NAVWEPS REPORT 8810

S.

1 DECEMBER 1965 NAVWEPS REPORT 8810

STRUCTURAL MATERIAL DAMPING FOR PACKAGING **ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS**

TECHNIQUES USED FOR MISSILE FUZE COMPONENTS CAN BE ADAPTED FOR MANY PACKAGING APPLICATIONS

J. L. DAY





NAVAL ORDNANCE LABORATORY CORONA

E. B. JARMAN, CAPT., USN Commanding Officer F. S. ATCHISON, Ph. D. Technical Director

ABSTRACT

Viscoelastic shear damping is being used by the Nava! Ordnance Laboratory, Corona, California, to control the mechanical resonances of structures housing guided missile fuze components. Four experimental structures were fabricated using cast aluminum, laminated aluminum, laminated fiberglass, and aluminum honeycomb. They were subjected to acceleration, shock, temperature and humidity, and salt-spray tests. This report describes the housings and presents test results.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The contributions of J. A. McKenzie and E. F. Arnold in performing the data reduction and preparing the graphs is gratefully acknowledged. **BLANK PAGE**

FOREWORD

A program was conducted in 1963 and 1964 at the Naval Ordnance Laboratory, Corona, California, to establish the relative merits of different types of structures for packaging the electronic circuits of target detecting devices for guided missiles and to identify the most promising design approach.

This report describes a series of tests performed to evaluate the efficacy of various combinations of housing and plate assemblies. The results have led to an advanced packaging technique, which may also prove to be of general interest to other designers of packaging in various missile and aerospace programs.

The work was authorized by WEPTASK RMMO-21-030/211-1/F009-08-01.

B. F. HUSTEN Head, Fuze Department

CONTENTS

Page

Abstract		•	•	٠	٢		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		In	sic	le	fr	on	t c	over
Acknowledgm	er	nt	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		In	sic	le	fr	ont	t c	over
Foreword .		•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	i
Introduction	۲		٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
Philosophy		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2
Test Setup an	nd	Re	esu	lts	3	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	3
Conclusions		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5
References	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	28

INTRODUCTION

The vibrations caused by modern aerospace propulsion systems and ultrasonic flight produce resonance responses in structures, instrumentation, and equipment over an extended frequency range. Since such resonance responses can cause structural fatigue or malfunctions of critical components, they have long been of prime concern to those designers who are charged with packaging the electronic components of missiles.

In 1963 and 1964 a program was undertaken at the Naval Ordnance Laboratory, Corona, California, to ensure that packaging of the electronic circuits of target detecting device components of missile fuzes will afford adequate protection against all the severe environments which they could conceivably experience during missile flight and storage. Because packaging designs in use at that time, and such fabrication techniques as rigidization, detuning, and decoupling, did not meet this requirement, new techniques were sought and the use of structural material damping was developed.

Besides reducing structural vibration response, this structural material damping technique has been found to have the following advantages: (1) it provides an advantageous volume-to-weight ratio, and (2) it cuts down packaging fabrication costs. It also reduces the vibration amplification of the structural resonances of equipment, whereas vibration isolators merely reduce the environmental vibration that is transmitted to the equipment.

The tests that were performed at this Laboratory to evaluate the various housing and plate assemblies designed experimentally for packaging the electronic components consisted of (1) a vibration response test, (2) a steady-state flight acceleration test, (3) a temperature and humidity test in accordance with MIL-STD-354, and (4) a 96-hr salt-spray test in accordance with MIL-STD-356.

The materials used for the experimental housing and plate assemblies were (1) sand-cast aluminum for both housing and plate (Fig. 1); (2) sheet aluminum for the housing and multilayers of sheet aluminum laminated with viscoelastic compound for the plate (Fig. 2); (3) fiberglass for the housing and fiberglass laminated with viscoelastic compound for the plate (Fig. 3); and (4) honeycomb aluminum for the housing and Butyl-isolated aluminum honeycomb for the plate (Fig. 4). Each housing was finished in accordance with the applicable MIL-STD.

PHILOSOPHY

In the development of electronic fuze packages, it is usually necessary to base the design on estimates of environmental parameters. This is normal for programs involving missiles and space equipments, since the true environmental parameters cannot be determined until hardware has been designed, built, and tested in flight. Because of the nature of the vibration response in undamped structural materials, a gross failure in estimating vibration environments might cause component failures in localized areas. However, the response of damped structures to vibrations of widely varying frequencies and energy levels is more nearly constant and predictable than is that of similar undamped structures and therefore the risks inherent in estimating vibration environments can be greatly reduced if structural material damping is employed in packaging the electronic components.

As used herein, structural material damping refers to the energydissipation properties of materials or systems under cyclic stress whereby energy is dissipated within the vibratory system, in most cases by conversion of mechanical energy to heat. The damping here of interest arises from slip and other boundary shear effects at interfaces. Energy dissipation during cyclic shear strain at an interface may occur as a result of strain in a separating adhesive, such as a viscoelastic layer between interfaces (Ref. 1).

Structural material damping is a manifestation of the inelasticity of the structural material. Stress as a function of strain in a sheet of metal, for instance, is represented by a single curve—a hysteresis loop, pointed at the ends, that doubles back upon itself—but the curve of laminated material forms an elliptical hysteresis loop (Ref. 2). When a bending wave travels through a multilayer plate in which the central or viscous layers are relatively soft, the deformation will be either pure bending or shear bending. In shear bending, the viscoelastic layers undergo a shearing action, whereas metal or other layers undergo pure bending. The theory of bending waves in such a system has been developed by Kerwin (Refs. 3, 4, and 5). He showed that when the wavelength is long, the deformation is pure bending, but as the frequency is increased, a steady transition toward shear bending occurs. Thus, at low frequencies the bending stiffness of a multilayer plate corresponds with that of a thick nonlaminated plate, but as the frequency increases, the bending stiffness decreases toward the lower values representative of the combined stiffness of the nonviscous layers, which are bending simultaneously and with the same radius of curvature. The damping of the composite plate arises from the shear distortion of the viscoelastic layers.

Although the relative damping factors of certain structures can be determined theoretically, and estimates of the relative vibration and

noise control can be provided in terms of the relative damping factors, how much damping material is required in a given vibration-control application is best determined experimentally. A few accepted rules and simple computations should guide the trial-and-error determination of an effective combination (Ref. 6).

TEST SETUP AND RESULTS

For the vibration response test, each of the four housings described above was assembled with 10 lb of mock electronic modules (various size aluminum blocks) mounted to its plate. Two triaxial accelerometers (Fig. 5) were attached to the mock hardware to measure the excitation vibration and equipment response. One accelerometer was mounted near the center of each plate, and one near the edge. No appreciable differences in frequency and transmissibility were registered at the two locations.

The No. 8 screws used for assembling the modules to the plates were tightened to 10 in. lb, and the five 1/4-in. screws used for assembling the plates to the housings were torqued to 20 in. lb. The holes drilled to accept the Rivnuts¹ used in assembling the modules to the viscoelastic-laminated plates allowed 0.020-in. minimum clearance so that the laminations could slip relative to one another during vibration or dynamic action.

The four assemblies were hard-mounted to a Ling Model A174 electrodynamic shaker (Fig. 6) capable of maintaining a constant acceleration over a broad frequency range (5 to 5000 cps) for harmonic vibration excitation in the lateral (X) and pitch (Y) axes. The assemblies were later similarly mounted on a Ling Model C-25 shaker (5 to 3000 cps) for vibration in the thrust (Z) axis. The assemblies were submitted to the harmonic vibration excitation input of 5 g from 20 to 3000 cps in three mutually perpendicular axes.

Typical curves showing transmissibility as a function of frequency for the four housing and plate assemblies are shown in Figs. 7-18. The four housing assemblies are further compared as to their volume-to-weight ratio and resonant transmissibility ratio in Table 1.

The findings of the above-described vibration response test were then corroborated by vibrating (1) the fiberglass housing assembled with the multilayer viscoelastic-laminated sheet aluminum plate (Figs. 19-21) and (2) the sheet aluminum housing assembled with the viscoelastic-laminated

¹B. F. Goodrich product.

Housing	Plate	Wt. (lb)	Vol. (cu in.)	Ratio of Vol. to Wt. (cu in./lb)	Resonant Transmissi bility
Cast aluminum	Cast aluminum	11	330	30	4 to 55
Sheet aluminum	Viscoelastic- laminated alu- minum	7	350	50	2 to 9
Fiberglass	Viscoelastic- laminated fiberglass	5.25	350	65	2 to 9
Honeycomb aluminum	Butyl-isolated honeycomb alu- minum	4.9	350	70	8 to 25

TABLE 1. Volume-to-Weight Ratios and Resonant Transmissibility

fiberglass plate. No marked differences in transmissibility or resonant frequencies were noted; also, no great differences in transmissibility were registered by the two accelerometers. In general, the response curves of transmissibility for the multilayer viscoelastic-laminated aluminum plate reflected a slightly higher gain but narrower resonant bandwidth than those for the viscoelastic-laminated fiberglass plate, although the resonant frequencies were similar. Figure 22 shows the vibration responses of the sheet aluminum housing (1) when combined with the viscoelastic-laminated fiberglass plate and (2) when combined with the multilayer viscoelastic-laminated sheet aluminum plate.

In addition to the vibration tests, all the assemblies were subjected for a minimum of 5 sec to a steady-state flight acceleration of 40 g in the Z-axis and 28 g in the X- or Y-axes. All combinations responded successfully.

Standard temperature and humidity tests (MIL-STD-354) were performed on all the housing and plate assemblies. They were also subjected for 96 hr to salt spray (MIL-STD-356). After these tests, inspection showed no structural damage and no deterioration of the dynamic quality of the viscoelastic compound.

CONCLUSIONS

On the basis of the tests performed with the several experimental structures that were designed for protecting the electronic components of fuzes, it is concluded that viscoelastic shear damping (structural damping) can be employed with considerable success to control the vibration response of the structural resonances of equipment. This packaging technique is, in fact, currently used successfully for the electronic circuits of missile fuzes.



FIGURE 1. Cast Aluminum Housing and Plate Assembly Showing Mounted Mock Electronic Modules



FIGURE 2. Sheet Aluminum Housing With Viscoelastic-Laminated Sheet Aluminum Plate



FIGURE 4. Honeycomb Aluminum Housing With Honeycomb Aluminum Plate







FIGURE 6. Fiberglass Housing and Plate Assembly Mounted on Ling A174 Oil-Slide, Air-Bearing Shaker Table









.....

FIGURE 7. Cast Aluminum Housing and Plate Assembly Driven in X Axis

•

•



,





S٦

FIGURE 9. Cast Aluminum Housing and Plate Assembly Driven in Z Axis

.



₽I



٠



L٦

Sheet Aluminum Housing With Multilayer Viscoelastic-Laminated Sheet Aluminum Plate Driven in Y Axis



91

÷.



Driven in Y Axis

FIGURE 13. Fiberglass Housing With Viscoelastic-Laminated Fiberglass Plate Driven in X Axis







Driven in Z Axis

.

.

•

.

•

•

•

- - -

.

.

.









•

•

.

•

•





FIGURE 19. Fiberglass Housing With Multilayer Viscoelastic-Laminated Sheet Aluminum Plate Driven in X Axis







Fiberglass Housing With Multilayer Viscoelastic-Laminated Sheet Aluminum Plate Driven in Z Axis

.

...

• •



REFERENCES

- Lazen, B. J., and L. E. Goodman, "Material and Interface Damping," in <u>Shock and Vibration Handbook</u>, ed. by C. M. Harris and C. E. Crede. Vol. 2, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1961.
- 2. Ruzicka, J. E., <u>New Techniques for Damping and Structural Resonances</u>, Report for Barry Controls, Inc., Watertown, Massachusetts.
- 3. Ross, D., E. M. Kerwin, and I. Dyer, <u>Flexural Vibration Damping</u> of <u>Multiple-Layer Plates</u>, Report No. 564. Bolt, Beranek, and Newman, Inc., Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1958.
- 4. Kerwin, E. M., Jr., J. Acoust. Soc. Amer., Vol. 31, 1959, p. 952.
- 5. Goodman, L. E., "A Review of Progress in Analysis of Interfacial Slip Damping," in <u>Structural Damping</u>, ed. by J. E. Ruzicka. ASME, New York, 1959.
- Hamme, R. N., "Vibration Control by Applied Damping Treatments," in <u>Shock and Vibration Handbook</u>, ed. by C. M. Harris and C. E. Crede. Vol. 2, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1961.

Security Classification Unclassified								
DOCUMENT CO	NTROL DATA - R&	D Marad when 4	the overall report is classified)					
1 ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		2. REPOP	RT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION					
U. S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory		Uncla	assified					
Corona, California		26 GROUP						
³ REPORT TITLE Structural Material Damping for Pack	caging Electron	ic Com	ponents — Techniques					
Used for Missile Fuze Components Ca	an be Adapted f	or Man	y Packaging Applica-					
tions.								
4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)								
5 AUTHOR(S) (Lest name, first name, initial)								
Day, J. L.								
6 REPORT DATE	7. TOTAL NO. OF P	AGES	75. NO OF REFS					
1 December 1965	29		6					
8 CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	94. ORIGINATOR'S RE	PORT NUM	BER(S)					
* PROJECT NO. WEPTASK: RMMO-21-030/	Navweps 881	.0						
c	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)							
d.								
10 AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES	•							
Distribution of this document is unlim	ited							
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Bureau of Naval Weapons							
Department of the Navy								
	Washington,	D.C. 20	0360					
Viscoelastic shear damping is bein Corona, California, to control the me guided missile fuze components. Fou using cast aluminum, laminated alumi honeycomb. They were subjected to a ity, and salt-spray tests. This repor results.	g used by the N chanical resonant r experimental inum, laminate acceleration, sl t describes the	laval Or ances of structu d fiberg hock, te housin	rdnance Laboratory, f structures housing ures were fabricated glass, and aluminum emperature and humid- gs and presents test					

.

.

.

.

.

•

Unclassified

۸	LIM	KA	1.11	KB	LIN	ĸc			
KEY WORDS		ROLE	wT	ROLE WT		ROLE			
Vibration damping Resonant vibration—damping Viscoelasticity Hysteresis, mechanical									
INSTRU INSTRU	UCTIONS imposed b such as: (1)	y security Qualified	classifi requeste	cation, us	ing stand tain copi	fard state	ments		
 2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations. 2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200. 10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized. 3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete smoot title in all. 	 (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized." (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through								
 REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classifica- tion, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered. 	(5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5)	All distri fied DDC report has Department	bution of users sho been fur nt of Com	this repo all reques mished to merce, ick	the Offic	ce of Tech	ual- .'' hnical c, indi		

5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. REPORI DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year; or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limstations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.

13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall he attached.

It is highl" desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS). (S). (C). or (U)

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, roles, and weights is optional.

Unclassified