

ESD-TR-65-478
ESTI FILE COPY

ESD-TR-65-478

ESD RECORD COPY

RETURN TO
SCIENTIFIC & TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
(ESTI), BUILDING 1211

ESD ACCESSION LIST

ESTI Call No. AL 48712

Copy No. 1 of 1 cys.

PREDICTION OF WINTER AND SUMMER ANTICYCLONES

Frederick P. Ostby
Keith W. Veigas

December 1965



433L SYSTEM PROGRAM OFFICE
ELECTRONICS SYSTEMS DIVISION
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
L. G. Hanscom Field, Bedford, Mass.

ESSW

AD0626621

Qualified users may obtain copies of this report from the Defense Documentation Center.

When U. S. Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related Government procurement operation, the Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise, or in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

DDC release to CFSTI is authorized.

ESD-TR-65-478

PREDICTION OF
WINTER AND SUMMER ANTICYCLONES

Frederick P. Ostby
Keith W. Veigas

December 1965



433L SYSTEM PROGRAM OFFICE
ELECTRONICS SYSTEMS DIVISION
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
L. G. Hanscom Field, Bedford, Mass.

FOREWORD

System 433L; project 1.0, task 1.7. This TR has been prepared for United Aircraft Corporation, East Hartford Conn. under Subcontract no. 15107 to Contract no. AF19(628)-3437, by The Travelers Research Center, Inc., 250 Constitution Plaza, Hartford, Conn. The Research Center's publication number is 7463-191. Robert L. Houghten, Lt. Colonel, USAF, is System Program Director. This report covers the period 1 October 1964—30 September 1965, and was submitted for approval on 18 November, 1965.

ABSTRACT

This report presents results and equations for the 12-, 24-, and 36-hr prediction of anticyclone displacement and change in central pressure for the Northern Hemisphere. Separate sets of equations were derived for each of six areas. These equations yielded results that were generally superior to climatology when tested on independent data.

The technique employed is similar to that used previously in deriving cyclone equations, i.e., it features a moving-coordinate grid system for predictor tabulation, and a screening-regression analysis for the derivation of the prediction equations.

Additional equations were derived for winter anticyclones to specify the forecast difference in pressure between the anticyclone and each of eight surrounding grid points. Analysis of these differences leads to the construction of forecast pressure patterns surrounding the anticyclone center; initial results from feasibility testing were encouraging.

REVIEW AND APPROVAL

Publication of this technical report does not constitute Air Force approval of the report's findings or conclusions. It is published only for the exchange and stimulation of ideas.


Robert L. Houghten
Lt. Colonel, USAF
System Program Director




TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
I	INTRODUCTION	1
II	DATA PROCESSING	2
1.	Areas Studied	2
2.	Selection of Cases	2
3.	Predictands	2
4.	Predictors Considered	4
5.	The Moving-coordinate Grid	5
6.	Screening Regression Technique	7
III	SYNOPTIC CLIMATOLOGY	8
7.	Summer Anticyclones	8
8.	Winter Anticyclones	8
IV	RESULTS	13
9.	Summer Anticyclones	13
10.	Winter Anticyclones	36
V	CONCLUSIONS	104
	REFERENCES	105

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1	Definition of anticyclone areas	3
2	Moving coordinate grid overlay	6
3	Mean tracks of summer anticyclones by area, 1955—1958 (dependent sample)	10
4	Mean tracks of winter anticyclones by area, 1955—56 through 1958—59 (dependent sample)	12
5	Example of 36-hr pressure pattern forecast valid at 0000 GMT, 19 Feb. 1960	38

SECTION I
INTRODUCTION

Summer and winter anticyclones in the Northern Hemisphere (divided into six areas) are studied by statistical methods derived for cyclone prediction and reported in [5, 6, 7, 10]. The approach in the cyclone prediction studies was to relate the displacement of a cyclone and its change in central pressure to predictors selected by a screening regression technique within a moving-coordinate system (a coordinate system in which predictor information is measured at points fixed relative to the moving cyclone rather than relative to the earth).

The previous work on cyclones attempted only to specify the pressure at a single point (the central pressure), but the central pressure of an anticyclone by itself may not be particularly meaningful; some indication of the shape and extent of the anticyclone may be needed. Accordingly feasibility tests were conducted in which additional predictands were generated which specified the differences between the pressure maximum of the anticyclone and pressures at surrounding points—thereby permitting the reconstruction of the pressure field in the vicinity of the anticyclone. The results of initial experiments on winter anticyclones are included in this report.

Operational use of the prediction equations derived herein may either be manual, with the aid of a desk calculator, or automatic, as the USAF Global Weather Center (GWC) is using previously-derived equations, with the aid of an electronic computer.

SECTION II

DATA PROCESSING

1. Areas Studied

The areas selected for study are shown in Fig. 1. Both summer- and winter-anticyclone equations were derived for all six areas.

2. Selection of Cases

Anticyclones were processed separately according to the area in which they were located. Table I shows the number of anticyclones selected for each of the areas of Fig. 1. The anticyclones were selected by examining all the 0000 and 1200 GMT surface charts for the winters (November—March) of 1955—56 through 1959—60, and the summers (May—September) of 1955—1959. An anticyclone was accepted if it retained its identity for at least 36 hours.

TABLE I
SELECTED SUMMER AND WINTER ANTICYCLONES, 1955—1960

Area*	No. of Summer anticyclones			No. of Winter anticyclones		
	1955—1958	1959	1955—1959	1955/56—1958/59	1959/1960	1955/56—1959/60
North America	704	124	828	593	140	733
Atlantic	312	65	377	412	94	506
Europe	378	119	497	447	55	502
Eurasia	346	128	474	510	78	588
Asia	412	122	534	446	130	576
Pacific	312	83	395	598	153	751

* See Fig. 1.

The samples of anticyclones selected for the first four winters and summers were designated as the dependent samples and were used to derive prediction equations. The samples of the fifth winter and summer seasons were designated as the independent sample and were used to test the equations.

3. Predictands

The predictands used in this study include the two components of anticyclone displacement (latitudinal and longitudinal) and change in central pressure,




Fig. 1. Definition of anticyclone areas.

for forecast intervals of 12-, 24-, and 36-hr (see Table II). In addition, the differences between the central pressure and pressures one and two grid intervals (one grid interval equals two JNWP grid intervals) outward from the anticyclone center toward the north, east, south, and west, at 12-, 24-, and, 36-hr after initial time were specified as predictands (surrounding pressure minus central pressure) for the winter anticyclones.

TABLE II
PREDICTANDS

Class	Symbol	Unit of measurement
Northward displacement	\hat{N}	deg. lat.
Eastward displacement	\hat{E}	deg. lat.
Change in central pressure	\hat{D}	mb
Pressure difference (one grid interval)	$\hat{\nabla}P_1$	mb
Pressure difference (two grid interval)	$\hat{\nabla}P_2$	mb

4. Predictors Considered

The basic source of predictor data was System 433L hemispheric-data tapes [8]. Special preprocessing programs automatically extracted grid-point arrays of the various pressure, height, and thickness data for each anticyclone in the developmental sample. Inclusion of so-called derived predictors, such as vorticity, thermal wind, thickness advection, etc., did not seem warranted, based on a recent evaluation [7]. Table III lists the possible predictors used in this study.

TABLE III
POSSIBLE PREDICTORS

Prediction	Symbol	
Sea-level pressure	P	mb
12-hr pressure change	ΔP	mb
500-mb height	Z	decafeet
12-hr height change	ΔZ	decafeet
1000-to 500-mb thickness	H	decafeet
12-hr thickness change	ΔH	decafeet
Latitude of anticyclone	Θ	$^{\circ}$ lat.
Longitude of anticyclone	λ	$^{\circ}$ long.
Anticyclone intensity (one grid interval)	I_1	mb
Anticyclone intensity (two grid intervals)	I_2	mb

The anticyclone intensity (I) refers to the difference in pressure between the anticyclone's central pressure and the average pressure of four surrounding grid points (derived from one grid interval for I_1 and from two grid intervals for I_2) divided by a function of latitude (map factor), so that

$$I = \left[\left(\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^4 P_i \right) - P_0 \right] \div (1 + \sin \Theta)^2 \quad (\text{II-1})$$

5. The Moving-coordinate Grid

The grid for extracting predictor information accompanies the anticyclone as it moves, so variables are measured at constant positions relative to its center. The grid is shown in Fig. 2. The grid point defined by the (K,L)-location (5,3) is placed at the center of the anticyclone and the grid is oriented so that the line $K=5$ coincides with the meridian passing through the center of the anticyclone (i.e., north-south orientation). Other grid locations are defined by their departure, in grid intervals, from this point. For technique development purposes, grid placement and data tabulation are done by computer programs, and "analyzed maps" are on magnetic tape. On a polar stereographic projection with standard parallel at 60°N , the grid array forms a set of evenly-spaced points. The interval used here is twice that of the Joint Numerical Weather Prediction (JNWP) grid. At




Fig. 2. Moving coordinate grid overlay. The center of the anticyclone is positioned at $K=5$, $L=3$ with $K=5$ oriented north-south. One grid interval equals two JNWP grid intervals (762 km at 60° N).

60°N, where the scale is true, our interval equals 762 km.

6. Screening Regression Technique

The screening procedure suggested by Bryan [1] and developed for the IBM 704 electronic computer by Miller [3] was used to screen the possible predictors identified in previous sections (this program has also been written for the IBM 7094).

One who designs a statistical-prediction experiment invariably likes to consider all predictors deemed important on the basis of previous theoretical, synoptic, and empirical work, but as Lorenz [2] points out, a prediction equation should contain few predictors in comparison with the size of the developmental sample; if there are too many, a relationship that fits the sample used to establish it is likely to fail when applied to a new sample. The object of the screening procedure is to select from a set of possible predictors the subset that most significantly and independently contributes to reducing the variance of the predictand.

From an array of possible predictors, the screening procedure first selects the one that has the highest linear correlation with the predictand in question. The predictor is then held constant and partial-correlation coefficients between the predictand and each of the remaining predictors are examined; the predictor now associated with the highest coefficient is the second one selected. Additional predictors are chosen similarly. Selection is halted whenever a predictor fails to pass a significance test. After the significant predictors have been selected, the regression coefficients are obtained by the method of least squares.

The criterion of significance, as applied to the screening procedure, is not clear-cut because the usual F-test methods (e.g., [9]) are not applicable [4].

If a predictor is chosen at random from a group of predictors, an F-test is usually taken at the 95% level; this allows a 1-in-20 chance of considering the predictor significant when, in fact, it is not. Because the screening procedure does not select predictors randomly, a more severe test is needed to specify a 1-in-20 chance. For his screening procedure, Miller [4] suggested that the critical F-value be a function of the number of possible predictors. The F-test was used in this form in these experiments.

SECTION III
SYNOPTIC CLIMATOLOGY

7. Summer Anticyclones

Table IV contains the means and standard deviations of northward and eastward displacements, and the changes in central pressure for 12-, 24-, and 36-hr intervals for the summer anticyclones in the dependent data sample. Mean tracks were also constructed from this information and are shown in Fig. 3. A comparison of these six tracks shows a general west-to-east movement with similar speeds (roughly 15 knots). The mean track for the North American anticyclones had the greatest southward displacement of the six tracks. The only track that exhibited a northward component was the one for Europe. Generally speaking, the changes in central pressure were, in the mean, rather small. The greatest tendency toward building of the anticyclone was over Asia where the mean change in central pressure was +2.51 mb for 36 hr.

8. Winter Anticyclones

Means and standard deviations for winter anticyclones were also computed and are shown in Table V. The mean tracks are shown in Fig. 4. The prevailing direction is once again west to east with the North American mean track showing the greatest southward displacement. However, the speeds vary much more, ranging from 25 knots for Asian anticyclones to 8 knots for European anticyclones. The largest change in central pressure was for Eurasia, being +3.12 mb for 36 hr.

TABLE IV
CHARACTERISTICS OF SUMMER ANTICYCLONES
1955—1958 (dependent sample)

Area	Forecast interval hr	Observed northward displacement deg. lat.		Observed eastward displacement deg. lat.		Observed change in central pressure mb	
		Mean	Std. dev.	Mean	Std. dev.	Mean*	Std. dev.
North America	12	-1.34	2.12	-2.96	2.12	0.03	2.89
	24	-2.45	3.34	-5.99	3.78	-0.09	3.18
	36	-3.33	4.33	-9.08	5.31	-0.25	4.52
Atlantic	12	-0.11	1.98	-3.25	1.88	0.54	2.29
	24	-0.16	3.52	-6.22	3.27	0.82	3.50
	36	-0.17	4.83	-8.83	4.64	0.94	4.53
Europe	12	0.28	2.24	-2.76	2.45	0.01	1.89
	24	0.40	3.73	-5.44	3.89	-0.06	2.76
	36	0.39	4.93	-7.93	5.23	-0.35	3.57
Eurasia	12	-0.54	1.83	-3.12	1.92	0.36	2.69
	24	-1.04	3.00	-5.86	3.25	0.32	3.15
	36	-1.39	3.98	-8.21	4.44	-0.03	4.38
Asia	12	-0.43	2.05	-3.16	2.33	0.91	2.10
	24	-0.78	3.18	-6.43	3.60	1.82	2.85
	36	-1.04	3.97	-9.80	4.72	2.51	3.55
Pacific	12	-0.13	1.69	-3.38	2.11	0.48	2.23
	24	-0.19	2.86	-6.32	3.79	0.65	3.30
	36	-0.34	3.86	-8.72	5.34	0.51	4.41

*Negative values represent deepening.




Fig. 3. Mean tracks of summer anticyclones by area, 1955-1958 (dependent sample). Value adjacent to symbol refers to mean change in central pressure (mb).

TABLE V
 CHARACTERISTICS OF WINTER ANTICYCLONES
 1955/56—1958/59 (dependent sample)

Area	Forecast interval hr	Observed northward displacement deg. lat.		Observed eastward displacement deg. lat.		Observed change in central pressure mb	
		Mean	Std. dev.	Mean	Std. dev.	Mean*	Std. dev.
North America	12	-1.31	2.51	-3.48	2.14	0.09	2.96
	24	-2.29	4.29	-7.15	3.95	-0.22	4.55
	36	-3.07	5.46	-10.85	5.72	-0.74	6.02
Atlantic	12	-0.05	2.23	-3.80	2.50	0.38	2.60
	24	-0.03	3.85	-6.97	4.31	0.52	3.89
	36	0.08	4.97	-9.66	5.91	0.34	5.02
Europe	12	-0.61	2.15	-1.63	2.48	0.49	3.84
	24	-1.20	3.34	-3.34	4.53	0.77	5.07
	36	-1.71	4.27	-4.96	6.49	0.76	6.35
Eurasia	12	-0.11	2.05	-3.63	2.57	1.64	3.77
	24	-0.29	3.45	-7.08	4.22	2.67	5.60
	36	-0.49	4.55	-10.22	5.64	3.12	7.63
Asia	12	-0.49	2.47	-4.95	2.84	-0.22	2.94
	24	-0.90	4.12	-9.77	4.60	-0.59	4.23
	36	-1.18	5.58	-14.42	6.19	-0.84	5.47
Pacific	12	0.08	2.32	-3.77	2.39	0.91	2.92
	24	0.06	3.98	-7.15	4.23	1.49	4.49
	36	-0.16	5.38	-10.12	5.99	1.65	5.83

*Negative values represent deepening.




Fig. 4. Mean tracks of winter anticyclones by area, 1955-56 through 1958-59 (dependent sample). Value adjacent to symbol refers to mean change in central pressure (mb).

SECTION IV

RESULTS

For both summer and winter anticyclones, experiments were designed using the predictors listed in Table III to yield separate equations for the two components of displacement (northward, N, and eastward, E) and one equation for change in central pressure (D). In addition, prediction equations were developed for winter anticyclones for the surrounding pressure gradients as listed in Table II.

The results of the screening regression analysis are summarized in Tables VI through XI for each summer area and in Tables XIII through XVIII for each winter area. The equation for each predictand (defined in Table II) is contained in the first three columns. For example, in Table VI, the 12-hr northward displacement (N_{12}) has eight terms: a constant (+32.629) and seven predictors. The predictor symbol (and its units) is defined in Table II and the accompanying numbers in parentheses refer to the (K,L)-grid locations shown in Fig. 2. Thus, this type of representation is equivalent to the more familiar form,

$$N_{12} = 32.629 + 0.01422Z(7,2) + 0.15685\Delta P(5,4) \dots \text{etc.}$$

The predictor Z(7,2) explains 23.5% of the variance of the predictand as indicated under the column "Accumulative % red.," and the predictor $\Delta P(5,4)$ contributes an additional 3.5% to the accumulated per cent reduction. The residual error is 1.66° lat.

The convention used for eastward displacement is that negative values of E refer to eastward displacement and positive values to westward displacement. To convert the eastward displacement from degrees of latitude to degrees of longitude, it is only necessary to multiply the computed value of E by the secant of the average latitude applicable to the forecast interval being considered.

9. Summer Anticyclones

Tables VI through XI give the dependent data results for the six summer-anticyclone areas. For northward displacement the first predictor selected is generally a 500-mb height or 1000—500-mb thickness value to the east (ranging from northeast to southeast) of the anticyclone. The regression

coefficients associated with these first predictors relate large 500-mb heights (or thicknesses) with northward displacement. Such a relationship seems to represent the directional nature of the mid-tropospheric flow, i.e., large heights to the east suggest a large amplitude ridge in that region with southerly flow over the anticyclone favoring its northward displacement. It is interesting to note that similar first predictors were selected for summer cyclone northward displacement [7].

For eastward displacement, sea-level pressures were dominant factors except over North America (Table VI) where $Z(6,5)$ was selected first for all three forecast intervals. This predictor, located to the north-northeast of the anticyclone (see Fig. 2), represents a measure of the strength of the zonal flow over the anticyclone and large heights imply a northward shift of the westerlies and, thus, a weak zonal flow over the anticyclone; the stronger the zonal flow, the larger the eastward displacement of the anticyclone. The current latitude of the anticyclone, θ , is an important predictor over Asia. It is of lesser importance, but still selected, over Europe (Table VIII), Eurasia (Table IX), and Pacific (Table XI). In all instances, the sign of the coefficients indicates a relationship of westward-moving anticyclones (or small eastward) with high latitudes and more rapid eastward movement at lower latitudes.

The first predictor selected for change in central pressure varied from area to area but was frequently a function of the initial central pressure: $P(5,3)$, I_1 , I_2 , etc. When this was the case, the sign of the regression coefficient indicates that anticyclones with initially high central pressure would not build as much as those which initially had lower central pressures.

The regression equations described above were applied to a set of independent data composed of 1959 summer anticyclones cases. An evaluation of the results and a comparison with climatology are shown in Table XII. Of the 54 predictand classes the regression equations yielded smaller root-mean-square errors than climatology with only two exceptions: 24-hr change in central pressure for Atlantic and 24-hr northward displacement for Europe.

TABLE VI
 NORTH AMERICAN SUMMER—ANTICYCLONE EQUATIONS WITH
 DEVELOPMENTAL DATA—SAMPLE STATISTICS (704 Cases)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{12}	+ 32.629	1	—	1.66°lat
	+ 0.014122	Z(7,2)	23.5	
	+ 0.15685	$\Delta P(5,4)$	27.0	
	- 0.18873	$\Delta P(5,2)$	29.8	
	-0.038024	$\Delta H(5,2)$	31.6	
	- 0.025945	Z(4,3)	33.2	
	+ 0.022192	Z(6,3)	36.6	
	- 0.051903	P(1,1)	38.6	
\hat{E}_{12}	+ 70.428	1	—	1.61°lat
	+ 0.016228	Z(6,5)	16.9	
	- 0.040156	H(5,2)	25.2	
	+ 0.028493	Z(4,3)	30.7	
	- 0.17234	$\Delta P(6,3)$	34.7	
	+ 0.12894	$\Delta P(4,3)$	37.0	
	- 0.080100	P(7,2)	39.3	
	+ 0.020571	$\Delta Z(4,5)$	40.7	
	- 0.015017	$\Delta Z(9,7)$	41.9	

TABLE VI (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{D}_{12}	+ 113.48	1	—	1.77 mb
	+ 0.064206	$\Delta H(4,3)$	27.4	
	+ 1.0558	I_2	38.7	
	- 0.17490	$\Delta P(2,3)$	43.8	
	+ 0.060884	$\Delta Z(5,4)$	47.9	
	+ 0.056445	$\Delta H(5,2)$	52.3	
	- 0.019078	$Z(4,3)$	55.8	
	- 0.10884	$P(5,2)$	58.2	
	- 0.019508	$\Delta H(9,7)$	59.2	
	+ 0.051049	$P(9,4)$	60.1	
	+ 0.025818	$\Delta H(2,5)$	60.8	
	+ 0.022729	$\Delta Z(1,7)$	61.6	
- 0.0083589	$Z(1,4)$	62.4		
\hat{N}_{24}	+ 6.9169	1	—	2.32°lat
	+ 0.031273	$Z(7,2)$	34.1	
	- 0.063732	$Z(4,3)$	38.0	
	+ 0.037878	$H(6,3)$	42.8	
	- 0.10584	Θ	45.6	
	+ 0.085833	$\Delta P(6,5)$	46.9	
	- 0.052644	$P(3,6)$	47.9	
	- 0.047028	$\Delta Z(5,2)$	48.9	
	+ 0.31171	$P(5,4)$	50.0	
	- 0.069854	$P(5,6)$	50.9	
	- 0.20062	$P(5,3)$	51.9	

TABLE VI (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{E}_{24}	+ 131.49	1	—	2.78°lat
	+ 0.035367	Z(6,5)	21.3	
	- 0.071366	H(5,2)	30.0	
	+ 0.048049	Z(4,3)	34.7	
	- 0.28229	$\Delta P(6,3)$	37.7	
	+ 0.25304	$\Delta P(4,3)$	40.6	
	- 0.15608	P(7,2)	43.2	
	+ 0.048904	$\Delta Z(4,5)$	45.7	
\hat{D}_{24}	+ 316.41	1	—	2.22 nb
	+ 0.25086	$\Delta P(5,4)$	11.0	
	- 0.060222	P(2,3)	20.8	
	- 0.053640	P(5,4)	27.1	
	- 0.15170	I_1	32.2	
	- 0.017211	Z(3,6)	38.6	
	-0.033426	Z(4,3)	41.0	
	+ 0.015824	Z(9,4)	44.3	
	+ 0.050021	$\Delta H(5,4)$	45.5	
	+ 1.3415	I_2	47.3	
	+ 0.12404	$\Delta P(9,4)$	48.8	
	- 0.13012	P(4,3)	49.9	
	+ 0.14916	$\Delta P(6,3)$	51.4	

TABLE VI (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{36}	- 32.137	1	—	2.88°lat
	+ 0.049061	Z(7,2)	36.0	
	- 0.085631	Z(4,3)	39.6	
	- 0.14133	Θ	45.9	
	+ 0.033472	H(6,3)	48.3	
	+ 0.023792	Z(8,5)	49.8	
	- 0.061318	$\Delta Z(4,3)$	50.9	
	- 0.017240	Z(3,6)	51.9	
	+ 0.023532	H(3,2)	52.6	
	- 0.10197	$\Delta P(5,6)$	53.1	
	- 0.053714	P(7,6)	53.6	
	+ 0.25040	P(5,4)	54.2	
- 0.20942	P(5,2)	55.7		
\hat{E}_{36}	+ 106.52	1	—	3.97°lat
	+ 0.039546	Z(6,5)	22.7	
	- 0.050301	Z(7,2)	30.0	
	+ 0.029091	Z(4,5)	33.0	
	+ 0.12608	$\Delta Z(4,3)$	36.1	
	- 0.084572	$\Delta Z(6,3)$	38.0	
	- 0.12464	P(1,1)	39.9	
	+ 0.21639	$\Delta P(4,5)$	41.2	
	- 0.052232	H(5,2)	42.4	
	+ 0.043637	H(5,4)	44.2	

TABLE VI (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{D}_{36}	+ 525.32	1	—	3.04 mb
	- 0.43455	P(4,3)	17.2	
	+ 0.35403	I_1	25.8	
	- 0.025743	Z(4,5)	34.1	
	+ 0.070711	$\Delta H(5,2)$	41.1	
	+ 0.049833	$\Delta H(4,5)$	45.4	
	- 0.26003	$\Delta P(2,3)$	48.1	
	+ 0.020148	Z(9,4)	50.0	
	- 0.037254	H(4,3)	51.5	
	+ 1.4774	I_2	53.1	
+ 0.060251	$\Delta Z(5,4)$	54.8		

TABLE VII
ATLANTIC SUMMER—ANTICYCLONES EQUATIONS WITH
DEVELOPMENTAL DATA—SAMPLE STATISTICS (312 Cases)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{12}	- 45.717	1	—	1.36°lat
	+ 0.096746	$\Delta P(5,4)$	16.9	
	+ 0.041299	P(8,5)	27.4	
	+ 0.040771	Z(6,3)	33.3	
	- 0.041367	Z(4,3)	46.6	
	- 0.043835	P(3,6)	49.7	
	+ 0.048961	P(6,5)	52.6	
\hat{E}_{12}	- 85.555	1	—	1.51°lat
	+ 0.036106	P(4,5)	15.3	
	+ 0.052134	P(6,5)	22.7	
	- 0.020007	H(1,4)	27.8	
	+ 0.016462	Z(4,5)	35.4	
\hat{D}_{12}	+ 112.28	1	—	1.61 mb
	+ 0.33879	$\Delta P(5,2)$	12.7	
	+ 0.89947	I_2	24.5	
	+ 0.041306	$\Delta Z(5,4)$	36.3	
	- 0.040917	P(1,4)	39.5	
	- 0.053566	$\Delta Z(1,1)$	42.1	
	+ 0.045105	$\Delta H(5,2)$	44.3	
	- 0.013796	Z(2,5)	45.8	
	+ 0.056380	P(9,4)	47.9	
	- 0.097805	P(5,2)	50.2	

TABLE VII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{24}	- 128.61	1	—	2.50°lat
	+ 0.13035	P(8,5)	21.5	
	+ 0.075083	Z(6,3)	28.6	
	- 0.076507	Z(4,3)	49.4	
\hat{E}_{24}	- 21.831	1	—	2.18°lat
	+ 0.13720	P(5,4)	16.0	
	- 0.092055	P(7,2)	27.9	
	- 0.24608	$\Delta P(6,3)$	35.1	
	+ 0.27495	$\Delta P(4,3)$	39.3	
	- 0.090937	P(8,3)	42.1	
	- 0.16543	$\Delta P(2,5)$	45.1	
	- 0.017645	H(1,7)	47.0	
	+ 0.026587	Z(4,5)	49.6	
	- 0.023900	H(1,4)	52.1	
+ 0.089785	P(2,5)	55.2		
\hat{D}_{24}	+ 325.99	1	—	2.70 mb
	+ 0.12968	$\Delta Z(5,2)$	11.4	
	- 0.42882	P(5,3)	22.4	
	- 0.032991	Z(2,5)	31.0	
	+ 0.17256	P(8,3)	40.6	
\hat{N}_{36}	- 182.48	1	—	3.54°lat
	+ 0.18397	P(8,5)	21.6	
	+ 0.096787	Z(6,3)	27.9	
	- 0.098322	Z(4,3)	46.2	

TABLE VII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{E}_{36}	+ 102.96	1	—	3.27°lat
	+ 0.14769	P(5,4)	15.9	
	- 0.16082	P(8,3)	27.3	
	- 0.30641	$\Delta P(6,3)$	34.6	
	+ 0.055314	Z(4,5)	39.2	
	- 0.029311	H(1,7)	44.6	
	- 0.029959	H(1,4)	48.2	
	-0.046800	Z(9, 1)	50.4	
\hat{D}_{36}	+ 470.74	1	—	3.04 mb
	- 1.0165	P(5,3)	15.5	
	- 0.038555	Z(2,5)	23.7	
	+ 0.23030	P(9,4)	34.7	
	+ 0.64198	$\Delta P(5,2)$	38.9	
	+ 0.40625	P(6,3)	42.4	
	- 0.11388	H(1,1)	47.3	
	+ 0.047220	H(9,1)	50.3	
	+ 0.11888	P(6,5)	52.4	
	- 0.50259	$\Delta P(1,1)$	55.0	

TABLE VIII
EUROPEAN SUMMER—ANTICYCLONES EQUATIONS WITH
DEVELOPMENTAL DATA—SAMPLE STATISTICS (378 Cases)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{12}	- 108.46	1	—	1.91°lat
	+ 0.037813	H(6,3)	11.5	
	- 0.031523	H(4,3)	22.2	
	+ 0.096175	P(1,1)	27.3	
\hat{E}_{12}	- 174.35	1	—	2.11°lat
	+ 0.12471	P(5,4)	12.6	
	+ 0.19795	$\Delta P(4,3)$	17.2	
	+ 0.11703	Θ	20.9	
	+ 0.021798	H(4,5)	25.7	
\hat{D}_{12}	+ 1.8820	1	—	1.54 mb
	+ 1.3012	I_1	22.1	
	+ 0.17138	$\Delta P(5,4)$	30.1	
	+ 0.035192	$\Delta Z(5,2)$	33.9	
\hat{N}_{24}	- 329.14	1	—	2.74°lat
	+ 0.058288	H(6,3)	13.2	
	- 0.065266	H(4,3)	26.6	
	+ 0.17624	P(1,1)	35.8	
	+ 0.034267	Z(9,4)	39.9	
	- 0.33031	$\Delta P(3,2)$	43.1	
	+ 0.098553	P(6,5)	46.0	

TABLE VIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{E}_{24}	- 378.22	1	—	3.12°lat
	+ 0.19520	P(5,4)	15.1	
	+ 0.20947	Θ	21.9	
	+ 0.039085	H(4,5)	28.6	
	+ 0.093089	P(7,6)	32.4	
	+ 0.29218	$\Delta P(4,3)$	35.3	
\hat{D}_{24}	+ 37.502	1	—	2.25 mb
	+ 1.1036	I_2	13.9	
	+ 0.31431	$\Delta P(5,4)$	28.7	
	- 0.018012	Z(3,2)	33.2	
\hat{D}_{36}	- 352.87	1	—	3.79°lat
	+ 0.033203	Z(8,3)	13.5	
	+ 0.31128	P(1,1)	26.0	
	- 0.074109	Z(4,3)	32.3	
	+ 0.061019	Z(6,3)	40.9	
\hat{E}_{36}	- 332.14	1	—	4.12°lat
	+ 0.20123	P(6,5)	16.2	
	+ 0.36026	Θ	24.1	
	+ 0.060723	Z(4,5)	30.5	
	+ 0.028298	Z(7,6)	34.1	
	- 0.032586	Z(3,6)	38.1	

TABLE VIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{D}_{36}	+ 65.259	1	—	2.75 mb
	+ 0.43021	$\Delta P(5,4)$	16.8	
	+ 1.2695	I_2	29.5	
	- 0.032678	$Z(3,2)$	37.0	
	+ 0.065799	$\Delta Z(5,2)$	40.7	

TABLE IX
 EURASIAN SUMMER—ANTICYCLONE EQUATIONS WITH
 DEVELOPMENTAL DATA—SAMPLE STATISTICS (346 Cases)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{12}	- 6.0542	1	—	1.46°lat
	+ 0.010874	Z(7,2)	14.5	
	+ 0.17631	$\Delta P(5,4)$	21.8	
	- 0.043796	$\Delta P(6,3)$	25.2	
	- 0.016099	Z(3,2)	27.5	
	+ 0.014215	Z(6,3)	29.4	
	- 0.088762	$\Delta P(2,3)$	31.3	
	+ 0.062331	P(6,5)	33.1	
- 0.072375	P(5,2)	36.2		
\hat{E}_{12}	- 43.284	1	—	1.59°lat
	+ 0.016992	Z(5,4)	7.9	
	- 0.029432	H(5,2)	19.1	
	+ 0.014993	Z(6,5)	24.9	
	+ 0.098683	Θ	27.7	
	+ 0.016890	H(2,3)	31.7	
\hat{D}_{12}	- 1.4193	1	—	2.05 mb
	+ 0.077528	$\Delta H(4,3)$	21.6	
	+ 0.76984	I_2	33.3	
	- 0.22835	$\Delta P(3,2)$	38.6	
	+ 0.077128	Θ	41.9	

TABLE IX (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{24}	+ 9.7027	1	—	2.25°lat
	+ 0.027780	Z(7,2)	20.0	
	+ 0.29473	$\Delta P(5,4)$	27.6	
	- 0.081517	$\Delta P(6,3)$	30.6	
	- 0.017580	Z(3,2)	33.4	
	- 0.10922	Θ	35.6	
	+ 0.021665	Z(6,3)	37.0	
	- 0.19350	$\Delta P(2,3)$	38.5	
	- 0.025944	H(2,3)	40.0	
	- 0.098877	P(7,2)	41.7	
	+ 0.083096	P(6,5)	43.9	
\hat{E}_{24}	- 259.23	1	—	2.57°lat
	+ 0.079182	P(6,5)	10.5	
	+ 0.038855	H(6,5)	16.6	
	- 0.054615	Z(7,2)	30.2	
	+ 0.16382	P(5,2)	34.4	
	+ 0.022075	H(2,3)	37.5	
\hat{D}_{24}	+ 63.410	1	—	2.58 mb
	- 0.032122	Z(2,3)	17.0	
	+ 0.25693	$\Delta P(5,4)$	25.4	
	+ 0.79858	I_2	33.1	

TABLE IX (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{36}	- 160.09	1	—	2.98°lat
	+ 0.039614	Z(7,2)	21.9	
	+ 0.33126	$\Delta P(5,4)$	29.3	
	+ 0.13327	P(6,5)	32.0	
	- 0.047650	Z(3,2)	34.8	
	- 0.16049	Θ	37.7	
	+ 0.025912	H(6,3)	39.7	
	+ 0.020089	Z(1,7)	41.4	
	- 0.019632	Z(3,6)	44.0	
\hat{E}_{36}	- 241.91	1	—	3.47°lat
	+ 0.17191	P(6,5)	10.7	
	+ 0.053007	H(6,5)	17.4	
	- 0.066703	H(7,2)	33.2	
	+ 0.043710	H(2,3)	35.8	
	+ 0.17356	Θ	38.9	
\hat{D}_{36}	+ 404.34	1	—	3.12 mb
	- 0.42147	$\Delta P(3,2)$	13.8	
	- 0.049676	Z(2,3)	23.6	
	- 0.49631	P(5,3)	32.6	
	+ 0.093902	$\Delta H(2,3)$	38.8	
	+ 0.40510	$\Delta P(5,4)$	42.6	
	+ 0.21217	P(3,2)	45.3	
	- 0.042138	H(6,3)	46.9	
	+ 0.031415	Z(5,4)	49.3	

TABLE X
 ASIAN SUMMER —ANTICYCLONE EQUATIONS WITH
 DEVELOPMENTAL DATA—SAMPLE STATISTICS (412 Cases) .

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{12}	- 40.456	1	—	1.76°lat
	+ 0.021481	Z(6,3)	18.7	
	+ 0.15322	$\Delta P(5,4)$	22.3	
	- 0.041580	$\Delta Z(5,2)$	26.3	
\hat{E}_{12}	- 34.838	1	—	1.86°lat
	+ 0.084719	Θ	8.7	
	- 0.10537	$\Delta P(6,3)$	13.5	
	+ 0.012557	Z(5,6)	16.8	
	- 0.018085	H(5,2)	19.5	
	- 0.024580	$\Delta Z(6,5)$	21.5	
	- 0.088506	$\Delta P(1,4)$	22.9	
	+ 0.022017	H(6,3)	24.3	
	- 0.021469	Z(1,4)	25.5	
	+ 0.050442	P(3,2)	26.7	
	- 0.024241	Z(1,1)	28.6	
	+ 0.012861	Z(4,5)	29.9	
	- 0.25920	P(6,3)	31.3	
	+ 0.27054	P(4,3)	36.3	
\hat{D}_{12}	+ 2.0079	1	—	1.79 mb
	+ 0.87524	I_2	18.4	
	+ 0.040090	$\Delta Z(4,3)$	23.3	
	- 0.0097634	Z(6,5)	25.1	
	+ 0.010331	H(7,2)	27.4	

TABLE X (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{24}	- 22.819	1	—	2.24°lat
	+ 0.031826	Z(6,3)	27.3	
	- 0.15183	Θ	32.3	
	+ 0.23043	$\Delta P(5,4)$	35.5	
	- 0.047993	$\Delta Z(5,2)$	38.4	
	- 0.036349	Z(3,2)	40.7	
	+ 0.011819	H(1,7)	42.7	
	+ 0.012726	H(9,4)	44.0	
	+ 0.025321	$\Delta H(5,4)$	45.2	
	+ 0.32564	P(5,4)	46.5	
	- 0.24358	P(5,2)	47.9	
- 0.088918	P(5,6)	50.3		
\hat{E}_{24}	+ 44.580	1	—	2.86°lat
	+ 0.15109	Θ	15.6	
	+ 0.035611	Z(4,5)	23.7	
	- 0.051319	H(1,1)	28.1	
	- 0.21565	$\Delta P(6,3)$	31.0	
	+ 0.20204	$\Delta P(4,3)$	32.7	
	- 0.027319	Z(1,4)	34.4	
	+ 0.014947	Z(7,6)	36.6	
\hat{D}_{24}	+ 18.534	1	—	2.45 mb
	+ 1.0626	I_2	13.2	
	+ 0.046259	$\Delta Z(5,4)$	19.3	
	- 0.024403	Z(5,4)	23.0	
	+ 0.016894	H(7,2)	25.7	

TABLE X (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{36}	- 7.2894	1	—	2.98°lat
	+ 0.042052	Z(7,2)	29.5	
	- 0.19376	Θ	34.8	
	+ 0.016084	Z(9,7)	38.5	
	- 0.064480	H(1,1)	41.8	
	+ 0.015144	Z(8,5)	43.8	
\hat{E}_{36}	- 122.26	1	—	3.66°lat
	+ 0.25179	Θ	17.9	
	+ 0.050928	Z(4,5)	27.4	
	- 0.066472	H(1,1)	31.2	
	+ 0.028983	Z(7,6)	34.2	
	- 0.039041	Z(1,4)	36.2	
	- 0.21900	$\Delta P(6,3)$	37.9	
+ 0.15474	P(3,2)	39.9		
\hat{D}_{36}	+ 134.15	1	—	3.01 mb
	+ 1.1864	I_2	9.8	
	- 0.094049	P(4,5)	15.4	
	+ 0.049623	$\Delta Z(5,4)$	19.1	
	- 0.047846	Z(4,3)	23.2	
	+ 0.030533	H(7,2)	27.8	

TABLE XI
 PACIFIC SUMMER—ANTICYCLONE EQUATIONS WITH
 DEVELOPMENTAL DATA—SAMPLE STATISTICS (312 Cases)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{12}	- 14.672	1	—	1.42°lat
	+ 0.024526	Z(8,3)	9.9	
	- 0.021154	H(2,5)	18.3	
	+ 0.32780	I ₂	22.5	
	+ 0.01544	Z(6,5)	25.6	
	- 0.011683	Z(5,6)	29.0	
\hat{E}_{12}	- 3.862	1	—	1.73°lat
	- 0.022133	P(9,4)	11.8	
	+ 0.018982	Z(5,6)	16.6	
	- 0.0095888	Z(7,2)	21.2	
	+ 0.18617	P(4,3)	25.2	
	- 0.14814	P(7,2)	29.8	
	+ 0.066626	ϕ	32.9	
\hat{D}_{12}	+ 91.369	1	—	1.85 mb
	+ 1.1247	I ₂	17.1	
	+ 0.18855	ΔP(6,3)	26.0	
	- 0.086436	P(2,3)	31.0	
\hat{N}_{24}	- 129.31	1	—	2.19°lat
	+ 0.030294	Z(8,3)	15.1	
	-0.019950	H(2,5)	22.5	
	+ 0.061757	H(6,3)	27.0	
	- 0.054857	Z(5,2)	35.0	
	+ 0.22530	ΔP(5,4)	38.1	
	+ 0.098220	P(6,5)	41.4	

TABLE XI (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{E}_{24}	- 60.081	1	—	2.88°lat
	- 0.11191	P(9,4)	17.5	
	+ 0.013287	Z(5,6)	23.7	
	- 0.032312	Z(1,4)	28.6	
	+ 0.037573	H(7,6)	31.9	
	+ 0.30043	P(5,4)	36.7	
	- 0.16601	P(7,2)	39.4	
	+ 0.26053	$\Delta P(3,2)$	42.0	
\hat{D}_{24}	+ 207.34	1	—	2.24 mb
	- 0.22956	P(5,3)	18.7	
	+ 0.33629	$\Delta P(6,3)$	30.9	
	+ 1.0390	I_2	38.9	
	- 0.039968	Z(3,2)	43.1	
	+ 0.025419	Z(9,4)	47.2	
	- 0.078334	P(2,5)	51.2	
	+ 0.13636	P(7,2)	53.5	
\hat{N}_{36}	- 155.02	1	—	2.87°lat
	+ 0.057261	Z(8,3)	19.6	
	- 0.031672	H(2,5)	25.0	
	+ 0.077407	H(6,3)	29.6	
	- 0.075147	Z(5,2)	38.2	
	+ 0.055162	$\Delta Z(9,7)$	41.9	
	+ 0.10404	P(1,4)	44.8	

TABLE XI (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{E}_{36}	+ 219.97	1	—	3.90°lat
	- 0.32204	P(9,4)	20.5	
	+ 0.036964	Z(5,6)	28.0	
	- 0.12678	P(2,5)	32.7	
	+ 0.13101	P(8,5)	36.0	
	+ 0.26104	Θ	39.8	
	+ 0.045461	H(7,6)	42.5	
	- 0.035678	H(1,7)	46.6	
\hat{D}_{36}	+ 546.26	1	—	3.17 mb)
	- 0.17240	P(5,3)	25.1	
	+ 0.37814	$\Delta P(6,3)$	32.9	
	+ 1.6065	I_2	38.5	
	- 0.10413	P(2,5)	41.8	
	- 0.25394	P(3,2)	45.7	
	+ 0.062498	$\Delta Z(8,3)$	48.0	

TABLE XII
 ROOT-MEAN-SQUARE ERRORS IN TESTS ON
 SUMMER ANTICYCLONES, 1959
 (independent sample)

Area	Forecast interval, hr	TRC			CLIMATOLOGY		
		N	E	D	N	E	D
North America (124 cases)	12	1.61	1.60	1.95	1.97	2.16	2.86
	24	2.39	2.82	2.06	3.18	3.88	3.03
	36	3.03	3.99	2.95	4.19	5.60	4.30
Atlantic (65 cases)	12	1.75	2.01	1.56	2.39	2.07	2.00
	24	2.69	2.77	2.83	3.85	2.97	2.75
	36	3.83	3.52	3.13	5.02	4.14	3.26
Europe (119 cases)	12	1.77	2.19	1.66	1.86	2.61	1.95
	24	2.77	3.83	2.28	2.72	4.61	3.36
	36	3.10	5.01	2.94	3.56	6.46	3.69
Eurasia (128 cases)	12	1.66	2.01	2.32	2.27	2.36	3.00
	24	2.68	2.83	2.66	4.03	3.93	3.10
	36	3.68	3.64	4.13	5.43	5.36	4.59
Asia (122 cases)	12	1.82	2.31	1.81	1.96	2.66	1.88
	24	2.61	3.76	2.57	2.98	4.54	2.88
	36	3.91	5.16	3.35	4.08	6.25	3.82
Pacific (83 cases)	12	1.62	1.59	1.72	1.78	1.72	2.18
	24	2.50	2.84	2.85	3.26	2.91	3.38
	36	3.98	3.90	3.46	4.51	4.03	4.44

10. Winter Anticyclones

Tables XIII through XVIII give the dependent data results for the six winter-anticyclone areas. The northward displacement predictors are similar to those selected for summer anticyclones in that the first predictors selected are generally upper-air heights (or thicknesses) to the east of the anticyclone. Here again, the equations show that large height values to the east are conducive to northward displacement.

For eastward displacement, a variety of predictors was selected. In North America (Table XIII), $\Delta P(6,3)$ was selected first at the 12-hr interval while $Z(6,1)$ was the most significant predictor for 24 and 36 hr. For the Atlantic, $Z(8,2)$ was selected first for 12 and 24 hr while the initial longitude of the anticyclone was selected first for 36 hr. For Europe, Eurasia, and Pacific, sea-level pressure predictors were important, as was the case for summer in those same areas. For Asia, $Z(7,2)$ and $Z(8,2)$ were the most significant predictors.

The change in central pressure equations have, for their first predictors, a function of sea-level pressure near the anticyclone center. This is similar to the situation with summer anticyclones. As was the case there, the coefficients indicate that the weakest anticyclones are likely to undergo the greatest increase in central pressure.

The experimental gradient predictands, of which there are eight for each forecast interval (24 in all), are also shown in Tables XIII through XVIII. The pressure differences one and two grid units to the north [$\nabla\hat{P}_1(N)$, $\nabla\hat{P}_2(N)$] have functions of the initial central pressure as the most frequent first predictor selected. Examination of the regression coefficients indicates that strong anticyclones with high central pressures are related to subsequent large spatial pressure differences (note that the gradient predictands are negative for anticyclones, thus higher central pressures are associated with more negative gradients). This seems reasonable since strong anticyclones imply initially sizeable pressure gradients.

Toward the east [$\nabla\hat{P}_1(E)$, $\nabla\hat{P}_2(E)$], the initial latitude was a major factor. The regression equations relate anticyclones at northerly latitudes to large pressure gradients and anticyclones at more southerly latitudes to weak pressure

gradients. This is consistent with synoptic experience. Anticyclones in northerly latitudes are observed to have their major axes oriented north-south while at lower latitudes they are oriented more east-west.

The gradients to the south [$\nabla\hat{P}_1(S)$, $\nabla\hat{P}_2(S)$] show some similarity to the northerly directed pressure differences. P(5,3) is the first predictor selected for several of the areas.

To the west [$\nabla\hat{P}_1(W)$, $\nabla\hat{P}_2(W)$], Z(2,3) is often a first selected predictor. This predictor, a 500-mb height located to the west of the anticyclone, has a regression coefficient which relates low heights in that area to large pressure differences to the west. Low heights to the west imply the approach of low surface pressure from the west, and thus large pressure gradients toward that direction.

The reason for specifying these pressure gradient terms is to try to portray the pressure pattern about the anticyclone, rather than merely its location and central pressure. Having forecast these eight pressure differences it is possible to analyze the resulting pressure field as one would analyze a group of pressure observations. An example of this is shown in Fig. 5, which represents a 36-hr forecast of one of the independent cases on winter anticyclones for Pacific. The forecast pressure differences (in mb) are -6, -4, -4, and -5 for one grid interval to the north, east, south, and west, and -16, -8, -11, -10 for the same directions at a distance of two grid intervals. The observed differences were -6, -4, -6, and -6 for one grid interval, and -16, -10, -10, and -13 for two grid intervals. The usefulness of the forecast gradient is enhanced by the good forecast of displacement that was made. Obviously, a good gradient forecast loses its usefulness if the location of the anticyclone is forecast poorly.

The results of applying the winter equations on independent data from the 1959-60 winter season are presented in Table XIX. In comparing these root-mean-square errors with climatology we find that the TRC equations are superior to climatology for 193 of the 198 predictands. The five exceptions are all pressure gradient forecasts: $\nabla\hat{P}_1(S)_{36}$ and $\nabla\hat{P}_1(W)_{36}$ for Eurasia, $\nabla\hat{P}_1(S)_{36}$ for Eurasia; and $\nabla\hat{P}_1(N)_{12}$ and $\nabla\hat{P}_1(N)_{24}$ for Pacific.

TABLE XIII
 NORTH AMERICAN WINTER-ANTICYCLONE EQUATIONS WITH
 DEVELOPMENTAL DATA-SAMPLE STATISTICS (593 cases)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{12}	+ 33.187	1	—	1.91 °lat
	+ 0.023184	Z(7, 2)	17.2	
	- 0.019503	Z(2, 3)	26.3	
	- 0.15136	$\Delta P(5, 2)$	31.3	
	+ 0.12564	$\Delta P(5, 4)$	35.4	
	- 0.025646	H(4, 1)	38.3	
	- 0.10411	Θ	40.8	
	+ 0.0060209	Z(8, 5)	42.2	
\hat{E}_{12}	- 38.174	1	—	1.67 °lat
	- 0.10721	$\Delta P(6, 3)$	8.6	
	- 0.019118	Z(6, 1)	14.8	
	+ 0.0049955	Z(3, 5)	23.1	
	+ 0.089319	$\Delta P(3, 4)$	27.4	
	+ 0.12015	P(4, 3)	30.0	
	+ 0.010655	H(6, 5)	33.3	
	- 0.076842	P(7, 2)	35.4	
	+ 0.021069	$\Delta Z(4, 5)$	37.8	
	+ 0.023365	$\Delta Z(3, 2)$	39.4	

TABLE XIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{D}_{12}	+ 186.05	1	—	2.17 mb
	+ 0.16535	$\Delta P(5, 4)$	17.0	
	- 0.15450	$P(5, 3)$	24.0	
	+ 0.050464	$\Delta Z(4, 3)$	30.4	
	+ 0.092905	$\Delta P(4, 5)$	33.1	
	+ 0.056916	$\Delta Z(5, 2)$	35.6	
	- 0.0063036	$H(6, 5)$	37.7	
	- 0.21589	$\Delta P(2, 1)$	39.8	
	+ 0.026902	$\Delta H(2, 3)$	41.8	
	+ 0.71503	I_1	43.0	
	+ 0.039027	λ	44.5	
	- 0.010490	$H(3, 2)$	46.0	
\hat{N}_{24}	- 47.413	1	—	3.08 °lat
	+ 0.032760	$Z(7, 2)$	20.9	
	- 0.059595	$Z(3, 2)$	30.7	
	+ 0.021842	$H(8, 5)$	38.3	
	+ 0.021660	$\Delta H(5, 2)$	40.5	
	+ 0.24604	$\Delta P(5, 4)$	42.7	
	+ 0.057598	$\Delta P(8, 5)$	44.3	
	- 0.20627	$\Delta P(4, 3)$	45.8	
	- 0.15298	Θ	46.9	
	+ 0.063214	$P(7, 4)$	48.2	

TABLE XIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{E}_{24}	+ 14.611	1	—	3.04 °lat
	- 0.018654	Z(6, 1)	10.2	
	+ 0.018129	Z(4, 5)	25.2	
	+ 0.18043	$\Delta P(3, 4)$	31.6	
	- 0.030372	H(5, 2)	33.4	
	+ 0.021394	Z(6, 5)	36.7	
	+ 0.054320	$\Delta Z(4, 5)$	38.9	
	- 0.13700	$\Delta P(5, 4)$	40.6	
\hat{D}_{24}	+ 431.26	1	—	3.16 mb
	- 0.050815	P(6, 3)	19.5	
	+ 0.23555	$\Delta P(5, 4)$	32.6	
	+ 0.25419	$\Delta P(4, 3)$	35.9	
	- 0.25794	P(4, 3)	38.5	
	+ 0.062631	$\Delta P(8, 5)$	40.5	
	+ 0.16303	$\Delta P(4, 5)$	42.0	
	- 0.015478	H(6, 5)	43.6	
	- 0.078824	P(4, 5)	45.6	
	+ 0.64363	I ₂	47.2	
	+ 0.055437	$\Delta Z(5, 2)$	48.8	
	+ 0.018238	Z(8, 3)	49.6	
	- 0.022181	H(5, 2)	51.6	

TABLE XIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{36}	+ 71.344	1	—	3.83 °lat
	+ 0.018635	H(7, 2)	21.8	
	- 0.024699	Z(3, 2)	29.7	
	+ 0.023786	H(8, 5)	36.6	
	+ 0.14632	P(7, 2)	38.6	
	+ 0.056370	$\Delta P(8, 5)$	40.3	
	- 0.17346	$\Delta P(3, 4)$	41.7	
	- 0.31028	Θ	43.1	
	+ 0.16943	$\Delta P(5, 4)$	45.3	
	- 0.077359	Z(4, 3)	46.5	
	- 0.13953	P(8, 1)	47.6	
	+ 0.020322	H(6, 3)	48.4	
	- 0.054118	H(4, 1)	49.2	
	+ 0.058485	Z(5, 2)	50.8	
\hat{E}_{36}	+ 31.606	1	—	4.38 °lat
	- 0.038921	Z(6, 1)	11.8	
	+ 0.036352	Z(4, 5)	28.4	
	+ 0.041117	$\Delta Z(3, 4)$	32.9	
	+ 0.023700	Z(6, 5)	35.5	
	+ 0.15801	$\Delta P(3, 5)$	37.0	
	- 0.040303	H(5, 2)	38.5	
	+ 0.052517	$\Delta Z(4, 5)$	39.9	
	- 0.16187	$\Delta P(6, 3)$	41.4	

TABLE XIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{D}_{36}	+ 663.26	1	—	4.34 mb
	- 0.49168	P(5, 3)	28.3	
	+ 0.21911	$\Delta P(5, 4)$	35.4	
	- 0.028690	H(6, 5)	40.1	
	+ 0.14611	$\Delta P(4, 5)$	41.7	
	- 0.091249	P(2, 4)	43.2	
	+ 0.0092120	Z(8, 5)	44.7	
	- 0.037790	H(4, 1)	46.3	
	+ 0.020012	Z(8, 3)	48.0	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(N)}_{12}$	+ 62.812	1	—	2.12 mb
	- 0.70501	I_2	18.6	
	+ 0.036057	P(4, 5)	22.3	
	+ 0.17480	P(4, 3)	29.4	
	+ 0.78099	P(5, 4)	38.3	
	- 0.010553	P(5, 3)	49.8	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(E)}_{12}$	- 20.027	1	—	1.98 mb
	- 0.12893	Θ	40.1	
	- 0.35273	I_2	46.7	
	+ 0.062869	P(7, 2)	49.7	
	- 0.015801	$\Delta Z(5, 4)$	51.9	
	- 0.0067521	P(4, 5)	52.8	
	+ 0.032160	$\Delta P(7, 4)$	53.8	
	- 0.0091369	$\Delta Z(5, 2)$	54.8	
	+ 0.012433	P(2, 5)	55.2	
	+ 0.099972	P(4, 3)	55.8	
	+ 0.52612	P(6, 3)	58.3	
	- 0.67219	P(5, 3)	61.7	

TABLE XIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(S)_{12}$	+ 54.902	1	—	1.98 mb
	+ 0.026220	H(4, 3)	20.9	
	- 0.054321	λ	27.1	
	- 0.018105	H(6, 1)	32.0	
	+ 0.38636	I_2	35.4	
	- 0.065039	P(6, 3)	38.1	
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(W)_{12}$	- 54.948	1	—	2.14 mb
	+ 0.015733	H(6, 3)	23.4	
	+ 0.0038482	P(2, 3)	29.7	
	+ 0.033141	I_2	35.1	
	+ 0.0076124	$\Delta H(2, 3)$	36.8	
	- 0.0080066	Z(7, 4)	38.1	
	- 0.097734	$\Delta P(5, 4)$	39.6	
	+ 0.068767	$\Delta P(4, 3)$	41.1	
	- 0.10479	$\Delta P(5, 2)$	42.5	
	+ 0.080932	P(3, 2)	44.1	
	- 0.014847	P(6, 5)	45.6	
	+ 0.011531	Z(8, 1)	46.6	
	- 0.045714	P(6, 1)	47.5	
	+ 0.37199	P(4, 3)	48.3	
- 0.37968	P(5, 3)	51.3		
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(N)_{12}$	+ 138.87	1	—	4.47 mb
	- 1.4098	P(5, 3)	17.8	
	+ 0.79388	P(5, 4)	48.2	
	+ 0.25551	P(6, 5)	53.0	
	+ 0.22410	P(4, 5)	58.3	

TABLE XIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(E)_{12}$	- 87.113	1	—	3.85 mb
	+ 0.012682	H(6, 3)	37.5	
	+ 0.23540	P(8, 3)	45.5	
	- 0.59126	P(5, 3)	50.0	
	+ 0.47593	P(7, 2)	57.1	
	- 0.26122	$\Delta P(5, 4)$	61.2	
	+ 0.042157	Z(2, 1)	63.9	
	+ 0.13916	$\Delta P(7, 2)$	65.4	
	- 0.048387	$\Delta H(4, 3)$	66.6	
	+ 0.10525	$\Delta P(7, 4)$	67.4	
	- 0.13904	P(8, 1)	68.0	
+ 0.040963	$\Delta Z(2, 3)$	68.6		
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(S)_{12}$	+ 87.131	1	—	3.14 mb
	- 1.3496	P(5, 3)	23.0	
	+ 0.91476	P(5, 2)	49.5	
	+ 0.34599	P(6, 1)	55.9	
	- 1.5708	I_1	60.1	
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(W)_{12}$	- 76.275	1	—	3.79 mb
	+ 0.0049597	Z(2, 3)	16.9	
	+ 0.064456	P(5, 4)	26.0	
	+ 0.51024	P(3, 2)	39.4	
	+ 0.15967	P(3, 4)	47.1	
	- 1.0160	P(5, 3)	54.4	
	+ 0.079262	$\Delta Z(2, 3)$	57.2	
	- 0.21608	$\Delta P(5, 4)$	59.0	
	+ 0.34618	P(4, 3)	60.7	

TABLE XIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(N)}_{24}$	+ 31.670	1	—	2.62 mb
	+ 0.70416	I_2	16.7	
	+ 0.013260	Z(6, 5)	21.4	
	- 0.13271	P(4, 3)	25.0	
	+ 0.077189	P(4, 5)	28.9	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(E)}_{24}$	- 53.680	1	—	2.45 mb
	- 0.10802	Θ	35.7	
	+ 0.015773	Z(2, 4)	38.3	
	- 0.028263	$\Delta H(4, 5)$	41.0	
	+ 0.39053	I_2	42.8	
	+ 0.013619	Z(6, 1)	44.1	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(S)}_{24}$	+ 14.928	1	—	1.92 mb
	+ 0.021521	H(4, 3)	18.3	
	- 0.025809	λ	22.2	
	- 0.0090331	Z(6, 3)	25.6	
	- 0.063836	P(2, 1)	28.3	
	+ 0.025937	$\Delta H(4, 1)$	30.4	
	+ 0.13377	P(6, 1)	31.8	
	- 0.10732	P(5, 3)	36.2	

TABLE XIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_1^{(W)}_{24}$	- 84.679	1	—	2.37 mb
	+ 0.023678	H(6, 3)	22.8	
	+ 0.015442	Z(2, 4)	28.0	
	- 0.013382	Z(8, 5)	30.7	
	+ 0.12559	$\Delta P(7, 4)$	32.4	
	- 0.12471	$\Delta P(5, 2)$	34.0	
	+ 0.074092	$\Delta P(3, 2)$	35.4	
	- 0.086850	P(7, 4)	36.6	
	+ 0.066509	P(3, 2)	37.7	
	+ 0.053653	P(8, 5)	38.8	
+ 0.062519	$\Delta P(3, 5)$	40.0		
$\hat{\nabla}P_2^{(N)}_{24}$	+ 72.874	1	—	5.35 mb
	- 0.67145	P(5, 3)	16.8	
	+ 0.28496	P(6, 5)	30.5	
	+ 0.27100	P(4, 5)	38.8	
	+ 0.024440	H(6, 5)	41.6	
$\hat{\nabla}P_2^{(E)}_{24}$	- 131.38	1	—	4.96 mb
	- 0.13090	Θ	33.3	
	+ 0.043343	Z(2, 3)	36.7	
	+ 0.017920	H(6, 3)	40.0	
	- 0.032613	$\Delta H(4, 5)$	42.1	
	- 0.15420	$\Delta P(4, 1)$	43.3	
	+ 0.26275	P(7, 2)	44.5	
	- 0.24627	P(5, 3)	46.4	
	- 0.21784	$\Delta P(5, 4)$	48.2	

TABLE XIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(S)_{24}$	+ 86.858	1	—	3.51 mb
	- 0.53774	P(5, 3)	16.1	
	+ 0.30257	P(6, 1)	33.0	
	+ 0.053314	Z(4, 1)	37.1	
	- 0.026721	$\Delta Z(4, 5)$	39.7	
	- 0.15928	$\Delta P(7, 2)$	42.1	
	- 0.029883	H(6, 1)	43.7	
	- 0.061787	λ	45.0	
	- 0.78024	I_1	45.9	
	+ 0.10552	P(3, 4)	46.9	
- 0.043711	$\Delta Z(3, 4)$	48.0		
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(W)_{24}$	- 44.485	1	—	5.03 mb
	+ 0.035322	H(5, 4)	13.5	
	+ 0.10156	$\Delta Z(2, 3)$	22.2	
	- 0.35117	P(5, 2)	25.3	
	+ 0.32706	P(3, 2)	32.0	
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(N)_{36}$	- 11.939	1	—	2.74 mb
	+ 0.021760	H(6, 5)	12.6	
	+ 0.43273	I_2	16.2	
	- 0.024293	$\Delta Z(5, 4)$	18.5	
	- 0.080521	P(2, 1)	20.1	
	+ 0.018622	H(2, 3)	21.1	
	- 0.015093	H(5, 4)	22.6	
	- 0.035840	$\Delta Z(3, 4)$	24.0	
	+ 0.044967	P(3, 5)	25.8	

TABLE XIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(E)_{36}$	- 66.006	1	—	2.64 mb
	- 0.080583	Θ	31.4	
	+ 0.020196	Z(2, 4)	33.7	
	- 0.058207	λ	37.0	
	- 0.028611	$\Delta H(4, 5)$	39.3	
	+ 0.017840	H(4, 1)	40.8	
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(S)_{36}$	+ 77.387	1	—	1.80 mb
	+ 0.018717	H(4, 3)	15.0	
	- 0.066865	P(2, 1)	20.6	
	- 0.013370	λ	23.1	
	- 0.016118	H(2, 3)	25.3	
	+ 0.022596	$\Delta H(4, 1)$	26.7	
	- 0.0058000	Z(7, 4)	27.9	
	- 0.092500	Θ	29.4	
	- 0.084525	P(6, 3)	31.0	
	+ 0.082229	P(6, 1)	33.3	
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(W)_{36}$	+ 16.213	1	—	2.51 mb
	- 0.16880	Θ	21.2	
	+ 0.017903	$\Delta Z(2, 3)$	23.9	
	- 0.017130	$\Delta Z(8, 5)$	25.9	
	+ 0.022374	H(5, 4)	27.4	
	- 0.023671	H(2, 1)	28.5	
	- 0.0063977	Z(8, 5)	29.5	
	- 0.013792	H(4, 5)	30.4	
	+ 0.014086	Z(2, 3)	31.7	

TABLE XIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_{2(N)}_{36}$	+ 73.118	1	—	6.18 mb
	+ 0.043332	Z(6, 5)	15.1	
	- 0.066429	$\Delta Z(5, 4)$	21.2	
	- 0.33258	P(5, 3)	25.1	
	+ 0.18006	P(4, 5)	28.5	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{2(E)}_{36}$	- 50.548	1	—	5.48 mb
	- 0.20081	θ	30.3	
	+ 0.048248	Z(2, 3)	34.2	
	- 0.049344	$\Delta H(4, 5)$	36.7	
	- 0.22423	$\Delta P(4, 1)$	38.2	
	+ 0.035865	Z(4, 1)	39.2	
	- 0.10698	P(6, 5)	40.0	
- 0.19467	$\Delta P(5, 4)$	41.3		
$\hat{\nabla}P_{2(S)}_{24}$	+ 5.8823	1	—	3.86 mb
	- 0.32857	P(5, 3)	11.3	
	+ 0.28123	P(6, 1)	22.0	
	+ 0.022014	Z(4, 1)	24.5	
	- 0.051126	$\Delta Z(4, 5)$	27.3	
	- 0.18417	$\Delta P(7, 2)$	29.9	
	- 0.051910	λ	31.4	

TABLE XIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{V}P_2(W)_{36}$	+ 178.98	1	—	5.40 mb
	+ 0.047394	H(5, 4)	12.7	
	+ 0.077457	$\Delta Z(2, 3)$	16.9	
	- 0.042694	$\Delta Z(8, 5)$	19.8	
	- 0.25111	P(6, 1)	22.8	
	+ 0.047166	$\Delta H(6, 3)$	25.1	
	+ 0.058776	P(2, 4)	26.0	
	- 0.016854	Z(8, 5)	26.8	
	- 0.022577	H(4, 5)	27.7	
- 0.14400	Θ	28.8		

TABLE XIV
ATLANTIC WINTER-ANTICYCLONE EQUATIONS WITH
DEVELOPMENTAL DATA-SAMPLE STATISTICS (412 cases)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{12}	- 14.148	1	—	1.57 °lat
	+ 0.014297	P(8, 5)	18.1	
	+ 0.17718	$\Delta P(5, 4)$	26.0	
	- 0.17047	P(5, 2)	30.3	
	+ 0.077998	P(6, 5)	38.1	
	- 0.031695	$\Delta Z(3, 2)$	42.0	
	+ 0.11464	P(7, 4)	45.6	
	- 0.011664	H(2, 3)	50.5	
\hat{E}_{12}	+ 1.9092	1	—	1.72 °lat
	- 0.017650	Z(8, 2)	14.2	
	+ 0.025370	Z(4, 5)	34.8	
	+ 0.20944	$\Delta P(4, 3)$	39.3	
	- 0.19516	I ₂	42.2	
	+ 0.046300	$\Delta P(3, 5)$	44.4	
	- 0.088311	$\Delta P(7, 4)$	46.1	
	- 0.023159	H(6, 3)	47.8	
	- 0.021100	λ	48.8	
	+ 0.020452	$\Delta H(7, 2)$	49.7	
	- 0.019041	$\Delta Z(5, 4)$	50.5	
	+ 0.012334	H(2, 3)	51.1	
+ 0.082778	Θ	52.3		
\hat{D}_{12}	+ 2.0969	1	—	2.12 mb
	+ 0.22856	$\Delta P(5, 4)$	17.2	
	+ 1.2745	I ₁	33.8	

TABLE XIV (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{24}	- 2.8836	1	—	2.82 °lat
	+ 0.013037	Z(8, 5)	21.1	
	- 0.053930	Z(3, 2)	34.5	
	+ 0.044481	Z(7, 4)	43.2	
	+ 0.12197	$\Delta P(6, 5)$	46.3	
\hat{E}_{24}	- 21.532	1	—	3.11 °lat
	- 0.041319	Z(8, 2)	15.1	
	+ 0.048600	Z(4, 5)	38.0	
	+ 0.097644	$\Delta P(3, 5)$	41.9	
	+ 0.18636	Θ	45.9	
	+ 0.22581	$\Delta P(4, 3)$	48.0	
\hat{D}_{24}	+ 187.19	1	—	3.11 mb
	+ 0.35673	$\Delta P(5, 4)$	18.6	
	- 0.49721	P(5, 3)	30.4	
	+ 0.31689	P(6, 3)	36.0	
\hat{N}_{36}	- 19.444	1	—	3.61 °lat
	+ 0.020368	Z(8, 5)	21.4	
	- 0.071879	Z(3, 2)	34.7	
	+ 0.042165	Z(7, 4)	41.0	
	+ 0.13945	$\Delta P(6, 5)$	43.6	
	+ 0.022986	Z(6, 5)	45.2	
	+ 0.22997	$\Delta P(6, 3)$	47.2	

TABLE XIV (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{E}_{36}	- 63.912	1	—	4.24°lat
	- 0.10608	λ	18.7	
	- 0.038456	Z(8,3)	27.8	
	+ 0.034618	Z(4,5)	39.2	
	+ 0.36546	θ	43.7	
	+ 0.030551	Z(3,4)	46.7	
	+ 0.29683	$\Delta P(4,3)$	48.6	
\hat{D}_{36}	+ 336.03	1	—	3.71 mb
	- 0.54056	P(5,3)	14.3	
	+ 0.11585	$\Delta P(5,4)$	26.5	
	+ 0.24666	P(7,4)	32.9	
	+ 0.35935	$\Delta P(6,3)$	39.0	
	- 0.017742	Z(2,5)	43.0	
	- 0.35080	$\Delta P(2,1)$	45.3	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(N)}_{12}$	+ 80.477	1	—	2.60 mb
	+ 0.010445	Z(4,5)	17.2	
	- 0.58749	P(5,3)	29.0	
	+ 0.48798	P(5,4)	40.8	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(E)}_{12}$	- 1.5911	1	—	2.09 mb
	- 0.11181	θ	38.7	
	+ 0.053586	P(7,4)	44.0	
	- 0.48933	P(5,3)	48.5	
	+ 0.43962	P(6,3)	55.4	

TABLE XIV (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(S)}_{12}$	+ 9.1633	1	—	1.81 mb
	- 0.10034	θ	21.7	
	- 0.17003	P(4, 3)	26.9	
	+ 0.16073	P(6, 1)	34.2	
	- 0.015098	Z(8, 3)	37.0	
	+ 0.31708	I_2	39.5	
	+ 0.011654	H(4, 3)	41.5	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(W)}_{12}$	+ 6.6587	1	—	2.51 mb
	+ 0.014192	Z(2, 3)	34.9	
	- 0.16778	P(4, 1)	40.8	
	+ 0.16488	$\Delta P(8, 2)$	42.8	
	+ 0.078217	P(2, 3)	45.0	
	+ 0.013859	H(5, 4)	47.3	
	+ 0.30447	I_2	48.3	
	+ 0.15978	P(3, 2)	49.4	
- 0.12991	P(5, 4)	51.2		
$\hat{\nabla}P_{2(N)}_{12}$	+ 48.867	1	—	5.52 mb
	- 0.56289	P(6, 3)	19.5	
	+ 0.46924	P(4, 5)	37.0	
	+ 0.050694	H(4, 5)	49.0	
	+ 0.25101	P(6, 5)	53.1	
	- 0.30068	P(7, 4)	58.3	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{2(E)}_{12}$	- 19.877	1	—	4.00 mb
	- 0.33757	θ	43.6	
	+ 0.43581	P(8, 3)	58.9	
	- 0.40820	P(5, 3)	68.3	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{2(S)}_{12}$	+ 150.90	1	—	2.89 mb
	- 0.76335	P(5, 3)	26.2	
	+ 0.61343	P(6, 1)	65.4	

TABLE XIV (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_{2(W)}_{12}$	- 130.29	1	—	4.26 mb
	+ 0.045213	Z(2, 4)	50.8	
	+ 0.63233	$\Delta P(3, 2)$	60.3	
	+ 0.35575	P(2, 3)	65.5	
	- 0.31424	P(5, 3)	70.4	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(N)}_{24}$	+ 147.24	1	—	3.05 mb
	- 0.23202	P(5, 3)	13.6	
	+ 0.058191	Z(4, 5)	22.9	
	- 0.040519	H(4, 5)	27.5	
	+ 0.13891	P(2, 2)	32.4	
	- 0.087577	P(7, 4)	35.6	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(E)}_{24}$	+ 3.7455	1	—	2.39 mb
	- 0.21533	θ	34.7	
	+ 0.16191	$\Delta P(8, 3)$	38.4	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(S)}_{24}$	+ 43.937	1	—	2.15 mb
	- 0.10491	θ	22.2	
	- 0.17290	P(5, 3)	26.0	
	+ 0.13061	P(6, 1)	31.2	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(W)}_{24}$	- 44.885	1	—	3.31 mb
	+ 0.037058	Z(2, 3)	24.9	
	- 0.016105	Z(8, 5)	28.0	
	+ 0.17510	$\Delta P(8, 3)$	30.6	

TABLE XIV (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_{2(N)}_{24}$	+ 270.19	1	—	6.36 mb
	- 0.043522	P(8, 5)	17.1	
	- 0.49956	P(5, 3)	26.4	
	+ 0.31348	P(4, 5)	36.3	
	+ 0.24549	P(6, 5)	42.8	
	- 0.34919	P(7, 4)	45.0	
	+ 0.034203	Z(4, 5)	48.3	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{2(E)}_{24}$	+ 21.662	1	—	4.61 mb
	- 0.45028	θ	39.1	
	+ 0.16290	P(8, 3)	45.7	
	- 0.18397	P(5, 4)	50.7	
	+ 0.19532	P(7, 4)	52.6	
	- 0.18682	P(6, 5)	55.0	
	- 0.052420	$\Delta Z(5, 4)$	56.4	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{2(S)}_{24}$	+ 209.79	1	—	3.69 mb
	- 0.71200	P(5, 3)	24.8	
	+ 0.50360	P(6, 1)	48.8	

TABLE XIV (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(W)_{24}$	- 287.91	1	—	5.30 mb
	+ 0.060157	Z(2, 4)	39.6	
	+ 0.45062	$\Delta P(3, 2)$	46.9	
	- 0.021876	Z(8, 5)	50.8	
	+ 0.30689	P(2, 2)	53.7	
	+ 0.052049	H(5, 2)	55.3	
	- 0.13803	P(5, 4)	56.5	
	+ 0.14781	$\Delta P(2, 4)$	57.4	
	+ 0.048945	$\Delta H(4, 1)$	58.0	
	- 0.13704	$\Delta P(6, 5)$	58.5	
	- 0.031793	Z(7, 4)	59.0	
+ 0.21759	$\Delta P(8, 3)$	59.7		
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(N)_{36}$	+ 34.083	1	—	3.72 mb
	- 0.086422	P(8, 5)	9.6	
	+ 0.041089	H(5, 2)	21.1	
	- 0.21295	P(6, 3)	23.9	
	+ 0.097401	P(2, 3)	27.0	
	+ 0.089558	P(4, 5)	29.4	
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(E)_{36}$	+ 4.5108	1	—	2.38 mb
	- 0.22486	θ	31.9	
	- 0.071376	$\Delta P(6, 5)$	33.9	

TABLE XIV (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(S)_{36}$	+ 58.744	1	—	2.50 mb
	- 0.13407	Θ	19.3	
	+ 0.057800	$\Delta Z(2,2)$	23.4	
	- 0.14196	P(4,3)	25.9	
	+ 0.085387	P(7,2)	28.4	
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(W)_{36}$	- 31.304	1	—	3.46 mb
	+ 0.018860	Z(2,3)	21.2	
	- 0.018670	Z(8,5)	25.2	
	+ 0.17051	$\Delta P(2,3)$	27.5	
	+ 0.010480	$\Delta P(8,5)$	29.5	
	+ 0.016132	H(5,2)	30.7	
	+ 0.052124	$\Delta Z(3,2)$	31.7	
	- 0.10196	Θ	32.4	
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(N)_{36}$	+ 281.25	1	—	7.43 mb
	- 0.10755	P(8,5)	17.2	
	- 0.60256	P(5,2)	22.9	
	+ 0.20562	P(6,5)	28.8	
	+ 0.42505	P(4,5)	33.0	
	+ 0.24615	$\Delta P(2,5)$	35.5	
	+ 0.037720	H(4,5)	37.9	
	- 0.27114	P(7,4)	40.0	

TABLE XIV (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{V}P_2(E)_{36}$	+ 19.245	1	—	4.57 mb
	- 0.61031	Θ	33.8	
	- 0.14159	P(6,5)	38.6	
	+ 0.19881	P(7,4)	41.5	
	- 0.055171	$\Delta Z(4,5)$	44.0	
	- 0.034308	Z(5A)	46.5	
	- 0.19805	$\Delta P(6,5)$	49.6	
$\hat{V}P_2(S)_{36}$	+ 181.26	1	—	4.22 mb
	- 0.50085	P(5,3)	19.9	
	+ 0.040296	Z(6,1)	35.2	
	+ 0.24377	P(8,1)	40.0	
$\hat{V}P_2(W)_{36}$	- 173.53	1	—	5.91 mb
	+ 0.077441	Z(2,3)	33.5	
	-0.029265	Z(8,5)	41.2	
	+ 0.46122	$\Delta P(2,2)$	45.2	
	- 0.22589	$\Delta P(6,5)$	46.4	
	+ 0.057140	H(6,1)	47.6	
	+ 0.16684	$\Delta P(2,4)$	48.5	
	+ 0.071984	$\Delta Z(3,2)$	49.1	
	+ 0.019457	Z(2,5)	49.8	
	+ 0.25877	$\Delta P(5,4)$	50.3	
	- 0.34735	$\Delta P(6,3)$	50.8	
	- 0.036426	Z(7,4)	52.1	

TABLE XV
 EUROPEAN WINTER—ANTICYCLONE EQUATIONS WITH
 DEVELOPMENTAL DATA—SAMPLE STATISTICS (447 Cases)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{12}	- 20.718	1	—	1.81°lat
	+ 0.011460	Z(4,5)	16.1	
	+ 0.17048	$\Delta P(5,4)$	24.3	
	- 0.16943	$\Delta P(5,2)$	28.9	
\hat{E}_{12}	- 56.974	1	—	1.91°lat
	+ 0.065542	P(4,3)	18.5	
	- 0.022076	Z(6,1)	24.1	
	+ 0.016603	H(5,4)	31.3	
	+ 0.23284	$\Delta P(4,3)$	37.5	
	- 0.12583	$\Delta P(6,3)$	40.2	
\hat{D}_{12}	+ 85.197	1	—	1.99 mb
	+ 1.2811	I_1	47.7	
	- 0.018511	Z(5,4)	60.3	
	+ 0.20740	$\Delta P(5,4)$	65.9	
	+ 0.15585	$\Delta P(5,2)$	67.9	
	- 0.048337	P(2,4)	69.3	
	+ 0.087448	$\Delta P(3,4)$	70.7	
	+ 0.025829	$\Delta Z(7,4)$	72.0	
	+ 0.041430	$\Delta Z(4,3)$	73.1	
\hat{N}_{24}	- 40.408	1	—	2.73°lat
	+ 0.022256	Z(7,4)	21.2	
	+ 0.26983	$\Delta P(5,4)$	30.0	
	- 0.21332	$\Delta P(5,2)$	33.0	

TABLE XV (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{E}_{24}	- 94.574	1	—	3.18°lat
	+ 0.10134	P(6,3)	22.0	
	+ 0.31076	$\Delta P(4,3)$	29.6	
	-0.037523	Z(6,1)	34.5	
	+ 0.051256	H(5,4)	45.1	
	+ 0.042597	$\Delta Z(3,4)$	47.2	
	- 0.019499	H(8,5)	49.0	
- 0.13547	$\Delta P(7,4)$	50.9		
\hat{D}_{24}	+ 200.95	1	—	2.88 mb
	+ 1.3169	I_1	27.2	
	- 0.026430	Z(5,4)	50.1	
	+ 0.35427	$\Delta P(5,4)$	61.2	
	+ 0.33927	$\Delta P(4,3)$	63.1	
	- 0.12413	P(4,3)	65.2	
	- 0.012528	Z(3,5)	66.7	
+ 0.12026	$\Delta P(7,4)$	67.9		
\hat{N}_{36}	- 157.17	1	—	3.50°lat
	+ 0.027898	Z(7,4)	22.5	
	+ 0.27661	$\Delta P(5,4)$	30.0	
	+ 0.10399	P(8,3)	32.9	

TABLE XV (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{E}_{36}	- 251.80	1	—	4.76°lat
	+ 0.25035	P(6,3)	24.2	
	+ 0.56065	$\Delta P(4,3)$	30.7	
	- 0.066199	Z(6,1)	35.9	
	+ 0.063628	H(5,4)	46.2	
\hat{D}_{36}	+ 446.51	1	—	3.58 mb
	- 0.35687	P(5,3)	30.8	
	- 0.058247	H(3,2)	42.5	
	+ 0.44682	$\Delta P(5,4)$	51.5	
	+ 0.92579	I_1	59.3	
	+ 0.11176	$\Delta Z(4,3)$	63.2	
	+ 0.22470	$\Delta P(7,4)$	65.0	
	- 0.091627	P(2,4)	65.9	
	+ 0.065236	P(2,5)	66.6	
	+ 0.055279	P(8,5)	67.5	
	+ 0.045463	$\Delta Z(8,1)$	68.2	

TABLE XV (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(N)}_{12}$	+ 1.9544	1	—	2.73 mb
	+0.015054	Z(4,5)	12.9	
	- 0.25874	P(4,3)	23.4	
	+ 0.051931	P(6,5)	26.8	
	- 0.043432	$\Delta H(5,4)$	29.9	
	+ 0.036123	$\Delta Z(4,5)$	32.7	
	+ 0.083083	P(3,4)	34.7	
	- 0.036314	$\Delta H(2,3)$	36.8	
	+ 0.25159	P(5,4)	38.2	
	- 0.084681	Θ	40.0	
- 0.15683	P(6,3)	41.8		
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(E)}_{12}$	- 12.750	1	—	2.30 mb
	+ 0.0086198	Z(7,2)	17.7	
	- 0.028379	$\Delta Z(5,4)$	25.7	
	+ 0.042614	P(2,4)	29.0	
	- 0.67068	P(5,3)	32.2	
	+ 0.62297	P(6,3)	38.4	
	- 0.84773	I_1	50.1	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(S)}_{12}$	- 50.789	1	—	2.25 mb
	+ 0.0095022	H(5, 2)	20.1	
	+ 0.098001	P(6, 1)	24.2	
	- 0.60638	P(5, 3)	33.0	
	+ 0.046417	P(4, 1)	37.8	
	- 0.042479	$\Delta H(4, 3)$	40.0	
	- 0.72799	I_1	42.3	
	+ 0.47244	P(5, 2)	48.5	
	+ 0.011262	Z(3, 4)	50.4	

TABLE XV (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{V}P_{1(W)}_{12}$	- 44714	1	—	2.51 mb
	+ 0.020678	Z(2,3)	43.2	
	- 0.020444	$\Delta Z(2,2)$	45.9	
	+ 0.065725	P(5,4)	47.8	
	+ 0.53486	P(4,3)	50.4	
	- 0.97251	P(5,3)	52.6	
	- 1.3069	I_1	55.4	
	- 0.14567	$\Delta P(5,4)$	56.7	
	+ 0.19277	P(6,3)	57.8	
+ 0.18336	P(5,2)	59.0		
$\hat{V}P_{2(N)}_{12}$	- 84.896	1	—	5.53 mb
	+ 0.47411	P(4,5)	19.8	
	- 0.65517	P(4,3)	44.1	
	- 0.26491	Θ	51.4	
	+ 0.26800	P(6,5)	57.0	
	+ 0.067365	$\Delta Z(4,5)$	59.2	

TABLE XV (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(E)_{12}$	+ 93.496	1	—	3.73 mb
	+ 0.021275	Z(7,2)	24.1	
	- 0.076003	$\Delta P(6,5)$	33.5	
	+ 0.17849	$\Delta P(8,3)$	38.9	
	- 0.16920	P(5,2)	44.0	
	+ 0.20893	P(7,4)	53.5	
	+ 0.39141	P(7,2)	59.5	
	- 0.046616	$\Delta Z(5,4)$	62.7	
	- 0.16786	P(8,1)	65.1	
	- 0.96263	P(5,3)	66.5	
	- 1.2236	I_1	67.5	
	+ 0.56591	P(6,3)	69.4	
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(S)_{12}$	- 2.0863	1	—	3.39 mb
	- 0.84648	P(5,3)	25.7	
	+ 0.50177	P(6,1)	50.4	
	+ 0.41803	P(4,1)	58.5	
	- 0.96523	I_1	67.4	
	+ 0.031417	Z(3,4)	70.4	
	- 0.13018	P(3,2)	71.6	
	- 0.063370	$\Delta Z(4,3)$	72.7	

TABLE XV (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_{2(W)}_{12}$	+ 240.94	1	—	4.11 mb
	+ 0.14007	Z(2,3)	52.8	
	- 0.72953	P(5,3)	60.0	
	- 0.14000	H(2,3)	70.4	
	+ 0.42248	P(3,2)	72.3	
	- 0.85543	I ₁	74.9	
	+ 0.050683	Z(3,4)	76.8	
- 0.017477	Z(7,4)	77.8		
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(N)}_{24}$	- 9.2393	1	—	3.10 mb
	- 0.16034	Θ	13.9	
	+ 0.090205	P(4,5)	18.4	
	- 0.079606	P(6,3)	21.1	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(E)}_{24}$	+ 15.049	1	—	2.67 mb
	- 0.14542	Θ	15.4	
	-0.050653	ΔZ(5,4)	21.8	
	+ 0.041479	P(2,5)	24.1	
	- 0.053943	P(4,5)	26.9	

TABLE XV (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(S)_{24}$	- 9.3203	1	—	2.44 mb
	+ 0.0073359	H(5,2)	20.1	
	+ 0.012771	H(2,5)	22.8	
	- 0.013932	H(8,1)	26.3	
	- 0.23720	P(5,3)	28.8	
	+ 0.12826	P(4,1)	31.4	
	- 0.075617	P(2,1)	33.4	
	+ 0.13731	P(6,1)	35.0	
	+ 0.024705	H(5,4)	37.3	
- 0.28711	I_1	40.5		
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(W)_{24}$	- 77.792	1	—	2.72 mb
	+ 0.033666	Z(2,3)	39.8	
	- 0.14592	$\Delta P(2,1)$	41.9	
	- 0.10793	P(5,3)	43.2	
	+ 0.11929	P(3,2)	45.9	
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(N)_{24}$	- 38.894	1	—	6.45 mb
	+0.027888	H(2,5)	16.9	
	- 0.44392	P(4,3)	22.8	
	+ 0.24007	P(4,5)	30.3	
	- 0.20816	Θ	35.0	
	+ 0.19195	P(6,5)	37.4	
	- 0.066198	$\Delta Z(2,5)$	39.5	
	+ 0.24301	$\Delta P(4,5)$	41.7	

TABLE XV (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(E)_{24}$	+ 85.162	1	—	4.55 mb
	- 0.19527	Θ	19.1	
	- 0.10841	$\Delta Z(5,4)$	26.6	
	- 0.31006	P(5,2)	32.6	
	+ 0.27210	P(8,3)	40.0	
	+ 0.15680	$\Delta P(7,4)$	42.7	
	- 0.29376	$\Delta P(5,2)$	44.1	
	+ 0.20406	$\Delta P(7,2)$	45.3	
	+ 0.033272	$\Delta H(6,5)$	46.5	
	+ 0.045330	P(2,5)	47.5	
	-0.12137	P(4,5)	48.2	
+ 0.018714	Z(3,5)	49.0		
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(S)_{24}$	+ 19.039	1	—	4.13 mb
	+ 0.015426	H(5,2)	24.7	
	- 0.69492	P(5,3)	32.5	
	+ 0.37890	P(6,1)	41.9	
	+ 0.33109	P(4,1)	46.5	
	- 0.85756	I_1	51.3	
	+ 0.032453	Z(3,4)	55.1	
	- 0.075859	$\Delta Z(4,3)$	56.7	
	- 0.12366	P(2,1)	58.0	

TABLE XV (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(W)_{24}$	+ 75.290	1	—	4.99 mb
	+ 0.10478	Z(2, 3)	44.2	
	- 0.089734	P(6, 3)	49.0	
	- 0.056697	H(2, 3)	55.5	
	+ 0.39060	P(3, 2)	57.5	
	- 0.073159	$\Delta Z(3, 2)$	59.2	
	+ 0.028824	Z(3, 5)	60.7	
	+ 0.24707	$\Delta P(2, 3)$	62.0	
	- 0.31334	$\Delta P(4, 3)$	63.1	
	- 0.018065	Z(8, 5)	63.8	
	- 0.48975	P(5, 3)	64.5	
	- 0.69689	I_1	65.8	
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(N)_{36}$	- 6.6926	1	—	3.23 mb
	- 0.13934	θ	11.6	
	- 0.037991	$\Delta Z(4, 3)$	12.9	
	- 0.10427	P(6, 3)	14.4	
	+ 0.11099	P(6, 1)	17.2	

TABLE XV (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{V}P_1(E)_{36}$	+ 107.27	1	—	2.51 mb
	- 0.12838	Θ	10.5	
	- 0.051634	$\Delta Z(4,3)$	18.6	
	+ 0.046921	P(2,4)	21.9	
	- 0.13942	P(5,4)	24.0	
	- 0.10381	$\Delta P(5,2)$	25.3	
	- 0.020438	$\Delta Z(4,5)$	26.4	
	- 0.016357	$\Delta Z(8,5)$	27.5	
	+ 0.022372	$\Delta H(8,3)$	28.5	
	- 0.012100	H(8,1)	29.1	
	+ 0.020665	Z(5,4)	29.8	
- 0.015273	H(6,5)	31.1		
$\hat{V}P_1(S)_{36}$	-81.378	1	—	2.82 mb
	+ 0.053225	H(5,2)	18.6	
	- 0.040516	$\Delta Z(4,3)$	21.3	
	+ 0.015772	H(2,5)	23.2	
	- 0.026302	H(6,1)	25.8	
$\hat{V}P_1(W)_{36}$	-81.176	1	—	2.82 mb
	+ 0.041073	Z(2,3)	32.7	
	- 0.033215	$\Delta H(2,3)$	35.2	
	- 0.16528	$\Delta P(4,3)$	37.1	

TABLE XV (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{V}P_2(N)_{36}$	+ 321.67	1	—	7.62 mb
	+ 0.050340	H(2,5)	12.8	
	- 0.28007	P(5,3)	17.3	
	- 0.34662	Θ	19.5	
	- 0.065599	Z(8,1)	23.3	
$\hat{V}P_2(E)_{36}$	+ 75.116	1	—	5.14 mb
	- 0.26304	Θ	14.2	
	- 0.061884	$\Delta Z(5,4)$	19.6	
	- 0.056951	$\Delta Z(4,5)$	22.7	
	- 0.19908	P(5,2)	25.1	
	- 0.10145	$\Delta Z(4,3)$	27.5	
	+ 0.12966	P(8,3)	29.9	
$\hat{V}P_2(S)_{36}$	+ 44.030	1	—	4.66 mb
	- 0.17482	Θ	23.5	
	- 0.11857	P(8,5)	29.6	
	+ 0.036958	$\Delta H(8,3)$	32.3	
	- 0.41032	P(5,3)	34.2	
	+ 0.26140	P(6,1)	39.7	
	+ 0.021188	Z(2,4)	41.3	
	- 0.18349	$\Delta P(7,4)$	42.6	
	- 0.48002	I_1	44.0	
	+ 0.18704	P(4,1)	46.1	

TABLE XV (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\nabla P_2(W)_{36}$	- 44.243	1	—	5.77 mb
	+ 0.031910	Z(2,3)	34.7	
	- 0.61117	$\Delta P(4,3)$	38.0	
	- 0.48116	P(6,3)	40.7	
	+ 0.35010	$\Delta P(2,3)$	44.3	
	+ 0.36217	P(3,2)	47.4	
	+ 0.053600	Z(3,4)	50.0	
	+ 0.45196	$\Delta P(4,1)$	51.6	

TABLE XVI
 EURASIAN WINTER—ANTICYCLONE EQUATIONS WITH
 DEVELOPMENTAL DATA—SAMPLE STATISTICS (510 Cases)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{12}	-7.1602	1	—	1.58°lat
	+ 0.011452	H(6,1)	19.0	
	+ 0.13065	$\Delta P(5,4)$	28.1	
	+ 0.057054	P(7,4)	33.2	
	- 0.051407	P(2,3)	35.2	
	- 0.086413	Θ	37.3	
	- 0.0085307	Z(4,3)	39.3	
	- 0.019108	$\Delta Z(5,2)$	40.7	
\hat{E}_{12}	- 10.102	1	—	2.11°lat
	+ 0.028298	P(6,5)	13.5	
	- 0.011213	Z(7,2)	18.9	
	+ 0.0065198	Z(6,5)	22.5	
	- 0.029978	$\Delta Z(5,4)$	24.5	
	- 0.033037	$\Delta P(7,4)$	26.0	
	+ 0.014226	Z(4,5)	27.2	
	- 0.0064455	Z(2,5)	28.2	
	- 0.014113	λ	29.0	
	- 0.13625	P(6,3)	30.5	
	+ 0.10928	P(5,3)	32.4	
\hat{D}_{12}	+ 33.867	1	—	3.26 mb
	+ 0.83534	I_2	15.7	
	+ 0.060778	$\Delta Z(5,4)$	23.0	
	- 0.015516	Z(2,2)	25.3	

TABLE XVI (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{24}	- 3.1042	1	—	2.35°lat
	+ 0.021413	H(6,1)	28.8	
	+ 0.18997	$\Delta P(5,4)$	36.8	
	+ 0.023736	Z(7,4)	42.8	
	- 0.029216	Z(5,2)	46.2	
	- 0.15846	Θ	48.6	
	- 0.10870	$\Delta P(5,2)$	50.2	
	- 0.018815	Z(3,4)	51.4	
	- 0.019814	$\Delta H(8,3)$	52.3	
	- 0.018526	$\Delta H(6,5)$	52.8	
+ 0.010157	Z(4,5)	53.6		
\hat{E}_{24}	- 126.30	1	—	3.43°lat
	+ 0.13506	P(6,5)	17.7	
	- 0.026831	Z(8,2)	24.5	
	+ 0.022205	H(6,5)	28.6	
	- 0.15241	$\Delta P(6,3)$	30.2	
	- 0.11159	P(7,4)	31.7	
	+ 0.10472	P(5,3)	33.7	

TABLE XVI (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{D}_{24}	+ 172.63	1	—	4.15 mb
	+ 1.2818	I_2	23.0	
	- 0.061283	P(3,5)	29.5	
	+ 0.35285	$\Delta P(4,3)$	34.0	
	- 0.014714	Z(2,3)	37.2	
	+ 0.038884	$\Delta Z(5,4)$	38.8	
	+ 0.034058	λ	40.3	
	- 0.024506	H(6,5)	41.4	
	+ 0.038256	$\Delta Z(8,5)$	42.6	
	- 0.077140	θ	43.3	
	+ 0.089068	P(8,3)	44.0	
	- 0.11513	P(4,3)	45.0	
\hat{N}_{36}	+ 162.34	1	—	2.97°lat
	+ 0.0090245	Z(8,3)	34.6	
	+ 0.18974	$\Delta P(5,4)$	41.0	
	- 0.26906	θ	46.2	
	- 0.0086636	Z(3,4)	48.9	
	+ 0.026806	Z(7,4)	51.1	
	- 0.18222	P(5,2)	52.9	
	+ 0.090563	P(5,4)	54.4	
	+ 0.098496	$\Delta P(8,3)$	55.4	
	- 0.032537	$\Delta Z(8,2)$	56.0	
	- 0.020897	H(4,3)	56.6	
	- 0.065357	P(2,3)	57.4	

TABLE XVI (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{E}_{36}	- 41.850	1	—	4.53°lat
	- 0.038740	H(7,2)	19.8	
	+ 0.028194	Z(6,5)	28.1	
	- 0.094231	λ	33.1	
	+ 0.026265	H(4,5)	35.4	
\hat{D}_{36}	+ 195.96	1	—	5.71 mb
	+ 1.7379	I_2	25.8	
	- 0.11179	P(3,5)	34.3	
	+ 0.095108	λ	38.6	
	- 0.035073	Z(2,3)	42.2	
	+ 0.062271	$\Delta Z(5,4)$	43.9	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(N)}_{12}$	+ 25.405	1	—	3.45 mb
	- 0.17359	I_2	10.1	
	+ 0.056069	P(4,5)	15.9	
	- 0.072269	P(6,3)	24.1	
	+ 0.58213	P(5,4)	32.8	
	- 0.59489	P(5,3)	37.2	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(E)}_{12}$	- 158.01	1	—	3.48 mb
	+ 0.69941	I_2	12.5	
	+ 0.014804	H(5,4)	19.0	
	+ 0.096237	P(8,3)	21.5	
	+ 0.017010	H(8,1)	25.1	

TABLE XVI (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{V}P_1(S)_{12}$	- 49.821	1	—	3.43 mb
	+ 0.88315	I_2	20.3	
	- 0.20373	P(6,3)	26.5	
	+ 0.20870	P(6,1)	31.7	
	+ 0.022650	Z(2,1)	34.7	
$\hat{V}P_1(W)_{12}$	- 52.369	1	—	3.10 mb
	+ 0.93156	I_2	19.4	
	+ 0.017530	H(8,1)	30.0	
	+ 0.10055	P(3,2)	33.9	
	- 0.16251	P(5,4)	38.1	
	+ 0.26783	P(4,3)	41.8	
	- 0.18815	P(5,2)	44.4	
$\hat{V}P_2(N)_{12}$	+ 31.468	1	—	5.68 mb
	+ 0.57450	P(4,5)	10.9	
	- 0.034038	P(6,3)	29.9	
	+ 0.44350	P(6,5)	40.5	
	- 0.22571	P(3,5)	45.7	
	- 0.58632	P(5,3)	50.4	
	- 0.21025	P(7,4)	52.2	
	- 0.069594	$\Delta H(5,4)$	53.6	
	- 0.29371	$\Delta P(4,3)$	55.0	

TABLE XVI (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(E)_{12}$	- 86.481	1	—	4.99 mb
	+ 0.40908	P(8, 3)	18.3	
	- 0.64721	P(5, 3)	43.5	
	+ 0.31939	P(6, 3)	48.9	
	+ 0.039941	H(6, 5)	52.5	
	- 0.046427	$\Delta Z(5, 4)$	53.7	
	- 0.27417	θ	54.7	
	- 0.030709	H(7, 4)	55.9	
	+ 0.054820	λ	57.0	
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(S)_{12}$	+ 63.092	1	—	4.90 mb
	- 0.79831	P(5, 3)	37.3	
	+ 0.48235	P(6, 1)	55.8	
	+ 0.25066	P(4, 1)	58.3	
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(W)_{12}$	- 243.60	1	—	4.44 mb
	+ 0.016304	H(7, 2)	19.0	
	+ 0.18807	P(2, 3)	38.0	
	- 0.85354	P(5, 3)	51.7	
	+ 0.42607	P(3, 2)	59.4	
	+ 0.14242	P(3, 4)	63.1	
	+ 0.30407	P(4, 3)	64.4	

TABLE XVI (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(N)}_{24}$	+ 50.727	1	—	3.84 mb
	- 0.067962	P(8,3)	4.1	
	+ 0.026903	Z(6,5)	8.7	
	- 0.017466	Z(3,4)	11.0	
	+ 0.11286	P(4,5)	14.0	
	- 0.11708	P(6,3)	17.3	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(E)}_{24}$	- 16.965	1	—	3.53 mb
	+ 0.059511	λ	11.5	
	+ 0.031370	H(5,4)	15.1	
	- 0.022501	H(3,4)	17.4	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(S)}_{24}$	- 24.008	1	—	3.92 mb
	- 0.24129	P(5,3)	10.2	
	+ 0.22063	P(6,1)	15.5	
	+ 0.021705	Z(2,2)	18.7	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(W)}_{24}$	- 46.268	1	—	3.59 mb
	+ 0.023273	H(8,1)	11.8	
	+ 0.65624	I_2	20.1	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{2(N)}_{24}$	- 254.59	1	—	7.21 mb
	+ 0.36565	P(4,5)	7.9	
	- 0.38416	P(6,3)	16.7	
	+ 0.24160	P(6,5)	21.2	
	- 0.041971	Z(2,5)	24.2	
	+ 0.049100	H(5,2)	28.5	

TABLE XVI (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_{2(E)}_{24}$	- 160.59	1	—	6.30 mb
	+ 0.054169	H(6,5)	19.2	
	+ 0.34733	P(8,3)	27.0	
	- 0.28804	P(5,3)	35.3	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{2(S)}_{24}$	+ 157.95	1	—	5.89 mb
	- 0.68122	P(5,3)	23.6	
	+ 0.45754	P(6,1)	33.2	
	- 0.090087	λ	37.4	
	+ 0.031324	Z(2,2)	39.6	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{2(W)}_{24}$	- 173.99	1	—	5.42 mb
	- 0.30773	θ	17.2	
	+ 0.01993	I_2	23.7	
	+ 0.34804	P(2,2)	31.9	
	- 0.29538	P(5,4)	37.8	
	+ 0.12522	P(3,4)	40.0	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(N)}_{36}$	- 207.17	1	—	4.17 mb
	+ 0.10491	P(4,5)	2.1	
	+ 0.048334	H(7,2)	5.1	
	- 0.013661	Z(2,5)	8.0	
	- 0.024602	Z(8,3)	9.5	
	+ 0.021348	Z(6,5)	11.0	
	- 0.018574	H(2,3)	12.6	
	+ 0.20440	P(8,1)	13.6	
	- 0.13572	P(8,2)	14.0	

TABLE XVI (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(E)_{36}$	- 38.388	1	—	3.77 mb
	+ 0.051065	λ	10.6	
	+ 0.020465	H(5,4)	14.5	
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(S)_{36}$	+ 55.242	1	—	4.08 mb
	- 0.23164	P(5,4)	6.6	
	- 0.045729	λ	9.9	
	+ 0.022510	Z(6,5)	12.8	
	+ 0.13257	P(2,1)	15.2	
	- 0.10769	P(8,3)	16.1	
+ 0.10515	P(7,2)	17.3		
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(W)_{36}$	- 50.540	1	—	3.76 mb
	+ 0.024884	H(8,1)	9.7	
	- 0.055537	$\Delta H(3,2)$	12.8	
	+ 0.39617	I_2	15.7	
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(N)_{36}$	- 389.93	1	—	8.10 mb
	+ 0.38146	P(4,5)	6.4	
	+ 0.063209	H(5,2)	10.2	
	- 0.039886	Z(3,5)	16.5	
	- 0.083120	λ	18.3	
	- 0.27889	P(7,2)	19.5	
	+ 0.21601	P(8,1)	20.8	
	+ 0.19491	$\Delta P(8,5)$	21.9	

TABLE XVI (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_2^{(E)}_{36}$	- 71.318	1	—	6.83 mb
	+ 0.02135	H(6,5)	17.4	
	+ 0.078339	λ	23.5	
	+ 0.22807	P(8,3)	26.6	
	- 0.22441	P(5,4)	29.1	
	- 0.17617	$\Delta P(5,4)$	30.5	
	- 0.18898	θ	31.6	
	+ 0.24832	$\Delta P(2,3)$	32.8	
$\hat{\nabla}P_2^{(W)}_{36}$	+ 40.341	1	—	6.32 mb
	- 0.22361	P(5,4)	16.5	
	- 0.15992	λ	24.1	
	+ 0.076547	H(5,2)	27.3	
	+ 0.31626	P(6,1)	29.8	
	- 0.23834	P(5,3)	31.2	
	- 0.060550	$\Delta Z(6,1)$	32.4	
	+ 0.16773	$\Delta P(2,4)$	33.2	
	- 0.068806	Z(4,1)	33.9	
+ 0.042387	Z(2,1)	35.1		
$\hat{\nabla}P_2^{(W)}_{36}$	- 119.89	1	—	6.14 mb
	- 0.35249	θ	14.8	
	+ 0.33367	P(2,2)	19.9	
	- 0.21190	P(5,4)	25.7	

TABLE XVII
 ASIAN WINTER—ANTICYCLONE EQUATIONS WITH
 DEVELOPMENTAL DATA—SAMPLE STATISTICS (466 Cases)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{12}	- 28.273	1	—	2.09°lat
	+ 0.027210	Z(7,4)	21.1	
	- 0.026507	H(4,3)	26.3	
	+ 0.014673	H(7,2)	28.7	
\hat{E}_{12}	- 11.960	1	—	2.28°lat
	- 0.16143	Z(7,2)	8.5	
	+ 0.020328	Z(4,5)	17.3	
	+ 0.028721	$\Delta Z(4,5)$	21.1	
	+ 0.10490	$\Delta P(3,4)$	23.7	
	- 0.056242	$\Delta P(8,3)$	25.8	
	+ 0.029455	$\Delta Z(4,3)$	28.0	
	+ 0.15591	P(5,4)	29.4	
	- 0.34015	P(6,3)	33.7	
+ 0.18546	P(5,3)	35.8		
\hat{D}_{12}	+ 161.41	1	—	2.29 mb
	- 0.15244	P(3,4)	12.7	
	+ 0.83175	I_2	22.0	
	- 0.014294	Z(2,2)	29.8	
	+ 0.030942	$\Delta Z(4,3)$	32.5	
	+ 0.045573	P(8,5)	34.4	
	+ 0.059924	$\Delta P(6,5)$	35.7	
	+ 0.13498	$\Delta P(3,4)$	36.4	
	- 0.086990	$\Delta P(2,4)$	37.2	
	- 0.12664	H(3,4)	37.9	
	- 0.025229	$\Delta Z(8,2)$	38.5	
+ 0.017218	AH(4,5)	39.3		

TABLE XVII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{24}	- 157.21	1	—	3.17°lat
	+ 0.035323	Z(8,5)	26.2	
	+ 0.072443	Z(7,2)	30.1	
	- 0.069003	Z(5,2)	38.1	
	+ 0.086886	P(6,5)	40.6	
\hat{E}_{24}	+ 45.289	1	—	3.52°lat
	+ 0.0059135	Z(8,2)	13.4	
	+ 0.034024	Z(4,5)	21.7	
	+ 0.24460	$\Delta P(5,4)$	25.9	
	- 0.16226	$\Delta P(7,4)$	28.8	
	+ 0.30758	P(4,3)	32.2	
	- 0.20947	P(8,3)	34.6	
	- 0.057679	Z(8,1)	37.2	
	- 0.11514	P(2,5)	38.8	
+ 0.15529	$\Delta P(3,5)$	41.5		
\hat{D}_{24}	+ 340.89	1	—	3.10 mb
	- 0.48432	P(5,3)	20.6	
	+ 0.25672	$\Delta P(5,4)$	32.8	
	- 0.029781	H(5,2)	36.3	
	+ 0.20658	P(7,4)	41.4	
	+ 0.31832	$\Delta P(6,3)$	46.4	

TABLE XVII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{36}	- 85.976	1	—	4.32°lat
	+ 0.046579	Z(8,5)	27.2	
	+ 0.092848	Z(7,2)	31.8	
	- 0.091029	Z(5,2)	40.0	
\hat{E}_{36}	+ 100.36	1	—	4.65°lat
	- 0.079079	Z(8,1)	16.3	
	+ 0.038483	Z(4,5)	24.3	
	+ 0.29085	$\Delta P(5,4)$	28.3	
	+ 0.41345	P(4,3)	31.6	
	- 0.20761	P(8,3)	34.9	
	- 0.23799	P(2,4)	37.5	
	+ 0.26110	$\Delta P(3,4)$	41.2	
- 0.19322	$\Delta P(7,4)$	43.7		
\hat{D}_{36}	+ 372.57	1	—	3.98 mb
	- 0.58342	P(5,3)	28.1	
	- 0.029024	Z(2,5)	35.8	
	+ 0.27309	P(7,4)	41.6	
	+ 0.39544	$\Delta P(6,3)$	46.9	
$\sqrt{P}_{1(N)}_{12}$	+ 49.193	1	—	2.70 mb
	+ 0.68131	I_2	16.3	
	+ 0.017601	Z(4,5)	21.0	
	- 0.082054	P(8,3)	23.6	

TABLE XVII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(E)_{12}$	- 185.00	1	—	2.46 mb
	+ 0.0020309	H(6,1)	30.7	
	+ 0.59082	I ₂	38.3	
	+ 0.11016	P(8,3)	40.4	
	- 0.065659	P(8,5)	42.2	
	+ 0.020808	H(6,3)	44.0	
	- 0.17260	$\Delta P(6,1)$	45.6	
	+ 0.21481	P(2,1)	46.8	
	- 0.13703	P(3,2)	47.6	
+ 0.0098468	Z(2,5)	49.0		
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(S)_{12}$	+ 8.9780	1	—	1.99 mb
	+ 0.66184	I ₂	26.5	
	+ 0.029313	Z(2,1)	33.3	
	- 0.20128	P(6,3)	38.8	
	+ 0.13609	P(6,1)	42.0	
	- 0.11407	$\Delta P(4,3)$	43.7	
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(W)_{12}$	+ 165.30	1	—	2.31 mb
	+ 0.028826	Z(2,4)	29.2	
	+ 0.62539	I ₂	37.9	
	- 0.0087928	Z(6,5)	40.4	
	+ 0.015581	H(6,1)	41.8	
	- 0.088559	H(4,3)	43.5	
	- 0.021500	P(5,4)	44.8	
	+ 0.077986	Z(4,3)	47.2	

TABLE XVII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_2^{(N)}_{12}$	+ 190.00	1	—	4.30 mb
	+ 0.031639	Z(4, 5)	7.3	
	+ 0.032428	P(8, 3)	16.8	
	+ 0.25452	P(4, 5)	21.5	
	- 0.63283	P(5, 3)	29.0	
	+ 0.44675	P(6, 5)	41.4	
	- 0.30366	P(7, 4)	46.4	
	- 0.024998	H(7, 4)	48.5	
$\hat{\nabla}P_2^{(E)}_{12}$	+ 136.62	1	—	3.72 mb
	+ 0.097659	Z(7, 2)	36.7	
	- 0.56069	P(5, 3)	44.2	
	+ 0.33672	P(8, 3)	55.1	
	- 0.24817	θ	58.6	
	- 0.096252	H(7, 2)	60.7	
	+ 0.088641	P(3, 4)	61.8	
$\hat{\nabla}P_2^{(S)}_{12}$	+ 20.883	1	—	2.68 mb
	- 0.58915	P(5, 3)	30.4	
	+ 0.73981	P(6, 1)	53.0	
	- 0.22656	P(7, 2)	55.0	
	+ 0.027809	Z(2, 1)	57.7	
$\hat{\nabla}P_2^{(W)}_{12}$	- 143.46	1	—	3.74 mb
	+ 0.049884	Z(2, 4)	31.6	
	+ 0.29827	P(2, 3)	44.2	
	- 0.57680	P(5, 3)	58.2	
	+ 0.32185	P(3, 2)	62.1	
	- 0.015789	Z(8, 5)	63.2	
	- 0.32075	P(5, 4)	64.3	
	+ 0.35189	P(4, 3)	65.5	

TABLE XVII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(N)}_{24}$	- 30.444	1	—	2.64 mb
	+ 0.43916	I_2	9.5	
	+ 0.014987	Z(4,5)	14.4	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(E)}_{24}$	+ 58.394	1	—	2.42 mb
	- 0.11936	θ	26.4	
	+ 0.37458	I_2	31.0	
	+ 0.015550	Z(2,5)	33.7	
	- 0.083553	P(6,5)	37.8	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(S)}_{24}$	+ 70.009	1	—	2.01 mb
	- 0.41176	P(5,3)	21.3	
	+ 0.32596	P(5,2)	29.6	
	+ 0.0086517	Z(2,5)	32.9	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(W)}_{24}$	- 5.8088	1	—	2.75 mb
	+ 0.033186	Z(2,4)	28.3	
	- 0.057916	P(8,5)	32.4	
	+ 0.32127	I_2	34.2	

TABLE XVII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(N)_{24}$	+ 116.54	1	—	4.80 mb
	- 0.28013	$\Delta P(6,3)$	9.1	
	- 0.028763	$P(8,3)$	13.7	
	+ 0.0083184	$Z(3,5)$	17.3	
	- 0.038831	$Z(2,4)$	19.9	
	+ 0.52460	I_2	22.1	
	+ 0.066656	λ	25.0	
	+ 0.057127	$H(2,1)$	27.3	
	+ 0.25780	$\Delta P(4,5)$	28.5	
	- 0.041670	$Z(7,4)$	30.1	
	+ 0.051373	$Z(4,5)$	31.9	
	- 0.36922	$P(6,3)$	33.0	
+ 0.22119	$P(6,5)$	36.8		
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(E)_{24}$	- 27.374	1	—	4.30 mb
	- 0.33361	Θ	30.6	
	- 0.20913	$P(6,5)$	36.3	
	+ 0.025925	$Z(2,5)$	40.3	
	+ 0.19305	$P(8,2)$	42.9	
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(S)_{24}$	+ 135.17	1	—	3.28 mb
	- 0.50314	$P(5,3)$	21.1	
	+ 0.45649	$P(6,1)$	32.6	
	+ 0.019145	$Z(2,5)$	36.6	
	- 0.12380	$P(8,3)$	39.7	

TABLE XVII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_{2(W)}_{24}$	- 356.33	1	—	5.39 mb
	+ 0.074178	Z(2,4)	29.1	
	+ 0.35150	P(2,2)	37.0	
	- 0.14592	P(8,5)	41.9	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(N)}_{36}$	+ 160.52	1	—	2.59 mb
	+ 0.032559	Z(4,5)	6.1	
	- 0.0094745	Z(8,5)	9.2	
	- 0.16257	P(5,3)	12.9	
	- 0.022692	H(5,4)	15.9	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(E)}_{36}$	+ 12.656	1	—	2.44 mb
	+ 0.028554	Z(4,1)	19.3	
	+ 0.017989	Z(2,5)	25.0	
	- 0.086186	P(6,5)	29.0	
	- 0.0091596	Z(8,5)	31.5	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(S)}_{36}$	+ 93.035	1	—	2.17
	+ 0.029118	Z(4,1)	11.7	
	- 0.13077	P(6,3)	16.1	
	- 0.010866	Z(8,5)	21.0	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(N)}_{36}$	- 189.93	1	—	2.83
	+ 0.039261	Z(2,4)	26.2	
	- 0.068290	P(8,5)	30.3	
	+ 0.36105	P(2,1)	33.8	
	- 0.18155	P(2,2)	36.2	

TABLE XVII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(N)_{36}$	+ 155.14	1	—	5.50 mb
	- 0.42214	$\Delta P(6,3)$	11.2	
	+ 0.094991	λ	15.0	
	- 0.28441	$P(5,3)$	19.0	
	+ 0.13716	$P(4,5)$	21.3	
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(E)_{36}$	- 100.01	1	—	4.32 mb
	+ 0.012948	$Z(2,4)$	23.4	
	- 0.14746	$P(6,5)$	31.5	
	+ 0.15494	$\Delta P(8,2)$	33.9	
	+ 0.069828	$Z(2,1)$	35.5	
	- 0.021598	$Z(4,3)$	37.5	
	+ 0.038279	$Z(2,5)$	38.7	
	+ 0.38597	$P(6,1)$	39.7	
- 0.33038	$P(5,4)$	43.6		
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(S)_{36}$	+ 185.08	1	—	3.77 mb
	- 0.21794	$P(5,3)$	10.9	
	+ 0.025589	$Z(2,5)$	16.5	
	- 0.015321	$Z(8,5)$	21.4	
	+ 0.28159	$P(6,1)$	24.4	
	- 0.26892	$P(6,3)$	26.7	
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(W)_{36}$	- 469.00	1	—	6.12
	+ 0.085056	$Z(2,4)$	24.8	
	- 0.031972	$Z(8,5)$	32.6	
	+ 0.35398	$P(2,1)$	35.8	

TABLE XVIII
 PACIFIC WINTER—ANTICYCLONE EQUATIONS WITH
 DEVELOPMENTAL DATA—SAMPLE STATISTICS (598 Cases)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{12}	- 59.204	1	—	1.66°lat
	+ 0.19560	$\Delta P(5,4)$	21.2	
	+ 0.013935	Z(7,4)	36.1	
	- 0.025866	Z(5,2)	42.5	
	- 0.10235	$\Delta P(5,2)$	44.7	
	+ 0.051828	P(6,5)	46.8	
	+ 0.016869	H(6,3)	48.5	
\hat{E}_{12}	- 131.53	1	—	2.00°lat
	+ 0.49614	P(5,3)	8.9	
	- 0.15409	$\Delta P(6,3)$	15.4	
	- 0.37688	P(6,3)	21.2	
	+ 0.53328	I_2	25.1	
	+ 0.014210	Z(6,5)	27.5	
	- 0.010376	Z(5,2)	30.1	
\hat{D}_{12}	- 0.16916	1	—	2.15 mb
	+ 0.17297	$\Delta P(5,4)$	19.0	
	+ 0.15191	$\Delta P(5,2)$	25.7	
	+ 0.88764	I_2	29.5	
	- 0.0095676	Z(5,2)	38.3	
	+ 0.076007	P(8,3)	40.4	
	+ 0.033619	$\Delta Z(5,2)$	42.3	
	- 0.058857	P(4,5)	43.7	
	+ 0.091235	Θ	45.7	

TABLE XVIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{N}_{24}	- 27.527	1	—	2.91°lat
	+ 0.051419	Z(7,4)	27.8	
	- 0.035116	Z(4,3)	40.5	
	+ 0.18673	$\Delta P(6,5)$	46.6	
\hat{E}_{24}	- 241.15	1	—	3.58°lat
	+ 0.50169	P(5,3)	12.1	
	- 0.23955	$\Delta P(6,3)$	18.4	
	+ 0.098191	P(6,5)	22.2	
	- 0.39973	P(6,3)	25.2	
	+ 0.015930	Z(4,5)	28.4	
\hat{D}_{24}	+ 206.56	1	—	3.39 mb
	+ 0.22686	$\Delta P(5,4)$	14.8	
	- 0.029351	Z(5,2)	23.6	
	- 0.25935	P(5,3)	33.0	
	+ 0.23088	$\Delta P(4,3)$	37.0	
	+ 0.066190	$\Delta Z(5,2)$	40.0	
	+ 0.11460	P(8,3)	43.1	
\hat{N}_{36}	- 47.550	1	—	4.00°lat
	+ 0.067378	Z(7,4)	30.3	
	- 0.039952	Z(4,3)	38.9	
	+ 0.24613	$\Delta P(6,5)$	44.7	

TABLE XVIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
\hat{E}_{36}	- 106.14	1	—	4.77°lat
	+ 0.24532	P(5,3)	13.9	
	+ 0.086224	P(6,5)	18.8	
	- 0.28401	$\Delta P(6,3)$	23.0	
	+ 0.0041543	λ	25.6	
	- 0.13542	P(2,4)	27.5	
	+ 0.19611	$\Delta P(3,4)$	30.4	
	- 0.10100	P(8,3)	32.1	
	+ 0.031453	Z(4,5)	33.8	
- 0.031991	H(6,1)	36.5		
\hat{D}_{36}	+ 344.65	1	—	4.23 mb
	- 0.44688	P(4,3)	20.0	
	+ 0.43803	$\Delta P(4,3)$	30.2	
	- 0.016847	H(4,5)	37.8	
	+ 0.18349	P(8,3)	41.2	
	+ 0.80603	I_2	43.7	
	- 0.021059	Z(2,2)	45.5	
	+ 0.23918	$\Delta P(6,3)$	47.4	
$\hat{\nabla}P_{1(N)12}$	+ 35.954	1	—	3.86 mb
	+ 1.1041	I_2	27.1	
	- 0.19867	$\Delta P(4,3)$	30.4	
	+ 0.020064	Z(6,5)	33.8	
	- 0.36156	P(6,3)	35.6	
	+ 0.28961	P(5,4)	40.1	

TABLE XVIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(E)_{12}$	+ 100.19	1	—	3.39 mb
	- 0.16707	Θ	31.3	
	- 0.19533	P(5,2)	35.9	
	+ 0.078936	P(7,4)	38.2	
	+ 0.011971	Z(2,5)	39.	
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(S)_{12}$	+ 71.096	1	—	3.61 mb
	+ 0.014001	H(6,3)	39.9	
	- 0.38355	P(4,3)	46.0	
	+ 0.22852	P(6,1)	51.0	
	- 0.18441	Θ	53.8	
	- 0.11818	P(8,1)	55.5	
+ 0.18141	P(5,2)	56.8		
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(W)_{12}$	- 65.726	1	—	3.46 mb
	+ 0.033836	Z(2,3)	43.7	
	+ 0.68384	I_2	47.8	
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(N)_{12}$	- 36.114	1	—	5.12 mb
	+ 1.1355	I_2	16.5	
	+ 0.49376	P(4,5)	25.1	
	- 0.67613	P(6,3)	38.7	
	+ 0.35551	P(6,5)	48.2	
	- 0.18904	P(3,5)	53.9	
	+ 0.026454	H(5,2)	56.1	
	- 0.33847	$\Delta P(4,3)$	57.8	

TABLE XVIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(E)_{12}$	+ 177.61	1	—	3.82 mb
	+ 0.00081677	Z(8,3)	37.8	
	- 0.62811	P(5,3)	51.2	
	+ 0.30170	P(7,4)	59.4	
	+ 0.18125	$\Delta P(8,3)$	64.2	
	- 0.21827	$\Delta P(5,4)$	66.8	
	+ 0.26245	P(8,3)	69.8	
	- 0.058763	Θ	71.3	
	- 0.077132	P(6,5)	71.8	
	- 0.15157	$\Delta P(5,2)$	72.2	
	+ 0.015294	H(2,5)	72.6	
- 0.060903	P(8,5)	73.0		
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(S)_{12}$	+ 231.53	1	—	4.19 mb
	- 0.66066	P(5,3)	40.8	
	+ 0.59233	P(6,1)	62.4	
	+ 0.039581	Z(4,1)	66.2	
	- 0.23579	P(7,2)	68.8	
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(W)_{12}$	- 171.38	1	—	4.45 mb
	+ 0.016767	Z(2,3)	57.3	
	+ 0.39869	P(2,3)	64.2	
	- 0.93200	P(5,3)	70.8	
	+ 0.55748	P(3,2)	73.8	
	- 0.27565	$\Delta P(5,4)$	75.2	
	+ 0.37259	P(6,3)	76.2	
	+ 0.26063	$\Delta P(2,2)$	76.7	
	- 0.26141	P(2,2)	77.5	

TABLE XVIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(N)_{24}$	+ 76.290	1	—	4.65 mb
	+ 1.0302	I_2	18.7	
	+ 0.025552	Z(6,5)	23.1	
	- 0.12227	P(8,3)	25.9	
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(E)_{24}$	+ 95.474	1	—	3.68 mb
	- 0.010285	Θ	28.4	
	+ 0.024506	Z(2,5)	31.6	
	- 0.40036	P(5,3)	35.7	
	+ 0.043209	P(3,2)	36.8	
	- 0.021015	$\Delta H(2,5)$	37.7	
	+ 0.22831	P(6,3)	38.3	
	- 0.073833	P(8,1)	39.0	
+ 0.064416	P(4,1)	39.6		
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(S)_{24}$	+ 11.141	1	—	4.32 mb
	- 0.26527	Θ	36.2	
	- 0.19536	P(4,3)	38.1	
	+ 0.19006	P(6,1)	41.5	

TABLE XVIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(W)_{24}$	- 2.1506	1	—	3.81 mb
	+ 0.037507	Z(2,3)	38.3	
	- 0.56964	I ₂	40.9	
	+ 0.13488	P(4,1)	42.4	
	- 0.71057	P(5,3)	43.5	
	- 0.042880	H(3,2)	44.7	
	+ 0.086767	$\Delta P(2,3)$	45.5	
	+ 0.043522	P(2,5)	46.2	
	- 0.12872	$\Delta P(2,1)$	46.7	
	+ 0.022993	Z(4,3)	47.2	
	- 0.064773	$\Delta P(4,5)$	47.7	
	+ 0.31139	P(6,3)	48.0	
	+ 0.18734	P(5,2)	48.7	
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(N)_{24}$	- 64.244	1	—	6.36 md
	+ 0.60646	I ₂	12.2	
	+ 0.32158	P(6,5)	17.5	
	- 0.67871	P(6,3)	25.2	
	+ 0.33018	P(4,5)	32.0	
	+ 0.046018	Z(6,1)	37.2	

TABLE XVIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(E)_{24}$	+ 325.56	1	—	4.94 mb
	- 0.26709	Θ	37.6	
	- 0.081931	P(5,2)	46.8	
	+ 0.023166	Z(2,5)	50.4	
	- 0.45468	P(5,3)	52.3	
	+ 0.048304	P(7,4)	53.9	
	- 0.20867	$\Delta P(5,4)$	55.1	
	+ 0.19836	P(8,3)	56.1	
	- 0.034257	Z(8,1)	57.0	
+ 0.17679	$\Delta P(7,4)$	58.3		
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(S)_{24}$	+ 145.99	1	—	5.04 mb
	- 0.26983	Θ	38.4	
	- 0.49967	P(4,3)	46.3	
	+ 0.32725	P(6,1)	51.8	
	+ 0.018276	Z(2,5)	52.9	
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(W)_{24}$	- 46.677	1	—	6.49 mb
	+ 0.060007	Z(2,3)	47.9	
	+ 0.33367	$\Delta P(2,3)$	50.8	
	- 0.39047	P(5,3)	53.2	
	+ 0.31970	P(3,2)	56.1	
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(N)_{36}$	+ 76.049	1	—	5.22 mb
	+ 0.038190	H(6,3)	15.8	
	- 0.14888	P(8,3)	19.3	

TABLE XVIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(E)_{36}$	+ 137.49	1	—	4.08 mb
	+ 0.030748	Z(2,4)	27.0	
	- 0.19301	P(5,3)	33.6	
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(S)_{36}$	+ 4.6998	1	—	4.44 mb
	- 0.26981	Θ	32.8	
$\hat{\nabla}P_1(W)_{36}$	- 115.94	1	—	4.27 mb
	+ 0.035463	Z(2,3)	32.7	
	+ 0.20740	I_2	34.5	
	+ 0.087805	$\Delta P(2,4)$	36.2	
	+ 0.13122	P(4,1)	37.3	
	+ 0.058064	P(2,5)	38.4	
	- 0.14256	P(5,3)	39.9	
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(N)_{36}$	+ 191.37	1	—	7.62 mb
	+ 0.047818	Z(6,5)	6.8	
	- 0.21617	P(8,3)	11.5	
	+ 0.26850	P(4,5)	15.2	
	- 0.33234	P(5,3)	20.5	
$\hat{\nabla}P_2(E)_{36}$	+ 457.91	1	—	5.42 mb
	- 0.12864	Θ	35.6	
	- 0.41085	P(5,3)	39.9	
	+ 0.038605	Z(2,5)	46.1	
	- 0.046042	$\Delta H(3,4)$	48.2	
	+ 0.032752	Z(2,2)	49.7	
	- 0.043811	Z(8,1)	51.2	
	- 0.085536	P(8,5)	52.5	

TABLE XVIII (continued)

Predictand	Regression coefficient	Predictor	Accumulative % red.	Residual error
$\hat{V}P_2(S)_{36}$	+ 32.968	1	—	5.45 mb
	- 0.28848	Θ	35.8	
	- 0.26972	P(5,3)	38.1	
	+ 0.24079	P(8,1)	41.9	
$\hat{V}P_2(W)_{36}$	- 220.22	1	—	7.24 mb
	+ 0.067160	Z(3,2)	36.3	
	- 0.19233	$\Delta P(4,5)$	38.8	
	+ 2.5151	I_2	40.5	
	- 0.043120	Z(8,5)	42.1	
	+ 0.038566	H(6,5)	43.9	
	- 0.31479	$\Delta P(8,3)$	45.1	
	+ 0.096153	P(2,3)	46.1	
	- 0.022474	I_1	46.9	
	+ 0.22311	$\Delta P(2,2)$	47.8	

TABLE XIX
 ROOT-MEAN-SQUARE ERROR IN TESTS ON WINTER ANTICYCLONES, 1959/1960
 (independent sample)
 (a) TRC Equations

Area	Forecast interval hr	Predictands										
		\hat{N}	\hat{E}	\hat{D}	$\sqrt{P_1(N)}$	$\sqrt{P_1(E)}$	$\sqrt{P_1(S)}$	$\sqrt{P_1(W)}$	$\sqrt{P_2(N)}$	$\sqrt{P_2(E)}$	$\sqrt{P_2(S)}$	$\sqrt{P_2(W)}$
North America (140 cases)	12	2.01	2.01	2.09	2.27	2.24	2.25	2.66	5.39	4.41	3.30	4.29
	24	3.63	3.91	3.01	2.83	3.10	2.14	3.06	6.97	6.37	3.73	5.49
	36	4.67	5.36	4.85	3.25	3.84	2.17	3.79	6.60	8.13	4.22	5.92
Atlantic (94 cases)	12	1.89	1.83	2.07	2.26	1.76	1.87	2.14	5.76	3.79	2.37	2.91
	24	2.81	3.05	3.39	2.68	1.67	2.03	2.40	7.16	4.24	3.09	4.42
	36	3.97	4.29	4.52	2.95	2.10	2.20	2.36	7.89	5.07	3.82	5.49
Europe (55 cases)	12	1.56	1.81	2.15	3.57	2.89	2.19	2.70	6.11	4.31	3.88	5.43
	24	2.43	3.11	2.99	3.86	4.01	2.61	3.25	7.02	6.25	4.62	5.92
	36	3.46	4.01	4.73	4.12	4.16	2.87	3.33	9.77	6.60	6.02	6.51
Eurasia (78 cases)	12	1.30	2.29	2.96	3.49	3.96	4.16	2.92	5.05	5.05	5.19	4.51
	24	1.89	3.74	4.37	4.31	4.17	4.57	3.57	6.96	6.73	6.23	5.13
	36	3.05	4.55	5.67	4.64	3.64	5.29	3.60	6.31	6.04	7.46	6.81
Asia (130 cases)	12	1.65	2.35	2.40	2.03	2.04	1.67	2.19	4.35	3.15	2.34	3.66
	24	2.52	3.77	3.42	2.19	1.76	1.65	1.99	4.61	3.72	2.70	4.41
	36	3.49	5.27	3.99	2.38	1.86	1.93	2.59	5.40	4.23	3.03	4.79
Pacific (153 cases)	12	1.60	1.92	2.14	2.96	2.09	1.91	2.55	4.77	3.25	2.53	3.90
	24	2.42	3.57	3.02	3.27	2.60	2.36	2.92	6.10	3.95	3.25	5.50
	36	3.21	4.56	4.02	3.30	2.54	3.16	3.40	7.11	4.64	4.03	6.44

(b) Climatology

Area	Forecast interval hr	Predictands										
		\hat{N}	\hat{E}	\hat{D}	$\sqrt{P_1(N)}$	$\sqrt{P_1(E)}$	$\sqrt{P_1(S)}$	$\sqrt{P_1(W)}$	$\sqrt{P_2(N)}$	$\sqrt{P_2(E)}$	$\sqrt{P_2(S)}$	$\sqrt{P_2(W)}$
North America (140 cases)	12	2.65	2.54	2.65	3.37	3.66	2.71	3.92	6.67	7.65	4.83	7.31
	24	4.50	4.70	4.15	3.34	3.88	2.70	4.07	9.09	6.34	4.46	7.37
	36	5.96	6.61	5.65	3.33	4.49	2.58	4.64	9.56	9.76	4.51	7.63
Atlantic (94 cases)	12	2.30	2.71	2.43	2.96	2.36	2.46	2.97	7.48	5.46	4.22	5.72
	24	3.56	4.46	3.96	2.97	2.39	2.41	2.72	6.04	6.14	4.22	5.63
	36	4.99	5.94	5.23	3.06	2.51	2.33	2.45	6.03	6.34	4.09	5.56
Europe (55 cases)	12	1.90	2.16	3.10	4.67	4.81	3.22	4.21	10.37	6.57	6.49	10.50
	24	3.01	3.74	4.57	4.65	5.00	3.34	3.71	10.62	8.39	6.91	9.18
	36	3.82	4.99	6.29	4.92	5.29	3.46	3.63	11.22	8.43	7.24	8.89
Eurasia (78 cases)	12	1.65	2.31	3.56	4.64	4.54	5.53	3.59	8.32	8.99	8.73	6.89
	24	2.83	3.81	5.14	4.54	4.34	5.46	3.75	8.54	7.95	6.58	6.59
	36	3.76	4.79	6.68	4.98	4.18	5.09	3.56	9.21	7.26	8.02	6.67
Asia (130 cases)	12	2.18	2.69	2.60	2.17	2.91	1.62	2.95	4.93	6.17	3.20	6.20
	24	3.15	4.36	4.12	2.24	2.56	1.79	2.88	6.63	6.17	3.34	6.04
	36	4.17	6.07	5.35	2.48	2.44	1.92	3.07	6.28	6.02	3.52	6.13
Pacific (153 cases)	12	1.98	2.55	2.63	2.95	2.81	2.75	3.69	6.47	5.44	4.88	8.29
	24	3.30	4.54	3.59	3.13	3.04	3.16	4.21	6.64	5.62	4.96	6.85
	36	4.37	6.32	4.66	3.47	3.14	3.72	4.49	7.38	6.00	5.31	8.66

SECTION V
CONCLUSIONS

Equations for the prediction of 12-, 24-, and 36-hr anticyclone displacements and changes in central pressure have been derived for six areas. When applied to an independent data sample, the equations remained stable and yielded results that were generally superior to climatology.

Equations which were derived for pressure gradient forecasts surrounding anticyclone centers for the winter season appear to have potential applicability for describing the pressure pattern in the vicinity of the anticyclone center.

REFERENCES

1. Bryan, J. G., 1944: Special Techniques in Multiple Regression, Unpubl. manuscript.
2. Lorenz, E. N., 1959: Prospects for Statistical Weather Forecasting, Final Rpt., Contract AF19(604)-1566, Dept. Meteorol., MIT, Cambridge, Mass.
3. Miller, R. G., 1958: "A Computer Program for the Screening Procedure", Studies in Statistical Weather Prediction, Final Rpt., Contract AF19(604)-1590, The Travelers Weather Research Center, pp. 96-136.
4. —, 1962: "Statistical Prediction by Discriminant Analysis", Meteorol. Monogr. Vol. 4, No. 54, pp. 45-47.
5. Ostby, F. P., and K. W. Veigas, 1963: Forecasting the Movement and Intensification of Cyclones and Anticyclones Over Europe and Asia, Tech. Rpt. 7045-58, AF19(626)-16, The Travelers Research Center, Inc.
6. —, —, and Emerson, 1963: Further Applications of Moving-coordinate Prediction Models to North American Cyclones, Tech. Rpt. 7044-73, FAA/BRD-363, The Travelers Research Center, Inc.
7. —, and —, 1965: Prediction of Winter and Summer Cyclones, ESD-TR-65-11, TRC 7463-173. Contract AF19(628)-3437, The Travelers Research Center, Inc.
8. Reference Manual for Climatic Data Computer Tapes, Appendix B, 1959: A Report of USAF Weather Observing and Forecasting System 433L, System Program Office, Waltham, Mass.
9. Snedecor, G. W., 1946: Statistical Methods, Collegiate Press, Ames, Iowa.
10. Veigas, K. W., and F. P. Ostby, 1963: "Application of a Moving-coordinate Prediction Model to East Coast Cyclones", J. Appl. Meteorol., Vol. 2, 24-38.

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D		
<i>(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)</i>		
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) The Travelers Research Center, Inc. 250 Constitution Plaza Hartford, Connecticut 06103		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified
		2b. GROUP
3. REPORT TITLE PREDICTION OF WINTER AND SUMMER ANTICYCLONES		
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Final Report 1 October 1964—30 September 1965		
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) Ostby, Frederick P. Veigas, Keith W.		
6. REPORT DATE December 1965	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 106	7b. NO. OF REFS 10
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. AF19(628)-3437 (15107)	9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 7463-191	
b. PROJECT NO. 1.0		
c. System No. 433L	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report) ESD-TR-65-478	
d. Task 1.7		
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Availability Notice (1) and Legal Notice (1)		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Electronics Systems Division Air Force Systems Command	
13. ABSTRACT This report presents results and equations for the 12-, 24-, and 36-hr prediction of anticyclone displacement and change in central pressure for the Northern Hemisphere. Separate sets of equations were derived for each of six areas. These equations yielded results that were generally superior to climatology when tested on independent data. The technique employed is similar to that used previously in deriving cyclone equations, i.e., it features a moving-coordinate grid system for predictor tabulation, and a screening-regression analysis for the derivation of the prediction equations. Additional equations were derived for winter anticyclones to specify the forecast difference in pressure between the anticyclone and each of eight surrounding grid points. Analysis of these differences leads to the construction of forecast <u>pressure patterns</u> surrounding the anticyclone center; initial results from feasibility testing were encouraging.		

DD FORM 1473
1 JAN 64UNCLASSIFIED
Security Classification

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Anticyclone prediction						
Pressure						
Regression analysis						

INSTRUCTIONS

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (*corporate author*) issuing the report.

2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year; or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (*either by the originator or by the sponsor*), also enter this number(s).

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through _____."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through _____."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through _____."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (*paying for*) the research and development. Include address.

13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, roles, and weights is optional.