U.S. ARMY

Technical Memorandum 10-65

TARGET DETECTION USING BLACK-AND-WHITE TELEVISION STUDY II: DEGRADED RESOLUTION AND TARGET-DETECTION PROBABILITY

Lynn C. Oatman



Distribution of this document is unlimited.

BLANK PAGES IN THIS DOCUMENT WERE NOT FILMED

AMCMS Code 5011.11.841

Technical Memorandum 10-65

TARGET DETECTION USING BLACK-AND-WHITE TELEVISION STUDY II: DEGRADED RESOLUTION AND TARGET-DETECTION PROBABILITY

Lynn C. Oatman

Technical Assistance

Raymond F. Blackmer William T. Nemeth

July 1965

APPROVED: OHN D. WEISZ

Technical Director Human Engineering Laboratories

U. S. ARMY HUMAN ENGINEERING LABORATORIES Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland

VIE

Distribution of this document is unlimited.

ABSTRACT

This study used a black-and-white closed-circuit television (TV) system to investigate the effects of two levels of TV resolution (800 and 450 lines) on the probability that subjects would detect an M-48 tank. While a previous study used horizontal degradation only, this one degraded the TV image in both horizontal and vertical dimensions.

The tank was shown in each of nine areas of the TV screen, under both levels of resolution. Thirty subjects observing the TV monitor were asked to indicate in which of the nine areas the tank appeared.

With changes in the horizontal resolution only, in the previous study, changing resolution from 800 to 400 lines did not affect targetdetection probabilities significantly. But with both horizontal and vertical changes, subjects performed significantly better at the 800 level of resolution than at the 450 level. The tank's location on the TV screen, although confounded with other variables, appeared to have an important effect on target-detection probability.

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	iii
INTRODUCTION	1
METHOD	
Subjects	3 3 4
RESULTS	5
DISCUSSION	7
SUMMARY	12
REFERENCES	13
APPENDIX	15
FIGURES	
1. Arrangement of Experimental Test and Control Rooms	2
2. Percent Correct Detections as a Function of Resolution and Locations	8
3. Percent Correct Detections as a Function of Resolution and Subjects	9
4. Mean Response Time as a Function of Resolution and Subjects	1 0
5. Percent Correct Detections as a Function of Locations 1	1

v

.

No. of Concession, Name

TABLES

1.	Means and Standard Deviations for Correct Detection Scores as a Function of Resolution	5
2.	Means and Standard Deviations for Response Times as a Function of Resolution	5
3.	Summary of Analysis of Variance of Correct Detection Scores	6
4.	Summary of Analysis of Variance of Response Times	6

TARGET DETECTION USING BLACK-AND-WHITE TELEVISION

STUDY II: DEGRADED RESOLUTION AND TARGET-DETECTION PROBABILITY

INTRODUCTION

In some military applications of television (TV) operators must detect and identify targets on the TV display. Gordon (2) has pointed out two important aspects of the combat situation: target detection and target identification. Target detection ordinarily refers to an observer's ability to determine the presence or absence of a man-made object. Target identification, on the other hand, refers to the observer's ability to characterize or specify the object he has detected.

In a preliminary study, Oatman (3) compared target-detection scores from a projected-slide display and a TV display. Superior performance on the slide display was interpreted as being due to its better resolution. Freeberg (1) found that reducing the information on a video screen increases form thresholds significantly. In a more recent study, Shanahan (5) found that reducing the bandwidth of a closed-circuit TV system likewise reduced subjects' ability to identify targets.

Oatman (4) recently investigated the probability of detecting an M-48 tank at four different levels of TV resolution. The subjects performed about equally well at 800, 600, and 400 lines of resolution, but their performance was significantly poorer with the 300 level of resolution. He suggested that they may have performed equally well at the three higher levels because the resolution was reduced in the horizontal plane only; the vertical resolution was unchanged.

The present study measured the effects of changing both horizontal and vertical resolution on the target-detection probability with a black-and-white closed-circuit TV system.



Fig. 1. ARRANGEMENT OF EXPERIMENTAL TEST AND CONTROL ROOMS

METHOD

Subjects

Thirty male enlisted men from the U. S. Army served as subjects (Ss). The Ss' ages ranged from 17 to 24. The Ss' vision (corrected or uncorrected) ranged from 20/29 to 20/13 as measured by Ortho-Rater tests.

Apparatus

Television

The equipment for the television presentation was a General Precision Laboratories P.D. -601 closed-circuit high-resolution TV system. The TV camera was placed in a control room which fed radio-frequency (RF) signals to a 14-inch monitor and a 21-inch monitor in an adjacent test room. A Foto-Video F-101A light box, holding one 8" x 10" positive-transparency photograph, was placed in front of the TV camera.

Both TV monitors in the test room were divided into nine equal areas by black thread. The <u>Ss</u> used a response panel with nine buttons. The response panel was also marked into nine areas by thin strips of masking tape. Each button on the response panel represented one of the nine areas on the TV monitor. The control room contained a similar panel which indicated the Ss' responses.

The amount of time that a picture remained on the TV monitor was controlled by a Hunter interval timer, model 100-C, series D. A Standard electric timer recorded the <u>S</u>s' response times. An intercom provided communication between the test and control rooms. Figure 1 shows the experimental arrangements.

Picture Degradation

Two levels of TV resolution (800 and 450) were used in this experiment. The 800 level was the normal number of scan lines per frame in the picture displayed on a 14-inch monitor. The degraded picture (450) was obtained by using a different size monitor and moving the light box farther away from the TV camera. Normally, the 21-inch and 14-inch monitors would present two different sizes of TV displays. However, by moving the light box away from the TV camera, the size of the image on the 21-inch monitor can be reduced so it coincides with the normal image on the 14-inch monitor. Moving the light box reduces both horizontal and vertical resolution. Two large pieces of black cardboard, with a cut-out the size of the 14-inch monitor, were placed in front of both TV monitors. Thus when the <u>S</u> viewed the TV screen, he could not tell which monitor he was observing. The cardboard in front of the 21inch monitor also masked off the unused portions of the TV screen. The amount of picture degradation (800 and 450) was measured with a standard RETMA TV test pattern, and these levels refer to resolution in the center of the TV screen.

Procedure

After S was checked for visual acuity, he was seated approximately 72 inches from the TV screen, instructed, and given the response panel. Each S was shown ten 8" x 10" photographs, nine showing an M-48 tank (one in each area) against a homogeneous background and one showing only the background. The tank was 3/8" long and 3/16" wide as it appeared on the two TV monitors, and it subtended a visual angle of 18 minutes.

Every S saw the ten photographs four times under both levels of picture resolution. The photographs were presented in a random order, identical for all Ss. One half of the Ss began with the 800 level of resolution, while the other half began with the 450 level of resolution. The Ss completed their observations on one monitor before making their observations on the second monitor.

The TV monitor was illuminated continuously. When the experimenter started the inter val timer, it transmitted the picture from the control room to the $\underline{Ss'}$ monitor in the test room for a 0.5-second exposure.

The <u>S</u>'s task was to press the response-panel button that corresponded to the area where he saw the target on the monitor. The <u>S</u> was told to push any two buttons when the blank slide was presented, indicating that he saw no target on the screen. The instructions are given in the Appendix.

RESULTS

The criteria of \underline{Ss}' performance were response times and detection errors. Response time was defined as the time between a target's appearance on the TV screen and the \underline{S} 's response. A detection error was failing to locate the target correctly. In scoring, one point was allowed for each correct detection.

A constant value of one was added to all treatment-by-subject cells to avoid blank cells in the analysis. This procedure raised the means by one but did not affect the variance.

Tables 1 and 2 present the means and standard deviations for the correct detection scores and response times as a function of picture degradation. The analysis of variance indicates that detection scores for the two resolutions differed significantly (p < .01). However, the different resolutions did not affect response time significantly.

TABLE 1

Means and Standard Deviations for Correct Detection Scores as a Function of Resolution (N = 270/cell)

	Resolution	
	800	450
Mean	4.80	4.00
Standard Deviation	. 57	1.28

TABLE 2

Means and Standard Deviations for Response Times 4s a Function of Resolution (N = 270/cell)

	Reso	lution
	800	450
Mean	1.41	1.68
Standard Deviation	. 40	. 49

The analyses of variance of the detection scores and response times are summarized in Tables 3 and 4. Both main variables, resolution and location, were significant (p <.01) with the correct detection scores and with response times. All of the interactions were significant (p <.01) for the correct detection scores, but only the resolution-by-subjects interaction was significant for the response times.

TABLE 3

Summary of Analysis of Variance of Correct Detection Scores

Source	df	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	<u>F</u>
Resolution (A)	1	84.81	84.41	99.30**
Location (B)	8	160.60	20.07	37.86**
Subjects (C)	29	73.11	2.52	
A x B	8	65.05	8.14	22 61**
AxC	29	24.74	.85	2 36**
ВхС	232	124.29	. 53	1.47**
AxBxC	232	85.40	. 36	
Total	539	618.00		

TABLE 4

Summary of Analysis of Variance of Response Times

df	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	<u>F</u>
1	9.97	9.97	45.31**
8	10.67	1.33	11.08**
29	33.98	1.71	
8	1.39	. 17	1.41
29	6.63	. 22	1.83**
232	28.02	. 12	1.00
232	27.87	. 12	
539	118.53		
	df 1 8 29 8 29 232 232 232 539	df Sum of Squares 1 9.97 8 10.67 29 33.98 8 1.39 29 6.63 232 28.02 232 27.87 539 118.53	dfSum of SquaresMean Square19.979.97810.671.332933.981.7181.39.17296.63.2223228.02.1223227.87.12539118.53

.....

DISCUSSION

The results show that reducing resolution from 800 to 450 lines in both the horizontal and vertical planes significantly reduces the probability of detecting an M-48 tank. Whereas a previous study (4) found no significant differences in target detection between 400 and 800 levels of resolution in the horizontal plane, the present study found significant differences in target detection between 450 and 800 levels, when resolution was varied in both horizontal and vertical planes.

The analysis of variance indicated that all of the interactions were significant for the detection scores, but only the resolution-by-subjects interaction was significant for the response times. Since the primary interest is in the resolution variable, only the resolution-by-location and the resolution-by-subject interactions will be treated graphically for detection scores. Figure 2 presents the resolution-bylocation interactions for the number of correct detections. The graph shows that, for locations 2, 5, and 8, Ss perform almost equally well at both levels of resolution, but in other areas, Ss perform much better with the higher level of resolution. Figure 3 presents the resolution-by-subject interactions for the numbers of correct detections. The graph indicates that Ss perform quite differently at different levels of resolution. Figure 4 presents the resolution-by-subjects interaction for the response times. The graph also indicates that Ss perform quite differently at different levels of resolution.

The target's location on the TV screen was also a significant factor in the probability of detecting a target. These results are similar to the results of the previous study (4). The percentage of correct detections for each of the nine areas on the TV screen is graphed in Figure 5. Figure 5 shows that the percentage of correct detections was highest in the upper middle, middle, and lower middle areas of the TV screen. The percentage of correct detections was lowest in the corners of the TV screen. However, the effects of target location are confounded with several other factors. First, Ss use different techniques in scanning visual displays, and the location effect may occur because the middle areas of the TV screen are scanned more frequently than other areas. Second, resolution is not uniform across the surface of the TV monitor. On the small monitor, when the center of the screen has 800 lines of resolution, the level in the corner areas may be as low as 500. On the large monitor, when the center of the screen has a resolution of 450, the corner areas may be as low as 300. Greater degradation in the corner areas of the TV displays might conceivably account for the low percentage of targets detected in these corner areas. Third, TV-screen luminance, as measured with a Fritchard photometer, model 1970-PR, is not identical for both monitors. On the 14-inch monitor, luminance varied from 11.5 foot-Lamberts in the center to 8.0 foot-Lamberts in the corners. With the 21-inch monitor, the luminance varied from 11.0 in the center to 8.5 in the corner areas. It is possible that these differing luminances also contribute to low target-detection probabilities in the corners of the TV screen.





3.5

N.

Fig. 3. PERCENT CORRECT DETECTIONS AS A FUNCTION OF RESOLUTION AND SUBJECTS





SUMMARY

This experiment investigated how TV resolution, varied in both the horizontal and vertical planes, affects the probability of detecting targets. Thirty Ss viewed an M-48 tank on a closed-circuit black-and-white TV system at two levels of resolution (800 and 450 lines). The S's task was to indicate in which one of nine areas the tank appeared on the TV screen.

In a previous study which changed horizontal resolution only, changing resolution from 800 to 400 lines did not affect target-detection probabilities significantly. But if resolution is changed in both planes, as in this study, decreasing the resolution from 800 to 450 did significantly reduce the probability of S's detecting a target. The target's location on the TV screen also had a significant effect on target-detection probability, but the effect was confounded with resolution, luminance, and S's search techniques.

12.

REFERENCES

- Freeberg, N. E. Form perception in video viewing: Effects of resolution degradation and stereo on form thresholds. Technical Documentary Report ESD-TDR-63-136, Operational Applications Laboratory, Bedford, Mass., December 1962.
- Gordon, D. A. Visual detection and identification, military applications. Memorandum 2144-397-R, Project Michigan, University of Michigan, April 1959.
- Oatman, L. C. Target detection as a function of exposure time and display mode. Technical Note 8-63, U. S. Army Human Engineering Laboratories, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md., October 1963.
- Oatman, L. C. Target detection using black-and-white television. Study I: The effects of resolution degradation on target detection. Technical Memorandum 9-65, U. S. Army Human Engineering Laboratories, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md., July 1965.
- 5. Shanahan, D. Effects of television bandwidth on target identification. Technical Memorandum MCM-TM-64-2, U. S. Naval Missile Center, Point Mugu, Calif., April 1964.

APPENDIX

INSTRUCTIONS TO SUBJECTS

We are going to present a series of pictures on the screen. Each one will be a photograph in which there may be a military target (tank), or the screen may be blank. Here is an example (SLIDE ONE). Can you spot the target? As you see, it is in the ______ grid on the screen. This panel is also marked into nine areas. As soon as you spot the target, press the button that corresponds with the area on the screen where you see the target. Press the button as soon as possible after you spot the target. If the screen does not have a target, push two different buttons (any two). I will say "Ready" before I flash each slide. If the TV fails at any time, notify me through the intercom. Do you have any questions?

Unclassified

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D (Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)							
1 ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	2. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION						
U.S. Army Human Engineering Laboratories		Unclassified					
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md.		26 GROUP					
3 REPORT TITLE			·				
TARGET DETECTION USING BLACK-ANI	J-WHITE TELEV	ISION					
STUDY II: DEGRADED RESOLUTION AN	D TARGET-DET	ECTION	PROBABILITY				
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)							
5 AUTHOR(S) (Lesi neme, first neme, initial)							
Oatman, Lynn C.							
	TA TOTAL NO OF B		74 10 05 555				
Tulv 1965		20	5				
BA. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	94 ORIGINATOR'S RE	PORT NUM	BER(S)				
			18.A 50 -				
5. PROJECT NO.	Technical Me	emorand	lum 10-65				
		_					
c .	95. OTHER REPORT N this report)	10(S) (Any	other numbers that may be assigned				
<i></i>							
10 AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES							
Distribution of this document is unlimited.	•						
Released to Department of Commerce for	Released to Department of Commerce for sale to the public.						
Neleased to Department of Commerce for end to and parter							
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILIT	ARY ACTI	VITY				
	L						
13 AB3 (KAU I							
my 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 and white alaged singuit tolevision (TV) system to investi-							

This study used a black-and-white closed-circuit television (TV) system to investigate the effects of two levels of TV resolution (800 and 450 lines) on the probability that subjects would detect an M-48 tank. While a previous study used horizontal degradation only, this one degraded the TV image in both horizontal and vertical dimensions.

The tank was shown in each of nine areas of the TV screen, under both levels of resolution. Thirty subjects observing the TV monitor were asked to indicate in which of the nine areas the tank appeared.

With changes in the horizontal resolution only, in the previous study, changing resolution from 800 to 400 lines did not affect target-detection probabilities significantly. But with both horizontal and vertical changes, subjects performed significantly better at the 800 level of resolution than at the 450 level. The tank's location on the TV screen, although confounded with other variables, appeared to have an important effect on target-detection probability.

Unclassified

Security Classification

14.	·	LIN	IK A	LIN	K B	LIN	IK C
KEY WORDS		ROLE	wт	ROLE	wT	ROLE	wτ
Target Detection Television Displays Human Engineering							
INST	PICTION						
	RUCTIONS	Ď					
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of De- fense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.	10. AVA itations imposed	ILABILIT on further by securit	Y/LIMIT dissemin y classifi	ATION Nation of t	OTICES: he report sing star	Enter an , other the ndard stat	ay lim- an those ements
 2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations. 2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200. 10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized. 3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title. 4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered. 5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. 	such as: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) If the Services, cate this	"Qualifie report fror "Foreign report by "U. S. Go this report users shal "U. S. mi report dire shall requ "All distr ified DDC report ha Departme fact and	d requeste n DDC." announce DDC is no vernment d directly ll request litary age ectly from est throug ibution of users sh s been fu ent of Cor enter the	ers may o ment and ot authori agencies from DDC through ncies ma DDC. O gh f this rep- all reque mished t nmerce, f price, if	btain cop dissemir zed." may obt c. Other y obtain ther qual ort is cor st throug o the Off or sale to known.	bies of thi nation of t ain copies qualified copies of ified user ntrolled. (h	is this of DDC
If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement. 6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year; or month, year. If more than one date appears	11. SUP tory note 12. SPO	PLEMEN'	MILITAR	TES: U	e for add /ITY: Ei	litional ex	cplana-
on the report, use date of publication. 7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information. 7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report.	the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring ing for) the research and development. Include address. 13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and fac summary of the document indicative of the report, even t it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technica port. If additional space is required, a continuation she shall be attached						ctual though cal re-
 8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written. 8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc. 9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report. 	It is ports be end with of the inf (C), or (L There ever, the 14. KEY or short p index ent	highly des unclassifi an indicat ormation <i>J</i> . is no lim suggester WORDS: phrases th ries for co	irable thi ed. Each iton of the in the par itation or 1 length i Key word at charac at aloging	at the abs paragrap e military agraph, r the leng s from 15 s are tech terize a r the repor	stract of (oh of the security epresente (th of the 0 to 225 hnically (eport and t. Key w	classified abstract s classific ed as (TS) abstract. words. meaningfu i may be u yords mus	re- shall sation b, (S), How- l terms used as t be Iden-
9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the aponeor), also enter this number(s).	selected so that no security classification is requi- fiers, such as equipment model designation, trade- tary project code name, geographic location, may b key words but will be followed by an indication of context. The assignment of links, rules, and weig optional.					required. rade name nay be us on of tech weights i	iden- e, mili- ed as nical is

- --