



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY U.S. ARMY AVIATION MATERIEL LABORATORIES FORT EUSTIS, VIRGINIA 23604

4

This report was prepared by Hughes Tool Company, Aircraft Division, under the provisions of Contract DA 44-177-AMC-877(T), to permit design substantiation of the XV-9A aircraft. It is published for the dissemination of information and reporting of project results. Task 1M121401A14403 Contract DA 44-177-AMC-877(T)

USAAVLABS Technical Report 65-29 August 1965

AIRCRAFT DESIGN XV-9A HOT CYCLE RESEARCH AIRCRAFT SUMMARY REPORT

「「「「「「「「「「」」」」

Report HTC-AD 64-11 (385-X-05)

By

N. B. Hirsh

Prepared by

Hughes Tool Company, Aircraft Division Culver City, California

for U. S. ARMY AVIATION MATERIEL LABORATORIES FORT FUSTIS, VIRGINIA

ABSTRACT

This report discusses a research program, the purpose of which was to demonstrate the feasibility of the Hot Cycle Rotor System through the design, fabrication, and test of a flightworthy research VTOL aircraft. The XV-9A utilizes the Hot Cycle pressure jet rotor system which was developed by the U. S. Air Force. The report includes a discussion of concepts utilized in the aircraft design and information relating to the configuration, weight and balance, performance, stability and control, dynamics, and structural characteristics of the research aircraft.

PREFACE

This report was prepared in accordance with Contract DA 44-177-AMC-877(T) with the U.S. Army Aviation Materiel Laboratories. The contract became effective on 29 September 1962. The report summarizes the design of the XV-9A Hot Cycle Research Aircraft (U.S. Serial Number 64-15107).

The aircraft was designed by the Hughes Tool Company Aircraft Division in Culver City, California. The design was accomplished under the direction of Mr. H. O. Nay, Program Manager, Hot Cycle Programs, and under the direct supervision of Mr. C. R. Smith, Engineering Project Manager, Hot Cycle research aircraft. This report was prepared by Mr. N. B. Hirsh, Project Administrative Engineer, on the basis of contributions from the following personnel:

S. Cohan	-	Group Engineer, Propulsion Systems
J.F. Conlin	-	Weights Engineer
R. H. Heacock	-	Group Engineer, Controls and Equipment
W.J. Leas	-	Structures Engineer
J.R. Simpson	-	Group Engineer, Rotor System and Aircraft Structure
R.J. Sullivan	-	Chief, Performance and Dynamics Section

V

CONTENTS

	Page
	ABSTRACT iii
	PREFACE
	LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS
1.	SUMMARY
2.	DISCUSSION
2. 1	Introduction
2.2	Aircraft Characteristics
2.3	Rotor System
2.4	Aircraft Structure 26
2.5	Propulsion System 34
2.6	Control Systems 70
2.0	Aircraft Equipment
2.1	
2.0	
3.	WEIGHT AND BALANCE
3.1	Weight Analysis
3.2	Weight Statement
3.3	Weight and Balance Statement 120
3 4	Weight Compromises
J. 1	
4.	PERFORMANCE
4.1	Hovering Flight 127
4 2	Level Flight 127
1.6	
5.	STABILITY AND CONTROL
5.1	Hovering Flight
5.2	Forward Flight
6.	DYNAMICS
6.1	Rotor Dynamics
6.2	Fuselage Vertical, Lateral, and Torsional
9. 8	Natural Frequencies

7.	STRUCTURAL DESIGN CRITERIA	.44
7.1	Rotor Blade, Hub, Power Module, and Fuselage Loads and Load Analysis	44
7.2	Design Criteria for Rotor System Power Module and Fuselage	.58
7.3	Design Criteria for the Empennage and Aft Fuselage. 1	.67
7.4	Landing Criteria	.70
7.5	Ground Handling Design Criteria	.72
7.6	Crash Condition	.72
7.7	Primary Control System Loads	.73
	APPENDIX I - Loads Analysis	81
	APPENDEX II - Stress Analysis - Rotor	27
	APPENDIX III - Calculated Rotor Blade Life 3	35
	REFERENCES	39
	DISTRIBUTION	43

....

1

Page

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure

Page

4

- 12

1	XV-9A Hot Cycle Research Aircraft
2	General Arrangement
3	Rotor System
4	Rotor Hub and Blade Cooling
5	Rotor Hub and Blade Ducting
6	Blade-Tip Closure Valves
7	Blade-Tip Closure Valve Actuation System 23
8	Rotor Lubrication System Schematic
9	Aircraft Structural Components
10	Power Module Structural Assembly
11	General Arrangement - Propulsion System
12	Gas Generator Installation
13	Gas Generator Inlet \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 40
14	Gas Generator Lubrication System
15	Air Ejector Performance
16	Air Ejector Configuration
17	Propulsion System Mounting
18	Gas Generator - Diverter Valve Seal
19	Hot Gas System Connection Configuration
20	Y-Duct Crossflow Indication System
21	Crossflow Transducer Assembly
22	Block Diagram - Crossflow Warning System 61
23	Yaw Control Valve Configuration
24	Estimated Nacelle Temperatures
25	Fuel System Schematic
26	Flight Control System - Forward Portion 73
27	Flight Control System - Aft Portion
28	Rotor Control Mixer
29	Hydraulic Power Control Actuator Performance 81
30	Schematic - Gas Generator Power Control
	System - N _f Link
31	Gas Generator Power Control System -
	Mechanical Linkage
32	Gas Generator Power Control System - N _f Link 87
33	Hydraulic System Schematic
34	Hydraulic System Cooling
35	Electrical System Schematic
36	Cockpit Instrument Panel and Console

ix

Figure

£

37	Fire Detection System.	111
38	Fire Extinguishing System	113
39	Performance Characteristics	129
40	Handling Characteristics	131
41	Cyclic Pitch Characteristics at 10,000-Pound	
	Gross Weight	135
42	Cyclic Pitch Characteristics at 15, 300-Pound	
	Gross Weight	136
43	Collective Mode Resonances	138
44	Cyclic Mode Resonances	139
45	Collective and Cyclic Mode Shapes	140
46	Blade Torsion Loads - Weighted Fatigue Condition	146
47	Blade Torsion Loads - 2-1/2-g Maneuver Condition	148
48	Vertical Shear Distribution - Modified Approach	
	to Land	149
49	Vertical Shear Distribution - 2-1/2-g Maneuver	150
50	Weighted Fatigue Criterion	151
51	Chordwise Bending Moment	153
52	Cyclic Flapwise Moment	154
53	Flapwise Bending Moment	155
54	Rotor Pylon Pressure Distribution	157
55	Lateral Pylon Pressure Distribution	159
56	Nacelle Pressure Distribution	160
57	Strap Windup Characteristics	161
58	Strap Windup Characteristics	162
59	Strap Windup Characteristics	163
60	Strap Windup Characteristics	164

1. SUMMARY

The design of the XV-9A Hot Cycle Research Aircraft (see Figure 1) has been accomplished in accordance with U.S. Army Aviation Materiel Laboratories Contract DA 44-177-AMC-877(T). This report includes a discussion of concepts utilized in design of the aircraft and also includes information relating to the configuration, weight and balance, performance, stability and control, dynamics, and structural characteristics of the research aircraft.

The aircraft incorporates the previously tested 55-footdiameter Hot Cycle pressure jet rotor propelled by two gas generator versions of the YT-64 engine. The aircraft has a design gross weight of 15, 300 pounds, with provision for future addition of an external payload-carrying capability to an alternate overload gross weight of 25, 500 pounds. The aircraft is designed to be flown as a helicopter with a 150-knot maximum speed.

1



Figure 1. XV-9A Hot Cycle Research Aircraft

- 6.

2. DISCUSSION

2.1 INTRODUCTION

The XV-9A Hot Cycle Research Aircraft (Hughes Model 385) has been designed under U.S. Army Contract DA 44-177-AMC-877(T). The principal objective of the contract is "to conduct a research program to demonstrate the feasibility of the Hot Cycle Rotor System through the design, fabrication, and test of one flightworthy research VTOL aircraft, incorporating the Hot Cycle Rotor System powered by two gas generator versions of the YT-64 engine." The aircraft utilizes the Hot Cycle pressure jet rotor system developed under U.S. Air Force Contract AF 33 (600)-30271, and has been designed in general accordance with the configuration established by the Preliminary Design Reports, References 1 and 2, prepared under U.S. Army Contract DA-44-177-TC-832 and with the Model Specification, Reference 3. The general arrangement of the aircraft is shown in Figure 2.

The XV-9A Hot Cycle Research Aircraft pressure jet rotor is driven by hot gases produced by two YT-64 gas generators. The gas generator exhaust gases are ducted through diverter valves, stationary ducts, a trifurcated rotating duct, and the blades to the blade-tip cascade nozzles. Due to the absence of significant rotor drive shaft torque, no tail rotor is required. A jet reaction yaw control valve, mounted at the aft end of the fuselage, is powered by the gas generator exhaust and will supply required stabilizing yaw force during hover and low-speed forward flight. Aerodynamic control surfaces will be used for yaw control at higher forward flight speeds.

The work to be done under the contract includes the design described in this report, whirl tests, component tests, aircraft fabrication, ground tests, and a 15-hour flight test program.

The design of the aircraft employed the simplest design and fabrication techniques consistent with the mission of the aircraft. Offthe-shelf components, such as J-85 diverter valves, CH-34A landing gear, and OH-6A cockpit section, were used wherever possible in order to reduce design complexity and to improve aircraft reliability.

The design incorporates a separable structural unit, known as the power module, containing the rotor system support structure, the propulsion system, the hydraulic system, and their attendant accessories. The use of the power module concept both simplified and improved whirl testing and, in addition, provided for accumulation of maximum experience, prior to flight, on the most complex portion of the aircraft.

The discussion of the design is broken into seven major areas covering aircraft characteristics, rotor system, aircraft structure. propulsion and gas transfer systems, controls system, aircraft equipment, and aircraft safety.

2.2 AIRCRAFT CHARACTERISTICS

2.2.1 Weight Summary

Empty weight	8,641 pounds
Design minimum gross weight	10,000 pounds
Design gross weight	15, 300 pounds
Alternate overload gross weight	
(external cargo)	25,500 pounds

2.2.2 Performance

Condition	Gross Weight (Pounds)	Altitude and Temperature	Speed (Knots)
	;		
Helicopter maximum	15, 300	SL Standard	140
speed	10,000	SL Standard	150
Helicopter maximum	15, 300	SL Standard	200
dive speed	10, 000	SL Standard	200

2.2.3 Rotor Characteristics

Number of blades	3
Rotor radius	27.6 feet
Blade area (total three blades)	217.5 square feet
Disc area	2, 392 square feet
Rotor solidity	0.091
Blade chord	31.5 inches
Blade airfoil	NACA 0018
Blade twist	-8 degrees

4



Figure 2. General Arrangement

.....



B

14.

Hot gas ducts Number of ducts per blade Total duct area per blade Duct utilization	2 54.8 square inches
duct area	0. 4 51
blade cross section area Tip nozzle area per blade (closure valve open)	37.5 square inches

2.2.4 Rotor Speed

Rotor Speed		v_{tip}
	rpm	(fps)
Design operational, power-on or power-off	243	700
Design minimum, power-on	225	648
Design maximum, power-on (red line)	255	734
Design minimum, power-off	225	648
Design maximum, power-off (red line)	280	807
(1.1 x maximum power-on rpm)		
Rotor speed, limit, power-on or power-	295	848
off (1.1 x maximum power-on rpm) x		
1. 05		

2.2.5 Powerplant

YT-64 gas generators -- Government furnished -- 2 required.

The structural design criteria of Section 7.2, the preceding performance characteristics, and the gas conditions shown below are based on exhaust gas conditions of gas generator versions of T-64-GE-6 engines as defined by References 4 and 5.

	Temp	Temp	Pressure	Pressure	Mass Flow
	(°R)	(⁰ F)	Ratio	(psig)	(lb/sec)
Maximum	1, 643	1, 183	2.87	27. 5	24.6
Normal	1, 575	1, 115	2.60	23. 5	23.0
2. 2. 6	Empen	nage			
	Area (true) (total) Dihedral			54.00 square feet 45.0 degrees	

··· · ·

Sweep Incidence (with respect to rotor shaft) Chord Span (true) Aspect ratio (geometric) Airfoil Rudder chord (37.5 percent, including overhang) Rudder span (true) Rudder area (true) Rudder deflection

7. 5 degrees
1. 0 degree <u>+</u> 5. 00 degrees adjustment
3. 50 feet
15. 40 feet
4. 35
AACA 0012
1. 31 feet
15. 40 feet

19.9 square feet

+ 20.0 degrees

2.2.7 Overall Dimensions

たちまいの「おのにない」

Aircraft length (rotor turning)59.7 feetFuse!age length44.17 feetTread of main wheels11.00 feetHeight (to top of rotor hub)12.40 feetWidth (across lateral pylons)12.20 feet

2. 2. 8 Maximum Control Displacement

Cyclic control \pm 10 degrees Longitudinal cyclic pitch travel 13 inches, total Longitudinal cyclic stick travel Lateral cyclic pitch travel + 7 degrees Lateral cyclic stick travel 12 inches, total Collective Collective pitch travel 0 degrees to 12 (75 percent radius) degrees Collective stick travel 7.5 inches Rudder pedal (from neutral) Full left 3.0 inches Full right 3.0 inches Rudder deflection (+ 3. 0 inches + 20 degrees at pedal)

2. 3 ROTOR SYSTEM

The XV-9A rotor system consists of the Hot Cycle pressure jet rotor system fabricated and tested under U.S. Air Force Contract AF 33(600)-30271. The rotor system has been modified in accordance with the results of that test program. The three-bladed rotor system shown schematically in Figure 3 consists of a free-floating hub and three coning blades mounted on a shaft that is supported by an upper radial bearing and by a lower thrust bearing. A detailed discussion of the basic rotor system development and design may be found in Reference 6. A review of the overall system is presented below. 1.720

2. 3. 1 Blade Construction

The blade design incorporates two laminated steel spars (replacing the previously used solid titanium spars) running from the blade root to the tip, and separated chordwise by eighteen identical sheet metal duct segments. The segments are bolted to the spars and are joined together by bellows-type flexible couplings riveted to the outer skins. The ducts and skins of adjacent segments are slipjointed. In this structural arrangement the spars are the only members that react to normal blade bending loads and centrifugal loads. Torsional and chordwise shear loads are carried by the assembly of segments.

2. 3. 1. 1 Blade Constant-Section Segments

Blade constant-section segments are sheet metal assemblies consisting of two ducts contained within nine ribs and outer skins. Each segment is 12.50 inches spanwise and 15.00 inches chordwise. The ribs are die-formed with flanges matching the airfoil and duct contours. The ducts and the inner edges of the ribs are subjected to the full gas heat of the power system. René 41 alloy sheet was chosen as the material for these parts. The ribs and ducts were formed and spot welded together as a subassembly while in the solution heat-treated condition. This subassembly was then age hardened for maximum strength, and the segment was completed by spot welding outer skins of Type 301 corrosion-resistant steel sheet.

2. 3. 1. 2 Flexible Couplings

At each joint between segments of the rotor blade there is a bellows-type flexible coupling riveted to the outer skins. This coupling performs a number of functions. It provides a pressure-tight



10

ġ.,

enclosure around the duct slip joints; it absorbs the thermal expansion and centrifugal load deflection differences between the segments and the spars; it transfers torsional and chordwise shear loads from segment to segment; and it incorporates a high degree of flexibility into the assembly of segments so that no appreciable blade bending loads are carried by any part of the structure other than the spars. This coupling is made up of two identical Inconel X drop hammer stampings welded together at the centerline of the blade, which is the point of minimum cyclic stress. The welded assembly is heat treated and glass peened for maximum fatigue strength. The riveted joints connecting the blade segments are sealed against gas leakage using Dow Corning Silastic RTV 601.

2. 3. 1. 3 Blade Trailing Edge Segments

Interchangeable trailing edge segments of the blade are conventional sheet metal assemblies consisting of four ribs, a skin, and a spar-type channel section tying all members together at the forward end of the assembly. The channel section also functions as one wall of a tunnel for air flow to cool the blade rear spar during rotor operation. The segment is assembled by means of bonding. Skins of adjacent segments are slip jointed.

2. 3. 1. 4 Blade Leading Edge Fairings

Leading edge fairings are identical roll-contoured sheets of Type 301 corrosion-resistant steel, each as long as a blade segment. Adjacent fairings are slip jointed. Additional roll-contoured sheets are attached internally to the fairings, for adjustment of blade chordwise balance.

2. 3. 1. 5 Blade Root Structure

The blade root structure is made up of skin-covered ribs, frames, and webs that are bolted to the spars. As in the blade constant section, the spars are the only members reacting to normal bending loads. This is accomplished by dividing the root structure into seven sections, joined together with six electroformed nickel frames of hat-type cross section that readily deflect under bending loads. Torsional and chordwise shear loads are carried by the frames from section to section.

TIP CASCADE -

2.3.1.6 Blade Bearings

A feathering-flapping bearing is located on the inboard end of the blade root structure. This bearing consists of a chromeplated aluminum cast ball rotating in a teflon-lined ring attached to the hub. An opening through the ball provides clear passage for the hot gas ducts connecting the hub and blade ducts.

2. 3. 2 Rotor Hub and Shaft Assembly

The rotor hub and shaft assembly forms the central pivot for the rotor. Each blade is attached to the hub by a pair of tension strap packs. The free-floating hub ties the three rotor blades together and transfers the total resultant load to the shaft. The shaft in turn transfers the rotor load through an upper and lower bearing into the stationary supporting structure. The hub and shaft assembly provides support for the rotating portion of the control system. In general, 4130 or 4340 steel is used in the fabrication of the components.

2. 3. 2. 1 Hub Structure

The free-floating hub structure is composed of a central hexagonal box with three sets of two vertical parallel beams extending from the hexagon to support the feathering bearing housings and pairs of blade retention strap shoe fittings. The radial strap loads from the three blades are balanced across the lower surface of the floating hub structure by two parallel plates. Vertical components of the strap loads are transferred from the shoe fittings through the parallel beams to the hexagonal box. The free-floating hub is gimbal-mounted at the upper end of the rotating shaft. The gimbal clevis transfers all hub loads to the shaft through the gimbal assembly.

2. 3. 2. 2 Hub Tilt Stop

A hub tilt stop is provided for two separate conditions: two-degree tilt for low rpm and ground handling, and nine-degree tilt for normal flight maneuvers. The two-degree stop condition applies while the rotor is stationary and until it reaches 150 rpm. The stop mechanism is an overcenter linkage actuated by centrifugal forces on a weighted arm with a spring return. Above 150 rpm, the two-degree stop becomes disengaged, permitting nine degrees of tilt. As the rotor slows, the two-degree stop again engages at 90 rpm.

2. 3. 2. 3 Blade Droop Stop

The blade droop stop is located at the lower inboard face of the blade structure and contacts the lower outboard face of the feathering bearing housing. The stop has two roller bearings with the surfaces ground to a 12-inch radius to provide for misalignment as the rollers contact the hub plate during the total blade feathering range without change in blade coning angle. Droop stop loads from a single blade are transferred through the feathering bearing support ring into the hub, where they are balanced by loads from the other two blades or are transferred by the tilt stop system into the mast.

2. 3. 2. 4 Shaft Support

The rotating shaft is supported by two bearing assemblies. A lower bearing resists all of the vertical or thrust load. Moments are resisted by radial reactions on this bearing and on an upper bearing that is free to float vertically. The upper bearing outer housing is supported by three radial spokes attached to the shaft. The inner housing of the upper bearing is attached to one of the rotor support trusses. The lower bearing housing is attached to a similar support truss.

2.3.2.5 Upper Bearing

The upper bearing is a cylindrical roller bearing that can resist radial loads only. A circulating oil system is provided to ensure optimum lubrication and cooling (see Section 2. 3. 4. 10).

2.3.2.6 Lower Bearing

The lower support bearing assembly consists of two tapered roller bearings mounted back to back. It carries all the vertical load and those radial loads due to moments. The bearing has a circulating oil system (see Section 2. 3. 4. 10) coupled to the upper bearing lubrication system.

2. 3. 2. 7 <u>Hub Cooling</u>

By using an air seal between the floating hub and the rotating race of the upper bearing, air is drawn through the hub by centrifugal pumping of the rotating blades. This air moves through the hub from three directions (down through the gimbal assembly, up between the mast and duct, and up inside the upper bearing stationary race) and flows outward through the feathering bearings, over the articulate ducts, and is exhausted at approximately blade section 60.00 (see Figure 4).

2.3.3 Ducts and Seals

The ducts receive gas from the gas generators and provide a passage through the free-floating hub and along the entire length of th ϵ blade to the tip cascades. A schematic of the duct system is shown in Figure 5. The hub portion of the ducting has been redesigned to reduce weight, and is discussed in Section 2. 3. 4. 7. Blade ducts and seals are discussed below.

2.3.3.1 Blade Ducts

The duct from Station 15.50 to Station 42.50 is articulated to allow for hub float and blade coning. The inboard end of this duct is supported by a gimbal using Fabroid bearings for the coning motion and flexures for the chordwise motion. At the outboard end of the duct, freedom of motion is required, and the design of this point is discussed in Section 2.3.3.3. From the articulate duct inboard seal to Station 60.50 the duct is circular and made of Type 347 corrosionresistant steel.

From Station 60. 50 to 91. 00 a transition duct starts with a circular shape at the inboard end and progresses to two roughly elliptical openings at the outboard end. Due to the noncircular shape, a relatively high-strength alloy, Inconel X, is used for this duct.

From Station 91.00 outboard to the blade-tip cascades the duct is contained in the constant-section segments, discussed in Section 2.3.1.1.

2.3.3.2 Carbon Seals

Carbon, with no supplemental lubrication, is used as the sealing material for rotating joints in the hub ducts. These seals are shown schematically in Figure 5. In the hub duct outer seal, two rows of carbon segments are held against the rotating duct by two garter springs. A wave spring holds the carbon segments against the surface of the seal housing. Gas pressure aids the springs in maintaining a tight seal.





16

\$

*

>

•

The hub duct inner seal uses a carbon face seal at the rotating face and two rows of carbon segments supported by two garter springs and a wave spring for the static seal, as shown in Figure 5. The seal allows relative movement between the upper (rotating) and the lower (stationary) duct without separation occurring at the face seal.

The articulate duct inboard seal configuration is approximately the same as that of the hub duct outer seal described above.

2. 3. 3. 3 Articulate Duct Outboard Seal

The articulate duct outboard seal must seal against axial movement (due to hub float and blade coning), rotation (due to blade feathering), misalignment (due to hub float and blade coning), and side impact (due to a change from small positive to negative blade coning angles). Because of the necessity to carry side load and to accept reversal of loading, this seal consists of a nest of three slotted lip laminations riding on a tungsten carbide coated cylinder. Each lamination is formed from René 41 alloy. Slots in the laminations are staggered to eliminate continuous paths through which gas could leak. Two thicker overload leaves were added, at the top and bottom only.

2.3.4 Changes to the Rotor System

In preparation for flight testing of the XV-9A, various design revisions and additions to the existing rotor system have been accomplished, based on previous whirl test results. The major revisions to the rotor system consisted of the following:

- a. New blade-tip cascades incorporating closure valves were designed.
- b. Hub gimbal lugs were strengthened for in-plane loads, and thrust bearings were added to provide a direct load path for these loads.
- c. Laminated steel spars have replaced the solid machined titanium spars previously used.
- d. New blade retention straps have been provided.
- e. Reinforced articulate duct clamps have been provided.

- f. The stationary swashplate weight has been reduced.
- g. The lower (stationary) Y-duct and the upper (rotating) triduct have been redesigned to decrease weight.
- h. The rotor shaft and the radial bearing support spoke have been redesigned to increase strength. The shaft has also been redesigned to incorporate an output sprocket for driving the accessory gearbox.
- i. A rotor accessory gearbox has been added.
- j. The rotor lube system has been redesigned to provide a compact, flight-type, rotor-driven system.

2. 3. 4. 1 Blade-Tip Cascades

The tip cascades previously used were welded assemblies of contoured sheet metal parts, consisting of two elbow ducts faired into the ducts of the blade segments, four hollow-section airfoil turnirg vanes in each duct, and an outer cover faired into the skins of the blade. The leading and trailing edges of the assembly each incorporated a discharge orifice for the centrifugally pumped air used to cool the spars during rotor operation. The components of the cascade were joined by Heliarc and spot welding.

The need for a blade-tip closure valve to provide the Research Aircraft with a single-engine flying capability were established in Reference 1. A review of various design approaches and a selection of the blade-tip closure valve was made, and was discussed in References 1 and 2. The design selected for installation on the aircraft was one in which the blade-tip cascade incorporates the closure valve. The following criteria were used in designing the bladetip closure valve:

- a. Exit area open, 110 square inches (total 3 cascades)
- b. Exit area closed, 55 square inches (total)
- c. Maximum actuator pressure, 3,000 psig

d. Maximum temperature, 1, 200 degrees F

e. Actuation time, 0.50 second (maximum)

The new blade-tip cascade and closure valve assembly employs three turning vanes per duct, instead of the four vanes used on the original blade-tip cascades. One of these vanes is the bladetip closure valve, which can be rotated to close off one-half the exit area for single-engine operation. The material used for the tipcascade assembly is Inconel 718. Actuation of the closure valve is accomplished by a pneumatic cylinder driving a series of push rods and bellcranks (see Figure 6).

The blade-tip closure valve actuators are energized with air supplied through tubing attached to the rotor forward spar. Two reservoir bottles, a fill valve, a gage, and a three-way control valve are mounted on the hub for a completely self-contained system. The schematic is shown in Figure 7. Operation of the three-way control valve is explained in Section 2. 5. 6. 2.

The supply tube to each actuator is a 1/8-inch OD stainless steel tube attached to the forward face of the rotor front spar. The tube is attached to one spar attach bolt on each blade segment. The tube is convoluted to avoid the excessive thermal and centrifugal stresses supported by the spar itself. These tubes are joined to the hub-mounted manifold by 1/8-inch OD stainless steel tube flexures, which are formed by putting five spring-like turns near each end of the tube.

The 3000-psi reservoir, consisting of two 50-cubic-inch bottles, contains sufficient gas to operate the blade-tip closure valves seven times without recharging. Only two cycles (one for maintenance and one for actual use in case of an emergency) are required perflight.

2. 3. 4. 2 Hub Gimbal Bearing Reinforcement

The hub gimbal system installation consists of a gimbal clevis, ring, and shaft trunnion. The design of the existing hub gimbal assembly was revised to provide for reinforcement of the hub gimbal lugs, in order to increase the strength of the hub gimbal system for in-plane loads, and by the addition of thrust bearings, to provide a direct load path for in-plane loads.

2. 3. 4. 3 Laminated Steel Spars

The solid machined titanium spars used previously on the blades have been replaced by laminated steel spar assemblies. The new spars are made of laminations of AM355CRT stainless steel bonded together and bonded to a machined AM355CRT spar root fitting. The spar cross sectional area is tapered by dropping laminations off before reaching the outboard end. Great care was taken in the preparation of edges and holes in the material, to preclude the development of fatigue cracks. The laminations are bonded together and to the root fitting. Bonding is accomplished in a vacuum bag enclosed fixture and inside a 350-degree-F, 100-psi autoclave. The spar assemblies are then bolted to the blade segments and blade root sections. A shim of low-friction material is installed between the spars and the blade segments, to prevent fretting of the contacting surfaces. During rotor operation, spars are cooled by centrifugal movement of air outboard along the spars and through discharge orifices in the blade-tip cascades.

2. 3. 4. 4 Blade Retention Straps

The chordwise natural frequency of the blades has been increased to move it further from the operating frequency range by design of new blade retention straps incorporating increased stiffness. The revised design provides for two strap packs per blade of 22 AM355CRT stainless steel laminations each and of increased width, in lieu of the original strap packs of 20 Type 301 stainless steel laminations each.

2. 3. 4. 5 Reinforced Articulate Duct Clamps

Reinforced articulate duct clamps were designed and fabricated to Hughes Tool Company specifications. The reinforced clamps were required to eliminate duct leakage during severe maneuvers.

2. 3. 4. 6 Redesigned Stationary Swashplate

The stationary swashplate has been redesigned to reduce weight and to provide for revised flight-type hydraulic rotor control actuators. A saving of approximately 30 pounds was realized by this change.



Figure 6. Blade-Tip Closure Valves







1 Mg



2. 3. 4. 7 Redesigned Hub Ducts

The hub two-branch stationary Y-duct and the three-branch rotating triduct previously used were made of Type 347 corrosionresistant steel. By extensive use of drophammer formed Inconel 718 sheet, it was possible to both strengthen the ducts and effect a weight saving cf approximately 105 pounds.

うれないないのかっていた

2. 3. 4. 8 Redesigned Rotor Shaft and Spoke

The rotor shaft was redesigned to increase the strength and to incorporate a gear for use in driving the accessory gearbox (see Section 2. 3. 4. 9). The three-armed spoke utilized in transmitting shaft radial loads to the upper bearing was redesigned to increase strength.

2. 3. 4. 9 Accessory Gearbox

An accessory gearbox driven by a cogged-tooth timing belt has been added to the rotor system. Power for the gearbox is extracted from a drive gear added to the rotor shaft. The gearbox is a basic offthe-shelf unit with a 90-degree drive adaptor added. Three output pads on the accessory gearbox are used for driving the rotor lubrication system pump (see Section 2. 3. 4. 10), the rotor tachometer generator, and the rotor speed governing system drive gearbox, which in turn drives a control system hydraulic pump and two rotor speed governing system hydraulic pumps. The accessory gearbox assembly is mounted to the rear spar of the power module.

2. 3. 4. 10 Rotor Lubrication System

A flight-type rotor lubrication system was designed to supply circulating lubricant for the rotor upper radial bearing and the rotor lower thrust bearing. The system employs a combination pressure and scavenge pump driven by the accessory gearbox. An electrically driven scavenge pump has been provided and is used to prevent flooding of the bearings due to inadequate scavenge flow at low rotor speeds. A twoquart system reservoir is mounted in the fuselage immediately aft of the power module and has sufficient volume to permit deaeration. An oil cooler with an electrically driven blower is installed to maintain safe lubricant temperatures (see Figure 8).

2.4 AIRCRAFT STRUCTURE

The structure of the XV-9A consists of four major assemblies: the fuselage, the power module, the empennage, and the landing gear (see Figure 9). These major units of the aircraft structure are separable, to facilitate fabrication, test, and aircraft maintenance. The simplest structural design consistent with the mission of the aircraft was utilized. The structure was designed in a manner that permitted fabrication using a minimum of special purpose tools and fixtures.

2.4.1 Fuselage

For design and manufacturing purposes, the fuselage was broken down into three sections: the cockpit, the main fuselage, and the aft fuselage. The fuselage is of conventional riveted aluminum alloy, semimonocoque construction.

In order to minimize tooling and fabrication requirements, a simple fuselage cross section was chosen. The main fuselage is of constant cross section, allowing the use of identical frames and flatwrapped skin. The aft fuselage is a truncated cone section covered with flat-wrapped skin. A transition section of compound curvature was required to fair between these two sections. In order to facilitate manufacturing, this section was kept to the minimum size.

2.4.1.1 Cockpit

The OH-6A (Hughes Model 369) cockpit structure is used on the XV-9A. It is of conventional riveted and spot welded sheet metal construction, with emphasis on lightweight structure, optimum visibility, and convenience for the pilot and copilot, seated side by side. Some structural revisions were made to accommodate the electrical system, instrumentation, rotor controls, and propulsion controls installations. Thickness of two of the windshield panels was increased to provide additional stiffness and safety.

2.4.1.2 Main Fuselage

This portion of the fuselage is of a constant cross section, matching that of the OH-6A cockpit, and extends from the cockpit aft approximately 15 feet. Structurally, this main section provides for


Figure 8. Rotor Lubrication System Schematic





r Lubrication System Schematic





The second second

Harris and a start

the attachment of the power module, main landing gear, and forward fuel cell, and contains the payload compartment.

This structure is of typical longeron, skin, and frame construction. Typical frames are C-sections, approximately three inches deep, hydropressed out of 2024 aluminum alloy sheet and spaced at seven- to eight-inch intervals. Longerons are made from 7075 T6 aluminum alloy T-section extrusions, and the skins are 2024 T3 aluminum alloy sheets that vary in thickness from 0.016 inch through 0.040 inch. Two main frames provide for the attachment of the power module through tension fittings.

The main landing gear legs are inserted into a heat-treated steel tube that runs across the ship under the floor line. Landing gear leg bending stresses are taken across this tube, and only the resultant loads are taken by the adjacent stiffened frames and skin. In the forward end of the main fuselage section is one of the fuel cells, supported by an inner liner riveted to the flanges of the lower half of the frames. The cell end bulkheads are designed to react hydrostatic loading and are of a aluminum alloy honeycomb construction.

The remainder of the main fuselage section is floored with removable aluminum alloy honeycomb panels, and incorporates provisions for installation of flight test instrumentation equipment.

An upward swinging main entrance door (approximately 30 inches x 40 inches) is installed on the left-hand side of the fuselage and provides for access to the payload compartment. A hatch (approximately 24 inches x 24 inches) is installed directly under the rotor centerline and provides for future addition of an external payload carrying capability to the aircraft.

2.4.1.3 Aft Fuselage

This section of the fuselage extends from the side entrance door aft to the tail cone fairing. Structurally, it must provide for the aft fuel cell, yaw control valve and supply ducting, tailwheel, and empennage attachment. As in the main fuselage section, this structure is made up of a continuation of the four longerons, similar C-section frames (on 10-inch spacing), and skin, riveted together. Access to the yaw control valve is through the tailwheel well. Fuel cell provisions are almost identical with those for the forward fuel cell. Bulkheads are provided for the attachment of the tailwheel and empennage.

2.4.2 Empennage

This assembly consists of stabilizers set at 45-degree dihedral and 7-1/2 degree sweep with aerodynamically and dynamically balanced rudder surfaces. The total empennage area is 54.0 square feet.

2.4.2.1 Stabilizers

The assembly consists of frc t and rear spars, hydropressed ribs, and skin, riveted together. The material is aluminum alloy throughout. The airfoil (NACA 0012) is a constant section from root to tip, greatly simplifying the structure. Sweep back and dihedral require a small amount of complexity in the root ribs and fittings. The stabilizer area is 32.4 square feet.

2.4.2.2 Rudders

The rudders are aluminum alloy structures made up of spars, ribs, and skin. They are hinged to the stabilizer by three hinges plus a torque tube support. Aerodynamic and dynamic balance are provided. The total rudder area is 21.6 square feet. Operation of the rudders is described in Section 2.6.2

2.4.3 Landing Gear

The landing gear installation consists of Government furnished CH-34A components.

2.4.3.1 Main Landing Gear

As previously noted in Section 2. 4. 1. 2, provisions are made in the forward fuselage to permit installation of the main landing gear legs. A fitting on the power module at the intersection of the horizontal pylon front spar and both nacelles supplies the attachment point for the upper end of each oleo strut. The length of the oleo strut has been modified to adapt it for use on the XV-9A.

2.4.3.2 <u>Tail Gear</u>

The tail gear yoke casting attaches to a bulkhead at fuselage Station 581.00 and the tail gear oleo strut attaches to a bulkhead at fuselage Station 616.50 (see Figure 9). The tail gear assembly is fully castering for ground handling, and can be locked for flight. Operation of the tailwheel lock system is described in Section 2. 6. 4. 2.

2.4.4 Power Module

The power module structural assembly consists of the nacelles, the horizontal or lateral pylon, and the vertical or rotor pylon. The complete assembly is bolted to the fuselage at four points (see Figure 10). Structural requirements for this section consist of providing for engines, diverter valves, ducting, controls, hydraulic system, and auxiliary gearbox installations, in addition to providing for the rotor and the main landing gear oleo strut attachments. The high temperature environment surrounding the engine and ducting was one of the primary factors that influenced the design.

2.4.4.1 Nacelles

The nacelle structure supports the engine, diverter valve, tailpipes, and tail cone. The engine mount support structure is composed primarily of welded 4130 steel tubing and A286 steel skin and formers. Nonstressed cowling panels are constructed of aluminum alloy sheet, with the exception of the one over the hot section of the engine, which is constructed of Type 347 stainless steel. The diverter valve is located between the two main nacelle frames, which are integral with the forward and rear spars of the horizontal pylon. The diverter-valve support yoke is attached to the intersection of the outboard or canted rib and the forward spar. The structure in this area is predominantly A286 steel formers, longerons, and skins. Some titanium structure is also used in this area. The stressed access door for installation of the diverter valve is made of aluminum alloy. The tail cone assemblies cantilever aft from the rear spar frames, and are made in two parts. The upper half is attached permanently to the frame and provides for the tailpipe support. The lower half is removable, to permit installation and removal of the tailpipe. The tail cone skins are titanium and the formers are Type 347 stainless steel.

2.4.4.2 Horizontal Pylon

This structure attaches the nacelles and rotor support trusses to the fuselage, and also provides the reactions to large loads from the hydraulic power control cylinders. It is constructed almost entirely of aluminum alloy. The main structural members in this



assembly are a front and rear spar, which run across the fuselage and terminate at their attachment to the nacelle main rings. These spars are in the form of a truss between the four fuselage attach points, and are made up of a shear web and caps between the fuselage and nacelle. Nonstructural leading and trailing edge fairings complete the horizontal pylon. The rotor is supported from the horizontal pylon by two welded steel tube trusses, one supporting the radial bearing and the other supporting the thrust bearing at the lower end of the rotor shaft.

2.4.4.3 Vertical Pylon

An aluminum alloy sheet metal assembly made up of formers and skin provides the nonstructural fairing around the rotor radial bearing truss, Y-duct, and yaw control duct. Portions of it are removable, to permit access to the hub ducting and to the auxiliary gearbox installation.

2.5 PROPULSION SYSTEM

The propulsion system installation includes those components required to provide the energy for the Hot Cycle pressure jet rotor system. The design, therefore, embraces the physical configuration of the gas generators, the attendant gas generator systems, the hot gas transfer system, the rotor system, and the helicopter jet reaction yaw control system. Description of the rotor system has been covered in Section 2. 3 of this report. This section will deal with all other elements of the propulsion system.

The design features excellent inspection and maintenance accessibility, convenient gas generator and diverter valve handling, an aerodynamically clean gas generator air inlet, safety precaustions for the minimization of gas generator bay fire hazard, and, in the event of fire, complete coverage for both detection and extinguishment.

The general arrangement of the propulsion system is shown in Figure 11.

2.5.1 Thermal Characteristics

During operation of the aircraft, heat will be flowing from the hot gases into the adjoining hardware. In addition, heat will be generated in the gas generator lubrication circuit and in the hydraulic



pumps and actuators. There are five areas in the aircraft having different cooling requirements: gas generator lubricant system, hydraulic fluid, gas generator bay, pylon and fuselage structure, and rotor system. These areas represent a wide range of thermal conditions and require various means to accomplish overall control of temperature. An extensive study was conducted on this subject before any particular insulation or cooling arrangement was finally selected. Simplicity of installation had high priority in these design considerations and is reflected in the selection of methods and materials concerning thermal problems. Whenever forced cooling was not readily available, one or more of the following methods were used to ensure safe operation of the system:

- a. Insulation of hot ducts to reduce heat flux
- b. Local protection for critical parts
- c. Ventilating holes to induce air circulation
- d. Leaving the area at elevated temperature and using temperature-resistant materials.

2.5.1.1 Thermal Criteria

Limit temperatures and heat transfer data are taken from the YT-64 gas generator data given in Reference 7. Additional data were supplied directly by the manufacturer.

Heat generation in the hydraulic system was estimated on the basis of system analysis and information supplied by the manufacturers of individual components.

Characteristics of heat exchangers and thermal properties of insulation were based on the test results supplied by the manufacturers.

2.5.2 Basic Powerplant

The basic powerplant is the YT-64-6 turboshaft engine modified to a gas generator for application to the XV-9A by the removal of the second or power turbine. This modified gas generator includes a 14-stage axial flow compressor, a through-flow annular combustion chamber, a two-stage axial flow turbine, a fixed area exhaust cone, and an integral control system. The gas generator control system, in turn, consists of a fuel pump and filter, a hydromechanical fuel control assembly, and a pair of compressor stator vane actuators. The first seven stages of compression include variable stator guide vanes. Angular position of these vanes is automatically controlled by the fuel control.

The quick engine change (QEC) or gas generator assembly includes the basic powerplant, the gas generator air inlet, the gas generator lubricating system, the gas generator starting system, the gas generator mounted accessories, the fuel inlet, the power control attachments, the gas generator mounts, the fire extinguishing manifold, the fire detection cabling, the gas generator flight instrumentation, the gas generator exit adaptor, and the required gas generator vents and drains. These items are discussed in the applicable paragraphs.

All gas generator mounted items such as accessories, ignition generator, ignitor, filters, and so on, can be inspected, cleaned, adjusted, removed, and/or replaced without the use of special tools or the removal of the engine or prime structure.

2.5.2.1 Accessories

The helicopter accessories mounted on and driven by each gas generator included a 6-gpm, 3,000-psi hydraulic pump, a 24-vdc, 150-amp electric generator, a tachometer generator and a governor drive hydraulic motor.

2.5.2.2 Starting System

The YT-64 gas generator features an integral air impingement starter (AIS), by way of a manifold on the turbine casing, passing compressed air from an external source to turn the gas generator turbine second stage up to starting speed. The AIS horn diameter is 1.75 inch; provision for attachment of a V-band coupling connector is included.

Each gas generator will be motored by a Governmentfurnished USAF Type MA-1 mobile air compressor (air at 45 psig and 360 degrees F approximately) for individual starting. Crossbleed starting has not been considered necessary. The nose connection from the MA-1 cart is made to each gas generator through an access door in the lower aft nacelle cowl panel by the mating of a quick-attach-detach coupling (attached to the hose) to a standard MS33740 nipple (attached to the gas generator).

Since the MA-1 supply line is 3.50 inches in diameter, a transition duct is mounted on the gas generator to reduce the diameter to the 1.75-inch diameter noted above. The duct and duct supports are fabricated of Type 347 corrosion-resistant steel, and are mounted to the forward and aft turbine flanges (see Figure 12.) Between the starter nipple and the transition duct, the system incorporates a flapper check valve. The purpose of this valve is to prevent backflow of the exhaust gas through the manifold. The flapper check valve assembly includes the MS33740 nipple upstream of the valve. It attaches to the transition duct by a V-band coupling.

2.5.2.3 Gas Generator Air Inlet

- -

The gas generator inlet assembly is made of 6061-0 aluminum alloy formed and welded into a homogeneous unit. The complete assembly, which mounts on the gas generator compressor inlet, serves as the gas generator air inlet duct, the nacelle nose fairing, and the gas generator oil tank (see Figure 13).

The assembly consists of three formed pieces: a 360degree inner skin forming the inlet duct and duct inlet lip, a 360-degree outer skin forming the nose fairing, and a closing frame for assembly rigidity. The inner skin and outer skin were fusion welded at the leading edge, ground, buffed, and reformed to maintain smooth aerodynamic contours. Nose ribs were used to maintain the shape. The closing frame and the nose ribs were spotwelded to the subassembly to complete the unit.

The closing frames and two solid nose ribs define the oil tank. Seam and fusion welding were used in this area. The tank cavity is designed to withstand a pressure differential of three pounds per square inch.

The gas generator inlet duct profile is sized to provide efficient inlet recovery for both hovering and forward flight operations. The outer nose fairing is contoured for low drag at the higher forward speeds.

Figure 12. Gas Generator Installation



あいたいない いっとう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう

1 -

「「「「「「「「」」」」

and the second second

The state of the state of the

· · ·

4

.



Figure 13. Cas Generator Inlet

The assembly is mounted to the gas generator inlet flange by a V-band coupling, and to one of the gas generator mount pads by a support bracket. Air loads in the axial direction are transmitted to and carried by the gas generator inlet flange. The support bracket serves only as a centering device for locating the inlet assembly and as a steadying link to resist inlet assembly rotation in the event of inadvertent loosening of the V-band attach coupling.

1

2.5.2.4 Lubrication System

The complete lubrication system consists of: (a) the internal or power unit system, which includes a supply system, a scavenge system, and a sump vent system; and (b) the external or airplane system, which includes the external supply and cooling systems.

The power unit system is shown schematically in Figure 10-1 of Reference 7, and the aircraft system is defined by Figure 14 herein.

The function of the aircraft system is to provide the power unit with an adequate supply of oil to lubricate and cool the gas generator components to meet the following installation requirements:

Gas generator oil	MIL-L-7808D
Pump inlet	
Minimum supply pressure	5 psia
Maximum aeration by volume	10 percent
Maximum supply temperature	225 degrees F
Optimum supply temperature	175 to 190 degrees F
Minimum flow	5.7 gpm (at 225 degrees F and 5 psia)
Pump scavenge	
Maximum discharge pressure	30 psig
Maximum aeration by volume	78 percent
Maximum temperature	360 degrees F
Maximum flow	25.5 gpm (at 360 degrees F

and 30 psig)

Sump vent	
Maximum pressure at vent connections	5 psig at 100 percent gas generator rpm
Maximum inflow (air or air/oil vapor)	1. 25 cfm
Maximum outflow (air or air/oil vapor)	1. 00 cfm
Gas generator operating oil pressure	35 to 55 psig - military power
	15 to 25 psig - ground idle power
Oil consumption (maximum average)	l.l pph

. .

and the states

The oil reservoir is an integral part of the gas generator air inlet assembly located on the left-hand side of the assembly. The reservoir has a total volume of five gallons (1, 155 cubic inches), a total oil capacity of 3.6 gallons, and an expansion space equivalent to 40 percent of the total oil capacity, as detailed below:

	Pounds	Gallons	Cubic Inches
Usable oil (l. l pph for 4 hours)	4.4	0.59	137
Nonusable oil (sump)	3.6	0.48	111
Transient residual oil	4.0	0.53	123
Dwell time oil	15.0	2.00	462
Subtotal	27.0	3.60	833
Expansion (40 percent oil capacity)	10.8	1.40	322
Total	37.8	5.00	1, 155

To provide the required air-file oil to the engine, a dwell time of 20 seconds was established. At the rate of flow of approximately 6 gpm, a dwell time of 20 seconds necessitated an additional required oil capacity of 2.00 gallons.







- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
C Live Description Bear C Live Description Bear C Description Descri
Image: Designation Test Designation Test Designation On Unit Transition 1780 O On Unit Transition 1780 O On On: Correst to Transition 1780 O On On: Correst to Transition 1780 O On On: Correst to Transition 1780 O Maximum Property Transition 1780 O Maximum Property To Transition 1750 O Transition 1750 O Transition 1750 O Transition 1750 O Transition 17500 O Transition 1750 O Transition 17505
Image: Description Image: Description Image: Description Description Image: D
Image: Second Secon
Image: Description Image: Description Image: Descri
(1) O (1/4) - Tener To Engine T .750 (2) On Our - Engine To Coase L .425 (3) O OL OUT - Coase To Tener .425 (4) O A & Super Jer Plune .425 (5) O A & Super Jer Plune .425 (5) A & Super Jer Plune .425 (5) Vent - Engine To Tener .375 (5) Tenir DEZAIN - HEADER DEANS .250 (6) System Tessooe .250 (7) Tenir DEZAIN - HEADER DEANS .250 (7) Tenir DEZAIN - HEADER DEANS .250 (7) Tenir DEZAIN - HEADER DEANS .250 (8) System Tessooe .250 (9) Veloce Plu Veloce Plu (10) Tenue .366 - 7505 (11) On Tenue .366 - 7505
(a) Ch, Chr. Euglide to Cooler (-625) (b) Ch. Our. Cooler (-625) (c) Ale Super SP Unp (-625) (c) Ale Super Tanke To Tanke (-625) (c) Ale Super Tanke To Tanke (-375) (c) VENT - Euglide To Tanke (-365-750) (c) VENT - Ch. (-375) (c) VENT - Ch. (c) VENT - Ch. (-375) (c)
(a) CONCOURSE & BELL - 125 (c) A & SUM: - JET PUID - 125 (c) VENT - LANGUE TO TAME 375 (c) VENT - ELAQUE TO TAME 250 (c) ST STEN HESSLORE - 250 (c) TAME HALET ON (c) TAME TO DESCRIPTION HTC PIU VENDOR PIU VENDOR (c) TAME TO DESCRIPTION HTC PIU VENDOR PIU VENDOR (c) LINE DESKANTION (c) LINE DESKANTION (c) LINE DESKANTION (c) SEARCH JUNE - 20 COBE CRIMAN LINE (c) CONCERSA (c) CONCERSA
Image: Server Construction Image: Server Construction Image: Server Construction Image: Server C
Image DE Strutte To Trance .375 ① Trance DEZAIN ···HEADER DERMI · 250 ③ Strutte DEZAIN ···HEADER DERMI · 250 ④ Strutte DEZAIN ···HEADER DERMI · 250 ④ Strutte DEZAIN ···HEADER DERMI ···C ● LEGEND ● Image DEXAND ···L ■ Strutter O:L ● Line DESEQUATION △ Du Taue Tures ● Line DESEQUATION △ Du Concet ··· △ Ou Concet ···
Imue DEPAIN -HEADER DEMAL 250
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
LEGEND LIGHTON LING TONE LING
ITEM TIME ITEM TIMEOL DEXAMPTION HTC PILI VENDOR ITEM TIMEOL DEXAMPTION HTC PILI VENDOR DEXAMPTION VELIT On Tame 365-7503
ZZZZZ ELIGINE INLET CIL ZZZZZZ SCAVENIGE BERJEN OLL Ment
ZELITIE CAVENCE DELEN DU MELIT A PRESSURE OIL A O LILLE DESIGNATIONS A Part DESIGNATIONS A Concess Concess 62165 A Haseison Broarse A On Conces Concourse 62165 A Haseison Broarse A On Conces
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
O LINE DESIGNATION A PART DESIGNATION A PART DESIGNATION A POL CONSE A OL CONSE
A CHECK VALUE 2C GOBG Canada luc. A CHECK VALUE 2C GOBG Canada luc. A O'L Concel 62/65 A Hassison Brownee A O'L Concel 62/65 A Hassison Brownee A O'L Concel 00-7507
ART DESIGNATION A On Connec 62165 A Hadenson Browner A On Connec 385-7507
A O'L CONERL - AIR INVERT 385-7507
A Concern 386-7508
A 1
(2) JET 100 0107 - 700
A
A P PEEL TRANSMITTER MS 28005-3
M (T) TEMP. Bus
A
365-7502
Kalana Wro: - INFORMATION ONLY SIKE
17. 2 Jug
REQD PART NO. REQD PART NO. NAME SIZE DESCRIPTION SPECIFICATION
ASSEMBLY OPP. ASSEMRLY SHOWN LIST OF MATERIAL
MALE ON THE PERSON OF DEVICE D
I HUE SEMA ST APPE
NEXT ASSY USED ON MET AND POWLAR DATE OF ADD OF APP'D APP'D ENGINE WER YSTEM 385-7502
APPLICATION GTY REQD LO MATCH THE APPTD APT DIS SCALE CODE 0273

B

DEVIENANE

ion System

⊐മ

LUE DI TALK - ELIGI - COOL TALK - ELIGI TALK - LUGIK ELIGIK - Thes:

Married Street Stre

ART NC 75 0 0N N

INFO

The oil filler is located at the 3.6-gallon full line, and features a positive locking cap and dipstick assembly (see Figure 13). The dipstick is graduated to indicate quantity of oil to be added to bring the reservoir to the full line. A 10-mesh wire screen is provided in the filler neck.

All external lines are fire-resistant, teflon-lined flexible tubing, sized as shown in Figure 14. The oil flows, by gravity, from the reservoir directly to the engine. Return oil is directed back to the reservoir by engine scavenge pump pressure. Deaeration of this oil is ensured by directing the return flow tangentially against the tank forward wall. The shape of the tank itself and the amount of space above the solid oil level is such as to ensure successful deaeration of the return oil. The return flow is at a low velocity, so that deaeration is accomplished without splashing or further aeration.

Cooling of the oil is accomplished by means of a 68-squareinch air-to-oil heat exchanger located in the return side of the system. Airflow through the heat exchanger is induced by means of an ejector. Compressed air bled from the fourteenth stage of the gas generator compressor is used as the source of primary flow. One oil cooler with the associated equipment is provided for each gas generator. The estimated heat rejection to the lubricating oil for the YT-64 gas generator is:

	BTU per <u>Hour</u>	Oil Flow gph
Maximum power	89,000	354
75 percent power	83,000	350
60 percent power	77,500	342
Flight idle	24,000	300
Ground idle	10,000	280

The oil cooler design conditions are:

Maximum air temperature	103 ⁰ F
Air flow at 2 inches H_2O	65 pounds/minute
Design temperature	
OIL IN	225 ⁰ F (maximum)
OIL OUT	360°F (maximum)

For oil cooler heat rejection data see page 10 of Reference 8. For air ejection data see Figure 15 3 In Dia Mixing Tube 0.08 Sq In. Primary Sonic Nozzle $\Delta P = 12$ In. H_2O

1



Figure 15. Air Ejector Performance

4

.

à

l

Figure 16 defines the gas generator bleed air ejector system geometry. Installation of components has been shown in Figure 12.

A check value is located immediately upstream of the heat exchanger to preclude backflow to the gas genera' and prevent engine sump flooding during and after shutdown.

The gas generator front frame sump vent and midframe sump vent are manifolded and vented to the reservoir. The reservoir, in turn, is vented to atmosphere.

2.5.3 Mounting System

The load limitations of the YT-64 gas generator exhaust casing necessitated independent mounting of the diverter valve. Consequently, the mounting system consists of three independently mounted component systems (see Figure 17):

- a. A gas generator support system
- b. A diverter valve support system
- c. A tailpipe support system

2.5.3.1 Gas Generator Mounting

The gas generator mount supports form a statically determinate system with three points of support. The forward supports located on the gas generator front frame are spherical-type bearings. The inboard mount on each gas generator is retained in a two-piece hinged socket rigidly attached to the gas generator mount structure. This support point reacts vertical, side, and thrust loads. The outboard mount is also retained by a two-piece hinged socket. However, this socket is attached to the truss by a ball rod end stabilizer link, and can resist vertical load only. The aft mount is a spherical universal linkage attached to the mounting points at the gas generator compressor rear frame. This support reacts vertical and side loads only, with no fore and aft restraint, so as to permit gas generator linear expansion. This fitting is part of the gas generator buildup and is attached to the structure at the time of gas generator installation.

The forward mounts are located in a relatively cool area and are fabricated from Type 410 corrosion-resistant steel heat treated



ANT -



. . . .

A share and

ないないというというとないとない

二十二十二十 読んで

and a state

.



49

.____

to 180,000 to 200,000 psi. The aft mounts, located in a higher temperature region, are fabricated from Type 17-4 PH steel heat treated to 190,000 to 215,000 psi. The uniball bearings are 52100 steel, heat treated to 300,000 psi.

2.5.3.2 Diverter Valve Mounting

. . .

The configuration demands flexibility of the three components, to prevent transmission of loads as well as to permit relative motion and allow for axial thermal expansion. Comparison of several proposed mounting systems, their attachment to the structure, and the degree of freedom allowed indicated that a yoke-type support for the diverter valve was superior in action and simplicity.

The hot gas transition duct is sized and arranged so that the resultant of the diverter valve forces acts through the center supports of the yoke when engine gas is diverted to rotor position. When in straight-through or overboard flow, the induced loads are small and result only from the pressure loss through the valve and small changes in momentum. For the one-engine-out condition, the component of load out of the yoke plane is reacted by the two auxiliary support struts.

The weight of the diverter value is supported by the gas generator exhaust adaptor at the forward end, and by a cable sling at the aft end. Up-loads are resisted by a link at the aft end.

The materials used in the support of the diverter valve are high-temperature, corrosion-resistant steel.

2.5.3.3 Tailpipe Mounting

The tailpipe assembly is supported from the structure at two points. The forward support consists of two struts and a gimbal ring assembly that carries the thrust loads into the power module rear spar. This arrangement is designed so that a portion of the tailpipe assembly weight is supported by the diverter valve, but prevents any diverter valve loads from being transmitted into the relatively thin walls of the tailpipe assembly.

The rear support consists of three struts to react side and vertical loading but not fore and aft loads, thereby permitting thermal expansion. Like the diverter valve, the materials used for the tailpipe assembly support are high-temperature, corrosion-resistant steel.

2.5.4 Component Removal

Each component of the propulsion system car e installed and/or removed easily without effect on the other component systems.

Points are provided on the gas generator, two at the forward mounts and one at the exhaust front frame, to attach the removal sling. Installation and removal of the gas generator are made vertically by means of a cable attached to the sling. The sling is removed when the gas generator is secure in the mounts or on the transportation dolly.

The diverter value is installed and removed through the large access door incorporated in the lower portion of the nacelle between the power module front and rear spars. The yoke and linkage supports are permanently installed in the nacelle and are rigged for true gas generator and tailpipe alignment. Installation and/or removal of the diverter value is made with the aid of an hydraulic lift and two cradle adaptors.

The tailpipe assembly is installed and removed through the large access door provided in the lower portion of the nacelle tail cone fairing. Installation and removal can be made without use of special fixtures. Supports are the two forward gimbal links and the three aft links, as well as the seal interconnect.

2.5.5 Interconnect Seals

The seal interconnect between the components is a metalto-metal seal. The seal consists of an inner ring or adaptor attached to the component and an outer seal assembly that slides over the adaptor and is attached to the mating component after both components are secure. The seals consist of three layers of 0.010 René 41 hightemperature steel ground and cut to fit the inner ring circumference. The seal is angled to promote additional sealing from gas generator exhaust gas pressures. This type of seal will allow free axial and limited angular movement between the components while effecting a relatively gas-tight connection. Tests to date have demonstrated leakage to be negligible with this configuration. Friction loads are minimized by tungsten carbide surfaces on the inner ring and by molydisulphide treatment of the ground sealing edges of the Rene 41.

Flight vertical and side loads are reacted by four studs, 90 degrees apart, located on the seal assembly. The seal configuration is shown in Figure 18.

2.5.6 Hot Gas System

The purpose of the hot gas system is to transfer the gas generator exhaust gases from each gas generator to the rotor blade-tip cascades in order to provide the driving force for the Hot Cycle pressure jet rotor. The system, exclusive of the gas generator and jet reaction yaw control system, consists of:

- a. Diverter valves and controls
- b. Transition ducts
- c. Tailpipe assembly
- d. Fixed Y-duct
- e. Rotating triduct
- f. Rotor system ducting, including blade-tip closure valves and controls

2.5.6.1 Component Description

The diverter values are J-85 values modified to meet Hughes Tool Company specifications. The gas generator diverter value seal has been shown in Figure 18. This seal provides a smooth transition area from the gas generator to the diverter value, as well as allowing for thermal expansion and unit misalignment. Flow through the diverter values may be overboard for engine starting and operation or diverted for rotor operation. The values are operated by hydraulic actuators and the hydraulic supply system described in Section 2.7.1.2.

The transition ducts are located in the lateral pylons. These ducts are fabricated from Inconel 718 corrosion-resistant steel, and contain bellows to compensate for thermal expansion or installation misalignment. The transition ducts are insulated to maintain acceptable structural temperatures.



The attachment of the transition duct to the diverter valve is by V-band coupling. The flanges are of special design to ensure minimal leakage. Configuration is shown in Figure 19. This method of attachment is standard for the vehicle.

The tailpipe assembly, fixed Y-duct, and rotating triduct, as well as various details of the rotor ducting system, have been defined in other sections of this report.

2.5.6.2 Hot Gas System Controls

The hot gas system values are all two-position devices that can be controlled by switches on the pilot's control console, or, at the pilot's option, can be controlled semiautomatically by the crossflow warning system (paragraph 2. 5. 6. 3). To prevent inadvertent reduction in engine exit area, the blade-tip closure values are interlocked with the diverter value limit switches. Thus, the blade-tip closure values cannot be closed unless either or both of the diverter values are in the "gas overboard" position.

2.5.6.3 Crossflow Warning System Description

The Y-duct crossflow warning system is designed to sense an unbalance in the output of the gas generators, to visually display this unbalance, to give the pilot a visual and an aural warning of excessive unbalance, and to set up control circuits to aid the pilot in diverting the flow of a defective gas generator. These operations are accomplished as follows. An aerodynamically unbalanced vane installed in the intersection of the two gas streams (Figures 20 and 21) senses the relation between the output of the gas generators and drives a dual tandem potentiometer. The output of the potentiometer is detected by the warn-divert circuit, which drives the crossflow indicator and triggers the visual and aural warning signals (Figure 22). If the gas generator mismatch reaches an arbitrarily designated value, the warndivert circuit triggers a blinking amber light and warbling tone in the crew headsets. 'The illuminated lights and the position of the crossflow indicator designate the gas generator with the low output. If the mismatch approaches the potentially dangerous level, the warn-divert circuit triggers a blinking red light and modifies the warbling tone in the headsets. Simultaneously, the divert circuit arms the collectivestick-mounted divert switch. If the pilot accepts the mismatch warnings, he simply pushes the divert switch, and the malfunctioning



.

うっきのからない しっかいとうかいかいいいいなるないないので

4



i

engine is isolated by diverting its flow overboard. The blade-tip closure valves are then automatically closed, to maintain proper engine exit area. The pilot can utilize this semiautomatic divert system only if his manual control switches are initially set to automatic position and only if the warn-divert system indicates excessive mismatch. The valves may be returned to their normal flight position by use of the manual control switches or by opening and reclosing the system circuit breakers.

2.5.6.4 Warn-Divert System Components

-

Ĩ

The following listed components comprise the major units of the system:

- a. Y-duct vane. A vane located in the Y-duct juncture from the two gas generators. It is positioned to center, and is deflected away from center if the exhaust gas flow from the two gas generators is not balanced. The angle of deflection is a measure of the magnitude of the unbalance.
- b. Transducer. A dual-tandem potentiometer. It is coupled to the Y-duct vane by sprockets and a chain. The output of the transducer provides a signal of the Y-duct vane position.
- c. Indicator, Y-duct vane position. A 270-degree dial, 2-inch indicator using a standard electrical meter movement. It is coupled to the warn-divert unit to indicate the position of the Y-duct vane.
- d. Warn-divert unit. A completely solid-state signal conditioning unit. This unit accepts the signal from the position transducer and provides the following outputs:
 - (1) Analog position signal to operate the position indicator.
 - (2) Right or left amber light flashing simultaneously with a modulated tone in the radio headsets, if the vane moves + 5 degrees from center.



Figure 20. Y-Duct Crossflow Indication System







Figure 21. Crossflow Transducer Assembly



bly

ž.



Figure 22. Block Diagram, Crossflow Warning System

61

The second


- (3) Right-or-left red light flashing simultaneously with a drastic change in the tone in the radio headsets if the vane moves ± 10 degrees from center; in addition, provides a grounding circuit for the external control circuitry of the malfunctioning engine's diverter valve and for the blade-tip closure valves.
- e. Signal balancing potentiometer. A potentiometer that provides capability for zero setting of the indicator and for pilot adjustment of the switch points for the warning light and the audible warning signal.
- f. Pilot's activate switch. A momentary pushbutton switch on the collective stick. When a ground circuit has been set up by the warn-divert unit as described above, pushing this switch operates the solenoid of the diverter valve of the malfunctioning engine for overboard operation and the blade-tip solenoid valve for reduction of blade duct area.

2.5.7 Yaw Control System

In hovering and in low-speed forward flight, the directional control of the vehicle depends on the operation of the jet reaction yaw control system. This yaw control system utilizes the rotor system gases, and produces the required yaw force by discharging these hot gases through variable area nozzles located diametrically opposite each other at fuselage Station 598.85. The system has been shown in Figure 11, and consists basically of a supply system, a directional valve, and a control system.

2.5.7.1 Supply System

The supply system embraces the ducting required to carry the hot gases from the rotor Y-duct to the directional control valve. The ducts (5.00-inch and 7.00-inch diameter thin wall Type 347 corrosion-resistant steel tubing) are interconnected by stainless steel bellows, which compensate for duct thermal expansion. Loads induced into the bellows by thermal expansion are kept small by selected duct lengths and methods of support. The ducts and bellows are insulated to maintain safe operational temperature levels in the surrounding structure. The system employs the metal-to-metal V-band coupling configuration (Figure 19) with formed flanges on the ducts and the machined flanges on the bellows.

2.5.7.2 Directional Control Valve

The directional control valve as embly is shown in Figure 23. It consists essentially of a 9.56-inch-diameter rotor (cylindrical closure) contained in a 10-inch cylindrical housing (plenum chamber) that includes two ducted diametrically opposed outlets of 23.5 square inches each. The rotor, which is supported in the housing by sleeved carbon bushings, has corresponding cutouts, located so that rotation of \pm 58 degrees from the neutral position will select the outlet and vary the flow from full closed to full open. The leakage from the plenum is controlled by carbon seals at each outlet. The seals are lapped in place for full coincidence with the rotor surfaces, and are designed to produce the maximum amount of sealing by taking advantage of the valve operating pressures. Rotor operation loads are minimized by the low coefficient of friction of the carbon used.

The valve body, the rotor, the valve end plate, and the side outlets are fabricated from Type 347 corrosion-resistant steel, welded and machined into homogeneous units. Springs used in the assembly are fabricated from Inconel X.

The value assembly is insulated to ensure safe operational temperature levels in the adjacent structure.

2.5.7.3 Yaw Control Valve Design Criteria

Maximum yaw thrust required at the design point with the fully open valve	300 pounds
Maximum nozzle exit area	23.5 square inches
Gas power as required in hover- ing at gross weight	15, 300 pounds

The thrust available for the adequate directional control of the XV-9A varies with flight conditions. Once the valve opening is fixed, yaw thrust becomes a function of gas power that is utilized at that moment in the rotor system. Whenever the rotor power increases,



Figure 23. Yaw Control Valve Configuration





C

•

yaw control becomes more effective. Therefore, after exceeding a certain engine power level, only a partial opening of the yaw control valve is necessary to satisfy the specified yaw control requirements. This engine power level is approximately 60 percent of maximum power.

There is also an interdependence between the engine output and the operation of the yaw control system. Opening of the valve increases the total exit area used by the engines, resulting in a pressure drop in the system and a reduction of mass flow to the rotor. The subsequent decrease in power must be compensated by the adjustment of power lever angle (PLA).

2.5.7.4 Control System

The yaw control value is controlled through a cable and lever system by the pilot's yaw control pedals. It is also connected to the rudders by a cable and push-rod system so that the value and rudders move together. For a more complete description, refer to Section 2. 6. 2.

2.5.8 Compartment Cooling

The front part of the gas generator bay is comparatively cool. Temperatures are rising toward the rear end of the gas generator, where the bay is subjected to intensive radiation and convection from the gas generator hot sections; namely, the combustor, the turbine, and the exhaust casings. In this area, fuel and hydraulic lines, electrical runs, and control cabling are routed into closed insulated compartmented areas. Ventilation is provided in these areas by holes at each end of the compartments.

Cooling air is introduced to the nacelle through a 1.00-inch annular opening at the leading edge of the accessory cowling and then induced to flow through by the action of the ejector described in Section 2.5.2.4. Additional cooling is provided by cutouts and louvers in the accessory cowl panels.

YT-64 gas generator heat rejection data are delineated on Figure 6-5 of Reference 7, and the estimated temperature profile for the nacelle skin and adjacent structure is shown in Figure 24 herein.



•



.

The diverter value is covered with an insulation blanket. This insulation, the airflow induced by the oil cooling ejector, and the use of high-temperature steel in the nacelle construction make air openings in this area unnecessary. The tailpipe section is designed to operate at elevated temperature.

The transition duct in the pylon is wrapped with insulating material combined with radiation shielding. The heat flow inside the pylon is reduced to a degree where natural convection is sufficient to maintain acceptable structural temperatures. Tests, to date, have demonstrated temperatures of the pylon skins to be within the allowable limits for safe operation. Ventilation holes are provided at the top and bottom skins of the pylon to permit circulation. The pylon is isolated from the nacelle by a firewall baffle that seals around the transition duct insulation.

2.5.9 Cowling

Four accessory cowl panels are utilized to cover each YT-64 gas generator. In addition to providing weather protection for the gas generators, the cowling serves as a means for introducing and directing the cooling airflow through the single-zone gas generator bay.

2.5.10 Fuel System

The airplane fuel system consists of two individual systems, one for each gas generator, with crossfeed feature. Under normal conditions, each gas generator operates with its own system; however, by pilot operation of the system valving, fuel can be made available to both gas generators from either fuel cell or to either gas generator from both fuel cells.

2.5.10.1 Description

Each system consists of a 250-gallon bladder-type rubberized fuel cell, boost pump, shutoff valves, strainers, vents, and drains. The cells are of urethane synthetic and comply with Hughes Tool Company dimensional requirements. The left-hand gas generator system cell is located between fuselage canted Station 200.00 and fuselage Station 256.37. The right-hand gas generator system cell is located between fuselage Stations 377.75 and 430.22. Cell support is conventional, by nylon chord and by bolted connections through the upper access door and sump. Each cell is vented to atmosphere by two 0.625-inch-diameter aluminum alloy lines. The vent outlets are safely located in areas isolated from gas generator exhaust or hot surfaces. The fillers for each cell are located on the left-hand side of the fuselage.

Fuel is supplied to the gas generator through 0.750-inchdiameter aluminum alloy lines in the fuselage and through a 0.750-inch fire resistant flexible line in each gas generator section. The supply to the engine is maintained at continuous pressure by the boost pumps located in each cell. Operation of boost pumps, as well as system shutoff valves, is by 28-volt dc. Each system employs two shutoff valves, one at the tank and one at the firewall. The firewall shutoff valve is also coordinated to close when the fire extinguishing switch is energized.

The system may be drained by gravity at the fuel strainers, or may be disconnected at the engine and pumped out by the boost pumps. The fuel system schematic is shown in Figure 25.

2.5.10.2 Fuel-Hydraulic Heat Exchanger

Gas generator fuel is used to remove the heat generated in the hydraulic system. Two hydraulic fluid-to-fuel heat exchangers are installed to provide independent cooling for primary and utility hydraulic systems. The hydraulic cooling requirements are discussed in Section 2.7.1.

2.6 CONTROL SYSTEMS

2. 6. 1 Rotor Pitch Control

The rotor pitch control system (see Figures 26 and 27) may be divided into three distinct installations:

a. Pilot linkage

b. Stationary power linkage

c. Rotating linkage



Figure 25. Fuel System Schematic

.

.



4 7.

AL GRAND & RELEASING

ne Ster Anja Sida



- A Poer DESCUPTION -						
No	Smen	Desception	MTC MU	VELODE PILL	VELODE	
		FUEL CELL-KO	105- 7406	25 -6 9637	THE PLANE	
Z		Fuer Causter	- 107 (mil. (mil)	251-6-52636	- The Street	
3	<u> </u>	Rune-Boosnes		TF 5540C	THOMPSON PERO.	
4		(This WITTHE)				
5		Lover hardnos		620-999-030		
4		FILLERCAP		R:71-100	Swee ELECTED	
7		Ten Danu	~	ME 2003-00		
e	B	Sum Den		-		
э	8	Gerne Dama		MS 20920-4		
9	8	VALVE-TANK		AV248-178	General Converse	
	(VALUE CHELLE		3-98-00	Duras Asistic	
Z		Vaul.		28.2446	Canana luc	
3	8	Carry French		AV 34 5 1+1 8	General Carment	
-4	D	FLYER		CIC 34	Pussenes buc	
5	0	Course-Ou/Fis		IEDSS	LAUSTROL INC.	
-6	: 8	VALVE FREMAL		AV2481178	Genera Carrens	
'7	1	Som - Luce	986-908-101			
8	1	SIPPLY LIVE	84-108 ct			
19	I	Fue Prese	803-1208			

- 1-797

I a sture to u. b. a. b.

385-7220 B

Parene lines . In manun Oner State 2 1 43

Contrast of the local division of the local	and the second sec	
Sea	Strement Diagenmy -	MARIES THE COMPANY
	MODEL 385	à.
	DEL DARAEM	303-7220
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A December 1	LAND I TATION OF

B

.



Figure 26. Flight Control System (Sheet 1 of 2)







C



Figure 27. Flight Control System (Sheet 2 of 2)

A SAME





C

ľ,

13

ł	MEMATICS STUDY SEE 365-6103 AND 365-6104
H THE	OL RODS AND TUBES ARE TO BE INSTALLED WITH THE WING HARDWARE

17 75	505 6105 - 1 245 6105 - 3 .	•••••••••••		NAS464P4 - 17 HS626-4-275	BOLT	6 PLACES
17 75	105-5 11	UPPER	END	NA3464P4 - 17 HS625-4-275	BOLT BUSH	
5 5	80 81	LOWER	END	NAS464P4 - 15	BUSH	
17 75	10 - 6105 - 7 5 0	UPPER	END LAT &	NAS464P4 - 17	BOLT BUSH	
• 17 17	BC SU	LOWER	END LAT	NA5464P4 - 17	BOLT BUSH	
• 18 17	80 80	LOWER	END LONG	. HAS464P4- 16 HS626-4-217	BUSH	
- 16 55	90-7357 8V	UPPER	END	NAS464P4 - 16	BOLT BUSH	
- 11 17		LOWER	END	NAS464P4 - 11 H3626-4-217	BOLT] BUSH]	
: 15 5	Na= 7005-3	FWO	ENO	NA 5464P4 - 15 H3626-4-165	BUSH	
· 16 7	80. 199	AFT	END	. NAS464P4- 16 HS626-4- 217	BUSH]	
: 19 - 310	ID = 7005 - 5 IV	FWD	END	. NAS464P4 - 19 HS626-4-4-310	BUSH	
14 5	80 80	AFT	ENO	NA5464P4-14 H5626-4-165	BUSH	
j- L	NUMBON TO A	LL		AN320-4 AN960PD416-L AN361-2-12	NUT WASH - 2 PIN	REQ
	1					

TC SPER ACTUATORS PURCHASED IN ACCORDANCE WITH HTC SPECIFICATION

CES PER 385-6710. REPAIR PAINT ON DAMAGED SURFACES PER 385-6710

MITROLS PER ENGINEERING INSTRUCTIONS

ł

1.

14.5.1

i,

		T			
		+!-	H9616-4-335	BUSHING	
			H5626-4-510	BUSHING	
			HS626-4-270		
		+•	H5626-4-217		
		13	10000-4-100	5.51 mil	
		+	NAS 46484-18		
			NASAGARA-IS		
		10	NASABADA 17	BOLT	l
		1.	NASAGADA-IS		
		1.	NASARABA-IS		
		+-	NA SAGADA - 14	BOLT	
		t-	NASARADA-II	80.7	
1		+	10.5.10.11.4-11		
		1-	ANDEORDANG	WA SHE'R	
		1.	AN 381-2-19		
		10	AN320-4	NUT	
		2	385- 6108	CYCLIC TRIM INSTALL ATION	
		+÷	385- 606	DRAG LINK INSTALLATION	
ខា		12	365- 6105-7	TUBE CYCLIC	
อิไ		T	305- 6405-5	TUBE COLLECTIVE	
2		2	305- 6106-3	TUBE LATERAL CONTROL	
		T	305- 6105-1	TUBE LONGITUDIAL CONTROL	
_		T	385- 6180	FLIGHT CONTROL INSTALLATION DUAL	
		T	305 - 6170	RUDDER CONTROL INSTALLATION AFT LINKAGE	
		1.	305- 160	YAW CONTROL INSTALLATION	
		TT	365 - 6150	RUDDER PEDAL INSTALLATION 385-	6100
		TT	365- 6140	CYCLIC PITCH CONTROL INSTALLATION	
		1	385 - 6130	CONTROLS INSTALLATION	
-		1	305- 6120	MIXER INSTALLATION	
Ξ		TT	385-6110	ROTOR CONTROL POWER LINKAGE INSTALLATION	
_					
		11	369 - 7357	ROD COLLECTIVE	
		TT	399- 7005-5	ROD LONGITUDINAL	
		1	369 - 7005 - 3	NOD LATERAL	
		11	285-0300	UPPER CONTROLS INSTAILLATION	
	AND PART OF	-	AND GROUV BUILDING		
				THE FLANT CONTROLS NO. MAN	
				AND AND INSTALLATION	
			A DESCRIPTION	HOT GYOLE KELJOOPTEN	
		V DER	A DUNE TO	301-0100	

militan see a

2. 6. 1. 1 Pilot Linkage

The pilot linkage consists of the cyclic and collective pitch levers, their associated mounting structures, bellcranks, and push rods, the mixer and its support structure, the artificial feel system, and the linkage attached to the power control actuator servo valve spools.

The pilot system in the cockpit is derived from the OH-6A helicopter, and is modified only as required to satisfy different movement and load specifications. The collective lever has been modified to provide the throttle-pitch coordination required by the propulsion control system.

A dual control installation has been incorporated into the cockpit system. The copilot stick installations do not incorporate the friction stops, cyclic trim switches, or complete radio intercom controls.

Stops are attached to the cyclic and collective levers to limit the control lever motion. The stops incorporate friction pads so the pilot can manually adjust stick friction. This is required because the servo spool dynamic forces and friction forces are greater than pilot linkage friction. In addition, the sticks are not weight balanced.

The rotor control mixer, designed specifically for the XV-9A, is used to: Mix collective and cyclic signals, match the otherwise incompatible motions of the OH-6A cockpit linkage and the power linkage, act as direction changing bellcranks at the junction of the cockpit and fuselage, and provide attachment for the artificial feel system.

The mixer consists of a double set of four-bar linkages pivoted on a frame that is acted upon by the collective signal. The configuration is shown in Figure 28. The ratio of mixer input to output motion through each cyclic path may be adjusted in order to obtain the following sets of control lever travel and rotor pitch motions.



Figure 28. Rotor Control Mixer

	Pilot Lever (Inches)	Blade Pitch (Degrees)	
Longitudinal cyclic	$ \left\{\begin{array}{c} \frac{+}{4}, 5 \\ \frac{+}{5}, 5 \\ \frac{+}{6}, 5 \end{array}\right\} $	<u>+</u> 10	
Lateral cyclic	$ \left\{\begin{array}{c} \frac{+}{4} \cdot 0 \\ \frac{+}{5} \cdot 0 \\ \frac{+}{4} \cdot 6 \cdot 0 \end{array}\right\} $	<u>+</u> 7	
Collective	7.5	0 to 12	

The artificial feel system, mounted on the aft face of the cockpit bulkhead, applies forces to the two cyclic input levers on the mixer. The force derives from two opposed springs whose fixed end can be adjusted by an electric actuator. The pilot can adjust the stick trim position by use of a cyclic stick mounted switch.

The remainder of the pilot linkage is mounted on the power module front spar, and is used to increase the mixer output motion and adapt to the position and direction of travel of the power control actuator servo valves.

2. 6. 1. 2 Stationary Power Linkage

The stationary power linkage consists of the hydraulic power control actuators and servo valves, the actuator attaching linkage and support structure, the stationary swashplate, and the swashplate drag link.

The forward (longitudinal) actuator, with a stroke of 6.50 inches, is attached to the forward edge of the stationary swashplate through a toggle joint that permits swashplate tilt but prevents rotation of the actuator and consequent misalignment of the servo spool rod. The lateral actuators, with a travel of 5.16 inches, act on the swashplate through offset bellcranks and push rods. This arrangement allows the use of the standard 90-degree T-arrangement of the stationary swashplate, and at the same time permits all the actuators to be supported entirely by the power module structure.

Both the lateral and longitudinal hydraulic actuators are of identical design, and differ only in the length of the replaceable stroke stops. They are balanced tandem cylinder actuators controlled by separate servo valves (see Section 2. 7. 1. 1) fed by independent hydraulic supply systems. The hydraulic power control actuators were designed and fabricated to Hughes Tool Company specifications.

In order to protect the rotor pitch control mechanism from unpredictably high blade pitching moments, a load relief device is placed across each piston of the tandem actuator. This pressure relief device limits the pressure across each piston so the actuating or resisting load is limited to 6,000 pounds, and also limits the pressure applied across each piston to a nominal 1,500 psi when both hydraulic supply systems are operative. If either of the two independent hydraulic systems should fail, the pressure limit is automatically reset to a nominal 3,000 psi.

To restrict the maximum rate of hub tilt, the actuator stroke rate is limited to 5.90 inches per second. This is accomplished by external hydraulic flow control valves in each supply line. They are set at a maximum flow of 2.45 gpm. These performance limits are shown in Figure 29 for either or both hydraulic systems in operation.

2. 6. 1. 3 Rotating Linkage

The rotating linkage was designed and fabricated under Contract AF33(600)30271 and has been used with slight modification on the XV-9A. The rotating linkage consists of the rotating swashplate, the swashplate centering spindle, the lower linkage and support housing, the central push rods, the upper linkage and support structure, the hub mounted torque tubes, and the instrumented blade pitch links.

In designing the rotating controls, an attempt was made to make the system as conventional and maintenance-free as possible. The components were located so as to keep them accessible and as far as possible from hot gas components.

As the rotating system is subjected to high cyclic stresses, the control system bearings were selected on a very conservative basis. Available bearings were evaluated in terms of limit loads, weighted fatigue loads, and past field performance of the bearings. Reduced clearance, high-quality bearings were specified for all locations.

Bearing forks have been oriented to minimize misalignment in order to increase bearing life and permit the use of standard \pm 10degree misaligning bearings in most places. In order to avoid multiple L



Figure 29. Hydraulic Power Control Actuator Performance

1

.

.

*

81

i

\$

7

.

bearing installations, self-aligning roller bearings were used wherever possible. At only one point in the system was the misaligning angle too large for use of a single bearing; a multiple bearing joint was used in this instance. This is the bearing on the inboard end of the upper torque tube mounted onto the rotor hub.

A lubrication fitting is provided for each bearing. With the present configuration, none of the bearings operates at over 200° F. For this reason, conventional low-temperature greases are used. MIL-G-25537 lubricant, especially developed for helicopter bearings with small oscillations, is used on all but the swashplate bearings. MIL-L-7711 lubricant, compounded for rotating helicopter components, is used on the swashplate bearings.

2. 6. 2 Yaw Control System

.

The yaw control system consists of rudder pedals, support structure, pedal output linkage, cable system, valve drive installation, and rudder drive linkage (Figures 26 and 27). The yaw valve and the rudder are mechanically linked together. They move simultaneously, although yaw force is produced by the yaw valve during hovering and forward flight and by the rudder during forward flight only.

The yaw control system has been designed for ± 3.0 inches of rudder travel to obtain full valve rotation of ± 58 degrees and full rudder deflection of ± 20 degrees. There is no provision for ratio adjustment. Dual controls are provided.

2. 6. 3 Power Control System

Each gas generator incorporates a hydromechanical fuel control assembly that automatically regulates fuel flow in accordance with the throttle setting called for by the pilot. The gas generator power control system will enable the pilot to satisfy the hot gas requirements of the lifting rotor through the control of the outputs of the two gas generators. The control system provides for:

- a. Independent (individual) gas generator power control
- b. Simultaneous (twin) gas generator power control
- c. Overriding of collective stick power input

- d. Limitation of power reduction to preset minimum level
- e. Separate power-matching capabilities for each gas generator
- f. Power control by either pilot or copilot

2. 6. 3. 1 Rotor Speed Governing System

The power control system featuring rotor speed governing consists of two principal installations: (a) the speed sensing feedback link (N_f link) to drive the fuel control governor (Figure 30), and (b) the mechanical power control linkage to set and adjust gas generator power and set rotor rpm (Figure 31). For the T-64 engine, N_f represents power turbine speed with respect to the fuel control; however, on the Hot Cycle system the rotor is the power turbine, and therefore N_f represents rotor speed with respect to the YT-64 gas generator fuel control.

2. 6. 3. 1. 1 Speed Sensing Feedback Link

There are two N_f links, one for each gas generator; except for minor installation differences, they are identical. Each N_f link consists of a hydraulic pump driven by the accessory gearbox, the transmission line, and the hydraulic motor that drives the gas generator N_f flyball governor (Figure 32).

The rotor driven pump and its hydraulic coupled motor are not synchronous, due to internal leakage of pump and motor and due to flow through the micrometer bleed valve. The rotor driven pump runs at 4, 200 rpm for 100 percent rotor speed, while the governor driving motor operates at a nominal 3, 660 rpm for 100 percent rotor speed. This speed difference, and consequent bypass flow, is adjusted by the bypass valve to provide governor speed adjustment and to make up for changes in pump and motor internal leakage. This system has been tested as a breadboard test assembly (Reference 9) in order to verify dynamic characteristics. Test results indicated that the system is mechanically sound in all respects.

2. 6. 3. 1. 2 Mechanical Power Control System

The mechanical power control linkage has been defined by Figure 31. It is a manually operated arrangement of driving and



-

.

1

.



Figure 31. Gas Generator Power Control System - Mechanical Linkage









The second



1 14 m



Figure 32. Gas Generator Power Control System - Nf Link





C

2.2

1. A Sand

driven levers and linkages whose movements are transmitted by flexible cables to the power lever of the gas generator mounted fuel control. Movement of the power lever to a higher or lower power setting, as required by flight conditions, can be made by the pilot through either the gas generator control quadrant assembly, the collective stick, or the collective stick twist grip.

With the rotor speed governing system, the normal operating range of the engine fuel control is between power control shaft angles of 76.5 degrees and 121.5 degrees. In this range, the fuel control will automatically vary engine power between flight idle and military, to match load power at rotor speed. The gas generator fuel control load signal shaft is locked in the 90-degree position to obtain the maximum gas generator power for any throttle setting.

The gas generator power control quadrant assembly was manufactured to Hughes Tool Company specifications. This control

- a. Transmits the desired power and/or speed setting to either or both of the fuel control units
- b. Overrides any power setting initiated by the collective stick travel or twist-grip rotation
- c. Limits power reduction to a minimum preset gas generator speed
- d. Employs a self-locking principle to permit infinite positioning and prevent creepage or change of setting due to vibration or feedback forces
- e. Provides a visual check of the gas generator control setting

The power control quadrant levers will be used to individually start, idle, and stop the gas generators, and to conduct operational checks. The assembly incorporates stops at gas generator idle speed for each engine. Once the rotor is in operation, changes to gas generator power setting will be normally made by collective stick or by collective stick twist grip. However, individual gas generator power may be adjusted by the quadrant. A reverse locking clutch mechanism is incorporated in the linkage from the quadrant to the collective stick. This clutch will transmit motion from the collective stick to the quadrant. The irreversible mechanism in the clutch assembly prevents
motion originating at the quadrant from being transferred to either the collective stick or the twist grip.

Movement of the collective stick will accomplish two things; it will change rotor pitch angle, as well as power lever angle. Rotational movement of the twist grip will change engine power setting without affecting the rotor pitch angle.

The total movement of the collective stick is 30 degrees, while rotational movement of the twist grip is ± 120 degrees. Adjustable linkages are employed to vary total power lever angle travel, as required, from 30 degrees to 45 degrees in 5-degree increments. The operational stops noted above are duplicated on the collective stick position lever. Overtravel of the collective/twist-grip combination is absorbed by an overtravel spring incorporated in the collective stick assembly. This spring also acts as a decoupler to permit continued use of the flight control in the event of jamming of the power control linkage.

2.6.4 Ground Handling Controls

2. 6. 4. 1 Brake System

1

The XV-9A uses all principal components of the CH-34A brake system. The wheel brake system consists of the toe brake control on each of the pilot's yaw control pedals, a brake cylinder attached to each of the pilot's pedals, a dual parking brake valve with a handle on the pilot's side of the cockpit, a wheel brake assembly at each main landing gear wheel, and interconnecting hydraulic tubing and hoses. Each main wheel can be braked separately. Depressing the toe brake control actuates the piston in the brake cylinder, to apply the wheel brake. Depressing both toe brake controls and pulling out the Parking Brake handle closes the dual parking brake valve and locks both wheel brakes. Depressing both brake pedals or the right pedal only will release the parking brakes. The parking brake valve incorporates a dual temperature compensator that provides for independent brake line operation. Access to the system is gained through the pilot seat hatch and through the cockpit floor hatch.

In order to meet the requirements of MIL-B-8584B (develop a coefficient of friction of 0.55 between tires and ground), the CH-34A brake hydraulic pressure must be increased by 34 percent to approximately 1, 100 psi. This increase results in an actuator load of 290 pounds and a pedal load of 115 pounds. ML-B-8584B specifies that a brake pedal load not exceeding 125 pounds shall develop a coefficient of friction of 0.31 between the tires and ground.

2.6.4.2 Tailwheel Lock

The CH-34A tailwheel assembly used on the XV-9A incorporates a shear pin to lock the full castering wheel in the centered position. This pin may be pulled by the pilot to unlock the tailwheel. Actuation is by a manual push-pull control (located on the right-hand side of the pilot's seat) that is connected to the locking pin by a cable running the length of the fuselage. A spring returns the pin to the locked position on release of the push-pull control.

2.7 AIRCRAFT EQUIPMENT

2.7.1 Hydraulic Systems

The two XV-9A hydraulic systems furnish power for operation of the helicopter flight controls and the hot gas diverter valves (see Figure 33). One system also furnishes fluid to the N_f signal feedback system (Section 2. 6. 3. 1).

With the exception of the rotor control actuators and diverter valve actuators, all units used in the hydraulic systems are standard off-the-shelf items manufactured in accordance with the Military Specifications appropriate to the indicated service and function. The power control actuators are described below and in Section 2. 6. 1. 2.

Two hydraulic systems are stilized, to provide at least one reliable source of power for the three tandem-cylinder hydraulic rotor control actuators and the diverter valve actuators. The two systems are entirely independent of each other. Both the primary system (1) and the utility system (2) are powered by engine-driven variable displacement pumps. System 1 is also supplied with oil by a rotor accessory gearbox driven pump whose prime function is to power the flight controls in the event of dual engine failure.

The hydraulic system flow capability has been based on the flow limitation imposed on the power control actuators by flight control dynamic considerations (Section 2. 6. 1. 2). The total actuator flow is thus 2. 45 x 3 = 7.35 gpm. The diverter value actuators require 0.2 gpm each, or 0.4 gpm total. Thus the maximum flow requirement never exceeds 7.75 gpm. Each pump is capable of delivering 6.5 gpm at 4,400 rpm. The rotor pump, during autorotative descent, will deliver approximately 7.4 gpm. The maximum possible actuator requirements can thus be nearly met in the event of dual engine failure. Normal control requirements can easily be met during these conditions, so no loss of control capability is expected as a result of hydraulic flow limitations.

The heat generated in the hydraulic system as a result of hydraulic energy losses and hot bay heat transfer is transferred to the gas generator fuel by means of the oil-fuel heat exchanger. Each hydraulic system is cooled by one heat exchanger. The heat load for the "hottest" hydraulic system has been estimated to be:

> Fixed load 7,000 btu/hour Variable load $85.2 \frac{btu}{c}$

85.2 $\frac{btu}{gal.}$ $\left(\frac{btu/min}{gal./min}\right)$

C

C

Estimated maximum load 13,000 btu/hour

This load schedule, together with cooler performance data, is shown in Figure 34.

Test data indicate that the maximum temperatures to be expected on a 110° F day will be less than

Hydraulic oil system	$175^{\circ}F$
Fuel at igniters	175 ⁰ F
Hydraulic oil at diverter valve	200°F

2.7.1.1 Rotor Control Actuator Servo Valves

In normal operation, both System 1 and System 2 supply fluid at 3,500 psig to the flight control servos. The flight control servos incorporate sequence and relief valves so arranged that when the systems are operating a full pressure the low-pressure relief valves are operative and will allow only 1,600-psig pressure differential across the actuator pistons. When one of the system pressures drops below approximately 1,500 psig, the sequence valves shift so that the portion of the tandem servo connected to the remaining "good" system blocks off the low-pressure relief valves and connects the fluid to the high-pressure relief valves. These allow a pressure









ġ

Figure 34. Hydraulic System Cooling

differential of 3, 200 psig across the actuator piston. At the same time, the sequence valve in the low-pressure portion of the tanden. servo shifts so that free flow is established from one side of the piston to the other.

Flow limiters, which are set to deliver 2.45 gpm regardless of pressure, are installed in the pressure lines to the servo valves. Thus, all the servos are limited to the same stroke rate and force level, regardless of whether one or both hydraulic systems are operating. The shifting of the individual servos from low- to highpressure output is accomplished automatically; thus, a loss of one of the two hydraulic systems during flight does not require the pilot to take emergency action in order to maintain hydraulic power.

2.7.1.2 Diverter Valve Actuation System

As shown on the schematic diagram (Figure 33), the utility system (System 2) normally provides power for operation of the diverter valves. The diverter valve subsystem is made up of a dual three-way supply selector valve, two four-position selector valves, two rate limiting restrictor valves, and the diverter valve actuators.

The solenoid-operated dual three-way value selects the hydraulic system that provides power for operation of the diverter values. In normal operation, with both systems at full pressure, fluid from System 2 is directed to and from the subsystem.

In the event of loss of pressure in System 2, the unit may be switched by the pilot to supply fluid to the actuators from System 1. The pilot would switch the supply only in the event of an engine malfunction or for test or checkout purposes.

The diverter value actuator is a Hughes Tool Company unit designed to replace the existing actuator. It is an unbalanced cylinder especially designed to operate in a high-temperature ambient atmosphere. It incorporates an orifice across the piston head that bleeds fluid at the rate of approximately 0.2 gpm at 3,000 psi.

This constant flow of fluid through the unit serves to absorb heat and carry it back to the oil-to-fuel heat exchanger. This fluid flowing from the diverter valve actuator may be considered to be the "hotspot" of the entire hydraulic system. Temperature recordings taken during whirl testing show that the maximum fluid temperature in this area will be less than 200° F on a 110° F day.

2.7.2 Electrical, Instruments, and Radio Installations

2. 7. 2. 1 Supply System

The aircraft electrical system comprises two systems: the primary 28-volt d-c and the secondary 400-cps systems (see Figure 35).

The 28-volt d-c system is a regulated single-wire system with negative ground structural return and has three primary buses: (a) the main bus for power devices such as actuators, solenoids, motors, igniters, lights, and so on, (b) the bus for flight instruments, and (c) the bus for all aircraft warning lights.

All wiring is standard per MIL-N-5086 and MIL-N-5088. High-temperature wire is used in the hot bays. Where terminals are used, they are standard preinsulated, crimp type.

The 28-volt d-c system is supplied with power by two gas generator driven d-c generators and a 24-volt battery operating in parallel. The generators are rated at 150 amperes each over a speed range of 7, 900 to 12, 100 rpm. They are mounted by quick-attachdetach rings to the gas generator starter pads. The output of the generators is regulated by a transistorized voltage regulator. The regulation sensing circuit produces a transformed a-c signal that is compared with a diode reference voltage and thereby maintains generator voltage at the set level. Reverse current relays are provided to allow parallel operation of the generators.

The battery installation is composed of two 12-volt lead acid batteries of 24-ampere-hour capacity wired in series to obtain 24-volt dc.

External power is connected to the aircraft through a standard external power re eptacle mounted on the left side of the fuselage just forward of the entrance door. An external power relay is provided, wired into the interlocking portion of the external power receptacle so that if the external power is on the circuit is made and broken in the relay, not in the pins of the receptacle. Cockpit controls are provided to adjust generator voltage, to open or close generator line and field, and to connect or disconnect either battery or external power.

The secondary electrical system is a 400-cps single-phase system with two subsystems, one at 115 volts and the other at 26 volts. They are used to power synchros, gyros, and portions of the flight test apparatus. Power for the 400-cps system is obtained through a transistorized inverter with capacity of 250 volt-amperes at 115 volts. A variable transformer is used to obtain an adjustable low voltage of approximately 26 volts. The a-c bus is energized anytime the d-c bus is energized, and may be disconnected only by circuit breaker.

2.7.2.2 Lighting Installation

For adequate flight safety, a lighting installation has been provided. Rotating and anticollision lights are installed on the aft surface of the vertical pylon and on the underside of the cockpit. Navigation and tail lights are also installed. A 450-watt land/hover light can be installed at an angle for best coverage. In the cockpit, a utility flood light is mounted on the upper canopy bow to serve as a cockpit light, portable trouble light, and instrument panel light.

2.7.2.3 Cockpit Installations

The arrangement of the cockpit control console, instrument panel, warning panel, and emergency panel is shown in Figure 36.

All controls have been grouped along the aircraft centerline for ready access by the pilot. The controls for the most important systems, those systems that must be manipulated during flight and especially during an emergency, have been placed in easy reach of both pilot and copilot, even though they may be restricted by their inertia reel harnesses.

The fuel system panel is directly aft of the power control quadrants. It is arranged in a simplified schematic representation of the dual fuel feed system. Rotary switches instantly show the actual fuel flow path from tanks, through crossfeed, to the gas generators. Alongside the fuel control panel are the hydraulic and gas system panels. There are five hydraulic control switches, for manual operation of the firewall valves, the bypass valves, and the diverter valve actuator supply selector valve. The gas system switches are for manual control of the diverter valves and blade-tip closure valves. These valves are



Figure 35. Electrical System Schematic













Sec. 4



Figure 36. Cockpit Instrument Panel and Console





normally controlled semiautomatically by sensing engine paster differences (Section 2. 5. 6. 2), but manual control is required for preflight and maintenance purposes.

Wherever possible, circuit breaker switches have been incorporated. Where the switching function will not permit this, separate circuit breakers are provided on a recessed panel directly under the pilot's collective lever.

Alongside the power control quadrant are the gas generator start switches. The gas generator air-start switch is wired to connectors in the external power connector panel for control of the MA-1 ground air start cart. Forward of these switches are the electrical system controls and instruments and the aircraft light switches. Adjustment is provided to match the voltage output of the two generators.

A VHF radio is installed for use during the local flight testing. It is controlled by a two-position press-to-talk switch incorporated into the cyclic grip. The first position is for intercom. This position energizes a radio relay to provide side tone intercom, but leaves open the transmit circuits. The second position is for transmit. The antenna for the radio is installed on the V-tail. At this frequency, no matching equipment is required. The headset and mike is a standard carbon mike-headphone set. An alternate headset-mike system is a part of the pilot's flight helmet. The aircraft intercom system has connectors at the external power connector panel to permit communication with ground crew during engine checkout and runup.

ARC-45(UHF) and ARC-73(VHF) radio installations are planned when the flight test requirements demand greater flexibility and greater range. The control boxes for these radios will be installed on the control console. The radios will be installed in the cargo compartment. Antennas will be installed as required.

The flight instrument installation is nonshock mounted and uses standard MIL types, FAA types, and standard aircraft instruments. It has been arranged to conform as nearly as possible to accepted practices and yet be as small as possible to reduce interference with the pilot's vision. As can be seen in Figure 36, the flight attitude group is quite conventional, except that the gas generator and rotor tachometers are separate instruments. This is preferred since the rotor and the gas generators are gas coupled rather than shaft coupled; thus, there is no fixed relationship between the speed of either gas generator and that of the rotor. The standard gas generator instruments, gas generator rpm, exhaust gas temperature, and discharge pressure are displayed under the flight attitude instruments. Additional propulsion and accessory instruments are arranged to the left, in a subsidiary position.

There are two instruments peculiar to the Hot Cycle system. The first is the rotor tilt stop indicator, which is located beneath the flight attitude group. This indicator tells the pilot when the hub-tilt limiters are disengaged and full rotor control motion can be applied. The second unique instrument is the crossflow indicator that indicates the relative gas flow from the two gas generators. The operation of this system has been more completely described in Section 2. 5. 6. 2.

On the instrument panel are several warning lights. These are the rotor lube overheat light, the fuel low-level lights, the rotor overspeed switch warning lights, and the crossflow warning lights (see Section 2. 5. 6. 2). In addition, on the aircraft centerline and atop the instrument panel is the aircraft warning light panel. This panel is as directly in line with the pilot's vision as possible, without interfering. High intensity dual bulb lights and glare shields assure that warning indications can be seen during all exterior light conditions.

The lights are arranged so that the most serious malfunction -- rotor spar overheat -- is at the top. The necessary system position indicating lights are at the bottom. The lights are also arrayed in columns -- left-hand gas generator, rotor, and right-hand gas generator -- to distinguish the source of trouble.

Associated with the warning panel is the emergency panel. It is located on the center cockpit canopy bow above the pilot. Its position is dictated by the reach of the pilot when restricted by his inertia reel. On this panel are fire extinguishing switches to control fire in either the left-hand or right-hand gas generator bays. These switches close the hydraulic and fuel firewall valves, disable the generator, open the line contactor, and discharge the fire extinguishing agent after a one-second delay. The crash switch does everything both the fire switches do except discharge the fire extinguishing agent. In addition, the crash switch disconnects the battery after a two-second delay. On the bottom of the emergency panel are located the fire detection test switch and the warning light test switch.

Also mounted on the cockpit canopy are the magnetic compass and the outside temperature indicator.

2.7.3 Aircraft Furnishings

The airceal: furnishings are all installed in the cockpit, and are items for the sufety and convenience of the crew. These items are:

- a. Seat cushion assemblies
- b. Safety harness and inertia reels
- r Portable fire extinguisher
- d. May case

The seat cushions, safety harness, inertia reels, and map case were designed and tested for the OH-6A, and are used in the XV-9A with no modifications. The portable fire extinguisher is a 2.5-pound dry chemical type extinguisher.

2.8 AIRCRAFT SAFETY

Aircraft safety is promoted not only by complete structural integrity but by fail-safe operating systems, by a complete fire prevention program, by fire detection and extinguishing, and by provisions for crew protection. Each of these areas will be discussed separately in this section.

2.8.1 Failure of Operating Systems

2.8.1.1 Hydraulic Systems

Hydraulic power is obtained from two completely separate hydraulic systems. Power is supplied by a pump on each gas generator and by a rotor-driven pump. Both systems operate continuously at 3,500 psi, and all power actuators and their controls are designed to work with either or both hydraulic systems in operation. In the event of dual gas generator failure, the rotor-driven pump will adequately supply the system.

2.8.1.2 Electrical System

Electrical power is obtained from three sources: a generator on each gas generator and the 24-volt battery. If both generators fail, sufficient energy is available from the battery for approximately 20 minutes of normal aircraft operation (including test instrumentation). Most valves are motor operated, so they will stay in their normal position if the electrical failure is at the valve. Solenoid operated valves are spring loaded in the normal position when deenergized. With a complete electrical failure of all components, the aircraft can still be flown and landed in a normal manner, although instruments, radio, valves, and so on, are inoperative and not usable to cope with further emergencies. The gas generator fuel pump will sustain normal fuel flow under most conditions, should the electric fuel boost pumps become inoperative.

2.8.1.3 Gas System

The semiautomatic gas system controls protect the aircraft by instantly indicating a defective gas generator. This system allows the pilot to isolate a defective engine and close the tip closure valves without the hazard of erroneous interpretation of instrument data. Failure of the semiautomatic system can be corrected by manual pilot override.

The blade-tip closure valves are gas loaded and centrifugally loaded to the "Open" position, which is normally desired. Therefore, if pneumatic or electrical failure occurs, full rotor power can be obtained. The tip closure valves cannot be closed unless one gas generator is diverted overboard.

If a structural failure of the gas system occurs, the diverter values are put into the overboard position to protect the damaged component from further and possibly catastrophic damage. If this occurs, the helicopter can be flown as an autogyro. The overboard jets provide sufficient thrust to maintain altitude at approximately 13,500 pounds, and would extend the aircraft glide at greater gross weights.

2.8.1.4 Flight Controls

The flight controls are a simple mechanical system with adequate safety margins, but could be disabled by complete hydraulic failure. Dual hydraulic supply systems, dual servo valves, and tandem actuators ensure against such disabling. The pilot's collective lever is connected to the power control system through an override assembly, so that power control failures do not disable the collective pitch system.

The yaw control system has a yaw jet and rudders. If engine power failed completely, the helicopter could be autorotated with rudder control above forward speeds of approximately 30 to 40 knots.

2.8.2 Fire Prevention

The most important phase of fire protection is the minimization of the fire hazard to prevent the occurrence of fire. Recognizing this fact, the following commonsense safety precautions have been incorporated into the design and fabrication of the powerplant installation:

a. Combustibles have been isolated from sources of ignition. Fuel and hydraulic oil lines are isolated from the gas generators by compartmentation. Temperatures of these fluids are kept to a minimum by gold plating the compartment skins and by insulation blankets.

All combustible-carrying-line runs in the gas generator compartments are kept as short as possible. If rigid, lines are stainless steel with generous bend radii. If flexible, lines are fire resistant and have ample slack for relative movements. All lines are adequately supported by cushion-type clamps.

b. Electrical wiring has been isolated from the hot portions of the gas generators, having been run through the above compartments.

-144

- c. Drain holes have been provided in the gas generator cowling, to assure drainage of combustibles from the aircraft for all attitudes of flight and ground operation. All drain lines are clear of the aircraft so as to prevent impingement and possible reentry.
- d. High-temperature gas-carrying ducts have been insulated to reduce the heat flux, with resultant lowering of temperature. Heat shields installed over the ducts

provide local protection in areas of possible hydraulic fluid leakage by reducing temperatures below the autogenous ignition temperature of the fluid.

- e. Ventilation holes and louvers have been provided throughout to induce air circulation.
- f. Drain lines have been extended below the exterior surfaces to negate impingement, and sealed to prevent entry back into the vehicle. Fuel vent lines are designed to prevent spillage, and are located in areas isolated from any exhaust or hot gas generator surface and away from electrical ignition sources.
- g. All fuel system equipment, such as lines, valves, and so on, have been located remote from ignition sources or isolated by compartmentation. All electrical equipment in the fuel cells has been grounded.
- h. Overheat and fire warning systems have been installed on each engine. An overheat system has been installed in the Y-duct bay.

2.8.3 Fire Containment

Fire is kept from spreading by the following features of the design:

- a. Fuel and hydraulic oil supply lines passing through the firewall bulkheads incorporate shutoff valves located outside of fire areas.
- b. There are multiple fuel and hydraulic shutoff devices.
- c. Gas generator bays are ventilated and are isolated from the rest of the airplane so that fire cannot travel inward through the lateral pylon from the nacelles.
- d. No absorbent materials or materials that could constitute a source of reignition are used.

2.8.4 Fire Detection System

The fire detection system installed on the gas generators is shown diagrammatically in Figure 37. The system will:

- a. Indicate a heat hazard, either a fire or an abnormal temperature condition
- b. Remain on for the duration of the overheat condition
- c. Indicate when the overheat condition is resolved
- d. Indicate reoccurrence of fire or abnormal temperature

The system consists of continuous-resetting temperaturesensing elements mounted on the gas generators, a fuselage-mounted control unit to monitor the sensing element, and a warning circuit to inform the pilot that a heat hazard is detected.

The sensing element assemblies mount on each gas generator in one continuous loop, covering all potential fire and hightemperature areas. The detector alarm will trigger when the temperatures are 150 degrees F above the maximum operating temperatures.

The overheat warning system in the Y-duct area is also noted in Figure 37. This system will indicate an abnormal temperature condition.

The system, like the fire detection system, consists of a temperature-sensing element loop, a control unit, and a warning circuit. The detector alarm will trigger when the temperatures are 100 degrees F above the maximum allowable ambient.

2.8.5 Fire Extinguishing System

The fire extinguishing system is a one-shot system consisting of a fire extinguishing agent container, distribution systems to each nacelle, and an electrically operated discharge valve for each distribution system. The selected agent, bromotrifluoromethane (CBrF₃), offers unusual advantages, particularly against Class B (flammable liquid) and Class C (electrical) fires. From a fire extinguishment standpoint, CBrF₃ is the most effective agent, is

noncorrosive to aluminum, steel, or brass, and has a low toxicity in the natural condition as well as in the pyrolized state.

Eleven pounds of agent are carried in a single container located on the centerline of the airplane. The container has dual outlets, and makes use of a cartridge and a disc-type valve in each outlet. Switches in the pilot's compartment select one gas generator or the other as required to receive the entire charge. When such a switch is actuated, the cartridge in the applicable valve fires a slug that ruptures a frangible disc. The pressurized liquid agent is forced out of the container, and is directed to a stainless steel engine manifold through runs of 1. 00-inch-diameter x 0. 049-inch-wall aluminum alloy tubing. A flex line, to compensate for relative movement between the gas generator and the structure, connects the two line assemblies. The agent is discharged forward through a series of 0. 055-inch-diameter holes in the 0.750-inch-diameter x 0.028-inch-wall gas generator manifold. Coverage is 360 degrees around the gas generator and forward to the gas generator inlet assembly. Total time for effective agent discharge, including a one-second time delay for closing fuel and hydraulic firewall valves, is calculated to be 3.8 seconds. The system is shown diagrammatically in Figure 38.

When the fire switch is pulled, the fuel and hydraulic firewall shutoff values are automatically closed prior to the discharge of the agent to the selected nacelle. The generator is also disabled, to avoid the reignition by a defective or fire-damaged electrical system. The crash switch duplicates the action of both fire switches used together, except for discharging the fire extinguishing agent, and in addition disconnects the battery after a delay of two seconds.

MIL-E-5352 was used to determine the required quantity of agent. Air Force Technical Report 6430 was the basis for analyzing discharge times.

2.8.6 Cockpit Safety

Several items of furnishings (Section 2. 7. 3) contribute to cockpit safety in the event of crash. The crew is restrained by lap belt and by double shoulder inertia reel harness with standard quick-release feature. A 2-1/2-pound dry chemical fire extinguisher is available for small cockpit fires. A data case is installed for safe stowage of miscellaneous small items required by the crew. The pilot door has emergency release devices and transparent panels that can be knocked out if the door is jammed.

۹.



Figure 37. Fire Detection System



R



Figure 38. Fire Extinguishing System



m

.

3. WEIGHT AND BALANCE

Weight calculations have been made for all systems that contribute to the empty weight of the XV-9A. Additional calculations and estimates have been made to reflect the design gross weight and the alternate overload gross weight of the vehicle. The weight, balance, and inertial characteristics are presented in the following sections.

3.1 WEIGHT ANALYSIS

The design gross weight of the research vehicle is composed of two components: weight empty and useful load.

3.1.1 Weight Empty Determination

The empty weight of the XV-9A has been determined by compilations of actual, calculated, and estimated weights. Prior to actual weighing of the craft, the weights were approximately 55 percent actual, 43 percent calculated, and 2 percent estimated. The weight breakdowns follow the methods outlined in MIL-STD-451.

3.1.2 Inertia Characteristics

The roll, pitch, and yaw inertias about the helicopter center of gravity have been computed for the weight empty and gross weight conditions. These values are tabulated on the weight, balance, and inertia summary that follows in Sections 3.2 and 3.3. It will be noted that the inertia about the three conventional planes of the helicopter is higher for the 15, 300-pound design gross weight than for the 25, 500-pound alternate overload gross weight. The reason for this is that the design gross weight assumes use of full capacity of 500 gallons distributed in the forward and aft tanks. These are located at some distance from the cg and produce a large inertial moment about the cg. For the alternate overload gross weight, only 200 gallons of fuel are distributed in these tanks, which produces a much smaller inertial moment about the cg. The externally slung payload is assumed suspended from a point source at the cg, and therefore contributes no inertial moment about the cg.

3.1.3 Contract Weight Reductions

As outlined in this report, redesign was accomplished to reduce the weight of three major components that were carried over from the original Model 285 whirl test program. Total weight reduction realized was 133 pounds. The Y-duct and triduct were fabricated with lighter gage ducting, less flange material, and lighter stiffening, to reduce the weight 26 pounds for the triduct and 77 pounds for the Y-duct. The stationary swashplate was redesigned to reduce the sectional area and simplify the geometry. The new swashplate design resulted in a weight reduction of 30 pounds.

3.1.4 Sketches and Charts

During the design and development of this aircraft, stress and aerodynamic calculations required reference to load distributions in the nacelles, fuselage, and rotor blades. Appendix I includes figures that depict nacelle, fuselage, and rotor blade weight distributions as utilized during aircraft loads analysis.

3.2 WEIGHT STATEMENT

ROTORCRAFT SUMMARY WEIGHT STATEMENT

Weight Empty (Pounds)

Rotor Group			2,797.4
Blade Assembly (3)		1,853.9	
Front Spar Installation	268.8		
Rear Spar Installation	212.5		
Interspar Structure	802.0		
Leading Edge	33.2		
Trailing Edge	55.8		
Hot Gas Inboard Duct	179.2		
Tension Straps	133.3		
Droop Stop	4.8		
Balance Weights	111.0		
Tip Cascade	17.3		
Cascade Valve and Mechanism	15.0		
Blade Root Bearings	21.0		

Hub Installa Structure Installati Bearings Gimbal Asse Shaft and Sp Miscellaneo	tions on Hardware , Housing, et embly oke us Spacers, 7	502.0 9.8 146.8	658.6 124.5 115.2 45.2		
Tail Group (V-	Tail)				134.7
Tail Cone St	tructure			27.6	
Fixed Stabil	izer Surface	(2)		78.7	
Rudder (Inc.	luding 7.6-lb	ballast) (2)		28.4	
Body Group					877.2
Fuselage Ba	sic Structure			625.8	
Rotor Pylon	and Fairing			187.4	
Cockpit Can	ору			23.6	
Doors, Pan	els, Miscella	neous		40.4	
Alighting Gear Group, Land Type (CH-34A Landing Gear)					475.5
	Rolling				
Location	Assembly	Structure	Controls		
Main Gear 11.00-12	166.6	231.3	13.7	411.6	
Tailwhe∈l 6.00-6	13.4	47.8	2.7	63.9	
Flight Controls	Group				954.7
Cockpit Con	trols			28.5	
System Con	trols - Linkag	ge		91.6	
System Con	trols - Rotor	Head		584.4	
Upper Be	eams		63.1		
Upper Ro	ods		24.6		
Upper To	orque Tubes		106.5		
Upper Su	pports		81.8		
Control I	36.5				
Rotating	59.5				
Fixed Sw	44.0				
Spindle a	73.9				
Lower L	inks and Bear	ns	41.5		
Hardwar	e		40.9		

a film and the second second and the

The second second second second

Hydraulic Cylinders Installation Yaw Control Installation Ducting Bellows Clamps, Supports, etc Yaw Control Valve Rudder-to-Valve Controls	40.2 34.7 27.7 42.8 16.1	88.7 161.5	
Nacelle Group			684 1
Engine Mounts		30.0	004.1
Cowling Structure and Firewall		412 0	
Negelle Support Delene and Esizinge		712.0	
Nacelle Support Pylons and Fairings		241.2	
Propulsion Group			2, 170.7
Engine (2)		1,160.0	-
Accessory Gearbox and Drive		74.2	
Air Induction System		32.2	
Exhaust System		78.2	
Tailpipe	27.0		
Connectors	26.2		
Supports	25.0		
Lubricating System		60.4	
Tanks	3.4		
Coolers	16.1		
Ducts	7.9		
Plumbing	19.2		
Rotor System	13.8		
Fuel System		255.2	
Forward Tank	92.2		
Aft Tank	94.4		
Sump Pumps	15.8		
Fill System	2.0		
Distribution System	26.4		
Vent System	3.7		
Drain System	0.3		
Valves and Miscellaneous	20.4		
Engine Controls		76.1	
Starting System (Ram Air)		11.2	
Rotor Drive System		423.2	
Diverter Valve (2)	173.3		
Diverter Valve Support	51.3		
Connector to Engine	39.5		
Duct, Seals, and Insulation	159.1		

Instrument and Navigational Group			50.0	
Cockpit Instruments	27.0			•
Transducers	22			
Navigational Equipment, Mapcase	1.0			
Hydraulic and Pneumatic Group			168.3	
Pumps		27.6		
Reservoir		25.3		
Filter		5.4		
Cooler		12.2		
Valves and Plumbing		74.6		
Hydraulic Fluid		23.2		
Electrical Group			192.2	
A-C System		11.9		
D-C System		180.3		
Generators (2)	51.4			•
Battery and Support (2)	43.1			
Voltage Regulators (2)	2.7			
External Power	1.3			
Relays	14.3			
Lights	5.1			
Circuitry	57.4			
Supports	5.0			
Electronics Group			10.0	
Furnishings and Equipment Group			75.9	
Personnel Accommodations		14.0		
Instrument Panel		8.0		
Emergency Equipment, Fire Ext Sys		53.9		
Auxiliary Gear Group			50.0	
Load Handling System		39.0		
Handling Gear		11.0		
TOTAL WEIGHT EMPTY			8,640.7	

Useful Load and Gross Weight (Pounds)

	Load Condition		
	Altern		
	Design	Overload	
Crew (2)	400	400	
Fuel (Type JP-4, Internal)	3,250	1,300	
	(500 gal.)	(200 gal.)	
Oil (4-Hr Flight)	60	60	
Payload	2,949	15,099	
Total Useful Load	6,659	16,859	
Weight Empty	8,641	8,641	
Total Gross Weight	15,300	25, 500	

3.3 WEIGHT AND BALANCE STATEMENT

SUMMARY OF WEIGHT, BALANCE, AND INERTIA

		Center of Gravity					
		Inches	Inches				
		Aft of	Above			2	
	Weight	X Ref	Z Ref	Iner	Inertia, Slug Ft		
	(Lb)	Datum	Datum	Roll	Pitch	Yaw	
Weight Empty	8, 641	299.6	161.2	3,858	12, 364	12, 570	
Design Gross Weight							
Useful Load	6,659						
Gross Weight							
Nominal	15,300	299.8	138.6	6, 281	22, 364	20,664	
Most Aft CG	15,300	301.0	138.6	6, 281	22, 180	20,480	
Most Forward CG	15, 300	294.0	138.6	6,307	20, 439	18,682	
Alternate Overload							
Gross Weight							
Useful Load	16,859						
Gross Weight	25,500	298.7	139.7	5,989	18,613	16, 907	

Reference Data:

- 1. Reference datum (X-X axis) for horizontal center of gravity is 300 inches forward of rotor centerline.
- 2. Reference datum (Z-Z axis) for vertical center of gravity is 200 inches below waterline 0.0 (waterline 0.6 is rotor plane).
| | | W EIGHT | EMPTY S | INMMA | RY | | | | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| | Item and Remarks | W eight
(Lb) | Horiz
Dista
(In | ontal
nce | H Momen
(InLb)
(x 10 ⁻³) | * A
+ | 'ertical
istance
(In.) | V Mor
(In]
(x 10 | nent
Lb)
.3) |
| | Rotor Group | 2,797. | 4 | 300 | 839 | 2 | 199.6 | | 558.5 |
| | Blades (21.5-ft radius,
31.5-in. chord)(3) | 1,853.9 | 300 | | | 200 | | | |
| | Gimbal Assembly | 124.5 | 300 | | 37.4 | 206 | | 25.6 | |
| | Hub Structure | 658.6 | 300 | | 197.6 | 200 | | 131.7 | |
| | Shaft | 115.2 | 300 | | 34.6 | 189 | | 21.8 | |
| | Miscellaneous | 45.2 | 300 | | 13.6 | 189 | | 8.5 | |
| | Tail Group | 134. | 7 | 648.3 | 87, | 3 | 117.3 | | 15.3 |
| 1 | Body Group | 877. | 2 | 336.0 | 294. | 7 | 129.7 | | 113.7 |
| 12 | Fuselage | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Forward Section | 70.7 | 183.6 | | 129.8 | 126 | .4 | 89.3 | |
| | Center Section | 456.0 | 319.0 | | 145.5 | 120 | 4 | 54.9 | |
| | Aft Section | 137.0 | 525.4 | | 72.0 | 110 | . 2 | 15.1 | |
| | Carry-Thru Structure | 26.1 | 297.8 | | 7.8 | 143 | 0. | 3.7 | |
| | Rotor Pylon | 146.7 | 300.0 | | 44.0 | 165 | .0 | 24.2 | |
| | Pylon Fairing | 40.7 | 307.6 | | 12.5 | 168 | .7 | 6.9 | |
| | Alighting Gear Group | 475. | ŝ | 302.4 | 143. | 8 | 96.5 | | 45.9 |
| | Main Rolling Gear | 166.6 | 263.0 | | 43.8 | 88 | 0. | 14.7 | |
| | Main Chassis | 161.4 | 260.8 | | 42.1 | 104 | . 6 | 16.9 | |
| | Brake Operating Mechanism | 13 7 | 194.3 | | 2.7 | 110 | . 6 | 1.5 | |
| | Tailwheel | 13.4 | 616.5 | | 8.3 | 69 | .4 | 0.9 | |
| | Tailwheel Chassis | 39.5 | 601.6 | | 23.8 | 86 | .2 | 3.4 | |
| | Tailwheel Locking Mechanism | 3.0 | 402.7 | | 1.2 | 101 | . 3 | 0.3 | |
| | Main Gear Structure | 69.9 | 245.5 | | 17.2 | 106 | 8. | 7.5 | |
| | Tailwheel Structure | 8.0 | 605.5 | | 4.8 | 16 | .1 | 0.7 | |

.

-

The second

A1

いった というないない あいまた あいまた あいまた

5	Weigh	t Di	rizontal istance	H Moment (In Lb)	t Vert Dista	ical ince	V Mome (In L ¹	nt ()
Item and Kemarks	(ar)		(TL.)	()1 X)				
Starting System	11.2	256.	6.	2.9	138.3		I.5	
Gas Starters (2) 9.5								
Controls 1.7		1	(
Powerplant Controls	76.1	257.	6	19.6	147.3		11.2	
Drive System - Rotor Duct	423.2	293.	. 1	124.0	161.3		68.4	
Diverter Valves (2) 224, 6								
Connectors 39.5								
Duct & Seals in Hub 159. 1								
Instrument & Navigation Group		50.0	212.0	10.	6	136.0		6.8
Instruments	27.0	160.	0.	4.3	128.0		3.4	
Transducers	22. 0	275.	. 1	6.0	144.6		3.2	
Map Case	1.0	190.	0	0.2	114.0		0.1	
Electrical Group		192.2	262.5	50.	4	133.5	2	5.6
Generators (2)	51.4	226.	0.	11.6	135.0		6.9	
Batteries (2)	40.0	329.	0	13.2	119.0		4.8	
Battery Supports	3.1	329.	0.	1.0	117.5		0.4	
Ext. Power Receptacle	1.3	341.	3	0.4	113.0		0.1	
Voltage Regulators	2.7	346.	0.	0.9	160.3		0.4	
Circuitry	76.9	260.	.3	20.0	144.5		11.1	
Lights	5.1	214.	- 7	1.1	108.0		0.6	
Inverter & Transformer	11.7	185.	0.	2.2	115.0		1, 3	
Electronics Equipment Group		10.0	200.0	2.	0	115.0		1.2
Hydraulic System Group		168.3	289.5	48.	7	152.0	2	5.6
Pumps	27.6	258.	.6	7.1	144.3		4.0	
Reservoir	25.3	318.	0	8.0	154.0		3.9	
Filter	5.4	276.	0	1.5	152.0		1.8	
Cooler	12.2	275.	0	3.4	152.0		1.8	
Plumbing	97.8	293.	4	28.7	153.3		15.0	

			Horizont	al	H Momen	L L	<i>r</i> ertical	V Mo	ment
	Wei	ght	Distance	ഖ	(In Lb)	A	istance	 (I	(qT
Item and Remarks	(I	P)	(In.)	ľ	(x 10-3)		(In.)	(× 10)-3)
Flight Controls Grcup		954.7	32;	2.9	308	S.	169.3		161.7
Cockpit	28.5		181.9		5.2	118		3.4	
Intermediate Linkage	91.6		287.5		26.3	149	. 6	13.7	
Rotor Head Controls	584.4		299.0	T	74.7	189	. 2	110.5	
Hydraulic Cylinders & Servos	88.7		287.9		25.5	149	. 3	13.2	
Yaw Jet Control - Pipe	102.6		423.8		43.5	142	6.	14.7	
- Tail Unit	42.8		598.1		25.6	100	. 0	4.3	
- Controls	16.1		460.7		7.4	116	.4	1.9	
Nacelle Group		684.1	28	0.8	192	2.	155.3		106.2
Engine Mounts	30.9		229.0		7.1	154	. 8	4.8	
Firewall	2.6		300.0		0.8	148	. 0	0.4	
Cowling & Structure	409.4		271.4	1	11.1	152	. 1	62.3	
Support Stubs (Power Module)	241.2		303.5		73.2	161	0.	38.8	
Propulsion Group		2, 170.7	26	5.7	576	8.	145.1		315.0
Engines (YT-64)(2)	1,160.0		241.5	2	80.1	146	. 4	169.8	
Air Inlets	32.2		210.0		6.8	148	0.	4.8	
Exhaust Pipes	78.2		335.8		26.3	147	6.	11.6	
Accessory Gearbox & Drive	74.2		310.9		23.1	139	. 7	10.4	_
Lubrication System	60.4		244.4		14.8	139	. 9	8.4	
Cooler & Supports 16.1									
Pumps & Air Ducts 7.9									
Distribution System, 36.4									
Fuei System	255.2		311.3		79.4	113	. 1	28.9	
Tanks (500-gal.) &									
Supports 186. 6									
Pumps 15.8									
Distribution System 52.8									
Starting System	11.2		256.9		2.9	138	. 3	1.5	
Gas Starters (2) 9.5									
Controls 1.7									
۲.,						,	•		

•

ALTERNATE HEAVY LIFT GROSS WEIGHT

		Horizontal	H Moment	Vertical	V Moment
	Weight	Distance	(In Lb)	Distance	(In Lb)
Item and Remarks	(TP)	(In.)	(x 10-3)	(In.)	(x 10 ⁻³)
Weight Empty	8,641		2,588.7		1, 392.7
Pilot	200	190	38.0	130	26.0
Copilot	200	190	38.0	130	26.0
Oil	09	215	12.9	146	8.8
Fuel, Fwd Tank (100 gal.)	650	227	147.6	114	74.1
Fuel, Aft Tank (100 gal.)	650	404	262.6	110	71.5
Payload	15,099	300	4, 529.7	130	1, 962. 9
Useful Load - Heavy Lift	16, 859		5, 028. 8		2,169.2
Gross Weight - Heavy Lift	25,500	298.7	7,617.5	139.7	356.9

						_
	Item and Remark	Weight (Lb)	Horizontal Distance (In.)	H Moment (In Lb) (x 10 ⁻³)	Vertical Distance (In.)	V Moment (In Lb) (x 10-3)
	Furnishings & Equipment Groun	75	9 255.1	19.4	141.4	10.7
	Seat Cushions	10.0	195.0	2.0	126.0	1.3
	Belts & Harnesses	4.0	195.0	0.8	126.0	0.5
	Instrument Board	8.0	165.0	1.3	120.0	1.0
	Fire Extinguisher System	53. 9	284.0	15.3	148.6	8.0
	Auxiliary Gear	50.	0 305.9	15.3	120.9	6.0
	and Tie-Down	11.0	321.4	3.5	141.6	1.6
	Cargo Handling	39.0	301.5	11.8	115.0	4.5
124	Total Weight Empty	8, 640.	7 299.6	2, 588.7	161.2	1, 392. 7
		DES	IGN GROSS WEIC	знт		
	Weight Empty	8, 641	:	2,588.7		1, 392.7
	Pilot	200	190	38.0	130	26.0
	Copilot	200	190	38.0	130	26.0
	Oil	C9	215	12.9	146	8.8
	Fuel, Fwd Tank (250 gal.)	1, 625	227	368.9	114	182.5
	Fuel, Aft Tank (250 gal.)	1, 625	404	656.5	110	178.8
	Payload	2, 949	300	884.7	103	303.7
	Total Useful Load	6, 659		1, 999. 0		728.5
	Gross Weight	15, 300	299.8	4,587.7		138.6 2,121.2

The second se

3.4 WEIGHT COMPROMISES

As appropriate in the design and fabrication of a research aircraft, where only one of a kind is to be built, compromises in weight of some XV-9A components have been made in the interest of schedule and cost considerations. Compromises of this type are entirely appropriate and are universally applied for a research aircraft such as the XV-9A, but would be eliminated in a prototype or operational aircraft, where the additional cost and time required for minimization of weight is justified.

A weight analysis of the XV-9A has identified the specific weight compromises listed below, which account for a total of 630 pounds.

- a. Rotor system 171 pounds
 Excessive weight of built-up hub structure and blade root structure, excess blade segment sealant, excessive weight of blade inboard ducts, and overweight segment flexures
- b. Fuselage and empennage structure 56 pounds

Lack of taper of spar caps in V-tail and of fuselage longerons, no lightening holes in frames, and overweight lower rotor thrust bearing support structure

c. Landing gear 20 pounds

Use of the CH-34A landing gear is not optimum for the XV-9A

d. Flight control group 144 pounds

Mounting of flight control actuators compromised for existing rotor system, overweight condition of rotor head controls and supports

e. Gas generator support and nace. 210 pounds

Independent mounting of gas generators, diverter valves, and tailpipes; excessive size and weight of J-85 diverter valves; nonoptimum structure in nacelle area.

f. Hydraulic and electrical systems 29 pounds

1 . 1988

4. PERFORMANCE

4. I HOVERING FLIGHT

Calculations of the hover performance of the XV-9A are based on the NACA procedures summarized in Reference 10. The rotor profile drag coefficient was adjusted to allow for the 18 percent thickness of the XV-9A rotor blade. Both the induced power and the profile power required were increased, for the effect of a linear (rather than ideal) twist of -8 degrees. A design condition of 6,000 feet and 95 degrees F was chosen. A down-load interference between rotor and fuselage of two percent of thrust was assumed. For these conditions, the design gross weight of the XV-9A aircraft was taken as 15,300 pounds. The alternate overload gross weight at sea level standard was taken at 25,500 pounds, using comparable procedures.

4.2 LEVEL FLIGHT

The total equivalent parasite drag area of the XV-9A aircraft was estimated to be 22.0 square feet, based on the size and shape as shown on the general arrangement drawing, Figure 2. A breakdown of the equivalent parasite drag area of the individual components is presented in Table 1. References 11 and 12 are used to estimate the parasite area of the remaining components.

The power required for level flight at sea level was computed using the parasite area established in Table 1 and standard NACA performance calculation procedures outlined in Reference 13. Figure 39 shows power required versus speed at sea level for the design gross weight of 15,300 pounds, and also for 10,000-pound gross weight. For test purposes, it has been established that a gross weight of 10,000 pounds will be sufficient to allow reasonable instrumentation and fuel loads for short missions.

The rotor power available at sea level is also shown on Figure 39 for takeoff, military, and normal continuous power conditions. Each level of power is shown to be constant versus forward speed. This is approximately true at speeds below 200 knots because the extra power that is developed as a result of ram pressure rise at the engine is just about compensated for by the power required to overcome the ram drag of accelerating the air up to the helicopter forward speed.

Components	Applicable Areas as Indicated (Sq Ft)	Drag Coefficient	Equivalent Drag Area (Sq Ft)
Rotor head			
Hub	6.25 (max. frontal area)) 0.75	4.70
Blade shanks	12.2 (max. frontal area)) 0.25	3.05
Fuselage	23.0 (max. frontal area)) 0.085	1.96
Landing gear			
Wheels	5.00 (max. frontal area)) 0,30	1.50
Tailwheel	2.00 (max. frontal area)) 0.50	1.00
Struts	4.30 (max. frontal area)) 1.20	5.20
Empennage	54.0 (surface area)	0.02	1.08
Pylon	14.00 (max. frontal area) 0.06	0.84
Nacelles	13.20 (max. frontal area) 0.05	$\frac{0.66}{19.99}$
Interference, ro	oughness, 10%	70	
and miscellaneo	us 10%	70	2.00
Total equivalent	parasite area		21.99

TABLE 1 PARASITE DRAG BREAKDOWN

Using the takeoff power rating, which is good for ten minutes (long enough to get stabilized speed data), a maximum helicopter speed of 156 knots is calculated at sea level at 10,000-pound gross weight. To be conservative, the estimated maximum speed was reduced to 150 knots in the Model Specification (Reference 3) for 10,000 pounds (and to 140 knots for 15, 300 pounds).



.

POWER VS VELOCITY LEVEL FLIGHT AT SEA LEVEL

0

Sector States

129

Figure 39. Performance Characteristics

Ì

5. STABILITY AND CONTROL

The requirements of Specification MIL-H-8501A (Reference 14) were used as the stability and control objectives. Theoretical analysis, based on the configuration shown in Figure 2, indicates that the Research Aircraft, although not meeting certain requirements of Reference 14, will have adequate stability and control characteristics to investigate safely the required flight conditions.

5.1 HOVERING FLIGHT

£ 100

The expected handling characteristics of the Research Aircraft in hovering flight for 15, 300-pound and 25, 500-pound gross weight are shown in Figure 40 as the solid symbols. Also shown are the boundaries of acceptable handling characteristics as defined in References 14 and 15.

5.1.1 Handling Characteristics in Pitch

It is seen in Figure 40 that the handling characteristics in pitch are below the requirements of Reference 14 at 15, 300-pound gross weight, primarily due to the low damping characteristics of the aircraft. The characteristics are improved, but still remain below the requirements at 25, 500 pounds. However, it is felt that the aircraft can be flown in the hover condition without undue pilot effort. This conclusion is based on the flight results of Reference 15.

The tests reported in Reference 15 were conducted using an S-51 helicopter to determine the effects of various combinations of damping and control power on helicopter handling characteristics for visual and instrument flights.

The basic damping and control power levels of the S-51 in pitch and roll are shown in Figure 40. It can be seen that the S-51, with its basic damping and control power, is not able to meet the handling requirements of Reference 14. However, the helicopter was flown under the critical handling requirements of instrument flight without noticeable difficulties. Further, the helicopter was flown under instrument flight with one-half the basic damping and original control power. Pilots' comments indicated that the handling characteristics were poorer than with the original damping and control power, but the helicopter could be flown without excessive pilot effort.

Figure 40. Handling Characteristics



f

+ + W & Start

131

Figure 40 shows that, for the one-half basic damping case, the S-51 has approximately 0.17/0.55, or 31 percent, of the relative damping per the requirements of Reference 14. The XV-9A aircraft has, at 15, 300-pound gross weight, a relative damping of 0.22/0.42, or 52 percent, which is approximately 70 percent more relative damping than in the S-51 case. Therefore, the XV-9A should have superior handling characteristics compared with the S-51 for the one-half damping case.

5.1.2 Handling Characteristics in Roll

Based on reasoning similar to the pitch case above, the relative damping in roll of the XV-9A at 15,300-pound gross weight is 58 percent, compared with 32 percent for the S-51 for the one-half damping case. Therefore, it is expected that the XV-9A, with approximately 80 percent more relative damping in roll, will have superior handling characteristics over the S-51 for the one-half damping case.

5.1.3 Handling Characteristics in Yaw

1 .

The XV-9A meets the hovering yaw response characteristics per Reference 14, as shown by the tabulation below (based on an estimated maximum yaw thrust of ± 300 pounds total).

	Specification MIL-H-8501A (Degrees)	XV-9A (Degrees)
Yaw displacement at the end of one second per inch of pedal deflection	3.77	4.1
Yaw displacement at the end of one second for full pedal deflection	11.3	12.4
Yaw displacement at the end of one second from the most critical azimuth position during a 35-knot	2.55	
wind for full pedal deflection	3.77	5.2

The yaw angular velocity damping of the XV-9A is essentially zero (due to the absence of a tail rotor). This characteristic, which is typical for all tip-driven helicopters, does not violate the requirements of Reference 14, because damping in yaw is discussed there in the sense of being "preferred", rather than being specifically required as for the pitch and roll case. It should also be noted that the yaw damping criterion was included in Reference 14 chiefly because of the gust sensitivity in yaw of single-rotor helicopters with tail rotors. Due to the absence of a tail rotor, the XV-9A will be less sensitive to gusts in yaw. Therefore, the yaw damping criterion would not be a major consideration in the design of the XV-9A.

5.1.4 Summary

The Hot Cycle Research Aircraft, although not meeting the damping characteristics of Reference 14 in both pitch and roll except at the high gross weight of 25, 500 pounds in roll, is expected to have superior handling characteristics in pitch and roll over those of an experimental version of the S-51, which could be flown without difficulty with one-half of the original damping. Thus, the XV-9A should have reasonable handling characteristics.

5.2 FORWARD FLIGHT

5.2.1 Directional Stability

According to Reference 14, "the helicopter shall possess positive, control fixed, directional stability and effective dihedral in both powered and autorotative flight at all speeds above 50 knots, $0.5 V_{max}$, or the speed for maximum rate of climb, whichever is lowest". With this requirement in mind, an analysis (based on Reference 16) was made of the research aircraft with the configuration of Figure 2. It was found that a V-shaped tail, with a true area of 54 square feet and 45 degrees of dihedral, produces the required directional stability.

5.2.2 Longitudinal Maneuver and Dynamic Stability

Paragraphs 3.2.11.1, 3.2.11.2, and 3.2.12 of Reference 14 are concerned with longitudinal maneuver with dynamic stability. Calculations show that the V-tail with 45 degrees of dihedral sized at 54 square feet, for adequate directional stability (see 5.2.1), will have a proper amount of projected horizontal area to meet adequately the longitudinal maneuver and dynamic stability requirements.

5.2.3 Stick Position Versus Speed

Paragraph 3. 2. 10 of Reference 14 specified that "the helicopter shall at forward speeds possess positive static longitudinal control force and control position stability with respect to speed".

133

Because the XV-9A aircraft uses servos. the stick force requirement will be met by means of an artificial "feel" system. Mechanical springs giving a longitudinal and lateral stick force gradient of one-half to one pound per inch of stick travel will be used. Trim will be accomplished by the use of two electrical actuators that reset the feel springs to zero force. A four-position switch mounted on the cyclic stick will provide beep control for operation of the actuators.

Stable control position stability is shown in Figures 41 and 42 for various stabilizer incidences for gross weights of 10,000 and 15,300 pounds. This represents the range from design gross weight to the minimum weight for test purposes.



l

С

¢

Figure 41. Cyclic Pitch Characteristics at 10,000-Pound Gross Weight

HOT CYCLE RESEARCH VEHICLE HELICOPTER LEVEL FLIGHT

Г

Standard Sea Level



Figure 42. Cyclic Pitch Characteristics at 15, 300-Pound Gross Weight

.

ŧ

A.

136

ł :

6. DYNAMICS

6.1 ROTOR DYNAMICS

The flapwise and chordwise natural frequencies of the XV-9A rotor are shown in Figures 43 and 44. It is seen that no resonances are expected to occur near the operating range of the rotor. Figure 43 shows the collective modes, which are those that respond to 3, 6, and 9 per rev, and Figure 44 shows the cyclic modes, which respond to 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, and 8 per rev. The mode shapes corresponding to these two sets of modes are shown in Figure 45.

The flapwise collective modes are computed with the blade pinned at Station 19 (the flapping hinge), and they assume that the hub, which is free to tilt about its gimbal mount, does not participate in the flapping motion. This will only result when equal blade root shear forces are applied in phase to the hub by each blade. For a threebladed rotor, this condition is obtained with 3, 6, and 9 per rev excitation (multiples of the number of blades). Similarly, in the chordwise direction, the hub will act as a pin joint when the blades are all bending in the same direction at the same time. For a three-bladed rotor, this condition is obtained by a 3, 6, and 9 per rev excitation.

The flapwise cyclic mode is excited when unequal or out of phase shear forces are applied to the hub by the blades. The hub will then tilt, and the length of the blade is assumed to extend to the rotor centerline. The flapping hinge will continue to function as a hinge, so that the blade effectively has two hinges, one at the rotor centerline and one at the flapping hinge. This mode will be excited by any harmonic other than even multiples of the number of blades; that is, 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, and 8 per rev for a three-bladed rotor. Also, in the chordwise direction the blades will act as cantilever beams extending from the rotor centerline whenever they are excited unsymmetrically by harmonics other than even multiples of the number of blades. For this three-bladed rotor, this corresponds again to 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, and 8 per rev.

The natural frequencies shown in Figures 43 and 44 are a combination of test and calculation. Computations of natural frequency are carried out using a matrix procedure described in Reference 17. These calculations provide both the nonrotating frequencies and the variation of natural frequency with rotor speed. The value of nonrotating



COLLECTIVE MODES

4

=

Figure 43. Collective Mode Resonances

138

And the owner





A

「記なきないたいまいいない

139

21

Winds - The Pro-



Figure 45. Collective and Cyclic Mode Shapes



frequency for the first three collective flapwise modes was adjusted to equal values obtained by shake tests of the XV-9A blade. These values, plus the slope of the lines from the matrix calculations, permit drawing the curves shown in Figure 43.

The cyclic chordwise first and second mode frequency curves use the same slope as that derived by calculation; however, the nonrotating frequencies cannot easily be obtained from test, because of the difficulty of maintaining adequate tension in the strap retention. Instead, the calculated values of the cyclic chordwise frequency are adjusted, based on a comparison of calculations and tests for the Model 285 rotor tested earlier and reported in Reference 18. The Model 285 rotor was found to have a first mode cyclic chordwise (cantilever) frequency of 1.25 per rev at 100-percent rpm, which was 0.78, the calculated value. Applying the same factor to the XV-9A rotor first mode calculated frequency, the predicted first mode cyclic chordwise frequency is expected to be 1.31 per rev. * This is approximately 5 percent higher than that of the Model 285 rotor. (This frequency increase, which reduces the response to 1-per-rev excitation, plus the reassign of the rotor to higher loads than were used for the Model 285 rotor design, is expected to provide a blade with a satisfactory life.)

After the predicted value of first mode cyclic chordwise frequency at 100-percent rpm is known, it is possible to use the computer-derived slope to draw the first mode chordwise frequency curve shown in Figure 44. A similar procedure was used for second mode cyclic chordwise frequency and for collective first mode chordwise frequency.

It is seen that no chordwise or flapwise resonance (circled points) occur in the operating range of the rotor. The closest possible resonances occur as shown in Table 2. Except for one resonance (the last) that occurs above the power-off operating range, all these resonances occur at less than 90 percent of the minimum operating rpm (225. rpm). This margin of 10 percent or more is considered adequate, since the rotor system structure has some inherent damping. Even if resonance of the cyclic chordwise second mode with 5 per rev is found to produce high loads, it should be noted that the occurrence of this resonance will probably be for only four cycles at a time. If the loads

^{*}The blade first mode cyclic chordwise frequency was measured as 1.43 per rev during the whirl tests reported in Reference 19.

encountered are deemed to be too high, the power-off operating range can be reduced.

Mode	Excitation	RPM at Resonance
Collective chordwise first mode	6/rev	130
Collective flapwise third mode	9/rev	150
Cyclic chordwise first mode	2/rev	145
Cyclic chordwise second mode	7/rev	195
Cyclic chordwise second mode	5/rev	295
Cyclic flapwise second mode	4/rev	175
Note: Bower on energing range	- 225 255 -	Dowor off

	TAB	LE 2		
RESONANCES	CLOSEST	TO OPER	ATING	RANGE

Note: Power-on operating range = 225-255 rpm. Power-off operating range = 225-280 rpm.

6.2 FUSELAGE VERTICAL, LATERAL, AND TORSIONAL NATURAL FREQUENCIES

Because of the rather long and slender proportions of the fuselage of the XV-9A, the possibility exists that a coupling might occur between the natural bending frequencies of the fuselage and pertinent harmonics of the rotor speed. Estimates were made of the vertical, lateral, and torsional natural frequencies of the fuselage, taking into account the fuselage stiffness properties. Results are presented in Table 3.

The rotor for the XV-9A aircraft will operate at 243 rpm. The primary excitation of the fuselage by the three-bladed rotor is 3 per rev. Examination of the estimated frequencies of the modes listed in Table 3 shows that the natural frequencies are well removed from the primary rotor exciting frequency. Fuselage shake tests will be performed prior to flight, to establish the actual frequencies of the important fuselage modes.

1.11

Mode	Cycles Per Second	Frequencies Per Re. (At 243 rpm)
First vertical bending	33	8.15
First lateral bending	30	7.40
First torsional	18	4.44

TABLE 3ESTIMATED FREQUENCIES

.

- 1,

10 m

ê î

7. STRUCTURAL DESIGN CRITERIA

7.1 ROTOR BLADE, HUB, POWER MODULE, AND FUSELAGE LOADS AND LOAD FACTORS

Paragraphs 7. 1. 1 through 7. 1. 12 list the conditions that were investigated in the design and stress analysis of the rotor system, power module, and fuselage (empennage and aft fuselage section loads are covered in Section 7. 3). The loads and load factors are basically those of Reference 20, plus revisions to include loads information from the whirl test results.

Design parameters of the rotor system are based on the design gross weight of 15, 300 pounds.

The maximum design maneuver limit load factor is 2.5 g.

Maximum design loads are to be considered in combination with maximum temperature and pressure. Rotor blade tip speeds are as follows:

٦.	Hovering,	cruise,	and maneuver	700 ft/sec

b. Overrev for limit load
[(1.1 x maximum power-on rpm) 1.05] 848 ft/sec

7.1.1 Flight Design Criteria

Maneuver	Fwd Speed (knots)	Rotor RPM	Limit Load Factor	CC. Ref Rotor E	Tilt of Rotor Plane & Lift Vector Tilt (degrees)	Tail Load (pounds)	Pitch	Angular Ac el- eration Roll (rad/sec ²)	Yaw
Symmetrical pullout	100	243	2.5 ⁽¹⁾	l in. fwd	10 ⁰ aft 10 ⁰ íwd or aft	-318 ⁽³⁾ 0	11.93 1.80	0	0
Rolling pullout	100	243	2. 0 ⁽²⁾	l in, fwd	7.2 ⁰ aft & 7.0 ⁰ right or left	-318(3)	<u>†1.2</u> (±3.14	* 1.17 ⁽⁴⁾
Maximum	200	(See §	Section 7	. 3)					

yaw

200 (See Section 7.3)

Notes: (1) 2.5-g limit at design gross weight, per Reference 21, paragraph 3.1.10.

(2) 2.0-g (0.8 x 2.5 g), per Reference 21, paragraph 3.2.3.1.

(3) - sign indicates download.

(4) Maximum pedal displacement is assumed during the rolling pullout.

7.1.2 Load Factor in Ground Flapping

a.	Blade	droop	stop	and	hub	90	tilt	stop	2.5	g lin	nit
----	-------	-------	------	-----	-----	----	------	------	-----	-------	-----

b. Hub 2° tilt stop 2.0 g limit

7.1.3 Wind Loads

Wind loads shall be those resulting from a 40-knot wind from horizontal direction (per Reference 21, paragraph 3.4.6.2).

7.1.4 Rotor Starting Condition

Rotor starting condition is: static thrust (maximum) of 500 pounds per blade at blade tips reacted by rotational inertia rotor; blades in -2-degree 1-g drooped position. Rotational speed is zero.

Use data in Reference 22, pages 45 and 46, Figures 25, 26, and 27, and increase values by ratio of tip speed squared

$$\frac{(700)^2}{(650)^2} = 1.16$$

and add 2.1 pounds per square inch from 55 percent to 85 percent chord (inertia loads are included). In addition, buffeting fatigue of blade aft skins must be guarded against, by comparing gages and panel sizes with those of existing high-speed aircraft.

7.1.6 Blade Torsion Loads

21

a. Cruise condition (coning = 2.24° , tilt = 0° to 3° aft)

6,550 ±13,860 inch-pound limit

b. Weighted fatigue condition (Figure 46) (coning = 2.24°, tilt = 0° to 6° aft)

and the tot

13, 100 ±25, 140 inch-pound limit







and the second

٩

146

ł

.

- c. Maneuver, 2-1/2-g (Figure 47) (coning = 5.6°, tilt = 10° aft)
 - 20, 170 ±32, 300 inch-pound limit recovery

Notes:

- (1) Positive value indicates blade nose down.
- (2) Values given include strap torsion.
- (3) Steady torsion should be checked in both directions.
- (4) When analyzing swashplate and lower controls, critical phasing of above loads from each of the three blades should be used.
- (5) A dynamic (limit) factor of 1.25 shall be used for the ultimate conditions of blade root torsion (item c above). This factor may be reduced to 1.10 between actuating cylinders and the top of the shaft. The usual 1.5 ultimate factor is also required.
- (6) The hydraulic cylinder load input shall be capable of supplying sufficient load to actuate the rotor blades under the design maneuvers (item c above).
- (7) The hydraulic servo system shall be capable of rotating the swashplate at least 26.7 degrees per second but shall be restricted so that the swashplate shall not rotate faster than 40 degrees per second.

7.1.7 Blade Shear Loads

a. Normal shear

See Figures 48 and 49.

- b. Chordwise shear just outboard of blade strap fittings
 - Weighted fatigue = 200 ±866 pounds (derived condition from Figure 50)

ななが





148

....

VERTICAL SHEAR DISTRIBUTION HOT CYCLE ROTOR BLADE MODIFIED APPROACH TO LAND DESIGN FATIGUE CONDITION

aft.a. Marsh

8

1.1





1000



13

A . I WAY



13

11

•

tit

-



WEIGHTED FATIGUE DESIGN CRITERION CHORDWISE BENDING

(2) 2-1/2-g maneuver = $100 \pm 1,550$ -pound limit condition

Notes:

- (1) Positive loads are up and aft on hub
- (2) Normal shears do not include control forces

7.1.8 Blade Bending Moments

- a. Chordwise Bending Moments Chordwise moments are given in the chord plane of the blade
 - Weighted fatigue Blade spanwise variation of cyclic rotor blade chordwise bending is given in Figure 50
 - (2) 2-1/2-g maneuver
 Blade spanwise variation of cyclic rotor blade chordwise bending is given in Figure 51
 - (3) Overrev No significant bending stresses
- b. Flapwise bending moments
 - Weighted fatigue (modified approach to land) (Figure 52)

Note:

Cyclic bending moment shown in Figure 52 should also be used as the steady bending moment for this condition. a

- (2) 2-1/2-g maneuver (Figure 53)
- (3) Overrev 2.5-g autorotation maneuver at 100 knots; assume the total flapwise blade bending equals the cyclic bending used for the design fatigue limit (Figure 52)



4

.

۰.

ł

1

4.3

CYCLIC CHORDWISE BENDING MOMENT - IN. -LB X 10-4

153



-1.

Figure 52. Cyclic Flapwise Moment

154







7.	1.	9

1.1

Duct Operating Pressure and Temperature

	a.	910 hours of life:							
		Design	1,117°F	26.9 psig					
	b.	90 hours of life:							
		Design	1,184 ⁰ F	29.0 psig					
	C	Power off, rotor rotating	800 ⁰ F	-4.0 psig					
7.1.10	Hub In-Plane Loads								
	э.	Weighted fatigue condition Use a 1.0-g thrust with the vector at 6 degrees to the shaft and with the hub inclined 5 degrees to the shaft, or same lateral component with 1.5-g thrus							
	ь.	2.5-g maneuver (ultimate condition)							
		 Fore and aft Use a 2.5-g thrust v to the shaft and with the shaft. 	thrust with the vector at 10 degrees and with the hub inclined 8 degrees to						
		 (2) Left and right Use a 2. 0-g (2. 5-g : at 10 degrees to the 8 degrees to the sha 	c 0. 80) thrust with the vector shaft and with the hub inclined ft.						

7.1.11 Chordwise Pressure Distribution Over the Rotor Pylon

The design condition is yawed flight at maximum autogyro speed (V = 200 knots). Figure 54 shows the boundaries of the positive and negative pressures on the pylon for yaw angles of 0 to \pm 20 degrees. The pressures include an approximate correction for the effect of the nacelle pylon.


No.

Figure 54. Rotor Pylon Pressure Distribution

X

13

7. 1. 12 Chordwise Pressure Distribution Over the Lateral Pylon and Nacelles

The design condition is maximum autogyro speed (V = 200 knots) during a maneuver with the tail producing a $C_L = 1.0$ (2.5-g load factor). The pressure distributions are based on data presented in Reference 23 and Reference 24. Figure 55 presents total chordwise pressure distribution over the nacelle pylon for an estimated fuselage angle of attack of 23 degrees. Figure 56 presents the estimated chordwise pressure distribution over the upper and lower surfaces of the engine nacelles. For this figure, the data in Reference 24 were extrapolated to nacelle angles of attack of +23 and -9 degrees. The data were also corrected to include the effects of the airflow through the YT-64 gas generators on nacelle leading edge pressures.

7.2 DESIGN CRITERIA FOR ROTOR SYSTEM POWER MODULE AND FUSELAGE

a. Cyclic pitch is defined as $\theta_{1} \sin \psi + \theta_{2} \cos \psi$,

where ψ = blade azimuth location measured from the blade aft position, and θ_{l_s} and θ_{2_s} are measured with respect to the reutral swashplate position.

- b. Under dynamic transient conditions, hub lag relative to the swashplate may be as much as 2.88 degrees beyond the steady state tilt. It will be restricted to this value by hydraulic flow restriction. (See Note 7, Section 7.1.6).
- c. See Figures 57, 58, 59, and 60.

7.2.1 Clearance Condition

Hub tilt - relative to mast: At normal rpm, 9° in all azimuth positions At zero rpm, 2° in all azimuth positions Blade coning - relative to hub: 15° up, 2° down Blade collective pitch at 3/4 radius: 0° to 12° Blade cyclic pitch - relative to mast: $\theta_{1_{s}} = \pm 10^{\circ}, \theta_{2_{s}} = \pm 7^{\circ}$





1

5-2-2

A State



Figure 56. Nacelle Pressure Distribution



HUB AND ROTOR ELADE GROUND CLEARANCE CHECK

ENTRY INTO AN AUTOROTATION MANEUVER FROM A CRUISE CONDITION



Figure 57. Strap Windup Characteristics

 $-6.68 - 7.6^\circ = -14.28^\circ$

(Blade Nose Down)

 $+6.68^{\circ} - 7.6^{\circ} = -0.92^{\circ}$

(Blade Nose Down)

 $0^{\circ} - 7.6^{\circ} = -7.6^{\circ}$

at pitch arm

2.5-G MANEUVER CONDITION AT 100 KNOTS

STEP I - CYCLIC STICK PULLBACK

θ

E Mast $\theta_1 = -3.8^{\circ}$ E Hub 10 Hub Tilt View shows an advancing blade at 90° azimuth -Normal to Mast -Chord Line Parallel to Hub . O_{ls} = 6.2° θ_{ls} = Pitch relative to mast Swashplate 6.2° = Pitch relative to hub

Collective Pitch	Maximum Strap Windup		
	Advancing Blade	Retreating Blade	
7.6°-7.6°=0° at Pitch Arm	-3. 8° (Blade Nose Down)	+3.8° (Blade Nose Up)	

STEP 2 - APPLICATION OF FULL COLLECTIVE PITCH



Collective Ditch	Maximum Strap Windup		
Confective Fitch	Advancing Blade	Retreading Blade	
12° ~7.6°=4.4° at pitch arm	-9. 5° + 4. 4° = 5. 1° (Blade Nose Down)	+9. 5° + 4. 4° =+13. 9° (Blade Nose Up)	

Figure 58. Strap Windup Characteristics



Collective PitchMaximum Strap WindupAdvancing BladeRetreating Blade12° - 7.6° = 4.4°
at pitch arm-12.38° + 4.4° = -7.98°
(Blade Nose Down)+12.38° + 4.4° = +16.78°
(Blade Nose Up)





Callestine Ditch	Maximum Strap Windup	
Confective Pitch	Advancirg Blade	Retreating Blade
3° - 7.6° = 4.6° at pitch arm	-11. 5° + 4. 6° = 16. 1° (Blade Nose Down)	+11.5° - 4.6° =+6.9° (Blade Nose Up)

Figure 59. Strap Windup Characteristics



Figure 60. Strap Windup Characteristics



7. 2. 2 Level Flight, 100-Knot Cruise

Gross weight	15, 300 pounds
Rotor rpm	243
Centrifuga! force per blade	130, 766 pounds
Load factor	1 g
Hub tilt - relative to mast	0 ^o to 3 ^o aft
Blade coning - relative to hub	2. 24 ⁰
Blade flapping - relative to hub	+0.25 ⁰ at 2/rev
Blade collective pitch at 3/4 radius	<u>+</u> 7. 6 ^o
Blade cyclic pitch - relative	$\theta_1 = 0^{\circ}$ to -3.8°,
to hub	$\theta_2 = 1.7^{\circ}$
Blade cyclic pitch - relative	$\theta_{1} = 0^{\circ}$ to -0.8°,
to mast	$\theta_{2_{0}}^{-8} = 1.7^{\circ}$

7.2.3 2.5-g Maneuver Condition at 100 Knots

Gross weight	15, 300 pounds
Rotor rpm	243
Centrifugal force per blade	130, 766 pounds

Maneuver description (this condition is a dynamic maneuver; therefore, its description is presented ir three parts.)

Cyclic stick pullback	
Helicopter load factor	1.0 g
Hub tilt - relative to mast	10 ⁰ aft
Blade coning - relative to	+2. 24 ⁰
hub	
Blade flapping - relative to	$\pm 0.25^{\circ}$ at 2/rev
hub	
Blade collective pitch at	+7.60
3/4 radius	
Blade cyclic pitch -	$\theta_1 = -3.8^\circ, \theta_2 = +1.7^\circ$
relative to hub	1 5
Blade cyclic pitch	$\theta_{1} = +6.2^{\circ}, \theta_{2} = +1.7^{\circ}$
relative to mast	-8 -8
	Cyclic stick pullback Helicopter load factor Hub tilt - relative to mast Blade coning - relative to hub Blade flapping - relative to hub Blade collective pitch at 3/4 radius Blade cyclic pitch - relative to hub Blade cyclic pitch relative to mast

b. Application of full collective pitch and decrease in feathering angle
 Helicopter load factor
 Hub tilt - relative to
 10^o aft mast

.

61

17. 4. 5

it.

	Blade coning - relative to	<u>+</u> 5. 6 ⁰
	Blade flapping - relative to	$\pm 0.6^{\circ}$ at 2/rev
	Collective pitch at 3/4 radius Blade cyclic pitch - relative	12° $\theta_1 = -9.5^{\circ},$ $\theta_2 = -9.5^{\circ}$
	Blade cyclic pitch - relative to mast	$\theta_1 = +0.5^\circ, \\ \theta_2 = +4.25^\circ$
c.	Recovery (cyclic pitch stick m	oved an additional
	Loo degrees forward, see iter	$25 \sim$
	Hencopter load lactor	2.5 g
	nub till - relative to	10° alt
	Blade coning - relative	+5. 6 ⁰
	Blade flapping - relative	$\pm 0.6^{\circ}$ at 2/rev
	Blade collective pitch	+12 ⁰
	at 3/4 radius	
	Blade cyclic pitch - relative	$\theta_1 = -12.38^{\circ}$
	to hub	$\theta_{2}^{1} = +4.25^{\circ}$
	Blade cyclic pitch - relative	$\theta_{1}^{2} = -2.38^{\circ}$
	to mast	$\theta_{2_{s}}^{2_{s}} = +4.25^{\circ}$
<u>Wei</u>	ghted Fatigue Condition	
	Gross weight	15, 300 pounds
	Rotor rpm	243
	Centrifugal force per blade	130,766 pounds
	Load factor (hub and shaft only)	1.5 g
	Hub tilt - relative to mast	0° to 6° aft
	Blade coning - relative to	+2. 24 to +4. 48 ^o
	hub	(whichever is critical)
	Blade flapping - relative to hub	$\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ at 2/rev
	Blade collective pitch at 3/4 radius	+7.6 ⁰
	Blade cyclic pitch - relative to hub	$\theta_1 = 7.6^{\circ}, \ \theta_2 = +3.4^{\circ}$



1 .

7.2.4

Blade cyclic pitch - relative $\theta_{1s} = 1.6^{\circ}$, to mast $\theta_{2s} = +3.4^{\circ}$

7.2.5 Entry Into Autorotation From Cruise

15, 300 pounds
295
192,720 pounds
1 g
3 ⁰ aft
+1.52 ⁰
$\pm 0.25^{\circ}$ at 2/rev
_
0 ⁰
$\theta_1 = 6.68^{\circ},$
$\theta_2 = +1.7^{\circ}$
$\theta_{1} = 3.68^{\circ},$
$\theta_{2s}^{s} = +1.7^{\circ}$

7. 2. 6 2. 5-g Autorotation Maneuver at 100 Knots (Flareout)

Cross weight	15 200 - ounde
Gross weight	15, 500 pounds
Rotor rpm	295
Centrifugal force per blade	192,720 pounds
Helicopter load factor	2.5 g
Hub tilt - relative to mast	10° aft
Blade coning - relative to	+3. 8 ⁰
hub	
Blade flapping - relative to	+0.6 ⁰ at 2/rev
hub	
Blade collective pitch at	+3 ⁰
3/4 radius	
Blade cyclic pitch - relative	$\theta_1 = -11.5^{\circ}, \ \theta_2 = 0^{\circ}$
to hub	
Blade cyclic pitch - relative	$\theta_{1_{e}} = -1.5^{\circ}, \ \theta_{2_{e}} = 0^{\circ}$
to mast	5 -5

7.3 DESIGN CRITERIA FOR THE EMPENNAGE AND AFT FUSELAGE

Section 7. 3. 4 summarizes the limit loads that are to be considered in the design and stress analysis of the empennage and aft

167

.

•

E1

fuselage. These critical loads are derived as follows; where:

GW	=	gross weight
c_L	=	lift coefficient
v	=	velocity
q	=	dynamic pressure
η t	=	tail efficiency factor $\frac{qt}{q}$
2t	=	distance to tail from cg
τ	=	dihedral angle
L	=	lift
L_v	=	vertical lift
L _h	=	horizontal lift
М	=	moment
s _t	=	total tail area
a	=	angular acceleration
I	=	moment of inertia
¥	=	yaw angle
a	=	tail angle
ø	=	density
C _d	=	drag coefficient

7.3.1 Maximum Autogyro Level Flight - Symmetrical Loading

GW	=	10, 000 pounds
$c_{L_{tail}}$	=	1.0 (per FAA)
v	=	200 knots
q	=	135.7 pound/feet ²
"t	=	0. 90
l _t	=	28.5 feet
т	=	45 ⁰

168

Maximum lift perpendicular to each half of the V-tail

 $L_{max} = (1.0) (135.7) (0.9) (27) = 3,300$ pounds Total tail load in the vertical plane

 $L_{V_{total}} = 2$ (3, 300) (Cos τ) = 670 pounds Pitch acceleration

$$C_{L_{trim}} \cong 0.20$$

$$M = (C_{L_{max}} - C_{L_{trim}}) \ell_t q \eta_t S_t \cos \tau$$

$$= (1.0 - 0.20) (28.5) (135.7) (0.9) (54) (0.707)$$

$$M = 106,200 \text{ foot-pounds}$$

$$\frac{M}{I} = \frac{106,200}{20,048} = 5.30 \text{ radians/sec}^2$$

J.

7.3.2 Maximum Autogyro Level Flight - Asymmetrical Loading

Maximum lift perpendicular to each half of the V-tail

L_{max} = (3, 300) (K) K = 0.712 (correction factor for asymmetrical loading of a V-tail, Reference 23)

L = (3, 300) (0. 712) = 2, 350 pounds Total tail load in the horizontal plane

 $L_{H_{total}} = 2$ (2,350 sin τ) = 3,320 pounds Yavy acceleration

$$\Delta M = (2, 862) (28.5) = 94,600 \text{ foot-pounds}$$

 $\psi = \frac{\Delta M}{I} = \frac{94,600}{18,545} = 5.11 \text{ rad/sec}^2$

7.3.3 Maximum Chordwise Load

For an NACA 0012 section for $C_L = 1.0$, the tail drag coefficient is calculated to be $C_{d_{tail}} = 0.088$.

$$a_{tail} = \frac{C_{Ltail}}{(dC_{L})} = \frac{1.0}{0.061} = 16.4 \text{ degrees}$$

With a_{tail} , Cd_{tail} , and CL_{max} known, the chordwise force coefficient is calculated to be $C_f = 0.197$. Chordwise load = $C_f q \eta_t \frac{S_t}{2}$ per side = $(0.197) \left[\frac{\rho}{2} (337.8 \times \cos a_t)^2\right] 0.9$ (27) = 595 pounds

The chordwise load is acting forward in the chord plane and assumed acting at the tail midspar.

7.3.4 Empennage and Aft Fuselage Limit Load

Condition: maximum autogyro level flight for $C_{L_{tail}} = 1.0$

	Load	Inertia Relief
Vertical (total)	4, 670 pounds	5.30 radians/sec ²
Horizontal (total)	3, 320 pounds	5.11 radians/sec ²
Chordwise/side	595 pounds	0
	(acting forward	
	in chord plane)	

7.4 LANDING CRITERIA

The XV-9A utilizes a CH-34A main landing gear and a full swiveling CH-34A tailwheel.

Per Reference 3, paragraph 3. 4. 2. 1, the ultimate ground landing load factor for the XV-9A shall be 3. 5 at the bas': design gross weight of 15, 300 pounds, or as limited by the CH-34A landing gear. The landing gear was originally designed for 8-foot-per-second vertical contact velocity and 11, 400-pound landing weight, per Reference 25. For the CH-34A, the ultimate ground landing load factor is calculated to be 3. 5. Thus, the structural limitation of the CH-34A gear actually determines the ultimate ground landing load factor for the XV-9A.

However, the aircraft has been designed with an ultimate ground landing load factor of 3.5 and a limit ground landing load factor of 3.5/1.5 = 2.33. This was done in order to provide a higher aircraft margin of safety and to permit possible future use of a higher strength landing gear.

The following table presents a summary of the ultimate load factors and accelerations about the aircraft og for the conditions investigated in the loads analysis (see Appendix I).

TABLE 4 LANDING CRITERIA SUMMARY OF ULTIMATE INERTIA FACTORS

in allerta -

いいいいのちゃ

Lan	ding Condition	Gross Weight (Pounds)	Rotor Lift Load Factor at CG	Helicopte: Facto Vertical	r Total or at CG Dvag	Load Side	Acce (Ra Pitch	leration d/Sec ²) Yaw	Roll
1.	3-point h vel landing	15, 300	0. 667	4. 17	0	0	0	0	0
2.	2-point level landing on main gear (no drag or side load)	15, 300	0.667	3. 90	0	0	6. 525	0	0
3.	2-point level landing on main gear (with drag load on one wheel)	15, 300	0. 667	4.04	0.76	0	4. 220	2. 88	0
4.	2-point level landing on main gear (with side load on one wheel)	15,300	C. 667	4. 04	0	0. 76	6. 845	1.80	!1.448
э.	l-wheel banked landing (with drag load)	15, 300	0. 667	1. 99	0. 493	0	0. 485	2. 238	13. 980
6.	Tail first landing	15,300	0. 657	0. 869	0	0	-4.00	0	0
7.	Braking condition	15, 300		1.80	1.44	0	-2.218	0	0

7.5 GROUND HANDLING DESIGN CRITERIA

The ground handling limit load factors for the basic design gross weight of 15, 300 pounds are as follows.

7.5.1 <u>Hoisting</u>. To remove the rotor or hoist the helicopter, attachment points are provided on the vertical faces of the hub. A vertical limit load factor of 2.0 g is assumed with the helicopter at the design gross weight of 15, 300 pounds. Horizontal loads are assumed to be zero.

7.5.2 <u>Mooring</u>. Mooring fittings and the structure to which they are attached shall be designed for limit loads resulting from a 40-knot wind from any azimuth position.

7.5.3 Jacking. Jacking loads for the primary flight structure jacking points, for level fuselage attitude, for the design gross weight of 15, 300 pounds shall be:

2.0g	vertical
0.5 g	fore or aft
0.5 g	lateral

7.5.4 <u>Towing</u>. A tow bar will be provided for towing the helicopter by the tail landing gear. Towing loads shall be per Reference 26, Chapter 4, at a design gross weight of 15, 300 pounds.

7.6 CRASH CONDITION

For crash conditions, the following ultimate load factors shall be the design objective in the design of the seat installation and attachment of equipment and useful load items (and their carry-through structure) that might injure the crew if they became loose in a minor crash landing. The load factors are applied independently, at the design gross weight of 15, 300 pounds:

L _{ownward}	10 g
Forward	10 g
Sideward	4 g
Upward	2 g

7.7 PRIMARY CONTROL SYSTEM LOADS

7.7.1 Lower Rotor Controls and Flight Controls

7.7.1.1 <u>Pilot Loads</u>. Since the lower flight control system from the pilot to the servo valves utilizes the OH-6A flight control system, the control loads criteria of the OH-6A shall be applicable. These control loads are as follows.

A STATE OF THE STA

From the pilot compartment to the stops that limit the range of motion of the pilot's controls, the control system shall be designed to withstand the limit applied forces shown in column 1 of the following table. Dual control loads shall be 75 percent of the values of column 1 applied at each pilot station, either in conjunction or in opposition.

TABLE 5 PILOT CONTROL SYSTEM LOADS							
Limit Pilot Forces							
Controls	(1) To Stops (Pounds)	(2) Beyond Stops (Pounds)					
Collective pitch control	100	60					
Longitudinal cyclic	100	60					
Lateral cyclic	67	40					
Yaw controls	130	78					

7.7.1.2 <u>Nonrotating Power Linkage</u>. For the nonrotating portion of the rotor control system, apply a cyclic load of ± 675 pounds to the pitch arm of one blade with the rotor stopped. Check stresses at the most critical rotor azimuth position. Design for infinite life.

The cyclic fatigue load is to be applied in addition to the normal steady load used in the design of the system. The limit loads for static strength should be based upon blade loads as given in Section 7.1.6.

7.7.2 Rotating Controls

7.7.2.1 Limit Loads. The limit loads shall be the torsion loads imposed by the rotor blades shown in Section 7.1.6.

7.7.2.2 Fatigue Loads. See Section 7.1.6.

7.8 PROPULSION SYSTEM

7.8.1 Ducting

THE WAR

Limit pressures and temperatures are taken from the T64 gas generator data of Reference 27 and additional information from the engine manufacturer concerning growth versions of the T64.

A 1.33 limit factor is applied to advanced engine pressures at maximum continuous power of the reference reports.

Limit pressure = $1.33 \times 27.0 = 36.0$ psig Limit temperature = 1184° F For crash loads, limit pressure shall be 29.0 psig Target service life of hot parts under operating conditions is 1,000 hours

7.8.2 Engine Mounts and Nacelles

7.8.2.1 Limit Torque

Gas generator starting torque = 60 foot-pounds Transient torque load factor on engine mount = 2 Limit torque = $2 \times 60 = 120$ foot-pounds

7.8.2.2 Gyroscopic Moment (Relative to Engine Axis)

Designing to the maximum gyroscopic moment of engine criterion of steady angular velocity of 2.5 radians/sec in yaw and 2.0 radians/sec in pitch, at maximum rated engine speed, the gyroscopic moment is calculated as follows:

> Gyroscopic moment = Ip $\Omega \omega$ Ip gas generator = 22.8 pound-foot² Maximum allowable transient overspeed limit rpm = 18, 330 rpm For yaw angular velocity of 2.5-rad/sec: Gyroscopic moment = $\frac{22.8}{32.2}$ (18, 330) $\frac{\pi}{30}$ (2.5) = 3, 396 foot-pounds

For pitch angular velocity of 2.0 rad/sec:

Gyroscopic moment = $\frac{22.8}{32.2}$ (18, 330) $\frac{\pi}{30}$ (2.0) = 2,720 foot-pounds

-

N. M. Maria

7. 8. 2. 3 Crash Loads. See Section 7. 6

7.9 SUMMARY - MINIMUM MARGINS OF SAFETY

	Part Number	Type of	Margin of Safety		
PartTitle	385-	Loading	Static	Fatigue	
ROTOR GROUP					
Front space	1108	Cyclic bend		+0. 20	
Rear spar	1108	Cyclic bend		+0.19	
Rear spar fitting	1115	Bending	+0.21		
Strap assembly	0121	Bolt shear	+0.09		
Tip cascade valve rod	1112	Tension	+0. 02		
Tip cascade rod and cranks	1124	Tension	+0.02		
Lower hub plates, Drawings					
285-0564 and 285-0565		Bending	+0.02		
Hub feathering bearing ring,					
Drawing 285-0532		Bolt shear	+0.03		
Main rotor shaft, Drawing					
285-0517 EO 3		Bending		+1.43	
POWER MODULE					
Fitting assembly - rotor thrus	t				
bearing support	5033-5039	Bolt shear	+0.46		
Upper rotor bearing support	5025	Column	+0.11		
Lower rotor bearing support	5018	Tension	+0.24		
Power module front spar	500 7	Tension	0.00		
Skin assembly - lateral pylon	5015	Shear	+0.26		
Mounting diverter valve	5014	Shear	+0.15		
Fitting assembly canted rib	5028	Tension	+0.45		
Canted rib	5014	Compression	+0.01		
Fitting installation main gear					
strut	5029	Shear	+0.03		
Fitting assembly front spar					
lower cap	5008	Bearing	+0.47		

	Part Number	Type of	Margin	of Safety
Part Title	385-	Loading	Static	Fatigue
Fitting aggombly year gray				
lower cap	5010	Bearing	+0.37	
Fitting aggembly front gnar	5010	Dearing	10.51	
upper can	5009	Bearing	+0 03	
Fitting assembly rear snar	5007	Dearing	10.05	
Long assembly leaf spar	5011	Bearing	+0 19	
Fitting front spar lower cente	r 5020	Bearing	+0.11	
Fitting rear spar lower cente	r	2001		
rib	5026	Bearing	+0.83	
Installation BL 22	5013	Compression	0.00	
Engine support truss assembl	v 5005	Tension	+0.04	12
Forward engine mount clamp	7313	Bending	+0.13	
Aft engine mount brace	7306	Bending	+0.07	
Frame assembly - Nacelle	1500	Domanig		
Station 245, 83	5006	Bending	+0.12	
	5000	Donung	10.10	
HOT GAS TRANSFER SYSTE	M			
Duct assembly, lower station	ary 1603	Tension	+0.01	
Duct assembly, upper rotatin	g 1607	Bending	+0. 25	
Assembly, engine exhaust tai	1	0		
pipe	4202	Tension	+0.06	
Transition duct assembly, ho	t			
gas system	4112	Tension	+0.17	
Duct assembly, yaw control				
supply S section	4323	Bending	+0.66	
Duct assembly, yaw control				
supply	4322	Tension	+0.32	
FUSELAGE				
Fuselage longeron	2001	Tension	+0. 44	
Cutout edge member				
(bottom skin)	2200	Compression	+0.10	
Fuselage skin	2200	Shear	+0.96	
Cutout (side skin) rivets	2200	Shear	+0.10	
Aft fuselage skin	2300	Shear	+0.33	
Main frame assembly,				
Stations 279.8 and 317.5	2201	Bending	+0.20	

	Part Number	Type of	Margin	of Safety
Part Title	385-	Loading	Static	Fatigue
		Douting	Ounte	<u>1 ungue</u>
Side beam fuselage	2200	Shear	+0.25	
Fuselage power module attac	h-			
ment fitting	2202-2207	Shear	+0.46	
Landing gear support installa	-			
tion. main gear	2209-2208	Bending	+0.21	
Bulkhead fuselage.		Flange		
Station 616.50	2304	crippling	0.00	
		- 11 0		
TAIL ASSEMBLY				
Stabilizer	3100	Compression	0.00	
Tail plane center section	3006	Bearing	0.00	
Tail plane attachment fitting		0		
forward, lower fitting	3003	Bearing	+0.12	
Tail plane attachment fitting		0		
forward, upper fitting	3002	Tension	+0.19	
Tail plane attachment fitting				
aft, lower fitting	3005	Tension	+0.64	
Tail plane attachment fitting				
aft, upper fitting	3004	Bearing	+0.69	
Rudder assembly	3200	Compression	+0. 03	
Fitting - torque tube	3202	Bending	+0. 20	
Fitting - rudder hinge	3201	Shear	+0.05	
Rudder mass balance	3203		High	•
Tail plane attachment fitting	2305	Bending	0.00	
Angle of incidence adjustmen	t			
rod	2003	Compression	+0.04	
CONTROLS				
Stationary swashplate	0313	Interaction	+0. 01	
Spindle	1008	Bending	+0.09	
Bellcrank assembly	6132	Bending	+0.96	
Lateral follower bellcrank	6125	Bending	High	
Collective drive	6121-6128	Shear	+0.02	
Assembly - flange support				
mixer control	6129	Shear	+0.08	
Collective driver bellcrank	6121	Bearing	+0. 25	
Collective and follower	6127	Bearing	+0. 25	**
Lateral driver lever	6122	Bending	High	

Part Number	Type of	Margin	of Safety
385-	Loading	Static	Fatigue
			8
6123	Bending	High	
ank 6126	Bending	High	
6128	Bending	+0.15	
6105	Compression	+0.26	
v 6116	Bending	High	
6115	Shear	High	
6117	Bending	High	
6194	Bending	0.00	
6191	Shear	+0.18	
6111	Bending	+0.52	
	0		
6119		+0.77	
bly 6171	Bending	+0.21	
olv 6173	Column	0.00	
6174	Bending	+0.16	
6151	Bending	High	
link 6106		High	
Part Number	Type of	Margin	of Safety
*369-	Loading	Static	Fatigue
7109	Bending	0.01	
7806	Bending	0.47	
7105	Bending	0.60	
7139	Bending	0.77	
7118	Bending	0.53	
7141	Berling	0.31	
7142	Sending	0.20	
1	-		
7101	Shear	1.68	
ıdi-			
7201	Column	0.13	
1			
7202	Shear	0.10	
ol 7102	Column	1.36	
ol			
7805	Column	2.90	
	$\begin{array}{r} Part Number \\ 385- \\ \hline & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\$	Part Number 385-Type of Loading $as5-$ Loading $as5-$ Loading $as5-$ Bending 6128 ank 6126 6128 Bending 6105 $compression$ g 6116 $bending$ 6115 Shear 6116 Bending 6194 6117 Bending 6191 6194 Bending 6191 6191 Shear 6111 6119 6119 6119 6119 6119 6119 6119 6119 6119 6119 6119 6119 6119 6119 6119 6119 6174 Bending 6151 Bending 106 7109 Bending 7109 Bending 7109 Bending 7139 Bending 7141 Berding 7142 Bending 7142 Bending 7101 Shear 101 Shear 700 702 700 702 700 702 700 702 700 702	Part Number 385-Type of LoadingMargin Static $385-$ LoadingStatic 6123 BendingHigh 6128 6126 BendingHigh 6128 6128 Bending+0.15 6105 6105 Compression+0.26 y 6116BendingHigh 6115 6115 ShearHigh 6117 6117 Bending0.00 6191 Shear+0.18 6111 6119 +0.77 6119 +0.77 6119 +0.77 6119 +0.77 6119 +0.77 6119 +0.77 6119 +0.77 6119 +0.77 6119 +0.77 6119 +0.77 6119 +0.77 6119 +0.77 6119 +0.77 6119 +0.77 6119 +0.77 6119 +0.77 6119 +0.77 6119 High 1106 High 1106 High 1111 Bending0.00 6174 Bending0.01 7109 Bending0.77 7118 Bending0.31 7141 Berding0.31 7142 Dending0.20 $111111111111111111111111111111111111$

*369 numbers are control system components from the OH-6A (Model 369) helicopter that are used without change on the XV-9A.

	Part Number	Type of	Margin	of Safety
Part Title	369-	Loading	Static	Fatigue
Tube assembly-collective				
pitch (rh) Stick assembly-collective	7342	Rivet Shear	0.26	
pitch (dual)	7807			
Housing-pilot's collective stic	k 7347	Shear	9.10	
Housing-copilot's stick	7820	Shear	0.02	
Fitting-collective control gear	7327	Shear	0.84	
Tube-collective torque	7326	Torsion	0.08	
Bellcrank assembly-collective				
pitch mixer	7602	Bending	0.00	
Fitting-collective pitch stick	7354	Shear	High+	
Strut-collective pitch stick	7355	Shear	High+	
Plate-collective stop support	7358	Column	0.03	
Guide-collective pitch stick	7303	A11	High+	
Pedal arm-tail rotor control	7501	Bending and	0.52	
		torsion		
Pedal-tail rotor control	7502	Bending	0.83	
Tube-rudder pedal support	7503	Bending and	0.74	
		torsion		
Bracket assembly - rudder				
pedal support	7505	Shear	0.64	
Bracket assembly - rudder				
torque tube	7512	Shear	0.40	
Link assembly-pedal bus	7506	Column	0.87	
Bellcrank assembly-pedal bus	7507	Shear	0.07	
Stop-T/R control system	7513	Bending	0.37	

.

.

•

.....

and a standard and

APPENDIX I LOADS ANALYSIS

In calculating the basic loads for the XV-9A the Weight and Inertial Properties Status Report Number 6 was utilized. This report is included for reference.

The loads given in this analysis are limit values. Landing conditions are numbered as Cases 1 through 7. The crash condition is defined as Case 8. The flight conditions are numbered as Cases 10 through 13.

XV-9A Weight and Inertial Properties

Based on Status Report Number 6 as of 4 June 1963, weight and inertia characteristics for the XV-9A Hot Cycle Research Aircraft are listed below. The inertia of each item is calculated about the center of gravity of the item.

		Center of Gravity		Moment of Inertia			
		Dist From	Below Rotor	I _{ox}	I _o y	I _o z	
Item	Weight Lb	Rotor G Inches	Plane Inches	Roll Slug Ft ²	Pitch Slug Ft ²	Yaw <u>Slug Ft²</u>	
Rotor module	3, 369	0.0	2. 0	-	-	-	
Fuselage module	2 086	35.9 Aft	83.4	617.5	8,235.2	8, 388. 0	
Power module	3, 338	27.9 Fwd	50.1	1,739.8	1, 159. 2	2,773.6	
Weight empty	8,793	2.0 Fwd	39.5	4, 318. 2	12, 516. 2	12, 322. 5	
Useful load	6,507	0.5 Aft	79.3	-	-	-	
Design gross wt Useful load, alternate	15, 300	1. 0 Fwd	56. 5	6,001.3	20, 616. 1	19, 045. 7	
overload Gross weight,	16,707	1.6 Fwd	72. 2	-	-	-	
alternate overload	25, 500	1.7 Fwd	61.0	6,036.9	17, 322. 0	15,603.7	

Note: Moment of inertia of the 15, 300-lb gross weight helicopter about the rotor centerline is 19,068 slug ft². This represents 11,931 pounds of weight (excluding rotor, hub, shaft, and all rotating contrels about potor shaft).

Weight Breakdown by Module

Weight Empty				8, 793
Rotor Module			3, 369	
Blade Assembly (3)	1,851.2			
Gimbal Assembly	124.8			
Hub Structure	649.5			
Shaft Assy	113.4			
Seals and Retainers	38. 2			
Rotor Head Controls (rotating)	517.5			
Rotor Drive Ducting (rotating)	75.2			
Round-off adjustment	- 0.7			
Fuselage Module			2,086	
Structure, Forward (cockpit)	78.1			
Structure, Center Section	775.0			
Structure, Tail Section	120. 0			
Alighting Gear, Main Installation (2)	357. 2			
Alighting Gear, Tailwheel Installation	60. 0			
Flight Controls, Cockpit	26.3			
Flight Controls, Linkage	7.2			
Flight Controls, Yaw Control	105.0			
Propulsion, Fuel Cells (2)	200. 0			
Propulsion, Fuel Plumbing	20. 0			
Instruments and Navigation	50.0			
Electrical System	73.0			
Electronics System	10.0			
Furnishings	22.0			
Auxiliary Gear	50.0			
Tail Group, Tail Cone	23.4			
Tail Group, Fixed Surface (2)	83.3			
Tail Group, Control Surface (2)	25.5			
Power Module			3, 338	
Nacelle Section (2)		2,242		
Nacelle Structure	500.0			
Engines	1,160.0			
Air Induction System	34.6			
Exhaust System	76.2			
Lube System	68. 9			
Engine Controls	50.0			

.

Start System	12.0
Fuel System	10.0
Diverter Valves and Connectors	251.1
Hydraulic System	20. 0
Electrical System	59.0

Pylon (box beam) Section Structure, Box Beam Structure, Rotor Pylon Structure, Pylon Fairing Structure, Leading Edge Structure, Trailing Edge Rotor Drive Ducting (fixed) Electrical System Hydraulic System Fire Extinguishing Accessory Gearbox Rotor Lube System Fuel Distribution Alighting Gear Structure Flight Controls, Cylinders Flight Controls, Linkage Flight Controls, Swashplate 1, 096

The Road Lat

196.9 80.2 43.7 4.2 26.6 100.6 83.0 160.0 50.0 72.4 6.8 50.0 20.0 82.2 76.4 42.9

• ~





いてきないまし

										BASIC LOADS						
	LOAD FACTORS ANGULAR ACCELERATIONS ROTOR THRUST COMPONENTS THE LOADS	FGE WERTICH HORIEMTH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+3320	0	0	4 2070 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16			
			0	0	0,	0	812-	0	- 318	0	-4670	+4670	a, b, Ca PONEN FRY & SHAFT. SKAFT R29457 R29457 R2950 SECT.			
			-33692	-336%	0	+6738	+37900	0052E+	+30200	+15300	+14366	+14366	CASE 8 ST COM FRX, FRX, PO TO WARD O WARD O WARD O WARD O STOR STOR STOR STOR STOR STOR STOR STO			
		Fey #	0	0	+13476	0	0	0	± 3730	0	0	0	* FOL 74120 7412 722 722 727 727 727 727 727 7			
		Fex#	0	+33690	0	0	-5340	+ 7960	-3830	0	0	0	VECTON VECTON NV TAN VECT NCAL NCAL NCAL			
TABLE		0 (ROLLAN)	0	0	0	0	0	0	±3./4	+1.10	0	0	IN I			
1040		V(rawine)	0	0	0	0	0	0	+1.17	+5.00	0	0	SCENET SCENET SCENET SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCENE SCE			
LIMIT		Ölemmind RAP/SECE	0	0	0	0	+1.93	1.30	+1,26	0	+7.75	-5.16	CRASH CRASH CRASH CRASH CRASH WER WER WITHER TH HIGH			
		2	110	0	0	2	+2.5	+2.5	+2.0	01+	+.63	+1.24	DOWN FOWAR SIDE NANEU NANEU NANEU NANEU NANEU NANEU NANEU NANEU			
		M	0	0	7-	0	0	0		+.22	0	0	106 2126 2126 2126 2126 2126 2126 2126 2			
		Mx X	0	-/0	0	0				0	0	0	8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			
		ASE No.	<i>B</i> , a	8,6	8,0	8,4	10,0	100		12	13,0	13,6	CASE (CASE (CASE (CASE (CASE (CASE (SASE (S			



BLADE STATION

MODEL XV-9A HELICOPTEL ROTOR BLADE WEIGHT





$$\frac{BASIC LOLOS}{CASE 13.0. + 11G CONDITION WITH HIGHEST SWAMETRICALDOWNTAIL LOAD + 4570*. FORCES BESISTED BYINERTIA. TREIM LOAD (15+4)34#DOWNTAULOND (15+4)34#DOWNTAULOND (15+4)34# $DOWNTAULOND (15+4)34#$
 $DOWNTAULOND (15+4)34#$
 $Fox = 0$
 $75y = 10$
 $75y INEATTA $TEHA LOND (2) (3+2)34#$
(2) 19 ROTOR THRUST = 14366 UP TAULOND (2) (3+9)34#
 $Fox = 0$
 $75y = 0$
 $7$$$$

A second





E RADIUS - IN.





「山


Semeral Same







MODEL XV-9A HELICO SHEAR AND BENDING MOMENT O FOR 2-1/2-9 LOA DING - GROU





4

.

•

.

۰,

.







.





The second se

							B	ASI	< 4	04	<u></u>
	Tak	12	+5450	0	0	0	0	0	0015+	0	10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10 10.00 10 10.00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
	Savas	4	000 24	422200	+23300	+23 300	er E27+	+/5090	0	+13770	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
316	1-5040	Ý	0	0	0	-1/650	+11650	0	0	0	ARE LOS ARE LOS ARE LOS LUMEEL 1 LUMEEL 1 LUMEL 1 Lacurate Tou Nos Tou Nos
ao G	ACANY	¥	0	0	cs9//-	0	0	5056-	0	-11011-	he hy ha Fr Fy Fe law Ace
17E 60	1.0 5 G	Fe	000 h2+	422200	+23300	00227	+23300	0	٥	01151+	T CUTES
ULTIM	CAND)	ダ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+ (3 :0:3:0
- SNOI	MAIN	Y Y	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9 10/1-	e linen an Minen
LIGN	1.02	ġ	0	0	0	54%-	511142	4/3:48	0	0	4 4 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
5	9/ = M	ij	0	0	48 24	-/.80	+1.80	+224	0	0	A CAN
201	187. Acc	D:	0	CS 3+	14.22	55.34	2.2	4.49	-4,8	-2.22	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
でし	raci	Me	05 74	+3.90	ho'ht	100+	10.54	4.99	+1,20	08%+	- Ceve - Ceve
	120	hr	0	0	0	22 -	72+	0	0	0	n control
	Lone	N,	0	0	72-	0	0	67-	0	\$\$%-	
		Asc	×	N	e.	4	à	5	و	2	· verserve

Lonas BASIC -13300 +34800 -20800 + 58 80 - 54 800 + 50 800 + 19 500 - 5760 + 7790 - 12660 + 1585 +1380 +27600 08+64+ 08014 07+6/4 0005- 05/2- 08/2- 04250 + 1/800 + 1/80 - 01/2- 04/6+ 04/6- 04/5+ 0802--24864 00505+ C56 + 0505+ 00/11+ 00565- 00561+ 00905+ 00/82+ 00842- 03450 00002--1970 +5200 +23400 +26550 +29200 +16500 -19700 +5200 -23400 +2650 +24200 +46500 -1790 +5050 -8200 +900 +7400 +7400 -5/42- -52200 +52000 +54000 +31/20 -51/60 -51/20 +2900 -5200 -52000 +54000 +5000 -5200 dy and くちしつ MAIN LANDING GEAR - KEACTIONS TO NACELLE AND FLOELALE K82 Rea. Page 203 Far Description Or LANDING CASES AND MERVILY LOADED MAN for -539 SHOWN ARE UNTRIF LOADS - POUNDS tas -535 -13300 +2400 +240 +227 - 525fax far Rax Ray Roz Rox Roz Ro 0 LIGHTLY LOGOED MAIN GEAR 0 0 0115+ 0 60005. +1240 +7000 0 0 JACAR (Are m' A. 4. 1 20. N 6 . ? v

1

R

A Strend





- Martin Start



•

4.

States -

State of the state

Star Contract

" Alla Selles

4

1.50 S. 1.50 S.

.

.

3, 500 7 7, 000 110, 500 114, 000 117, 500 121, 000 124, 500 228, 000 231, 500 131, 500 1

BENDING WOWENT - IN. -LB

4

4

۲



	BASIC LOADS														
T ATT HAI CRA	THE CRITICAL LOADS FOR THE POWER MODULE TO FUSELAGE ATTACHMENTS AND FOR THE ROTOR SUPPORT STRUCTURE HAVE BEEN FOUND BY INVESTIGATION TO OCCUR IN THE CRASH CONDITIONS.														
	FRE FRY														
FUE FUY RIX RAY RAY															
R _{2X} -	Power Riv Riz 22.00 Ryz														
R ₂ y	R	2						R _{3V}	RJZ						
			ULT	IMAT	EL	OADS	*	THE AXIS REAC	MOMEN IS ASSI TEO BY	UMED THE	TO BE	NE E			
	CA3	E 8,6 FWD C	RASH	CA 104	SE 8, DWN	CASE B,C # CASE B,d CRASH 4G SIDE CRASH 2G UP CRASH									
LOAD REACT.	FAX=	F.X =	TOTAL	FRE= -33690	FJE =	Tomil	FRY= 13476	FJYE	TOTAL	Fez 3	F. = = 6676**	TOTAL **			
Lix	-8423	-8345	-16768	-	—	0	/?8	4171	4369	_	-	0			
Rax	-8423	-1345	-16768	—		0	-198	-4171	-4369	-	-	0			
R3x	-123	-8945	-/6768			_									
n .	1			and states and		0	-198	-#/7/	-#369	-	—	0			
KHX	-8423	-8345	-16768	_	_	0	-198 198	-4171 4171	- #369 #369		-	0			
RIV	-8423	-8345	-16768 O		-	0 0 0	-198 198 3369	-4171 4171 3338	- #369 #369 6707	1 1 1	1 1 1	0 0 0			
RIV RIV RIV	-8423	-8345	-16768 0 0			0 0 0 0	-198 198 3369 3369	-4/7/ 4/7/ 3338 3338	- H369 #369 6 707 6 707			0 0 0 0			
Riv Riv Rzy Rzy	-8423	-8345	-/6768 0 0			00000	-198 198 3369 3369 3369	-4/7/ 4/7/ 3338 3338 3338	-+369 +369 6707 6707 6707		1 1 1 1 1	00000			
Riv Riv Rzy Rzy Rzy	-8423	-8345	-/4768 0 0 0			00000	-198 198 3369 3369 3369 3369	-4471 4471 3330 3338 3338 3338	-+369 +349 6707 6707 6707 6707			0 0 0 0 0			
Riv Riv Riv Riv Riv Riz	-8423 	-8345	-/4768 0 0 0 -26280	-9000	-205/5	0 0 0 0 0 -275/5	-198 198 3369 3369 3369 3369 -8262	-4171 4171 3330 3338 3338 3338 3338 -745	-+369 +349 6707 6707 6707 6707 6707 -9007			0 0 0 0 0 5903			
Riv Riv Riv Riv Riv Riz Riz Riz	-8423 	-8345 	-/4768 0 0 0 -26280 -26280	-9000	-205/5	0 0 0 0 -215/5 -215/5	-198 198 3369 3369 3369 3369 -8262 8262	-4/7/ 4/7/ 3338 3338 3338 3338 -745 745	-+369 +349 6707 6707 6707 6707 6707 6707 9007			0 0 0 0 5903 5903			
Riv Riv Riv Riv Riv Riz Riz Riz Riz Riz	-8423 	-8345 	-/4768 0 0 0 -24280 24280	-9000 -9000 -7 84 5	-205/5 -205/5 3825	0 0 0 0 -215/5 -215/5 -4020	-198 198 3369 3369 3369 3369 3369 -8262 8262 8262	-4171 4171 3330 3338 3338 3338 3338 3338 745 745	-+369 +349 6707 6707 6707 6707 6707 6707 9007 900			0 0 0 0 5903 5903 804			



£5.

210

1.2

MODEL XV-9A HELICOPTER VERTICAL FUSELAGE SHEARS LIMIT ALUES 30,000 20,000 Fwd Sign Conv 1 Fwd Power Module Attach Aft Power Module Attach 10,000 SHEAR - LB 2-1/2 g Maneuver Thrust Vector Fwd Case 1-3 Wheel Landing 0 Towing Cond (Max Load In .(Case 4 Two Wheel -10,000 Landing With Side Load On One Wheel 2-1/2 g Maneuver Thrust Vector Fwd -20,000 300 400 200 FUSELAGE STATION 211

The second se

MODEL XV-9A HELICOPTER VERTICAL FUSELAGE SHEARS LIMIT VALUES



1 .it



MODEL XV-9A HELICOPTER

Martin Martin 1. . ÷ ·+#. (c)



B

	BASIC LOADS													
Roz	TOR SU	IPPORT	· STRI	UCTURE	- 1040	Sum	MARY							
7		+	1			Г								
MEMBER	CASE 3	C.9 SE 4'	GASE 5	GASE 8b	CASE 8C	CASE 104	Gase 10b							
ĄE	+ 1710	+1290	+685	+15368	0	- 1820	+2600							
44	- 1410	-1060	- 563	-12648	0	+1495	-2/35							
ĊF	+ 1710	+1290	+685	+15368	0	-1820	+2600							
CH	- 1410	-1060	- 563	-12648	0	+1495	-2/35							
DG	0	+1515	+3200	0	+7442)	0							
DH	0	-1515	- 3200	0	-7442	0	0							
BE	0	+1275	+2700	0	+6282	0	0							
BF	0	-1275	-2700	0	-6282	0	0							
EF	-6000	-4701	0	-8590	6	+17751	+15487							
EJ	+2797	+3558	+3237	+8720	+8639	+8115	+10792							
ER	+ 706	- 66	+ 363	0	- 5456	0	0							
FK	+8472	+7269	+1826	+8720	-8639	+8115	+10792							
FR	+ 706	+66	- 363	0	+ 5456	0	0							
GH	- 3502	+1878	+ 360	+4105	0	+20145	+20853							
GL	- 3038	+7864	+ 4271	-10274	+10895	+6402	+ 3166							
CM	+ 3010	- 4352	- 344	0	- 4815	0	0							
HM	- 3020	+6352	+ 345	0	+4815	0	0							
HN	+4617	- 10401	-1040	-/0274	-10895	+6402	+ 3166							
LM	- 6733	+ 263	-2008	+1020	+2156	+13572	-13560							
MN	- 4083	- 5376	-3347	+ 1020	-2156	+13572	1-13560							
15	- 155	- 315	- 310	-1915	-725	+ 1323	+6336							
IK	- 159	+ 169	+1334	-1915	+725	+ 73 23	+ 6336							
JS	+1700	+2805	+2051	+3975	+8128	+10572	+10 971							
KT	+2792	+ 2024	+2.704	+2975	- 1128	+ 10573	+10971							
DE	-12/1	-1357	- 371	-14030	-2.765	+1/211	+7321							
WG	+1310	+ 4×3	+825	+10245	-7554	+ 9109	+11661							
RV.	-1211	-773	+1873	-14030	+2765	+11 211	+ 7321							
44	+1310	+1529	+2827	+10245	-3666	+9109	+11661							
TI	407	+ 95	- 591	41078	- 791	+7460	+ 7900							
TN	+ 407	+ 623	+169-	1 +1078	+ 791	+ 7460	+7900							
TR	- 429	+ 317	1 958	1 4 1 55	-7741	-4857	- 9318							
10	- 972	-1468	- 77417	+155	- 779/	-6953	- 9318							
T'F	- 156	- 753		-1640	- (1)	- 2440	-12307							
TE	- 456	- 411	-1555	-1640	1 517	13660	-13301							
TIC		- 763	1859	+1745	- 514	-11760	-10563							
TH	172	+117	-115	+1745	+ 564	-11760								
CALE	2 /20104	. Par Ma	I - JALLE	ELS DEAL	De Oat	e blues								
CASE	1 Lawrence	A Du MA	AN MAR	LLS FAR		- AN AN	- Abreac							
CASE	+ LANDA	1 Des Day	2 MAIAI	Wheel W	-4 DA									
CASE !	9 CRIASA	CANDITIC	and S.A	1. Da Fur	se is	46 SIDE								
CASE !	0 245 M	LUEUNER	100 Por	no Theast AF	+ IOL Ron	THRUST	- FWO							

State of the second of the second

•

۰,

MODEL XV-9A HELICOPTER FUSELAGE SIDE SHEARS LIMIT VALUES





A State of the sta





I

1



		ſ	B	9516	20.	905	•							
ENGINE	Mour	NTING	Lone	s <	ITICA	L (a		ons						
LOADS ARE LIMIT VALUES EXCEPT CRASH														
CONDITIONS -	CONDITIONS - CRASH LOADS ARE JUT. MATE													
CONDITION	Pix	Piy	Rie	P22	P3Y	P32	Psz	Psy						
27(1.33) PSIG ONLY	+7820	-2695	+419	-1740	+2697	+1320	±/40	±140						
CRASH # 8,C	+6300	-1499	+2133	-3196	+4310	+1063								
FLIGHT # 13	+6005	-2661	+1496	-1986	+32/2	+3119	±147	±100						
														
CRACH & OL	PIX	Pix	Piz	128	P32	P2'X	PZY	Pz'z						
CRASH" 8D	+5766	+2054	-/203	- 51	+2675	+7362	+2054	+1+21						

J= .



41 June 145

		2	BASIC	LOA	05							
DIVER	TER VA	LVE L	DAOS									
Positive AND ARE REACTING ENGINE Positive Positive Engine Positive Engine Positive Positive Positive Engine Positive Positive Positive Engine Positive Positive P												
A) VALVE CLOSED	- NORA	IAL OPE	LATION	I (SAL DI	WERTED	TO LOTOR						
	r			r								
CONDITION #	P4	Psi	Psz	Ps	R,	Pe						
35,91 PSIG PRESSURE ALONE (LIMIT) **	+9350	± 137	± 96	-729	-771	± 96						
CRASH * (ULTIMATE)	+6340	± 251	+ 618	-855	-777	+538 -174						
B) VALVE CLOSED - ONE ENGINE OPERATION												
35.91 PSIG PRESSURE + IG DWN FLIGHT COND. (LIMIT)			+54	+1850	+1960	+46						
* IN CRASH CONO ** REF. XV-9A DES	ITION A	ILL LOAL TERIA	S SHOU	UN DO A DN 7.	IOT ACT	AT ONCE						

4- 3**5**



.

Tours Star autor and

F1



.



	6	28	[4-4-	184	129-30	82					ENON		D THE		h	å	SWINO		*	<i>#61</i>
	PS.	14		1-11	2.89	28-27 /N.	8.60	1		P36-3	910	ES AB	DTH CY	マン		RFACE	11 204	FOLL	ATE.	8.×	98
	56-57 ///	3.50		8/-9/ N	8 1.80	27-28 /x	4.30	8		35-36 //.	2.89	VPRAULA	KANDR	LED BA	- #0).	TROL SUME	101 21:	עודא זאנ	THESM	Trik L	32 11
	55-56 IN:	2.80	(211)	-#'d Si	0 1,254	6 P21=27	164				:50	ASE II-H	ILLED BAC	ARE PUL	Ed = 13-	SIHL BAL	338 REA	INONS.4	TIME SI	₩ *	\$125 c
CIMIT	54-56	1,785	117) SC	-15 14- 1N. 11	.50 3.0	2-52 SZ	0 632		R	32-34 3	1 454	00 m #	376 8	TICKS	ev CPS	1 05-20 1	3.00 //	13) SECT	VE ROTA	15: JA	(EP 2
SONOS	3-54	.46	1040	EI 81-110	1,078 3	-24 24-	10 8.6	STBD	(LLIMI	2-33 / ///	1 00	1-45	a.S.19	YELIC S	T'ONA	636J 56	0 700	5-13(62-	ROFT	01 10 10 2 (A. 5)	ALANUE)
1 702	S 85-	28	wrea	21-11	2.30	23 23-2	64 4.3		LONDS	33 _{/K} 3;	6/ 3	** 41	25 6	BOTH C	EUD ST	11 NI NI 96-26	4.95 4.0	T No.20	SCENTE	ADDITI	21/26. COND.
CON	2 52	4	10 D	-/2 10-22)m	1.35	2 4	21/ 1	3776	SLIS	-16 7	2 4.	3 43	Э.	9 50	222	ad-en	144	L OAL	97 TH	*	+21
IVE	P#9-5	603	CYCL	4 4 C	0	13 P21-	2 /69	- 2026	Ċ	Pers	601	Ru-4	151	e sta	STCI R C	64-61 NI	3,17	70	120	N N	8 3,7
LECT	48-K9	4.50	THE	N R	22	* P22	1,241	KENT OF	WION.	7-8 /N.	2.87	74 - 17 X:	3.25	F. THEN	DDE	11(14-E	:50	ON TE	X 20C	\$ 18'	11.01
COL	10 MC	27	LATE	2-3	3./3	00/2 ×	20/2	-S-VON-	NGIT	1/W	60	* 2%	5	IN SX	P. THE		3	02	AT L	1 71/1	832
	-20-i	/8.		1-2)	7.84	4 P2	11	2 3	70	1.0-	20.	-01	3.2	PONIT	N 570	Per-	66	as AL	~*·	*~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	026'2
	Pso #	52		1) 1 1 1 1	50 1	Parts 1	100/2	17.20 0		+"07#d	51	****	151	12 00		4)-62 (67-62	1.80	H77	ANGE	36	UE I
	A;+	54		g * my	50 1	22 21)/16	90	4 3000 4 3000		Huo #	22	8-3) //	1.50	AND SI	NDER	(07-93) 279-03)	8.95	DWTPO	AND CK	0 7.4 2. 5 4 2.	HIT)
	. No.	ļ		No.		20-	2.4	2=00		.No.		6 91	μ) μ)	LAA	CVZN	Put #	96	NUB C	SNOL	No.	WTEL ZIN
	CASE	II,Q		CASE	Д,А	1-20	2.89	2 × *		CASE	H,b	37-3	2.89	LEFT	LONG	* 09	86	THE	1100	243	16 / SI

T

							4	070	R	5,90	e A	Ma	151	<u>'s</u>		
	fre Gent	+21,910	t20,100	219470	±/8,050	z\$\$\$2≠	£/9, 110	±21,280	± 20,870	218,5%	214,150	C8E'2/7	t6260	0	1 NOV	
	W	£ 7860	t 9180	£ 9070	2 8260	18300	t (630	08657	a 6+7	±3790	± 2880	± 2080	£1,10	0	GSURE	
		±9500	tlrso	t 7480	1450	oh/SI	±4/00	± 3/80	± 2340	t/625	£ 975	±520	±/95	0	Puer Pa	₩¥ +1
5	Mc y +	146,000	136,000	WS, and	56.000	19,000	(2,000	\$9,000	34,000	25,000	15,000	8,000	3,000	٥		11c= 1 &
51.2	Fr. STEAD	e4, 250	K. 200	73,200	73,410	052'28	05% 11	80,100	82,400	005'11	79,300	82,300	259:52	101,900	A= F Due	Greek In
ST.	fs = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	12,050	000 11	10,400	6528	12,150	057 51	cos's/	15,900	14,800	13,300	10,300	21/20	1000	farean Ou. farean Ou.	fre creace
122	23	616.	£24.	.855	612	110.	9/6.	652	849.	677	600	259	290.	634	0/22	852 ¥
XX	ME - 10	14800	casó/	8, 500	8,000	8,700	6,500	oau	10,300	10 000	\$800	6700.	3400	900	5 4 %	1 × 1
STERD	Ic P Stan	27,200	57,200	62,800	001.83	21'100	64,200	64,600	14,500	62,700	66,000	72,000	oas'th	cas'out	e Res	factor.
44	A Nr	496.	105.	125	122.	617.	613.	235	472	824.	.338	.250	511.	.076	- 1200 - 1200	20005
3	Pock E	25,200	25/100	51,840	98 200	43.980	39,675	025'58	31,300	31, 130	21,315	18000	13050	7635	26 (001	BETWEE
Real	C.F. #	11200	000'///	104,000	2000	000 56	81,000	28.000	oo iel	1000	005 25	000'10	29.500	16,500	PRESSU	Pumpe
	BLADE	90	00/	20	140	/60	180	200	220	240	260	280	300	320	Nor	5.5

10.15

APPENDIX II STRESS ANALYSIS - ROTOR

In this appendix, an analysis is included of the rotor blade spars, the rotor blade retention straps and attachments. the tip cascade valve, the rotor hub, and the rotor shaft.

In the design of the XV-9A rotor blade spars, both stiffness and strength were design requirements. The stiffness criterion was established relative to the titanium spars used on the Model 285 Hot Cycle rotor system. The stiffness criterion required the same flapwise stiffness and required that chordwise stiffness increase by 30 percent at Station 90 and decrease linearly to the original stiffness at Station 140 and outboard.

Increased chordwise stiffness was required to reduce the response to one-per-revolution loads that were experienced in the whirl testing of the Model 285 Hot Cycle rotor system.

The blade bending moment criterion was revised to accommodate the loads measured on the whirl test. The flapwise blade bending moment curve was altered to the greater value of either 1.25 times the maximum cyclic flapwise bending moment measured in the whirl tests or the original design flapwise bending moment. Whirl test values altered the design curve only between Station 46 and Station 120. This resulted in an increase of the cyclic flapwise peak bending moment at Station 73 from 9,400 in.-1b to 14,000 in.-1b, or a 49 percent increase.

The shape of the chordwise bending moment curve was maintained, but it was made to pass through a value of 1.25 times the maximum chordwise moment measured at Station 83 on the Model 285 whirl tests. This resulted in 153,000 in. -1b/73,000 in. -1b = 2.10 times the old value, or a 110-percent increase, as the new chordwise bending moment criterion.

The stiffness requirements of the steel spar with respect to the titanium spars is expressed by the following relationships:

Titanium Spars

 I_T = spar flapping moment of inertia at Station 90

Front spar = 1.11 in.⁴
Rear spar = 1.17 in.⁴ Blade = 2.28 in.⁴ A_T = spar cross sectional area at Station 90 Front spar = 1.86 in.² Rear spar = 0.94 in.² E_T = modulus of elasticity (Reference 28, pages 5.2.2.8, 5.2.2.10, and 5.2.2.13) $= 0.87 \times 16 \times 10^{6} \text{ at } 400^{\circ} \text{ F}$ $= 13.9 \times 10^{6}$ Steel Spars (Refer to page 233) I_S = spar flapping moment of inertia at Station 90 Front spar = 0.68 in.⁴ Rear spar = 0.79 in.⁴ Blade = 1.47 in. 4 A_S = spar cross sectional area at Station 90 Front spar = 0.95 in.² Rear spar = 0.96 in.² E_S = modulus of elasticity $= 0.9 \times 29 \times 10^6$ at 400° F $= 26.1 \times 10^{6}$ ρ_{s} = radius of gyration of the steel spar Stiffness: (1) Flapping stiffness Maintain the same stiffness. $E_T I_T = E_S I_S$, $I_S = I_T \frac{E_T}{E_S}$ $I_{S} = I_{T} \frac{13.9 \times 10^{6}}{26.1 \times 10^{6}} = 0.53 I_{T}$ $I_{S} = 0.53 I_{T}$ (1)

(2) Chordwise stiffness

Increase stiffness by 30 percent at Station 90 for the steel spar.

1.30
$$A_T E_T \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^2 = A_S E_S \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^2 2$$

 $A_S = \frac{1.30 (A_T) E_T}{E_S} = 1.30 (A_T) \frac{13.9 \times 10^6}{26.1 \times 10^6}$
 $A_S = 0.69 A_T$ (2)

A comparison of actual stiffness with the theoretical stiffness is as follows:

$$\frac{^{1}S}{IT} = \frac{1.47}{2.28} = 0.64 \text{ actual} > 0.53 \text{ from Equation (1)}$$
(3)

$$\frac{A_S}{A_T} = \frac{0.96}{0.94} = 1.02 \text{ actual} > 0.69 \text{ from Equation (2)}$$
(4)

The actual flapwise stiffness exceeds the criteria requirement ($\frac{0.64}{0.53} = 1.21$, or 21 percent) as shown by Equation (3).

The 1962 Model 285 whirl tests showed no flapwise resonance condition, so this increase in stiffness was acceptable.

The actual chordwise stiffness exceeds the criteria requirement ($\frac{1.02}{0.69} = 1.48$, or 48 percent) as shown by Equation (4). This increase is caused by the area required to keep the chordwise bending stresses within acceptable bounds, as there was an increase of 110 percent in chordwise bending moment. This additional area increased the chordwise stiffness that was desirable, as the 30 percent stiffness was rather a minimum stiffness requirement.

The most desirable spar cross section was next investigated. The required ρ_s of the steel spar was calculated:

$$P_{\rm s} = \sqrt{\frac{I_{\rm S}}{A_{\rm S}}}$$

229

清

$$A_{S} = 2.10 \left(\frac{4,000 \text{ psi}}{10,000 \text{ psi}} \right) A_{T} = 0.84 A_{T}$$

based on the stress requirement for increased chordwise bending moment.

Cyclic allowable design stresses at bolt hole are as follows:

Titanium spars = 4,000 psi Steel spars = 10,000 psi h = depth of spar = 3 in., front spar (refer to dwg 285-0170) = 3.25 in., rear spar $P_{\rm S} = \sqrt{\frac{0.53 \, {\rm IT}}{0.84 \, {\rm AT}}} = \sqrt{\frac{0.53 \, (1.11)}{0.84 \, (1.86)}} = 0.62;$ $\frac{0.62 \, {\rm h}}{3} = 0.20 \, {\rm h}$, front spar $P_{\rm S} = \sqrt{\frac{0.53 \, {\rm IT}}{0.84 \, {\rm AT}}} = \sqrt{\frac{0.53 \, (1.17)}{0.84 \, (0.94)}} = 0.89$ $\frac{0.89 \, {\rm h}}{3.25} = 0.27 \, {\rm h}$, rear spar

Nothing is gained by using an I-cross section, as a rectangular spar cross section ($\rho/h = 0.29$) is more than adequate. The cross section chosen for the front spar was a solid trapezoidal section with the top and bottom surfaces chamfered for clearance to the leading edge fairing. The rear spar section was made a rectangular cross section.

The blade from Station 19 to Station 73 is inboard of the blade retention strap attachment. This section is not as highly loaded by flight loads as it is by ground flapping. In addition to strength, stiffness is required in this area, to minimize blade droop. The root end fittings are the primary bending material in this area. The maximum depth of bending section is limited by blade thickness and the typical cross section is an I-section, for maximum strength and stiffness per weight.

Outboard of Station 73, both a solid spar and a laminated spar configuration were studied. The laminated spar was chosen, for the following reasons:

1. These sections could be more easi.; fabricated from sheet stock by using a number of laminates to give the required spar area. The laminates were tapered off along the spar as permitted by strength requirements.

2. The numerous laminates in the spar also provided a fail safe feature that a solid spar would not have. A crack in any laminate does not propagate immediately to adjacent laminates. A crack can be found on inspection of the spar and corrective action taken long before danger of ultimate failure.

The laminates are adhesive-bonded together. This stabilizes the laminates so that there can be no buckling of the laminates for the ground flapping condition, when the stabilizing centrifugal force is absent. The bonding does not eliminate the fail safe feature of the spars, as borne out by the fatigue tests.

An extensive fatigue testing program was conducted for material selection. It was decided to use AM 355 CRT corrosionresistant steel, since it had a relatively high ultimate strength, good fatigue characteristics, and good elongation properties. The AM 355 CRT corrosion-resistant steel could be purchased in sheet stock with the desired strength properties, thus eliminating coscly and impracticable heat treatment.

Selection of laminate thicknesses was based on the availability of gages and the minimum thickness to provide a reasonable number of laminates and yet not be so thin as to cause high concentrated or localized bearing stresses on the spar bolts.

The root end of the front spar is made up of two laminations each 0.050 inch thick and 11 laminations each 0.025 inch thick. The spar is tapered by dropping off laminations between Station 106.75 and Station 231.75 until the front spar is reduced to two laminations each 0.050 inch thick and six laminations each 0.025 inch thick.

The rear spar at the root is made up of one lamination 0.050 inch thick and twelve laminations each 0.025 inch thick. The spar is tapered by dropping off laminations outboard of Station 112.75 until at the tip only the one 0.050-inch lamination remains. The 0.050-inch laminations are placed in the spar face next to the blade segment. This was done to provide a thicker laminate at the shear face where loads are transferred from the blade segments to the spars.

The chordwise bending stresses shown for the blade are based on higher bending moments than are shown in Section 7.2. The chordwise bending moment curve shown in Section 7.2 is 76 percent of the original curve used in design because of correction for a calibration error in the Model 285 whirl test data.

The allowable stresses used in the design of the spar are based on 80,000 psi \pm 25,000 psi in the sections having no bolt holes, and 80,000 psi \pm 10,000 psi in the sections having bolt holes. Steady and cyclic stresses are shown for the weighted fatigue condition. The rear spar fitting is analyzed for the ground flapping condition.

The rotor blade strap assembly is made up of twenty-two 0. 025-inch thick laminations of AM 355 CRT corrosion-resistant steel. These straps connect the rotor blades to the hub, one set being attached to the front spar and one set to the rear spar. These straps are subject to axial loads from the rotor blade centrifugal force and chordwise bending and to flapwise bending from blade coning and feathering.

The rotor hub is the same hub used on the original whirl tests. The margins of safety have been revised to account for increased centrifugal forces and increased chordwise bending moment for the weighted fatigue condition.

The rotor shaft has been redesigned by increasing the shaft wall thickness to increase the stiffness of the shaft. The rotor shaft supports the rotor hub through the gimbal attachment. The rotor shaft in turn is supported by an upper radial bearing and a lower thrust bearing also capable of taking lateral load.

The bolted connection originally attaching the spoke to the shaft is eliminated. The spoke and hub are positioned on the shaft by spacers, and are secured in place by the retention nut at the top of the shaft.

The shaft is subjected to axial loads from rotor thrust, from Y-duct and triduct gas pressure, and from control loads. The shaft is also subject to bending resulting from control forces and from the lateral component of rotor thrust.

				Po	TOR SP	ne i	ANALT	1515			
$SECTION PRODERTIES REF. Dux 345-1108 C: d-11546 d:3.0" Area: \frac{(d+1)}{2}6 \cdot Again Area: (d+1$											
BLADE	F	RONT	Space	e The	REAR SMAR						
574 MM	INCHES	HREA IN 2	IN#	Z= 14/2 /N3	WENES	HREA	INY NY	N3			
90	.375	,952	. 680	.454	.350	.964	. 788	,525			
100	,350	.892	.643	.428	. 350	. 164	.788	.525			
120	,325	.834	,60%	,405 ⁻	.300	.825	.675	.450			
140	.325	.834	.606	,405	.275	.757	.619	,412			
160	.300	.773	.567	,378	,Z25	.619.	.507	. 338			
180	.300	.773	, 567	, 378	.225	.619	. 507	.338			
200	,275	,773	.527	.352	,200	.550	,450	. 300			
220	.250	.652	.487	.325	.175, 1=2.95	.472	.374	.249			
240	.250	.652	,487	,725	175 d=2.70	, 428	.287	1191			
260	,250	.652	.487	.325	.150, d=2.50	. 338	,195	,130			
230	.250	.652	.487	.325	./25, do 225	, 250	. 118	.079			
300	.250	.652	.487	,325	100, d= 2.00	.175	.067	.045			
350	,250	. 652	.487	.325	.050, d.1.78	.076	.027	.015			

							4	2070	R	SPAN	2 19	NAC	× 51	<u>د</u>		
	Free Cyclic	±22,950	£20,900	± 19,400	±17,290	±18,900	t/8,550	419,600	121,600	221,900	220,450	+17,400	t g, sw	t 2,650		
	4. N/	10000	t 11 00	1 4000	± 7500	+ 6650	£ 5300	14100	t 3600	± 2500	005/ 1	± 600	£ 300		34150	
	Perces.	t9500	25113	53450	\$6250	±5140	14100	18180	-2340	52917	566 =	± 520	261 +	0		W H
5	Mex. the (F4. 51)	146000	136,000	000's//	26.000	79,000	63,000	000 60	36,000	52,000	15,000	8,000	3000	0	H H H	
2553	AT EN FREN	250-72	76,300	27,800	oblik	78,350	26,150	13,900	24,700	13,400	051,59	53,800	37,200	050/21	ALL ALL DU	STEENE F
KLS V	t = H =	050'21	000'//	10,400	6.290	12,150	052'51	15,500	18,000	007'51	6350	16,600	9,200	5,650	Stanaye	Tr cycke
1726	L Z	919.	.953	\$58'	618.	916.	216.	257'	565.	, 515	455	Eot.	365	340	1.2.10	852 \$
X	Mc ""	11,800	10:500	8,900	000'8	001'8	9,500	10,100	10,300	000:0/	8,800	6700	3400	006	8 4 5	.76 566 1
STEAD	4.25m	÷2,000	65,200	000117	65,000	16,200	63,600	51,400	61,700	000 45	44.400	37,200	28,000	001 71	Per 2	Acres 0
- >6	4'z	. 952	552.	488.	258.	\$113	217.	. 773	529.	259'	259'	1652	259.	652	- 1200	Smee.
3	P=CES	00265	20,200	56,200	54,200	51, 200	007.44	45,200	40,200	35,200	20200	24200	18200	10670	0 mg	POTWER N
FRON	CE.#	112,000	000/11/	10%.000	000'00/	23.000	A,000	25,000	20,000	000'00	005'05	40,000	24,500	16,500	Parecus	Dumod
	Bund	90	8	120	0/1/	160	180	200	022	82	560	580	300	320	Puer P	15.88 %

×.

234

1.3

•











					BLADE	E RETA	ENTION	1 S7#	AAS
OUT BOARD STRAP END AT TANGENT POINT									
LIMIT LOADS AND STRESSES									
CONDITION	P* *	STRAP	M2 * IN. #	B RAD.	f, * PSI	fz* PSI	f3* PSI	f# * PSI	Frotal PSI
212G	79241	FRONT	11,950	.045	61,200	6,870	18,890	23,501	110,461
MANEUVER		AFT	12,350	.036	61,200	5,495	18,890	24,288	109,873
WEIGHTED	66,920	FRONT	2,800 ±450	.0084 ±.016	51,600 ± 8,575	1,282 ±2,4 4 2	9,445 19,445	5,507 ±883	67,834 ±21,345
FATIGUE	±11,104	AFT	2,910 ± 460	.0091 ±.012	<i>51,600</i> ± 8,575	1,389 11.832	9,445 ±9,445	5723 ±944	68,157 ±24,796
OVER-PEL	00 022	FRONT	10,980	.028	75,600	4,274	18,890	21,5 9 3	120,357
CONDITION	<i>98,023</i>	AFT	11,360	.066	75,600	10,075	18,890	22,341	126,906
$M_2 = M_{ON}$ $j = \int \underbrace{E_1}_{F}$ $W = PI$ $M_1 = Ma$ $f_1 (TENS)$ $f_2 (PACK)$ $f_2 (IAM)$	TCH CHA MENT DU TCH CHA MENT D TLE STA BENDIA		STRAP -MICOS SINH(-MZCO SINH RZ(I- ANGLE STRA = P A EESS)	TWILH(L)SH(L)SH(L)(J)LSH(L)(J)LSH(L)(J)SH(L)(J)SH(L)(J)SH(L)(J)SH(L)(J)(J)(J)(J)(J)(J)(J)(J)(J)(J	$ST AT$ $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} ($ $\frac{1}{3} - $	OUT BO. (M, -M2 - (M, - ; YZ = T INBOA 2 t = THA 5 - (t =)	ARD EN L) -Mz) RI (I- ICKNES: THICKNES: 025 M	10 (05 W) 5 OF PA) OGIK LAMINA

- 24

....

1.1.

$$\frac{B_{LADE} RETENTION STRAAS}{B_{LADE} RETENTION STRAAS}$$

$$\frac{WEIGHTED FATIGUE (FRONT STRAP, OUTBARD END)}{f_{TOTAL} = 67,834 \pm 2/345 PS/1$$

$$\frac{F_{OR}}{f_{STRANY} = 67,834 \div F_{CYL} = 27,535 PS/1$$

$$M.S. = \frac{27535}{21345} - 1 = \pm .29$$

$$\frac{7.00500}{4} \le \frac{4}{8} \frac{BENDING}{AFT STRAP, OUTBD END, OVER-REK COND.}$$

$$f_{L} = f_{+} + f_{2} = (75600 \pm 10075)(1.5) = 128,513 PS/1 (ULT)$$

$$f_{b} = f_{3} + f_{4} = ('8890 \pm 2234)(1.5) = 61,847 PS/1 (ULT)$$

$$FORM FACTOR = 7.5$$

$$R_{b} = \frac{4}{15} = \frac{61847}{1.5(202000)} = .204$$

$$R_{t} = \frac{f_{4}}{F_{64}} = \frac{128513}{202000} = .639$$

$$M.5. = \frac{1}{R_{b} + R_{t}} - 1 = \pm .19$$

$$\frac{57RAP END W TENSION AT SECTION A-A}{1 + .55}$$

$$R_{b} = ACK EENDING STRESS (F_{2})$$

$$M.5 = \frac{40(468205100)}{.55(1.50 - .625)} \pm .33(20252483)$$

$$(SRE-REM) f_{4} = .40(78023)(1.50)}{.55(.875)} \pm .33(10075)(1.5) = 127,196 PSI (ULT)$$

$$M.5. = \frac{202000}{127196} - 1 = \pm .59$$

$$\frac{BOLT}{10} SMERAE (OVER-REK COND.)$$

$$3-NA56330 BOLTS ON EACH END ; R_{5} = 33,100 \# (SULE SMEAR)$$

$$R_{2} = \frac{40}{3}(\frac{2}{2}) = \frac{10075(1.5)}{3} \times .55(0.75)} = 12127 (WLT)$$

$$\frac{1}{3} = R_{1} = \frac{374}{2} = 0.53\%$$

$$\frac{1}{3} = \frac{40(10023)(1.5)}{3} + \rho_{1} = 30,222\%$$

$$\frac{1}{1.5} = \frac{33100}{30022} - 1 = \pm .09$$

- ----

. 18. 19. 77.

「二」は大学のないです。

Blade Tip Cascade

The purpose of the tip cascade is to turn the flow of the duct gases, producing the force that turns the rotor. The tip cascade has been redesigned to incorporate two movable vanes, which when placed in the closed position restrict the orifice size. These movable vanes are operated by a pneumatic actuator through a mechanical linkage, as shown in the sketch on the next page.

The static vanes are less critically loaded than the movable vanes, so only the latter are analyzed. The loads on the cascade are due to pressure and centrifugal effects. The pressure loading is derived from Section 7 of this report. Temperatures of the various components are also from Section 7 of this report. Two cases are considered for centrifugal loads:

- a. Maximum rotor speed, N_R , = 295 rpm (825 g at tip)
- b. Minimum rotor speed, N_R , = 225 rpm (479 g at tip)

The mechanism is also designed to withstand the full actuator load with 3,000-psi pressure, assuming the linkage jammed at any point. Because this greatly exceeds the hinge moments caused by pressure and so forth on the movable vanes, this case is only carried as far as the arms that operate these vanes.

The cascade vanes and attaching structure are made from Inconel 718, heat treated after welding. Various steels, and alloy A-286 are used for the operating mechanism, the chief requirements being high strength and corrosion resistance at elevated temperatures.



$$\frac{CASCADE VALVE}{COMBUNE CYLINDER - CASCADE VALVE DWA NE 385-1112}$$

$$\frac{ACTUATING CYLINDER - CASCADE VALVE DWA NE 385-1112}{Tenssure Super-3000 % (10000)}$$

$$\frac{Tenssure Super-3000 % (1000)}{Tenssure Super-3000 % (1000)}$$

$$\frac{Section A-A}{Super-3000 % (1000)}$$

$$\frac{Section B-B}{Section C-C}$$

$$Area = 018 Te 1152 % A = 152,000 % (1000)$$

$$\frac{Section B-B}{Section C-C}$$

$$Area = 018 Te 1152 % A = 152,000 % (1000)$$

$$\frac{Section C-C}{Super-3000 % (1000)}$$

$$\frac{Tenssure C-C}{Tenssure Super-3000 % (1000)}$$

$$\frac{Section C-C}{Super-3000 % (1000)}$$

$$\frac{Section C-C}{Super-300 % (1000)}$$

$$\frac{Section C-C}{Super-300 % (100$$

24. . +

$$\frac{C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.5}C_{4.$$

CASCADE VALVE MOVABLE CASCADE VANES DWG Nº 385-1124 (AFT. VANE SHOWN) ADTUSTMENT BELLERANK - 53 38 PSI. LIMIT. AREA OF DUCT TIED DOWN BY MOVABLE VANE MOVABLE $A = 2 \times 3 = 6 \sin^2$ VANE P=1.5 × 6 × 38 = 342 # STRENGTH OF 1075 314 - 17-7 17-7 PA WIRE .075 DIA GOND A. PINS A = .0044179 TEMP. 1000 F tan= .50 x 100,000 = 50,000 K=.50 P DOUBLE SHEAR = 2x.0044 x 50,000 025 Micones INC = 440 # 38 per LANT. M.S. +.29 1025 DUCT. LIMIT PRESSURE = 38 poi. VLT. PRESSURE = 57 poi PANEL SIZE 6=1.75 t= 005 OPER. TEMPI = 1183°F TEMP. RED. FACTORS. 1 = 95,000 × .66 = 62,700 %." % = 1.71 $E = 31 \times 10^6 \times .74 = 22.94 \times 10^6$ $\frac{P(b)}{E(t)}^{*} = 59.62$ FROM WHICH $\frac{\sigma}{E} \left(\frac{b}{t}\right)^{2} = 12.0$ $\sigma = \frac{58,800}{58,800} \frac{4}{2}$ M.S. +. 066 * REF 29 PG. 224



Rorok HUB
REVISED MARGINS OF SAFETY - HUB STRUCTURE
NEW LOADS AS PER SECTION I - BASIC LOADS
<u>REFERENCE</u> : — REPORT Nº 285-13 (62-13) HUB & CONTACL SYSTEM ANALYSIS VOL III (REF. 90) MARCH 1962 RASIC ASSA Nº 286. 0511 — HUB STRUCTURE
CNITICAL SECTIONS ARE LISTED BY PART NE TITLE AND PAGE
NUMBER IN THE ABOVE REPORT.
[HANGES TO LOADS :- [ENTRIFUGAL LOADS INCREASED (HEAVIER BLADES)
INCREASED CHORDWISE BM. (WEIGHTED FATIGUE)
a) LOWER HUB PLATES (285-0564,0565)
SECTION B-B - PAGE 5-3-2-3-0 THRU 5-3-2-4-1
(PREVIOUS ANALYSIS REVISED TO ACCOUNT FOR REDUNDANCY OF LOAD PATH)
i) EVER-REV LONDITION (295 RPM) M.S. WAS:- NOT QUOTED MS. 15: +.06
ii) <u>ZZG MANEUVER</u> M.S WAS:-+.44 MS. 15:+,02
ni WEIGHTED FATIGUE CRITICAL STRESSES WERE:- 40,000 ± 5540 lbs/2 ARE;- 99,400 ± 14,300 "
NOTE THIS STRUCTURE IS REDUNDANT, AND THE STRESSES WERE MEASURED BY A STRAIN GAUGE DURING WHIRL TESTING. THE MARGINS OF SAFETY WERE THEN BASED ON EXTRAPOLATED VALUES. ** THE NEIGHTED FATIGUE STRESS LEVELS ARE BASED ON CALC- -VLATION & ARE GIVEN FOR COMPARISON PURPOSES WITH OLD VALUES. THE WHIRL TESTS INDICATE f = 65,000 ± 6,000 % ; ²
6) 285-0562 F:TTING
LOWER BOLT ATTE - PAGE 5-3-2-8-1 M.S. WAS. +.13 (REVISED ANALYSIS ->) M.S. NOW: >+1.00
VPPER BOLT ATT' - PAGE 5-3-2-5-1 M.S. WAS:- +.05 (REVISED ANALYSIS-)M.S. NOW:->+1.00
<u>ATT: TO WEB - PAGE 5-3-2-8-1</u> M.S. WAS :- +.97 M.S. NOW :- +.81



$$\frac{ROTOR SMAFT}{R} = \frac{ROTOR SMAFT}{R} = \frac{ROTOR SMAFT}{R} = \frac{ROTOR SMAFT}{R} = \frac{ROTOR SSTTER}{R} = \frac{ROTOR STTER}{R} = \frac{ROTOTOR STTER}{R} = \frac{ROTOTOR STTER}{R} = \frac{ROTOTOT STTTER}{R} = \frac{ROTOTOT STTER}{R} = \frac{ROTOTOT STTER}{R} = \frac{ROTOTOT STTER}{R} = \frac{ROTOTOT STTER}{R} = \frac{ROTOTOT STTTER}{R} = \frac{ROTOTOT STTER}{R} = \frac{ROTOTOT STTTER}{R} = \frac{ROTOTOT STTER}{R} = \frac{ROTOTOT STTER}{R} = \frac{ROTOTOT STTTER}{R} = \frac{ROTOTOT STTTER}{R} = \frac{ROTOT$$

ţ

$$\frac{POTOR SHAFT}{M_{2} \times R_{5} + C_{6} \times S_{4} + C_{6} \times S_{5} + C_{5} \times S_{5} + C_{6} + + C_{6}$$

$$\frac{R_{0TOR} S_{MART}}{M_{4M} R_{0TOR} S_{MART}} = 000070 R_{000} R_{0$$

.....

STRESS ANALYSIS - POWER MODULE

The power module is a unit that is attached to the fuselage by four bolts. It is composed of the supporting structure for the rotor shaft and lateral pylons that support the right and left nacelles and the engines. The landing gear oleo strut attaches to a fitting on the nacelle main frame located in the plane of the front spar of the lateral pylon.

The tubular structure supporting the rotor is 4130 steel tubing; the lateral pylon is made up of 2024 aluminum; the nacelle structure is A-286 heat-resistant steel, except for the lower stressed cover between the front and rear spar, which is 2024 aluminum; and the engine mounts, which are 4130 steel.

The lower tube members supporting the rotor thrust bearing are aligned to carry the thrust loads directly into the fittings attaching to the fuselage. Additional upper members have been added to the lower thrust bearing support. These members have been added to increase the rigidity of this support.

The upper rotor shaft bearing resists only lateral load. The structure supporting this bearing is mounted on top of the front and rear pylon spars. Loads applied here must travel down the center power module truss work to be reacted at the fuselage attachments.

The lateral pylon is composed of a front and rear spar with web stiffeners and extruded angles for caps and a top and bottom stressed skin between spars. A rigid bulkhead closes off the pylon at BL 22. 0. This is also the station at which the attachments to the fuselage are located. There is also a bulkhead closing off the pylon where it joins the nacelle.

The lateral pylon carries the shears, moments, and torques from the nacelles to the fuselage attachments, where they are reacted.

The engine is supported by a tubular engine mount cantilevered ahead of the front lateral pylon spar.

There are two forward and one aft support points for mounting each engine. The forward inboard support is capable of taking loads in all three directions. The forward outboard support is capable of taking only vertical load. The aft engine support is capable of taking vertical and side loads.

The engine and engine mount are covered by nonstructural aluminum cowling.

二日本 二日二日 二日 一日



$$\begin{array}{c} \hline POTOK \ Simple T \ Supp T \ Simple T \ Gaussian \ Suppose \ Sup$$



ł



$$\frac{\left(A T \in R 4 - \frac{P_{V} L ON}{P_{V} S T R} - \frac{P_{V}}{P_{V}} N_{S}^{S} 385 - 5007 \right)}{P_{0} R_{0} R$$

A State State State
$$\frac{LATGRAL ALON}{R_{11}}$$

$$\frac{R_{EAR} Spar}{C_{10}} = \frac{R_{0WER} N_{0DULS}}{R_{11}}$$

$$\frac{Dwy N^{2} 585 - 5012}{C_{10}}$$

$$\frac{R_{11}}{C_{10}}$$

$$\frac{R_{1$$

đ.

•

$$\frac{(A - ERAL Prion) - Dwg N^{2} 385 - 5015}{Srin Assx - (A - TEAHL Prion) - Dwg N^{2} 385 - 5015}$$

$$\frac{(A - ERAL Prion) - (ITTIMATE LEADS Teque Box Areea = 622 integration of the second area = 6200 the second area = 6200 the second a$$

.

266

1

, M

$$LATERAL PYLON$$

$$CANTED RIE - DWG N2 355-5014 (CASE 4'-ULT LOADS)

REAR 2134/2 3 (CASE 4'-ULT LOADS)

REAR 2134/2 4 (CASE 4'-ULT LOADS)$$

•

$$\frac{(ATERAL PyLON)}{OLEO ATTER TO POWER MODULE}
DIEO ATTER TO POWER MODULE
 $P_{29} = 1.5 \times 18,428$
 $P_{29} = 1.5 \times 120$
 $P_{29} = 1.5 \times 120$$$

	SPAR FITTING ANALYSIS								
FITTING ASSY, FRONT	FAR LOWER CAD Jung 385-5008								
I H I	REF DWG 385-5015								
	MARL = 2014 AL ALLOY								
M-	Logos For Page 209,215								
UP 50000 - B.L. 22	WOMINGL WEB HICKNESS, CS								
THIS FITTING IS ANALYSED FOR ATTACHMENTS									
MEMBER LIMITLOAD ATTACHME	NT CRITICAL COND. M.S.								
H.N10401 NAS 1105 BOL	TS.S + Aug BEARING IN HIN TURE + . 47								
Mal + 13577 VAS 1105 Rover	LS. TREPO BOLT SHEAR 45.30								
N.M 13375 SWAS 1105 BOLT	S 3 READ BEARING & BOLT SHEAR +1, 96								
N.A - 11494 HUCKBOLSS	5.5, 6ARO BOLT STEAR +,62								
N(REALT) -29515* MS632 BOXT	ROD BEARING IN FITTING +2.96								
FITTING ASSY, REAR SMAR LOWER (AP DWG 385-5010									
	REF Jung 315-50,2								
I.	MATL 2014 AL ALWY HAND								
0.0 0									
R	DIVAS FEIN AGE 209.215								
	Neny was it es Tim, senses . 25"								
	THIS FIT NG IS ANALYSED								
S - 0 - 0 - 0 P	FOR M-TACHMENTS.								
AUP TIT	NOTE- ALL WADS MANING * ARE								
OUTBOARD 8.4.22.0	CRASH LOALS & ARE ULTIMATE LOSIS								
MEMBER LIMIT LOON ATTACHM	INTS CRITICAL COND M.								
KE + 12122 SHOLOCK BOLTS 3	STREGO BEARING & BOLT SHEAR +1.80								
FK +10792 WAS 1105-6-55	4 REOD BEARING IN THE F.K T. 47								
IK +7323 NAS. 1308 BOLTS	S. 2 REO'D BEARING TO ATTNEMMENTS +4.08								
XT +2(280 + 1/4 Lock Roll 1)	1.3 8600 BESENGING IN POTOCONTENT + 345								
ST + 4380 14 Locales 5.	1. SREDD BEARING IN ATT 1CH MENTS +2.60								
-TP +4670 14 Loca 00- 5.5	- BREOD BEAR. NG IN ATTAC MENTS +2.03								
[(REACT.) + 26280" NAI 692 BOLT	IRAY D BOLT TENSION +1.70								

à,

	SPAA	PITTING ANALY	515						
FITTING ASSY. FRONT SPAR LAPER GAP DWG 385-5009									
H - U BEE Day 315-5007 DW4315-5015									
	MATL	= 2014 AL ALLOY OS FROM PALE 21	5						
I'M W	k/cs	=,25" THICK							
THIS FITTING IS ANALYSED FOR CRITICAL ATTACHMENTS.									
MEMBER LIMITLOOD	ATTACHMENT	CRITICAL CONO.	sA, S.						
G.H +20853 H.M +6352 H.N10401	NAS 1106-7 SS 4 REQ. NAS 1104-655 4 REQ. NAS 1105-655 4 REQ	BEARNA IN THEE GH BEARING IN THEE HM BEARING IN THEE HN	+.11 +.47						
H.T. + 8150 HU + 11661	Hi LOCK BOLT ST GREQ The LOCK BOLTS SS 2 849	BEARING IN STAR & DONALTOR BEARING IN STILLENER	+ :08						
H.E -11760	In lock EULTSSS. 2REQ NAS 464 S.S. 4 REQ	AND DUURLER BOLT SHELR	+,40						
FITTING ASSY. REA	e sage Uaper	Gpo Durg 385-5011							
·····		REF DWG 385	-5012						
2	2	DWG 383	- 30/3						
Aur of the	Mare Mare	ZIAL = 2014 ALALLOV							
ONTED CETHOL	E LOAD.	s FROM PALL 215							
R'/I'	K WEB	THICKNESS = .25"							
THIS FITTING	IS ANALYSED FO	& CRITICAL ATTACH	MENTS						
MEMBER LIMITLOAD	ATTACHMENT	CRITICAL CONDITION	M.S.						
EF +17751	N1951106 5.5 4 Rep	BEARING IN TUBE EF	+.79						
FR + 5456*	NAS 1104 S.S. 4REQ NAS 1105 S.S. 4REQ	BEARING IN THESE FR	+.27						
LE - 7/90	W LOCKBOLT AREQ	BEARING IN ILIBE FR REARING SDAD & DWINGED	+2 04						
EV +11211	3/16604-Bat 2860	BEARING IN STIFFENE	30						
FI' -13307	5116 LOCK BULT JEGQ NAS 464 55 4REQ	AND DOY BLER BOLT SHEAR	+.19						
* NOTE ALL LOADS HAVING * ARE CRASH LOADS AND ARE ULTIMATE LOADS									





$$\frac{Evq. NE Mount Avalysis}{Found Comparison of the second and the$$





STRESS ANALYSIS - HOT GAS TRANSFER SYSTEM

The hot gas transfer system carries gas from the YT-64 gas generators to the rotor blades and to the yaw control valve. The system is fabricated from thin metal and is designed to carry the duct pressure by hoop tension. Stiffeners and straps are used where necessary because of a change in duct contour or where concentrated loads are applied to the duct.

The ducts are analyzed for a burst pressure of 54 psi, which is twice the operating pressure. This condition results in higher stresses than the operating pressure acting with the inertia loads. The allowable stresses are the 1,000-hour creep allowable stresses at the expected duct temperature.

278

e.





$$\frac{Ducr Ausen, Lower Star}{Ducr Ausen Star}$$

$$\frac{1300}{1000}^{1}$$

$$\frac{1300}{100}^{1}$$

$$\frac{11300}{100}^{1}$$

$$\frac{11300}{100}^{$$

.



2 🚿

$$\frac{E_{NGINE} E_{NMMUST} T_{AIL} Are}{Assy E_{NGINE} E_{NMMUST} T_{AIL} Pre Meloco Anc 385-4001
Supers 1/2, 3/2
Dung 385-4001
Dung 385-4001
The area of the transformed
Source and the transformed
Supers 1/2, 3/2
Supers 1/$$

intervent



$$\frac{y_{AW} (on TROL DUCTING}{DUCT ASSEMBLY YAW CONTROL SUPPLY "S" SECTION
2850" S = 82" SEAL DA.
4" Mareen 347 Cress Supp
10" S = 540° Construction of the supplication of the super
10" S = 540° Construction of the supplication of the super
10" S = 540° Construction of the super
10" S = 18900 FSC
10" Maje 2500 - 1= 4.32
10" S = 540° Construction of the super
10" S = 18900 FSC
10" Maje 2500 - 1= 4.32
100" Maje 2500 - 1= 4.32
100" Maje 2500 - 1= 4.32
100" Maje 2500 - 1= 4.32
10" S = 18900 FSC
10" Maje 2500 - 1= 4.32
100" Maje 2500 - 1= 4.32
10" Maje 2500$$

ø

STRESS ANALYSIS - FUSELAGE

The cockpit area at the forward end of the fuselage is a modified OH-6A cockpit enclosure. This cockpit extends to fuselage Station 200. Aft of Station 200 the fuselage is designed to the requirements of the XV-9A.

The main load-carrying elements of the fuselage are the two upper and two lower longerons, which are designed to resist all of the fuselage bending moments. The longerons are 7075-T6 aluminum extrusions. The fuselage is covered with stressed skin capable of taking direct and torque shears. The skin is supported by 0. 032-inch 2024-T42 aluminum former rings spaced at approximately 8 inches in the forward structure and 10 inches in the aft structure. There are no stringers in the fuselage.

The maximum fuselage bending moments on the fuselage aft of the power module for both positive and negative bending are produced in the maximum autogyro level flight condition for symmetrical tail loading.

The maximum fuselage bending moments forward of the power module are produced by Case 4, two wheel landing with side load on one wheel, and by the maximum autogyro level flight condition for symmetrical down tail load.

The main landing gear fits into a steel tubular shaft located at fuselage Station 238.3. This tube spans across the fuselage and is capable of taking bending moments from the landing gear bearing points. Without this tube, these bending moments would have resulted in much heavier supporting frames. Two heavy frames, at Station 235.10 and Station 241.50, provide the support for this tube.

The top of the fuselage is cut out above the top longeron, between Station 271. 50 and Station 321. 00, to provide clearance for mounting the power module.

The attachments for the power module are at the upper longeron vd to the rigid fuselage bulkheads at Station 278.81 and Station 316 i. The attachment is such that forward and aft loads go directly into the upper longerons. In this cutout region are two 6-inch-deep shelves that extend from bulkhead Station 271, 50 to Station 321.00, one on each side of the fuselage. These shelves, acting with the main attachment bulkheads, distribute side loads to the top and bottom fuselage skins.

The vertical power module loads are distributed from the attachment to the side skins between the upper and lower longerons by the bulkheads at Station 278.81 and Station 316.51.

The tailwheel is mounted to the fuselage at the rigid bulkhead at fuselage Station 581.00. The oleo strut is attached to the bulkhead at Station 616.50. The loads from the tailwheel determine the design of these two bulkheads and of the side skin for vertical shear between these bulkheads.

The formers aft of fuselage Station 587. 5 are 0.040 inch thick. The increased gage is required because the outside skin aft of this area is 0.040 inch thick, to resist torsional shear stresses.

In this area, the cross section is tapering down, resulting in high torsional shear stresses. The highest torque on the aft fuselage is from the maximum autogyro level flight asymmetrical loading condition.





$$LONV = e CON \cdot S - A M AMADDA
SECTION SECTION OF -36 - 4 SAME AS AT
SECTION OF -36 - 4 SAME AS AT
STATION 322.00
P = P = $\frac{370000(1.5)}{4!.4(2)} = 15760 # (UT)$
 $-3 = -4$
 $4!.4$
 $1.5 = \frac{76}{4!} = \frac{15760}{.32} = 40,300 \text{ PSI}$
 $1.6 = K \cdot MS \frac{4\pi}{4!} = \frac{15760}{.32} = 40,300 \text{ PSI}$
 $1.6 = K \cdot MS \frac{4\pi}{4!} = \frac{15760}{.32} = 40,300 \text{ PSI}$
 $1.6 = K \cdot MS \frac{4\pi}{4!} = \frac{15760}{.32} = 40,300 \text{ PSI}$
 $1.5 = \frac{76000}{.49300} - 1 = +.58$
 $-5 = -6$
SECTION OF -56 - 6 SAME AS AT
STATION 392.00 EXCEPT 2.08 DIMA.
 $15 = 2.22$
 $L = 3.5 \text{ M}$, $M.S. = + M.4M$
FUSELAGE SKINS (DNG No. 395-2200)
MAXIMUM VERTICAL SHEAR OCCURS AT STATION
250,00 (18,000 # LIMIT) (REF. R.5211)
 -19 SKIM
MAT'L: 2024 - T3 ALUM. ALLOY (QP-A-342)
 $E = 10.5(10)^6 \text{ PSI}$
 $F_{CR} = .0E(\frac{C}{5}) + 5.8(\frac{C}{5})^2 E$
 $= 10.5(10)^6 \text{ PSI}$
 $F_{CR} = .0E(\frac{C}{5}) + 5.8(\frac{C}{5})^2 E$
 $= 10.5(10)^6 \text{ PSI}$
 $F_{CR} = .0E(\frac{C}{5}) + 5.8(\frac{C}{5})^2 E$
 $= 10.200 \text{ PSI} (UT)$
 $F_{USELAGE} STATION 280.00$
 $F_{S} = 20,000 \text{ PSI} (UT)$
 $F_{USELAGE} STATION 280.00$
 $F_{S} = 20,000 \text{ PSI} (UT)$$$

$$\frac{\left[2006 \& e @ 20 \& \& Sxin & gnachtais}{Sine AR = 6,230 & (Limit) \\ FUS, STA & Sine AR = 6,230 & (Limit) \\ TORQUE = 480,000 & in & (Limit) \\ TORQUE = 480,000 & in & (Limit) \\ TREF, F& 2164218 \\ \hline \\ I = 210,210 & (M&EGA(TVP) = .125(1,57+.625) \\ =.27 in 2 \\$$

$$\frac{\left[congerent f Skin Analysis}{Art Fuselage Sking (Dwg No. 385-2300)} \right]$$

$$\frac{Art Fuselage Shear f for the formula fo$$

a 🔎





294

1.1

FUSELAGE SKIN ANALYSIS

Angenet C

	Ι.		ler.	14	~				10				. 50	_ 0 %
(~~~~)	2.55	2/÷	61 "+	+2.5	51+	+.02	+ 08	+.51	56.1+	+.69	25+	2/4	+ 58 + 12.6 + 1.9	1.12
222	Auna	303 2	7015	2174	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	195 1	303 #	2656	学に44	4574	752	303 5	434344	そいが
HMENTS = 2000	Amennen	AD4@3H	40403/4	Nestor	4. 0H 0H	ADAR 3/4	the at ot	t/c Otat	4240404	410000	410 2508	40404	401034 401034 401014	4090 24 4050 24 4050 2/4
Arras	£3	11350	0016	4000	4130	18200	00061	as161	5800	6550	13040	1/200	212	2480
Aub	granding	~L22	2275	100 #	1.25 #	726 🛼	3562	132 1	¥.541	2102	52/25	2372	いい	R S S S S
ANALYSIS OF SKIN SPLICES	Concition	Cono. 86 - Censy 49 Sios	Cours BC - CRASH Ag SIDE	CONO 12 - ASYMETEICN TAIL LO	Cano. 12 - Asymmetreicar Tan Lo.	COND 12 - ASMMERICALTAN LD.	Cows. 4'- 204062 lower 144 Soc Loop	Cours. 86- CRASH 10g FWD.	Lowo 12. Asymattekar Tain Long	Cous. 12. Asymetrace Tan lose	Cara 12 Asymmetrian Tax land	Caro. 4'- Zutiter Concorre why Sar live	Cours 4' - 2WhEEL Course wh Since Cours 7' - 2WHEEL Course - San Lo Cours 7' - 2WHEEL Course - San Lo	Cour 12 Asymetherede Ton Land Cour of 2444666 Lander-Sol Land Courd 12. Asymetric Rege Ton Land
- 50	2	0.0	200.	570.	032	520	020.	250	520.	072	oto.	020.	150	10.
FUSE LAGE	Pares 570	\$6:472-002	51322-56162	329.13-457.	\$2%0-492	463-633.12	200 - 264.13	26493-329.13	329.13-485.0	2:185-0:284	5710-6.D.B	200-264.93	26493-278.81 3.4,51-329.13 483.0 -571	28.02 - 01.02 28.02 - 14.57 28.02 - 18.05
		Lon Skin Alone						CINEEN PACE			BOTTHE EN LONGEROWS			

.



$$\frac{Bure e Moonle To Fuscing HE for the form of the fo$$

-

.

.

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \hline POWER MODILE \overline{b} fusclave Armin
MANY FRAME Assy STA 279.80 Aro STA 317.50
REF. DWE 365-2201
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9007
9$$



-
Power Mooule To FUSELACE ATTACH. SIDE BEAM FUSELAGE STA 271.5 To STA 321 Rer Dure 385-2200 STA SA VIEW 574. 274.5 274.8 VIEW H MS 20410 40-5 SULLO Mas 529-6 6 REO -207 ANGLE te.011 0 . LOML, MS 20410 A 0-5 -NAS 529-6 NAS 1104 BOLT M95 529-6 - MS 20470 AD.6 4REQ / AITTING Auce MS 20470 A OF 4 LEQ TYA MODULE ATTACH CHECK FOR POWER MODULE ATTACH LUNDS FOR 49 5.00 CANSH 15904* 15904 Logo Fresh Firmy To 071 WES 4.25 5 NAS 1104 BOSS = 5(1825)=9125 BEARING M.S. = 9/25-1=+54 6480 Cyeck ATTACH DE MES To GAD BOTWLEN SHEAR CURVE STA. 317.5 To STA 321. -P= 6440 + 3.00 = 4575# CHECK BEARING 5'340* 7.67 2441 529-6 # 2 (1356) = 2712 2 MAS 1/04 BOLT: 2(1825)= 7650 6302 CHECK RIVETS To TAKE 6450 REACTION 6NAS529-6 RIVETS = 6 (1856)=8136 M.L = 6362 +=+39 MS = 8136-1= +125 CHECK FOR POWER MODULE ATTACH LONDS FOR 109 FWO CRASH 167514 16738 LOAD FROM FITTING INTO SHELP 4 NAS 1104 Barts Bearing IN ATI Will & 15 Long. = 4 (5680) = 22700 1825 I-NASINY BUT BEAR WY 14 07/148 = 24525 M.S = + . 46 CHECK LOAD FROM WESTO INNER LONGERON LOJO ARREADY IN LONGERON BY BURNING DI KY" BULT . 2 (3860) x 2x 16788 = 105 80 A Long / Wher = 2(1678)- 10 500 = 23000 23000 # ADG @ 1" BEARING OTI RINE T SHORE GINGL 44.5 IN = 465 EN M.S. = 8/02 -/e +. 85

POWER MODULE TO FUSELALE ATTACH MAIN FRAME ALSY STA. 279,80 AND STA. 317.50 REF. DAWG 385-2202 385-2207 RIVETS -NAS 632 BOLT MS20470AUL FUSELAGE STATION 279.8 \$ 317.5 SECTION THROUGH CENTER OF FITTING L0321 ALL RIVETS NAS 529 EXCEPT NOTED NOTE - REF. LOADS FROM PAGE 209 REY AND ROX LOADS HAVE BEEN ANALYSED FOR LOADING UP THE SHELF. THE PORTION REMAINING IN THE BULKMEAD IS PASSED BY WSPECTION CHECK FITTING FOR RELOAD TAKEN BY FITTING R32=+26280* ULT. TEN. STRENGTH BOLT = 71100# 26280* 1-2.63° 1.188 ASSUME THE LOOD GOES ONT TO THE IN PRODORTION TO THE NUMBER 20 5850 OF RIVETS 1.25 岳×13140=7300 4×13140=5850 1754 7300 9 SECTION AA M= 7300x225+5850x 3.0= 24650" Assume \$ T415 MOMENT is RESISTED & BENDAUC DO SECTION AA AND OTHER 2 IS RESISTED BY P. P= 24650 + = 400 # LOAD ON MASS RIVETS = [(4100) 2 (2300) 2 / 2 = 2520 Fz= 3680 M. S. = 3640 - 1=+.46 SECTION AA. I=.82 A=1.44 m2 Me P - 24650 (108) + 4100 = 19100 pm M.S. = HIGH 7 - 182 1,44 = 19100 pm M.S. = HIGH

\$



.

TAIL GEAR ATTACHMENT BULKHEAD @ FIS STA 616.50 DWG. Nº 385-2304 SHT. 1 ULTIMATE LOADS CRITICAL CASE TOWING b(i) (PARE 205) 9 = 216 ts/in. ATTACHMENT FOR TAILWHEEL OLEO 2024-73 with = AILT the BAR (I'RFF) 7/3/ 22' R, = 6393 2 - 14 BOLTS g, R2 = 1279 # 16.5 74778 OLEO LOAD 7075-16 STIFFENER Nove Only SECTION B.B THE STITTEMER 22 TANEN AS 7777 EFFEL TIVE A_= 1588 m² Z7= .156 in³ M= 12,479 Hes. Ms. P= 2574 Hes (COMF?) SECTION BB Max TENSION My + P/A = 80,108 - 4378 = 75,780 #1=" (6 77,000 %." M.S. +. 01 STRENGTH OF STIFFENER FLANGE IN COMPRESSION = 2125 # (EACH) LOAD = 4167# TOTAL = 2084 # PER FLANCE M.S.+.02 STRENGTH OF STIFFENER FLANGE IN TENSION = 749 4/inch (EACH) M.S. + . 16 LOAD = 1279 #/in TOTAL = 639 #/mch (EACH) ATTLY OF STIFFENER TO WEB :- \$32 - 2117 - TE RIVETS @ .75" STRENATH = 533 % MS.>+1.0 LOADING = 204 #/im SECTION A.A AREA = .040 + .104 + .015 = .159 m2 1--- $P_{AA} = \frac{7/3}{2} \times \frac{11}{32} = 1783 \times (COMPE) \qquad f = \frac{1763}{.159} = 11,200 \times 10^{-2}$ ·032 FRAME FLANGE CRIPPLING $\frac{5}{7} = \frac{75}{032} = 23.4$ $f_{cc} = 15,000 \frac{4}{5}$ $h_{f} = \frac{2.0}{033} = 52.5$ $f_{cc} = 15,000$... M.S.+.34 Ŧ 1 .5' 025" $\frac{SECTION C-C}{Across Holes} + f_s = 9,850 \frac{4}{m^2}$ $AllowABLE = 10,000 \frac{4}{m^2}$ M.5, +.07

٠







· 307

F3

STRESS ANALYSIS - EMPENNAGE

ŝ

are:

The stabilizer portion of the empennage consists of two cantilever spars and two torsion boxes (nose and aft). The spar caps are 7075-T6 aluminum extrusions and are riveted to 0.040-inch-thick 2024-T3 aluminum webs. The spar shears are carried by partial diagonal tension fields, with stiffeners provided by the rib attachment flanges and, where necessary, at midpoints between ribs. The torsion on the structure is carried wholly by the skins as shear (partial diagonal tension fields), except toward the root, where differential bending becomes significant as a result of the axial constraint of the spar caps. The torsion box carries on through the center section, with the root ribs taking out the main attachment loads to the fuselage. There are four hinge ribs upon which the rudder is mounted, and these are made up of two standard ribs riveted back to back. The nose ribs are all identical, except for those closing off the ends.

Each rudder is a single-spar two-cell torsion box, supported at four hinge points and with the control torque tube taken off the root rib. The spar is made up of 0.040-inch 2024-T3 aluminum channel, with doublers riveted to the flanges to form the caps. The nose torsion box is interrupted at each hinge, but the aft torsion box is continuous. The skins forming these boxes are 0.016-inch-thick 2024-T3 aluminum, and the torsion shears are carried as p⁻ rtial diagonal tension field.

The design cases for which the tailplane has been analyzed

Α	(i)	symmetric with center pressure at 0.25 chord
A	(ii)	symmetric with center pressure at 0.50 chord
В	(i)	unsymmetric with center pressure at 0.25 chord
B	(ii)	unsymmetric with center pressure at 0.50 chord

The airloads for these conditions are found under Structural Design Criteria, Section 7 of this report. The spanwise distribution for all cases was assumed to be uniform (that is, center pressure at midspan). The chordwise distribution was taken as parabolic for center pressure at 0.25 chord and trapezoidal for center pressure at 0.50 chord. The effects of inertia have been neglected, as they would be small compared with airloading. A further case, parked tail-towind at 40 knots, was investigated, but did not prove to be more critical than the cases above, except locally at the trailing edge of the rudder.



τ

$$STAGILIZER = Dwg N^{2} 385-3100.$$

FRONT SPAR - CRITICAL SECTIONS -(TENSION FIELD EFFECTS INC)

Section AA:- UPER CAP P= 32,650 Hz. (Conner) f= 55,500 Hz⁴

CASE AU.) MATE 7075-T6(EXT8) ALLOWARES f= 65,000 Hz⁴

MATE 7075-T6(EXT8) ALLOWARES fr= 75,500 Hz⁴

MATE 7075-T6(EXT8) ALLOWARES fr= 75,500 Hz⁴

MATE 7075-T6(EXT8) ALLOWARES fr= 65,000 Hz⁴

MATE 7075-T6(EXT8) ALLOWARES fr= 65,000 Hz⁴

MATE 7075-T6(EXT8) ALLOWARES fr= 75,500 Hz⁴

MATE 7075-T6(EXT8) ALLOWARES fr= 75,000 Hz⁴

MATE 7075-T6(EXT8) ALLOWARES fr= 77,000 Hz⁴

MATE 7075-T6(EXT8) ALLOWARES Fr= 55,000 Hz⁴

MATE 7075-T6(EXT8) ALLOWARES Fr= 55,000 Hz⁴

MATE 7075-T6(EXT8) ALLOWARES Fr= 23,000 Hz⁴

MATE 7075-T6(EXT8) ALLOWARES Fr= 55,000 Hz⁴

MATE 7075-T6(EXT8) ALLOWARES Fr= 23,000 Hz⁴

MATE 7075-T6(EXT8) ALLOWARES Fr= 23,000 Hz⁴

MATE 7075-T6(EXT8) ALLOWARES Fr= 30 K=63
ALLOWARES FR= 75 Hz⁴

MATE 7020 Hz⁴

T = 10,000 Hz

.



CE MANUE

$$STABLIZERS$$

$$\frac{STABLIZERS}{Rise 0.5.0^{\circ}} \frac{TRAMETER OF NOSEBOX TORSION}{SECTION GG (PAGE 30%) P = 1246 $$ A = 086 m^{3} P_{A} = 14500 $%^{3} \\ \frac{Section GG (PAGE 30%) P = 1246 $$ A = 086 m^{3} P_{A} = 14500 $%^{3} \\ \frac{Y_{E}}{2} = 26 (one cose free) FLAMER CRIPPLING $$_{LC} = 14,500 $%^{3} \\ \frac{Y_{E}}{2} = 26 (one cose free) FLAMER CRIPPLING $$_{LC} = 14,500 $%^{3} \\ \frac{Y_{E}}{2} = 22,600 $%^{3} \\ \frac{Y_{E}}{2} = 22,000 $%^{3} \\ \frac{Y_{E}}{2} \\ \frac{Y_{E}}{2$$







$$\frac{RvDDER}{RvDER - (Cont's)} = \frac{Fitting - Torque Ture - Dwg N^2385-3202}{Marr 2000}$$

$$\frac{2.25^{\circ}}{1} = \frac{Surrear Runt}{1} = \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} +$$



STRESS ANALYSIS - CONTROL SYSTEM

The XV-9A has incorporated in its design a number of the OH-6A control system components in the cockpit area and all of the Model 285 upper rotor control system parts. No analysis of these parts is included in this report.

A summary of the minimum margins of safety for the OH-6A control system parts used is included here. These margins of safety are taken from Reference 33.

The Model 385 upper rotor control system has been analyzed in Reference 30.

The portion of the flight control system between the cockpit and the stationary swashplate is designed to the design criteria presented in Section 7.



$$\frac{STATTOWARY SWASHPLATE}{STATTOWARY SWASHPLATE} - CONT^{2}}$$

$$\frac{STATTOWARY SWASHPLATE - CONT^{2}}{R_{A}} = \frac{7,000 \text{ Hs}}{R_{A}} = \frac{M25 + .23}{R_{A}} = \frac{5,700 \text{ H}(UT, LOOP)}{R_{A}} = \frac{M25 + .23}{R_{A}} = \frac{5,700 \text{ H}(UT, LOOP)}{SECTION XX} \begin{cases} f_{arial} = \frac{36,800 \text{ H}_{a}^{L}}{9,000 \text{ H}_{a}^{L}} = \frac{54,000 \text{ H}_{a}^{L}}{8} = \frac{5,811}{R_{A}} = \frac{10943 \text{ H}_{a}^{L}}{9,000 \text{ H}_{a}^{L}} = \frac{54,800 \text{ H}_{a}^{L}}{R_{A}} = \frac{5222}{12}$$

$$\frac{MTEMATE LOADING}{MTE LOADING} \begin{cases} f_{arial} = 9,000 \text{ H}_{a}^{L}}{9,000 \text{ H}_{a}^{L}} = \frac{54,000 \text{ H}_{a}}{12} = \frac{167}{12} = \frac{167}{12}$$

M



$$\begin{array}{c} \hline P_{1} = P_{2} = P_{2}$$

P. dial.



$$\frac{PTOR CONTROLS}{PTOR CCT TUBE (DWS NO.385-6/28)} - (17085) - (17085) - (17085) - (17085) - (17085) - (17085) - (17085) - (17500 \times .125 W)T. - (17500 \times .1$$

• ,

.

$$\frac{BTOR CONTROLS}{ROBALS}$$

$$\frac{BOO Assiy (Dwg No 385-6/05-1 $F-3)}{P - P (Ref Pr 205 $226)}$$

$$P - P (Ref Pr 205 $226)$$

$$FOR -3; P_{22.23} = P_{0-23} = 1.264 $F(1.004), I = 69.06 NV$$

$$Mat'L; 1.75 O.OX. 058 NVT., 2024 -T3 Alum Alloy (NW-7-785)$$

$$f_{2} = \frac{P_{22-23}}{A} = \frac{12644(1.5)}{.371} = 5111 PSI$$

$$A = .371/N^{2}; P = .545 NV$$

$$For $G = 1.26.7; F_{2} = \frac{T^{2}E}{(1/p)} = 6454 PSI$$

$$M_{15} = \frac{6454}{5111} - 1 = 4.26$$

$$LONGITUDNAL (EVER ASSV (Durg No. 385-6116))$$

$$R = 375 $F(1.001) P_{0-95} T51 $F(1.001) P_{05-46} = 376 $F_{1.001} T000 P_{0-95} T51 $F(1.001) P_{0-95-76} $F_{1.001} T000 P_{0-95} T51 $F(1.001) P_{0-95-76} $F_{1.001} T000 P_{0-95-75} $F_{1.001} P_{0-95-75} $F_{1.001} P_{0-95-76} $F_{1.001} $F_{1.001} P_{0-95-76$$

- -

á



A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE



)







$$\frac{RUDDER CONTROL LEVER Ass'V (DWG NO. 385-6171)}{UH}$$

$$\frac{RUDDER CONTROL LEVER Ass'V (DWG NO. 385-6171)}{UH}$$

$$\frac{WH}{UH}$$

$$\frac{WH}{W}$$

$$\frac{WH}$$





The state of the

APPENDIX III CALCULATED ROTOR BLADE LIFE

The calculated service life of 107-1/2 hours for the XV-9A rotor blades is based on a typical flight load spectrum and on an S-N curve established by fatigue testing of full-scale rotor blade specimens.

FLIGHT LOAD SPECTRUM

The load spectrum of flight 13 is taken as a typical flight, as it includes speeds to 103 knots calibrated, turns, climbs, descents, and hovering turns (Reference 34).

S-N CURVE

The S-N curve used in this analysis is based on data from the fatigue testing of two full-scale specimens of the root end section of the rotor blade. They were tested for loads based on a weighted fatigue condition, which includes flapwise and chordwise bending, centrifugal load, and blade torsion (see Section 12 of Reference 9).

The data points used in developing the S-N curve are based on the cyclic axial stress in the spar and the corresponding number of cycles at which a crack devloped in the spar of the specimen. No reduction was made from test points to account for scatter in establishing the S-N curve. The spar, however, still sustained the test loads and had additional life before ultimate failure of the spar would have occurred.

ROTOR BLADE LIFE

A review of the load spectrum from the whirl tests and the tie-down tests shows that an insignificant amount of fatigue damage occurred during these tests. Therefore, the 15-hour flight test program is the only testing to date that has used up any of the rotor blade life.

DISCUSSION

In both blade root and fatigue test specimens, cracks occurred in the spar at the bolt hole of the spar to segment attachment. These cracks developed between 400,000 to 500,000 load cycles. The S-N curve is based on this data, which accounts for the low calculated service life of the XV-9A rotor blade.

The blade life could be improved by reducing the notch effect of the bolt hole in the spar. Some investigation of this problem was made by reduced-scale spar to segment attachment fatigue tests (see Section 14 of Reference 9). Various configurations for bushing the hole in the spar were tested.

Tests showed that a countersunk clamped up bushing installation through a clearance hole in the spar resulted in a large improvement in fatigue life.


۲

4

-

in.

•

•••

•

The series of the series

3 -

.

L				
XV-94 ROTOR BLADE LIFE FLIGHT 13 LOAD SPECTRUM (REF. 34)				
LOADSPEC-FLF-13 CYCLIC CYCLIC n "N" m/N				
AXIAL AXIAL CYCLES LIFE YUL LOAD STRESS PER BASED SA. 90.75 STA. 90.75 100 On 240				
4500 4890 445,000 950,000 ,4680				
5250 5700 65,000 560,000 ,1160				
5750 6250 14,000 400,000 ,0350				
6250 6800 7,000 300,000 ,0233				
6750 7340 4,900 235,000 ,0208				
7250 7875 3,700 185,000 .0200				
7750 8425 1,100 150,000 ,0073				
8250 8970 400 125.000 ,0032				
8750 9500 300 105,000 .0030				
.6966				
SERVICE LIFE = 100 Hours .75 = 107 = Hours				
BASED ON S-71 CURVE INCLUDING DATA FROM				
THE SECOND ROOT END MITHUE SPECIMEN,				

p. 30

(

REFERENCES

- Preliminary Design Study, Hot Cycle Research Aircraft, Summary Report, Hughes Tool Company, Aircraft Division Report 62-31, TREC CRD 62-102, U.S. Army Transportation Research Command,* Fort Eustis, Virginia, March 1963.
- Hot Cycle Rotor Duct Closing Valve System, Hughes Tool Company, Aircraft Division Report 62-32, TREC Report 62-103, U.S. Army Transportation Research Command,* Fort Eustis, Virginia, March 1963.
- Model Specification, XV-9A Hot Cycle Research Aircraft, Hughes Tool Company, Aircraft Division Report 62-22 (385-X-01), Revision E, October 1963.
- 4. Fernberger, J. M., <u>T64 Gas Generator Data</u>, General Electric Company Memorandum, T64 Applications and Installation Application, Lynn, Massachusetts, 15 March 1961.
- Stevens, F. R., Jr., <u>T64 Gas Generator Generalized Performance</u>, Small Aircraft Engine Department Technical Memorandum TM SE 1570, General Electric Company, Lynn, Massachusetts, 1 July 1962.
- 6. <u>Hot Cycle Rotor System Design Report</u>, Hughes Tool Company, Aircraft Division Report 62-12, March 1962.
- Installation Manual T-64 Engine, SEI-123, Small Aircraft Engine Division, General Electric Company, Lynn, Massachusetts, 31 March 1963.
- 8. <u>Oil Cooler Tests</u>, Report HE 6139, Harrison Radiator Division, General Motors Corporation, Lockport, New York, 24 March 1959.

- 9. <u>Component Testing, XV-9A Hot Cycle Research Aircraft Summary</u> <u>Report</u>, Hughes Tool Company, Aircraft Division Report 64-26, USAAML Technical Report 65-38, August 1965
- 10. Gessow, A., and Myers, G., <u>Aerodynamics of the Helicopter</u>, The Macmillan Company, New York, New York, 1952

^{*}In March 1965, the name of this Command was changed to U. S. Army Aviation Materiel Laboratories.

- 11. Hoerner, Sighard, F., Fluid Dynamic Drag (privately published), 1958.
- 12. Perkins, C. D., and Hage, R. E., <u>Airplane Performance, Stability</u> and Control, John Wiley Company, New York, New York, 1959.
- Gessow, A., and Tapscott, R., Charts for Estimating Performance of High-Performance Helicopters, NACA Technical Note TN-3323, 1955.
- Helicopter Flying and Ground Handling Qualities; General Requirements for, Military Specification, SPEC MIL-H-8501A, 7 September 1961.
- 15. Salmire, S., and Tapscott, R., <u>The Effects of Various Combinations</u> of Damping and Control Power on Helicopter Handling Qualities <u>during Both Instrument and Visual Flight</u>, NASA Technical Note TN D-58, October 1959.
- 16. Purser, Paul E., and Campbell, John P., Experimental Verification of a Simplified Vee-Tail Theory and Analysis of Available Data on Complete Models With Vee-Tails, NACA TR823, 1945.
- 17. Gerstenberger, W., and Wood, E., <u>Analysis of Helicopter</u> <u>Aeroelastic Characteristics in High Speed Flight</u>, Institute of Aeronautical Sciences Paper IAS 63-72, January 1963.
- 18. <u>Dynamic Characteristics</u>, Hot Cycle Rotor, Hughes Tool Company, Aircraft Division Report 62-14, March 1962.
- 19. Engine and Whirl Tests, XV-9A Hot Cycle Research Aircraft Summary Report, Hughes Tool Company, Aircraft Division Report 64-23, USATRECOM Technical Report 64-67, U.S. Army Transportation Research Command, Fort Eustis, Virginia, February 1965.
- 20. <u>Hot Cycle Rotor System Structural Analysis</u>, Volume I, Hughes Tool Company, Aircraft Division, Report 62-13, June 1962.
- 21. <u>Structural Design Requirements, Helicopter</u> (ASG), Military Specification, SPEC MIL-S-8698(1), 28 February 1958.
- 22. <u>Hot Cycle Rotor System Preliminary Design</u>, Hughes Tool Company, Aircraft Division Report 285-7, September 1956.

- 23. Graham, Donald J., Nitzberg, Gerald E., and Olson, Robert N., <u>A Systematic Investigation of Pressure Distribution on Five</u> <u>Representative NACA Low-Drag and Conventional Airfoil Sections</u>, NACA Technical Note TN832, 1945.
- 24. Buscher, R., On the Installation of Jet Engine Nacelles on a Wing, Fourth Partial Report, Pressure Distribution on a Sweptback Wing with Jet Engine Nacelles, NACA Technical Memorandum TM 1226, July 1949.
- 25. <u>Request for Landing Gear Data</u>, Letter SE-4810, to Hughes Tool Company, Aircraft Division, from Sikorsky Aircraft, Division -United Aircraft Corporation, Windsor Locks, Connecticut, Model CH-34A, 7 May 1962.
- 26. <u>ANC-2 Ground Loads</u>, Munitions Board Aircraft Committee, October 1952.
- Asmus, J. F., <u>Performance of T64 Gas Generators</u>, General Electric Company, Company Memorandum, VTOL Operation, FPLD, Cincinnati, Ohio, 24 June 1959.
- 28. <u>Hot Cycle Rotor Blade Structural Analysis</u>, Volume II, Hughes Tool Company, Aircraft Division Report 62-13, June 1962.
- 29. <u>Structural Principles and Data</u>, Royal Aeronautic Society, New Era Publishing Company, LTD., London, England, 1953.
- 30. Hot Cycle Rotor System, Hub and Control System Structural Analysis, Volume III, Hughes Tool Company, Aircraft Division Report 62-13, June 1962.
- Blake, Alexander, "How to Find Deflections and Moments of Rings in Arcuate Beams," <u>Product Engineering</u>, Volume 34, p 73, 7 January 1963.
- 32. Roark, Raymond J., <u>Formulas for Stress and Strain</u>, McGraw Hill Company, New York, New York, 1938.
- Stress Analysis, Flight Controls, Model 369 Helicopter, Hughes Tool Company, Aircraft Division Report 369-S-5201, 24 July 1963.

34. Ground and Flight Tests, XV-9A Hot Cycle Research Aircraft Summary Report, Hughes Tool Company, Aircraft Division Report 65-13, May 1965.

Ì

UNCLASSIFIED

1

4

٨

Security Classification				
DOCUMENT	CONTROL DATA - R	R&D		
Security classification of fille - hidy or abstract and i 1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Component author)	indexing annotation must be	2a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		
Hughes Toyl Company - Aires	-aft Division	Unclassified		
Hughes Tool Company - Aircraft Division Culver City, California		25 GROUP		
3 REPORT TITLE AIRCRAFT DESIGN,				
XV-9A HOT CYCLE RESEARCH AIRCRAFT				
SUMMARY REPORT				
4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive date Final Report, 28 September 1	«) 962 to 15 March	1965		
5 AUTHOR(S) 'Last name first name, initial)				
Hirsh, Norman B.				
6 REPORT DATE	TE TOTAL NO OF	PAGES 70 NC OF REFS		
August 1965	343	34		
BE CONTRACT OR GRANT NO	94 ORIGINATOR'S	REPORT NUMBER(5)		
DA 44-177-AMC-877(T) 6 project no	USAAVLA	USAAVLABS Technical Report 65-29		
c Task 1M121401A14403	92 OTHER REPOR	AT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned		
	this report) ロヤアーム D	64-11		
d	HIC-AD	04-11		
10 AVAILABILITY LIMITATION NOTICES	ies of this repor	t from DDC		
This report has been furnished to t	a Department of	Commerce for sale to		
the sublice	e Department of	Commerce for sale to		
11 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12 SPONSORING M	LITARY ACTIVITY		
	U.S. Army	Aviation Materiel Laboratorie		
	Fort Eustis.	. Virginia		
	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
A summary of the design Aircraft is presented. A disc and additional information rel performance, stability and co teristics are presented.	of the XV-9A Ho cussion of the co lating to configur ontrol, dynamics	ot Cycle Research oncepts utilized in design ration, weight and balance, a, and structural charac-		
DD FORM 1473		UNCLASSIFIED		

Security Classification

.

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

1.00

-

KEY WORDS	ROLE AT ROLE AT HOLE AT		
Hot Cycle Rotor System VTOL Aircraft			
INST	RUCTIONS		
I. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of De- fense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.	10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any lim- tations on further dissemination of the report, other than thos imposed by security classification, using standard statements		
2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the over- all security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accord- ance with appropriate security regulations.	 such as: (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC." (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this 		
2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Di- tective 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Erter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as author- ized	report by DDC is not authorized." (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DD users shall request through		
3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classifica- ion, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.	(4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through.","		
B. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of eport, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.	(5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qual- ified DDC users shall request through		
5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial, I military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal without is a should minimum enterpole	If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technic Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, in cate this fact and enter the price, if known. 11, SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explan		
5. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, nonth, year; or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.	 12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address. 13 ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall he attached. It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified re- 		
7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.			
eferences cited in the report. 8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter			
the applicable number of the contract or grant under which he report was written. 3b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate	ports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS) , (S) , (C) or (U)		
autrary department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc. Da. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the offi-	There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. How ever, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.		
cial report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.	14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Iden-		
issigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).	fters, such as equipment model designation, trade name, mili- tary project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words hut will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.		

V

in B