AAL-TR-66-3



**.** 

.

# EFFECTS OF COLD EXPOSURE UPON THE ACTION OF THERAPEUTIC DRUGS: PART II

James Y. P. Chen H. C. Bergman

April 1966

C L E FOR FEDE TECHNI	A R I N G H O U S E RAL SCIENTIFIC AND ICAL INFORMATION
Hardcopy	Microficho
\$1.00	\$,50 24 pp as
ARC	HIVE GOPY
0 0	

Corle 1

ARCTIC AEROMEDICAL LABORATORY

AEROSPACE MEDICAL DIVISION AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND FORT WAINWRIGHT, ALASKA

### NOTICES

When US Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related government procurement operation, the government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This research was conducted in accordance with the "Principles of Laboratory Animal Care" of the National Society for Medical Research.

Distribution of this document is unlimited.

# EFFECTS OF COLD EXPOSURE UPON THE ACTION OF THERAPEUTIC DRUGS: PART II

James Y. P. Chen H. C. Bergman

### FOREWORD

This is a second report, prepared under Contract AF 41(609)-2683 (Project 8241, Task 824102), with the Life Sciences Department of Space and Information Systems Division, North American Aviation, Inc., Downey, California. The report covers research carried on from November 1964 to November 1965. Air Force program monitor is Dr. Horace F. Drury, ALR, Arctic Aeromedical Laboratory.

Technical assistance in research for this report was provided by James E. Fitzgerald and Leilani C. Chu.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved.

HORACE F. Drury HORACE F. DRURY

HORACE F. DRURY Director of Research

### ABSTRACT

In rats acutely exposed to cold as compared to room temperature, the toxic responses to parenteral injections of meperidine hydrochloride, of extroamphetamine sulfate and of prochlorperazine ethanesulfona  $\exists$  were invariably greater in cold than at room temperature. With further experiments an even greater increase was noted in the acute oral toxicity of prochlorperazine dimaleate at  $4^{\circ}$  C; the acute (ral toxicity of this drug was 144 times greater at 4° C than at roo a temperature. This large difference in toxicity appeared to be . n additive effect of the inherent hypothermic action of the drug and of the temperature-lowering action in the cold environment. Tests with larger animals, monkeys and dogs, indicated that the d fferences in toxicity between the room temperature and cold environments were not as great as in rats. The ability of large animals to retain body heat in the cold for a longer time than rais can may have contributed to the lesser effect. The result: with monkeys injected with prochlorperazine in increasing dosag > may have revealed the possibility of a tolerance development to the drug. Dextroamphetamine sulfate was about equally effect ve at either environment in inhibiting sleep in monkeys induced with pentobarbital. The tranquilizing effect of prochlorperazine, as shown by avoidance behavior in monkeys subjected to a mild electric shock, was found to be about the same at 4°C as at room emperature. In dogs, injections of prochlorperazine at room te nperature were about as effective as at  $4^{\circ}$  C in preventing  $\epsilon$  mesis by an emetic dose of apomorphine.

·:/

### INTRODUCTION

This report is a continuation of the previous investigations on the toxicity and efficacy of drugs in acutely cold-exposed animals compared with controls at normal room temperature (1). In the previous report, it was shown that the toxicity of morphine and of pentobarbital increased significantly in animals acutely exposed to cold. The median effective hypnotic dose of pentobarbital was no different in either environment. It was found, however, that the median effective analgesic dose of morphine sulfate was about 72% lower in a cold temperature ( $4^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}$  C) than at room temperature ( $22^{\circ}$  to  $25^{\circ}$  C). The present investigation was conducted with another analgesic (meperidine), a tranquilizer (prochlorperazine), and a central nervous system stimulant (dextroamphetamine).

### П

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### General Experimental Conditions

and a state of the state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the

いたのである

The experiments were conducted with Wistar albino rats (130 to 200 gm), mongrel dogs (4 to 12 kg), and with five female rhesus monkeys (4 to 6 kg). (Monkeys were supplied by the Air Force Systems Command, Aerospace Medical Division, School of Aviation Medicine, Brooks Air Force Base, Texas.)

The test procedure usually involved the determination of an effective or a toxic dose of the test drugs at normal ambient temperatures of  $22^{\circ}$  to  $25^{\circ}$  C. Parallel experiments were then conducted with a second group of animals kept in a specially constructed walk-in cold room maintained at  $4^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}$  C. All animals studied in the cold room were individually caged to prevent huddling or excessive movements. After the animals were placed in the cold room, the test drugs were administered to cold-exposed rats 60 to 120 minutes later and to dogs and monkeys after 180 minutes.

Acute toxicity of the test drugs was based on the mortality response over a 24-hour period. Other phenomena were observed for two to eight hours, depending on the nature of the test. Compazine (prochlorperazine dimaleate and prochlorperazine ethanesulfonate) was supplied by Smith, Kline, and French Laboratories.

Ι

### Determination of Pharmacologic Activity

<u>Analgesic action of meperidine (Demerol).</u> The tail-pinching method of Chen (2) was used as described in the previous report (1). In essence this involved pinching the tail of a rat with a special forceps to evoke vocalization and then marking this point. The pinching was repeated 0.5 cm above this point several times after the test material had been injected. Analgesic potency was graded by the amount of pressure required to elicit a squeal from the animal. The responses were graded as + with one notch of pressure, ++ with the second notch engaged, and ++++ for the fourth notch.

Effect of prochlorperazine (Compazine) and dextroamphetamine (Dexedrine) on the sedative and hypnotic action of pentobarbital. The time for loss and recovery of righting reflexes was tested in rats after intraperitoneal injection of pentobarbital or pentobarbital and the test drugs. For rats, the ability to hang on to a smooth plastic plate inclined at 24 degrees was taken as a measure of loss or recovery of righting reflexes. Male rats, 130 to 180 gm, were injected intraperitoneally with either pentobarbital, pentobarbital plus dextroamphetamine sulfate, or a pentobarbital solution and a separate prochlorperazine solution. The reason for separate solutions in the latter group was that a precipitate developed when a pentobarbital solution was mixed with a prochlorperazine solution. It was later found that a pH change towards alkalinity was responsible for this incompatibility. Experiments were performed at room temperature and at 4° C. Although 16 sets of rats were used, no consistent pattern developed. Different groups reacted variably to the same injection doses. The groups placed in a temperature of 4<sup>o</sup> C were so sensitive to pentobarbital that they did not survive hypnotic doses of 20 to 30 mg/kg. Doses of 15 mg/kg gave equivocal responses in different groups to the pentobarbital alone and the drug combinations. Thus it was not possible to obtain quantitative evaluation of either dextroamphetamine sulfate or prochlorperazinc in rats.

With monkeys, the response to a movement or a noise and the general appearance of the animal were observed as a measure of sedative action.

<u>Tranquilization or cerebral stimulation</u>. Attempts to obtain unequivocal data on the movements of animals injected intraperitoneally with saline, prochlorperazine, or dextroamphetamine while on jiggle boards (Lehigh Valley Electronics, Fogelsville, Pa.) were unsuccessful. Apparently the animals (mice, rats, and guinea pigs) were disturbed by the movement of their cage floor and by the noise of the counting device. In most instances the animals stopped moving after becoming conditioned to the apparatus. This happened in spite of large doses of dextroamphetamine. Because the data were so inconsistent, no further discussion of the jiggle board experiments will be made in this report.





- 1. PRESS PUSHBUTTON (PB)
- 2. RELAY I CLOSES, SENDING CURRENT TO GRID AND ENERGIZING COIL OF RELAY 2
- 3. TEN MILLISECONDS AFTER CONTACTS OF RELAY 1 SEND CURRENT TO GP.D, RELAY 2 OPENS AND CURRENT TO GRID STOPS
- 4. PUSH BUTTON RELEASE RESTORES RELAY 1 BEFORE RELAY 2 BY NATURE OF CONTACT ADJUSTMENT; THERE IS NO SECONDARY PULSE UPON RELEASE

## FIGURE 1

Electric Shock Device for Monkeys

<u>Prochlorperazine and apomorphine emesis.</u> Male dogs (7 to 11 kg) were ted one-half can of dog food. Each dog was injected intraperitoneally with a dilute saline solution of prochlorperazine (Compazine Injection) either at room temperature or after three hours at  $4^{\circ}$  C. Exactly one hour after the prochlorperazine injection, the dogs were injected intramuscularly with 0.2 mg of apomorphine hydrochloride in 0.1 ml of saline. The dogs were observed for vomiting during a two-hour interval; however, vominting occurred after two to seven minutes or not at all.

<u>Tranquilization with prochlorperazine.</u> Female rhesus morkeys (4.2 to 5.9 kg) were placed in a cage on a grid attached to a power supply to produce an electric shock up to 300 volts (Figure 1). (The electric shock device was designed and built by E. R. Schnauss of the Instrument Project of Life Sciences, S&ID.) The monkeys were injected intraperitoneally with various amounts of prochlorperazine (Compazine Injection) in 1 ml of saline per kg either at room temperature or after 1.5 to 2 hours at  $4^{\circ}$  C. The monkeys were stimulated with random shocks at increasing voltage until there was a definite avoidance behavior as evidenced by an attempt to get off the grid or by vocalization. Most avoidance occurred with 80 volts or less. With severe tranquilization there was no response between 150 and 300 volts. Figures 2 and 3 illustrate the behavior difference in a monkey before and after treatment with prochlorperazine.



FIGURE 2 Monkey Prior to Injection of Prochlorperazine



FIGURE 3

Response of Monkey to a Tranquilizing Dose of Prochlorperazine

<u>Temperature response to oral prochlorperazine dimaleate</u>. The stomachs of male rats were intubated with a suspension of prochlorperazine dimaleate in gum arabic and water or with the vehicle only. The suspension was prepared by placing the prochlorperazine dimaleate and gum arabic (one-quarter the weight of the drug) in a mortar. The solids were mixed and titrated with small volumes of water to a fine paste. This was diluted to contain the required dose in 1 ml (10 ml per kg of rat). Rectal temperatures were taken hourly with a Yellow Springs resistance thermometer. Groups of six to seven rats each were tested at normal ambient room temperature and at  $4^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}$  C, where the drug was administered one hour after placing the rats in the cold environment.

Ш

### RESULTS

#### Meperidine Hydrochloride

In rats, the acute subcutaneous  $LD_{50}$  of meperidine hydrochloride (Demerol) at 22° to 24° C was found to be 125 mg/kg; at 4° C it was 70 mg/kg

as shown in Figure 4. It will be seen that meperidine was about twice as toxic to rats at  $4^{\circ}$  C than at normal ambient room temperature.

Figure 5 illustrates the responses to subcutaneous analgesic doses of meperidine hydrochloride in rats at  $21^{\circ}$  and  $4^{\circ}$  C. Comparison of equivalent responses shows that meperidine was 2.5 to 5 times more effective at  $4^{\circ}$  C than at  $21^{\circ}$  C.

Taking an average analgesic dose of 20 mg/kg at  $21^{\circ}$  C and the related LD<sub>50</sub> of 125 mg/kg, a therapeutic index (LD<sub>50</sub>/ED) of 6.3 is obtained. Likewise, at 4° C a therapeutic index of 8.8 (70/8) is found. It must be remembered, however, that an effective dose at 4° C is lower than at room temperature.

### Dextroamphetamine Sulfate

Figure 6 shows the results obtained with injections of dexiroamphetamine sulfate into rats at 23° and 4° C. The acute subcutaneous  $LD_{50}$  was 240 mg/kg at 23° C as compared to 32 mg/kg at 4° C. Dextrcamphetamine was 7.5 times more toxic for rats subjected to 4° C than those at room temperature environment.

Toxicity tests results with dogs are presented in Table I. After dogs were kept three hours at  $4^{\circ}$  C, intraperitoneal injections of 50 mg/kg of dextroamphetamine sulfate killed two of four dogs within 24 hours. At 23° C, doses of 50 mg/kg killed one of two dogs, and higher doses killed all six dogs. It appears that in animals larger than rats the acute toxicity of dextroamphetamine may not differ greatly at room or cold environments.

### TABLE I

## Intraperitoneal Injection of Dextroamphetamine Sulfate Into Dogs at 23° and 4° C

Temperature ( <sup>o</sup> C)	Dose (mg/kg)	No. of Dogs Used	Mortality
Room 23	200 100 50	4 2 2	4 2 1
Cold 4	50	4	2



**م**تر بن





× ...





The results of intraperitoneal injections of pentobarbital or a mixture of pentobarbital and dextroamphetamine sulfate into monkeys at room temperature and three hours after placing the monkeys in a temperature of  $4^{\circ}$  C are shown in Table II. The term "sleeping" as given in the table is defined as a drowsy appearance, difficulty in arousing to a touch, or unconsciousness. With the high dose of 40 mg/kg, the sleeping response was marked and persisted for two to four hours. With the 20 mg/kg and the 15 mg/kg doses, the response was lighter and lasted for about one hour. It appeared that 0.2 mg/kg of dextroamphetamine along with 15 mg/kg of pentobarbital was equally effective at both 23° and 4° C in preventing sleep when injected intraperitoneally into monkeys.

### TABLE II

## Sleeping in Monkeys Injected Intraperitoneally with Sodium Pentobarbital or with Sodium Pentobarbital and Dextroamphetamine Sulfate Mixture at 23° and 4° C

Temperature	Dos	No. of Monkeys	No. of Monkeys		
(°C)	Pentobarbital	Dextroamphetamine	Used	Asleep	
Room 23 Cold 4	15 15 40 40 20 20 15 15	0.2 - 1.0 - 0.5 - 0.2 -	3 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 2	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2	

### Prochlorperazine

Prochlorperazine ethanesulfonate powder was dissolved in saline and injected intraperitoneally into rats. The results are presented as prochlorperazine base. Figure 7 shows the responses of several groups of rats. The LD<sub>50</sub> was 125 mg/kg at 22<sup>°</sup> to 24<sup>°</sup> C and 7 mg/kg at 4<sup>°</sup> C. The intraperitoneal toxicity of prochlorperazine was about 18 times greater at 4<sup>°</sup> C than at 22<sup>°</sup> to 24<sup>°</sup> C.

Prochlorperazine dimaleate, suspended in a vehicle of gum arabic and water, was used for the acute oral toxicity study in rats. Figure 8 shows the







-i



oral LD<sub>50</sub> to be 3600 mg/kg at  $22^{\circ}$  C and 25 mg/kg at  $4^{\circ}$  C. The oral toxicity of prochlorperazine dimaleate was about 144 times greater at  $4^{\circ}$  C than at  $22^{\circ}$  C.

High doses of prochlorperazine ethanesulfonate were injected intraperitoneally into monkeys to seek a lethal dose level. These monkeys had been used at weekly or 10-day intervals for the present series of tests with dextroamphetamine, pentobarbital, and with prochlorperazine on the response to electric shock. With such monkeys, an intraperitoneal dose of 300 mg/kg of prochlorperazine base was lethal at room temperature, while 120 mg/kg was lethal after three hours at  $4^{\circ}$  C. These results, however, are not to be taken as indicative of the lethal response to a single dose of prochlorperazine if it were injected into untreated monkeys. During these various tests, it appeared that  $\frac{12}{12}$  monkeys were developing a tolerance to the drug in that the period of tranquilization was not extended by increasing the dosage. The monkeys were usually tranquilized in 5 to 10 minutes and, in spite of the increased dose, became belligerent again two to three hours after injection.

Several tests with dogs receiving successive intraperitoneal injections of 6, 18, 24 and 50 mg of prochlorperazine as base every 10 days at  $4^{\circ}$  C and at room temperature did not reveal a lethal dose. It is possible that the dogs developed a tolerance as did the monkeys.

To seek a possible reason for death of the rats subjected to prochlorperazine by intubation, several groups of rats were given various doses of prochlorperazine dimaleate suspension. Rectal temperatures were taken at hourly intervals. The results are shown in Figure 9. At  $22^{\circ}$  to  $24^{\circ}$  C there were equal average drops in rectal temperature in response to doses of 3500 and 350 mg/kg, with smaller effects at 40 and 20 mg/kg. At  $4^{\circ}$  C, the response to 40 mg/kg was quite marked, the average drop being about  $12^{\circ}$  C in seven hours, with death in six of seven rats after eight hours. At  $4^{\circ}$  C, the response to 20 mg/kg was not great, the average drop being about  $2^{\circ}$  C in seven hours, with no deaths after eight hours. It appears possible that in the cold environment death was due to the combined effect of the cold and the lowering of body temperature by the hypothermic action of the drug. This would result in a loss of the ability of the temperature center to maintain or permit the body to compensate for the lowered temperature.

Incidentally, an autopsy of several rats eight hours after being given a dose of 3500 mg/kg showed retention of the suspension in the stomach and intestines. With 350 mg/kg, only a small amount of residue was seen in the small intestines. No other macroscopic changes were observed in the viscera of the thorax or abdomen.

Table III shows the avoidance responses of five rhesus monkeys to a mild electric shock at  $22^{\circ}$  to  $24^{\circ}$  C and after three hours at  $4^{\circ}$  C when injected intraperitoneally with prochlorperazine ethanesulfonate. Injections of





### TABLE III

Temporature ( <sup>o</sup> C)	Dose* (mg/kg)	No. of Tests	Ávoidance
Room	0,60	1	0
22 to 24	0.10	2	1
	0,05	2	0
	0.04	1	0
	0. ŭ2	2	2
Cold	0.60	1	0
4	0,30	1	0
	0,20	1	0
	0,10	1	0
	0.05	1	0
	0.02	4	4

## Avoidance Response in Monkeys Injected Intraperitoneally with Prochlorperazine and Subjected to a Mild Electric Shock at 22° to 24° C and at 4° C

0.2 mg/kg were inadequate to tranquilize the monkeys; they were able to avoid an electric shock at either environment. Doses of 0.04 and 0.05 mg/kg or more did tranquilize the animals both in the cold ard at room temperature.

The effect of intraperitoneal injections of prochlorperazine ethanesulfonate in preventing emesis in dogs to a subcutaneous injection of apomorphine is shown in Table IV. At 22° to 24° C, a dose of 0.6 mg/kg was about as effective as a dose of 0.4 mg/kg at 4° C. Considering the number of tests involved, prochlorperazine was equally effective in preventing apomorphine emesis at either environment.

### IV

#### SUMMARY

The subcutaneous acute toxic dose of meperidine hydrochloride (Demerol) for rats was about twice as great at  $4^{\circ}$  C (LD<sub>50</sub> 70 mg/kg) as at 22° to 24° C (LD<sub>50</sub> 125 mg/kg). The subcutaneous analgesic doses were about 2.5 to 5

### TABLE IV

Temperature ( <sup>o</sup> C)	Dose (mg/kg)	No. of Tests	Emesis
Room 22 to 24	0.6 0.4 0.2	4 4 4	2 4 4
Colċ 4	1.0 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2	4 4 6 10 4	0 1 2 5 4

# Inhibition of Apomorphine Emesis in Dogs Injected Intraperitoneally with Prochlorperazine at $22^{\circ}$ to $24^{\circ}$ C and at $4^{\circ}$ C

times as effective at  $4^{\circ}$  C as at  $21^{\circ}$  C. A therapeutic index of 8.8 at  $4^{\circ}$  C and of 6.3 at  $21^{\circ}$  C was calculated.

Dextroamphetamine sulfate (Dexedrine), injected subcutaneously was 7.5 times more toxic for rats subjected to  $4^{\circ}$  C than for rats kept at room temperature (LD<sub>50</sub> at 23° C 240 mg/kg and at  $4^{\circ}$  C 32 mg/kg). In dogs the acute toxicity did not differ greatly at room temperature or cold environments. The sleep response in monkeys at both environments was inhibited by a dose of 0.2 mg/kg of dextroamphetamine sulfate injected intraperitoneally along with 15 mg/kg of pentobarbital.

Prochlorperazine ethanesulfonate (Compazine) was 18 times more toxic for rats injected intraperitoneally at 4° C than for those at 22° to 24° C (LD<sub>50</sub> as base at 22° to 24° C 125 mg/kg and at 4° C 7 mg/kg). With a suspension of prochlorperazine dimaleate administered orally, the ratio of acute toxicity in rats was 144 to 1 (LD<sub>50</sub> at 22° C 3600 mg/kg and at 4° C 25 mg/kg). Rectal temperatures were taken hourly on another series of rats given a suspension of prochlorperazine dimaleate at 4° C and at room temperature. It was concluded that the lethal response at 4° C could have been due to an additive effect of the hypothermic action of the drug and of the cold environment to a point where the temperature center was no longer operative. Monkeys, which had been used in previous tests with various drugs, appeared to have become tolerant to increasing doses of prochlorperazine. The avoidance responses of five rhesus monkeys injected intraperitoneally and subjected to a mild electric shock was designed to test tranquilization by the drug. Doses

of 0.04 to 0.05 mg/kg or more tranquilized the monkeys both in the cold and at room temperature. In dogs, intraperitoneal injections of prochlorperazine ethanesulfonate at  $22^{\circ}$  to  $24^{\circ}$  C (0.6 mg/kg) were about as effective as at  $4^{\circ}$  C (0.4 mg/kg) in preventing emesis by an emetic dose of apomorphine.

### REFERENCES

- Chen, J. Y. P. and H. C. Bergman. <u>Effects of cold exposure upon the</u> <u>action of therapeutic drugs.</u> Technical Documentary Report <u>AAL-TDR-64-20</u>, Arctic Aeromedical Laboratory, Fort Wainwright, Alaska, 1965.
- Chen, J. Y. P. "Analgesic-potentiating and diuretic effects of 1-dimethylamino-3-cyano-3-phenyl-4-methyl-hexane HCl (Z-4) and 1-dimethylamino-2-phenyl-3-methyl-pentane HCl (Z-134)." J. Pharmacol Exp. Ther. 117:451-460, 1956.

DOCUMENT (Security clanalication of title body of abeliact and	F CONTROL DATA - R Indexing annotation must be	&D entered when	the critell report is classified)	
ORIGINATIN & ACTIVITY (Conports author)		24. REPORT SECURITY CLASHFICA		
Life Sciences Department of Space and		UNCLASSIFIED		
Information Systems Division			n/a	
REPORT VILLE	Downey, Calif.			
Effects of Cold Exposure Upon	the Action of Th	erapeuti	c Drugs: Part II	
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive date November 1964 to November 1	965 Second	report		
AUTHOR(3) (Lost name, first name, initial)				
Chen, James Y. P. and H. C.	Bergman			
REPORT DATE	74. 30TAL NO. OF	PAGES	75. NO. OF REFS	
ADTIL 1900	R3 PR. ORIGINATORIS	REPORT NU		
6 PROJECT NO. 8241	SID 65-1	626		
TASK: 824102	S. OTHER REPOR	T NO(3) (4-	Y a trumbers that may be seal med	
	this report) AAL-TR-f	6-3		
d.				
Distribution of this document i	c unlimited			
Distribution of difs doce nent i	s unimited			
1. SUPPL ENENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MI	LITARY ACT		
I. SUPPL ENENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING M Arctic Ae: Fort Wain	romedic wright.	al Laboratory	
1. SUPPL ENENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING M Arctic Ae Fort Wain	romedic wright,	Alaska 98731	
ABSTRACT In rats acutely exposed t toxic responses to parenteral inj dextroamphetamine sulfate and of invariably greater in cold than at an even greater increase was note dimaleate at 4°C; the acute oral 4°C than at room temperature. an additive effect of the inherent h temperature-lowering action in th monkeys and dogs, indicated that temperature and cold environmen large animals to retain body heat have contributed to the lesser effe prochlorperazine in increasing do tolerance development to the drug effective at either environment in barbital. The tranquilizing effect behavior in monkeys subjected to the same at 4°C as at room temp azine at room temperature were emesis by an emetic dose of apor	12. SPONSORING M Arctic Ae Fort Wain Fort Wain o cold as compar ections of meper prochlorperazin room temperatu: ed in the acute or toxicity of this d: This large differ hypothermic action the cold environment the differences i ts were not as gr in the cold for a ect. The results osage may have r be cold environment the differences i ts were not as gr in the cold for a ect. The results osage may have r c. Dextroamphet inhibit ng sleep t of prochlorpera a mild electric s perature. In dog about as effective norphine.	romedic wright, red to ro idine hy e ethane re. Wit al toxic rug was ence in on of the ent. Te n toxicit reat as i longer t with mo evealed amine s in monk zine, as shock, w s, inject	Alaska 98731 oom temperature, the drochloride, of esulfonate were h further experiments ity of prochlorperazine 144 times greater at toxicity appeared to be e drug and of the sts with larger animal ty between the room n rats. The ability of time than rats can may onkeys injected with the possibility of a ulfate was about equal eys induced with pento s shown by avoidance vas found to be about tions of prochlorper- 4° C in preventing	
ABSTRACT In rats acutely exposed t toxic responses to parenteral inj dextroamphetamine sulfate and of invariably greater in cold than at an even greater increase was note dimaleate at 4° C; the acute oral 4° C than at room temperature. an additive effect of the inherent h temperature-lowering action in th monkeys and dogs, indicated that temperature and cold environmen large animals to retain body heat have contributed to the lesser effe prochlorperazine in increasing do tolerance development to the drug effective at either environment in barbital. The tranquilizing effect behavior in monkeys subjected to the same at 4° C as at room temp azine at room temperature were emesis by an emetic dose of apor	12. SPONSORING M Arctic Act Fort Wain o cold as compar ections of meper prochlorperazin room temperatu: ed in the acute or toxicity of this d: This large differ hypothermic action the cold environment the differences i ts were not as gr in the cold for a ect. The results of prochlorpera a mild electric so perature. In dog about as effective norphine.	romedic wright, red to ro idine hy e ethane re. Wit cal toxic rug was ence in on of the ent. Te n toxicit reat as i longer t with mo evealed amine s in monk zine, as shock, w s, inject	Alaska 98731 al Laboratory Alaska 98731 com temperature, the drochloride, of esulfonate were h further experiments ity of prochlorperazine 144 times greater at toxicity appeared to be e drug and of the sts with larger animal ty between the room n rats. The ability of time than rats can may onkeys injected with the possibility of a ulfate was about equal eys induced with pento a shown by avoidance vas found to be about tions of prochlorper- a C in preventing LASSIFIED	

#### UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

14 KEY WORDS	LIN	LINK A		LINK B		LINKC	
	JOLE	W T	40LE		ROLE	w-	
Cold-exposed animals							
Therapeutic drugs			1				
Prochlorperazine			1				
Dextroamphetamine sulfate					} i		
Meperidine hydrochlorıde							
Acute toxicity							
Avoidance response							
		1					
	i				i i		
			i				
			<u>!                                    </u>				
INSTRUCTIONS							

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.

2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group numbry. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have seen used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. REPORT 7 TLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. DESCRIPTINE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. AUTHOR(S). Enter the name(s) of author(s)  $a^{c}$  shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal withor is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. REPORT DATE. Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES. The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., "nter the number of pages containing information.

7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES. Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

u. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was water.

8b, &, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES. Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.

13 ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical re port. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be ottached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS). (S). (C), or (U)

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words

14 KEY WORDS. Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.

UNCLASSIFIED

#### Security Classification