Distribution of this document is unlimited



TECHNICAL REPORT 66-44-FD

INCIDENCE OF CLOSTRIDIUM BOJULTNUM

IN RAW MEATS

ACCESSION for CFSTI WHITE SECTION CA DBC BUFF SECTION CA UXAVHOUNCED Per 1473 JUS (I) IGATION PER 1473 BY M BISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES BISTR. AVAIL MIL/W SPECIAL

by

R. B. Tompkin

Swift & Company Chicago, Illinois

Contract No. DA19-129-AMC-161(N)

Project reference: 1-K-O-12501-A-033 Series: FD-50

an ar ar

18. P.S.

June 1966

Food Division U. S. ARMY NATICK LABORATORIES Natick, Massachusetts 01760

The second s

.

.

FOREWORD

A radiation dose of 4.5 Mrad is commonly accepted as the minimum starilizing dose for meats. This belief is based upon the assumption that it is necessary to destroy $6X10^{-10}$ spores of <u>C</u>. <u>botulinum</u> to make the food safe for human consumption. No definitive published evidence exists of the actual numbers of botulinal spores occurring in raw meats. The small amount of published material and indirect unpublished data indicate that the total indigenous mesophilic, putrefactive, anaerobic spore population is very low, and among these, the presence of <u>C</u>. <u>betulinum</u> is even lower. Hence, a thorough survey for clostridial spores, particularly <u>C</u>. <u>botulinum</u>, in raw foods, is essential, so that correct radiosterilization processes can be established.

This contract consisted of two phases. The first phase was concerned with the development of a sensitive method for detecting and enumerating botulinal spores overwhelmed by competitive clostridial spores and heat tolerant vegetative bacteria. This was successfully accomplished. The next phase involved the application of this method to the most extensive survey reported to date on the natural incidence of mesophilic clostridial spores, including <u>C. botulinum</u>, in raw beef, chicken and pork, taken during the four seasons of the year, at seven different geographic locations in the U.S.A. and Canada, and under the worst possible handling and processing conditions in slaughtering plants.

Results indicate that mesophilic clostridial spores are naturally present in very small numbers, and that the presence of botulinal spores is a relatively rare event.

The activities under this contract was monitored by Mr. Abe Anellis as the Project Officer, and by Dr. Durwood B. Rowley as the Alternate Project Officer.

> FERDINAND P. MEHRLICH, PhD Diractor Food Division

APPROVED:

DALE H. SIELING, PhD Scientific Director

W. M. HANTZ Colorel, QMC Commanding

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
SUMMARY	1
INTROCUCTION	2
MATERIALS and METHODS	3
I. Phase L. Selection of Procedure	3
A. Pasteurization	3
1. Pouch technique	4
2. Botulinal toxin evaluation	4
II. Phase II. Incidence of <u>C</u> . <u>botulinum</u>	4
A. Sample collection	4
1. Sample preparation	5
2. Enumeration and isolation of putrefactive anaerobes	5
3. Botulinal toxin evaluation	5
RESULTS	6
DISCUSSION	8
LITERATURE CITED	10
TABLE 1 Reduction of standard plate count microflora in raw meats at various pastcurization temperatures	11
TABLE 2. Interococcus survival in uninoculated means at 55 and GOC $$	12
TABLE 3 Futrefactive anaerobic spore survival in uninoculated means	13
TABLE 4 Detection of P.A. 3679 spores by the Peptone Colloid MPN method	14
TABLE 5 Detection of <u>C</u> . <u>botulinum</u> 62A spores in meats inoculated with P.A. 3679 spores by the Peptone Colloid MPN method	15
TABLE 6 Comparison of pouch and MPN recovery methods for detection of indigenous F.A. spores in raw meats	16

Distance of the street of

町月二

TABLE OF CONTENTS - Cont'd.

a....

са — с • — тя • — **х** .

.

-

•

			Page
TA B LE	7	Comparison of pouch and MPN recovery methods for detection of C. botulinum spores in raw mears	17
TABLE	8	Recovery of C. botulinum from chicken by pouch method	18
TABLE	9	Recovery of <u>C</u> . <u>botulinum</u> from pork by pouch method	19
TABLE	10	Recovery of <u>C</u> . <u>botulinum</u> from beef by pouch'method.	20
TABLE	11	Summation of <u>C</u> . <u>botulinum</u> detection results by pouch method	21
TABLE	12	Incidence of mesophilic putrefactive anaerobic, spores, including <u>C</u> botulinum, in raw beef, pork and chicken	22
TABLE	13	Distribution of raw beef, pork and chicken samples according to level of contamination with putrefactive anaerobes	23
TABLE	14	Incidence of putrefactive anaerobes in raw beef, pork, and chicken	27
TABLE	15	Distribution of samples and concentrations of putrefactive anaerobes according to seasons	28

ABSTRACT

ملأدين

Two thousand three hundred and fifty eight (2,358) raw meat samples were analyzed tor putrefactive and botulinal spores. Of 19,727 P.A. isolates, only one was botulinal (Type C). 77% of the samples had 3 P.A.'s/g or less. The overall mean was 2.82 P.A.'s/g. SUMMARY

The anerobic film pouch was demonstrated to be an effective device for the primary isolation of <u>Clostridium botulinum</u> types A and B spores from inoculated raw pork, beef, and chicken. Optimal pasteurization of these meats (for reduction of non-spore microflora without affecting indigenous putrefactive anaerobic spore levels) was 50 min. at 60C. <u>Clostridium botulinum</u> spores were recovered with good precision from meat samples inoculated with mixtures of <u>C. botulinum</u> and putrefactive anaerobe 3679 at 1;1;and at 1:99 ratios.

The anaerobic film pouch was used in a survey to quantitate and isolate the naturally occurring clostridial and botulinal spores in 2,358 samples of raw meat (k,078 chicken, 624 beef, 656 pork). One of the 19,727 putrefactive anaerobic sporeformers isolated was confirmed by the mouse protection test to be <u>Clostridium</u> <u>botulinum</u> type C. This isolate was from a posterior sample of chicken from Western Canada which contained 5.33 clostridia per g. These data indicate a very low incidence of botulinal contamination in raw meats (0.042% of 2,358 samples) and suggest a twenty thousand-to-one ratio of nonbotulinal putrefactive anaerobes to mesophilic <u>C. botulinum</u> spores.

Seventy-seven percent of the 2,358 samples had only three or lass putrefactive anaerobes (P.A.'s)/g. The mean P.A. contamination levels for beaf, pork, and chicken were 3.03', '3.03, and 2.05/g, respectively. Samples from the bloody neck area had higher levels of P.A. contamination than did samples of trimmings of beef and pork. Posterior chicken samples had higher levels of P.A.'s than did anterior chicken samples or giblets. Statistical analysis of all the beef, pork, and chicken samples combined indicated a significant seasonal effect. Autumn, winter, summer, and spring were the highest to lowest levels of P.A. contamination, respectively. The order of P.A. contamination by geographic regions was, from highest to lowest: far western U.S., southwest central U.S., western Canada, southern U.S., eastern Canada, eastern U.S., and north central U.S.

INTRODUCTION

Investigators who have attempted to quantify putrefactive anaerobic spores in meats have all commented on the relative scarcity of these organisms. Harriman, bel Giudice, Shinn, and Hansen (1948) reported an average of 2-4 putrefactive anaerobic spores per g in pork sampled in a Chicago packing plant. Burke, Steinkraus, and Ayres (1950), in a similar study in Iowa, found the average putrefactive spore level to be less than 1/g. Comparable results were reported with beef (Avers and Adams, 1953; Avers, 1954). Schack, Greenberg, Blodgett and Silliker (1958), found less than I putrefactive anaerobic spore per g in 70 iaw hams sampled at plants in Minnesota and Alberta. Recently, Steinkraus and Ayres (1964) conducted another survey in Iowa and, again, found very low putrefactive anaerobic spore per g populations in pork and beef.

No attempt was made to isolate betulinal spores in most of these surveys. While this omission was predicated usually on the very low total putrefactive anaerobic spore load actually found, it must be admitted that the surveys have been far too limited, both geographically and in numbers of samples, to permit generalization on the actual occurrence of <u>C. botulinum</u> spores in meats. Also, isolation techniques in these surveys have varied in such basic considerations as pasteurization protocol, establishment of anaerobiosis, culture medium, and requisites for declaring a culture or colony a putrefactive anaerobe.

Wheaton and Pratt (1961) reported that media exerted a profound influence on the recoverability of severely heated putrefactive anacrobic spores. Freshly prepared media were much superior to dehydrated media for this purpose. However, this difference was not as apparent with mildly heated spore suspensions, (Wheaton, Pratt and Jackson, 1959). The "severe" treatment was defined as 150 second exposure at 121 C: the "mild" as 27 second at 106.5 C. Greenberg, Silliker, and Bass (1958) suggested that dehydrated media such as Peptone Colloid Broth (Difco) might be superior to "home made" media in recovering putrefactive anaerobes from foods likely to contain thermoduric streptococci.

The research reported herein consists of: (a) the selection of a procedure for the enumeration and isolation of mesophilic putrefactive anaerobic sporeformers, particularly <u>C</u>. <u>botulinum</u> types λ , B, and C, in raw meats and (b) a comprehensive survey of raw beef, pork, and chicken using the selected method.

Phase I: Selection of Procedure

Pasteurization

The following protocol was employed in establishing optimal pasteurization time-temperature relationships:

Approximately 1 liter of a 1:10 suspension of ground raw meat in 0.015 M phosphate buffered dilution water was blended in a 2 liter Erlenmayer flask. The flask was then stoppered with a cork, pierced with a centigrade thermometer so that the bulb of the thermometer was at the center of the meat blend during the heating process. The flask was constantly agitated and maintained within f 1 C of the desired temperature. Ten ml samples of the blend (approximately 1 g meat) were removed as soon as the desired pasteurization temperature was reached and at 10 or 15 min intervals thereafter. Bacteriological analyses were run immediately upon sampling. In each experiment a controlled reference rample was obtained as the flask contents reached 50C.

The following three analyses were made:

A. Total Plate Counts

Samples were plated with Tryptone Glucose Yeast Extract Agar (Difco). Plates were counted after 48 hr incubation at 37 C

B. Enterocescei

Samples were placed in Azide Dextrose Broth (Difco) and incubated 24 hr at 37 C. Growth was considered presumptive for enterococci. Positive tubes were confirmed by placing a 0.1 ml aliquot into Trypticase Soy Broth (Baltimore Biological Laboratories) fortified with a 6.5% sodium chloride. After 24 hr incubation at 45 C, those tubes showing growth were examined by gram stain for the presence of streptococci. Catalase projuction was demonstrated by placing 0.5 ml of the culture on a spot plate and adding a few drops of 5% hydrogen peroxide. Lack of bubbles after 3 min was considered negative for catalase. Confirmed enterococci were considered negative for catalase. Broth in Azide Dextrose Broth and at 45 C in 6.5% sodium chloride, but incapable of producing catalase.

C. Putrefactive Anaerobes

Putrefactive anserobic spore formers were detected in Peptone Colloid Broth (Difco) modified by the addition of 1 g of dextrose, 0.2 g FeSO4, and 0.3 g sodium thiosulfate per liter. Tubes were incubated 7 days at 37 C and examined for odor, hydrogen sulfide production (blackening), and bacterial growth. All tubes showing growth, whether or not they were putrid or hydrogen sulfide positive, where pasteurized 15 min at 70 C. One-tenth ml was then transferred to fresh peptone colloid tubes which were subsequently incubated 48 hr at 37 C.

Pouch Technique

Pouches were prepared as described by Bladel and Greenberg (1965). Media used in pouch tests were:

- A. Brewer's Anaerobic Agar (Difco).
- B. Angelotti Agar. A modification of SPS Agar (Angelotti, Hall, Foter, and Lewis, 1962) consisting of 1.5% Bacto-Tryptone (Difco), 1% Bacto Yeast Extract (Difco), 3% Bacto-Agar (Difco), 0.06% sodium thioglycollate, and 0.01% L-cystine. The medium was adjust to pH 7.0 f 0.2 and sterilized 15 min at 121 C. "reshly prepared, seitz filtered solutions of FeSO4. 7H₂O and SO3 (anhydrous) were each added to the medium ware prepared advance. Sufficient medium was melted each day and the Seitz filtered solutions were added while the medium was at 60C in a water bath.
- C. Peptone Colloid Agar was prepared by adding 3.0% Bacto-Agar to modified Peptone Colloid Broth.

Following pasteurization (50 min, 60C), aliquots of 1:10 suspensions of raw meat in buffered dilution water were pipetted into pouches. Agar (*empered to 60C in a water bath) was then added and the pouch flexed to mix its contents.

After 72 hr incubation at 37C, colonies were counted and picked from the pouches as described by Bladel and Greenberg (1965) into tubes of modified Peptone Colloid Broth and incubated.

Botulinal Toxin Evaluation

Presumptive evidence of toxicity was established by means of intraperitoneal injection of 0.5 ml of the peptone colloid subculture, originating from colonies picked from the pouch. Swiss strain white mice (15-20) were used. Cultures causing death within four days were confirmed as containing botulinal toxin by protection testing against trivalent ABC anti-toxin (Fort Dodge Laboratories, Fort Dodge, Iowa). When both protected and unprotected animals died within four days, the cultures were further evaluated by dilution and by pasteurization at 99C for 15 min. Heat stable toxins and those which were indistinguishable in activity in protected and unprotected mice, were considered non-specific and nonbotulinal.

Phase II: Incidence of C. botulinum

Sample Collection

and the second

Beef, pork , and poultry samples were received during the summer, autumn, winter, and spring from plants in the following geographical regions: Eastern Seaboard, South, North Central, Centgal and Southwest Central, Far West, Eastern Canada, and Western Canada. Wherever possible, each region was represented by two plants, preferably one old and one new plant.

The samples were collected by in-plant personnel, packaged in clean jars or plastic bags, and shipped in dry ice to the laboratory. Upon receipt, the samples were inspected and held frozen until analyzed.

Each area and season was represented by 12 one pound samples of the following meat items: lean basi from the bloody neck area, basi trimmings for dry sausage, lean-fat pork mixture from the bloody neck area, and pork trimmings for sausage. Likewise, each area and season was represented by 35 chicken samples taken from "parts missing" birds after dressing, chilling, and before boxing. The samples consisted of 12 anterior portions (wing and breast), 12 posterior portions (leg and thigh) and one pound of giblets representing approximately 12 birds. Whenever possible, the samples consisted of 90% broilars, 5% reasters, and 5% few1.

Sample Preparation

Each of the basi and pork samples were hand-chopped separately while frozen until a texture of hamburger was reached. Chicken samples were boned prior to chopping. Eleven g from each sample was placed into a starile four ounce screw-capped bottle containing broken glass chips. The chopping knife, uscolite cutting board, and rubber gloves were sanitized between samples by scrubbing with hot water, followed by 200 ppm hypochlorite solution, and a final hot water rinse.

Enumeration and Isolation of Putrafactive Anaerobes

Ninety-nine mi starils dilution solution (0.00036 KH2PO2) were added to each sample bottle. The samples were rapidly shaken 5 minutes on a reciprocating wereanteal shaker (Mechanical Shaker, Miracle Paint Rejuvenator Co., St. Paul, Minr.). The meat suspension was heated in a water bath for 50 min at 600. Fasteurization temperature was varified by a glass thermometer situated at the geometric center of a bottle containing a corresponding sample. Five mi was pipetted into each of six plastic pouches, thereby providing 0.5 g meat per pouch and a total of three g meat per sample enalyzed. The pouches used were twice the area of a petri dish.

Approximately 45 ml modified Angelotti's medium was added to each pouch. The contents of the pouches were mixed and the pouches were placed between narrowly spaced wooden slats in a forming device. After solidification of the agar, the pouches ware removed from the form and incubated at 37C for 72 hr. The pouches were examined and all black, sulfide producing colonies were transferred into test tubes (20 x 150 mm) containing 20-25 ml modified peptone colloid medium. The colonies were transferred from the pouches by cutting away one side of the pouch and removing individual colonies with an alcohol-flamed scalpel. The peptone colloid tubes were incubated at 37C for 72 hr; after which, all presumptive cultures turning the medium black and/or having a putrid ofor were subjected to mouse inoculation tests. Each peptone colloid culture was coded so that a complete history of its scurce and texicological analysis could be correlated.

Botulinel Toxin Evaluation

Refer to Phase I.

RESULTS

One of the important considerations in this study was selection of an optimum pasteurization procedure for the meat samples. The objective was to reduce non-spore contamination within a reasonably short time period, without destroying indigenous mesophilic putrefactive anaerobic spores. A review of the literature found the lowest temperature in routine use to be 80C.

The data presented in Table 1 suggested that 50 and 550 were below the temperatures required for effective reduction of non-sporing contaminants within 60 min. Fifty degree pasteurization, for example, reduced the total count of raw pork only 70% in 60 min. In another typical result chicken, pasteurized at 550, still retained about 4% of its original population after 60 min exposure. About 99.99% reduction was obtained in 15-45 min at 600.

Enterococci, the most prevalent non-sporing thermoduric bacteria in raw meats, appeared to withstand 55C treatment quite readily. In beef, no enterococcus reduction occurred within 45 min at the temperature. In chicken and pork, 60 min exposure at 55C resulted in only 90% reduction. At 60C the enterococcus population was reduced in beef at least 99%. Forty-five min at that temperature reduced the enterococcus levels in raw chicken 99.99% and the same treatment reduced the enterococcus count in pork 99.9%. These data are presented in Table 2.

The enterocodous and total acrobic count results demonstrated that a pasteurization temperature of at least 600 would be required to effect meaningful reductions in extraneous microflora. Thirty min at 600 has been used routinely for pasteurization isolation of Type E C. botulinum sporas from marine materials. Since Type E sporas are appreciably less heat resistant than are types A, B, and C, it should follow that a 600 treatment would not be excessively injurious to mesophilic botulinal spores in meats. In order to determine a "cut-off" point, beyond which indigenous spores would be expected to be lost, several uninoculated raw meat-buffer blends were sampled at 15 min intervals at 50, 55, 60, 65, and 700. The results shown in Table 3 are derived from single one g meat samples. While the data do not establish a minimum non-injurious pasteurization process, it is evident that 700 is unacceptable. Putrefactive anaerobes could be recovered from beef held 45 min and from chicken 60 min at 650, but not from either product after it reathed 700.

Taking all factors into consideration, 50 min at 60C was selected as the "optimal" meat pasteurization protocol for subsequent work.

Peptone Colloid Broth (Difco), supplemented with dextrose, ferrous sulfate and sodium thiosulfate, has been advocated as an ideal method for quantification of small numbers of non-injured putrefactive anaerobic spores (Greenberg, Silliker, and Basa, 1958). Detection of putrefactive anaerobic 3679 spore inocule from beef, pork, and chicken by means of Peptone Colloid Broth most probable number determinations was evaluated as a possible reference technique. The results of six experiments (2 each with chicken,

pork, and beef, pasteurized 50 min at 60C) using a 3 tube MPN system, are summarized in Table 4. The indigenous PA level averaged 0.37 per 3. Thus, populations of 0.47, 1.37, 10.4 and 100 would be expected from samples inoculated with 0.1, 1.0, 10 and 100 per 3. The actual mean recovery values for these systems were 0.82, 1.32, 10.8 and /6, respectively. These data demonstrated the Peptone Colloid MPN procedure to be a reasonably accurate method for determining putrefactive anaerobic spore populations in ray meats.

The peptone colloid system was next tested for detection of <u>C. botulinum</u> spores in mixed culture with putrefactive anaerobes in beef, pork, and chicken. Approximately equal number of spores of <u>C. botulinum</u> <u>type 62 A and <u>F.A. 3679</u> were added to beef, pork, or chicken and pasteurized at 600 for 50 min. Positive peptone colloid tubes were tested for botulinal toxin. The results, listed in Table 5, again showed good overall precision. The figures shown in the "Total P.A." columns include both P.A. 3679 and <u>C. botulinum</u> recoveries, since both organiams appear as putrefactive anaerobes in peptone colloid tubes. These values should be roughly one-half those of the corresponding "Total Putrefactive Anaerobe" figures. Where one P.A. 3679 and one <u>C. botulinum</u>, 1.8. Where 10 of each were added, 14 were detected, with 7.6 toxic. The 100-100 inoculation averaged out at 54 total P.A.'s and 21 botulinal spores.</u>

The anaerobic film pouch technique was compared with the Peptone Colloid MPN system for ability to datect indigenous putrefactive anaerobic spores in beef, pork, and chicken. Counts obtained in pouches containing Angelotti agar, Brewer's agar and Peptone Colloid agar were compared with MPN values obtained with Peptone Colloid broth. The data, lie d in Table 6, show that the pouch system, using all three media, was at least as sensitive as was the MPN procedure. Angelotti agar gave consistently higher counts than the other two media, but the difference was not statistically significant at the 95% level.

The methods were then compared by testing best, pork, and chicken which had been inoculated with P.A. 3679, C. botulinum 33 A and C. botulinum 1138. The inoculum consisted of two P.A. 3679 spores per g of meat and one spore per g of each of the two C. botulinum strains. Three experiments were run on each type of meat. The data demonstrated again that the pouch system was as sensitive as the most probable number technique. Again, the Angelotti agar showed a tendency to produce higher counts. The summarized data show a mean total peptone colloid MPN of 2.9 per g, 1.3 of which (or 45%) were confirmed C. botulinum. Angelotti agar pouches averaged 5.8 P.A. spores per g with 2.7 (47%) as C. botulinum, (Table 7).

<u>C. botulinum</u> spores undoubtedly constitute an exceedingly small percentage of the total putrefactive ancerobic spore population. It was, therefore, essential to confirm the utility of the Bladel pouch-Angelotti agar system in recovering small numbers of <u>C. botulinum</u> spores from chicken, beef, and pork bearing a heavy P.A. spore load. A series of tests were conducted, utilizing inocala consisting of a 39-1 ratio of P.A. 3679 to <u>C. botulinum</u>. Six individual tests were conducted on all three meat substrates. The data are listed for the individual meats in Tables 8, 9, and 10, and are summarized in Table 11. A total of almost 4,200 individual colonies were evaluated in these experiments, 103 of which were identified as <u>C</u>. <u>"otuilnum</u>. The approximate 2.57 recovery, when compared to the expected 1.07, is exceedingly precise for a microbiological procedure.

On the basis of the preceding results, the anaerobic plastic film pouch was employed in the phase II survey to analyze 2,358 samples of beef, pork, and chicker. The results in Table 12 show that 19,727 mesophilic putrefactive anaerobic sporeformers (P.A. s) were isolated. The overall mean concentration of P.A. spores was 2.82 per g of meat. The variation in the levels of P.A.contamination of the meat samples is given in Table 13. Seventy-serven per cent of the 2,358 samples had three P.A. spoces per g of less. The most heavily contaminated sample had 115 P.A. spores/g.

One <u>C. botulinum type</u> (spore was detected among the 19,727 P.A. isolates. This was isolated from a posterior sample of chicken from Western Canada containing 5.33 clostridia per g. The data suggests therefore, that the incidence of botulinal contamination in raw meats is very low (0.042% of 2.358 samples). The results further indicate an approximate ratio of tweaty thousand to one of P.A. to mesophilic <u>C. botulinum</u> spores in raw meats.

These data were analyzed statistically and the following differences were detected at the 95% or better confidence level using chi-square and multiple regression analyzes:

- 1. The level of P.A. spores was significantly lower in chicken than in buef or pork, while the levels of P.A. spores in beef and pork were equal.
- 2. Samples from the bloody neck area had significantly higher P.A. spore levels than did the crimmings Track the beef and pork semples.
- 3. The posterior chicken samples had significantly higher levels of P.A. spores than the exterior chicken samples or the giblets.
- 4. The lovel of F.A. spores varied with the season (Table 15). The order of P A. spore contamination from highest to lowest was autumn, winter, summer, and spring, respectively. The reasonal differences were significant at the 99% confidence level.
- 5. There were significant differences in the levels of P.A. spore contamination of meats from the various geographic areas surveyed. The order of contamination, from highest to lowest was: far western U.S., southwest contral U.S., western Canada, southern U.S., eastern Canada, eastern U.S., and north central U.S.

DISCUSSION

The phase I data support the utility of commercially available dehydrated media (as illustrated by modified Peptone Colloid Broth) in recovering putrefactive anaerobic spores from mildly pasteurized raw meates. In addition, it was found feasible to detect <u>C</u>, <u>botulinum</u> spores present in P.A. 3679-inoculated meats by using Peptone Colloid Broth in a 3 tube most probable number system and subjecting positive tubes to toxin ansay in mice

Two attributes of the wost probable number approach tend to discourage its use for routine botulinal spors assay. First, the probability of a

8

Best Available Copy

single <u>C</u>. <u>botulinum</u> spore entering an individual culture tube is extremely remote, particularly at the low levels expected in fresh meats. Mixed cultures would be the rule. Crisley and Helz (1961) have reported inhibition of <u>C</u>. <u>botulinum</u> spore germination by filtrates of <u>S</u>. <u>faecalis</u>. The question of "missed" positives would thus consistently cloud results obtained by any MPN procedure. The second problem is the tremendous glassware and incubation space requirements involved in conducting any large scale studies. Indeed, space and equipment requirements tend to be prohibitive for the typical laboratory in all conventional anaerobic isolation techniques.

The anaerobic pouch procedure is not only conventent: but also was demonstrated to be a highly efficient device for isolation of <u>C</u>. <u>botulinum</u> type A and B spores from fresh pork, beef, and chicken inoculated with at least 100-fold greater numbers of saprophytic putrefactive anaerobic spores.

The most obvious conclusion resulting from the survey is that the level of P.A. spore contamination in raw meat is very low at the plant level. Furthermore, botulinal contamination rarely occurs. These results assume greater significance when it is considered that the bloody neck area and trimmings were selected as those portions of beef and pork which would most likely have the greatest contamination. These results, therefore, are in agreement with the low levels of P.A. contamination previously reported in the literature (Harriman <u>et al.</u> 1948; Burke, <u>et al.</u> 1958; and Steinkraus and Ayers, 1964). The fact that one botulinal spore was detected from among the 19,727 P.A.'s isolated emphasized the exceedingly low probability of detecting botulinal spores in anything but an extensive survey such as described herein.

The large number of samples permitted the demonstration of statistically significant differences in levels of P.A. spore contamination. However, while it may be of academic interest that beef and pork, for example, had higher levels of P.A. contamination than chicken, the difference: were so small as to be of no commercial importance.

It must be emphasized that the survey was directed specifically toward the enumeration and isolation of mesophilic putrefactive anaerobic <u>spores</u>, particularly those of <u>C</u>. <u>botulinum</u>. Vegetative cells of P.A.'s would have been destroyed during the pasteurization of the samples. However, it is also true that vegetative cells of P.A.'s would be readil destroyed during a commercial thermal or radiation process applied to meat products

LETERATORE CLEEND

-

Angelotti K., H. E. Hall M. J. Foter and K. H. Lewis 1962. Suantitation of <u>Clostridium perfringens</u> in foods. Appl. Microbiol. 10 193-199.

Ayres, J. C. 1955 (As cited in Riemann, H. 1963. Safe heat processing of canned cured means with regard to bacterial spores. Food Technol. 17 39:49)

Ayres, J. C., and N. T. Adams. 1953. Occurrence and nature of becteria in canned beef. Food Technoll. 21: 338-323.

Bladel, B. O., and R. A. Greenberg. 1965. Pouch method for the isolation and enumeration of closeridia Appl. Microbicl. <u>13</u>: 281-285.

Burke, B. V., K. H. Stainkraus, and J. G. Ayres. 1950. Methods for determining the incidence of putrefactive anaerobic spores in meat products. Food Technol. 4 21-25.

Crisley, P. D., and G. & Helz. 1961. Some observations of the effect of filtrates of several representative concomitant bacteris on <u>Clostridium batulinum type A. Can. 3. Microbiol. 7</u>: 633-639.

Greenberg, B. A. . . H. Silliker, and K. S. Basa. 1958. Enumeration of putrefactive clostridium spores in heat processed meat products Bact Proc. 17

Harriman, L. A., V. J. DerHaukdice, B. M. Shinn, R. Hansen, The incidence of putrefactive anaerobes in pork. Noc. III, Bact, paper. Peoris, Illinois October 15, 1948.

Schack, W. R., R. & Greenberg, G. & Blodgett, and J. H. Silliker. 1958. Thermal processing characteristics of canned non-comminuted meats. Ford Res. 24. 182-118

Silliker J. H. R. J. Sceenberg, unsold & Schack, 1958. Effect of individual curing ingredients on the shelf stability of canned comminuted meats. Food Technol. 12 551-554

Steinkrous & House avers 1964 Incidence of putrefactive anaeropy: spores in ments a bood bai 29 87-93

Wheaton, F. and G. B. Pract 1961. Comparative studies on media for counting commobic bacterial spores. 11 J. Food Sci. 26. 261-268.

Wheston 7 G & Prate and J H Dr. Knon. 1959. Comparative studies on wedge for counting enverobic betterial spores. Food Res. 26 134 145

ŧ *

Temp °C	Time min	Product sample	Log <u>reduction</u>	Product sample	ر آ reduction	Mean log reduction
50	0 15 30 45 60	Pork A	0.5 1.2 0.9 0.5	Beef C	0.1 0.5 0.9 1.2	0.30 0.85 0.90 0.85
55	0 15 30 45 60	Pork B	0.3 2.5 2.3 2.7	Chicken A	0.3 1.1 1.0 1.4	0.30 1.80 1.65 2.05
60	0 15 30 45 60	Beef A	4.5 4.4 3.4 4.7	Chicken B	3.7 4.3 4.2 6.3	4.10 4.35 3.80 5.50
65	0 15 30 45 60	Beef B	7.3 7.9 7.5 8.2	Chicken C	4.7 5.7 5.7 5.3	6.00 6.80 6.60 6.75

Table 1. Reduction of standard plate count microflora in raw meats at various pasteurization temperatures

	Time min.	Log reduct: 550	ion enterococci 600
Beef	0 15 30 45 60	-1 0 0 2	
<u>Chicken</u>	0 15 30 45 60	- 1 2 1	- 1 2 3 4
Pork	0 15 30 45 60		- 1 2 3

Table 2. Enterococcus survival in uninoculated meats at 55 and 60 C

		Temperature (°C)						
	Time Minutes	<u>50</u>	55	<u>60</u>	65	<u>70</u>		
Pork	0	P	P	P	P	P		
	15	P	P	P	P	P		
	30	P	P	P	A	P		
	45	P	P	P	A	A		
	60	P	P	P	A	A		
Beef	0	P	P	P	P	A		
	15	P	P	P	P	A		
	30	P	P	P	P	A		
	45	P	P	P	P	A		
	60	P	A	A	A	A		
Chicken	0	P	P	P	P	A		
	15	P	P	P	P	A		
	30	2	P	P	P	A		
	45	P	P	P	P	A		
	60	P	A	P	P	A		

Table 3. Putrefactive Anaerobic spore survival in wninoculated Meats

• •

<u>.</u>

• *

....

P = Present

A = Absent

· •

• • • •

•

.

P.A. spore inoculum/g	Calculated spores/g	Actually detected spores/g*
0 (indigenous)		0.37
0.1	0.47	0.82
1.0	1.37	1.32
10	10.37	10.8
100	100	76

Table 4. Detection of P.A. 3679 spores by the Peptone Colloid MPN method

* Geometric mean of 6 experiments in meat

-

Table 5.	Detection of C. <u>botulinum</u> 62A spores in meats inoculated with P.A. 3679 spores by the Feptone Colloid MPN method.

......

Inocu P.A.3679 C.	lum botulinum 62A	MPN total P.A.'s/g*	MPN confirmed C.botulinum/g*
			······································
O(indigen	ou s) O	0.62	0.3
0.1	0.1	0.98	0.69
1.0	1.0	2.3	1.8
10	10	14	7.6
100	100	54	21

•

-

* Geometric mean of 6 experiments in meat

<u>Met</u> System	hed Method	Beef	Pork	Chicken	Geometric <u>Mean</u>
Pouch	Angelotti Agar	5.00	1.66	3.00	3.03
Pouch	Brewer Agar	1.36	0.41	2.73	1.23
Pouch	Peptone Colloid Agar	3 .95	0.41	3.33	1.73
MPN	Peptone Colloid Broth	0.53	0.35	4.30	0.93

Table 6. Comparison of pouch and MPN recovery methods for detection of indigenous P.A. spores in raw meats.

-

	Beef ^b			Pork		
Method	Spores	confirmed botulinal/g	% botulinal	Spores	uonfirmed botulinal	% botulinal/g
Pouch: Angelotti	3.6	2.2	61	6.7	3.1	42
Pouch: Brewer	6.5	2.6	40	0.43	-	-
Pouch: P.C. agar	5.1	2.0	39	1.4	0.38	27
MPN: P.C. broth	2.3	0.58	25	3.5	2.3	66
		Chicken ^b			Summation	
Pouch: Angelotti	7.9	2.,	34	5.8	2.7	47
Pouch: Brewer	7.5	2.4	32	3.0	0.97	32
Pouch: P.C. agar	5.8	2.0	35	3.5	1.2	33
MPN: P.C. broth	3.0	0.91	30	2.9	1.3	45

Table 7. Comparison of pouch and MPN recovery methods for detection of <u>C. botulinum</u> spores in raw meats.^a

a = Inoculum co.tained P.A. 3679, <u>C. botulinum</u> 33A, and <u>C. botulinum</u> 113B

- b = Geometric mean of 3 experiments
- c = Geometric mean of 9 experiments

Test		<u> </u>	spores	C. botulinum spores			
	C.botulinum Strain	Total Picked	Per g Chicken	Total confirmed	Per g \$hicken	% Aetected	
1	41B	264	110.0	2	0.83	0.76	
2	41B	168	70.0	3	1.25	1.79	
3	41B	214	89.2	0	0	0	
4	33 A	246	102.5	2	0.83	0,81	
5	33 A	213	88.7	2	0.83	0.93	
6	33 A	192	80.0	3	1.25	1.56	
Mean		216	90.1	2	0.83	0.92	

Table 8. Recovery of <u>C. botulinum</u> from chicken by pouch method*

• **• • •** • • • • •

•

*Inoculum contained P.A. 3679 and <u>C. botulinum</u> spores at 99:1 ratio.

		<u>P.A.</u>	Spores	C. botulinum spores		
Test	C.botulinum Etrain	Total Picked	Per g "Pork	Total Confirmed	Per g ipork	% Betected
1	33A	145	6 0	5	2.08	3.47
2	33 A	452	188	23	9.62	5.12
3	33 A	288	120	14	5.83	4.86
4	41B	218	95	9	3.75	3.94
5	41B	316	132	16	6.67	5.05
6	41B	411	171	4	1.67	0.91
Mean		305	1 2 8	11.5	4.94	3.86

Table 9. Recovery of <u>C. botulinum</u> from pork by pouch method*

*Inoculum contained P.A. 3679 and <u>C. botulinum</u> spores at 99:1 ratio.

.. *

		P.A. s	spores	C.botulinum spores					
Test	C.botulinum 	Total Picked	Per g deef	Total Confirmed	Per g Beef	% detected			
1	33 A	150	63	3	1.25	2.0			
2	33 A	83	35	5	2.09	6.0			
3	33A	160	67	1	0.42	0.67			
4	41B	112	47	3	1.25	2.7			
5	41B	264	110	6	2.50	2.3			
L	41B	295	123	2	0.83	0.76			
Mean		178	74	3.3	1.38	1.87			

Table 10. Recovery of C. botulinum from beef by pouch method, *

و. مستعود با میداد ا

÷

1

1

I

*Inoculum contained P.A. 3679 and <u>C. botulinum</u> spores at 99:1 ratio.

. .

	#* • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			an a
Meat	Number of Experiments	P.A. spores/g	Confirmed C. botulinum spores/g	% C.botulinum spores detected
Chicken	б	90	0.83	0.92
Pork	6	128	4.94	3.86
Beef	6	74	1.38	1.87
Jeau		97	2.38	2.45

Table 11. Summation of <u>C. botulinum</u> detection results by pouch method*

• -

.

¥*

*Inoculum contained P.A. 3679 and <u>C. botulinum</u> spores at 99:1 ratio.

۰,

Table 12. Incidence of mesophilic putrefactive anaerobic spores, including <u>C. botulinum</u>, in raw beef, pork and chicken

Heat	No. of samples	Total no. P.A.'s isolated	Mean P.A.'s/g	No. botulinal isolates
Beef, bloody neck area	298	2929	3.277	0
Beef trimmings	326	2742	2.803	0
Pork, bloody neck area	319	3655	3.820	0
Pork trimmings	337	2308	2.317	0
Chicken, anterior	373	2673	2.390	0
Chicken, posterior	379	3071	2.700	1.
Chicken, giblets	326	2349	2.403	0
TOTALS	2,358	19727	2.816	1

. .

-

	anae	erobes.						
	• •	••••		,,	P.A. COL		• •	Total mo.
P.A.'s/g	B1.	trmgs.	E1.	Trmgs.	An- terior	Chicker Pos- terior	Øiblets	samples at each P.A. conc.
≪ 0.33	15	41	16	32	27	31	26	188
0.33	20	32	19	51	40	35	30	236
0.66	18	15	26	20	39	30	38	186
1.00	16	16	17	24	15	23	16	127
1.33	13	29	20	23	20	21	26	153
1.66	24	29	18	23	15	25	29	163
2.00	33	42	34	35	51	45	31	272
2.33	33	23	2 8	34	41	31	20	209
2.66	15	19	19	30	31	30	14	159
3.00	18	16	24	11	16	16	17	117
3.33	14	8	13	10	21	12	9	88
3.66	9	2	7	6	8	12	9	53
4.00	9	4	10	4	3	8	8	47
4.33	9	3	7	5	5	9	7	45
4.66	8	2	9	3	2	6	3	34
5.00	4	3	6	4	8	1	4	30
5.33	3	5	5	1	7	3+	5	5 9
5.66	3	3	3		3	2	1	15
6.00	2	2	4	1	5	7	S	24
6.33	3	2	1	2	3	2	1	14
6.66		2	3	3			1	o

Table 13. Distribution of raw beef, pork and chicken samples according to level of contamination with putrefactive anaerobes.

!1

		• •	· - · •••	whi in gent e e e e e e e	P.A. ^c or		- · - · - ·	
P.A.'s/g	B1. neck		B1.	<u>Trmgs</u> .	An- terior	Chicker Pos- terior	Giblets	Total no. Samples at Cach P.A. Conc.
7.00	2	2	4		2	4	1	15
7.33	2		2		2	5	2	13
7.66	l	1	2	3		1	1	9
8.00	1	2	3			1	2	9
8.33	3	3	1	2	1		2	12
8.65	2	2			1	5		10
9.00	1			1	1	2		5
9.33		1	1					2
9.66		1				1		2
10.00		2	2	1	1		1	7
10.33	2	1	1		1			5
10.66		1				2		3
11.00	3	2	2				1	8
11.33	1	1				1		3
11.66						1	1	2
12.00		1					1	2
12.33	2					1	1	4
12.66		1	1				1	3
13.00		1						1
13.33				1	1	1		3
13.66			1	1				2
14.00	1			1	1			3

Table 13 (continued)

هبية الإسمع

		с, 1 л.	. I	o'E	. _{∩t} Tab	le 13 (continy	ed)	
		Bee	ef	Por	rk		Chicken	<u>n</u>	Total no.
٠	P.A.'s/g	B1. Neck	Trmgs.	Bl. Neck	Trmgs.	An- terior	Pos- terior	<u>Giblets</u>	\$amples at ¶ach P.A. Sonc.
	14.33	l	2	1				1	5
	14.66								
	15.00		1		1	1	1		4
	15.33		1						1
	15.66								
	16.00	1							1
	16.33								
	16.66	1					1		2
	17.00			1					1
	17.33								
	17.66						1		1
	18.00				1			1	2
	18.33								
	18.66		1						1
	19.00	1							1
	19.33							1	1
	19.66	1		1					2
	20.00	1						1	2
	20.33								
	20.66							1	1
	21.00			1	1				2
•	21.33			1					1
	21.66			1					1
	•1 E				、 *				

• •

	Beef	• 	Pork	[Chicker	1	Tctal no.
P.A.'s/g	Bl. neck	Imgs.	B1. Neck	trmgs.	An- terior	Fos- terior	Siblets	4amples at Sach P.A. Conc.
22.00								
22.33								
22.66		1						1
23.00								
25.66				1		1		2
31.33	1							1
32.00					1			1
35.66				1				1
21.00						1		1
51.66	1							1
67.66			1					1
69.00		l						1
71.33			1					l
115.00			1					1
Total no. samples	298	326	319	337	373	379	326	2358
% ³ amples 1 P.A./gm or less	43.19	31.90	24.49	5 37.69	32.44	31.40	36.50	31.26
% @amples 3 P.A.'s/g or less	68.79 m	80.37	69 98	83.90	79.09	75.73	78.53	76.76

٠,

Table 13 (continued)

*C. botulinum type C spore isolated

,

الهبيش أسيحه

TABLE 14

•

Incidence of Putrefactive inaerobes

in Naw beef, Pork, and Ghicken

. .

. . .

	No. samples	Total P.A.'s	Mean P.A.'s
Beef	624	5671	3.03
Pork	656	5963	3.03
Chicken	1078	8093	2.50

TABLE 15

Distribution of samples and concentrations of Putrefactive anaerobes according to seasons

ومراوير المراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع

	No. cf samples	Total no. P.A.'s isolated	Mean P.A.'s/g	No. ^b otulinal <u>t</u> solates
Spring	585	2459	1,422	0
Summer	624	4382	2.338	0
Autumn	563	7015	4,149	0
Winter	586	5831	3.314	1

and have

Incleasified Security Classification			
ويسترجب والمتعرب والمراجع والمراجع والمنافع والمتعالية والمتحاف والمتحاف والمتحاف والمتحاد المتحاد المتحاد والم	CONTROL DATA - R	&D	
(Security classification of title, body of abstract and ind			the overall report in classified;
1 ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		1 -	RT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
Swift & Company, Research Labs. Packers & Exchange Avenue			lessified
Chicago, Illinois 60609		21 6800	β
3. REPORT TITLE		<u>_</u>	
INCIDENCE OF <u>CLOSTRIDIUM</u> BOTULINUM I 4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Final Period 22 July 63 - 1 Dec 65			
5 AUTHOR(5) (Lest neme, litet neme, initial)	,		
Tompkin, R. B.	74 TOTAL NO OF	DAGES	75 NO OF HEFS
June 1966	28	PAGEP	13
BE. CONTRACT OF GRANT NO.	9. ORIGINATOR'S	REPORT NUN	1 ABER(\$)
DA19-129-AMC-161(N)			
b. PROJECT NO.			
1-K-0-12501-A-033			
c	this report)	т NO(S) (Any	other numbers that may be assigned
d.	66-44-FJ	FD-50	
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES			
Distribution of this report is unlim	12 SPONSORING MI	LITARY ACT	
	Natick, Ma		
13 ABSTRACT			
Two thousand three hundred fift analyzed for putrefactive and botuli only one was botulinal (Type C). 77 less. The overall mean was 2.82 P.A	nal spores. Of % of the sample	19,727	P.A. isolates,
DD 508M. 1473		Unclas	sified

*

•

-

Security Classification

.

Unclassified Security Classification

KEY WORDS		Lin	K A	LIN	K D	LIN	IK C
		ROLE	W 7	ROLE	WT.	HOLE	H T
Isolation Clostridium Botulinum Meat Raw Counting Ratios Anaerobic spores Putrefactive		8. 1,2 1 0 8 1,2 0		8 1,2 5 0 8 8 1 0			
INST	HUCTION						
 ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of De- fense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report. REPORT SECURTY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the over- ali-security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accord- ance with appropriate security regulations. GROUP: Automstic downgrading is specified in DoD Di- rective 5200. 10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as author- ized. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, euter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown in or in the report. Enter the date of the report as day, month, year; or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication. NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the appropriate, enter the applicable umber of the contract or grant under which he report was written. S. CONTRA T OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which he report was written. S. ACD TRA T OR GRANT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number by which the document will be identified and controlled by	itations imposed such as: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (5) (5) (6) (6) (6) (7) (12, SPC (14, SUS tory note 12, SPC the depa ing lor) 13, ABS' summary it may a port. If shall be It is ports be end with of the inn (C), or (There ever, the 14, KEY or short	"Qualifie report from "Foreign report by "U. S. Go this report users sha "U. J. mi report dire shall requ "All dist ified DDC "All dist ified DDC "All dist ified DDC report hi , Departm s fact and PPLEMEN PS. DNSORING ortmental p the resear TRACT: E of the do iso appear additional stached. highly de: unclassifi an indica formation U.	dissemin y classif d request n DDC." announce DDC is r overnment t directly ll reques retly from rest throu- ribution of c users s ribution of Co enter the TARY NK MILITA roject of ch and do nter an a cument ir elsewhe space is sirable th ed. Eac tion of th in the pa hitation of d length Key work	ation of (lication, s) errs may (errs may (errs may (errs may (agencie) f agencie) f agencie) f agencie) f this reg hail required of this reg hail required f the sh h paragra te militar ragraph, i f the len, is from 11 f the required	the report using sta obtain co ised." a may obtain of dissemi ized." a may obtain of dissemi ized." a may obtain of of the opation to the Off for sale t known as for ad VITY: E boratory at. Inclu- living a bio of the rep body of the report an of the stract of ph of the y security report an	I, other the ndard stal ples of the nation of tain copies r qualified copies of lified use introlled. gh fice of Te o the pub ditional e cher the r sponsorin de addres rief and fi port, even the techni nuation si classifie abstract y classified as (TS e abstract words. meaningf d may be	an those tements this this this is of d DDC
e unique to this report. b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been ussigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the aponsor), also enter this number(s).	index en selected fiers, su tary proj key word	tries for c so that ne ch as equi ect code r fs but will The assi	staloging security pment mi ame, gec be follo	the repo y classifi odel desi ographic l wed by an	rt. Key s cation is gnation, f ocation, indication	words mus required trade nam may be us on of tech	it 52 Iden- e, mili- ied as inical

.....

a - Sain mandre hallen fin ander soller so