



20050223169



Best Available Copy





Ъу

Alfred H. Morris, Jr. Computation and Analysis Laboratory



a

Distribution of this document is unlimited.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

								•														Page
Abstract	• •		•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•.	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	ii
Foreword	••	•••	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	i 11
Introduction .	• •	••	•	••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•.	•	•	•	1
Initial Formula	tior	n of	the	e M	ođe	1	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.•	•	•	2
Functions. , .	• •	. • •	•	••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	ŧ	6
Definition of t	he A	LGE	BRA	Sy	ste	m	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10
Polynomial Alge	bras	3	•	••	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	12
Series Algebras	• •	••	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	●.	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	15
Differential Fo	rms	• •	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	16
On the Nature o	of Sy	ymbo	1 M	ani	pul	at	ic	m	La	mg	gua	ige	es	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	18
References	••	• •	•	• •	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	••	. 22

i

Appendix A

. .

Distribution

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to describe and illustrate a program, written for the IBM 7090, which defines a model for a finite collection of algebras for the computer. It is shown that the program contains a structure broad enough in scope to allow one to perform operations on such diverse mathematical concepts as differential equations, infinite series, and differential forms in a simple yet comprehensive manner, while also serving as a foundation upon which a variety of higherlevel symbolic manipulation languages can be developed.

FOREWORD

The work described in this report was performed in the Programming Systems Branch of the Computer Programming Division with Foundational Research funds (R360FR103/210-1/R0110101).

This report was prepared for presentation by the author at the ACM SICSAM Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Manipulation held in Washington, D. C. in March 1966.

APPROVED FOR RELEASE

/S/ BERNARD SMITH Technical Director

Introduction

It has become increasingly evident in recent years that the intricate numerical procedures that have been designed for the computer have not been adequate for handling mathematical and physical problems requiring extensive symbolic analysis; what has been needed is the development of highly sophisticated symbolic techniques treating various analytical problems in a variety of ways. Studies have been made regarding this problem, but because of the many inherent difficulties involved, much. confusion has arisen regarding not only the nature of the computational procedures that could best be handled using the computer, but the structure that would be necessary for the development of any higher-level language which would be designed to manipulate such data. In the present paper, we shall attempt to rectify this situation in part by defining a model for a finite collection of (associative) algebras. It will then be seen that the program, called ALGEBRA, will provide us with a structure broad enough in scope to allow us to perform operations on such diverse mathematical concepts as differential equations, infinite series, and differential forms in a fairly simple yet comprehensive manner. Moreover, the model will serve as a foundation upon which a variety of higher-level languages dealing with symbolic manipulation can be constructed, depending only on the types of mathematical computational procedures desired.

Before we proceed, however, several remarks should be made regarding the type of programming language that is appropriate for developing

symbolic computational procedures of any type. In general, assembly languages are unsuitable because of the complexity of even the most elementary operations on complex data structures that are generally required, whereas the algebraic languages, such as FORTRAN and ALGOL, are excluded because of their relatively primitive scorage allocation capabilities. The list processing languages, however, are quite adequate for this purpose because of their ability to store and manipulate exceedingly complex data structures. Of the systems in this category, the LISP 1.5 language (see reference 1 or 6) was used in the development of ALGEBRA because of its simplicity and generality.

Initial Formulation of the Model

Let $\Re = \{R_1, \ldots, R_n\}$ be a set of commutative rings and $\hbar = \{A_1, \ldots, A_n\}$ a collection of algebras, each of which is operated on by a ring in \Re (see reference 3). It will be assumed that the rings and algebras have a multiplicative identity "1" and that each algebra A_n is an algebra of some module generated by a recursive set X_v .¹ For convenience of reference, the algebras will be indexed by the variable v and the rings by the variable r. Moreover, for each ring R_r of \Re , a computable function $s \rightarrow scalar_r(s)$ will be assumed to exist which evaluates expressions s of the forms $a_1 + \ldots + a_p$, $a_1 \cdots a_p$, and a^q , where a, a_1, \ldots, a_p are scalars (i.e., elements of the ring) and q is a non-negative integer. In general, the definition of this mapping will be extended to evaluate

¹A set A will be said to be recursive if for any expression x, the predicate $x \in A$ is computable (see reference 4 or 5).

re elaborate expressions containing the scalars, but this will necesrily vary with the structure of R₂ and how we wish to use it. In any se, the function will always serve as the sole criterion for whatever sumptions are being made regarding this ring.

Consider now an algebra A: defined over the ring R., the elements which will be called vectors. We shall find it useful for a variety reasons, including input-output and uniqueness considerations, to sume that there exists a linear ordering relation "." of the set A.. may also wish to presume that the algebra is either free or semimple, though this will not be necessary. It will be convenient, hower, to be able to represent the vectors in various forms, but this so occurs whenever the theory is developed from a mathematical viewint.

Now any non-zero vector x in A. can always be written in the form $= c_{1} (\varphi_{1}^{1})^{\lambda_{11}} \cdots (\varphi_{r_{1}}^{1})^{\lambda_{1r_{1}}} + \cdots + c_{n} (\varphi_{r_{1}}^{n})^{\lambda_{n}} \cdots (\varphi_{r_{n}}^{n})^{\lambda_{n}}, \text{ where each}$ $\neq 0 \text{ is a scalar, } \lambda_{i,j} \text{ is a positive integer, and } \varphi_{j}^{i} \in X_{v} \forall \{1\}. \text{ If the}$ gebra A. is commutative, it will be presumed that the terms of the oduct $(\varphi_{1}^{i})^{\lambda_{i1}} \cdots (\varphi_{i_{j}}^{i})^{\lambda_{ir_{j}}}$ are ordered so that $\varphi_{1}^{i} < \varphi_{2}^{i} < \cdots < \varphi_{r_{j}}^{i};$ therwise, their ordering will have to be specified in the hypothesis .ven concerning the algebra. In any case, with the further assumption hat $(\varphi_{1}^{1})^{\lambda_{11}} \cdots (\varphi_{1}^{1})^{\lambda_{11}} < \cdots < (\varphi_{r_{1}}^{1})^{\lambda_{1r_{1}}} \cdots (\varphi_{r_{n}}^{n})^{\lambda_{nr_{n}}}, \text{ it follows that}$ sociated with every vector is an expression of the form $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = ((c_{1}, (\varphi_{1}^{1})^{\lambda_{11}}, \cdots , (\varphi_{nr_{n}}^{n})^{\lambda_{nr_{n}}})).$ This form will be called

the canonical representation of x and will be denoted by $part(x, X_v)$. For completeness, we shall also require $part(0, X_v)$ to have the value ((0,1)).

Whereas the mathematical form of a vector may or may not be useful for an analyst, depending on the nature of the problem under consideration, the form will always be found to be awkward for a computer because of the partitioning that necessarily will ensue. Consequently, the usual procedure for manipulating these elements is to first partition them into their canonical representations, use these reprcsentations for carrying out the operations that are needed, and then return the answers in their mathematical forms. In so far as the uniqueness of the canonical forms is concerned, and this will be discussed in detail later, let it suffice to say for the moment that this will most certainly depend on, among other things, the assumptions made regarding the basic structure of A, .

We now consider the addition and multiplication operations for the vectors of A,, which, for convenience, will be denoted by the symbols \oplus and * respectively. The addition function is particularly easy to describe, being defined as follows:

- 1. For any vector x, $x \oplus 0 = 0 \oplus x = x$
- 2. If x is a non-zero vector having the canonical form

 $((a, \Psi_1^{\mu_1}, \ldots, \Psi_m^{\mu_m}))$, y is an arbitrary non-zero vector having the canonical form $((b_1, (\phi_1^1)^{\lambda_{11}}, \ldots, (\phi_{r_1}^1)^{\lambda_{1r_1}}), \ldots,$

$$(b_n, (\varphi_1^n)^{\lambda_n 1}, \dots, (\varphi_n^n)^{\lambda_n r_n})), \text{ and } \xi_1 = (\varphi_1^j)^{\lambda_1 1} \dots (\varphi_{r_1}^i)^{\lambda_1 r_1}$$

$$(i = 1, \dots, n), \text{ then}$$

$$\begin{cases} b_1 \xi_1 + \dots + b_1 \xi_1 + a_1^{\mu_1} \dots \Psi_n^{\mu_n} + b_{i+1} \xi_{i+1} + \dots + b_n \xi_n \\ \text{ if } \xi_1 < \Psi_1^{\mu_1} \dots \Psi_n^{\mu_n} < \xi_{i+1} \end{cases}$$

$$b_1 \xi_1 + \dots + b_{i-1} \xi_{i-1} + \text{ scalar}, (a+b_i) * \xi_i + b_{i+1} \xi_{i+1} + b_n \xi_n \\ \text{ if } \xi_1 = \Psi_1^{\mu_1} \dots \Psi_n^{\mu_n} \text{ and scalar}, (a+b_i) \neq 0 \\ b_1 \xi_1 + \dots + b_{i-1} \xi_{i-1} + b_{i+1} \xi_{i+1} + \dots + b_n \xi_n \\ \text{ if } \xi_1 = \Psi_1^{\mu_1} \dots \Psi_n^{\mu_n} \text{ and scalar}, (a+b_i) = 0 \\ 3. \text{ If } x \text{ is a vector having the canonical form } ((a_1, (\Psi_1^i)^{\mu_1}), \dots, (\Psi_{i_n}^i)^{\mu_{n_n}})), y \text{ is an arbitrary vector,} \\ = (\Psi_1^i)^{\mu_{11}} \dots (\Psi_{n_1})^{\mu_{1n_1}}, \dots, (\Psi_{i_n}^n)^{\mu_{n_n}})), y \text{ is an arbitrary vector,} \\ = (\Psi_1^i)^{\mu_{11}} \dots (\Psi_{n_1})^{\mu_{1n_1}}, \text{ then } x \oplus y = a_1 \xi_1 \oplus (a_2 \xi_2 \oplus (\dots \oplus (a_n \xi_n \oplus y), \dots)). \\ \text{ ularly, using the associative and distributive properties of the station *, it is clear that the definition of x * y reduces to the case ste x has the canonical form $((a, \Psi_1^{\mu_1}, \dots, \Psi_n^{\mu_n}))$ and y has the canonical m $((b, \psi_n^{\lambda_1}, \dots, \phi_n^{\lambda_n}))$. However, since the definition of this product the definition of this product the algebra under consideration, it is evident that it will *i* to be given by a function x, y \rightarrow vector, (x,y). This map will always assumed to be computable, of course.$$

MPLE:

Let R be the standard fixed point arithmetic indexed by the value = 0, so that the function $s \rightarrow scalar_0(s)$ has as its value the sum coduct) of the numbers a_1, \ldots, a_p whenever $s = a_1 + \ldots + a_p$

= $a_1 \cdots a_p$) and the exponentiation of the number a to the non-negative

integral power n whenever $s = a^n$. If A is the polynomial algebra of the set $X = \{x\}$ over the ring R (see reference 2), it is then evident that the mapping $\lambda, \mu \rightarrow \text{vector}_1(\lambda, \mu)$ can be easily defined by assigning the value $\text{scalar}_0(a \cdot b)x^{\text{scalar}_0(k+\ell)}$ if $\text{scalar}_0(k+\ell) \neq 0$ and $\text{scalar}_0(a \cdot b)$ if $\text{scalar}_0(k+\ell) = 0$, where $\lambda = ax^k$ and $\mu = bx^\ell$. Consequently, it follows that the expressions K + L and $K \cdot L$ easily reduce to the respective values $7 + 4x + 3x^2$ and $12 + 16x + 9x^2 + 12x^3$ for K = 3 + 4x and $L = 4 + 3x^2$.

Functions

Let A, be an algebra in h defined over a ring R_r . A collection of vector-valued functions (with vectors and/or scalars as arguments) is generally associated with this algebra, some resulting directly from the algebraic structure of A_r and others depending on whatever further restrictions are placed on A_r . In any case, since the choice of these maps will necessarily vary with the algebra under consideratic and how it is to be used, it follows that the model ALGEBRA will have to contain a general procedure for storing and manipulating any assumptions that will be required.

Before the vector-valued functions are considered, however, certair remarks should be made regarding the structure of the algebra. In particular, although it is true that in certain cases we shall be interest in A_v for its algebraic properties only, it is also clear that at other times the topological aspects of the algebra will be of dominant importance. For example, the assumption that the algebra is a Banach spa

is a fairly common requirement, in which case, we would most certainly be interested in the bounded linear operators of this space. In fact, we might even be interested in the vector-valued Borel functions. In many cases, however, the functions that we shall be interested in, whether they are continuous or not, will not be computable. And for that matter, we might be interested in using a computable function for its representation only, and not its value.

ile 1

Heretofore, it has been assumed that A is an algebra of some module M,, where M, is generated by the set X. If, however, we wish for our algebra to have a topological structure, this assumption may be relaxed by requiring that X. be a set that is dense in M. The set X, can be modified in other ways also. In particular, consider a function f associated with A,. Then for any expression¹ s of the form $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, where each x_i is a vector or scalar, if it isn't desirable to compute s for the arguments under consideration, or it is not possible to do so, the expression will be regarded as being irreducible relative to the hypotheses being made, and hence will be treated as an element of X_n .

¹ An expression in A_v is defined to be (1) a scalar or vector in A_v , (2) a representation of one of the forms $x_1 + \ldots x_n$ or $x_1 \ldots x_n$, where each x_i is an expression, (3) a representation of the form x_n , where x is an expression and n is a positive integer, or (4) a representation of the form $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, where f is an associated mapping and each x_i is an expression.

In general, if no assumptions are made concerning the function, we have no choice but to regard it as being irreducible whenever it is used. If, on the other hand, f is to satisfy certain hypotheses, a function h is associated with f. This mapping, called the axiom or hypothesis function of f, acts as a partial evaluation function for f, stating which properties of the function are to be assumed, and how they will be used. In particular, if f has the property $e_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ whenever the condition $p_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is fulfilled (for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$), then h is the function of the variables x_1, \ldots, x_n , having for its value $e_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ if the predicate $p_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is satisfied, and the irreducible expression $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ otherwise.

Let f_1, \ldots, f_n be a collection of functions which are to be associat with A, and which will satisfy the axiom functions h_1, \ldots, h_n , respectiv These functions and their properties are referred to in ALGEBRA by a variable, called AXIOM, whose value is the list $(f_1, h_1, f_2, h_2, \ldots, f_n, h_n)$ If no assumptions are to be made, AXIOM will have as its value the empty set \emptyset . In any case, whenever a vector-value expression $f(x_1, \ldots,$ is encountered, if it is not a sum, product, or exponential expression of the form x^y where x is a vector and y is a non-negative integer, ALGEBRA first checks to see if f is a member of the set AXIOM. If it is found to be in AXIOM, the expression is evaluated using the corresponding axiom mapping of f; otherwise, s is regarded as being irreduci and hence, a member of X_r .

EXAMPLE:

Let A be the polynomial algebra defined in the example on Page 5 and assume that the trigonometric functions sin and cos are associated with A. If they are assigned the respective axiom functions hsin and hcos, where

hsin(s

 $h\cos(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s = 0 \\ h\cos[(-1)*s] & \text{if } s = n \text{ or } n\xi \text{ (n=-1,-2,... and } \xi \text{ a vector}) \\ h\cos(\xi)*h\cos[(n-1)\xi]\oplus(-1)*h\sin(\xi)*h\sin[(n-1)\xi] & \\ \text{if } s = n\xi \text{ (n=2,3,... and } \xi \text{ a vector}) \\ h\cos(\xi_1)*h\cos(\xi_2+...+\xi_n)\oplus(-1)*h\sin(\xi_1)*h\sin(\xi_2+...+\xi_n) & \\ \text{if } s = \xi_1+...+\xi_n \text{ (each } f \text{ cos}(s) \end{cases}$ it then follows that any expression s of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{T} a_i [sin(p_i x)]^{*i}$.

 $\left[\cos(q_{i}x)\right]^{n_{i}}$ $(a_{i},p_{i}, and q_{i} are arbitrary integers and m_{i}, n_{i} = 0,1,2,...)$

easily reduces to a vector of the form $\sum_{j} b_{j} [\sin(x)]^{r_{j}} [\cos(x)]^{s_{j}}$. Moreover, if it is also assumed that $\sin^{2}(\xi) + \cos^{2}(\xi) = 1$ for every vector ξ in A (i.e., the mapping $\lambda, \mu \rightarrow \text{vector}_{1}(\lambda, \mu)$ is modified by letting vector_{1} [\cos(\xi), \cos(\xi)] = 1 - [\sin(\xi)]^{2}, then s completely collapses to an expression of the form $\sum_{r} \alpha_{r} [\sin(x)]^{\lambda_{r}} + \sum_{s} \beta_{s} [\sin(x)]^{\mu_{s}} \cos(x)$. Thus, we see that the trigonometric polynomials over the scalar ring R are easily manipulated whenever this algebra is used.

Definition of the ALGEBRA System

In the previous two sections a procedure was developed for first formulating the basic structure of an algebra A_v , and then associating with this algebra an arbitrary collection of vector-valued maps, along with any associated assumptions that were required. The generating set X_v of this algebra was seen to be well-defined, consisting entirely of explicitely defined vectors and irreducible expressions of the form $f(x_1,...,x_n)$. Since these elements were evaluated to themselves, it followed recursively (by the definitions of the \oplus ,*, and axiom mappings) that every combination s of expressions of these elements would reduce to am unique value, the form of which would vary only when the underlying structure was changed. We now define the ALGEBRA system to be this reduction procedure, in which case it is then representable as a function of the form s, X_v ,r,v,AXIOM \rightarrow ALGEBRA(s, X_v ,r,v,AXIOM).

Let s be an expression in A, having the value ALGEBRA(s, X_r, r, v , AXIOM) = x. Since x and s obviously represent the same element of the algebra, it is clear that the mapping defines an equivalence relation on the set of expressions of A, requiring any two, say s_1 and s_2 , to be equivalent whenever ALGEBRA($s_1, X_r, r, v, AXIOM$) = ALGEBRA(s_2, X_r, r, v , AXIOM). Consequently, since the hypotheses concerning the structure

of the algebra have been imbedded in the functions $\alpha \rightarrow \text{scalar}_r(\alpha)$ and $\lambda, \mu \rightarrow \text{vector}_v(\lambda, \mu)$ and in the variable AXIOM, it follows that the function ALGEBRA does indeed characterize the structure of A_v as we have defined it. Moreover, since the values of this mapping are unique, they serve, in effect, as representations for their equivalence classes. Thus, if the definition of the canonical form of a vector s is modified to be that of the vector ALGEBRA(s, X_v, r, v, AXIOM), we then note that the canonical form of a vector will always be unique.

In reflecting on the structure of the mapping ALGEPRA, it should first be emphasized that all we have succeeded in doing is implementing a model for a finite collection of algebras on the computer; no techniques have been developed for proving theorems about these algebras. The question of theorem-proving in general is a distinct problem, requiring the formulation of various techniques for handling the learning and choosing procedures that are necessarily involved. Secondly, many of the restrictions that were placed on the collection of sets h were not really necessary. It is evident, for example, that ALGEBRA could have easily been defined to handle a collection of groups or monoids instead. In any case, having actually formed a simple but comprehensive model of a collection of mathematical systems, each of which contains a fairly complex underlying structure, we have, in effect, provided ourselves with an extremely powerful tool for treating a vast array of problems in a systematic manner which could not even have been considered otherwise.

Polynomial Algebras

Let R be an arbitrary ring indexed by the value $v = v_0$ and described by the mapping $s \rightarrow scalar_{r_0}(s)$, X a recursive collection of non-numerical atoms or indexed variables designated by the value $v = v_0$ (see reference 1), and A an extension of the polynomial algebra defined on the set of variables X over the ring R (see reference 2), allowing in addition any appropriate associated functions that are desired. It then follows that A will be well-d_fined whenever the product mapping $x, y \rightarrow vector_{v_0}(x, y)$ is evaluated. But this function is easily defined, having for the nonzero vectors $x = a \phi_1^{r_1} \dots \phi_n^{r_m}$ and $y = b \Psi_1^{s_1} \dots \Psi_n^{s_m}$ the value $scalar_{r_0}(a \cdot b) \phi_1^{r_1} * (\phi_2^{r_2} * (\dots * (\phi_m^{r_m} * (\Psi_1^{s_1} \cdots \Psi_n^{s_m})) \dots)),$

where

$$\varphi^{s_{1}} \dots \varphi^{s_{\alpha-1}}_{\alpha-1} \varphi^{r_{y}} \varphi^{s_{\alpha}} \dots \varphi^{s_{n}} \text{ if } \Psi_{\alpha-1} < \varphi < \Psi_{\alpha}$$

$$\Psi_{1}^{s_{1}} \dots \Psi_{\alpha-1}^{s_{\alpha-1}} \Psi_{\alpha+1}^{s_{\alpha+1}} \dots \Psi_{n}^{s_{n}} \text{ if } \varphi = \Psi_{\alpha} \text{ and}$$

$$ALGEBRA(r+s_{\alpha}, x, r_{0}, v_{0}, AXIOM) = 0$$

$$scalar_{r_{0}} (\varphi^{r+s_{\alpha}}) \Psi_{1}^{s_{1}} \dots \Psi_{\alpha-1}^{s_{\alpha-1}} \Psi_{\alpha+1}^{s_{\alpha+1}} \dots \Psi_{n}^{s_{n}} \text{ if}$$

$$\varphi = \Psi_{\alpha}, \varphi \text{ and } r + s_{\alpha} \text{ are scalars},$$

$$and \varphi^{r+s_{\alpha}} \text{ is an expression in our}$$

$$ring of scalars$$

$$\Psi_{1}^{s_{1}} \dots \Psi_{\alpha-1}^{s_{\alpha-1}} \varphi^{r+s_{\alpha}} \Psi_{\alpha+1}^{s_{\alpha+1}} \dots \Psi_{n}^{s_{n}} \text{ if } \varphi = \Psi_{\alpha} \text{ and}$$

$$\varphi^{r+s_{\alpha}}, \text{ is irreducible}.$$

$$ALGEBRA(\varphi^{r+s_{\alpha}}, X, r_{0}, v_{0}, AXIOM)^{*}$$

$$(\Psi_{1}^{s_{1}} \dots \Psi_{\alpha-1}^{s_{\alpha-1}} \Psi_{\alpha+1}^{s_{\alpha+1}} \dots \Psi_{n}^{s_{n}}) \text{ if } \varphi = \Psi_{\alpha}$$

$$and \varphi^{r+s_{\alpha}} \text{ is reducible}.$$

We now consider several useful applications of this algebra.

1) The SIMPLIFY Algebra

This algebra is the algebra most generally used for manipulating symbolic mathematical expressions, having for its scalar ring the standard fixed and floating point arithmetic and for its generator set X the collection of non-numerical atoms which are not being used as vectors for other algebras. For convenience, we shall denote the value of its implementation mapping $s \rightarrow ALGEBRA(s, X, r_0, v_0, AXIOM)$ by SIMPLIFY(s).

Assume that the standard differentiation function diff:s, $x \rightarrow \frac{\partial s}{\partial x}$ is now associated with SIMPLIFY (see reference 2). If AXIOM = Ø (the empty set), it is then clear that although SIMPLIFY(K + x) = 5x + 5y + 3xy for the vector K = 4x + 5y + 3xy (where x < y < xy), since no assumptions are being made regarding the differentiation mapping, SIMPLIFY [diff(K,x)] has for its value diff(4x + 5y + 3xy,x), considering this expression as being irreducible and hence an element of X. If, on the other hand, h(s,x) is the standard axiom mapping for diff¹ and AXIOM = (diff,k), then SIMPLIFY [diff(K,x)] would have as its value h(K,x) = 4 + 3y. Thus, if the two trigonometric functions sin and cos are also associated with SIMPLIFY and h[sin(x),x] is defined to have the value cos(x), it is evident that whereas this algebra is appropriate for computing expressions of the form cos(x) + diff[sin(x),x], it is totally inadequate for handling either differential equations or polynomials with symbolic coefficients.

¹ h(s,x) is defined for any expression s and any atomic vector x by requiring that (1) h(s,x) = 0 whenever s is a scalar or atomic vector different from x, (2) h(x,x) = 1, (3) $h(s,x) = h(s_1,x) + \ldots + h(s_p,x)$ for $s = s_1 + \ldots + s_p$, and (4) $h(s,x) = h(s_1,x)s_2 \cdots s_p + \ldots + s_1 \cdots s_{p-1} h(s_p,x)$ for $s = s_1 \cdots s_p$.

2) The MULT and MULTILINEAR Algebras

The MULT algebra uses as its scalar ring the SIMPLIFY algebra and as its generator set a finite collection $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of non-numerical atoms or indexed variables. The standard differentiation mapping diff:s,x $\rightarrow \frac{\partial s}{\partial x}$ is again associated with this algebra, being defined as before whenever $x = x_i$ for some x_i . The definition of diff, however, can be extended to also cover the case where x is a non-numerical atom in the ring SIMPLIFY. This is done by requiring that: (1) if s is a scalar, then diff(s, x) will be computed as an expression in SIMPIIFY, (2) diff(s,x) will be regarded as being irreducible for any irreducible vector s in MULT, so that in effect, each x_i is a function of x, (3) $diff(s,x) = diff(s_1,x) + ... + diff(s_p,x)$ for any $s = s_1 + ... + s_p$, and (4) diff(s,x) = diff(s_1, x) $s_2 \cdots s_p + \ldots + s_1 \cdots s_{p-1}$ diff(s_p, x) for any $s = s_1 \cdots s_p$. Noting then that the expression $xx_i + x_i$ diff[sin(x)x_i,x] reduces to $[x + cos(x)]x_i + sin(x)diff(x_i,x)$, it is evident that any differential equation with numerical coefficients is easily handled by this algebra.

In order to manipulate differential equations with symbolic coefficients, the MULTILINEAR algebra must be used. This algebra is a modification of the MULT algebra, having as its scalar ring the MULT algebra (with generating set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$) and as its generator set a finite sequence $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ of non-numerical atoms or indexed variables each of which is assumed to be a function of the variables $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. The definition of the differentiation mapping diff(s,x) is then modeled a that of the MULT algebra, allowing x to be either γ or y_j and requiring that the expression diff(s,x_i) be irreducible for any irreducible expression s in the algebra. Thus, it would follow that the expression $diff[ax_i sin(y_j), x_i] + diff[y_k, y_j]$ would reduce to $a \cdot sin(y_j) + ax_i cos(y_j) diff(y_j, x_i)$ for $j \neq k$.

Series Algebras

Let R be a commutative ring with multiplicative identity 1, Z the ring of integers, and A the collection of all functions $f:Z \rightarrow R$ such that for some integer n_f , f(n) = 0 for all $n < n_f$ (the value of n_f will necessarily vary with the choice of f). Denoting the value of f(n) also by f_n , it then is clear that A is an algebra over R whenever $(af)_n = af_n$, $(f + g)_n = f_n + g_n$, and $(fg)_n = \sum_{i+j=n} f_i g_j$ for any scalar a and any vectors f and g. This algebra is, of course, isomorphic to the algebra of series of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ for some variable x.

Regarding n now as a fixed variable, represent the elements of A by the expressions f(n) instead of f. A can then be considered as a modification of the MULT algebra (whenever R is taken to be the SIMPLIFY algebra) having as its generating set X the collection of maps f_n such that $f(Z-\{0\})$; $\{0\}$ and as its basic product the value $\sum_{i+j=n} f_i g_j$ instead of $f_n g_n$. However, if A is considered as an algebra over the polynomial ring defined on the set $\{x\}$, in which case $[(ax^k)f]_n = af_{n-k}$ for any scalar of the form ax^k and any vector f, it is then evident that the algebra is a modification of the MULTILINEAR algebra with generator set X, whose scalar ring is the MULT algebra with generating set $\{x\}$. In either case, since the notation being used to represent our series will most likely differ from that of the elemn f(n) of A, a short input-output package will have to be supplied to converfrom one system of notation to the other. But this is always the case, of course, whenever we deal with isomorphic algebras.

15

÷.

Assuming that the standard differentiation map $\frac{d}{dx} : f_n \rightarrow (n+1)f_{n+1}$ is associated with A, it then follows that the algebra will provide a direct procedure for reducing ordinary differential equations (in x) to their difference equation components. Moreover, if A and MULTILINEAR are used in conjunction with one another for handling these equations, first transforming them and then reducing them, we shall find that we have developed a highly useful procedure for examining their underlying structure.

Differential Forms

Let R be the MULTILINEAR algebra, having as its generating set a sequence of the form $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$, the choice of which may vary with the problem under consideration, and as its scalar ring the MULT algebra with generator set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Assuming also that the functions being associated with MULT and MULTILINEAR are differentiable, we then consider the exterior algebra A of the free module M generated by the set $\{dx_1, \ldots, dx_n\}$ over R, where $\{dx_1, \ldots, dx_n\}$ is a collection of n atoms or indexed variables (ee reference 2).

Noting that the non-scalar elements of this algebra are of the form $w = \sum_{i_1} < \cdots < i_r a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_1} dx_{i_1} \cdots dx_{i_r}$ (r may vary), it follows that the algebra is a model of the algebra of differential forms for some n-manifold (see reference 7). This in turn implies, of course, that the differential $d(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial a}{\partial x_i} dx_i$ of an expression a in R is an element of this algebra.

We now extend the definition of this differentiation mapping in the standard manner so as to have the value $d(w) = \sum_{\substack{i_1 < \cdots < i_r \\ i_1 < \cdots < i_r}} d(a_{i_1} \cdots i_r)$ $d(a_{i_1} \cdots i_r)$

(1) $d(\alpha w_1 + \beta w_2) = \alpha d(w_1) + \beta d(w_2)$ for any vectors w_1 and w_2 and any elements α and β which are in the SIMPLIFY ring.

(2) d(d(w)) = 0 for any vector w of class $c^r (r \ge 2)$.

(3) $d(w_1 \wedge w_2) = d(w_1) \wedge w_2 + (-1)^r w_1 \wedge d(w_2)$ for any vector a_1 of the form $w_1 = \sum_{i_1} \cdots i_r a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_r} dx_{i_1} \cdots dx_{i_r}$ (r fixed) and any arbitrary vector w_2 .

This algebra is an extremely important application of the MULTILINEAR ring R, allowing us not only to be able to transform many highly complex partial differential equations in a systematic, yet simple manner, but to transform and in many cases reduce multiple integrals also. Moreover, if the collection of algebraic homomorphisms of the form $j:A \rightarrow A$, where f(M) . M, are associated with A, we then have a direct procedur. for studying the collection of matrices associated with the corresponding restricted linear transformations $f - M:M \rightarrow M$.

17

• •

On the Nature of Symbol Manipulation Languages

During the last few years a number of studies have been made on the structure required for higher-level languages designed primarily for an analyst desiring to manipulate various mathematical expressions in a variety of ways (e.g., See reference 3). From these studies it has become evident that the general list processing languages (such as LISP) are not appropriate for processing complex mathematical data. This is due not only to the extreme complexity of the coding that necessarily ensues in the analysis of an intricate problem, but also to the fact that the input-output procedures most ideally suited for these languages are totally inadequate for the individual primarily interested in the analysis of a problem and not the underlying mathematical and input-output structure involved. On the other hand, it has become equally clear that in order for such a symbol manipulation language to be constructed, a list processing language, or equivalently a set of list processing routine will necessarily have to be employed, along with a highly sophisticated input-output procedure for allowing the analyst to communicate with the machine and a mathematical structure which would be broad enough in scope to interpret a diverse collection of expressions in a fairly simple manne: We shall now consider briefly the underlying mathematical structure that any such language would need.

In general, any symbol manipulation language must always contain at least two modes of computation, namely the ring of rational numbers and a polynomial algebra over a set of variables using only numerical coefficients (in which case, we have just an application of the SIMPLIFY algebra, of course). However, because of the diversity of the mathematical concepts encountered and the techniques that are needed, these modes will obviously never suffice. On the other hand, since SIMPLIFY was found to be a very simple application of an extremely general model, namely ALGEBRA, and such a model in some form will always be needed for defining this algebra, it is evident that one can implement the model itself so that we then have at our disposal not only the SIMPLIFY algebra, but a host of other mathematical procedures also. This being the case, an experimental programming language called FLAP (for FORTRAN-like algebraic list processor) was developed, using the ALGEBRA system as its underlying structure.

The FLAP language is a higher-level language (written in LISP) which contains not only the model ALGEBRA but also a complete system of inputoutput routines for intrepreting the data under consideration. The language contains many computational modes, including the standard fixed and floating point arithmetic and the SIMPLIFY, MULT, and MULTILINEAR algebras. Its format is similar to that of the FORTRAN system, in that it makes use of the same notation of the statements and formulas, the major distinction being the existence of a mathematical mode setting statement, appropriately called the MODE statement. This statement, which has for its argument the name of an algebra, in effect tells the interpreter that until another mode statement is made, every statement

is to be regarded as being dominated by this algebra. If however, no MODE statements are made, the standard fixed and floating point arithmetic will prevail throughout the program so that, in effect, we then have a FORTRAN-like program.

The language FLAP, though still in the experimental stage, has already been found to be extremely useful. This is due not only to the list-processing capability which it inherits from LISP, but also to the fact that it has been formulated on a general analytical structure (namely ALGEBRA) rather than a set of distinct computational procedures. Using the model we then have the capability of being able to easily define any other computational mode (by setting the arguments X, r, v, AXIOM, etc.) as well as being able to modify any existing ones.

EXAMPLE:

Suppose that we wished to write a FLAP program for computing the expression $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ [ay·sin(xy)], where y is a variable depending on the variable x and a is a constant. Since we would then be using the MULTILINEAR algebra with the generator set {y} and the scalar ring MULT (which would, in turn, have the generator set {x}), the program could be written as follows:

CALCULATE

INPUT A,X,Y

DUMMY K

MODE MULTILINEAR (X), (Y)

K = DIFF(A*Y*SIN(X*Y), X)

RETURN K

END

CALCULATE(A, X, Y)

The following value would then be printed:

A*X*Y*COS(X*Y)*DIFF(Y,X)+A*Y**2*COS(X*Y)+A*SIN(X*Y)*DIFF(Y,X)

The three statement cards beginning with the MODE statement contain the instructions for computing and printing the expression; the other cards are used only for declaring and binding the variables concerned.

21

. .

REFERENCES

- Berkeley, E. C., etal. <u>The Programming Language LISP: An Introduction</u> <u>and Appraisal</u>. Computers and Automation, Vol. 13, No. 9. Sep, 1964, pp. 16-23.
- 2. Chevalley, Claude. <u>Fundametal Concepts of Algebra</u>. Academic Press, _____ New York, 1956.
- 3. Engelman, C. <u>MATHLAB: A Program for On-Line Machine Assistance in</u> <u>Symbolic Computations</u>. AFIPS Conference Proceedings, 1965 Fall Joint Computer Conference, Vol. 27, Part 1, 1965, pp. 413-421.
- Kleene, S. C. <u>Introduction to Metamathematics</u>. D. Van Nostround Co., Frinceton, N. J. 1952.
- McCarthy, John. <u>A Basis for a Mathematical Theory of Computation</u>.
 Proceedings of the 1961 Western Join Computer Conference, May 1965.
 pp. 225-237.
- McCarthy, John, etal. <u>LISP 1.5 Programmer's Manual</u>. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass. 1962.
- Sternberg, Shlomo. <u>Lectures on Differential Geometry</u>. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1964.

ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	and the second	a muer be en	ered when	the overall repor	t is classified	0
			2. REPO	RT SECURITY	C LASSIFICAT	ION
• K• • • ·				JNCLASSIFI	ED	
aval weapons Laboratory			20 GHOU	P	·.	
REPORT TITLE	<u></u>					
· ·						
DDELS FOR MATHEMATICAL SYSTEMS						Í
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)						
		•				j
UTHOR(S) (Leet name, first name, initial)						
A. C				,		
orris, Alfred H.			•			
EPORT DATE	78. TOTAL	NO. OF PA	GES	75. NO. OF RI	LFS	
March 1966		25				
CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	Se. ORIGI	ATOR'S REF	PORT NUM	8 E R(S)	:	
PROJECT NO.		2032				
	Sb. OTHER	NEPORT N	0(S) (Any	other numbers th	at may be ass:	igned
			•			
AVAILABILITY/LINITATION NOTICES						
			• •			ł
stribution of this document is unli	mited.			÷,		
UPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSO	RING MILIT	ARY ACTI	/179		
	· ·					· -
BSTRACT						
					•	
		ind illus	strate	a program,	written	
The purpose of this paper is to	el for a f	Finite co	llecti	on of alor	hrae for	
The purpose of this paper is to r the IBM 7090, which defines a mod e computer. It is shown that the p	el for a f rogram con	finite contains a	ollecti struct	on of alge ure broad	ebras for enough	
The purpose of this paper is to r the IBM 7090, which defines a mod e computer. It is shown that the p scope to allow one to perform oper	el for a d rogram con ations on	finite co ntains a such div	ollecti struct /erse m	on of alge ure broad athematica	ebras for enough 1 con-	
The purpose of this paper is to r the IBM 7090, which defines a mod e computer. It is shown that the p scope to allow one to perform oper pts as differential equations, infi	el for a s rogram con ations on nite serie	inite contains a such dives, and o	ollecti struct verse m liffere	on of algo ure broad athematica ntial form	ebras for enough 11 con- 15 in a	
The purpose of this paper is to r the IBM 7090, which defines a mod e computer. It is shown that the p scope to allow one to perform oper pts as differential equations, infi mple yet comprehensive manner, while riety of higher-level symbolic mani-	el for a s rogram con ations on nite serie e also ser pulation	inite contains a such diverse, and converse of the second	ollecti struct verse m liffere a foun s can b	on of algo ure broad athematics ntial form dation upo e develope	ebras for enough 11 con- as in a on which ed.	a
The purpose of this paper is to r the IBM 7090, which defines a mod e computer. It is shown that the p scope to allow one to perform oper pts as differential equations, infi mple yet comprehensive manner, whil riety of higher-level symbolic manip	el for a s rogram con ations on nite serie e also ser pulation 1	inite contains a such diverse and contains a such diverse and contained by and contained by an anguage such as a such asuch as a such as a such as	ollecti struct verse m liffere a foun s can b	on of algo ure broad athematica ntial form dation upo e develope	ebras for enough 11 con- as in a on which ed.	a
The purpose of this paper is to r the IBM 7090, which defines a mod e computer. It is shown that the p scope to allow one to perform oper pts as differential equations, infi mple yet comprehensive manner, whil riety of higher-level symbolic manip	el for a s rogram con ations on nite serie e also ser pulation	inite contains a such diverse and contains a such diverse and contained	ollecti struct verse m liffere a foun s can b	on of algo ure broad athematics ntial form dation upo e develope	ebras for enough al con- as in a bn which ed.	a
The purpose of this paper is to r the IBM 7090, which defines a mod e computer. It is shown that the p scope to allow one to perform oper pts as differential equations, infi mple yet comprehensive manner, whil riety of higher-level symbolic manip	el for a s rogram con ations on nite serie e also serie pulation s	inite contains a such diverse and contains a such diverse and contained by a such and contained by anguages anguages of the such as a such asuch as a such asuch as a such as a	ollecti struct verse m liffere a foun s can b	on of algo ure broad athematica ntial form dation upo e develope	ebras for enough al con- as in a on which ed.	a
The purpose of this paper is to r the IBM 7090, which defines a mod e computer. It is shown that the p scope to allow one to perform oper pts as differential equations, infi mple yet comprehensive manner, whil riety of higher-level symbolic manip	el for a s rogram con ations on nite serie e also ser pulation s	inite contains a such diverse and contains a such diverse and contained by and contained by anguages a such anguages anguages a such	ollecti struct verse m liffere a foun s can b	on of algo ure broad athematics ntial form dation upo e develope	ebras for enough al con- as in a on which ed.	a
The purpose of this paper is to r the IBM 7090, which defines a mod e computer. It is shown that the p scope to allow one to perform oper pts as differential equations, infi mple yet comprehensive manner, whil riety of higher-level symbolic mani	el for a s rogram con ations on nite serie e also ser pulation s	inite contains a such dives, and o ving as anguages	ollecti struct verse m liffere a foun s can b	on of algo ure broad athematica ntial form dation upo e develope	ebras for enough al con- as in a on which ed.	a
The purpose of this paper is to r the IBM 7090, which defines a mod e computer. It is shown that the p scope to allow one to perform oper pts as differential equations, infi mple yet comprehensive manner, whil riety of higher-level symbolic manip	el for a s rogram con ations on nite serie e also ser pulation s	inite contains a such dives, and contains as anguages	ollecti struct verse m liffere a foun s can b	on of algo ure broad athematics ntial form dation upo e develope	ebras for enough al con- as in a on which ed.	a
The purpose of this paper is to r the IBM 7090, which defines a mod e computer. It is shown that the p scope to allow one to perform oper pts as differential equations, infi mple yet comprehensive manner, whil riety of higher-level symbolic mani	el for a f rogram con ations on nite serie e also ser pulation f	inite contains a such dives, and o ving as anguages	ollecti struct verse m liffere a foun s can b	on of algo ure broad athematica ntial form dation upo e develope	ebras for enough al con- as in a on which ed.	a
The purpose of this paper is to r the IBM 7090, which defines a mod e computer. It is shown that the p scope to allow one to perform oper pts as differential equations, infi mple yet comprehensive manner, whil riety of higher-level symbolic manip	el for a f rogram con ations on nite serie e also ser pulation f	finite contains a such dives, and of ving as anguages	ollecti struct verse m liffere a foun s can b	on of algo ure broad athematics ntial form dation upo e develope	ebras for enough al con- as in a on which ed.	a
The purpose of this paper is to r the IBM 7090, which defines a mod e computer. It is shown that the p scope to allow one to perform oper pts as differential equations, infi mple yet comprehensive manner, whil riety of higher-level symbolic mani	el for a f rogram con ations on nite serie e also ser pulation f	finite contains a such dives, and o ving as anguages	ollecti struct verse m liffere a foun s can b	on of algo ure broad athematica ntial form dation upo e develope	ebras for enough 1 con- as in a on which ed.	a
The purpose of this paper is to r the IBM 7090, which defines a mod e computer. It is shown that the p scope to allow one to perform oper pts as differential equations, infi mple yet comprehensive manner, whil riety of higher-level symbolic manip	el for a f rogram con ations on nite serie e also sen pulation f	finite contains a such dives, and o ving as anguages	ollecti struct verse m liffere a foun s can b	on of algo ure broad athematics ntial form dation upo e develope	ebras for enough al con- as in a on which ed.	a
The purpose of this paper is to r the IBM 7090, which defines a mod e computer. It is shown that the p scope to allow one to perform oper pts as differential equations, infi mple yet comprehensive manner, whil riety of higher-level symbolic mani	el for a f rogram con ations on nite serie e also ser pulation f	finite contains a such divers, and of ving as anguages	ollecti struct verse m liffere a foun s can b	on of alge ure broad athematica ntial form dation upo e develope	ebras for enough al con- as in a on which ed.	a
The purpose of this paper is to r the IBM 7090, which defines a mod e computer. It is shown that the p scope to allow one to perform oper pts as differential equations, infi mple yet comprehensive manner, whil riety of higher-level symbolic manip	el for a f rogram con ations on nite serie e also ser pulation f	finite contains a such dives, and o ving as anguages	ollecti struct verse m liffere a foun s can b	on of algo ure broad athematics ntial form dation upo e develope	ebras for enough al con- as in a on which ed.	a

Figure 1