L}\right)^{4} \left\{\frac{B_{1}^{+}B_{3}^{+}K_{1}\delta^{2}\alpha}{\rho}\right\}.$$
 III-13

It can be seen that the term $[B_1+B_3+K_1\delta^2\alpha]$ represents the stiffness of the laminated beam. When R_1 is infinite (no shear strain in the V.E. layer) then $\alpha + \frac{1}{5}$ (or for a sandwich beam in which S = 2 then $\alpha + \frac{1}{5}$) so that the stiffness approaches a value of

$$B_1 + B_3 + \frac{K_1 \delta^2}{2}$$
.

This is the stiffness of the composite cross-section in which the shear is carried directly through the V.E. layer without shear deformation. The other extreme case occurs when $R_1 \neq 0$. In this case α becomes zero, and the stiffness is (β_1+B_3) and each beam contributes solely the stiffness about its own axis; no shear stress is 'transmitted through the viscoelastic layer although the theory imposed the condition that both beams move laterally by the same amount.

The above description indicates that the parameter α is an inverse measure of the shear strain occurring in the Viscoelastic layer. We note that for small values of β

$$\alpha \stackrel{\text{\tiny therefore}}{=} \frac{1}{n_0 + S}$$

so that a maximum value of α is $\frac{1}{5}$ for n equal to zero; and α approaches zero as n becomes large compared to S. The quantity n is always positive.

It is seen that for the condition of simply-supported, unrestrained ends, using $\lambda_0 = (\frac{n\pi}{T})^2$, the value obtained by the usual homogeneous beam, an exact solution is obtained by using equation(III-13). The factor α is exact in this case. This procedure suggests a means for obtaining the natural frequencies of laminated beams having other restraints, i.e.; use the a_n constants obtained for homogeneous beams in the relation $\lambda_0 = \frac{a_n}{T}$. A table of values for a_n is given on page 35, for several boundary conditions. ł

.....

| | ā | |
|-------------------|--|----------------------------|
| Beam Condition | General Relation | n Specific Relations |
| 1. Cantilever | $a_n \simeq (n - \frac{1}{2})^2 \pi^2$ | a ₁ = 3.52 |
| 1 | for $n \ge 2$ | $a_2 = 22.0$ |
| | | $a_3 = 61.7$ |
| | | $a_4 = 121.0$ |
| | | $a_5 = 200.0$ |
| 2. Simply - | $a_n = (n_\pi)^2$ | $a_1 = 9.87$ |
| | | $a_2 = 39.5$ |
| | | $a_3 = 88.9$ |
| | i | $a_4 = 158$. |
| | | $a_5 = 247.$ |
| 3. Free - Free | $a_n \approx (n+\frac{1}{2})^2 \pi^2$ | a ₁ = 22.0 |
| | | $a_2 = 61.7$ |
| 4. Fixed - Fixed | [same a for Free - | $a_3 = 121.0$ |
| 1 | Fixed] | $a_4 = 200.0$ |
| | | $a_5 = 298.2$ |
| 5. Fixed - pinned | $a_n \approx (n+\frac{1}{4})^2 \pi^2$ | $a_1 = 15.4$ |
| | | $a_2 = 50.0$ |
| 1 | | $a_3 = 104.0$ |
| ۳۳۱ و | | $a_4 = 178.0$ |
| | | $a_5 = 272.$ |
| L | a | |

(~

·C

.

;

 $\lambda_{0} = \frac{a_{n}}{L^{2}}$ Table 1

- 35 -

. . . .













and the second second

~ . 17"

IV. Miscellaneous Considerations

1.0 The approximate expression for the natural frequency of a sandwich beam further approximated for the case in which $\beta \ll 1$. The expression for α simplifies to

$$\alpha \stackrel{\circ}{=} \frac{1}{n_0 + 2}$$

The expression for ω_1 is written explicitely in terms of the geometric and physical properties of the elastic and visco-elastic material.

2.0 Consideration is given to designing a beam for optimum damping if the physical properties of the materials and the natural frequency of the beam is selected. The relation is based on the case in which $\beta \ll 1$. Considering ω_{10} as the natural frequency obtained when $\alpha = 0$, a relation, equation (V-13), is obtained for n_0 . For optimum damping ref. 5 shows that $n_0 = 5$. Using this fact a relation, equation (V=17), is obtained for the necessary half thickness, H₁, which would yield optimum damping. The optimum length is obtained from

$$\lambda_0 = n_0 R_1 = \frac{a_n}{L^2}$$

yielding

L =
$$\left[\frac{a_{n}}{5R_{1}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- 3.0 Based on the results of section III, for $\beta \ll 1$, expressions are derived for G_1 and β which allow one to solve these properties of the viscoelastic material if the geometric properties, elastic properties, and the end-conditions are known and if η and ω_1 are measured. These equations may be used to find the shear properties of a viscoelastic material if $\beta \ll 1$. This procedure may be considered as a means for testing material to insure adherance to procurement specification of a viscoelastic material.
- 4.0 Using the results of ref. 5, an approximate expression for the composite loss factor, equation (V-23), is obtained in terms of h_1 and β . It is shown that this expression yields results which are within 10% accuracy.

5.0 It was shown in ref. 3 that the natural frequency of a sandwich beam increases as the loss factor, β , is increased. It is shown herein that the same situation occurs using the approximate expressions for the natural frequency developed in section III, thus reinforcing the analytical result that viscoelastic damping causes an increase in natural frequency for a laminated beam.

>

- 1

the second se

1.1 Determination of the natural frequencies for small values of material loss factor - $(\beta < 1)$

Considering equation (III-4) for small values of β we note in the equation

$$\omega_1^2 = \lambda_0^2 \left[\frac{B_1 + B_3}{\rho} + \frac{K_1 \delta^2}{\rho} \alpha \right]$$
 IV-1

that for a sandwich beam having a thin viscoelastic layer

$$\alpha = \frac{n_0^{+} 2(1+\beta^2)}{n_0^{2} + 4n_0^{+} 4(1+\beta^2)}, \qquad IV-2$$

and that for $\beta < 1$

$$\alpha \stackrel{\bullet}{=} \frac{1}{n_0 + 2}$$
. IV-3

We note that since n_0 can have values from zero to infinity then α has values between one half and zero.

Using the relation

$$\lambda_0 = n_0 R_1 = \frac{a_n}{L^2} \qquad IV-4$$

in equation (IV-3)

2

0

then

$$\alpha \stackrel{\cdot}{=} \frac{R_{1}L^{2}}{a_{n}+2R_{1}L^{2}}$$
 IV-5

or since

$$R_1 = \frac{G_1 b}{2H_2 K_1} = \frac{G_1 b}{2H_2 E b 2H_1}$$
 IV-6

then

$$\alpha \stackrel{:}{=} \frac{G_1 L^2}{4a_n H_2 H_1 E^{+2} G_1 L^2} \cdot IV-7$$

or

Juite

$$\alpha \stackrel{*}{=} \frac{1}{\left[a_{n}\left(\frac{2H_{1}^{2}H_{2}}{L^{2}}\right)\frac{E}{G_{1}} + 2\right]}$$
 IV-3

Now

$$B_{1} = \frac{1}{12} (2H_{1})^{3} b = \frac{2}{3} H_{1}^{3} b E$$
 IV-9

and for the sandwich beam

 $B_1 = B_3$

therfore

$$B_1 + B_3 = \frac{4}{3} H_1^3 bE.$$

also

$$K_1^{\delta^2} = Eb2H_1[2H_1]^2 = 8EbH_1^3$$

for

The value for $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ is

$$\gamma_1[4H_1b] \div \gamma_2[2H_2b]$$

where

 γ_1 is the mass density of the elastic material and γ_2 is the mass density of the viscoelastic material. The natural frequency may be written as

$$\omega_{1}^{2} = \frac{a_{n}^{2}}{L^{4}} \left[\frac{4H_{1}^{3}E}{3[4\gamma_{1}H_{1}+2\gamma_{2}H_{2}]} + \alpha \frac{8EH_{1}^{3}}{4\gamma_{1}H_{1}+2\gamma_{2}H_{2}} \right]$$
 IV-10

or

$$\omega_1^2 = \frac{4EH_1^3 a_n^2}{3(4\gamma_1H_1 + 2\gamma_2H_2)L^4} [1+6\alpha]$$
 IV-11

in which α is found from equation (IV-8).

Thus, in order to calculate the natural frequency of a sandwich beam, one would need to know

1. a_n - This is determined from the end conditions and the mode of vibration. A list of these values is given on page 35.

and a second a seguration of the second and the sec

- 2. $(2H_1)$ This is the thickness of the elastic layers.
- 3. $(2H_2)$ This is the thickness of the viscoelastic layer.
- 4. L This is the length of the beam.

- 5. E Young's Modulus of the elastic material.
- 6. G₁ This is the real part of the shear modulus (storage modulus) of the viscoelastic material.
- 7. γ_1 This is the mass density of the elastic material.
- 8. γ_2 This is the mass density of the viscoelastic material (Note that the mass per unit length and per unit width is the quantity $4\gamma_1H_1 + 2\gamma_2H_2$).

2.1 Design of a Sandwich Beam for Optimum Composite - Loss Factor

Using the suggested approximate procedure for finding the natural frequency of a sandwich beam having a thin viscoelastic layer, we have

$$\lambda_0 = n_0 R_1 = \frac{a_n}{L^2}.$$

But using eq. ().

$$\omega_{10}^{2} = \frac{a_{n}^{2}}{3L^{4}} \left[\frac{4E H_{1}^{3}}{4\gamma_{1}H_{1} + 2\gamma_{2}H_{2}} \right]$$
IV-12

we can obtain from (IV-12)

$$(n_0 R_1)^2 \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{3(4\gamma_1 H_1 + 2\gamma_2 H_2) \omega_{10}^2}{4 EH_1^3}$$
 IV-13

For optimum damping,

$$h_1 = 50$$
 (see pg. II-33 ref. 5).
Also for $h_1 = 50$ we find from the n_0 vs h_1 plot that $n_0 = 5$.

for $n_0 = 5$, we find for $\beta <<1$ that

$$\omega_1^2 = \omega_{10}^2 \left[\frac{13}{7}\right]$$
 IV-14

or

$$\omega_{10}^2 = \frac{7}{13} \omega_1^2.$$
 IV-15

Since $R_1 = \frac{G_1}{4EH_1H_2}$

then

$$25\left[\frac{G_1^2}{16E^2H_1^2H_2^2}\right] = \frac{21(4\gamma_1H_1 + 2\gamma_2H_2)\omega_1^2}{52 EH_1^3}$$
 IV-16

Solving for H_1 we find

ير مغير د به م

$$H_{1} = \frac{2\gamma_{2}H_{2}^{3}E\omega_{1}^{2}}{3.86 G_{1}^{2} - 4\gamma_{1}E\omega_{1}^{2}H_{2}^{2}} \cdot IV-17$$

ł

Thus for given elastic material (E and γ_1) and a given viscoelastic material (G₁ and γ_2) one may select an ω_1 with its associated G₁ and a viscoelastic thickness layer (2H₂), then solve for the half thickness of the elastic layer (H₁) for optimum damping. The length of the beam may be found from the expression.

- my to start .

$$n_0 R_1 = \frac{a_n}{L^2}$$

so that for $n_0 = 5$

 $L = \left[\frac{a_n}{5R_1}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left[\frac{4a_n EH_1 H_2}{5G_1}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ IV-18

in which a_n is found on page 35.

Equations (IV-17) and (IV-18) yield the half thickness of the elastic layer and the associated length of the beam to achieve optimum damping.

3.1 A Suggested Method for Determining the Shear Moduli and Loss-Factor of a Viscoelastic Material - $\beta <<1$.

A method for determining the shear moduli and loss-factor of a viscoelastic material is suggested, based on the analysis on pages 44 and 45 If in some manner, as for example impedance measurements of a free-free beam, the composite loss factor n and the natural frequency ω_1 and its associated mode number are measured for a sandwich beam having a thin viscoelastic layer, then the shear modulus G_1 and material loss factor β may be calculated.

all a sea

For the case in which β is small G₁ and n can be obtained in the following manner. Knowing the mode number and the end-conditions, the value of an may be obtained from table 1. This may be used in equation (IV-11) to solve for α , i. e.,

$$\alpha = \frac{3(4\gamma_1H_1 + 2\gamma_2H_2)L^4}{24E H_1^3 a_n^2} \omega_1^2 - \frac{1}{6}$$
 IV-19

Using equation (IV-7) and solving for G_1 , one obtains

$$G_1 = \frac{4\alpha a_n H_2 H_1 E}{L^2 [1-2\alpha]}$$
, IV-20

in which α is obtained by solving eq. (IV-19). The real part of the shear modulus is thus calculated.

The relation for the composite loss factor ${\bf n}$ for a sandwich beam is shown in ref. 5 , to be eq. II-15.

$$\eta = \frac{R_{1}K_{1}\lambda_{0}^{3} \beta\delta^{2}}{\rho\omega_{1}^{2} [(R_{1}S+\lambda_{0})^{2}+(R_{1}S\beta)^{2}]}$$
 IV-21

which for the assumptions being considered i.e. $(\beta < 1)$, becomes,

$$\beta = \eta \frac{(4\gamma_1H_1 + 2\gamma_2H_2) \omega_1^2[G_1 + 2\lambda_0 H_2H_1E]^2}{4EG_1\lambda_0^3 H_1^3}$$
 IV-22

This last equation allows one to calculate the material loss factor β . Having found G_1 and β , the loss modulus G_2 may be found using

 $G_2 = \beta G_1$.

- 49 -

1 4.1 An Approximate Equation for the Composite Loss-Factor

والمراجعين والمستجهر

It was found in ref. 5 , that for a sandwich beam having a thin viscoelastic layer

$$n = \frac{6 n_0^{3\beta}}{h_1 [(2+n_0)^2 + (2\beta)^2]}$$

An inspection of the n vs h_1 plot for values of β between .1 and 1 (pg-II-34 ref. 5) indicates that the log n vs log h_1 , curve is approximately a straight line and rather independent of β for values of β between .1 and 1. The relation can be assumed to be

$$n_0 = c h_1^k$$
 IV-23

· my to comment of the second of the

1 ...

in which c and k must be evaluated from the curve. We see that two corresponding points on the n_0-h_1 plot are

$$n_0 = 20$$
 and $h_1 = 500$
 $n_0 = 2$ and $h_1 = 11$

Solving for c and k by using the above values we find

k = .6

and c = .475

}

This then yields the relation

$$\eta = .66\beta \frac{h_1 \cdot 8}{[(2+.475h_1 \cdot 6)^2 + (2\beta)^2]} \cdot IV - 24$$

A check of three values of h_1 indicates a good correlation with the exact results. These are shown below.

| h | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| "1 | Approx. | Exact | % error | β |
| 4 40 40 600 600 | .022 .153 .031 .282 .0195 .195 | .0238 .150 .033 .280 .0185 .185 | -7.5 +1.95 -6.05 +.7 +5.4 +5.4 | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |

The approx. value of n uses equation (IV-24) whereas the exact value of n is taken from the n vs h_1 plot of ref. 5..

As was shown previously in ref. 5 , the approximate equations for small values of h_1 i.e. h_1 less than 1, and the approximate equation for larger values of h_1 , i.e., $h_1 > 2000$ are given as

- 1.

$$\eta \stackrel{*}{=} \frac{3h_1^{\frac{1}{2}}}{16} \frac{\beta}{1+\beta^2} \qquad \text{for } h_1 < 1$$
$$\eta \stackrel{*}{=} \frac{6\beta}{h_1^{\frac{1}{2}}} \qquad \text{for } h_1 >> 1.$$

? ___

5 , Comments on the apparent increase in ω_1 when damping is present

a har a grant and a sea and a sequence with

In ref. 2 , it was shown that

$$\omega_1 \stackrel{\circ}{=} \omega_0 \left[1 + \frac{3n^2}{8}\right]$$

where

)

 $ω_1$ is the natural frequency including damping effects $ω_0 = p^2 \left[\frac{EI}{\rho}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$, the undamped natural frequency n is the composite loss-factor p is associated with the mode number $p^2 = \frac{a_n}{L^2}$ EI - stiffness ρ - mass/unit length.

In the above EI is the stiffness and should take into account the decrease in stiffness due to the shearing effect occuring in the viscoelastic layer. Thus if used properly I is the total I of the cross-section about the composite neutral axis, at-the low frequencies, and decreases to the sum of the individual I's about their own neutral axis as the vibration frequency increases. But for a given EI, indeed the relation above indicates the natural frequency would increase with the addition of damping. As a further substantiation of this increase we look at the expression for the sandwich beam having a thin viscoelastic layer,

$$\omega_1^2 = \omega_{10}^2 \, [1 + 6\alpha],$$

The factor α accounts for the shear effect of the viscoelastic layer as was pointed out previously since , for $\beta = 0$, it varies from 0 (no shear carrying.capacity by V.E.) to $\frac{1}{2}$ (all shear carried). Now α also contains β , the material loss factor. In particular we have

$$\alpha = \frac{n_0 + 2(1 + \beta^2)}{n_0^2 + 4n_0 + 4(1 + \beta^2)}$$

If we compare α containing β and that for which $\beta = 0$ i.e. α_0 , and if this ratio $-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}$ is greater than one, then the natural frequency of a beam would tend to be higher with damping than it would be without damping. Looking at this ratio we see, $n + 2(1 + \beta^2)$

$$\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_{0}} = \frac{\frac{n_{0} + 2(1 + \beta)}{n_{0}^{2} + 4n_{0} + 4(1 + \beta^{2})}}{\frac{n_{0} + 2}{(n_{0} + 2)^{2}}} = \frac{(n_{0} + 2)[n_{0} + 2(1 + \beta^{2})]}{n_{0}^{2} + 4n_{0} + 4(1 + \beta^{2})}$$

$$\frac{2}{k_{0}^{2}} = 1 + \frac{2 n_{0} \beta^{2}}{n_{0}^{2} + 4 n_{0}^{2} + 4(1+\beta^{2})}$$

For β small compared to $n_{0}^{},$ we have

'

$$\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_0} \stackrel{\circ}{=} 1 + \frac{2 n_0}{(n_0 + 2)} 2 \beta^2$$

This shows $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_0} > 1$, therefore this indicates that the natural frequency will increase with damping, from the value it would have with no damping.

V. Errata

The following two equations are the errata for equations II-10 and II-11 (Moment and shear) of reference 5.

Let

II-9
$$\begin{cases} m_{1} = K_{1} \delta^{2} + (B_{1} + B_{3}) S \\ m_{2} = \frac{B_{1} + B_{3}}{R_{1} (1 + \beta^{2})} \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$(II-10) \ _{\delta M} = E_{11} [-m_{1}a_{1}\sin a_{1}x - m_{2}a_{1}^{3}\sin a_{1}x] \\ + E_{12} [m_{2}aa_{1}^{3}\sin a_{1}x] - E_{22} [m_{2}aa_{1}^{3}\cos a_{1}x] \\ + E_{21} [m_{1}a_{1}\cos a_{1}x + m_{2}a_{1}^{3}\cos a_{1}x] \\ + E_{31} [m_{1}S_{31} - m_{2}U_{31} + m_{2}aU_{32}] + E_{32} [-m_{1}S_{32} + m_{2}U_{32} + m_{2}BU_{31}] \\ + E_{31} [m_{1}S_{41} - m_{2}U_{41} + m_{2}BU_{42}] + E_{42} [-m_{1}S_{42} + m_{2}U_{42} + m_{2}BU_{41}] \\ + E_{51} [m_{1}S_{51} - m_{2}U_{51} + m_{2}BU_{52}] + E_{52} [-m_{1}S_{52} + m_{2}U_{52} + m_{2}BU_{51}] \\ + E_{61} [m_{1}S_{51} - m_{2}U_{51} + m_{2}BU_{52}] + E_{52} [-m_{1}S_{52} + m_{2}U_{52} + m_{2}BU_{51}] \\ + E_{61} [m_{1}S_{51} - m_{2}U_{51} + m_{2}BU_{62}] + E_{62} (-m_{1}S_{62} + m_{2}U_{62} + m_{2}BU_{61}] \\ + i \Big[E_{11} [m_{2}Ba_{1}^{3}\sin a_{1}x] - E_{21} [m_{2}Ba_{1}^{3}\cos a_{1}x] \\ + E_{12} (-m_{1}a_{1} \sin a_{1}x - m_{2}a_{1}^{3}\sin a_{1}x + m_{2}Ba_{1}^{3}\sin a_{1}x] \\ + E_{22} [m_{1}a_{1}\cos a_{3}x + m_{2}a_{1}^{3}\cos a_{1}x - m_{2}Ba_{1}^{3}\cos a_{1}x] \\ + E_{31} [m_{1}S_{32} - m_{2}U_{32} + m_{2}BU_{31}] + E_{32} [m_{1}S_{31} - m_{2}U_{31} + m_{2}BU_{32}] \\ + E_{41} [m_{1}S_{42} - m_{2}U_{42} + m_{2}BU_{41}] + E_{42} [m_{1}S_{41} - m_{2}U_{41} + m_{2}BU_{42}] \\ + E_{51} [m_{1}S_{52} - m_{2}U_{52} + m_{2}BU_{51}] + E_{52} [m_{1}S_{51} - m_{2}U_{51} + m_{2}BU_{52}] \\ + E_{61} [m_{1}S_{62} - m_{2}U_{62} + m_{2}BU_{61}] + E_{62} [m_{1}S_{61} - m_{2}U_{61} + m_{2}BU_{62}] \Big]. \\ - 54 -$$

r

11-15

Evaluation of Shear - V

Į

 $V = -\frac{dm}{dx}$ $(II-11) - \delta V = E_{11}[-m_1a_1^2 \cos a_1 x - m_2a_1^4 \cos a_1 x]$ + $E_{12}[m_2\beta a_1^4 \cos a_1x] + E_{22}[m_2\beta a_1^4 \sin a_1x]$ + $E_{21}[-m_{1}a_{1}^{2}sin a_{1}x-m_{2}a_{1}^{4}sin a_{1}x]$ + $E_{31}[m_1T_{31}-m_2V_{31}+m_2\beta V_{32}]+E_{32}[-m_1T_{32}+m_2V_{32}+m_2\beta V_{31}]$ + $E_{41}[m_1T_{41}-m_2V_{41}+m_2\beta V_{42}]+E_{42}[-m_1T_{42}+m_2V_{42}+m_2\beta V_{41}]$ + $E_{51}[m_1^T_{51}-m_2^V_{51}+m_2^{\beta V}_{52}]+E_{52}[-m_1^T_{52}+m_2^V_{52}+m_2^{\beta V}_{51}]$ + $E_{61}[m_1T_{61}-m_2V_{61}+m_2\beta V_{62}]+E_{62}[-m_1T_{62}+m_2V_{62}+m_2\beta V_{61}]$ + $\sqrt{E_{11}[m_2Ba_1]^4 \cos a_1x] + E_{21}[m_2Ba_1]^4 \sin a_1x]}$ $+ E_{12}[-m_1a_1^2\cos a_1x - m_2a_1^4\cos a_1x + m_2Ba_1^4\cos a_1x]$ + $E_{22}[-m_1a_1^2 \sin a_1x - m_2a_1^4 \sin a_1x + m_2\beta a_1^4 \sin a_1x]$ + $E_{31}[m_1T_{32}-m_2V_{32}+m_2BV_{31}]+E_{32}[m_1S_{31}-m_2V_{31}+m_2BV_{32}]$ + $E_{41}[m_1^T_{42}-m_2^V_{42}+m_2^{\beta V}_{41}] + E_{42}[m_1^S_{41}-m_2^V_{41}+m_2^{\beta V}_{42}]$ + $E_{51}[m_1T_{52}-m_2V_{52}+m_2\beta V_{51}]+E_{52}[m_1S_{51}-m_2V_{51}+m_2\beta V_{52}]$ + $E_{61}[m_1^T 62^{-m_2^V} 62^{+m_2^{\beta_V}} 61^] + E_{62}[m_1^S 61^{-m_2^V} 61^{+m_2^{\beta_V}} 62^]$

II-16



UNITED STATES NAVY MARINE ENGINEERING LABORATORY ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND - 21402

IN REPLY REFER TO:

NP/9670(670 WB) Assigt 67 103 MEL Rept 295/66

22 AUG 1966

From: Commanding Afficer and Director To: Commander, Naval Ship Systems Command (0343)

Subj: MEL Research and Development Report 295/66; transmittal of

Encl: (1) Distribution List (2 pages)

1. Transmitted herewith is MEL Research and Development Report 295/66, "Natural Frequencies and Damping Capabilities of Laminated Beams," Sub-project SF113 11 08, Task 01353. This work was performed for MEL under contract N161-26236 by Dr. R. A. DiTaranto.

J. M. VALLILLO By direction

| * | Security Classification UNCLASSIFI | ED | | |
|-------------|---|--|---|--|
| μ α | DOCUMENT CO | NTROL DATA - R&D | ton the exception ended in a lagration of | |
| | 1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) | 2 a. RE | EPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION | |
| | R. A. DiTaranto, Fh.D. | | UNCLASSIFIED | |
| | 436 Alliston Road | 2 b G | ROUP | |
| | Springfield, Pa. 19064 | | | |
| | 3. REPORT TITLE | | | |
| | Natural Frequencies and Damping | Capabilities of | Laminated Beams | |
| | | | | |
| | 4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) | | | |
| | Final | | | |
| | 5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) | | | |
| | DiTaranto, Rocco A. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | 24 June 1966 | 74. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES | 6 | |
| | RA. CONTRACT OF GRANT NO. | 94. OBIGINATOR'S REPORT | NIMBER/S) | |
| | N161-26236 | | | |
| ч р | 6. PROJECT NO. (SUD). SHI13-11-0813 | ` | | |
| | | | | |
| | c. Task 01353 | 9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (. this report) | Any other numbers that may be assigned | |
| | Assigt 67 103 | MEL R&D Repor | t 295/66 | |
| 1 | 10. A VA IL ABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES | | | |
| • | | | | |
| i i | Distribution of this d ocument is | s unlimited | | |
| , | | | | |
| | 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | 12. SPONSORING MILITARY A | ACTIVITY | |
| 1 | | U.S. Navy Mari | ne Engineering Lab | |
| | | Annapolis, Md. | 21402 | |
| , | 13. ABSTRACT | | | |
| | Further analytical investigat: | ions are made in | to the damping capa- | |
| 1 | bility and determination of nat | ural frequencies | of laminated beams, | |
| i. | consisting of elastic-viscoelas | tic-elastic laye | rs, as a means for | |
| • | reducing the vibratory energy to | cansmitted throu | gh machine foundation | |
| i. | supports in naval vessels. | | | |
| f | An exact analytical solution : | is obtained for | determining the | |
| ŧ, | natural frequencies of simply-su | upported sandwic | h beams having no | |
| ų | rivets at the ends. Three poss: | ible modes of vi | bration are snown | |
| ; . | to exist. The case of the simpl | ly-supported san | dwich beam having | |
| | rivets at each end is considered | and the equation | ons reduced to the | |
| 1 | solution of 12 x 12 determinant | for calculation | on a digital | |
| - 1 - 14 | computer. | | | |
| | An approximate method is sugge | ested for determ | ining the natural | |
| ¦¢ | irequencies of sandwich beams ha | aving any end co | nditions. The pro- | |
| ; | cedure is simple to use and is e | exact for simply | -supported beams. | |
| 1 | A simple but approximate expre | ession is also d | eveloped for deter- | |
| t Maria | mining the composite loss factor | S OF SANGWICH D | eams. The procedure | |
| نور د. | Ymerus good engrneering results | • | | |
| . 1 | | | | |
| | DD FORM 1173 | | | |
| 1 | UU 1 JAN 64 14/5 UNCLASSIFIED | | | |

;

* s

Secutity Classific

Security Classification

| | 1 | | | | | , | Â |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|--------------------------------|
| Security Classification UNCLASSIFIED | أر الكر تعداد الأراف الحريد المراجع | | ف بالنبية المسيحاتين | | يني الأوالينيان اليور | | k. |
| 14. KEY WORDS | | | K A | | | LIN | |
| Beams-Vibration-Frequencies Beams, Laminated-Damping | | | | | | | |
| INSTRU | UCTIONS | | | | | | |
| INSTRU ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report. REFORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the over- all accurity classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accord- ance with appropriate security regulations. GF.OUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Di- rective 5200.16 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optionel markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 'as author- ized. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all capes should be unclassified. fi a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If eppropriste, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered. AUTHOR(S): Enter the Jame(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter the dats of the report as day, month, year. If riore than one date appears on the report, use date of publication. REPORT DATE: Enter the dats of the report as day, month, year. If riore than one date sppears on the report, use date of publication. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBE | JCTIONS imposed by such as: (1) '' (2) '' (3) '' (4) '' (4) '' (4) '' (4) '' (5) '' If the Services, cate this f 11. SUPP tory notes. 12. SPON the depart ing for) th 13. ABST summary o it may also port. If act be attache It is h be unclass an indicati formation i There ever, the s 14. KEY V or short ph index entri selected s fiers, such project coo words but text. The | y security Qualified port from Foreign a sport by D U. S. Gov is report sers shall u. S. mill port direct hall reque All distri- fied DDC report has Department fact and e LEMENT SORING I mental pro- e research SORING I mental pro- e research SORING I mental pro- e research SORING I mental pro- e research SORING I mental pro- e research sified. Est is no lim suggested WORDS: 1 reses that les for cal o that no i as equip is no lim | classific requeste DDC." Innounder DC is no e. ament is directly is request itary ager ctly from set throug bution of users sha bution of users sha is been fur the for ARY NOT MILITAR object offic and dev Enter an s imment ind elsewhere space is i irable that agraph, re itation or length is Key word t charact taloging i security i ment mod geographi llowed by nt of link | cation, us rs may ob nent and of t authoriz agencies from DDC through this repo all reques DDC. Ot h this repo all reques rece, if k TES: Use Y ACTIV ce or labo relopment abstract g icative o e in the b required, at the abstract g icative of the report classific el design c locatio y an indic is, rales, | sing stand otain copi- dissemini- zed." may obta . Other . Other . obtain c ther quali- othe offi- or sale to . nown- e for addi ITY: En- oratory sp . Include diving a b f the repo- ody of the a continu- stract of one a sostra- classifier d as (TS) gth of the D to 225 w hnically r port and . Key we ation, tra- n, may be ation of and weig | dard state les of this ation of the in copies qualified opies of the fied user trolled. Qualified ce of Tec the public tional exp ter the nation onsoring of address, rief and fort, even the technical exp ter the nation she classified ct shall exp classified ct shall exp technical in the stract. yords. meaningfu may be un ords must equired. address for the classified ct shall exp technical in the stract. | ementa his of DDC |

.

-

DD FORM 1473 (BACK)

4

LINCLASS, IELED

72