

20927  
AD

MEMORANDUM

ORM-4735-PR

SEPTEMBER 1966


# LINEAR AND NONLINEAR FILTERING THEORY

Richard S. Bucy

CLEARINGHOUSE FOR FEDERAL SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION			
Hardcopy	Microfilm		
\$1.00	0-50	16	ad
ARCHIVE COPY			

PREPARED FOR:

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE PROJECT RAND



The RAND Corporation  
SANTA MONICA • CALIFORNIA

MEMORANDUM

RM-4735-PR

SEPTEMBER 1965

## LINEAR AND NONLINEAR FILTERING THEORY

Richard S. Bucy

This research is sponsored by the United States Air Force under Project RAND—Contract No. AF 19(633)-700—monitored by the Directorate of Operational Requirements and Development Plans, Deputy Chief of Staff, Research and Development, Hq USAF. Views or conclusions contained in this Memorandum should not be interpreted as representing the official opinion or policy of the United States Air Force.

### DDC AVAILABILITY NOTICE

Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from the Defense Documentation Center (DDC).

Approved for release by the Clearinghouse for  
Federal Scientific and Technical Information

---

*The* **RAND** *Corporation*

1700 MAIN ST. • SANTA MONICA • CALIFORNIA • 90406

---

PREFACE

Linear and nonlinear filtering has had and will have a profound effect on many problems of the Air Force. In particular, linear filtering theory has been applied to orbit determination, aircraft and missile guidance, and control problems.

ABSTRACT

This paper is a written version of a talk to be given on problems of filtering theory. It is a review of the linear theory and some of the recent fundamental advances in the nonlinear theory.

Some open and quite interesting problems in the nonlinear theory are discussed.

CONTENTS

PREFACE .....	iii
ABSTRACT .....	v
Section	
1. INTRODUCTION .....	1
2. THE LINEAR PROBLEM .....	3
3. NONLINEAR FILTERING .....	8
REFERENCES .....	10

## LINEAR AND NONLINEAR FILTERING THEORY

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The general filtering problem can be described as follows: a stochastic process  $z(t)$  is observed consisting of a stochastic process  $x(t)$  (i.e. the signal process) corrupted by noise  $v(t)$ , which is another stochastic process. It is desired to construct a stochastic process  $\hat{x}(t)$ , an estimate of the signal. Usually only the second-order properties of the signal and noise processes are given.

In the 1940's, Wiener in [1] and Kolmogorov solved this problem under the assumption that the signal and noise processes are second-order stationary processes and that the observations are known for the infinite past, by finding the minimum variance unbiased linear estimate. Here two problems are discussed that generalize the Wiener problem. The first is linear filtering of vector nonstationary second-order processes with partial observations. A fairly complete theory exists (see [2]) and is about five years old. The second problem, that of filtering with the signal (the solution of an arbitrary random differential equation) has a far-from-complete theory as yet, although interesting contributions to this problem have been made lately. The purpose of discussing these two problems is twofold: primarily to point out a research area with many interesting and difficult problems, and

secondly to point out some results that do not seem to have the attention they deserve, except in the area of control theory.

## 2. THE LINEAR PROBLEM

We shall assume that the signal process  $x$  is the solution to the following random differential equation:

$$dx = Fx dt + G d\beta,$$

$$x(t_0) = c,$$

where  $x$  is an  $n$ -vector,  $\beta$  is  $r$ -dimensional brownian motion, and  $c$  is a gaussian zero mean random vector independent of  $\beta$ . Further,  $F$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix, and  $G$  is an  $n \times r$  matrix. The observations will be given as the solution to

$$dz = Hx dt + dv,$$

with  $v$  an  $s$ -dimensional brownian motion independent of  $\beta$  and  $c$ , and  $H$  an  $s \times n$  matrix. Further, we assume

$$E[\beta(t) - \beta(s)][\beta(t) - \beta(s)]' = Q(t - s)$$

and

$$E[v(t) - v(s)][v(t) - v(s)]' = R(t - s).$$

Our problem now consists in finding the minimum variance unbiased estimator  $\hat{x}(t)$  of  $x(t)$  that is a functional of the observations  $z(s)$ ,  $t_0 \leq s \leq t$ . It is very easy to describe the desired estimate in this case. Let  $F_t$  be the minimal  $\sigma$ -field induced by  $z(s)$  for  $s$ ,  $t_0 \leq s \leq t$ . Then

$$\hat{x}(t) = E[x(t) | F_t]$$

so that our problem can be resolved by finding the conditional distribution of  $x(t)$ , given  $F_t$ . But with our assumptions of gaussianess, a little thought reveals that this is  $N(L_t(z.), P_t)$ , where  $L_t(z.)$  is the projection of  $x(t)$  on the closed linear subspace of the Hilbert space of  $L^2$  random variables.  $P_t = E(x_t - \hat{x}_t)(x_t - \hat{x}_t)'$ ; that is, the conditional covariance is identical with the unconditional covariance—a direct consequence of gaussianess.  $\hat{x}$  is a projection form of the Wiener-Hopf equation, namely,

$$(2.1) \quad [x(t) - \hat{x}(t), u] = E\tilde{x}(t)u' = 0$$

for every  $n$ -vector  $u$  in the subspace determined by the observations. In fact, by letting  $\hat{x}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t W(t, \tau) dz_\tau$ , Eq. (2.1) becomes

$$(2.2) \quad \text{cov } x(t), Hx(s) = \int_{t_0}^t W(t, -) \text{cov } [Hx(-), Hx(s)] d- + RW(t, t),$$

the matrix form of the Wiener-Hopf equation. Rather than solve Eq. (2.2) directly, it is more convenient to give  $\hat{x}(t)$  by a sequential recipe. Now Eq. (2.2) can be used to derive the following dynamical evolution equations for  $\hat{x}$  and  $P$  [see Eq. (2.2)]:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} d\hat{x} &= F\hat{x} dt + PH'R^{-1}(dz - H\hat{x} dt), \\ \hat{x}(t_0) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dP}{dt} &= FP + PF' - PH'R^{-1}HP + GQG', \\ P(t_0) &= ECC'. \end{aligned}$$

At this point we note that Eqs. (2.3) and (2.4) have solutions that determine minimum variance linear unbiased estimator if the gaussian assumption is dropped, since, in the gaussian case, the projection and the condition mean coincide.

To ensure that Eqs. (2.3) and (2.4) are computationally effective schemes for producing our estimate, it becomes necessary to ensure that they are stable and investigate their asymptotic behavior. Toward this end we define observability and controllability as follows.

Definition. The model is completely controllable (observable) if for every  $t_0(t)$  there exists a  $t > t_0$  ( $t_0 < t$ ) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^t e^{F(t-s)} GG'e^{F'(t-s)} ds &= C(t_0, t) \\ \int_{t_0}^t e^{F'(s-t)} H'He^{F(s-t)} ds &= M(t_0, t) \end{aligned}$$

is positive definite. A differential equation is said to be stable if solutions initiating at any time close to the equilibrium solution stay close to that solution for all time. It is said to be asymptotically stable if, further, these solutions approach the equilibrium solution as time tends to infinity. It is said to be uniformly asymptotically

stable if it is asymptotically stable uniformly in time and in the initial conditions.

Theorem. If the model is completely observable and if  $\Pi(t, t_0, 0)$  is the solution of Eq. (2.4), with  $P(t_0) = 0$ , then

$$\bar{P} = \lim_{t_0 \rightarrow -\infty} \Pi(t, t_0, 0)$$

exists and is a solution of Eq. (2.4). Further, if the model is also completely controllable, then Eq. (2.3) is uniformly asymptotically stable and

$$\bar{P} = \lim_{t_0 \rightarrow -\infty} \Pi(t, t_0, P_0)$$

for any  $P_0$  semidefinite, and Eq. (2.4) is asymptotically stable. Still further, Eq. (2.3) with  $P = \bar{P}$  is the Wiener optimal filter.

As the previous theorem makes abundantly clear, controllability and observability are fundamental, but they also have interesting intuitive meanings, namely, if  $\dot{x} = Fx$  and  $z = Hx$ , and one knows  $F$  and  $z(\cdot)$  on  $[t_0, t]$ , then  $x(t_0)$  is determined when the system is observable. Further,  $W(t_0, t)$  is just the Fisher information matrix for estimating initial conditions of a linear differential equation. Controllability suffices so that every two points of  $R^n$  may be connected by motions of  $\dot{x} = Fx + Gu$  with appropriate  $u$ 's. As one might suspect by the names chosen for our conditions, there is a complete duality between linear filtering and control problems. In fact,

the methods of proof of the above theorem rely on this duality. Finally, everything previously said generalizes to the nonstationary case, i.e.,  $F$ ,  $G$ ,  $H$ ,  $R$ ,  $Q$  functions of time.

### 3. NONLINEAR FILTERING

As we have seen in the linear gaussian case, the central problem in filtering theory is the determination of the conditional distribution of the signal  $x(t)$ , given the  $\sigma$ -field  $F_t$  determined by the observations  $z(s)$  for  $s \in (t_0, t)$ . We shall now generalize the model of the signal and observation mechanisms as

$$(3.1) \quad dx = f(x, t) dt + \sigma(x, t) dB,$$

$$(3.2) \quad dz = h(x, t) dt + dv.$$

For this problem, which we shall call the nonlinear filtering problem, Stratonovich [3] was the first to devise a method to obtain the requisite conditional distribution. Unfortunately his final equation was not correct, since he neglected a term. Kushner [4], using the same formal methods as Stratonovich, obtained the correct equation for the conditional distribution. Wonham [5] employed somewhat different methods to solve a special case of this problem. Finally, the author gave, in [6], an abstract representation of the conditional distribution as an integral over function space that leads quickly and easily via random calculus to the correct equations. In order to state our results we introduce the following notation for  $g(x, t)$ . Here  $g_t$  is defined as

$$\hat{g}_t = E(g(x_t, t) | F_t)$$

and is shown to satisfy

$$(3.3) \quad d\hat{g}_t = (\hat{A}g)_t dt + [(\hat{g}h)_t - \hat{h}_t \hat{g}_t] R^{-1} (dz_t - \hat{h}_t dt),$$

with  $A$  the infinitesimal generator of the space-time Markov process determined by Eq. (3.1). Investigation of asymptotic behavior of Eq. (3.3) as  $t_0 \rightarrow -\infty$  and questions of existence and uniqueness of solutions of Eq. (3.3) are untouched and interesting. Further conditions for which the system

$$\dot{x} = f(x),$$

$$x(0) = c,$$

$$z = g(x)$$

is observable—i.e., when the values  $z(s)$ ,  $0 < s < \epsilon$ , for every  $\epsilon > 0$  determine  $x(0)$  uniquely—seem to be quite difficult, although Markus has introduced local concepts in a paper on control theory.

REFERENCES

1. Wiener, N., The Extrapolation, Interpolation, and Smoothing of Stationary Time Series, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1949.
2. Kalman, R. E., and R. S. Bucy, "New Results in Linear Filtering and Prediction Theory," J. Basic Eng. A.S.M.E., March 1961.
3. Stratonovich, R. L., "Conditional Markov Processes," Theory of Prob. Appl., Vol. 5, 1960, pp. 156-178.
4. Kushner, H. J., "On Differential Equations Satisfied by Conditional Probability Density of Markov Processes," Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics Series A Control, Vol. 2, 1964, pp. 106-119.
5. Wonham, M., "Some Applications of Stochastic Differential Equations to Optimal Nonlinear Filtering," Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics Series A Control, Vols. 2 and 3, 1965.
6. Bucy, R. S., "Nonlinear Filtering," Correspondence Item, I.E.E.E. Automatic Control, April 1965.
7. Anderson, T. W., An Introduction to Multivariate Statistical Analysis, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1958.
8. Doob, J. L., Stochastic Processes, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1953.

## DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY  THE RAND CORPORATION		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED	
		2b. GROUP	
3. REPORT TITLE  LINEAR AND NONLINEAR FILTERING THEORY			
4. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial)  Bucy, Richard S.			
5. REPORT DATE September 1965		6a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 17	6b. NO. OF REFS. 8
7. CONTRACT or GRANT NO. AF 49(638)-700		8. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NO. RM-4735-PR	
9a. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES		9b. SPONSORING AGENCY United States Air Force Project RAND	
10. ABSTRACT  The text of a talk on problems of filtering theory. It is a review of the linear theory and some of the recent fundamental advances in the nonlinear theory. Open and interesting problems in the nonlinear theory are discussed.		11. KEY WORDS  Filtering theory Mathematics	