Distribution of this document is unlimited

TECHNECAL REPORT 66-28+5D

INFILTRATION OF FOROUS FOODS WITH HIGH CALORIC, NON-AQUEOUS, EDIBLE MATERIALS

by

R. A. Lampi Central Engineering Laboratories FMC Corporation Santa Clars, California

Contract DA 19-129-AMC-84(N)

Project Reference: 7X84-91-033

Series: 20-45

ł

A11_____

April 1966

Food Division U.S. ARMY NATICK LABORATORIES Natick, Massachusetts 01760

ىرى يى دىيىرىيەرىيى باردا ئىدىيىرىيە دىرىدى باردا بار ئىسىنى ئىدا **ئىر**ىيە ئەر ئىرىيە يېرىغا يې^ر ، ئايىلىيە ئىلىيە ئىلىيە بىرىيە بىرىيە ئىلىيە ئىلى

This investigation represents a facet of a broad experimental program directed to the design and development of special food packets for the combat soldier who must carry on his person his entire food supply for prolonged periods during which resupply is not feasible. Design of such food packets must assure wholesomeness and acceptability. It is essential that packets impose a minimum addition to the weight and bulk of the already immoderate load a soldier must carry.

While freeze drying permits maximum weight reduction with minimum impairment of acceptability for a wide variety of foods, it provides little or no reduction in food bulk. Two general procedures appear feasible for compensating the low bulk density resulting from the porosity of freeze dried foods. One such procedure seeks to eliminate the porous structure through compression; this alternative has been the subject of several investigations. The second procedure, which provides the basis for this investigation, seeks to fill the pores of freeze dried foods with stable, high caloric materials, which are normally consumed in conjunction with the parent food. This latter procedure appears to be potentially applicable to other porous items such as bakery products.

This report describes work conducted under contract DA19-129-AMC-84 with funds provided by the project titled. Combat Feeding Systems. The investigation was performed at the Central Engineering Laboratories of the FMC Corporation, 1185 Coleman Avenue, Santa Clara, California. Dr. R. A. Lampi served as Official Investigator. His collaborators were H. Takahashi, Jean S. Lennon, Mohamman H. Nosvati, Silvestre Sierra, and William B. Scalf.

Project Officer and Alternate Project Officer for the U.S. Army Matick Laboratories were Dr. Maxwell G. Brockmann and Mr. Justin M. Nuowy, respectively, of the Animal Products Branch, Food Division.

> FERDINAND P. MEHRLICH, the Director Food Division

APPROVED :

DALE H. SIELING, PhD Scientific Director

W. M. MANTZ Colonel, QMC Commanding FOREWORD

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

.

3

Pa	22	No.
	_	

. -

Abstract	vii
Section I Research and Development	
Introduction	I-1
Experimental	I-2
Jugg Gardin of WHILLESING LECHNINGS and PARIDACING	T_2
Alliable.com	T-2
rynn and materians used in the initiation brudts Dyantystige of the Individual Ynfiltanad Boads	T-5
Exclusion of Variltoned Bande	T-14
Evaluation of America Foods	1-14 T-14
Chaulan and Physical Analysis of Infillered Foods	1-14
Staddally Studies of Antiltered Foods	1-10
	1-23
Literatule Gived	1-2/
Section 32 Engineering	
Introduction	[]-1
Methods of Processing	11-1
Vaccom Release	11-1
Festione Pressure	[]-1
Meansanings, Uniterrion	II-1
Process R' or	 IT-2
Equinment Dag or Darsmaters	T-2
Everyment Selection and Design	11.3
anglaa jaansaan ar ang	LT-3
налария чаларана каларана каларана калара Малануу	11-7-7 FT_/
ПРТОФ и сексинание составляется с составляется с Прима с бола	LL-4 TV.6
Gondras von	L1-0

List of Tables

Section		
1.	Original Food Analysis	-28
2.	Appalent Densitles of Infiltered Foods	-29
3.	Trase Densities of Infiltered Foods	-30
4,	Poresicy Measurements	-31
5.	Geionias by Weight	-32
6.	Galessies per Cubic Continuter	-33
7.	Equilibrium Moisture Contents of Impregnated Foods I.	-34
8.	Free Fatty Acids in Fresh and Four-Menth Storage Samples I.	-35
9.	Percyide Value in Fresh and Four-Month Storage Samples I.	-36
10.	Microbiological Examination	-37
11.	Migrobiological Exemination	-38
12.	Taste Fanel Data - Pound Cake	-39
13.	Statistical Analysis of Taste Panel Data - Pound Cake I	-40
14.	Taxte Panel Data - Pancake	-41
15 .	Statistical Analysis of Taste Panel Data - Pancake I	-42

11

.A. J.

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

•

.

. .

List of Tables (Continued)

16.	Taste Panel Data - Pancake (Second Run)	I-43
17.	Statistical Analysis of Taste Panel Data - Pancake (Second	
	Run)	I-4 4
18.	Taste Panel Data - Toast	I-45
19.	Statistical Analysis of Taste Panel Data - Toast	I-4 6
20.	Taste Panel Data - Puffed Rice	I-47
21.	Statistical Analysis of Taste Panel Data - Puffed Rice	I-48
22.	Taste Panel Data - Macaroni	I-49
23.	Statistical Analysis of Taste Panel Data - Macaroni	1- 50
24.	Taste Pauel Data - Chicken	I- 51
25.	Statistical Analysis of Taste Panel Data - Rehydrated	
	Chicken	I-52
26.	Taste Panel Data - Beef (Rehydrated)	I-53
27.	Statistical Analysis of Taste Panel Data - Rebydrated Beef	1-5 4
28.	Taste Panel Data - Shrimp	I-55
29.	Statistical Analysis of Taste Panel Data - Rehydrated Shrimp	I- 56
30.	Taste Panel Data - Peas (dry) - Peas (cooked)	I-57
31.	Statistical Analysis of Taste Panel Data - Dry Peas	I-58
32.	Statistical Analysis Taste Panel Data - Rehydrated Peas	I-5 9
33.	Taste Panel Data - Asparagus (dry), - Asparagus (cooked) .	I-6 0
34.	Statistical Analysis of Taste Panel Data - Dry Asparagus .	I-61
35.	Statistical Analysis of Taste Panel Data - Rehydrated	
	Asparagus	I-62
36.	Taste Panel Data - Strawberries (dry)	I-63
37.	Statistical Analysis of Taste Panel Data - Strawberries	I-64
38.	Taste Panel Data - Apples (dry), - Apples (cooked)	I-6 5
39.	Statistical Analysis of Taste Panel Data - Dry Apples	I- 66
40.	Statistical Analysis of Taste Panel Data - Rehydrated Apples	I-6 7
41.	Taste Panel Data - Cottage Cheese (dry)	I-68
42.	Statistical Analysis of Taste Panel Data - Cottage Cheese .	I-69
43.	Taste Panel Data - Beef Stew (Rehydrated)	I-7 0
44.	Statistical Analysis of Taste Panel Data - Beef Stew	I-71
Section 1	ri	
1.	Food and Filler Density and Flow Data	II-7
2.	Volume Data - Vacuum Chamber	II-10

List of Figures

S	e	¢	t	i	on	Ι
---	---	---	---	---	----	---

ł

1.	Equipment Setup for Vacuum Penetration Procedure	I-7 2
2.	Rectangular Die Used for Positive Pressure Infiltration	I-7 3
3.	Hand Pump for Stuffing Macaroni	I-7 4

. -

. ~

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

-ŝ

- -

List of Figures (Continued)

		Page No.
4.	Infiltered Pound Cake and Pancake	1-75
5.	Asparagus	1-76
6.	Strawherry	I~77
7.	Infiltered Beef Stew	1- 78
8.	Assembled View of Humidity Jor Used for Determining Equilibrium	
	Moisture Content	I-79
9.	Sample Taste Ballot	1-80
Section	<u>ت ق</u>	
Second i	Process Flow Diagram Freeze-Dried Beef, 500 Kg/hr.	TI-11
2	Freees Flow Diagram Freeze-Dried Chicken, 500 Kg/hr.	TT-12
3.	Frocess Flow Diagram Freeze-Dried Shrimp, 500 Kg/hr.	II-13
<u>4</u> .	Figuess Flow Diagram, Freeze-Dried Cottage Cheese, 500 Kg/hr.	II-14
5.	Presest Flow Diagram, Freeze-Dried Peas, 500 Kg/hr.	II-15
6.	Process Flow Diagram, Freeze-Dried Asparagus, 500 Kg/hr	II-1 6
7.	Process Flow Diagram, Freeze-Dried Strawberries, 500 Kg/hr.	II-17
8.	Irquess Flow Diagram, Freeze-Dried Applies, 500 Kg/hr.	II- 18
9	Process Flow Diagram, Puffed Rice, 500 Kg/hr.	II-19
10	Process Flow Diagram, Zwieback Toast, 500 Kg/hr	II- 20
1.	File-F Plus Diagram, Pound Cake, 500 Kg/hr	II-21
12	Frenses Flour Blagram, Paneake, 500 Kg/hr.	II-22
13.	Freusse Flow Sheat, Macayoni, 500 Kg/hr	II-2 3
14.	Frecess Flow Diagram, Dehydrated Beef Stew, 500 Kg/hr	J.I-24
15	Vacuum Ceamber & Cart Assembly for Impregnating Food by the	
	Vacuum Release System	II-25
16	Tositive Fressure Food Impregnating	II-26
17.	Positive Pressure Food Impregnating	II-27
18.	Fiess System	JI-28

v

ABSTRACT

, ,

سوسف بهوت وال

.

Methods together with suitable high caloric formulations were developed for filling the voids of representative baked items and freeze dried meats, fruits and vegetables. Panel tests for acceptability and relevant physical, chemical and microbiological observations are reported for infiltered products stored for 4 months at a maximum temperature of 38°C. Preparative experience has been extrapolated into an engineering flow diagram for large scale production of infiltered foods.

I. INTRODUCTION

Freeze-dried foods and many bakery items have low bulk densities and yield relatively few calories per unit volume. From a logistics point of view, it would be advantageous to increase caloric density of these foods by filling the voids with edible high caloric material. To explore this possibility, work was initiated under Quartermaster Corps Contract DA 19-129-ANC-84(N) to infiltrate selected dried foods with high caloric fillers to yield a caloric content of 4.4 Kg-cal per gram. Under the subject contract, two phases of work were involved.

The first phase of work dealt primarily with the development of formulae and infiltration techniques for twelve various foods. The detailed results of this experimental work were covered in Phase I, Final Report.

This report is the final report of the second phase of studies covered under the contract. The objectives of this phase were to apply the successful infiltration techniques and formulas of Phase I to fourteen specific foods and to evaluate the storage stability of these infiltrated foods by chemical, organoleptic and microbiological methods. Also included in Phase II scope of work is the preparation of a flow sheet diagram supplemented with type and capacity of unit equipment to process 500 Kilograms per hour of infiltrated foods.

This final report is divided into two separate sections:

Section I The Preparation and Evaluation of Fourteen Infiltrated Foods.

Section II Flow Sheet Diagrams and Design of Equipment for Producing 500 Kg/hr of Infiltrated Foods.

. .

For the most part, the infiltration techniques and formulas developed in the first phase were exployed in producing the high caloric foods specified for the second phase work. Special emphasis was placed on selecting infiltration methods which could be most easily adapted to automated processing since these techniques would supply the necessary data for the design and detail of the process flow diagrams for producing the high caloric food items.

To best describe the experimental work performed, the discuscussion will be divided into three sections.

- 1. A description of the infiltrating techniques and methods for evaluating the infiltration.
- 2. The specified foods and the materials used for filler formulation.
- 3. The infiltration procedures and filler formulae for each of the fourteen foods.

A. Description of Infiltrating Techniques and Evaluating Infiltration

Two of the four methods of infiltration described in Phase I Final Report were used for preparing the foods for the second phase studies. A third method of impregnation was necessitated for one of the food items.

1. Infiltration Methods

a.

Vacuum Release The main method of penetration was vacuum release. The equipment set-up for this procedure involved the use of a vacuum dessicator and a vacuum pump, and this set-up is illustrated in Figure 1. Samples to be impregnated were kept submerged in the liquified lipid filler material and placed in the vacuum dessicator, A 30" He vacuum was drawn and released as soon as the emulsion or mixture began to bubble. For all foods infiltrated in this manner, subjecting each sample to six consecutive cycles of vacuum and release was found to produce the best results. Less them six vacuum-release cycles resulted in incomplete infiltration. More than six cycles did not result in any additional infiltration, since pometration was either near complete or further penetration was limited because of hardening of the absorbed lipid filler material within the food. This six cycle vacuum-release method was satisfactory only when using relatively low viscosity filler mixtures.

b. Positive Pressure Method

For those foods requiring a higher viscosity emulsion, positive pressure proved to be the best method for achieving infiltration. The one by two inch rectangular die shown in Figure 2 was used for the pressure method of infiltration. The emulsion was placed over the sample and placed in a Carver press. Pressurs was slowly applied until reaching 100 pounds per square inch and held for one minute to permit escape of air entrapped in the fool. Because pressure is

applied to the food in this method, only firm dry foods can be infiltrated successfully.

c. Manual Injection Method

For one food item, macaroni, the above mentioned methods of infiltration were not applicable and a manual injection method was necessary in this procedure, a pump was fashioned from a short piece of tubing on the stem end of a small funnel. A blunt ended 20 penny nail was used as a plunger for the pump. (This set-up is illustrated in Figure 3). A single piece of macaroni was fitted into the tubing and powdered filler material in the funnel was pushed into the void space of macaroni.

2. Evaluation of Infiltration

Two methods of evaluating the degree of infiltration were employed.

a. Visual Evaluation

The initial method of evaluating the degree of infiltration was by visual examination of the infiltrated food through a 2 1/2 power magnifying glass. When two phase (aqueous-lipid) emulsions were used for infiltration the aqueous phase was tinted with a royal blue dye (FD&C Blue No. 2). In Phase I work, this dye was found to tint only the aqueous phase, and this tinting helped in ascertaining the degree of penetration achieved by each phase.

b. Quantitative Evaluation

After visual evaluation of the infiltrated food quantitative methods were employed to determine if the infiltrated foods Mage the 4.4 Kilogram caloric requirements by weight and by volume. The quantative methods involved calculations of both true and apparent densities of each food before and after infiltration. The density information was then used in caloric calculations for each item. Further details of these calculations will be discussed in the section on evaluation of infiltrated foods.

Fcoda and Materials Used in The Infiltration Studies

1. Foods

The contract specifications called for infiltrating fourteen foods to be selected from the following items.

- 1. Pound Cake (or doughnuts)
- 2. Pancake (or weffles)
- 3. Zwieback toast (or crackers)
- 4. Puffed rice (or puffed wheat)
- 5. Macaroni
- 6. Chicken*
- 7. Baef#
- 8. Shrimp* (or fish*)
- 9. Peas* (or corn*)

10. Asparagus* (or green beans)

- 11. Strawberries* (or pineapple)
- 12. Apples* (or peaches*)
- 13. Cottage cheese# (or scrambled eggs)
- 14. Dehydrated Beef Stew# (or chicken with rice)

[*Freeze-dried]

The actual foods selected are listed first, with the alternates in parenthesis. The alternates are listed because, in many cases, the efforts to infiltrate these foods are discussed in the preparation of the individual foods.

2. Filler Materials

5. *

During the first phase, a wide variety of filler compositions were explored, mainly in attempts to reduce "greasiness" during consumption. Of those fillers, only the more promising ones from the aspects of completeness of impregnation or organoleptic acceptability and probability of good stability during storage were used in the second phase.

Because the infiltrated foods had to undergo storage at 100°F, only those lipids which had melting points exceeding this temperature could be employed. Acceptability of the infiltrated foods by a taste panel was an additional requirement which precluded the use of some high melting point lipids because of the totally unacceptable taste and/or mouth feel.

CCC; a shortening supplied by the Durkees Famous Foods Company, Berkely, California; was found to be acceptable in many of the filler formulae used for infiltration. The 112°F melting point of this lipid made it ideal as far as the 100°F storage requirement was concerned. In addition, this shortening mixture was found to be very stable under adverse storage conditions, and most of all, the mouth feel and taste of this lipid when used in filler combinations was found to be the most acceptable.

Myverol 18-00; supplied by the Distillation Products Industries Incorporated, Rochester, New York; was used to some extent in formulating coakings for several of the infiltrated products. Myverol, because of its high melting point of 149°F, was useful in raising the melting point of the chocolate coating used for several of the food items.

Liquicane Type 50; a high solute sugar syrup containing 50% invert and a Brix of 77° made by the California and Hawaiian (C6H) Sugar Company, Crockett, California; was successfully used as an infiltrating material for several dried foods. This syrup added the greatest amount of calories of any syrups tested and was rated most acceptable on the basis of tasts.

Purity 270 Starch, produced by the National Starch and Chemical Corporation, New York, New York, used extensively in Phase I filler formulations, was again employed in the make-up of fillers of the second phase. A mixture of dry Purity 270 starch and melted CCC shortening resulted in a stable mixture which could be easily infiltrated. On rehydration, this starch combined with the water of rehydration and formed a gravy which complemented the product.

The second s

I = 4

When satisfactory infiltrated products were achieved with the basic limid, starch and syrup ingredients, attempts were made to subance the flavor of the filler commounds with several types of flavoring materials.

Various oil souble flavors were added to pure lipid fillers, but often proved to be unsativfactory because it was not possible to achieve exactly acceptable flavor devels in both the dry and reh, draved form of the infiltrated products. If the flavor level in the rehydrated product was acceptable, it was overpowering when the product was consumed dry. When the flavoring level was adjusted for the dry infiltrated product the flavoring went unnoticed in the hydrated product.

Less potent flavoring materials such as vanilla and other common spices were found to be useful in several filler formulations, and the use of these falvorings will be discussed under the preparations of the individual foods. For many foods, salt would have enchanced the taste of the infiltrated product, but since salt is known to have an adverse effect on lipid stability, it was not used in any filler preparation. Because the sclection of suitable flavorings is a time consuming art and may add more variables as far as stability and acceptability is concerned, little or no flavorings were used with the many infiltrated products prepared.

In the following discussion the functionality as well as atorage stability of the infiltrated foods was given more emphasis. Each infiltrated food, however, was tested by an informal taste panel to assure minimum organoleptic acceptability.

The Preparation of The Individual Infiltrated Foods

1. Pound Cake or Doughnuts

Of the two bakery items, pound cake was selected for infiltration and storage studies because it was one of the items in Phase I work that was successfully infiltrated by the positive pressure method. Cakes were purchased from a local market (Lagendorf United Bakers, Inc., San Francisco, California) and cut into $1 \times 2 \times 1/2$ inch pieces to fit the die used for infiltration. From the results of the earlier studies, it was found that positive pressure infiltration required the pieces to be firm while under pressure within the die. Consequently, the two pieces were placed in a 100°F oven for 1/2 hour to achieve the necessary firmness prior to infiltration.

A 1:1 ratio of butter frosting and CCC shortening, developed in Phase I work, was used as the filler for impregnating the cake pieces. The butter frosting was made from the following ingredients:

Ingrodient	Percent by Weight
Confectioner's Sugar	64.5
CCC Shortening	25.2
Heavy Cream	10.3

To make the butter frosting, sugar was first thoroughly mixed with the shortening and then followed by the addition of the cream. The weighed lipid phase was melted, mixed with 0.25 percent by weight of lecithin and slowly blended into the butter frosting using a low-speed rotary beater. (Lecithin, A. E. Staley Company, Decatur, Illinois, was found in Phase I work to aid in emulsion formation and stability, resulting in better infiltration results. The blending temperatures were approximately 80°F for the butter frosting and 160°F for the lipid phase. It was important to keep the emulsion at a creamy consistency to achieve good penetration results; this was achieved by keeping the emulsion at a relatively constant temperature in the range of 115° to 120°F. At higher temperatures the components of the emulsion separated and at lower temperatures, the fat solidified.

This butter frosting-CCC mixture was placed over the piece of cake within the die and 100 pounds pressure applied for one minute to effect complete infiltration. A sample of the infiltrated pound cake is illustrated in Figure 4.

2. Pancakes or Waffles

Previous positive pressure infiltration work with waffles revealed that the shape of the waffles did not lend itself to uniform penetration or to removal of excess filler material, and consequently, pancakes were the item selected for infiltration and storage studies.

Pancakes were prepared from a mix (Betty Crocker Bwand, General Mills, Inc., Minneapolis, Minnesota) and cooked in the FWC kitchen. The prepared pancakes were first cut into $1 \times 1 \times 1/2$ inch pieces and air dried for one half hour at 100°F to achieve the firmness necessary for positive pressure infiltration.

Two filler formulations were tried with the pancakes and tested. The initial formula for infiltrating pancakes was the same butter frosting-CCC mixture used for the pound cake except with the addition of 0.1 percent of vanilla flavoring and 0.1 percent of maple flavoring (Both Schilling Brand, Mc Cormick and Company, Baltimore, Maryland.) These infiltrated pancakes were judged acceptable when freshly made, but after four months storage became rather hard and grainy, and for the most part rated unacceptable by the panel.

Another filler formula was tried with pancake to minimize the increase in firmness occurring during storage. The formula for this second iiller was the 2:2:1 combination of peanut butter, red currant jelly and Myverol mixture used for infiltrating toast, and its preparation is discussed in that section. Successful positive pressure infiltration was achieved with this second filler formulation. A sample of the infiltrated pancake is also illustrated in Figure 4.

3. Toast or Crackers

Toast, because it was very similar to pound cake in texture, was the item selected for infiltration by the positive pressure method. Zwieback Toast (National Biscuit Company, New York, New York) was purchased and cut into 1×2 inch pieces for infiltration. Since this product was already in firm

condition, no further drying for tempering was necessary.

Peanut butter and jelly combination was found to be the most acceptable filler formulation used for infiltrating the dry toast. Grape, blackberry, strawberry, black raspberry and red currant jellies were mixed with the peanut butter and pressure infiltrated into the toast. Of the products tested, toast infiltrated with a 1:1 ratio of red currant jelly (Mary Ellen's Inc., Berkeley, California) and peanut butter (Skippy Brand, Corn Products Company, Alameda, California) received the best rating from an informal taste panel. Samples which contained a greater amount of peanut butter were rated too gummy, while those with a greater ratio of jelly were too sweet. To offset the natural oilness of the filler combination and to increase caloric content, 20 perc it by weight of Myverol 18-00 was incorporated into the peanut butter-jelly mixture. This level of Myverol was found to be the maximum amount acceptable; the use of higher levels resulted in a noticeable waxy taste.

To make this filler, peanut butter and jelly were mixed at room temperature with a rotary beater until a homogenous mixture was obtained. Myverol, which had been heated to 200°F, was then added with rapid mixing. Continual mixing and constant heating at 125° was necessary to keep this filler at the desired creamy consistency.

4. Puffed Rice or Puffed Wheat

Puffed wheat and puffed rice made by the Quaker Company of Chicago, Illinois were purchased for the infiltration studies. Initial infiltration trials revealed that both foods could be infiltrated by the vacuum release method. In an informal poll of panel members, puffed rice was regarded as more acceptable as a cereal product, and as a result it was decided to select rice as the item for further penetration work.

The first infiltration attempts with puffed rice were to use a flavored emulsion so that the final product would resemble some of the flavored cereals now on the market.

Several flavored emulsions were tried, and in each case the final product was unsatisfactory. When the hot aqueous-lipid mixture came in contact with the puffed rice, the samples softened and collapsed, and as a result further work with emulsions was discontinued.

Pure lipid fillers such as CCC and Myverol were then tried. Less shrinkage was noted, but the flavor of the product was bland and not very acceptable. Several compatible oil soluble flavors were then incorporated into the lipid filler to enhance the final infiltrated product. Although these flavored products were some improvement, suitable flavoring levels could not be achieved. A strong burning after-taste was experienced with these products after eating several pieces.

Room temperature infiltration with other high caloric fillers materials was then attempted. It was found that honey could be successfully infiltrated by the vacuum release method. Further work with this filler was discontinued when it was revealed that the infiltrated product fell short of the caloric requirements.

Equally successful infiltration results were achieved using the high solute sugar syrup, Liquicane, with an increase in caloric value over honey, although the final product was still below the caloric requirements. Both infiltrated items were sticky, but from a taste standpoint, Liquicane was more preferable than honey.

Attempts werd then directed toward reducing the stickiness and increasing the celoric dontent, and the best approach appeared to be by the use of a high celoric coating. A special commercial coating chocolate, supplied by the Ghiradelli Chocolate Company of San Francisco, California was tried and found to be ideal as far as coating was concerned; however, further work was necessitated when it was found that chocolate coating melted at 100°, and that more calories were needed in the coating. In subsequent tests a 3:1 chocolate-Myverol mixture proved to be the most promising. This chocolate-Myverol combination did not melt at 100° and was not considered too waxy tasting. When applied, this coating hardened quickly and served a three fold purpose, it made the product non-sticky, it kept the syrup from seeping out and it added the necessary calories to meet the 4.4 Kg-calories per gram requirement.

Temperature proved to be a critical factor in the application of the chocolate coating. A 140°F temperature had to be maintained for the chocolate-Myverol mixture. Below this temperature, the mixture was too thick, and above this temperature it was too thin.

5. Macaroni

The plan with macaroni was to fill the void with all of the ingredients except water that are needed to make Macaroni au Gratin so that this dish would be the result of hydration of the infiltrated macaroni. Elbow macaroni (Golden Grain Brand, Golden Grain Macaroni Company, San Leandro, California) was purchased from a local market for use in these trials.

The first emulsion tested was composed of powdered cheddar cheese (Beatreme 1326, Beatrice Foods Company), Purity 270 starch, and CCC. The lipid was melted and blended with the other ingredients using a rotary beater. This emulsion was then injected into the macaroni void using a hand pump. The resulting stuffed macaroni was cooked in boiling water for thirty minutes. At the end of this time the macaroni was cooked and a sauce had formed; however, all of the emulsion had not escaped from the macaroni, and the resulting product was somewhat gummy.

Next, a 3:1:1 ratio of the powdered cheddar cheese, Purity 270 starch, and a powdered shortening (Bestreme 1184-A, Bestrice Foods Company) was introduced into the macaroni void using the hand pump. The hydration product in this case was very satisfactory, since all of the filling ingredients had blended into the boiling water. The flavor of the sauce, however, was rather bland with only a slight suggestion of the cheese flavor. Nontheless, an informal taste panel rated this product as quite acceptable. The amount of filler that can be introduced into the macaroni void is such that, should only cheese be used, there would still not be a significant cheese taste upon hydration.

The filled product was coated with a thin layer of Myverol 18-00, both to keep the filler from spilling out of the macaroni and to add essential extra calories. The final product meets the 4.4 Kilogram-calories per gram minimum, but not the 4.4 Kilogram-calories per cubic centimeter minimum. Perhaps, if the filler were introduced into the void under greater pressure, the percent voids could be reduced sufficiently to increase the caloric content per cubic centimeter value of the finished product.

6. Chicken

Cooked and frozen chicken rolls composed of both light and dark meat were purchased, sawed into 1/2 inch slices, and then freeze-dried in the FMC pilot facilities. The dried slices were then cut into approximately one inch squares for subsequent infiltration procedure. Phase I infiltration work indicated that chicken could be successfully infiltrated by both positive pressure and the vacuum release techniques. Since the vacuum release method was the easier method of the two, it was decided to use this method exclusively to prepare infiltrated samples for test purposes.

Satisfactory infiltration of an emulsion could be achieved by the vacuum release method, but the infiltrated product was unsatisfactory due to shrinkage. The shrinkage occurred when the food sample came into contact with the aqueous phase of the emulsion. The use of viscous emulsions reduced shrinkage, but infiltration was not uniform and the product was hard to hydrate in water. Since partial hydration occurred with all the emulsions tried, and since a partially hydrated chicken would be more susceptable to spoilage, it was felt that it would be best to eliminate entirely the use of any water in the filler formulation.

A filler mixture containing CCC and Purity 270 starch powder was found to be ideal for infiltrating the freeze-dried chicken. This mixture did not contain any water and was more acceptable from a taste point of view than pure fat. When the infiltrated product was added to warm water, the fat-starch mixture hydrated to form a gravy base that enhanced the product taste and appearance.

This filler mixture was prepared by mixing equal weights of the Purity 270 starch and melted CCC. The best penetration results were achieved when the CCC-starch mixture was maintained at around 120°F during the infiltration by the vacuum release method.

7. Beef

From the first phase infiltration work with freeze-dried beef, it was found that satisfactory impregnation of low viscosity emulsions was possible by the vacuum release method. But, again, as in the case with chicken, the use of an aqueous phase resulted in partial hydration of the beef and consequently, the aqueous phase was eliminated in the maka-up of the penetrating material. And, again, infiltrating with a 1:1 CCC-Purity 270 starch mixture was found to be the best solution for the same reasons discussed earlier. Using the six cycle, vacuum release method, complete infiltration of the beef was readily attained.

* .¥##

The beef used for the infiltration studies was purchased fresh from a local supplier. Since the infiltrated product had to be Acceptable for direct consumption, the meat was first cooked to 145°F. center temperature before drying in the freeze dryer.

8. Freeze Dried Fish or Shrimp

Shrimp was the item selected for infiltration studies because of its ease of penetration. The bast method for penetration into these samples was the six cycle vacuum-release method. As with beef and chicken samples, there was partial hydration of shrimp samples using aqueous-lipid emulsions, and once again the best filler material was found to be the 1:1 CCC-Purity 270 starch combination.

For preparing the infiltrated storage samples, medium-jumbo, freeze-dried cooked shrimp was purchased from the Kraft Foods Company of Chicago, Illinois.

9. Peas or Corn

Vacuum-release penetration trials were conducted with both freeze-dried peas and freeze-dried coor, using a 1:1 ratio of a white sauce and CCC as the penetrating emulsion. The white sauce was made from the following ingredients: milk-79.6%, oleomargarine-13.2%, and flour-7.2%. In both cases there was thorough penetration of the lipid phase, but only insignificant white sauce penetration. Further trials with this filler combination were discontinued, however, when it was discovered that the white sauce spoiled quickly.

In subsequent work it was found that both corn and peas could be easily infiltrated with the 1:1 CCC-Purity 270 filler used for infiltrating other foods. Although both infiltrated foods were considered bland, they both were still considered palatable when eaten directly. Upon rehydration, the lipid-starch combination formed a sauce with the water that complemented the products. The consensus of an informal taste panel indicated that infiltrated peas were more acceptable when eaten directly (dry) than the infiltrated corn; as a result, peas were selected for the storage studies.

Commercially available frozen peas were used for preparing the infiltrated samples. The peas were first cooked and then refrozen and fre _ dried prior to infiltration by the vacuum release method.

10. Asparagus or Green Beans

Infiltrated freeze-dried beans have proved to be rather tough and less acceptable, and for this reason, asparagus was selected for infiltration.

It was found that complete infiltration of the freeze-dried asparagus could be achieved with the six-cycle vacuum release method. An initial attempt was made to incorporate powdered sour cream (Beatreme 1038, Beatrice Foods Company, Chicago, Illinois) into the CCC-Purity 270 starch mixture. Satisfactory infiltration results were obtained with this formula, however, the hydrated product was quite chewy with an unacceptable flavor.

Asparagus was next infiltrated with the 1:1 CCC-Purity 270 starch mixture. This product was not quite as chewy and was more palatable when eaten, directly and rehydrated. As a result, asparagus samples were infiltrated with the CCC-Purity 270 starch mixture and placed into storage.

Samples used for the infiltration studies were prepared from fresh asparagus that was sliced, blanched, frozen and then freeze-dried on the pilot freeze-dryer. The infiltrated product is illustrated in Fig. 5.

11. Freeze-Dried Strawberries or Pineapple

It was found in the attempts to infiltrate freeze-dried pineapple that the filler materials penetrated only the cavities open to the exterior rather than through the cell walls. Besides the inconsistent penetration results, the extreme brittleness and hygroscopicity of the dried pineapple made handling difficult and less suitable for penetration purpose.

Fraeze-dried strawberries were found to be easily infiltrated using the six cycle vacuum release method, but there were some difficulties experienced with the type of fillers employed. Whenever an aqueous-lipid emulsion was employed as a filler material the strawberries shriveled badly.

If pure lipid fillers such as CCC or Myverol 18-00 were used there was little shrinkage, but the accompanying waxy mouth feel masked any strawberry taste, and this product was rated very poor. Less shrinkage of the berries was experienced with a filler combination of Liquicane, CCC and Purity 270 starch, but the impregnated product was difficult to hydrate.

It appeared then that the best solution for infiltrating strawberriec was to accept the 15 - 20% shrinkage that occurred when using plain Liquicane as a penetrant and coat the pieces with chocolate. The final infiltrated product resembled a chocolate coated candy product and rated very acceptable.

Complete infiltration of the Liquicane was achieved with the six cycle vacuum release technique. Before coating the infiltrated pieces, the excess sugar was drained off. The coating formula was the 3:1 chocolate-Myverol mixture used in coating puffed rice. A sample of the final product is illustrated in Fig. 6.

12. Freeze-Dried Apples or Peaches

It was found that both apples and peaches could be easily infiltrated by the vacuum release method, but that both samples exhibited a tendency to shrivel during the infiltration procedure. This tendency for shriveling was more marked with the peach slices. When using aqueous-lipid filler emulsions there was no difficulty in filling the voids with lipids, but the aqueous phase penetration of the peach slices was unsatisfactory regardless of emulsion viscosity. Because the results with apples appeared to be more promising, no further work was attempted with peaches. For this item, frozen diced apples were purchased and freeze-dried in the FMC pilot dryer.

The best apple dice penetration was achieved with low viscosity emulsions but was also accompanied by the greatest shrinkage. When high viscosity emulsions were employed the shrinkage of the product was reduced, but by the same token, the aqueous phase penetration was significantly reduced. Successful butter frosting-CCC shortening penetration of the apple dices were achieved, but the rehydrated product proved to be both sweet and greasy and not very appetizing.

A 1:1 ratio of CCC and confectioners sugar was tried and found to produce an infiltrated apple product that was acceptable both dry and rehydrated. 0.25% Lecithin was added to this mixture to aid in stabilization during the vacuum infiltration procedure. Further flavor enhancement was achieved when a light flavored coating was applied to the infiltrated pieces. The formula for the coating consisted of a 2:1 ratio of Purity 270 starch and granulated sugar that also contained 8.3% cinnamon and 2.1% nutmeg. When eaten directly, this product resembled a candied fruit, and when rehydrated, the finished item resembled and tasted like a spiced apple sauce dish.

13. Cottage Cheese or Scrambled Eggs

Initial infiltration work was done with freeze-dried scrambled eggs which were prepared in the pilot plant facilities according to U. S. Army Specifications LP/P Des. C-203-63 (1 Feb. 1963). The scrambled eggs were frozen in solid one half inch thick layers and freeze-dried, but upon removal from the dryer the layers crumbled into smaller particles which made subsequent handling difficult. Attempts were made to compress the dried eggs into bars which would then be infiltrated. The efforts to compress dried acrambled eggs, however, met with little success because of the 'high lipid content (22%) and granular structure. Pressures up to 10,000 psi were applied, but a good cohesive bar was not obtained. This compression treatment also succeeded in separating the egg lipids, and the compressed egg bar emerged from the die coated with fat that had migrated to the surface. Since these bars were in a sense partially infiltrated with a lipid, and further infiltration efforts met with little or no success, work was then directed toward producing infiltrated cottage cheese.

Large and small curd cottage cheese was purchased and freeze dried. The resulting dried product was of high quality, but of fragile structure. and as such, did not lend itself to any infiltration procedures. Compression was again tried and it was found that a suitable cohesive bar contrible obtained by placing a 10 gram sample in the 1 x 2 inch die under 2500 pei for 30 seconds. This bar was readily infiltrated with melted CCC using the vacuum release procedure, but taste tests revealed that further work was necessary to make the bars more acceptable.

The initial taste tests also revealed that bars made from small curd or cream style cottage cheese were more preferable than those made from large curd cottage cheese and as a result, small curd cottage cheese was used in further expariments.

Two approaches were followed in the development of an acceptable tasting infiltrated and compressed cheese bar. The addition of 20% powdered sugar to the cottage cheese resulted in a more flavorful bar and the addition

ALL STREET

of an oil soluble pineapple flavoring to the CCC masked the greasy taste of the fat. Pineapple flavoring No. 17828 (Fritzsche Brothers New York, New York) added to the melted CCC at the rate of 0.8 ml per 1000 ml was judged to be the best formula used. This bar met the celoric requirements as well as being rated organoleptically accepted, and was tested only in dry form.

14. Dehydrated Beef Stew or Chicken with Rice.

The decision to produce infiltrated beef stew or chicken and rice was not made until the results of the taste panel evaluations with the stored chicken and beef were completed. The test results showed that both impregnated samples were stable and were equally acceptable when hydrated, but the panel expressed a significantly greater preference for beef in the dehydrated form. Because of the greater preference for beef, the decision was made to proceed with formulation of an infiltrated beef stew product.

Beef, potatoes, onions and peas were selected as the ingredients for infiltration. For the beef and pea components, the previously described infiltration procedures and filler formulae were used. Dehydrated onion flakes (Schilling Brand, McCormick Company, Baltimore, Maryland) were readily infiltrated with melted CCC using the six cycle vacuum release method, but attempts to infiltrate dehydrated potato flakes (Idahoan Brand, Idaho Fresh-Pak Potatoes, Lewisville, Idaho) met with little success. The relatively impervious surface and non porous structure of the dehydrated potatoes did not lend itself to any infiltration procedures. The potato pieces were more lipid coated than lipid infiltrated, and furthermore. the treated product did not meet the caloric requirements.

Since it was not possible to obtain a satisfactory impregnated potato, dried pre-cooked rice was used as a substitute. Minute Rice (General Foods, White Plains, New York), being of a porous structure, was readily infiltrated by the vacuum release method with melted CCC.

Upon completion of successful infiltration of the stew components various component mixtures were prepared and rehydrated to determine the most acceptable combination. On a dry weight basis of the infiltrated foods the following formula was found to be the most ideal; Rice-50%, Beef-30%, Peas-19%, Onions-1%. To promote better particle distribution and to reduce rehydration time, the beef used in the product combination was out into 1/4 inch cubes prior to infiltration.

When this infiltrated combination was rehydrated and a gravy sauce was formed from the starch and lipid, the product resembled some of the prepared dinner mixes that are commercially available and accepted. Both the dry and rehydrated beef stew is illustrated in Fig. 7.

III. EVALUATION OF INFILTRATED FOODS

It was specified in the contract that upon achieving successful infiltration with each food, a series of special tests were to be performed. These tests can best be discussed under the following two headings: (A) Chemical and Physical Analysis of Infiltrated Foods and (B) Storage Stability Studies of Infiltrated Foods.

A. Chemical and Physical Analysis of Infiltrated Foods

Under this heading the following analyses were performed on all the infiltrated foods: (1) Chemical Analysis for Moisture, Protein, Fat, Ash; (2) Density; (3) Caloric Value; and (4) Equilibrium Moisture Value.

1. Moisture, Protein, Fat and Ash Content

The results of these four analyses are summarized on Table 1 and were run on freshly prepared samples. The following analytical procedures were used in the determinations:

Moisture Content:⁽¹⁾ Moisture content was determined by drying in a vacuum oven under a minimum vacuum of 28 inches of mercury for sixteen hours. To promote moisture removal, all samples were pulverized before drying. All determinations were run in triplicate.

Moisture contents are expressed on the dry basis.

Protein:⁽¹⁾ The Kjeldahl method for determining nitrogen was used in calculation of the protein content. Samples of infiltrated food were first dried and extracted with petroleum ether and then digested with sulfuric acid. Sodium sulfite and copper sulfate were used as catalysts in the digestion. The distilled ammonia was collected in boric acid and titrated with 0.1 N HCl, using methyl red indicator. Protein was then calculated from the following formula:

Fat:⁽²⁾ Petroleum ether was used for determining the fat content of the infiltrated foods. Test samples were ground, weighed into tared Soxhlet thimbles and dried for two hours at 90°C. in air before extraction for six hours. Lipid values are expressed as percent of petroleum ether extract.

Ash:⁽¹⁾ The ash content of all the infiltrated foods was determined by ashing to constant weight in a muffle oven at 600°C. In most cases ashing was completed in less than three hours.

2. Density

Density calculations of the impregnated foods were calculated an apparent and true densities. The apparent density figures are based on the observed weight gain per cubic centimeter of the infiltrated product as compared to the original food. Samples were weighed to the nearest milligram on a Mettler Analytical Balance. Volume measurements were made with the aid of the ruler and are less accurate. To compensate for any high percent of error, apparent density measurements were made on ten randomly selected samples. Apparent density data are summarized on Table 2.

True densities were measured with the aid of a Beckman Model 420 Air Pycnometer. With the exception of shrimp, the volumes of weighed samples were measured using the one to two atmosphere operation. Shrimp exhibited high surface absorption activity that affected the accuracy of readings, and consequently, a one to two atmosphere helium purge was used. True densities of all the infiltrated foods are listed in Table 3.

Knowing the true and apparent density values, it is then possible to calculate porosity with the following formula:

true density - apparent density = Porosity true density

By calculating porosity of both infiltrated and uninfiltrated foods, it is then possible to determine the fraction of voids filled by the following method:

Porosity of original food - porosity of impregnated food = Fraction of voids filled.

Table 4 summarizes porosity values of the infiltrated and uninfiltrated foods and the fraction of voids filled.

3. Caloric Value

Caloric values of the infiltrated foods were calculated in terms of both weight and volume. Standard reference guides were used in determining these values⁽³⁾. In all cases the infiltrated foods yielded at least 4.4 Kilogram-calories per gram, but there were a few foods that 1'd not approach the 4.4 Kilogram-calories per cubic centimeter.

Table 5 summarizes the caloric value of each uninfiltrated food, the caloric value of the respective filler and the resulting caloric density calculated on the basis of weight change.

The true density and porosity values calculated sarlier were employed to determine the percent of food, filler and void, respectively, the make up one cubic centimeter of the infiltrated product. Knowing the percentages of the volume occupied by each, the Kilogram-calories per cubic centimeter of the infiltrated product was calculated from the following formula:

Kilogram-calories/gm x gm/lcc = Kgucal/cc

1-15

Results of these calculations are summarized in Table 6.

Several foods failed to achieve the specified caloric density on a volume basis. In these cases there are more unfilled voids than filled voids. With rice, peas and strawberries, low initial caloric density with relative high porosity makes it difficult to achieve the high final caloric density. For two of these items, strawberries and rice, it was necessary to add a coating for supplementary calories. Possible increases in caloric value may be achieved by the application of more coatings; hewever, this avenue of approach was not followed since a heavily coated product would be more associated with a manufactured food rather than an infiltrated food. Based on practical limits of the infiltrating method, it does not appear that the specified caloric density on a volume basis will be achieved with puffed rice, macaroni, peas, strawberries and apples.

4. Equilibrium Moisture Values

Included in the chemical and physical evaluations was the determination of equilibrium relative humidity moisture contents for each infiltrated food at the three relative humidities. The method used for determining equilibrium moisture values was based on a technique first proposed by Wink (3), and modified by Levine and Fagerson (4). The apparatus used was designed by Lampi (5) as a further modification of the preceeding methods and is illustrated in Figure 8.

A pint canning jar with a standard two piece metal lid (Ball Brothers) is used as a humidity chamber. The infiltrated food sample is placed on a plantic petri dish that is suspended over a saturated salt solution used for humidity regulation.

The three saturated salt solutions used for regulating humidity within the jars were as follows:

Salt	\$ R.H. at 20°C.
Lithium Chloride	11.1
Potassium Avetate	23.0
Potassium Carbonate	43.7

The outer upper end of the suspension wire is looped so that it (lens on to the pan hook of a Mettler Balance. With this set-up, frequent weighings can be made to follow the course of equilibration without disturbing the inner contents of the jar.

The equilibrium moisture values for each infiltrated food at the three relative humidities along with the approximate equilibration time are summarized on Table 7.

. Storage Stability Studies of Infiltrated Foods

The evaluation of storage stability of the infiltrated foods involved three phases of analyses: chemical, microbiological and organoleptic studies.

The storage tests were designed to evaluate the effects of four months storage on the chemical, microbiological and organoleptic stability of the infiltrated products stored under the following three temperatures:

> 20°C.
> 38°C.
> Cycling between -13° and +20°C. (For this cycling program, the following schedule was followed: 24 hours at 20°C, 24 hours at 18°C, 60 hours at +20°, 60 hours at -18°C.)

A secondary objective of the storage test was the determination of minimum storage precautions to be taken to avoid deterioration under the temperatures listed above.

To accomplish both objectives of the storage stability studies, three sets of each infiltrated food sample were prepared. One set of samples was stored in atmospheric oxygen (as is), a second set sealed under N₂ and a third set prepared with the addition of an anti-oxidant and stored in atmospheric oxygen. 0.077% of Tenox 6 (Eastman Chemical Company, Kingsport, Tennessee) based on the lipid filler weight was the anti-oxidant used in the third set of samples.

All samples prepared for the above storage conditions were sealed in standard 303 cans, and the cans were maintained at the three temperatures. Some of the larger infiltrated samples (beef, shrimp, chicken, cottage cheese and cake) were first loosely wrapped in aluminum foil to maintain better sample identity and to facilitate packing into the 303 cans.

1. Chemical Tests for Storage Stability

The chemical tests used to determine storage stability of the infiltrated foods were primarily tests to measure lipid deterioration. Since all the products were of high fat content, it was thought that the major source of off flavors and odors would be the result of lipid reactions. Chemical evidence of any lipid deterioration was determined by free fatty acid and peroxide value analyses. The procedures for these analyses were as follows:

Free Fatty Acids⁽²⁾

A one-gram sample obtained from the lipid analysis was first heated to get it into liquid form. Next, fifty milliliters of neutralized alcohol was added to the sample and brought to boiling. Two milliliters of phenolphein indicator were added, and the samples were then titrated with .01 N sodium hydroxide to a persistent pink color. Free fatty acids were then calculated and expressed as percent cleic acid using the foll ing formula:

> % Oleic _ ml of alkali x N x 28.2 weight of sample

It is worth noting that in determining both the free fatty acid content and the peroxide values, some difficulty arose in determining the end point in samples where the fat had a color to it. An example of the would be macaroni and cheese in which the fat was orange in color.

~ MA

Peroxide Values⁽²⁾

A one-gram sample obtained from the lipid analysis was dissolved in a 2:3 acetic acid-chloroform solution. One milliliter of saturated potassium iodide solution was added to the samples, after which they were placed in the dark for ten minutes. Next, fifty milliliters of .1 N hydrochloric acid was added and the samples titrated with .01 sodium thiosulfate to a water-white end point using starch as an indicator. Peroxide values as milliequivalents of peroxide per thousand grams of sample were calculated using the following formula:

> Peroxide value = ml of Na₂S₂O₃ × N × 1000 weight of sample

The results of the free fatty acid and peroxide value determinations are summarized in Tables 3 and 9.

Except for the three foods listed, the peroxide value of most infiltrated foods were found to be less than 0.1. The results of the free fatty acid analyses on the other hand, showed varying degrees of increases for all foods. Tests were also run on the filler materials stored under the same conditions as the infiltrated samples, and in all cases there were no observed significant changes in free fatty acid and peroxide values.

Considerable variations within samples were experienced in the lipid deterioration analyses, but generally the increases were relatively small. Samples stored under 38° C showed more change than at the other temperature and less deterioration was experienced with N₂ packing with freeze-dried fcods. Mixed results were observed with the Tenox added samples. In the final analysis there was no observed off-taste due to lipid deterioration with any of the stored products; consequently, the values recorded must be within the limits of acceptability.

2. Microbiological Studies

Upon completion of the four month storage test, all infiltrated foods were analyzed to determine microbiological stability. The determination for evidence of microbial deterioration consisted of a careful visual examination for any macroscopic evidence of any microbial growth followed by cultivation and plate counting of infiltrated samples.

No mold growth or other macroscopic evidence of contamination or l'Arioration was observed with any of the stored samples, but the results of plate counts revealed both slight increases and decreases in microbial population. It must be mentioned here that in the course of preparing infiltrated food samples, no special procedures other than good hygenic food practices were followed.

Because of the high lipid content of the infiltrated foods and the high melting point of the lipids used, some difficulty was first experienced in making satisfactory water dilutions for plating purposes. The lipid fraction did not disperse or stay dispersed in room temperature suspensions and clogged pipettes during the plate innoculations. This difficulty was overcome when it was found that by heating the suspension solutions and

pipettes to 103-105°F the food and lipid were better dispersed, which allowed for more uniform innoculation.

Samples used for microbiological examinations were randomly selected from the respective storage containers and each sample was plated at two dilution levels.

From the sample thus prepared, total count determinations were made with Difco Plate Count following the procedures of the Standard Methods for the Examination of Dairy Products $\binom{6}{}$ and the Recommended Methods for the Microbiological Examination of Foods $\binom{7}{}$. All innoculated plates were incubated at 30-35°C for 72 hours and then examined. Results of the plate count analyses together with the gram stains of the typical colonies observed are summarized in Tables 10 and 11. The increase in microbial population is one of the principle criteria of assessing bacterial deterioration in food. Because little or no increase in bacterial population was observed in the stored infiltrated samples, the indications are that little or no deterioration occurs as a result of microbiological activity. This conclusion is further substantiated by the analysis of the bacterial types encountered in the control and storage samples. The control samples most often revealed bacterial types commonly associated with handling contamination while the more resistant types of bacteria were observed in the storage samples. This evidence indicated that the infiltrated products did not support microbial growth and that good microbiological stability was achieved with all the infiltrated foods.

3. Organoleptic Evaluation

The third series of storage stability evaluations was the determination of organoleptic stability of the fourteen infiltrated foods. Upon completion of the four months storage tests, all samples were evaluated for organoleptic stability by comparison with the freshly prepared counterpart. The taste panel tests were designed to evaluate organoleptic stability at the three storage levels (packing environment) and temperatures (treatments) and determine the minimum precautions to be taken to avoid orgaloleptic deterioration of the infiltrated foods.

Storage samples were presented in two randomly selected groups, four or five samples in the morning and the balance in the afternoon of a given day. Each group of samples was compared with freshly prepared samples. Using the ballot shown in Figure 9, panel members were asked a denote their preference and difference between the control and storage samples. For calculation purposes, a rating of 1, 2, and 3 was assigned to the preference levels and a score from 1 through 5 for the difference levels.

For those samples requiring testing in the rehydrated form, 160°F water was added to the infiltrated product and allowed to simmer over low heat for 30 minutes. Before serving the warm rehydrated products to the panel, any excess gravy that was formed was drained off.

With the exception of the first product, pound cake, the taste panel consisted of 8 members who tasted all of the infiltrated products.

Some difficulties were encountered in achieving complete rehydration of the larger intact pieces of infiltrated foods, but this problem was easily overcome by reducing the size of the pieces before immersing in the 160°F water.

1-19

With three of the infiltrated foods, namely beef, shrimp and chicken, all of the dried infiltrated samples were not presented to the panel. Previous experiences and preliminary taste tests revealed a high degree of reluctance and resistance to tasting all the nine storage samples for each of these foods. In order not to antagonize panel members and still give some measure of acceptability of the three dry infiltrated foods, only two samples of each food in dry form were tested. These two samples selected represented the highest and lowest acceptability rating in the rehydrated form.

The taske panel results showed that the storage samples were generally considered different from the controls, but the differences did not affect the preferences. Because of varying panel responses to each food and storage condition, the taste panel results of each food will be discussed under its own heading. The taste panel data are summarized on Tables 12 through 44. The conclusions drawn from the data are based on the t-test and two way analysis of variance.⁽⁸⁾ Based on practical experience, a true value of 2 was assumed for preference and 0 for difference calculations. Conclusions were tested for significance at the 95% confidence level.

a. Pound Cake

Low average scores were recorded for samples stored at 38° and packed in N₂ and O₂. Of the two methods of packing, samples packed in O₂ were significantly unacceptable. Samples prepared with Tenox showed the best overall acceptability and would appear to qualify as the minimum precautionary procedure to avoid organoleptic deterioration.

b. Pancake

It was mentioned earlier that two separate filler formulas were used to infiltrate pancakes. The first infiltrated pancake samples became increasingly harder in texture during the four month storage test and were rejected by the panel members. It must be admitted that all the infiltrated pancakes are fairly firm because this firmness is necessary for the positive pressure infiltration method, but the change in texture was not anticipated. The primary objective of the second attempt was to reduce the storage-induced hardness with another tested formula.

Time limitations precluded complete tests with this second infiltrated sample. The filler formula for the pound cake (2:2:1 ratio of peanut butter, red currant jelly and Myverol) proved to be equally successful in infiltrating the pancake, and with essentially the same coric value for the infiltrated product.

Besides a different filler formula, the second set of infiltrated pancakes were of higher initial moisture. It was thought that the hardness could be reduced with the higher initial moisture. The second set of samples was only stored two months and tested. Since the Tenoxtreated samples did not produce any beneficial effect in the first tests, only two treatment levels were tested, atomospheric O_2 packing and packing under a N_2 atomsphere. The results from the tests with both samples are included in the appendix.

It can be concluded that pancakes can be successfully infiltrated to the specified caloric density. However, a major formula revision of the pancakes is required to achieve good textural stability in the infiltrated product:

c. Toast

For infiltrated toast, cycling storage temperatures resulted in best acceptability rating for all levels of packing. Although the Tenox-treated samples scored highest with the cycling storage treatment, low acceptance ratings were noted at the other storage temperatures. Near equal overall acceptance is noted with the O_2 and N_2 packed samples. Since N_2 packing represents an added step in the packaging procedure, the minimum packing requirement for organoleptic stability appears to be plain packaging of infiltrated toast in atmospheric O_2 .

d. Puffed Rice

The best overall ratings for stored samples of puffed rice were for those samples packed in atmospheric oxygen. Nitroger packing of the infiltrated rice sample resulted in complete rejection of the samples stored under cycling temperatures. The reason for the total rejection of this sample is unknown. Of the samples stored with Tenox, the 20°C storage samples were disliked by panel members.

Based on overall storage results, the best method of packaging infiltrated rice appears to be by packaging in atmospheric oxygen.

e. Macaroni

Of the nine storage treatments for infiltrated macaroni two samples were significantly disliked according the the t-test; nitrogen packing under cycling storage and the atmospheric 02 pack stored at 20°C. The observed deviations for the above responses are not attributable to environment or temperature and cannot be explained in any practical manner. The highest overall ratings for the infiltrated macaroni was achieved by the addition of Tenox and appears to be the minimum method of packaging for best quality retention of infiltrated macaroni.

f. Chicken

The analysis of the panel results with rehydrated chicken reveals that samples stored under N2 were rated equal to or better than the control samples. Samples stored under atmospheric oxygen also received acceptable ratings, but all the Tenox treated samples were rejected. Greater differences were also noted for the Tenox treated chicken, and it is possible that the level of Tenox used for this product may have affected the results. For infiltrated chicken the minimum method of storage appears to be packing in atmospheric oxygen, but the highest overall acceptability ratings were achieved with the samples packed under a N2 atomsphere.

As mentioned earlier, panel testing of the dry infiltrated chicken was reduced to testing two samples with the control. The samples which had the highest and lowest ratings in the rehydrated tests were selected for testing in dry form. The 20°C stored in N₂ was rated highest, and the lowest rated product was the 38°C storage sample containing Tenox.

The numerical results of this test showed that the N_2 packed sample was slightly more preferable and more differences were noted with the Tencx added sample, both reflecting somewhat the rehydrated test results. Statistically speaking however, the panel could not distinguish any differences between the sample extremes in preference or difference within the 95% confidence limits.

Based on the overall results of the panel tests, storage at the infiltrated chicken in a N_2 atmosphere qualifies as the best method for maintaining organoleptic stability.

g. Beef

The best overall preference of the stored infiltrated beef samples was recorded with samples stored at 20°C, and lower overall acceptance was observed with samples stored under cycling conditions. A wide range of differences was noted with all the samples, but these differences did not appear to affect the preferences.

Although the panel members expressed a unanimous acceptance of the 20° C storage sample under N₂, the opinion was divided with the other N₂ packed samples at the other two storage temperatures.

With the Tenox added samples the 20°C and 38°C storage samples were rated acceptable. Cycling storage conditions resulted in the greatest variations in tasts differences.

The 38°C-O₂ samples and the cycled-Tenox samples were compared with the control to test the acceptance of infiltrated beef in dry form. Results of this test showed that samples rated highest in rehydrated form, 38°-O2 was again preferred over the cycled Tenox sample; lowest rated when tested in rehydrated form.

Based on the overall acceptance at the three storage temperatures, atmospheric O_2 storage samples resulted in the least quality deterioration and appears to be the recommended method of packing for best quality retention.

h. Shrimp

12.446

When taste tested in rehydrated form, all infiltrated shri imples stored under atmospheric O2 received the lowest ratings, and highest overall ratings were noted with samples stored under a N2 atmosphere. Samples stored under cycling temperatures appear to be more acceptable than at the other temperatures. The Tenox-added samples were rated somewhat better than the plain samples stored in atmospheric O2, but the beneficial effects were not noted at all storage temperatures.

On the basis of the highest overall ratings, it appears that infiltrated samples of shrimp must be maintained under a N_2 atmosphere for best quality retention.

Panel testing of the dry infiltrated shrimp, as in the case with chicken. and beef, was limited to two storage samples. The highest rated $(20^{\circ}-N_{2})$

I-22

and lowest rated (20°-Atmospheric O₂) of the rehydrated samples were presented with a freshly prepared control. In this test the panel results showed that more differences were noted in the $20^{\circ}-N_2$ sample with slightly lower preference. The statistical results, however, show that both samples were rated fairly close to the control and the indications are that when this product is eaten in dry form, panel members cannot distinguish too well between samples.

i. Peas

All storage samples of infiltrated peas were taste paneled in dry and rehydrated form. As can be expected, the panel members expressed a greater acceptance for the rehydrated product, and all samples were judged acceptable and very nearly equal to the control. In dry form however, two of the samples were less acceptable. Lower overall preference scores were noted with the $20^{\circ}+0_2$ and $38^{\circ}+\text{Tenox}$ samples. The addition of Tenox to the infiltrated samples resulted in slightly better quality retention. The best quality retention in both dry and rehydrated form and at the three storage temperatures was achieved by storing the infiltrated peas under N₂. On the basis of these results, storage of infiltrated peas under N₂ appears to be the minimum method for preventing quality deterioration.

j. Asparagus

Panel tests with infiltrated asparagus revealed that the product, when rehydrated, was judged to be inferior to the fresh control. In dry form, however, most samples were judged acceptable. A major contributing factor for the rejection of the hydrated samples was the lack of uniform rehydration. Loss of rehydratability is associated with storage deterioration in many freeze-dried foods, and may be the case with freeze-dried asparagus. Further loss of rehydratability can then be expected when asparagus fibers are coated and infiltrated with a lipid.

Based on the overall results, indications are that limited acceptability can be expected with infiltrated asparagus.

k. Strawberries

With one exception, panel members rated storage samples very nearly equal to the controls. Significant rejection was noted for samples stored under N_2 at 38°C. For some reasons unknown, the flavor of these samples was not acceptable. All Tenox-added samples were rated alike and acceptable, but less preferred than those samples stored under atmospheric oxygen.

Aside from several comments regarding the waxy taste of the chocolate, the infiltrated chocolate samples were considered highly acceptable as a confectionery item. For this product, best overall organoleptic stability can be achieved by packaging in atmospheric oxygen.

1. Apples

The tests with dry and cooked infiltrated apples showed that the dry storage samples were rated equal to the control. When infiltrated apples were presented in rehydrated form there was considerable variation in response. This variation in response is not consistent and indicates that both storage temperature and environment have a significant effect on quality retention. It does not appear then, that there is a packaging procedure which will minimize quality deterioration at the three storage temperatures for this infiltrated product. Indications are that major reformulations are required to produce a stable infiltrated apple product.

m. Cottage Cheese

The results of the panel testing with compressed and infiltrated cottage choose reveal that very little organoleptic deterioration took place during storage. On the basis of storage temperature, higher overall acceptance was achieved at 20°C and on the basis of environment, samples with Tenox were considered equal to or better than the control.

Minimum acceptable stability of infiltrated cottage cheese samples can be achieved under atmospheric O_2 packaging, but greater acceptability will be accomplished by the addition of Tenox.

Cottage Cheese in compressed and infiltrated form was not recognized on sight as a familiar food, but on the whole most panel members found this infiltrated product to be very acceptable.

n. Beaf Stew

With the exception of the samples mentioned at 30°C, practically all panel members rated storage samples as being very comparable to the control. The 38°C storage samples were judged to be slightly inferior, indicating that storage temperature had an effect on the quality. Of the samples stored at 38°C, equal perference was shown for the samples packed under N₂ and treated with Tenox, and both were rated higher than the samples stored under atmospheric O_2 .

The addition of Tenox appears to offer minimum quality stability. However, on the overall analysis, storage under a nitrogen atmosphere results in the highe. acceptability rating.

Contraction of the local division of the loc

IV. SUMMARY

The objective of this study was the investigation of methods for infiltrating porous freeze-dried foods with high caloric fillers to achieve e.total deleric density of 4.4 Kilogram-calories per gram and 4.4 Kilogram-calories per subic centimeter. The First phase of the study was concerned with the development of filler formulas and penetration methods with various foods. The second phase of work dealt with the evaluation and storage stability studies of fourteen infiltrated foods.

The overall results of the studies indicate that porous dried foods can be infiltrated to higher caloric densities with reasonable initial acceptability. The results can be summarized as to their bearing on the following:

1. Penetration Procedures.

Three methods for infiltrating foods were established, vacuum release, positive pressure and mechanical injection. The vacuum release method is best suited for infiltrating freeze-dried foods with low viscosity fillers. Positive pressure infiltration can only be applied to firm food products or products made firm, and the best infiltration results are obtained with high viscosity filler combinations. Mechanical injection is the only method of filling the voids of one item (elbow macaroni) and is the only method where a powdered filler material was used. The methods of infiltrating each of the respective foods can be adapted to commercial operations yielding 500 Kilograms per hour.

2. Foods for Infiltration

Out of the 24 possible foods, the required number (14) were infiltrated with high calorie fillers with good or moderate results. Eleven foods met the requirements as to organoleptic acceptability in the dried end/or rehydrated form. For two foods, successful infiltration was possible, but equal acceptability in both the dried and rehydrated forms was not obtained. With one item (pancake), the basic porous food itself lacked stability.

3. Filler Formulas

Filler materials used for the infiltration materials can be formulated from commercially svailable and F.D.A. approved ingredients. By the proper combination of these products, stable and organoleptically acceptable filler combinations can be formulated for each food.

4. Caloric Values of Inilitrated Foods

All the foods studied were infiltrated to yield 4.4 Kilogram-calories per gram but not all could be infiltrated to yield 4.4 Kilogram-calories per cubic centimeter. With those foods that failed the caloric requirements on a volume basis, there were more unfilled voids than filled voids.

I-25

5. Stability of Infiltrated Foods

All infiltrated foods exhibited good storage stability on the basis of chemical and bacteriological analyses.

Taste tests comparing freshly prepared samples with samples stored for four months revealed that organoleptic stability was achieved for most foods with some minor exceptions. In these instances, the indications are that good storage stability can be achieved by minor revisions in the filler combination and in one case by a change in the formulation of the food to be infiltrated.

1-26

THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPE

V. LITERATURE CITED

~ •

- 1. Official Methods of Analysis. Ninth Edition, 1980, A.O.A.C.
- 2. Official Methods of Analysis. American Oil Chemists Society, Revised 1963.
- 3. Wink, W. A., Determining the Moisture Equilibrium Curves of Hygroscopic Materials. Industrial Engineering Chemistry Analytical Ed., 1946, 18 251-252.
- 4. Levine, A. S., and Fagerson, I. S., <u>A Simplified Moisture Equilibrium</u> <u>Apparetus</u>, TAPPI, 1954, 37,299.
- Lampi, R. A., "Studies on the Effect of Compression on the Rate of Attainment and Final Equilibrium - Relative Humidity Relationships of Dehydrated Foods", Final Report - Contract DA-19-129-AMC-11(X), 1963.
- 6. Standard Methods for The Examination of Dairy Products. 11th Ed., American Public Health Association, 1960.
- 7. Recommended Methods for The Microbiological Examination of Foods. American Public Health Association, 1958.
- 8. Brownlee, K. A., Statistical Theory and Methodology in Science and Engineering. Wiley, New York, New York, 1950.

I-27

TABLE 1

.

-

_... ·

÷ .

FOOD	MOISTURE (1)	PROTEIN (2)	¥FAT (3)	¥ASH (4)
Pound Cake	1.87	2.61	46.84	0.16
Pancake-1st Test Rerun-2nd Test	6.38 12.27	6.97 13.27	28.61 28.63	3.49 2.87
Zwieback Toast	15,20	10,89	22.65	1.30
Puffed Rice	7.03	3,95	23.59	0.79
Macaroni	7.81	11.81	23.07	1.06
Freeze-Dried Chicken	2.37	24.61	60,78	1.44
Freeze-Dried Beef	1.08	34.75	53,16	1,26
Freeze-Dried Shrimp	3.44	26,69	50,72	1.11
Freeze-Dried Peas	1.39	3.31	63,80	0~96
Freeze Dried Asparagus	2.46	1.86	64.83	0.54
Strawberries	11.25	2.45	12.87	0.91
Apples	2,03	0.41	40.10	0.22
Cottage Cheese	1.60	36.81	35.47	2.95
Stew	3.89	13.84	43,65	0.66

ORIGINAL FOOD ANALYSIS PER 100 GM IMPREGNATED SAMPLE

AOAC, 20.008, p. 264
AOAC, 2.033 Total Nitrogen Official, p.12
AOAC, 22.032, p.287
ACAC, 22.010 Ash Official, p.284

I-28

18- 1 -

TABLE 2

-

De	himpregnated ensity (gm/cc)	Impregnated Density (gm/cc)	Average Weight Gein (gm/cc)
Pound Cake	0.37	0 .88	0,51
Pancake (1st Test Only)	0.48	0.92	0.44
Zwieback Toast	0.22	0.93	0.71
Puffed Rice	0.01	0.43	0.42
Macaroni (Dry)	0.29	0.47	0.18
Chicken*	0.39	0.89	0,50
Beef*	0.44	0.59	0,55
Shrimp*	0,31	1.15	0.84
Peas*	0.05	0.16	0.11
Asparagus*	0.06	0.58	0.52
Strawberries*	0.08	0.95	0.87
Apples*	0.14	0 ,8 6	0.56
Cottage Cheese* (Compressed Bar)	1,20	1.45	0,25
Beef Stew (Calculated on Basis of Following Ingredi- ents by Weight.)	0.50	0.79	0.29
Rice (50%) Beef* (30%) Peas* (19%)	0.72 See Above See Above	0.97	0.25
Onions (1%)	(Not Calculated - onion pieces highly irregular in shapes and not possible to calculate.)		

APPARENT DENSITIES OF INFILTRATED FOODS

*Freeze Dried

I-29

A CARLES AND A C

TABLE 3

Food	Unimpregnated	Impregnated	Average Change
	(1)	(2)	(2 - 1)
Pound Cake	1.41	1.22	-0.19
Pancake (1st Test On	ly) 1.45	1.27	-0,18
Zwieback Toast	1.38	1.26	-0 .08
Puffed Rice	0.37	1.20	0.83
Macaroni (Dry)	1.37	1.23	-0.14
Chicken*	1.32	1.09	-0.23
Beef*	1.39	1.15	-0.24
Shrimp*	1.95	1,25	-0.70
Peast	1.26	1.46	0.20
Asparagus#	1.22	0,90	-0.32
Strawberries [#]	2.01	1.32	-0.69
Apples*	0.58	1.18	0.60
Cottage Cheese* (Compressed Bar)	1.30	1.20	-0.10
Beef Stew (Calculated on Basis of Following Ingre- ents by Weight.)	0.84 Le edi-	0,94	0.06
Rice (50%) Beaf# (30%) Peas# (19%)	0.37 See Above See Above	0,52	0.17
Onion (1%)	1,45	1.21	-0.24

TRUE DENSITIES OF INFILTRATED FOODS *

*Freeza Dried *By Pycnometer Method

٠

I-30

and the second sec

***.** **. * .
Food	Initial Porceity (Uninfiltrated Food)	Final Porceity (Infiltrated Food)	Fraction of Voids Filled
ound Cake	0.74	0.28	0.46
ancake	0.67	0.28	0.39
wieback Toast	0.84	0.11	0.73
uffed Rice	0.98	0.64	0.34
acaroni	0.79	0.62	0.17
nicken*	0.70	0.18	0.52
tef#	0.69	0.14	0.54
hrimp#	0.84	0.08	0.76
eas*	0,96	0.89	0.07
späragus [‡]	0.95	0.36	0.59
trawberries [‡]	0.96	0.28	0.68
oples*	0.75	0.32	0.43
ottage Cheese*	0,08	0.04	0.04

POROSITY MEASUREMENTS

TABLE 4

Freeze-dried

....

And the second second

1.1.1

¥

I-31

y' pri

· ~ . .

ŝ	
TABLE	

~ -

- -

2

CALORIES BY WEIGHT

•

	kg-cal/gm -infiltrated	<pre>5 by wt. 0f final</pre>		Kg-cal/gm	s by wt. of final	Kg-cal/gm impreneted
Focd	sample	product	Aqueous-Lipid Phase	of filler	product	product
Pound Cake	ų. 3	42.0	Butter frosting - CCC	6,8	58.1	5.8
Pancake	3.5	52.0	Butter Frosting - CCC	6.8	48°]	5.1
Zwiehack Toast	3,9	24.0	Peanut Butter - Jelly - Myverol	4.7	76.1	t, 5
Puffed Rice	5	1.9	Sugar Chocolate-Hyverol	3 . 9 5 . 7	46.1 52.0	8° t
Macaroni	3 ° 8	61.3	Cheese-Starch-Pwd. Fat Myverol	6.3 7.0	3.5 35.2	5.0
Freeze-Dried Chicken	5.2	0.44	Starch - CCC	6,3	56.0	S. B
Freeze-Dried Beef	5.7	0 ***	Starch - CCC	6.3	56.0	6.0
Freeze-Dried Shrimp	3,8	27.0	Starch - CCC	6 . 3	73.0	5.6
Freeze-Dried Peas	3.8	29.0	Starch - CCC	6.3	71.0	5.5
Freeze-Dried Asparagus	2.7	10.0	Starch - CCC	6,3	0*06	6.0
Strawberries	3.7	0*6	Sugar Chocolat e-Myverol	3,9 5.7	4 <u>1</u> .8 49.2	89 ° 1 1
Apples	3°5	18.0	Conf. Sugar - CCC Starch - Gran. Sugar	6 • 5 3 . 7	78,9 3 . 1	5.8
Cottage Cheese (with 20% pond, sugar	н.0 (83,0	200	8°8	17.0	8°1
(Ingredients by Stew F-D Beef (30%)	see above					5.6 (ævg.)
F-D Peas (19%) Onions (1%) Mice (50%)	See above 3.47 3.82	66.0 70.0	200	ໜີຕ ວີເ	34°0 30°0	5.3 5.3

.

1-32

1 1 1

ø	
TABLE	

CALORIES PER CUBIC CENTIMETER

Promid Calas 1.6 26 Buttar fronting - CC 3.1 46 29 Pancaka 1.7 33 Buttar fronting - CC 3.0 46 29 Pancaka 1.7 33 Buttar fronting - CC 3.0 39 29 Pancaka 0.3 1.7 33 Buttar fronting - CC 3.0 39 29 Pancaka 0.3 0.4 23 Buttar fronting - CC 3.0 39 29 Pancaka 0.4 2 2 Sugar-Chocolata-Hywarol 2.02 34 54 Paramati Liu Liu 21 23 Sugar-Chocolata-Hywarol 2.02 34 54 Pancarbia 1.1 21 23 Sugar-Chocolata-Hywarol 2.02 34 54 Pancarbia 2.1 2 Sugar-Chocolata-Hywarol 2.02 35 56 14 Pancarbiak Childen 1.2 24 28 Starb 57 56 14 Pancarbiak Bati 1.2	Food	Ng-cal/cc on-infiltrated sample	<pre>* by Yol. of final product</pre>	Filler	Kg-cal/cc of filler	<pre>% by vol. of final product</pre>	<pre>% void in infiltrated product</pre>	Kg-cal/cc impregnated product
Fancale 1.7 33 Buttar fronting - CC 3.0 39 24 Zwinhuck torat 0.9 1.6 Peanut buttar-jelly- 3.3 7.3 11 Furfaul Kico 0.9 1.6 Peanut buttar-jelly- 3.3 7.3 11 Furfaul Kico 0.4 2 Sugar-Chocolate-Hywarol 2.02 34 64 Furfaul Kico 0.4 2 Sugar-Chocolate-Hywarol 2.02 34 64 Mouroni 1.1 2.1 Chasse-starch-peul, far- 1.2 17 62 Fuere-triad Kico 2 Sugar-Chocolate-Hywarol 2.02 3.4 64 Free-triad Kick Instr 2.3 Starch - CCC 3.2 57 16 Free-triad Kick Instr 2.3 Starch - CCC 3.3 73 73 73 Free-triad Kick Instr 1.2 Starch - CCC 3.3 53 16 Free-triad Kick Instr 1.2 Starch - CCC 3.3 54 54 Free-t	Pound Cake	J.6	36	Butter frosting - CCC	3.5	9 1	28	5.1
Zatisback tocst0.916Paratt buttes-faily- itymerol3.37311Fuffed Rice0.42Sugar-Chocolate-Hywerol2.023464Mouroil1.121Chasse-starch-poid. fat-1.21.762Mouroil1.121Chasse-starch-poid. fat-1.21.762Mouroil2.130Starch - CC3.55314Mouroil2.130Starch - CC3.55314Mouroil2.130Starch - CC3.55414Mouroil1.316Starch - CC3.55414Mouroil1.316Starch - CC3.3537626Mouroil Mouroil1.3165Starch - CC3.3537626Mouroil Mouroil1.65Starch - CC3.33.35626Mouroil Mouroil1.65Starch - CC3.33.35626Mouroil Mouroil1.65Starch - CC3.33.35626Mouroil Mouroil1.65Starch - CC3.35626Mouroil Mouroil1.65Starch - CC3.35626Mouroil Mouroil1.65Starch - CC3.35626Mouroil Mouroil Mouroil1.65Starch - CC3.35626Mouroil Mouroil Mouroil1.6Starch - CC3.35626	Pancake	1.7	33	Butter frosting - CCC	3.0	33	28	4.7
Furfad kida 0.4 2 Sugar-Chocolata-Fywroi 2.02 34 66 hocarcai 1.1 21 Chana-stranch-pred, fat- hywarol 1.2 1 62 free dride 2.1 21 Chana-stranch-pred, fat- hywarol 1.2 1 62 free dride 2.1 30 Starrch - CCC 3.2 52 14 free dride 2.5 32 Starrch - CCC 3.5 54 14 free dride 2.5 32 Starrch - CCC 3.5 54 14 free dride 2.5 32 Starrch - CCC 3.5 54 14 free dride 1.2 1.6 Starrch - CCC 3.3 76 89 free dride 1.2 1.6 Starrch - CCC 3.3 76 89 free dride 1.6 Starrch - CCC 3.3 76 89 76 free dride 1.6 Starrch - CCC 3.3 76 86 23 <	Zwieback tonst	0°0	JL	Peanut butter-jelly- Nyverol	3.3	73	ц	¥.2
Macarcai 1.1 21 Chasses-startch-pari. fat- ilynaurol 1.2 1.7 62 Frees-dried Calciens 2 2 30 Starrch - pari. fat- ilynaurol 1.2 17 62 Frees-dried Calciens 2 30 Starrch - CCC 3.5 59 14 Frees-dried Saulay 1.2 16 Starrch - CCC 3.5 59 14 Frees-dried Saulay 1.2 16 Starrch - CCC 5.3 75 9 Frees-dried Saulay 1.2 1.6 Starrch - CCC 5.3 76 9 Frees-dried Mapareges 1.6 5 Starrch - CCC 3.3 59 56 36 Freese-dried Mapareges 1.6 5 Starrch - CCC 3.3 56 56 36 Freese-dried Mapareges 1.6 Starrch - CCC 3.2 56 56 36 Freese-dried Mapareges 0.03 5 Starrch - CCC 3.2 56 56 36 Freese-dried Maparef<	Fuffed Rice	* •0	2	Sugar-Choco]ate-Hyverol	2.02	Зњ	79	2.1
Freese-duried Calciene230Starreth - CC3.2323214Freese-duried Barries2.53.53.55.414Freese-duried Barries1.21.6Starreth - CC5.3768Freese-duried Barries1.21.6Starreth - CC5.3768Freese-duried Barries1.21.6Starreth - CC5.3768Freese-duried Barries1.653Starreth - CC3.33636Freese-duried Barries1.65Starreth - CC3.3593636Freese-duried Aspurese0.03*Starreth - CC3.3593636Freese-duried0.03*Starreth - CC3.2593636Freese-duried0.03*Starreth - CC3.3593636Freese-duried0.03*Starreth - CC3.3593636Freese-duried0.523Genfit augeur-CCC-Starreth3.2595023Freese-duried0.523Genfit augeur-CCC-Starreth3.3435050Freese-duried5.255CCC1.5435050Freese-duried5.25555565050Freese-duried5.25555565050Freese-duried5.25555565050Freese-duried5.2 <td>Macarron i</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>21</td> <td>Chesse-starch-pud. fat- Hywrol</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>11</td> <td>62</td> <td>2.3</td>	Macarron i	1.1	21	Chesse-starch-pud. fat- Hywrol	1.2	11	62	2.3
Presended Met2.532Starech - CC3.55414Freese-dried Staries1.21.6Starech - CC5.3768Freese-dried Staries0.21.6Starech - CC0.777989Freese-dried Staries1.6553.35936Freese-dried Asparse1.65Starech - CC3.35936Freese-dried Asparse1.65Starech - CC3.35936Freese-dried Asparse0.035Starech - CCC3.35936Strend-dried Asparse0.635Starech - CCC3.35936Strend-dried Apples0.55Starech - CCC3.35936Strend-dried Apples0.635Starech - CCC3.35936Cottage Channet5.20.5Greef, auger-CCC-Starech3.34333Cottage Channet5.20.50.50.5430.5	Freeze-dried Chicken	2 T	8	Starreh - CCC	3.2	52	18	5.3
Freese-dried States 1.2 16 Starch - CC 5.3 76 8 Freese-Dried States 0.2 * Starch - CC 0.7 7 89 Freese-Dried Names 1.6 5 Starch - CC 3.3 59 36 Freese-Dried Names 1.6 5 Starch - CC 3.3 59 36 Freese-dried Appares 1.6 5 Starch - CC 3.3 59 36 Freese-dried 0.03 * Starch - CC 3.26 68 23 Strenchreid 0.03 * Starch - CC 3.26 68 23 Cottage Chanet 0.5 25 Conf. sugar 3.3 43 32 Cottage Chanet 5.2 95 CC 1.5 43 32	Press-dried Beaf	2.5	33	Starch - CCC	3.5	54	41	6.0
Freeze-Detact Fee 0.2 * Stareth - CC 0.7 7 89 Freeze-detaid Appares 1.6 5 Stareth - CC 3.3 59 36 Freeze-detaid 0.03 * 5 Stareth - CC 3.3 59 36 Freeze-detaid 0.03 * 5 Stareth - CC 3.26 68 23 Freeze-detaid 0.03 * \$ Stareth - CC 3.26 68 23 Freeze-detaid 0.15 25 Conf. sugar-CCColate-Hywarol 3.26 68 23 Freeze-detaid 0.5 25 Conf. sugar-CCC-Stareth 3.3 43 Cottage Chasset 5.2 95 CC 1.5 43 0.	Freese-dried String	1.2	91	Starreh - CCC	5.3	R	æ	6.S
Freese-dried Approve 1.6 5 Starvin - CCC 3.3 59 36 Freese-dried 3.1 3.2 59 23 Freese-dried 3.2 9.5 Conf. sugar-Chocolste-Hywrol 3.26 69 23 Freese-dried Apples 0.5 25 Conf. sugar-CCC-Starch- 3.3 43 Freese-dried Apples 0.5 25 Conf. sugar-CCC-Starch- 3.3 43 Cottage Chasset 5.2 95 CCC 1.5 4.9	Freeze-Defed Pees	0.2	*	Starreh - CCC	0.7	7	68	6*0
Freeze-dried Strenberraes 0.03 b Sugar-Chocolste-Hywrol 3.26 68 23 Freeze-dried Applas 0.5 25 Conf. sugar-CCC-Starch- Gran. Sugar-CCC-Starch- 3.3 43 32 Cottage Chasse ⁴ 5.2 95 CCC 1.5 4.9 0.	Freezo-dried Aspurgus	. 1.6	S	Stareà - CC	3.3	55	36	0° 4
Freeze-dried Apples 0.5 25 Conf. sugar-OCC-Starch- Gram. Sugar CC-Starch- 3.3 43 32 Cottage Channet 5.2 95 CCC 1.5 4.9 0.	Freese-dried Stremberries	0.03	*	Sugar-Chocolate-Hyvarol	3,26	89	23	9°9
Cottage Channee ⁴ 5.2 95 CCC 1.5 4.9 0.	Freeze-dried Apples	0.5	25	Conf. sugar-CCC-Starch- Gran. Sugar	3.3	5 4	32	9 .6
	Cottage Changet	5.2	95	333	1.5	6°#	1.0	9°9
Beef Stew CCC	Boef Stev			22			C	5.1 Estimeted Val:

Compressed at 2500 pul/30 seconds formula included 256 pondered sugar

-7-

-Į 1 -----

.

÷Ď

FOOD	INIT. MOIS- TURE CONTENT	STORAGE	H20++ AT EQUIL.	APPROXIMATE EQUILIBRIUM TIME (WEEKS)
POUND CAKE	1.87	12.1	0.99	12
		23.0	1.55	12
		43.7	2.44	12
PANCAKE	6.38	11.1	1,64	20
		23.0	2.23	16
		43.7	3.88	18
TOAST	15.20	11.1	2.05	28
		23.0	3.32	28
		43.7	5.33	28
PUFFED RICE	7.03	11,1	4.02	30
		23.0	4.63	30
		43.7	6.29	30
MACARONI	7.81	11.1	1.96	12
		23.0	4.53	10
		43.7	7.54	12
CHICKEN*	2.37	11,1	1.86	20
		23.0	2.74	20
		43.7	4.07	20
BEEF*	1.88	11.1	2.04	24
		23.0	3.03	24
		43.7	4.87	24
SHRIMP*	3.44	11.1	2.74	• 32
		23.0	3.71	32
		43.7	5.38	32
PEAS*	1,39	11.1	0,98	6
		23.0	1.59	8
		43.7	2.52	10
ASPARAGUS*	2.46	11.1	1.49	8
		23.0	2.40	8
		43.7	3.19	8
STRAWBERRIES*	11.25	11.1	7.06	22
		23.0	7.65	22
		43,7	9.61	22
APPLES*	2.03	11,1	1.23	8
		23.0	1.51	8
		43.7	2.56	8
COTTAGE CHEESE*	1,60	11.1	1.48	14
		23.0	1.80	14
		43.7	3.01	14
BEEF STEWA	3,89	11.1	2.60	8
	-	23.0	3.44	8
		43.7	5.80	6

EQUILIBRIUM MOISTURE CONTENTS OF IMPREGNATED FOODST

† Moisture Content on Dry Basis by AOAC Method, 9th Edition, 1960, Page 264 20.008. †† Equilibrium Storage Temperature 20°C.

i

* Freeze Dried.

5-34

۲. - IABLE 8

FREE FATT' ACIDS⁽¹⁾ IN FRESH AND FOUR-MONTH STORAGE SAMPLES (EXPRESSED AS PERCENT OLEIC ACID) • •

JAPPENDIA TATACHART FOOD SAMPLE SAMPLE Sect194-20°C. -20°C -194-20°C. -20°C -194-20°C. -20°C			FRESH	E.	COFD TV C	STORAGE	TEMPERAT	URES AND P	ACKING COND.	LT LONS	DW 401 0 M4	ACKOV
Pound Cake .239 .326 .424 .283 .290 .258 .313 .2 Pancake-Lat run .269 .373 .395 .589 .720 1.189 1.104 .4 #Rrun .269 .541 .338 .728 .313 .45 .4 #Rrun .269 .541 .338 1.175 .877 .996 1.104 .4 "uffed Rice 1.067 1.058 1.229 1.224 1.119 1.367 1.203 1.11 "uffed Rice 1.067 1.058 1.229 1.224 1.119 1.203 1.11 Macaroni 2.170 2.031 .255 .240 2.409 2.409 .40 .4 Macaroni 2.170 2.31 .255 .242 .203 .273 .233 .296 .1 .1 .1 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 <td< th=""><th></th><th>FOOD</th><th>LPREGNATED SAMPLE</th><th>51 20°C</th><th>UKEN IN U2 38°C.</th><th>-18+20°C.</th><th>2000</th><th>TURED IN N 38°C.</th><th>2 -18+20°C.</th><th>STUREU 20°C.</th><th>11 U2 WITH 38°C.</th><th></th></td<>		FOOD	LPREGNATED SAMPLE	51 20°C	UKEN IN U2 38°C.	-18+20°C.	2000	TURED IN N 38°C.	2 -18+20°C.	STUREU 20°C.	11 U2 WITH 38°C.	
Pancake-lat run 269 .373 .335 .589 .381 .459 .446 .4. *Rrun 2nd run .805 .341 .336 .589 .728 .1169 1.104 .4 Zwisback Toast .805 1.003 1.175 .877 .996 1.177 .861 .9 Wuffed Rice 1.067 1.058 1.229 1.224 1.119 1.367 1.203 1.11 Macaroni 2.170 2.029 2.469 2.643 2.140 2.268 2.0 Macaroni 2.170 2.029 2.469 2.643 2.140 2.499 2.68 Freeze-bried .170 .231 .255 .242 .201 .189 .203 .1 Freeze-bried .170 .231 .255 .242 .201 .189 .233 .2 Shrinp .246 .235 .242 .201 .199 .233 .2 Freeze-bried .276 .231 .275 .242 .276 .277 .2 Shrinp <th></th> <th>Pound Cake</th> <th>.239</th> <th>.326</th> <th>424</th> <th>.283</th> <th>.290</th> <th>.258</th> <th>.313</th> <th>.255</th> <th>.502</th> <th>.376</th>		Pound Cake	.239	.326	424	.283	.290	.258	.313	.255	.502	.376
Zwisback Toast .859 1.033 1.175 .877 .996 1.177 .861 .9 "wrffed Rice 1.067 1.058 1.229 1.224 1.119 1.367 1.203 1.11 Macaroni 2.1170 2.029 2.489 2.643 2.110 2.763 2.03 Macaroni 2.170 2.029 2.489 2.643 2.190 2.768 2.03 Freeze-Dried .170 .231 .255 .242 .234 .233 .298 .1 Freeze-Dried .170 .231 .255 .242 .201 .189 .233 .1 Freeze-Dried .246 .235 .242 .276 .312 .277 .2 Freeze-Dried .246 .235 .240 .403 .403 .404 .4 Freeze-Dried .310 .337 .372 .350 .404 .4 Strawberries 1.376 .350 .407 .468 .428 .503 .4 Strawberries 1.373 .370 .468	- v	Pancake-1st run *Rsrun -2nd run	.269 .826	.373 .541	, 395 , 398	.589 .728	.381	.459 1.189	.486 1.104	- +74	.528	.363
Wuffed Rice 1.067 1.058 1.229 1.214 1.119 1.367 1.203 1.11 Macaroni 2.170 2.029 2.469 2.643 2.140 2.409 2.2568 2.00 Freeze-Dried .170 .231 .255 .242 .234 .233 .298 .1 Freeze-Dried Beef .277 .276 .231 .255 .242 .291 .1 Freeze-Dried Beef .277 .276 .233 .298 .1 Freeze-Dried Beef .277 .276 .231 .275 .277 .2 Shrimp .246 .235 .262 .251 .276 .277 .2 .2 Shrimp .246 .233 .262 .251 .276 .277 .2 .2 .2 Shrimp .246 .233 .377 .276 .312 .277 .2 .2 Shrimp .233 .337 .350 .407 .468 .428 .503 .4 Freeze-Dried Peas .312 .312		Zwieback Toast	. 859	1.033	1.175	.877	• 366	1.177	. 861	1 66•	1.975	1.095
Macaroni 2.170 2.029 2.469 2.643 2.140 2.409 2.268 2.0 Freeze-Dried .170 .231 .255 .242 .234 .233 .298 .1 Freeze-Dried .271 .231 .255 .242 .234 .233 .298 .1 Freeze-Dried .276 .280 .201 .189 .233 .298 .1 Freeze-Dried .246 .235 .262 .251 .276 .312 .277 .2 Shrimp .246 .235 .262 .251 .276 .312 .277 .2 Shrimp .246 .337 .372 .276 .312 .277 .2 .2 Freeze-Dried .337 .377 .468 .428 .404 .4 Asparagus .310 .337 .370 .407 .468 .428 .503 .4 Streeze-Dried .337 .370 .468 <t< th=""><th></th><th>"uffed Rice</th><th>1.067</th><th>л.058</th><th>1.229</th><th>1.224</th><th>1.119</th><th>1.367</th><th>1.203</th><th>1.195</th><th>1,291</th><th>1.168</th></t<>		"uffed Rice	1.067	л.058	1.229	1.224	1.119	1.367	1.203	1.195	1,291	1.168
Freeze-Dried .170 .231 .255 .242 .234 .233 .298 .1 Freeze-Dried Beef .227 .248 .276 .280 .201 .189 .233 .1 Freeze-Dried Beef .274 .235 .262 .251 .271 .27 .2 Freeze-Dried Beef .276 .251 .276 .312 .277 .2 Freeze-Dried Peas .432 .562 .251 .407 .468 .428 .503 .4 Freeze-Dried Peas .432 .502 .407 .468 .428 .503 .4 Freeze-Dried Peas .310 .337 .372 .350 .407 .468 .428 .503 .4 Freeze-Dried Peas .310 .337 .372 .350 .403 .4 .4 Freeze-Dried Peas .482 .503 .407 .468 .428 .503 .4 Freeze-Dried Peas .310 .337 .370 .468 .428 .503 .4 Strauberries 1.373		Macaroni	2.170	2.029	2.489	2,643	2.140	2.409	2,268	2.003	5 IH3	2,330
Freeze-Dried Beef .271 .280 .201 .189 .233 .1 Freeze-Dried .246 .235 .262 .251 .276 .312 .277 .2 Shrimp .246 .235 .262 .251 .276 .312 .277 .2 Shrimp .246 .235 .407 .468 .428 .503 .4 Freeze-Dried .337 .372 .350 .407 .468 .428 .503 .4 Freeze-Dried .310 .337 .372 .350 .468 .428 .503 .4 Apparagus .310 .337 .372 .350 .468 .428 .503 .4 Strawberries 1.378 1.915 2.740 1.689 1.754 2.412 1.631 1.4 Apples .498 .658 .964 .777 .676 .812 1.631 1.4 Cottage .498 .658 .617 .812 1.138 1.653 .51 few 1.335 1.377		Fraeze-Dried Chicken	.170	.231	.255	.242	.234	.233	.298	.165	.215	.277
Freeze-Dried .246 .235 .262 .251 .276 .312 .277 .2 Freeze-Dried Peas .432 .482 .502 .407 .468 .428 .503 .4 Freeze-Dried Peas .432 .337 .372 .350 .407 .468 .428 .503 .4 Freeze-Dried .337 .372 .350 .381 .302 .404 .4 Apparagus .310 .337 .372 .350 .381 .302 .404 .4 Apparagus .310 .337 .372 .350 .381 .302 .404 .4 Apparagus .310 .337 .372 .350 .408 .676 .801 .823 .4 Apples .498 .658 .964 .727 .676 .801 .823 .5 Cottage .1336 1.733 1.733 1.548 1.656 .2.1		Freeze-Dried Bee	£ .227	.248	.276	.280	.201	,189	.233	.171	.193	.205
Freeze-Dried Peas .432 .502 .407 .468 .428 .503 .47 Freeze-Dried .310 .337 .372 .350 .381 .302 .404 .4 Asparagus .310 .337 .372 .350 .381 .302 .404 .4 Asparagus .310 .337 .372 .350 .381 .302 .404 .4 Strawberries 1.378 1.915 2.740 1.689 1.754 2.412 1.631 1.4' Apples .498 .658 .964 .727 .676 .801 .823 .5' Apples .498 .658 .964 .727 .676 .801 .823 .5' Cottage Cheese .509 .656 .617 .812 1.138 1.653 12' tew 1.336 2.232 2.135 1.377 1.733 1.548 1.626 2.1'		f reeze-Drie d Shrimp	.246	*235	, 262	,251	.276	.312	.277	•294	• 300	• 309
Freeze-Dried .337 .372 .350 .381 .302 .404 .4 Asparagus .310 .337 .372 .350 .381 .302 .404 .4 Strauberries 1.378 1.915 2.740 1.689 1.754 2.412 1.631 1.4 Apples .498 .658 .964 .727 .676 .801 .823 .5 Apples .498 .658 .964 .727 .676 .801 .823 .5 Apples .498 .656 .528 .954 .727 .676 .801 .823 .5 Cottage Cheese .509 .656 .623 .617 .812 1.138 1.653 1.2 tew 1.336 2.292 2.135 1.377 1.733 1.548 1.626 2.1	_	Freeze-Dried Pea	s .432	. 482	. 502	.407	,468	.428	. 503	T24.	.508	. 489
Strawberries 1.378 1.915 2.740 1.689 1.754 2.412 1.631 1.45 Apples .498 .658 .964 .727 .676 .801 .823 .55 Cottage Cheese .509 .656 .628 .617 .812 1.138 1.653 1.23 tew 1.336 2.292 2.135 1.377 1.733 1.548 1.626 2.1	•••	F reeze-Drie d Asparagus	.310	.337	.372	.350	.381	.302	404	† 0†	.380	.507
Apples .498 .658 .964 .727 .676 .801 .823 .5 Cottage Cheese .509 .656 .623 .617 .812 1.138 1.653 1.2 tew 1.336 2.292 2.135 1.377 1.733 1.548 1.626 2.1		Strawberries	1.378	1.915	2.740	1.689	1.754	2.412	1.631	1.471	2.287	1.847
Cottage Cheese .509 .656 .628 .617 .812 1.138 1.653 1.21 tew 1.336 2.292 2.135 1.377 1.733 1.548 1.626 2.1		Apples	, 498	.658	, 96	.727	.676	.801	.823	.554	.822	.628
tew 1.336 2.292 2.135 1.377 1.733 1.548 1.626 2.1	-	Cottage Cheese	£03°	.656	.628	.617	.81,2	1.138	1.653	L.286	1.396	2.052
		tew	1,336	2.292	2.135	1.377	1.733	1.548	1.626	2.193	2.191	2.644

* Results on 2 months storage only - Tenox samples not run.

....

7

(1) AOCS, Official Method, Ca-5a-40. Revised, 1963.

PEROXIDE VALUE IN FRESH AND FOUR MONTH STORAGE SAMPLES (EXPRESSED AS MILLIEQUIVALENTS OF PEROXIDE PER 1000 GRAMS OF LIPID)

	IMPREGNATED	S	TORED IN	STCRAGE	TEMPERAT	URES AND TORED IN 1	PACKING COND	ITIONS STORED	TN 02 HIT	H TENOX
FOOL	SAMPLES	20°C.	38°C.	-18+20°C.	20°C.	38°C.	-18+20°C.	20°C.	38°C.	-18+2000
Peas	*Z.*	011.1	1.036	1.078	1.250	1,123	1,566	1, 394	.611	, 690
hsparagus (.213	°610	.593	414.	.727	444.	96 † ,	.716	.423	.697
Cottage Chees	• .277	176.	.696	.190	.397	.288	ħĨħ°	. 322	.527	.275
or all other	foods peroxid	e values	were foun	d to be less	tham 0.	, o				

k

CIE

TABLE 9

• •

Ę

Y.

٠.,

NICROBIOLOGICAL EXAMINATION

	Contr	mi (frach Samia)		Four-worth C	course Samples	ş,
Food	Plate Count	1ype	Storage Conditions	Plate Count	lype	
Barned Cabo		and second	2 8 900	-10/2		•
			-18 +20 °C			
			N, -18 +20 °C.			-
			02 Tentox 20° C.	410/gm		-
						¥
Pancake		grem positive cocci	02 20° C.	416/ gn		
			38° C.	<10		
			-18 +20 °C.	<10		
			M2 -18 +20 °C.	<10/9日		
			02 Tenox 20° C.	<u>10/2m</u>	gram positive rods - Barillus sporeferse	-
TOBET	6/07	gren variable cocci	02 20° C. 338 r			****
						w
			-74 47- N			-
	_		0. Tance 300 C			-
Puffed 3	lu/a	i gram positive rods Macillus	0, 20° C.	<10/5		
		•	38° C.	<10/87		
-1.0	-		-18 +20 °C.	-10/M		****
			M2 -18 +20 °C.	mg/gt>		
			02 Tenax 20° C.	<10/gm		·
	1,00		J avc	10 (
		concel and arms rock	380 5.		the products and angle of the second	
			-18 +20 °C.	<10/m		
			2.000			
			02 Temox -18 +20 °C.	\$10/		
F=D Chicken		gree positive cocci	02 20° C.	20/80	grum megative cocci	
			36° C.	50 / gm	gree montime cooki	
	-		-18 +20 °C.	<10/89		
			M2 -10 -20 °C.	<10/ gm		
			02 Tenox 34º C	<10/gm		
T-D Base	16/-	gree breitive cocci	0, 20° C.	900/00		
			38° C.	500/	are intative rode	
			-16 \$20 °C.	20.2		
			M3 -18 +20 °C.	45/ 68	* = t	
			02 Tenote 38° C.	WE / URA	gram positive rods - Bauillas	
F-D Shritp	240/6	gree variable cocci	02 26° C.	10/05	gram positive conci and rous	
					Cree positive cocci	
			1 V2 NUTUR 20" L.	<to e<="" td=""><td></td><td></td></to>		

1-37

٠

17

•--

Ĭ

HIC "" " WALCAL EXPLICIT TABLE I

grem positive short rods -sous spore forming grem positive rods spreading colomies, grem megative rods grum negative roda 6 some grum negative cocci spreading colonies, grum preitive roda sport a port gram positive - short rode gram positive - short rode gram negative - short rode gram positive - rode . grum pritive cocci grum positive cocci grum positive cocci grum positive cocci grum positive cocci grue positive cocci grue positive cocci grue positive rods grue pusitive rods grue pusitive rods gran negative rods -forwing gram negative rods gram negative rods gram positive rods gram positive rods grum positive rode grea megative rode grea positive rode grea positive rode grea positive rode grea positive rode forming. F. Ar-swith storage Saples 1000/g 1000/g 10/g 10/g 3000/gm 2600/gm 2000/gm 2000/gm 2000/gm 10/87 10/87 1000/87 300/87 2000/87 1000/gm 10/gm 18/0/gm 10/gm 10/87 10/87 10/97 Ba/ut 10/**8** 10/**9** 10/gm 10/8 10/5# 400/8# 300/6# 10/8# 2400/gm Story . Coull unu 20°C, 38°C. -16 +20°C. Tenox -18 +20°C. 20°C. 20°C. 38°C. -18 +29° , JU .L. 38°C. -18 +20°C. 20°C. 20°C. 38°C. -18 +20°C. 20°C. **38°C**. -11 +20°C. 20°C. 20°C. 38°C. 20°C. 38°C. 20°C. 38°C. 420°C. 38°C. 20°C. Tenox -18 +20°C. -18 +20°C ł -18 ŧ Tenox Tenox 02 Tunox Tettoy õ 00 2 00 z 2022 N.C. 5.7 GEEEOC 0 2 2 2 0 0 6 NN NN O X O C 60007 ŧ grem positive diplomocci ł (Cantral (Iteek Mail as) 111 gree positive cocci g we positive cocci esan poeitine cocci gree posities deed gram negative rods in chein grum positive rods Pode The Const 1000/gm 10/gm 10/**5**1 10/8 5000/gm 3000/gm 200/89 encake Ra-run (Second Test) Cottage Cheese Strawberries and entrolling Food ant da fee ş

· - -

7-- 78

N. -

TASTE PANEL DATA

POUND CAKE

Means of Scores

02

N₂

T

Preference

Difference

	-18° (20° C.	38° C.
0 ₂	2.17	1.75	1.25
N2	1.83	1.58	1.42
T	2.08	1.75	2,25

-18° C.	20° C,	38° C.
1.92	2.67	2.92
2.75	2.50	2.50
2.58	2.50	2.92

Variance in Scores

Preference

Difference

	-18° C,	20° C.	38° C.
0 ₂	.52	.75	.39
N ₂	.70	.63	. 45
T	.81	.57	.75

و

	-18° C.	20° C.	38° C.
02	.63	.61	.99
N ₂	.57	. 82	1.00
т	.63	1.00	.99

-

1

1-39

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF TASTE PANEL DATA

POUND CAKE

Difference	95 % conf	1.796	1.796	1.796	1.796	1.796	1.796	1.796	1,796	1, 796
	t(obs)	11.87	10.14	8.37	9.57	8.56	12,64	8.66	10.14	11.29
	Storage onditions	20° C	38° C	-18 +20 °C	20° C	38° C	-18 +20 °C	20° C	× 38° C	-18 +20 °C
			6 	•		N2			Teno	
	99% conf	3.106	3.106	3.106	3.196	3.106	3.106	3.106	3.106	3.106
	95 % conf	2,201	2.201	2.201	2.201	2.201	2.201	2.201	2.201	2,201
reference	90% conf ²	1, 796	1.796	1.796	1.796	1.796	1.796	1.796	1.796	1.796
Æ	t(obs ¹)	-1.00	-4.18	0, 80	-1.82	-3.02	-0.63	-1.15	1.00	0.32
	orage ditions	20° C,	38° C.	18 +20 °C	20° C	38° C	18 +20 °C	20° C	38° C.	18 +20 °C
	Con. Con.	 	05	ľ		ц,			Tenox	

2, 718 2, 718 2, 718 2, 718 2, 718 2, 718 2, 718 2, 718

2.201 2.201 2.201 2.201 2.201 2.201 2.201 2.201

99% conf

97.5% conf

¹observed ²confidence

1-40

cource of variation	DF	SS	SK	Source of variation	DF	SS	Si
Treatments	2	3.19	1.59	Treatments	2	2.34	1.19
levels	2	3.35	1.68	Levels	3	.50	.25
Cells	(8)	(11.02)		Cells	(8)	(8.67)	
lreatments x levels	ŧ	4.48	1.12	Treatments x levels	ŧ	5,78	1. 44
dithin subgroups	66	61.08	.62	Within subgroups	66	79.58	. 80
[otal	107	72.10		Total	107	88.25	

TASTE PANEL DATA

PANCAKE (1st Run)

Heans of Scores

Preference

Difference

- ---

	-18° C.	20° C.	38° C.
02	1.75	1,13	1,13
N ₂	1.38	1.13	1.38
т	1.25	1.50	1.25

	-18° C.	20° C.	38° C.
02	2.13	2,50	3,00
N ₂	2.38	3.00	2.88
т	2.25	2.00	2.75

Variance in Scores

	Pre:	ference	
	-18° C.	20° C.	38° C.
0.	,50	. 13	.13

0 ₂	,50	. 13	.13
N2	.27	. 13	.27
т	.21	.29	.21

- Í

	-18° C.	20° C.	38° C.
02	1.27	1.14	. 66
N ₂	1.70	1.43	1,55
T	1.64	.57	1.07

ż

Difference

X-41

1.00

TABLE 15

,***** *

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF TASTE PANEL DATA

PANCAKE

		998 CODF	±2,998	2.998	2.998	2.998	2.998	2.998	2.998	2.998	2.998	
		91.53 cont	±2.365	2,365	2.365	2,365	2.365	2,365	2,365	2,365	2,365	
ifference	9 9 9 9	JUOD 4 CR	±1.895	1.895	1.895	1.895	1,895	1,8 95	1, 895	1,895	1.895	
Ц		clops)	6.61	9.17	5.34	7.10	6.52 ·	5.16	7.48	7.51	4.97	ŕ
	orage	C110T7TD	20° C	38° C	18 +20 °C	20° C	38° C	18 +20 °C	20° C	38° C	18 +20 °C	
	St			°,	• •		NZ	'		Tenox	1	
	00£ 0015		±3,499	3.499	3.499	3.499	3.499	3,499	3.499	3.499	3°#99	
	95% conf		±2,365	2.365	2.365	2.365	2.365	2,365	2.365	2.365	2.365	
eference	90% conf ²		±1,895	1.895	1.895	1.895	1.895	1.895	1.895	1.895	1.895	
PI	t(obs ¹)		-7.00	-7.00	-1.00	-7.00	-3.42	-3.42	-2.65	-4,58	-4.58	- - - -
	orage diticns		20° C.	38° C.	18 +20 °C	20° C	38° C	<u>18</u> +20 °C	20° C	38° C.	18 +20 °C	, ,
	C St			5			N2 N			Tenox	<u>'</u>	-

¹observed ²confidence

I-22

SOURCE OF VARIATION	DF	SS	SW	Source of variation
Treatments	2	.69	. 35	Treatments
Levels	2	•03	.01	Levels
Cells	(8)	(2.78)		Cells
Treatments x levels	4	2.06	.51	Treatments x levels
Withir subgroups	63	14.88	.24	Within subgroups
Total	71	17.65		Total

Ţ

MS 2,38 1.04

3 1.25

87.88

SS 4.75 2.08 (9.25) 2.42 78.63

6 4 63 17

3

ñ

F (958) 3.15 2.53 3.15
F(obs) 1,90 .48
Source F-Ratic MS(Treat)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(T x L)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within) =
F (95%) 2.15 2.53 3.15
F(obs) 1.47 2.18
Source F-Ratio MS(Treat)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(T x L)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within) =

TASTE PANEL DATA

*PANCAKE (SECOND RUN)

	Pre	ference	Means	of Scores	Dif	ference	
	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.		-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
02	2.00	1.63	1.25	0 ₂	2.38	2.50	2.38
N ₂	1.38	1.63	1.38	N ₂	2.38	2.38	2.38

		Varian	ce in Scores			
Pre	ference			Dif	ference	
-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.		-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
.29	.27	.21	0 ₂	.27	_57	1.13
.55	.55	.55	N ₂	.84	.27	.27

* Time limitations precluded completion of complete storage testing. Taste panel data is based on two levels of treatment and taste test after two months storage.



1

we i

r.

14

-

ï

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF TASTE PANEL DATA

PANCAKE (SECOND RUN)

	Preference			
Storage Conditions	t(obs ¹)	90 % conf2	95% conf	99% conf
20°C	. 9.35	1.895	2.365	3.499
02 3870	• 6.33	1.895	2.365	3.499
-18 +20°C	12.98	1.895	2,365	3.499
20°C	• 12.98	1, 895	2,365	3,499
N2 38°C	. 12.98	1,895	2.365	3.499
-18 +20°C	. 7.33	1.895	2.365	3.499

	E 99% conf	3.499	3.499	3.499	3.499	3.499	3.499
	97.5% cont	2,365	2,365	2.365	2.365	2.365	2.365
ifference	95 % conf	1.895	I.895	1.895	1.895	1.895	1 . 895
D	t(obs)	-2.05	<u>-4</u> ,58	0	-1.43	-2.38	-2,38
	S torage Conditions	20°C.	0 ₂ 38°C.	-18 +20°C.	20°C.	N ₂ 38°C.	-18 +20°C.

²Confidence ¹Observed

Sh	.02	.02		.02	.56		
SS	t10°	, 02	.10	÷0.	23.38	23.48	
DF	2	1	5	2	2ti	47	
Source of Variation	Treatments	Lave ^r s	Cells	Treatments x Levels	Within Subgroups	Total	

-

6

11

Si Si

SS 1.29

7 E

Source of Variation Treatments Levels

ee S

.33 2.92 1.29

7 7 2 2 II

Cells Treatments x Levels Within Subgroups Total

40 .65

17.00 19.92

F (95 \$) 3.23 4.08 3.23
F(obs) 1.60 1.60
H H N
Source F-Ratio MS(Treat)/MS(Within) F-Ratio MS(T x L)/MS(Within) F-Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within)
F (95 \$) 3.23 4.08 3.23
F(obs) .04 .04
Source F-Ratio MS(Treat)/HS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(T x L)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within) =

2-44

TASTE PANEL DATA

TOAST

Means of Scores

Preference

	-18° C.	20° C.	38° C.
02	2.00	1.88	1.50
N ₂	1.75	1.63	1.75
T	2.13	1.25	1.25

	-18° C.	20° C.	38° C.
02	2.38	2.38	2.75
N ₂	2.88	2.13	2,50
Т	2.63	2.50	2,50

Difference

Variance in Scores

Preference

Difference

	-18º C.	20° C.	38° C.
°2	.57	.70	.57
N ₂	1.07	.27	.79
т	.98	.21	.21

wr.

-18° C. 20° C. 28° C. 0₂ .84 1.13 .50 N₂ .70 .98 1.14 T .55 .86 .86

3-45

.

0	n 1
TADIE	TOUT

r .*

7

1

STATISTICAL AKALYSIS OF TASTE PANEL DATA

Ľ	1
Ĩ	

		ፈ	ne ference					Difference	,	
Scores						Storage				
Conditi	suo	t(obs ¹)	90% conf ²	95% conf	99% conf	Conditions	t(obs)	95% conf	97.5% conf	99% conf
N.	0° C.	-0.42	±1.895	±2.365	¢54,63	20° C	6.33	±1.895	±2,365	±2,998
70 00	8° C.	-1.87	1.895	2,365	3.499	0, 38° C	11.00	1,895	2.365	2,998
-18 +:	20 °C	0.00	L.895	2,365	3.499	-18 +20 0	C 7.33	1.895	2.265	2,998
Ä	0° C	-2.05	1.895	2.365	3.499	20° C	6.06	1,895	2,365	2,998
EN 2N	80 00 00	-0-80	1.895	2.365	3.499	N2 38° C	6.61	1.895	2.365	2.998
+ 81-	20 °C	-0.68	1.895	2,365	3.499	-18 +20 °	C 9.74	1.895	2,365	2,998
<u>م</u> ا	ບ 00	-4.58	1.895	2.365	3.499	20° C	7.64	1.895	2,365	2.998
Tenox 3	8° C.	-4.58	1.895	2.355	3.499	Tenox 38° C	7.64	1,895	2,365	2.998
-18 +:	20 °C	0.36	1.695	2.365	3.499	-18 +20 °	й 9 . 98	1.895	2,365	2.993
¹ observe	d ² con	lfi den ce								
Source of	variati	8	DF	SS	SN	Source of vari	ation	DF	SS	HS I
Treatments			2	286	1,43	Treatments		2	1.19	.60
Levels			2	. 78	• 39	Levels		2	•03	-01
Cells			(8)	6.03)		Cells		(8)	(11.1)	
Treatments	x leve	SI	đ	2.39	.60	Treatments x 1	evels	#	1.39	17
dithin sub	sdnore		63 3.	7.63	. 60	Within subgrou	ps	63	52,88	. 84
Total			71 4	3.65		Total		71	55.99	

Source of variation	DF	SS	SM
Treatments	2	2.86	1.43
Levels	2	a 78	• 39
Cells	(8)	(6,03)	
Treatments x levels	÷	2,39	•60
Within subgroups	63	37.63	.60
Total	11	43.65	

F (95 k) 3.15 2.53 3.15
F(abs) .71 .56
Source F-Ratio MS(Treat)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(T x L)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within) =
F (95%) 3.15 2.53 3.15
F(obs) 2.40 1.00 .65
Source F-Ratio HS(Treat)/NS(Within) = F-Ratio NS(T × L)/NS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(Levels)/HS(Within) =

1~46

10.15

Barriscol.

.

-

TASTE PANEL DATA

PUFFED RICE

Means of Scores

Preference

	-18° C.	20° C.	38° C.
02	1.88	1.88	2.00
א ₂	1.00	1.63	1.63
T	1.75	1.50	1.75

Difference

	-18° C.	20° C.	38° C.
0 ₂	1.50	1.50	1.38
Nz	2.25	2.25	2.13
т	2.00	1.88	1.50

Variance in Scores

Preference

Differc .ce

	-18° C.	20° C.	38° C.
0 ₂	.41	.41	.29
N,	.00	, 5 5	• 55
T	.50	.29	.21

.

ar 1

-ىكى يتقسمون

Al warmen

-

and allowing the set of the set o

-18° C. 20 C. 38° C. 0, 1.14 .86 .84 N₂ .79 1.07 .98 T .57 1.55 .86

i- . .

.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF TASTE PANEL DATA

PUFFED RICE

		Pr	reference						Ifference	
Stor	154		008 - 52	220	400	Stor	age			
LONGL		1- 500 11	SUT CONT	ADE CONF	JUOD BEE	rougi	LIONS	t(ops)	955 COL	97.55 cont
	20° C.	-0.55	±1.895	±2,365	±3,499		20° C	4,58	±1.895	±2,365
02	38° C.	0, 00	1.895	2.365	9°499	°,	38° C	4.25	1.895	2.365
-18	+20 °C	-0.55	1.895	2.365	3.499	-16	3 +20 °C	3.97	1.895	2.365
	20° C	-1.43	1.895	2,365	3.499		20° C	6.15	1.895	2.365
N2	38° C	-1.43	1.895	2.365	3.499	N2	38° C	6,06	1.895	2,365
-18	+20 °C	0.00	1.895	2,365	3°439	-18	3 +20 °C	7.18	1.895	2,365
	20° C	-2.65	1. 895	2.365	3.499		20° C	4.25	1.895	2,365
Tenox	38° C.	-1.53	1.895	2.365	3.499	Tenox	38° C	4.58	1 . 895	2,365
-18	+20 °C	-1.00	1.895	2.365	3,499	-16	3 +20 °C	7.48	1.895	2.365
¹ obsern	ved ² co	nfidence								

T-48

±2,998 2,998 2,998 2,998 2,998 2,998 2,998 2,998

99% conf

Source of variation	DF	SS	SK	Source of variation	DF	SS	SK
Treatments	2	. 75	.38	Treatments	53	. 86	.43
Levels	2	3.00	1.50	Levels	2	6.78	3.39
Cells	(8)	(5.50)		Cells	(8)	(8,03)	
Treatments x levels	±	1.75	hh	Treatments x levels	4	, 39	.10
Within subgroups	63	22.50	.36	Within subgroups	63	60.62	. 96
Total	11	28,00		Total	71	68.65	

		1078) n			1 (0E4)
ことうらん	r (obs)	(4 C R) J	Source	r (008)	IACE) J
F-Ratio MS{Treat)/MS(Within) =	1.05	3.15	F-Ratio MS(Treat)/MS(Within) =	Sti.	3.15
F-Ratio MS(T × L)/HS(Within) =	1.23	2.53	F-Ratio MS(T x L)/MS(Within) =	.10	2.53
F-Ratio MS(Levsls)/MS(Within) =	4.20	3.15	F-Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within) *	3.52	3.15

TASTE PANEL DATA

MACARONI

Means of Scores

Pre Tarence

	-18° C,	20° C.	38º C.
02	1.75	1.50	1.98
N ₂	1.38	1.75	1.75
T	1.88	1.88	1.68

	-18° C.	20° C.	38° C.
02	1.63	1.25	1.50
N2	1.50	1.25	1.38
т	1.25	1.38	1.13

Variance in Scores

Preference

Difference

	-18° C.	20° C.	38° C.
02	• 50	.29	.41
N2	<u>-27</u>	.21	.21
T	. 41	.41	.41

.

	-18° C.	20° C.	38° C.
02	• 55	1.07	1.71
N2	.57	1.07	.27
T	1.36	1,41	.98

1-1+



STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF TASTE PANEL DATA

- 14	
z	ļ
2	ĺ
-	l
- 21	i
×	
7	l
-	1

č		5	rerence				ŀ			
VION	8 g 8						-1)1fference		
Condi	tions	t(obs ¹)	90% conf ²	958 rone	908	Storage				
					JUOD LCC	Conditions	t(obs)	95% conf	97.5% conf	9000 \$66
	20° C.	-2,65	±1,895	12,365	13 1100					
5	38° C.	-0.55	1.895	2.365		200 C	3,42	±1.895	±2,365	12.998
-18	+20 °C	-1.00	1.895	2 265	nnt o	U2 389 C	3.24	1.895	2.365	999.0
	20° C	-1.53	1 895	2000	551 0	-18 +20 °C	6.18	1.895	2.365	7.928
N ₂	38° C	-1.53	1.895	336 6	001 0	20° C	3.42	1.895	2.365	900
-18	120 °C	-3.42	1.895	2355 C	561 0	N2 38° C	7.51	1.895	2,365	7 998
	200 0	-0.55	1.895	2 365	554 0	-18 +20 °C	5.61	1.895	2,355	2.998
Xou	38° C.	-0.55	1.895	2.365	554.0	20° C	3.27	1.895	2,365	2.958
-18	+20 °C	-0.55	1.895	2.365	001 0	Lenox 38° C	3.21	1.895	2,365	2.998
-					net op	Jo 07+ 8T-	3.03	1.895	2.365	2.998
'obser	red ^c or	afidence								

I--50

	UF	2	2	(8)	÷	63	71	
Salince of the offer	CORICE OF ARTACIOU	Ireatments	Levels	Cells	Treatments x levels	Within subgroups	Total	
- SK		0.7 •	RC.		121	,35		
SS	35			11.2	160 10	00 CC	RE 007	
ЗQ	2	~	(B)) 	63	212	!	
Source of variation	I'reatments	Levels	Cells	Treatments x levels	Mithin subgroups	Total	R	

3

SE

26

SS -53 -53 -53 -72 -72 -72 -72 -72 -72 -64,61

8

F (95 8) 3.15 2.53 3.15
F(obs) .18 .26
Source F-Ratio MS(Treat)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(T x L)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within) =
F (95%) 3.15 2.53 3.15
F(obs) .52 .70 1.12
Source F-Ratio MS(Treat)/HS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(T x L)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within) =

TASTE PANEL DATA

CHICKEN

Means of Scores

Preference

	<u> </u>	20° C.	38° C.
02	1.88	2.00	2.00
N ₂	2.13	2.25	2.13
T	1.25	1.38	1.38

	-10° C.	20° C.	38° C.
0 ₂	1.75	3.00	2,00
N ₂	1.13	.88	1.75
T	2.50	2.63	2.50

Variance in Scores

Preference

.

Difference

The second se

	-18° C,	20° C.	38° C.		-18° C.	20° C.	38° C.
0 ₂	.70	.57	.57	02	1.93	1.14	1.14
N2	.13	.21	.70	N ₂	. 70	.70	1.93
T	.21	,55	.55	T	1.43	1.13	2.00

CHICKEN - DRY

	Storage	Pre	ference	Difi	ference
Sample	Conditions	Heans	Veriance	Neans	Variance
٨	N ₂ 20° C.	1.5	0.5714	2.0	1,425
B	[~] Tenox 38° C.	1.375	0,5533	2.625	1.695

1-51

25	
TABLE	

1

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF TASTE PANEL DATA

REHYDRATED CHICKEN

		Ł	reference						ifference		
Stor Condi	age tions	t(obs ¹)	90\$ conf ²	95% conf	99% conf	Storas	ge ions	+(ohe)+	954 222	01 54	
						111111	10112		JUDD ACC	SI'S CONF	100 166
	20° C.	0.00	±1.895	±2,365	£3,499		20° C	5,29	±1.895	17,365	100 C4
02	38° C.	0.00	1, 895	2,365	3.499	ۍ د -	38° C	5.29	1.895	2.355	
-18	+20 °C	-0.42	1.895	2.365	3,499	-18	+20 °C	3.56	1.895	2.365	2.591
	20° C	1.53	1.895	2,365	3.499		20° C	2.97	1.895	2.365	100 0
r) R	38° C	0.42	1.895	2,365	3.499	N2	38° C	3.56	1.895	2,365	2.99
-18	+20 °C	1.00	1.895	2,365	3,499	1811	+20 °C	3.81	1.895	2.365	3.996
	20° C	-2.38	I+895	2.365	3.499		20° C	7.00	1.895	2,365	2.991
Tenox	38° C.	-2.38	1.895	2.365	3.495	Tenox	38° C	5.00	1,895	2,365	2.996
-18	+20 °C	-4.58	1.895	2.365	3,499	-18	-20 °C	5.92	1.895	2.365	2.996

observed confidence

<u>.</u> •

AIMAG OF 11341 341 68	22	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i					
DOTTON AUTOMIN	JC DC	20	NS N	Source of variation	10	SS	MS
<i><u>lreatments</u></i>	2	19	01	Treatments	2	0.5	
jevels	2	9.03	4.51	Levels		20.02	
Cells	(8)	(9.28)		Cells	(a)	(72 60)	1007
freatments x levels	t	05	5	Treatments v levels		150.031	53
Vithin subgroups	63	79 27	61	Within submound	63	011 63	
Cotal	11	38.65		Total	71	100 22	
						70.001	

F (9 54) 3 .15 2.53 3.15
F(obs) .44 .46 7.42
Source F-Ratio MS(Treat)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(T x L)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within) =
F (95%) 3.15 2.53 3.15
F(obs) .21 .03 9.68
Source F-Rati∩ MS(Treat)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(T × L)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within) =

CHICKEN - DRY

398 conf 3.012 Preference 90% conf 95% conf 2.145 t(obs)# 0.37)

t(obs)#

994 conf 3.012

Difference nf 95% conf

90% conf 1.761

2.145

Γ मा

TASTE PANEL DATA

BEEF (REHYDRATED)

Means of Scores

Preference

Difference

	-18° C,	20° C.	38° C.
02	1.75	1.75	2.38
N ₂	1.75	2.25	1.50
T	1.63	2.00	1.75

	-18° C.	20° C.	38° C.
02	2.00	1.88	2,25
N ₂	2.75	1.63	1.63
T	2.75	2.25	1.50

Variance în Scores

Preference

Difference

	-18° C.	20° C.	38° C.
0 ₂	.50	.50	.55
N ₂	.79	.21	.29
T	. 84	, 86	.21

And a second second of

	-18° C.	20° C.	38° C.
02	1.14	1.27	•50
N ₂	1.36	. 84	1.98
T	1.07	.50	.57

BEEF - DRY

	Storage	Prei	ference	Dif:	ference
Sample	Conditions	Heans	Variance	Heans	Variance
A	02 38° C.	2,75	0.4998	2.62	0.2678
B	0_2^{-} Tenox -18 +20 °C.	1.875	0.6961	2.0	1.142

I-53

27	
TABLE	

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF TASTE PAREL DATA

REHYDRATED BEEF

5+04		£	reference					Difference		
Condi	aga tions	t(obs ¹)	90% conf ²	95% conf	995 conf	Storage Conditions	t(obs)	95 8 cm f	47.58 cm6	100
	20° C.	-1.00	±1.995	*2 ,365	£64,£*	200	C H, 71	•	±7 366	800 C+
02	36° C.	1.43	1.895	2.365	3.499	380		, y	-2100	066 . 2-
-18	+20 °C	-1.00	1.895	2 365	3 1100	2 10 20		1004	202.7	2.338
	000	1 63	1 005		nn+•2	074 07-	-c 5.29	T.895	2,365	2.998
		2007	CKB . T	2.305	9°499	200	C 5.02	L, 895	2.365	2.998
N2 N2	280	-2.65	1.895	2.365	3.499	380	с	1.895	2,365	2 00B
-13	+20 °C	-0.80	1.895	2,365	3,499	-18 +20	°C 6 68	1_895	2.365	000 0
	20° C	0.00	<u>1.895</u>	2.365	3.499	000		1 895	296.0	000 0
Tenox	38° C.	-1.53	1.895	2,365	9 400	Toron 200			1.00	0
al	JO UCT	-1 16	1 005			- OC YOUAT	× 5.61	L-845	205.2	2,998
		07.7	C 69 ° T	2.365	3.499	-18 +20	°C 7.51	1.895	2.365	2.998
1 - 1 - 1	2									
rasco.										

4

SW	.51	.18		99	.53		
SS	1.03	.36	(2,36)	3, 97	33.25	38.61	
DF	2	2	(8)	đ	63	11	
Source of variation	Treatments	Levels	Cells	Treatments x levels	Hithin subgroups	Totai	

1.54

25

6.81 54.63

11 63

Cells Treatments x levels Within subgroups Total

78.65

6.85

(8)

20

SH 3.43 R

ŝ

Source of variation

Treatments Levels

F (95 \$) 3.15 3.15 3.15
F(obs) 3.34 1.66 .18
Source F-Ratio MS(Treat)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(T x L)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within) =
F (95%) 3.15 2.53 3.15
F(cbs) .97 1.88 .34
Source F-Ratio MS(Treat)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(T × L)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within) =

* Compared two mean values of Samples A and B, using t est

998 conf 3.012

Difference The 95% conf 2.145

90% conf 1.761

t(obs)# 1.493

99% conf 3.012

95% conf 2.145

90% conf 1.761

t(obs)* 2.272

~ ~

Preference

BEEF - DRY

TADLE 28

SHRIMP

Means of Scores

Preference

Difference

	-18° C.	20° C.	38° C.
02	1.38	1.38	1.38
N ₂	2.00	2.13	1.88
T	2.00	1.50	1.63

	-18° C.	20° C.	38° C.
02	2.13	2.25	2.63
N ₂	1.50	2.13	1.50
T	2.00	2.00	2.75

Variance in Scores

-18° C. 20° C. 38° C. .27 .27 .27 02 .57 .79 .41 N₂ .57 .29 .84 T

-- "Messings" -- w

Preference

Difference

	-18° C.	20° C.	38° C.
02	.70	1.64	.27
N ₂	. 86	.70	. 86
T	.57	1.14	.21

and the second s

......

SHRIMP - DRY

	Storage	Pre	ference	Difference		
Sample	Conditions	Heans	Variance	Heans	Variance	
٨	N, 20° C.	1.75	0.4997	2.25	1.07	
В	02 20° C.	2.0	0.8571	1.875	1.553	

I~55

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF TASTE PANEL DATA

REHYDRATED SHRIMP

	LITENDO	056 200 6	JUD COUL	1 005	CRO T-	1.895	1.895	1 005	1,033	1.895	1 005	1004	1.895	1 205	2004	1.895
ć		+(ahe)	<pre></pre>	L 97		14.35	7.20	7 20		4 . 58	4.5R		5°73	16.80		8 h •/
D SHRIMP		Conditions	0101010	000		02 380 C	-18 +20 °C	U0 UC		N2 38° C	-18 +20 °C		202 0	Tenox 38° C		30 0Z+ 8T-
ALATUKATE		998 conf		66ħ°€≠	011 0		3.499	3.499	001 0	554.0	3.499	0011 6		3°499	001 6	554.0
		95% conf		±2,365	7 365		2.365	2,365	336.0	202.2	2,365	7 365		2,365	7 365	coc• 7
eference		90% conf ²		±1.895	1.895	1 005	1.830	1,895	1 005	1,073	1, 895	1.895		T. 445	1 995	
P		t(obs ¹)		-3.42	-3.42	51 5	74.01	0.42	-0.55		00°0	-2.65		-1.10	00-00	
	OTAGE	ditions		20° C.	380 0	18 +20 OC		202	0 0 8 5		J- 07+ 8T	20° C	0000		18 +20 °C	
	St	Con			05	•			N N	7	•		T.0-	YOUAT	1	

¹observed ²confidence

attraction Display in subgroups Display in subgroups <thdisplay in="" subgroups<="" th=""> <thdisplay in="" subgroups<<="" th=""><th>DF</th><th></th><th></th></thdisplay></thdisplay>	DF		
ents 2 .36 .18 Treatments 2 4.69 2.35 Levels 8 (6.03) 2.34 Cells ents x levels 4 .97 .24 subgroups 63 29.25 .46		20	2) E.
2 4.69 2.35 Levels (8) (6.03) 2.91 Cells ents x levels 4 97 .24 subgroups 6.3 29.25 .46	2	2,11	1.06
(8)(6.03)Cellsents x levels4.24Cellssubgroups6329.25.46	2	5.53	2.76
ents x levels 4 .24 Treatments x levels subgroups 63 29.25 .46 Within subgroups	(a)	(11.69)	2
subgroups 63 29.25 .46 Within subgroups			
	63		T , L
71 35.28 Total	21	F0.32	

7-

F (95 \$) 3.15 2.53 3.15	
F(obs) 1.37 1.31 3.58	
Source F-Ratio MS(Treat)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(T x L)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within) =	MP - DRY
F (95%) 3.15 2.53 3.15	SHRI
F(obs) . 39 . 52 5.06	
Source F-Ratio MS(Treat)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(T x L)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within) =	

99% conf 3.012 Difference nf 95% conf 2.145 90% conf 1 **161** t(obs)# 0.657 99% conf 3.012 Preference 90% conf 95% conf 2.145 1°761 t(obs)* -0,737

99% conf

97.5% conf

2.998 2.998 2.998

2, 365 2, 365 2, 365 2, 365 2, 365 2, 365 2, 365 2, 365

2.998 2.998

±2,998 2,998 2,998 2,998

2.365 2.363

TASTE PANEL DATA

PEAS (DRY)

02

 N_2

Т

N₂

02

N₂

Т

	Pref	ference	Means	of Scores
	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.	
02	1.63	1.50	1.63	
N ₂	1.63	2,00	1.88	-
т	1.88	1,75	1.50	

-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
2.13	2,13	1.88
1.38	2.00	1.75
1.63	1.13	1.63

Difference

-1

Preference

Variance In Scores

	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
0	.55	,57	.27
N ₂	.27	.57	.70
т	.41	.50	.29

Difference

	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
02	•98	1,84	1.27
N ₂	1.13	1.43	1.93
т	1.13	.70	1.13

PEAS (COOKED)

Preference

Means of Scores

	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.	
0 ₂	1,75	2.38	2.00	
N 2	1.88	1.88	1.88	
Т	2.00	2.25	1.88	

Difference

-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
1.63	1.63	1.63
1.75	2.13	1.38
1.88	1.63	2.00

-

5.74.

Variance in Scores Preference







and the second second

Difference

1-57

Т

COLUMN TO THE

. A,

*

5

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF TASTE PANEL DATA

DRY PEAS

	Preference			
Storage				Γ
Conditions	$t(obs^{1})$	90 % con f2	95% conf	99% Conf
20°C.	-1.87	±1 205	1000	
0, <u>380</u>	11		COC . 7 -	55 4 53
		1.895	2.355	3.499
-7-A-1-	C+-T-	1_895	7 36 C	
20°C.	C		(<u>10</u>	554.0
N. 2000	, ,	1.895	2.365	3, 499
	42	1.895	7 365	001
-18 +20°C.	-2.05		500.2	554.0
2000		1-295	2.365	3.499
		1.895	7 365	001 0
JAR XOUAT	-2.65	1 895	3 36 5	0010
-18 +20°C	- 55	222	2000	554.0
	3	1.895	2.365	3 400
; 	•			
CDServe	2 ⁷	on fidence		

² Confidence	
bserved	

UN	¢,	•04	• 38		20	46		
55	er.	00.	د۲.	2.00	1.17	28.88	30.88	
DF	6	, k	7	ω	ŧ	63	71	
Source of Variation	Treatments	Levels	Calle		TTAL CILS X DEVES	SCNOLEGNS TITLIT	TDIGI	

<u>:</u>-,

F (95%) 3.15 2.53 3.15
F(obs) .09 .64
F-Ratio MS(Treat)/WS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(T x L)/WS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within) =

	b _4	lifference		
Storage				
Conditions	t(obs)	95% conf	97.5% conf	99% conf
20°C.	t, 43	±1.895	±2,365	±2.998
02 <u>38°C.</u>	4.71	1_845	7 75 6	222
	20.9		000.2	866.2
-102-07-	٥.•٥	L. 895	2.365	7.998
20°C.	4.73	1.895	2,365	200 0
N. 3800	3 55		000	2.330
-7 00 U Z -	0, 20	I.895	2,365	2.998
•:0~NZ+ 87-	3.57	I.895	2.365	2 000
20°C.	3.81	1 200		055.7
Tonou 2000		1-030	2,305	2.998
CAR 20-1	+. 33	1.893	2,355	000 0
-18 +20°C.	4.33	1 001		000.7
	00*	368.1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 200

-

	Şi	10	2.06		10	1 28		
	SS	•03	4.11	7.36	3 22	80.63	87,99	
	30	7	2	ω	1	63	11	
Source of Vaniatian	Treattents		Do 11-0	STTP	.reatments x Levels	Althin Subgroups	DCal	

<u>r (95</u> 5) 3.13 2.53 3.15
<u>r(cjs)</u> .01 .63 1.61
* * *
F-Ratio MS(Treat)/MS(Within) F-Ratio MS(T x L)/MS(Within) F-Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within)

,

			99% conf	±2,998	866.2	2.998	2,998	2.938	2,998	2,998	2,998	2,998		2	.10	.26		.66	1.06		F (95 8) 3.15 2.53 3.15
			97 .5% conf	±2 . 365	2,365	2,365	2.365	2,365	2,365	2,365	2,365	2.365	ູ່ບ	2	.19	•53	3,36	2.64	66.63	69,99	<u>F(obs)</u> .09 .25
		Difference	95% conf	±1.895	1.895	1.895	1,895	1.895	1.895	1.895	I.895	1.895	L.		2	2	8	4	63	71	ithin) = [thin) = Mithin) =
×			t(obs)	66 1	5.02	5.02	5,34	4.25	3.86	4.33	5.29	6.35	; = + i Co	7 C L L UNI				Levels	san		ource eat)/MS(W; x L)/MS(W; vels)/MS(1
TASTE PANEL DAT	EAS		Storage Ucnditions	20°C.	0, 38°C.	-13 +20°C.	20°C.	N ₂ 38°C.	-18 +20°C.	20°C.	Tenox 38°C.	-18 +20°C.	Solitore of Van		:reatments	Levels	Cells	Treatments x	Within Subgro	Total	F-Ratio MS(Tr F-Ratio MS(T F-Ratio MS(Le
LYSIS OF	HYDRATED F		s conf	66t.6	1,499	3.499	9.499	3 . 499	1.499	1.499	664.	9.499	UN N			•22		.24	.48		95%) 53 .15
STATISTICAL AN	RE		95% conf 99%	±2,365 ±:	2.365	2.365	2.365	2,365	2.365	2.365	2.365	2,365	SS		1.13	++	2,61	.97	30.38	32,99	obs) F (1.24 3 .50 2 .46 3
US .		9	90% conf2	*1.895	1.895	1.895	CED .	1.895	1 895	1.895	1.895	1.895	Confidence DF			2	8	圷	63	71	hin) = <u>r(</u> thin) = <u>r(</u>
		Preferenc	t(obs ¹)	1.43	0	-1.53	42	55	- 55	1.00	- 55	0	d ² atien					evels	SC		ource at)/MS(Hit L)/MS(Wit els)/MS(Wi
			Storage Conditions	20°C.	02 38°C.	-18 +20°C.	20°C.	^N 2 38°C.	•.18 +20°C.	20.00	Tenox 38°C.	-18 +20°C.	¹ Obcerve Source of Vari	Two then te	17.58 (1151113	Levels	Certs	ireatments x L	Within Subgrou	Total	E-Rutio MS(Tre F-Ratio MS(T x F-Ratio MS(Lev

02

N₂

T

02

N₂

T

02

N₂

T

TASTE PANEL DATA

ASPARAGUS (DRY)

Heans of Scores

Di	ff	ere	nce
----	----	-----	-----

	<u>-18°C.</u>	20°C.	38°C.
02	2.13	1.50	2.00
№2	1.75	1,75	1.88
T	1.75	1.63	2.00

Preference

 18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
2.13	2.13	1.63
1.63	2.13	2.00
2.00	1.75	1.88

Preference

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$

: • •

Variance In Scores

	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
0	.41	,29	.57
N2	.50	,21	.70
T	.50	.27	.57

Difference

-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
.70	.70	1.13
1.13	.70	1.14
2.00	,50	,98

ASPARAGUS (COOKED)

Preference



-18°C. 20°C. 38°C. 1.25 02 1.38 1.13 N₂ 1.50 1,50 1.13 T 1.50 1.63 1.38

Difference

-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
2.38	2.00	3.25
1.88	2,00	3.00
2,25	2.25	2.25

Variance in Scores Preference



Difference

	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
0 ₂	.84	,50	1.43
N ₂	1.55	,57	1.14
т	.50	.79	1.07

1.60



سور مرمند --

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF TASTE PANEL DATA

DRY ASPAPAGUS

398 conf

97.5% conf

95% conf

Difference

±2.998

±2.365

±1,895

2.998 2.998 2.998 2.998 2.998 2.938

2.365 2.365 2.365 2.365 2.365 2.365 2.365

1.895 1.895 1.895 1.895 1.895 1.895 1.895

2.998

2.365

1.895

	conf 39% conf Conditions	165 ±3,439	10 3.499 0, 2000 1.	65 3, 432 2, 2000 H	65 3.499 - 2007 - 2	65 3.499 N. 3807 5	65 3.499 2-18 +200 - 5	55 3 499 20°C 7	65 3.499 Tenox 38°C E.	65 3.499 -18 +20°C		
reference	t(obs ¹) 90% conf2 95%	-2.65 *1.895 ±2.36	0 1.895 2.30	.55 1.895 2.36	1.53 1.895 2.3 6	42 1.895 2.36	1.00 1.895 2.36	2.36	<u> </u>		² Confidence	
đ.	Storage Conditions	200C			1000	-18 +2006	2000	Tenox 38°C	-18 +20°C		¹ Observed	

Source of Variation DF SS MS Treatrants 2 33 17 Levels 2 08 04 Cell: 8 2.75 04 Cell: 8 2.75 04 Treatments x Levels 4 2.33 .58 Althin Subgroups 63 62.75 1.00 Total 71 65.50 1.00	
Source of VariationDFSSVSTreatments21.44.72Levels2.11.06Levels82.53.06Cells4.97.24Statents x Levels4.97.24State7130.65.45	ć

2.61

H łt # F-Ratio MS(Treat)/MS(Within) F-Ratio MS(T x L)/MS(Within) F-Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within) <u>F (95%)</u> 3.15 2.53 3.15 F(obs) 1.62 .54 .12 H N Ħ Source F-Patio MS(Treat)/MS(Within) F-Patio MS(Levels)/MS(Within) F-Patio MS(Levels)/MS(Within)

• ~

F (95%) 3.15 2.53 3.15

F(cbs) .17 .59

			400	2396 CONT 2,998 2,998 2,998	2.998 2.998 2.998 2.998 2.998	45 36.15 .45 .70	<u>F (958)</u> 3.15 2.53 3.15
			97.5 <u>8</u> conf	±2.365 2.365 2.365 2.365	2.365 2.365 2.365 2.365 2.365 2.365	55 108.46 .90 .114.21 4.85 58.75 172.96	(cis) 51.69 1.16
			95% conf	±1.895 1.895 1.895 1.895	1.895 1.895 1.895 1.895 1.895	רב 3 11 6 84	7 = (nir = (nir = (nir
10		E	t(obs)	4, 73 13,00 7,33 7,48	7, 34 4, 25 7, 18 6, 15 9, 00	lation evels DS	urce at)/%S(with L)/%S(With els)/MS(Wit
	SPARAGUS		Storāge Conditions	0 ₂ 20°C. -18 +20°C. 20°C.	^N 2 38°C. -18 +20°C. 7enox 38°C. -18 +20°C.	Source of Yari Treatments Levels Cells Treatments x L Within Subgrou Total	F-Ratio MS(Tree F-Ratio MS(T x F-Ratio MS(Leve
	REHYDRATED A		Jup 365	*3° 499 3° 499 3° 499	3.499 3.499 3.499 3.499 3.499	• 30 • • • •	F (958) 3.15 2,53 3.15
			2 95% con.	±2.365 2.365 2.365 2.365	2.365 2.365 2.365 2.365	SS 1.08 .75 .17 .17 .17 20,88	(obs) <u>1.81</u> .1.25
		8	908 conf	*1.895 1.895 1.895 1.895	1 205 1.895 1.895 1.895 1.895 1.895	DF 63 4 8 8 2 2 71	in) = <u>1</u> fn) = <u>1</u> f n
		Preferen	t(obs ¹)	-3.42 -7.00 -4.58 -2.65 -7.00	-2.65 -1.43 -3.42 -1.87 id 2,	ation evels 55	Durce at)/MS(With L)/MS(With Ls)/MS(With
		Stonage	Conditions	02 20°C. -18 +20°C. N2 38°C.	-18 +20°C. Tenox 38°C. -18 +20°C. ¹ Observe	Source of Vari Treatments Levels Cells Teatments X Lu Within Subgrout Total	F-Ratio MS(Trea F-Ratio MS(T x F-Ratio MS(Leve
						* - 44	

ς.

TABLE 35

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF TASTE PANEL DATA

1

TASTE PANEL DATA

STRAWBERRIES (DRY)

Means of Scores

Difference

	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.	
0 ₂	2.13	2.25	2.13	
N ₂	1.75	1.75	1.25	
Т	1.88	1.88	1.88	

Preference

	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
0 ₂	1.88	1.75	2.13
N ₂	1.50	1,88	2.25
T	1.63	2.13	2.13

Preference

Variance in Scores

02

N₂

Т

	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.	_
02	.98	.50	.70	
N ₂	.21	.21	.21	
T	.70	.70	.70	.

الداني وسنامي

، ج منت

Difference

-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
.41	1.07	.70
.29	1.27	1.07
1.41	1.84	. 98

- (

* ***_E

I-63

1

1.11

-

~

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF TASTE PANEL DATA

STRAWBERRIES

	Preferenc	•			
Storage Conditions	t(obe ¹)	90% cone?	056		S
			and cont	JUOD 466	ပို
20°C.	1 00	±1.895	±7_365	1 100	
02 38°C.	.42	1.895	2.365	007 8	
-18 +20°C.	•36	1.895	2.365	001 2	02
20°C.	-1.53	1.895	2.365	3 499	<u>' </u>
N2 38°C.	-it.58	1.895	2.365	06 7 - E	7
-70 +70/C.	-1.53	1 895	2~365	3 400	2
×0.2	42	1.895	2.365	2 100	
Tenox 38°C.	42	1.895	2,365	3, 499	, L
-18 +20°C.	42	1.895	2,365	3.499	
¹ Ob se rve	d ² C	onfidence			
Source of Vari	ation	DF	¢C	, UN	
Trouteste			55	ູດ	I Sour

	SN	.29	2 01			12.		<u>.</u>	
	SS	.58	4,08		00.00	. 83	34 38	00.00	39 88
10	5	2	2	a	•	≠	63		17
Source of Vaniation			revers	Cells	Thestmonts	STEATINGTICS X PEASES	Within Subgroups	Total	

F (95 3.15 3.15 3.15
F(obs) .53 .38 3.74
iin) = = (iii) = = tin) =
IFCe =)/ <u>V</u> S(With)/MS(With Ls)/MS(With
MS(Treat MS(T x I MS(Level
0 0 0

		ş	3	Ţ			,	2	80	8		T]
	400		±2.99	2.99	7 99			66.7	2.99	2,99	2.99	00	
	97.5% conf		±2,365	2.365	2.365	2.365	7 365	2.001	505.2	2.365	2.365	2.365	2 2 2 2
Difference	95 % conf		±1.895	1.895	1.895	1.895	1.895	1 005	T-033	1,895	1.895	1.895	
1	t(obs)		4.78	7.20	8.28	4.71	6.15	7 011	F 0 1	4 43	6.06	3.87	
	Storage Conditions		20°C.	02 38°C.	-18 +20°C.	20°C.	N ₂ 38°C.	-18 +20°C.	2000		1 CIIOX 38-C.	-18 +20°C.	

, vi		0C.T	3		67.	1.06		
55	3.00		. 08	4.25	71.1	63.25	67.50	
DF	2		4	x	±	63	11	
source of Variation	Treatments	Levels	Cells	Teatments v female		Total	TPTM	

F-Ratio MS(Treat)/MS(Within) =
F-Ratio MS(T x L)/MS(Within) =
F-Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within) = **2** 3,15 m

F (95%) 3.15 2.53 3.15

F(còs) 1.49 .29

F-Rati F-Rati

5

TASTE PANEL DATA

APPLES (DRY)

```
Preferance
```

Means of Scores

02

N₂

Т

	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
0 ₂	2.00	2,00	2,25
N2	2.00	2.00	2.00
T	2.00	2.00	2.25

	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
02	1.50	1.63	1.88
N ₂	1.88	1.50	2.13
т	1.88	1.88	2.00

Difference

Variance In Scores

Difference

-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
1.14	.84	.70
.98	.86	1.27
.70	.70	1.71

APPLES (COOKED)

Means of Scores

Difference

	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
02	2.13	2.00	1.63
N ₂	1.63	1.88	1.88
T	2.13	2.00	2.75

Preference

Variance in Scores

	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
°2	.29	.21	.21
N2	.21	.21	,55
Т	.27	.27	.55

ستتشر

Difference

	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
¢2	.98	.29	1.41
N ₂	1.13	.41	.98
т	.98	.57	.50

--- **.........................**

I-65

-18°C. 20°C.

,29

.29

.57

Preference

20°C.

1.75

2,25

1.63

.57

.29

.57

-18°C.

1,50

1.75

2.38

0

N₂

T

02

N₂

T

· summer

Preference

38°C.

.50

.29

.50

38°C.

2,25

1,63

1.38

1

ļ

ŧ,

STATISTICAL MALTSIS OF TASTE PAREL DATA

DRT APPLES

conditions	t(obs ¹)	90 % conf2	958 rm6	904
20°C.	c	1) 00C		
0, <u>38°C.</u>		CE0.1	-2.305	66h°E*
-18 +20°C	20	C69.1	2.365	3.499
2000		1.895	2.365	3.490
1, 3800		1.895	2.365	3, 499
-18 +20°C		1.895	2.365	3, 499
2000		1.895	2.365	3 400
enox 320C		1.895	2.365	007 8
-18 +2000	00.4	1.895	2.365	3.499
;	>	1.895	2.365	3, 499
¹ Observe	đ ² C,	an fi dence		

ŝ		;;	90.		• 06	64.	
22				. 78	.22	27.00	27.78
DF	2	2	•	0:	†	201	
iation				Levels	scu		
ource of Var	reatments	sters	STT	eatments x	thin Subgro	tal	

		1995 CODF	±2,998		866.7	966.7	966.7	2.998	2.998	2.998	2.998	2 000	066.7
	07 66	JUDD AC. /C	±2,365	7.365	7.365	2 365	2.002	2.000	2.365	2.365	2.365	2,365	
lfference	95 8 rmf		±1.895	1.895	1.895	1.895	1 ROC	1001	C60 T	1.895	1.895	1.895	
,	t(obs)		5.02	6.35	3.97	4.58	5.34	5.35	0 0 0 0 0 0 0		4.32	6.35	
	S torage Conditions		20°C.	^v 2 38°C.	-13 +20°C.	20°C.	N2 38°C.	-18 +20°C.	20°C.	Tenox 3000		-J_NZ1 0T-	

...

	\$.72	.39		.20	66.	
	SS	7° 44	. 78	3.03	.81	62.25	65.28
DF.	JA K	7	~	œ	ŧ	63	71
ariation				1 0.101	STRATS	2000	
Source of V	Treatments	Levels	Cells	Treatmente	Within Subar	Total	

F (95 \$) 3.15 3.15 3.15
<u>F(obs)</u> .73 .20 .39
F-Ratio MS(Treat)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(T x L)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within) =

ل ۲۰۰6

ſ
9 7 TABLE

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF TASTE PANEL DATA

REHYDRATED APPLES

39% conf

97.5% conf

95% conf

t(obs)

Difference

±2.998

±2,365

±1.895

10.58

3.87

6.06

2.998 2.998

2.365 2.365 2.365 2.365 2.365 2.365 2.365 2.365

1.895 1.895 1.895 1.895 1.895 1.895 1.895

8.28 5.35 4.33

7.48 11.00

6.06

2.998 2.998

2.998

2.365

2, 998 2, 998 2, 998

	Storage of Conditions			-18 +20°C	2000	N, 38°C.	-18 +20°C.	20°C.	Tenox 38°C	-18 +20°C.	
	99 8 cor	564 €≠	3.490	3.499	3.499	3.499	3.499	3.499	3.499	3.499	
	95% conf	±2,365	2.365	2.365	2.365	2.365	2.365	2.365	2.365	2.365	
*	90% conf2	±1,895	1.895	1.895	1.895	1.895	1.895	1.895	L. 895	1.895	lonfidence
Preference	t(obs ¹)	-1.53	1.53	-2.65	1.53	-1.43	FC-1-	-2.05	-2.38	2.05	2(
	Storage Conditions	20°C.	02 38°C.	-18 +20°C		12 30-C.	-1-07- 0T-	Toros 2000	10 1000		1 Obsarved

Ų	JN SS
² Confidenc	DF
Vec	riation

I+67

SN	EI	40.	T	2.04			
SS	.25	.08	8.50	8.17	19.50	28.00	
DF	2	27	80	,t	63	71	
Source of Variation	Ireatments	Fevers	CELLS	reatments x Levels	Within Subgroups	Total	

F(obs)	6.60	.13
Source atio MS(Treat)/MS(Hithin) =	atio MS(T x L)/MS(Within) =	atio MS(Levels)/MS(Within) =

F (958) 3.15 2.53 3.15

Y.		67.	T-03		7 6	la la		
SS	. 25	3 25	2460	62.1	3.75	50.75		
ΩF	2	6	* 0	Ø	4	63	i	
Source of Variation	Treatments	Levels	Cells	Twostmosts . T	TEACHINGTICS X TEACTS	WITHIN SUDEROUDS	Iotal	

F (95 §) 3.15 2.53 3.15
F(cbs) .16 1.16 2.02
<pre>Source F-Ratio %S(Treat)/%S(Within) = F-Ratio MS(T x L)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within) =</pre>

58.00

い

TABLE 41

. . .

TASTE PANEL DATA

COTTAGE CHEESE (DRY)

Means of Scores Preference

Difference

	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
02	1.75	1.88	1,88
N2	1,88	2,13	2,00
T	2.00	2.25	2,13

-

...

	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
0 ₂	1,75	2.13	2.13
N ₂	1.50	2.00	1.75
т	1,88	2.00	2.25

* . ». «.

Variance in Scores Preference

Difference

	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.	
0 ₂	.50	.70	.70	
N ₂	.41	.70	.29	
T	. 86	.21	.70	

	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
02	1.07	1.55	1.27
N ₂	1.14	. 86	.79
Т	1.27	. 86	1.07

-

I-63

- " Faith - State

옃	
VALE	

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF TASTE PANEL DATA

COTTAGE CHEESE

Difference

	Preference	•			
Storage Conditions	t(obs ¹)	90% conf2	958 conf	99% conf	Stora
20°C.	42	±1,895	±2.365	¢3,499	
0 ₂ 38°C.	Z₩	1.895	2.355	3.499	°
-18 +20°C.	-1.00	1.895	2,365	3,499	-18 +
2000	-42	1.895	2.365	3.499	
²⁴ 2 38°C.	0	1.895	2,365	3.499	N,
-2002	55	1.895	2.355	3, 499	-18 +2
20.02	1.53	1.895	2.365	3.499	
Lenox 38°C.	.42	1.895	2.365	3.499	Tenox
-18 +20°C.	0	1.895	2.365	3.499	-18 +
¹ Observe	در در	onfidence			

0	
e Ti	
\sim	
τ	
ŧ١.	
Ζ.	
٤.	
ы.	
v	
Ń.	
ö	
3	
_	

Six	.26	-51		6	.56	
SS	.53	1.03	1.61	.06	35.38	36,99
DF	5	7	8	ŧ	63	71
Source of Variation	Îreatments	Levels	Cells	reatments x Levels	Within Subgroups	lotal

7-69

F(abs) .02 .92
17 tt 16
Source F-Ratio NS(Treat)/NS(Within) F-Ratio MS(T x L)/MS(Within) F-Ratio MS(Lewels)/MS(Within)

	99% conf	±2,998	866.7	2.998	2.958	2.998	900	2,998	2.998	900 0	
	97.5% ccnf	±2,365	2.365	2,365	2.365	2.365	2.365	2.365	2.365	2.365	
	95 % conf	±1.895	1.895	1,895	1.895	1,895	1.895	1.895	1.895	1.895	
	t(obs)	4 . 82	5,34	4. 78	6.11	5.58	3.97	6.11	6.15	4.71	
	orage ditions	20°C.	38°C.	3 +20°C.	20°C.	38°C.	3 +20°C.	20°C.	38°C.	5 +20°C.	
And and an other statements of the statement of the state	St(Con(02	٦ ۲		N2 2	-18		Tenox	-16	1

ce of 'ariation	DF	SS	S
tments	2	1.78	. 89
Ls	, ,	21.1	PD P
S	α	3.53	•
tments x Levels	=	56	ΠГ
in Subgroups	63	69.13	
7	12	72.65	
			1

F (954) 3.15 2.53 3.15
<u>F(cbs)</u> .81 .13 .54
11 11 14
Source F-Ratio MS(Treat)/MS(Within) F-Ratio MS(T x L)/MS(Within) F-Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within)
F (954) 3.15 2.53 3.15
3

ү - жа. -

TABLE 43

TASTE PANEL DATA

BEEF STEW (REHYDRATED)

Means of Scores

Preference

Difference

4

	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
0 ₂	2.50	2.38	1,63
N2	2,50	2,50	1.88
T	2.25	2.13	1.88

	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
02	1.88	1.25	2.25
N ₂	1.88	1.30	1.75
т	2.00	2.13	1.50

Preference

Variance in Scores

Difference

	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
02	.57	.27	.84
N ₂	.29	.29	.70
т	.50	.41	.70

	-18°C.	20°C.	38°C.
0 ₂	1.84	.79	.50
N ₂	.98	.55	.50
T	.29	.41	. 86

1-70

×

TABLE 44

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF TASTE PANEL DATA

BEEF STEW

Difference

	Preference			
Storage Conditione	t(abel)	90 5 cm 62	068	
				JUOD CCUL
2000.	2.05	±1.895	±7_365	13 400
2 38°C.	-1.16	1.895	2.365	
-18 +20°C.	1.87	1 805	2.26.0	001.0
20°C,	2.65	200 1	20077	3.499
38°C.	64		205-2	3.499
-19 -3705		1.895	2,365	3.499
	C0. 2	1.895	2.365	3- 499
- - N N	.55	1_895	7 365	001 0
enck 38°C.	42	1 895	255	0010
-18 +20°C.	00.1		202.3	554 0
		1.895	2.365	3.499
1 means	2.			
ビー・インタンシ	び	onfiliance		

² Con	
¹ Cbserved	

1 ¥

٨c	2.76	26		0	2	10.
55	5,53	.53	6.78	61	31.88	38.65
DF	2	2	œ	Ŧ	63	71
Source of Variation	Irestrents	_evels	.es	reattents x Levels	Attin Subgroups	Total

F (958) 3.15 2.53 3.15
<u>F(obs)</u> 5.46 .35 .52
Source F-Fatio MS(Treat)/MS(Within) = F-Fatio MS(T ± L)/MS(Within) = F-Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within) =

utorage	1			
Conditions	t(obs)	95% conf	97.5% conf	905 Con F
20°C.	3,99	±1.895	±2.365	±2 . 998
U2 38°C.	9.00	I.895	2,365	866.Z
-18 +20°C.	3.91	1.895	2,365	2.998
20°C.	5.23	I.895	2.365	2.996
"2 38°C.	7,00	1.895	2.365	2.998
-J-024 0T-	5.35	1.895	2.365	2.996
-02000	9,38	1.895	2.365	2.998
Tenox 38°C.	4.58	I. 895	2,365	2.998
-D-07+ 81-	10.58	1.895	2.365	2.598
Source of Var	iation	DF	SS	÷.
Treatments		0	144	
		4	+ +	• / 4

ç	.72	.26	T	137		2	
55	1.44	.53	7.44	5.47	47_00	511 MM	
	2	2	8	ŧ	63	71	
Tweatherte		revers	Cells	Treatments x Levels	Within Subgroups	Total	

F (958) 3.15 2.53 3.15

F(còs) .97 1.83 .35

Source
F-Ratio MS(Treat)/MS(Within) =
F'-Ratio MS(T x L)/MS(Within) =
F'=Ratio MS(Levels)/MS(Within) =



1

Figure 1 EQUIPMENT SETUP FOR VACUUM PENETRATION PROCEDURE





Figure 3 HAND PUMP FOR STUFFING MACARONI



Figure 4 INFILTRATED POUND CAKE AND PANCAKE



Figure 5 ASPARAGUS





1,

Figure 7 INFILTRATED BEEF STEW

τ. . .

--- - - - - -



Figure 8 ASSEMBLED VIEW OF HUMIDITY JAR USED FOR DETERMINING EQUILIBRIUM MOISTURE CONTENT

relative accept the appropriate SQUARES!	ance fr square	om sample "S" by s. DO NOT MARK	checkin BETWEEN
	SAMPLE		
Difference		Preferenc	<u>•</u>
Great	5 🗖	More	ت و
Moderate	40	ACCEPTADIE	30
Slight	30	Comparable	2 🗖
Very slight	20	_	
No difference	10	Less Acceptable	10
	Comme	nts	

r

FIGURE 9

Sample Taste Ballot

1-20

V-E

-

1.5

SECTION II

Flow Sheet Diagrams and Design of Equipment for Producing 500 Kg/hr of Infiltrated Foods

I. INTRODUCTION

Contract No. DA 19-129-AMC-84(N) requires that a process flow sheet be prepared for the fourteen foods listed. The flow sheets are required to show materials, quantities, and equipment necessary to produce 500 Kg/hr (1102 lbs/hr) of food product. A more detailed investigation and definition is required for the process equipment necessary in processing the above production on one product within each of the following groups:

Group 1. Freeze dried beef, chicken, shrimp, and cottage cheese. Group 2. Freeze dried peas, asparagus, strawberries and apples. Group 3. Fuffed rice, zwieback toast, pound cake, and pancakes. Group 4. Macaroni and freeze dried beef stew.

For the more detailed investigation, shrimp was chosen from Group 1, asparagus from Group 2, pound cake from Group 3, and beef stew from Group 4.

II. METHODS OF PROCESSING

The freeze dried beef, chicken, shrimp, peas, asparagus, and beef stew are processed by the vacuum release system. Freeze dried cottage cheese is also processed by the vacuum release system, but with a prior pressing operation to form the cheese into a solid bar. Freeze dried strawberries, apples, and puffed rice are processed by the vacuum release system and subsequently coated. Zwieback toast, pound cake, and pancake are processed by the positive pressure method. Macaroni must be filled by mechanical injection.

A. Vacuum Release

The vacuum release system requires that the food be submerged in the filler emulsion within a container. The container is then placed in a vacuum chamber and a vacuum drawn. The vacuum is then quickly released when the emulsion starts to bubble. Breaking the vacuum causes the emulsion to penetrate the food. For best penetration, 6 cycles of vacuum and release are required.

B. Fositive Pressure

Impregnation of food by the positive pressure system is accomplished by placing the food sample in a die set. The emulsion is placed on top of the food, and the mating die is used to press the emulsion into the food under pressure. The pressure required is approximately 100 psi and is held for about 60 seconds to permit the escape of air entrapped in the food.

C. Mechanical Injection

A mechanical injectic: system is needed to fill the void in macaroni. The machine to accomplish this on a large scale would be necessarily complex and costly, but nevertheless feasible.

II-1

III. PROCESS FLOW

The process flow diagrams, showing materials, quantities of materials, and equipment necessary to produce 1102 lbs/hr (\$.0 Kg/hr) of product, are shown in Figures 1 through 14. Table 1 shows the method used in arriving at the quantities shown on the process flow diagram. The densities shown in Columns 1, 2, and 3 are given in Table 2 of the previous section. Columns 4 and 5 are based on the original density as being the percent of food in the final product and the weight gain as being the percent of filler in the final product. Columns 6 and 7 are the percentages shown in Columns 4 and 5, taken of 1102 1bs, which is the desired production per hour. The filler ingredients and their mixture ratios (Columns 8 and 9) are given in Table 5 of the previous section. Column 10 of Table 1 is the percentage shown in Column 9 of the weight flow established in Column 7. Flows of food shown in Column 6 and fillers shown in Column 10 are the flows shown in the process flow diagrams. These flows may be used to select the size and type of equipment needed to process the various ingredients. With proper correlation it is possible to select equipment so that more than one product may be processed with the same equipment. However, before any equipment could be selected the physicl characteristics pertinent to handling and proportioning the material must be considered.

IV. EQUIPMENT DESIGN PARAMETERS

in order to specify production facilities for the food products concerned here, it is necessary to formulate parameters and requirements to guide the plant design and equipment selection. The following must be considered along with the more general parameters:

- A. The amount and type of labor required. This would determine the degree of automation needed to meet the production rates, and would be a prime consideration in the selection of equipment.
- B. A more detailed specification is required concerning the number of different food products to be handled by the facility. This information is necessary in order to specify equipment which could be used for more than one ingredient or for more than one food product. A master flow sheet could then be formulated.
- C. Requirements concerning exposure of the foods and ingredients to the atmosphere during storage, proportioning, filling, and handling must be determined in order to specify protective means. Protection from bacteria and moisture must be considered.
- D. Means for increasing the capacity or increasing the variety of products must be considered.

II-2

- E. The nature of the foods and filler ingredients to be processed must be studied to establish the handling means, proportioning techniques, and protective measures best suited to each particular ingredient in the product. Data needed would concern weight, nature of product (powder, liquid, slices, paste, etc.), size of pieces (if solid or granular), flowability, cohesive tendency, adhesive tendency, friability, and compaction problems.
- F. Conditions relating to storage of the foods, filler ingredients, and final product must be determined (type of atmosphere, moisture, temperature, etc.) and data concerning shipping, receiving, and condition of product as received, must be established in order to provide adequate storage space and facilities.
- G. The type of container for the final product must be established in order to select the proper packaging equipment.
- H. A thorough investigation must be performed of available commercial equipment which would have to be designed.

V. EQUIPMENT SELECTION AND DESIGN

In order to satisfy the contract requirements as outlined in Section I, paragraph 1; that is, define the equipment needed to process the selected four foods, it is necessary to design a vacuum chamber for processing shrimp, asparagus, and beef stew and a press for processing pound cake. Capacity of both the vacuum chamber and press is to be 1102 lbs/hr of these foods.

It is felt that all or most of the other processing equipment needed is commercially available and can be accurately defined when the design parameters outlined in Section IV are known. A preliminary selection was made based upon known information about the particular task and physical characteristics of the material. This equipment appears on the flow sheets of the four focds (shrimp-Fig. 3, asparagus-Fig. 6, pound cake-Fig. 11, and beef stew-Fig. 14). No attempt is made to define the facility beyond this.

A. <u>Vacuum Chamber</u>. The vacuum chamber and its associated equipment are designed based upon laboratory test data, and the vacuum chamber shown in Figure 15 represents a scaled-up version of this equipment.

The vacuum chamber, vacuum pump, emulsion trays, and food baskets are sized according to the desired production, in this case 500 Kg/hr or 1102 lbs/hr. Assuming the chamber and vacuum pumping system is sized so that the required 28 inch Hg vacuum is drawn in four minutes, then the required 6 cycles would take 24 minutes. Alloting 6 minutes for loading and unloading the required processing time for one chamber load would be 30 minutes, giving two loads per hour. The vacuum chamber must then be large enough to produce 551 lbs of product per load. The density of the food then determines the final size. Table 1 gives the densities of the various foods and Table 2 gives the associated volumes needed for the required production. If more than one product is to be

II-3

processed in the same chamber then the chamber should be sized for the food with the greatest volume per pound.

Table 2 shows that of the three foods concerned, i.e. shrimp, asparagus, and beef stew; asparagus has the greatest volume per pound, requiring 52,650 cu in/hr to obtain 1102 lbs of product per hour. The beef stew is not considered since it is made of four processed foods and it is assumed that these foods will be processed separately, stored, and then mixed at the proper weight ratio to obtain the desired production. The chamber (Figure 15) then in order to process asparagus at the required production rate should hold 52,650 or approximately 26,400 cu in.

The rood must be placed in baskets so as to be submerged in the filler material. A basket one inch deep was selected so that the food layer would be thin enough for good impregnation. The basket would be retained in a tray of emulsion with 1/2 inch emulsion depth on bottom and 1/2 inch on top of the food, thus requiring a tray about three inches deep. Allowing for adequate clearance, eight trays, 32 inches wide will fit in a four foot diameter chamber, which is a convenient size.

Length of tray $= \frac{26,400}{(1)(32)(8)} = 103$ inches. For ease of handling, 16 trays 51.5 inches long are used. In order to facilitate loading and unloading the food trays in the vacuum chamber, a mobile cart is provided, running on rails which extend into the vacuum chamber. The shelves of the cart are in actuality heating plattens containing a circulating heating fluid which maintains the correct emulsion temperature during the penetration operation. The heating fluid lines are coupled to the shelf cart by flexible h see after the cart is placed in the chamber. Before the cart is removed from the chamber, the heating fluid must be purged from the art and stored, and the hoses disconnected. The heating fluid temperature controls.

Loading the food in the basket, filling the trays with emulsion, and placing the food basket in the trays can be accomplished manually or by automatic loading and unloading equipment. The cart should move along tracks to the stations where each of these operations are performed.

Once the cart is placed in the chamber, the heading fluid hoses connected, and the chamber door closed, the 6 cycles of evacuation and release can be completely automatic by vacuum switches, motor operated valves, and electric timers.

B. <u>Press.</u> The design parameters for a press to force fillers into foods are established by laboratory tests and by contract No. DA 19-129-ANC-84(N). The laboratory testing equipment and test results are described in the earlier part of this report. These tests show that for good penetration the die pressure required is 100 psi and that the pressure should be maintained for 60 seconds. The above contract specifies the food sample size be 1" x 2" x 1/2" and that the production rate be 500 Kg/hr (1102 lbs/hr).

To determine the number of dies required to obtain this production, it is necessary to establish: (1) Density of product in lbs/cu in; (2) Weight of one bar of product; (3) Number of bars needed to weigh

II-4

1102 pounds; (4) Number of cycles obtainable per hour of each die; and (5) pounds of production per hour per die.

For pound cake, the selected food for the positive pressure system, the impregnated density is .88 gms/cc (Table 1) x .0327 = .032 lbs/cu in. .061 x 16 One bar of product 1 x 2 x .5 = 1 cu in, so one bar weighs .032 pounds. Bars needed to weigh 1102 lbs = 1102 = 34,375. .032

A machine capable of producing 34,375 bars per hour is the design goal. Assuming 5 seconds to load the food and emulsion into the die and 2 seconds to eject the product, establishes the cycle time for the die at 67 seconds; therefore, $\frac{3600}{67}$ = 53.73 cycles per hour per die. Pounds of product per hour per die, then, is 53.73 x .032 = 1.72. The number of dies required for 1102 lbs of product is $\frac{1102}{1.72}$ or 640. This data, and data for pancakes and Zwieback toast is given in Table 3.

A die large enough to produce a product food bar $1" \times 2" \times 1/2"$ would need a spacing of about 1.9 inches. If placed in a straight line, this machine would be over 100 feet long, and each die would have to be loaded and unloaded by a separate feed mechanism. To simplify the die loading and unloading and to decrease the required machine floor space, it was decided to place the dies in a circular pattern and rotate the machine so that the load-eject stations would be the same for all dies. A machine with 640 dies and the above die spacing would then be 101.3 feet in circumference, or 32.2 feet in diameter. A rotating machine of this size would still be too complex and costly. Three machines, each having 213 dies would greatly simplify the design, being only 11 feet in diameter. A concept of this machine is shown in Figure 17, with an enlarged detail of a die element shown in Figure 18.

Providing one common loading and ejecting station to serve all dies would require the machine to rotate once during a pressing cycle, which is 67 seconds. Rotational speed then is $\frac{60}{100}$ or .895 R.P.M., giving .895 x 213 = 191 bars per minute. Three machines then give 191 x 60 x 3 =34,400 bars per hour which is the required production for 1102 lbs of product per hour. One food pressing cycle (one revolution of the machine) is shown in Figure 16.

The vacuum outlet and the filler inlet are plunger type valves with the plunger extending into the sleave flush with the inside surface to prevent plugging. The valve plunger may be sclenoid actuated and switch controlled, or a spring loaded cam actuated type. The die must also contain a cartridge type heater to control the filler material temperature.

VI. CONCLUSION

Infiltration of porous foods with high caloric fillers by the vacuum release system and by the positive pressure system is feasible on a large scale production basis. Most of the equipment for handling, mixing, blending, feeding, etc. is available commercially and the equipment that would have to be designed is not so complex that it would prove economically infeasible. It is recommended that further study be given the equipment and that a pilot plant be defined for the above two systems.



Paning:

FOOD AND FILLER DENSITY AND FLOW DATA

		~	~	er,	7	ۍ ۲	ų	7	α	σ	
£000	METHOD OF IMPREGNATION	FOOD ORIGINAL DENSITY 4 GHS/CC	IMPREGNATED DENSITY GMS/CC	WEIGHT GAIN CMS/CC	<pre>% FOOD IN FINAL PRODUCT</pre>	<pre>% FILLER IN FINAL PRODUCT</pre>	LBS FOOD IN 1102 LBS OF PRODUCT	LBS FILLER IN 11.02 LBS OF PPODUCT	r FILLER INGREDIENTS	<pre>% INGRE- DIENTS IN TOTAL FILLER</pre>	LAS FILLER INGREDIENTS IN 1102 LAS PRODUCT
FREEZE-DRIED BEEF	VACUUM RELEASE	1 11°	66•	•55	44 <u>.</u> 5%	55.5%	1490°0	612	STARCH CCC	50 8 50 8	306 306
FREEZE-DRIED CHICKEN	VACUUM RELEASE	.39	. 89	.50	43,8%	56.2%	481.C	621	STARCH CCC	50 8 508	310.5 310.5
FPEEZE-DRIED SHRIMP	VACUUN RELEASE	16.	1,15	*8 *	26 . 9\$	73.1\$	298 . 0	804	STARCH CCC	50 8 50 8	402 402
FREZE-DKIED COTTAGE CHEESE	VACUUN RELEASE	1.20	1.45	.25	82.7\$	17.3\$	729.5	372.5	POWDERED SUGAR CCC FLAPPLE FLAVORING	16.5 8 17.3% 014 %	181.8 190.5 .15
FREEZE-DRIED [#] PEAS	VACUUM RELEASE	, 125	.951	.826	13.1\$	86,9 8	0 † *††T	957.6	STARCH CCC	50 % 5 0%	478 . 8 478 . 8
FREEZE-DRIED ASPARACUS	VACUUN RELEASE	.062	.58	.52	10.7\$	89,3\$	118,00	h 86	STARCH CCC	50 4 50 4	492 492
FREEZE-DRJED STRAWBERRIES	VACIUM Release- Coated	•083		.87	8 . 78	91,3\$	95 • 87	1006,22	SUGAR CHOCOLATE MYVEROL	41.08 37.78 12.68	451.82 415.45 138.85
FREEZE-DRIED APPLES	VACUUM RELEASE	μι.	80	•66	17.5\$	82.5%	192,85	909,15	CONF. SUGAR CCC LECTHIN	40.038 40.035	441.13 441.13 22.27
									STARCH GRAD. SUGAR CINNAMON NUTMEG	1. 345 . 696 . 186	2 3 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 3
*Density va	alues include	voids betr	Wen Jeas.							Sbe	at 1 of 3.

IAMA I (CONTINUED)

FOOD AND FILLER DENSITY AND FLOW DATA

FOUD METHOD OF INPREGNATION GENERITY MENSITY INPREGNATION GREAT PUFFED RLCE VACUUN GREAT MENSITY MENSITY RLLAAST PRESSURE 23 93 POUND CAUE PRESSURE 37 88 POUND CAUE PRESSURE .44 .43 PANCAK PRESSURE .44 .43	ATED WEIGHT TY CAIN C GNS/CC .71 .71	\$ FOOD IN FINAL PRODUCT 1,86\$	FILLER IN FINAL PRODUCT	LAS FOOD IN 1102 LAS OF	IN 1102	æ	DIENTS IN	HALLINGSPIL
FOUD THEMACK TONE THEMACK ONE CONSTICT DEVENTION OF CONTED THE ANALITY DEVENTION OF CONSTICT DEVENTION OF CONS		LIN FINAL PRODUCT 1,86\$	PRODUCT	LBS OF	L'RC OF			
PUFFED RICE VACUM PUFFED RICE VACUM RELEASE- 008 .43 COATED COATED .008 .43 ZWIEDACK TOAST PRESSURE .22 .93 POUND CAKE PRESSURE .37 .88 POUND CAKE PRESSURE .37 .88 POUND CAKE PRESSURE .37 .93	. 11 . 51	1,86\$		PRODUCT	PRODUCT	FILLER INGREDIERTS	TOTAL	PROBUCT
ZWIEBACK TOAST PRESSURE .008 .43 COATED COATED COATED COATED PRESSURE .22 .93 PRESSURE .37 .68 PANCAKE PRESSURE .48 .92	. 42 . 71 . 51	1,86\$						
ZWIEBACK TOAST PRESSURE .22 .93 ZWIEBACK TOAST PRESSURE .22 .93 POUND CAKE PRESSURE .37 .68 PANCAKE PRESSURE .48 .92	17. 12.		98,1\$	20.50	1081.5	SUGAR PHOPPILATE	47.04	517.9
ZWIEBACK TOAST PRESSURE .22 .93 POUND CAKE PRESSURE .37 .68 PRESSURE .48 .92 PANCAKE PRESSURE .48 .92	.71 .51					NYVEROL	12.8	140.8
LATERACK TOAST PRESSURE .22 .93 PRESSURE .37 .88 PANCAKE PRESSURE .46 .92	. 12.					PEANUT		
POUND CAKE PRESSURE .37 .86 PANCAKE PRESSURE .48 .92	.51	23.65%	76.4%	261.00	842	BUTTER	¥0+	336.8
POUND CAKE PIESSURE .37 , 88 PANCAKE PRESSURE .448 .92	.51					JELLY		336.8
PIESSURE	•51					HIVEROL	20%	166.4
PANCAKE PRESSURE . 48 . 92	Tc.	ę	c (CONF.		
PANCAKE PRESSURE . 48 . 92		1 73	58%	462.00	638	SUGAR	32.25%	2 06
PANCAKE PRESSURE . 48 . 92						ບບບ	12.65	80 . H
PANCAKE PRESSURE . 48 . 92						CREAN	5.15 %	32.8
PANCAKE PRESSURE . 48 . 92						LECITHIN	.1258	60 •
6 PANCAKE . 448 . 92						ည္သ	49.875	318
6 FANCARE PRESSURE . 48 . 92					·	CONF.		
	₹.	52 . 28	47.8%	576.00	527	SUGAR	32.18%	169.6
						2 C C C	12.57	66.3
						CREAN	5.14	27.1
						g	49.77	262.3
						VANTLLAA		
						FLAVORING	.18	.53
						MAPLE	¶	
						FLAVORING		-2 3
						ITTOTIUTU	¥.	8
MACABONI INJECTOR . 29 47	.18	61.75	38. 34	675.50		CHEESE	2.18	23.1
						STARCH	K.	7.7
						PHD. FAT	r,	7.7
						MYVEROL.	35.24	387.9

Sheet 2 of 3.

TABLE 1 (CONCLUDED)

FOOD AND FILLER DENSITY AND FLOW DATA

10	LBS FILLER	INGREDIENTS	IN 1102 LAS	PRODUCT		165.3	41°-16	01 7h	00 06	90 06	05-05	3.75
თ	§ INGRE-	DIENTS IN	TOTAL	FILLER		15%	8.325\$	8.355%	R. 2564	8 2558		. 34 8
Ø			FILLER	INGREDIENTS		000	STARCH	000	STARCH		222	ວວວ
7	LBS FILLER	IN 1102	LBS OF	PRODUCT		165.30		183.48		181.92		3.75
g	LBS FOOD	IN 1102	LBS OF	PRODUCT		385,70		147.10		27.43		7.27
ß		8 FILLER	IN FINAL	PRODUCT		30\$		55.5%		86.9\$		34\$
ħ		\$ FOOD	IN FINAL	PRODUCT		70%		44 . 5 8		13.1\$		66\$
e		WEIGHT	GAIN	GMS/CC								
2		IMPREGNATED	DENSITY	GMS/CC								
٦	FOOD	ORIGINAL	DENSITY	GMS/CC								
			METHOD OF	IMPREGNATION	VACUUM	RELEASE	VACUUM	RELEASE	VACUUN	RELEASE	VACUUM	RELEASE
				FOOD		RICE 50%		BEEF 308		PEAS 198		ONTONS 18
									BEEF	STEW		

714<u>9</u>

S.

Sheet 3 of 3.

-

TABLE 2

Fooâ	Q Flow Rate lbs/hr	D Density gms/cc	Q453.6 D16.39 Volume cu in/hr	Q ₁ Filler Flow Rate 1bs/hr	D ₁ Filler Density gms/cc	Q ₁ 453.6 D ₁ 16.39 Filler Volume cu in/hr
Puffed Rice	42.0	.008	2,381,400	508.0	.42	33,470
Chicken	484.0	.390	34,346	621.0	.50	34,370
leef	491.0	.440	30,880	612.0	,55	30,780
Shrimp	298.0	.310	26,600	806.0	.84	26,550
Peas	144.4	. 125	32,000	957.6	.83	40,000
Asparaguo	118.0	.062	52,650	990.0	.52	52,670
Strawberries	78.2	.083	26,064	460.6	1.40	9,100
Apples	243.0	.140	48,017	381.0	.66	16,000
Cottage Cheese	794.4	1.200	18,310	352.6	.25	40,000
Rice	385.7	0.790	13,500	165.3	.80	5,700
Onions	7.3	0.120	1,680	3.7	. 80	128

VOLUME DATA - VACUUM CHANDER

TABLE 3

PRODUCTION DATA - POSITIVE PR	ESS
-------------------------------	-----

Food	Impregnated Density gue/ge	Impregnated Density lbs/cu in	Weight Per Bar 1bs	Jars Per 102 1be	Lbe/ hr/die	Dies Req'd for 1102 1bs/hr.
Pound Cake		.0320	.0320	34,375	1.72	640
Pancake	.92	.0330	.0330	33,000	1.77	6 <u>2</u> 1
Zwiebesk Toest	. 93	,0335	.0335	32,800	1.80	\$11

XI-10

See .

.

Na 1

,

• .

ų



FIG. 1 PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM FREEZE-DRIED BEEF, 500 Kg/hr (1102 1bs/hr)

17-11

•

1



FIG. 2 PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM FREEZE-DRIED CHICKEN, 500 Kg/hr. (1102 lbs/hr.) II-12

13-12





. 3



.

***.

-



FIG. 5 PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM FREEZE-DRIED PEAS 500 Kg/hr (1102 lbs/hr)

۳A.

31~15



FIG. 6 PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM FREEZE-DRIED ASPARAGUS, 500 Xg/hr (1102 lbs/hr)

JL-15



FIG. 7 PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM FREEZE-DRIED STRAWBERRIES 500 Kg/hr (1102 1bs/hr)

····· / ***



FIG. 8 PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM FREEZE-DRIED APPLES, 500 Kg/hr (1102 lbs/hr)

•

\$

. .

and all the second same of

11**-20**/8

Υ.



FIG. 9 PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM PUFFED RICE, 500 Kg/hr (1102 lbs/hr)

17-19



fet .

1

2.



FIG.11 PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAH POUND CAKE, 500 Kg/hr (1102 1be/hr)

II-21

Å.

1 1 1 A


FIG. 12 PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM PANCAKE 500 Kgs/hr (1102 lbs/hr)

II-22





I.





FIG. 15 - VACUULI CHAMBER & CART ASCECTINY FOR IMPREGNATING FOOD BY THE VACUUM RELEARE "YSTEM



4

1 15

FIG. 16 POSITIVE PRESSURE FOOD IMPREGNATING



FIG. 17 POSITIVE PRESSURE FOOD IMPREGNATING



•



FIG. 18 PRESS SYSTEM

7

Unclassified	
--------------	--

à

, ,

(Security classification of file, body of abstr	act and indexing minotation must be enter	red when the overall report is classified)			
RIGINATIN & ACTIVITY (Corporate aution)		28 REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION			
MC Corporation		Unclassified			
Santa Clara, Galifornia	2	6 GROUP			
REPORT TITLE		<u></u>			
INFILTRATION OF POROUS FOODS W	ITH HIGH CALORIC, NON-AQ	UEOUS, EDIBLE MATERIALS			
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclus	ive dates)				
Final report 27 May 1964 - 27	May 1965				
AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial)					
Lampi, R.A.					
REPORT DATE	78. TOTAL NO. OF PAG	ES 75 NO. OF REFS			
April 1966	108	8			
DA 19-129-AMC-84(N)	9a. ORIGINATOR'S REP	ORT NUMBER(S)			
- PROJECT NO					
	95. OTHER REPORT NO	(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned			
	66-28-TD	¥D_45			
·		£ #- ¬J			
Distribution of this document	is unlimited, Release t	O CFSTI is authorized. RYACTIVITY ts Branch, Food Division			
Distribution of this document SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	is unlimited. Release t 12. SPONSORING MILITA Animal Product U. S. Army Nat Massachusetts	o CFSTI is authorized. RYACTIVITY ts Branch, Food Division tick Laboratories, Natick, 01762			
Distribution of this document SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT Methods together with su	is unlimited. Release t 12. SPONSORING MILITA Animal Product U. S. Army Nat Massachusetts itable bigh caloric form	o CFSTI is authorized. RYACTIVITY ts Branch, Food Division tick Laboratories, Natick, 01762			
Distribution of this document SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT Methods, together with su filling the voids of representa and vegetables. Panel tests for end microbiological observation months at a maximum temperatu xtrapolated into an engineeria nfiltered foods.	is unlimited. Release t 12. SPONSORING MILITA Animal Product U. S. Army Nat Massachusetts itable high caloric form ative baked items and fro or acceptability and reloved ns are reported for infii ure of 38°C. Preparative ng flow diagram for large	A CFSTI is authorized. (RYACTIVITY ts Branch, Food Division tick Laboratories, Natick, 01762 ulations, were developed for eeze-dried meats, fruits, evant physical, chemical, ltered products stored for e experience has been e scale production of			
Distribution of this document SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT Methods, together with su Filling the voids of represent and vegetables. Panel tests for tend microbiological observation months at a maximum temperature xtrapolated into an engineering nfiltered foods.	is unlimited. Release t 12. SPONSORING MILITA Animal Product U. S. Army Nat Massachusetts itable high caloric form ative baked items and fro or acceptability and reloved ns are reported for infilture of 38°C. Preparative ng flow diagram for large	o CFSTI is authorized. RYACTIVITY ts Branch, Food Division tick Laboratories, Natick, 01762 ulations, were developed for eeze-dried meats, fruits, evant physical, chemical, ltered products stored for e experience has been e scale production of			
Distribution of this document SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT Methods, together with su filling the voids of represent and vegetables. Panel tests for and microbiological observation months at a maximum temperature xtrapolated into an engineering nfiltered foods.	is unlimited. Release t 12. SPONSORING MILITA Animal Product U. S. Army Nat Massachusetts itable high caloric form ative baked items and fro or acceptability and release ns are reported for infil ure of 38°C. Preparative ng flow diagram for large	o CFSTI is authorized. RYACTIVITY ts Branch, Food Division tick Laboratories, Natick, 01762 ulations, were developed for eeze-dried meats, fruits, evant physical, chemical, ltered products stored for e experience has been e scale production of			
Distribution of this document SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT Methods, together with su filling the voids of representa- and vegetables. Panel tests for and microbiological observation months at a maximum temperature xtrapolated into an engineering nfiltered foods.	is unlimited. Release t 12. SPONSORING MILITA Animal Product U. S. Army Nat Massachusetts itable high caloric form ative baked items and fro or acceptability and reloved ns are reported for infilted ure of 38°C. Preparative ng flow diagram for large	o CFSTI is authorized. RYACTIVITY ts Branch, Food Division tick Laboratories, Natick, 01762 ulations, were developed for eeze-dried meats, fruits, evant physical, chemical, ltered products stored for e experience has been e scale production of			
Distribution of this document SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT Methods, together with su filling the voids of representa- and vegetables. Panel tests for and microbiological observation months at a maximum temperatu- xtrapolated into an engineering nfiltered foods.	is unlimited. Release t Animal Product U. S. Army Nat Massachusetts itable high caloric form ative baked items and fre or acceptability and releans are reported for infii ure of 38°C. Preparative ng flow diagram for large	o CFSTI is authorized. RYACTIVITY ts Branch, Food Division tick Laboratories, Natick, 01762 ulations, were developed for eeze-dried meats, fruits, evant physical, chemical, ltered products stored for e experience has been e scale production of			
Distribution of this document supplementary notes ABSTRACT Methods, together with suffilling the voids of representa and vegetables. Panel tests for and microbiological observation months at a maximum temperate xtrapolated into an engineerin nfiltered foods.	is unlimited. Release t 12. SPONSORING MILITA Animal Product U. S. Army Nat Massachusetts itable high caloric forme ative baked items and fro or acceptability and released ns are reported for infilted ure of 38°C. Preparative ng flow diagram for large	o CFSTI is authorized. RYACTIVITY ts Branch, Food Division tick Laboratories, Natick, 01762 ulations, were developed fo eze-dried meats, fruits, evant physical, chemical, ltered products stored for e experience has been e scale production of			

D 1 JAN 64 1473

~

Unclassified Security Classification

.

深

Unclassified

Security Classification

14. KEY WORDS	LI	NK A	LINK Ø		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Infiltration	8		10	I		1
Fillers] 1		10	ł	•	ł
Tood	1,2		9	į	9	1
Freeze-dried foods	1,2		9)	ļ	9	ł
Voids	1	1	1	1		1
Caloric density	4,1,2	1	1	l.	9	ł
Increasing	4	1	8	ł		{
ligh	0		1	1	0	ł
Storage stability			1	ſ	8	ł
Evaluation				I	8	ł
Taste tests			1. 1	l.	19	ł
Military rations			4	l	4	ł
Injection	10	1	1	l.		ł
Pressure	10		1	ł	i ,	Į
Аслян	10			I		1

INSTRUCTIONS

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.

2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive \$200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. **REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title.classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year; or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication,

7s. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, &c, & &d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

3

1

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and discemination of this report by DDC is not authorized,"
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.

13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Idenfilers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.

Unclassified

Security Classification

and a second of the