



AD611314

SU-181

Technical Report No. 3

The Moments of the Number of Crossings of a Level
by a Stationary Normal Process.

by

Harald Cramér and M. R. Leadbetter

February 1965

COPY	<u>2</u> OF <u>3</u>	<i>Vme</i>
HARD COPY	\$. 1.00	
MICROFICHE	\$. 0.50	

16-p

This research was supported in part by the Army, Navy, Air Force and NASA under a contract administered by The Office of Naval Research. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

Contract Number Nonr 4565(00)

Task NR 042-246



RESEARCH TRIANGLE INSTITUTE · DURHAM, NORTH CAROLINA

ARCHIVE COPY

The Moments of the Number of Crossings of a Level

by a Stationary Normal Process

by

Harald Cramér and M. R. Leadbetter

Summary. In this report we consider the number N of upcrossings of a level u by a stationary normal process $\xi(t)$ in $0 \leq t \leq T$. A formula is obtained for the factorial moment $M_k = \mathcal{E}\{N(N-1)\dots(N-k+1)\}$ of any desired order k . The main condition assumed in the derivation is that $\xi(t)$ have, with probability one, a continuous sample derivative $\xi'(t)$ in the interval $[0, T]$. This condition involves no real restriction since an example shows that even a slight relaxation of it causes all moments of order greater than one to become infinite. The moments of the number of down crossings or total number of crossings can be obtained analogously.

1. Introduction. The problem of obtaining the mean number of crossings, (or equivalently upcrossings) of a given level, by a stationary normal process in a given time, has received a good deal of attention in the literature. In fact, a complete solution to this problem has now been given by Ylvisaker [8]. However, moments of order greater than one of the number of crossings of a level have received less attention. The variance was obtained by Steinberg et al [6], using somewhat heuristic arguments. Rozanov and Volkonski [7] point out in a footnote that the formula given in [6] for the variance is valid under certain precise conditions, of which the main one is that the covariance function of the process have a finite sixth derivative at the origin. Finally in this connection, the variance has been obtained by Leadbetter and Cryer [4] under conditions which assume just a little more than the existence of a second derivative of the covariance function.

There is virtually no literature available in connection with moments of the number of crossings of a level, of higher order than the second. (A partial result is indicated by Ivanov at the end of his paper [3]). It will be our

purpose here to obtain explicit expressions for such moments. This will be done for upcrossings, in terms of factorial moments of arbitrary orders and under conditions which are very close to the necessary ones. Corresponding formulae for moments of all orders for the down crossings, or total number of crossings, follow similarly.

2. Moments of the number of upcrossings. We shall, throughout, consider a real valued stationary normal process $\{\xi(t): 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ having (for convenience) zero mean, spectrum $F(\lambda)$ possessing an absolutely continuous component, and covariance function $r(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda\tau} dF(\lambda)$. We shall further assume that $\xi(t)$ has, with probability one, a continuous sample derivative $\xi'(t)$ on the interval $[0, T]$. Sufficient conditions for this latter property in terms of the behaviour of the covariance function, are well known. Write N for the number of upcrossings of the level u by $\xi(t)$ in $0 \leq t \leq T$; that is N is the number of points t in that interval for which $\xi(t) = u, \xi'(t) > 0$. Then the following result holds.

Theorem.

If $\{\xi(t): 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is a normal stationary process, as described, possessing, with probability one, a continuous sample derivative, and k is any positive integer, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_k &= \mathcal{E}\{N(N-1)\dots(N-k+1)\} \\
 (1) \quad &= \int_0^T \dots \int_0^T dt_1 \dots dt_k \int_0^{\infty} \dots \int_0^{\infty} y_1 \dots y_k p_{\underline{t}}(u, \underline{y}) dy_1 \dots dy_k
 \end{aligned}$$

in which $p_{\underline{t}}(u, \underline{y}) = p_{\underline{t}}(u, \dots, u, y_1, \dots, y_k)$, $p_{\underline{t}}(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k)$ denoting the joint density for the random variables $\xi(t_1) \dots \xi(t_k)$, $\xi'(t_1) \dots \xi'(t_k)$. We note here that it follows from the appendix that, when all t_i are different, this is the density corresponding to a non singular joint distribution since $F(\lambda)$ is assumed to have an absolutely continuous component.

Before proceeding to the proof we note that the theorem can easily be modified to refer to "downcrossings" or the total number of crossings of the level u in time T . The discussion will be given here in terms of upcrossings, however.

The following proof is divided into two parts A and B. In Part A it is shown that M_k does not exceed the expression on the right hand side of (1), whereas in Part B the reverse inequality is proved. The techniques are straightforward but quite different in each part. It is a perhaps somewhat surprising feature, however, that in both parts use can be made of Fatou's Lemma to give the essential inversions of limiting operations with integrations, in order that inequalities in the desired (opposite) directions may be obtained.

Proof of the Theorem, Part A.

Write $\xi(t) = \xi(t, \omega)$ to exhibit explicit dependence on the "sample point" $\omega \in \Omega$. Let S denote the set of all ω such that the equation $\xi(t) = u$ has at most a finite number of roots t in the interval $I = [0, T]$, while further $\xi(0) \neq u \neq \xi(T)$ and $\xi'(t) \neq 0$ whenever $\xi(t) = u$. According to Bulinskaya [2], Theorem 1 we then have

$$(2) \quad P(S) = 1$$

Write now $N^{(k)} = N(N-1)\dots(N-k+1)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and define the functions $\delta_n(x)$, $\sigma(x)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n(x) &= n & |x| \leq 1/(2n) \\ &= 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x) &= x & x > 0 \\ &= 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

Let $D(\epsilon)$ denote the domain in the k -dimensional space R^k with coordinates $t_1 \dots t_k$ defined by the inequalities

$$0 < t_i < T \quad \text{for } i = 1 \dots k$$

$$|t_i - t_j| > \epsilon \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

Define also the random variable $J_k(n, \epsilon, \omega)$ by the relation

$$(3) \quad J_k(n, \epsilon, \omega) = \int \dots \int_{D(\epsilon)} \prod_{i=1}^k \{ \delta_n [\xi(t_i) - u] \sigma[\xi'(t_i)] \} dt_1 \dots dt_k .$$

We shall now proceed to prove that

$$(4) \quad \mathbb{E}\{N^{(k)}\} \leq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\{J_k(n, \epsilon, \omega)\} .$$

In order to prove the validity of (4) we define a subset $S(h)$ of S consisting of all $\omega \in S$ for which the following two conditions are satisfied

- (a) The distance between any two upcrossings of $\xi(t)$ with the level u in I is greater than $2h$,
- (b) For any zero $t = t_0$ of the derivative $\xi'(t)$ in I , we have $|\xi(t_0) - u| > h$.

According to the definition of S every $\omega \in S$ must also belong to $S(h)$ for some $h > 0$. For it is obvious that property (a) is satisfied for $\xi(t, \omega)$ if h is sufficiently small and if (b) were not satisfied we could find a sequence of points $t_i \in I$ for which

$$\xi'(t_i) = 0 \quad |\xi(t_i) - u| \leq 1/i .$$

But such a sequence $\{t_i\}$ must have a limit point $t_0 \in I$ and the continuity of ξ and ξ' show that $\xi'(t_0) = 0$, $\xi(t_0) = u$ contradicting the fact that $\omega \in S$. Hence we thus see that

$$(5) \quad S(h) \uparrow S \quad \text{as } h \downarrow 0$$

Take now any fixed $\omega \in S(h)$, and let $t = r_1 \dots r_N$ be all the upcrossings of the corresponding $\dot{\phi}(t) = \dot{\phi}(t, \omega)$ in I . Consider the k -dimensional interval I^k in the space R^k , and let A_{j_1, \dots, j_k} denote the point in I^k with coordinates

$$t_1 = r_{j_1}, \dots, t_k = r_{j_k},$$

where each j_i may assume the values $1, 2, \dots, N$. Clearly there are N^k different points A , and among these there are exactly $N^{(k)}$ points A' such that no two of the j_i are equal. Since $\omega \in S(h)$, these points A' will all be situated in the domain $D(2h)$, while the remaining $N^k - N^{(k)}$ points A will fall outside $D(2h)$, and even outside $D(\epsilon)$, for any $\epsilon > 0$.

Considering, still the same fixed $\omega \in S(h)$ we now take n and ϵ such that

$$0 < n^{-1} < \epsilon < h$$

and consider the integral $J_k(n, \epsilon, \omega)$ defined by (3). The contribution to $J_k(n, \epsilon, \omega)$ arising from small disjoint k dimensional blocks about each point A' is easily seen to be just $N^{(k)}$ for all sufficiently large n (i.e. a unit contribution from each such block). The contribution from the remaining region is zero for all sufficiently large n . (This can be seen clearly from a picture by taking $k=2$ and writing down the integrals involved). Hence for any fixed $\epsilon < h$, we can always find n_0 so large that, for all $n > n_0$ we have

$$J_k(n, \epsilon, \omega) = N^{(k)}$$

and hence also

$$N^{(k)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_k(n, \epsilon, \omega)$$

Since this holds for any $\epsilon < h$, while the first member is independent of ϵ , it follows that

$$(6) \quad N^{(k)} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_k(n, \epsilon, \omega)$$

for any fixed $\omega \in S(h)$. But h can be chosen arbitrarily small and since $S(h) \uparrow S$ as $h \downarrow 0$ it follows that (6) holds for any $\omega \in S$, i.e. with probability one. Finally an application of Fatou's Lemma to the ϵ and n -limits yields the result (4). Thus from (4) we obtain

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{E}\{N^{(k)}\} \leq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \dots \int_{D(\epsilon)} dt_1 \dots dt_k \left[n^k \int_{u-\frac{1}{2n}}^{u+\frac{1}{2n}} \dots \int_0^{\infty} dx_1 \dots dx_k \int_0^{\infty} \dots \int_0^{\infty} y_1 \dots y_k p_{\underline{t}}(x_1 \dots x_k, y_1 \dots y_k) dy_1 \dots dy_k \right]$$

The entire expression in square brackets on the right hand side of (7) clearly converges to $\int_0^{\infty} \dots \int_0^{\infty} y_1 \dots y_k p_{\underline{t}}(u, \underline{y}) dy_1 \dots dy_k$. Further, it can be readily shown that this expression is bounded for all $t_1 \dots t_k$ in the region $D(\epsilon)$ (using the fact that the determinant of the covariance matrix of $\xi(t_1) \dots \xi(t_k) \xi'(t_1) \dots \xi'(t_k)$ is bounded away from zero). Hence by dominated convergence

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{E}\{N^{(k)}\} \leq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int \dots \int_{D(\epsilon)} dt_1 \dots dt_k \int_0^{\infty} \dots \int_0^{\infty} y_1 \dots y_k p_{\underline{t}}(u, \underline{y}) dy_1 \dots dy_k$$

Finally by monotone convergence it follows that

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{E}\{N^{(k)}\} \leq \int_0^T \dots \int_0^T dt_1 \dots dt_k \int_0^{\infty} \dots \int_0^{\infty} y_1 \dots y_k p_{\underline{t}}(u, \underline{y}) dy_1 \dots dy_k$$

Part B.

In order to prove the reverse inequality to (9) we adopt a different procedure (due to Ylvisaker [8]) for counting the number of upcrossings by $\xi(t)$ in $0 \leq t \leq T$. First, however, we note that if $X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ are each either zero

or one, and $M = \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i$, then, for any integer $k \leq m$,

$$(10) \quad M^{(k)} = M(M-1)\dots(M-k+1) = \sum' \chi_{i_1} \dots \chi_{i_k}$$

where \sum' denotes summation over all possible ordered sets of distinct integers $i_1 \dots i_k$. For M is just the number of non zero χ_i , and the right hand side of (10) therefore represents the number of ordered sets of distinct integers $i_1 \dots i_k$ such that each corresponding χ_{i_j} is non zero, taken out of a total of M possible integers i for which $\chi_i \neq 0$. But this number is simply $M(M-1)\dots(M-k+1)$ as required.

Write now $\xi_i = \xi(Ti/2^n)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let $\chi_i = 1$ if $\xi_i < u < \xi_{i+1}$, and $\chi_i = 0$ otherwise. Then if $N_n = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \chi_i$ we have $N_n \uparrow N$ a.s. (A detailed proof of this latter statement is given by Ylvisaker [8]). Hence by monotone convergence,

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{E}\{N_n^{(k)}\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}\{N^{(k)}\} \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Now from (10) we have with $m = 2^n$,

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{E}\{N_n^{(k)}\} = \sum'_{i_1 \dots i_k} p\{Y_{i_1} = X_{i_2} = \dots = X_{i_k} = 1\}$$

We note that no terms for which $|i_r - i_s| = 1$ for any r, s appear since we cannot have $\chi_{i_r} = \chi_{i_r+1} = 1$. Write $\eta_i = 2^n(\xi_{i+1} - \xi_i)/T$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} P\{X_{i_1} = X_{i_2} = \dots = X_{i_k} = 1\} &= P\{u - 2^{-n}T\eta_{i_r} < \xi_{i_r} < u, r = 1 \dots k\} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty dy_1 \dots dy_k \int_{u-2^{-n}Ty_1}^u \dots \int_{u-2^{-n}Ty_k}^u P_{n, \underline{i}}(x_1 \dots x_k, y_1 \dots y_k) dx_1 \dots dx_k, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_{n, \underline{i}}$ is the joint density for the distribution of $\xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_k}, \eta_{i_1} \dots \eta_{i_k}$.

(That this distribution is non singular follows from the Appendix.) By a change of the x-variables in this expression we thus obtain from (12)

$$(13) \mathcal{E}\{N_n^{(k)}\} = 2^{-kn} T^k \int_0^{\infty} \dots \int_0^{\infty} dy_1 \dots dy_k \int_{-y_1}^0 \dots \int_{-y_k}^0 p_{n, \underline{j}}(u+2^{-n}Tx_1, \dots, u+2^{-n}Tx_k, y_1, \dots, y_k) dx_1 \dots dx_k$$

Write now $\psi_{n, \underline{t}}(x_1 \dots x_k, y_1 \dots y_k) = p_{n, \underline{j}}(x_1 \dots x_k, y_1 \dots y_k)$

for all $t_1 \dots t_k$ such that t_r lies in the interval $(i_r T/2^n, (i_r+1)T/2^n)$ for each r .

Then (13) may be rewritten as

$$(14) \int_{R_0} \dots \int dt_1 \dots dt_k \int_0^{\infty} \dots \int_0^{\infty} dy_1 \dots dy_k \int_{-y_1}^0 \dots \int_{-y_k}^0 \psi_{n, \underline{t}}(u+2^{-n}Tx_1, \dots, u+2^{-n}Tx_k, y_1 \dots y_k) dx_1 \dots dx_k$$

where R_0 is the subset of I^k for which no two of $t_1 \dots t_k$ are contained in the same or adjacent intervals of the form $(r T/2^n, (r+1) T/2^n)$. (See the remark following Equation (12)).

Let now $(t_1 \dots t_k)$ be a fixed point in R_0 . Then $\psi_{n, \underline{t}}$ is a $2k$ -dimensional normal density function. Suppose that $i_r T/2^n \leq t_r < (i_r+1)T/2^n$, $r=1 \dots k$. Then corresponding to the point t_r we have the random variables $\xi(i_r T/2^n)$, $\eta(i_r T/2^n)$, yielding the following typical members of the covariance matrix for $\psi_{n, \underline{t}}$ for example

$$\text{var} (\xi_{i_1}) = r(0), \quad \text{writing } \xi_i \text{ for } \xi(iT/2^n)$$

$$\text{cov} (\xi_{i_1}, \xi_{i_2}) = r_p, \quad \text{writing } r_i \text{ for } r(iT/2^n), \quad p = i_1 - i_2$$

$$\text{cov} (\xi_{i_1}, \eta_{i_1}) = 2^n(1-r_1)/T$$

$$\text{cov} (\xi_{i_1}, \eta_{i_2}) = 2^n(r_{p+1} - r_p)/T$$

$$\text{var} \eta_{i_1} = 2^{2n+1}(1-r_1)/T^2$$

$$\text{cov} (\eta_{i_1}, \eta_{i_2}) = -2^{2n}[r_{p+1} - 2r_p + r_{p-1}]/T^2$$

For the fixed t_1, t_2 considered i_1, i_2, p depend on n . It is an easy exercise to show that if $\tau = t_2 - t_1$ the above elements converge (in the order given), as $n \rightarrow \infty$, to

$r(0), r(\tau), 0, r'(\tau), -r''(0), -r''(\tau)$, respectively. Similar conclusions hold for the elements corresponding to any pair t_i, t_j . But this means that the integrand in (14) must converge to $p_{\underline{t}}(u, \underline{y})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and hence, by Fatou's Lemma

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{E}\{N^{(k)}\} \geq \int_0^T \dots \int_0^T dt_1 \dots dt_k \int \dots \int p_{\underline{t}}(u, \underline{y}) dy_1 \dots dy_k$$

Combining (9) and (15) we obtain the desired equality and hence the truth of the theorem follows.

3. A case when $M_k = +\infty$. Formula 1 was obtained under the condition that $\xi(t)$ have a continuous sample derivative, with probability one. However, this assumption was used in Part A of the proof, but not at all in Part B. Hence if the right hand side of (1) is infinite, the equation is true with both sides infinite. We now give an example of a case where the integral on the right of (1) is infinite, and hence the corresponding moment is infinite.

For this example we take a covariance function of the form

$$(16) \quad r(\tau) = 1 - \lambda_2 \tau^2/2 - \tau^2/\log|\tau| + o(\tau^2/\log|\tau|).$$

That this can be done follows from a result of Pitman [5]. In fact if $H(\lambda) = 1 - F(\lambda) + F(-\lambda)$ for $\lambda > 0$ we can choose $H(\lambda)$ so that

$$H(\lambda) \sim K/(\lambda^2 \log^2 \lambda) \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty$$

to give the desired form (16).

Consider now the case $k = 2$, and $u = 0$. Then one can show by some calculation that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty y_1 y_2 p_{\underline{t}}(0, \underline{y}) dy_1 dy_2 \sim K |\Lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}} / (1 - r^2(\tau))$$

where K again denotes some constant and Λ is the covariance matrix for $\xi(t_1), \xi(t_2), \xi'(t_1), \xi'(t_2), \tau = t_2 - t_1$. But straightforward calculation shows that

$$|\Lambda| \sim \lambda_2 \tau^2 / \log^2 |\tau| \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow 0$$

and hence

$$\iint_0^{\infty} y_1 y_2 p_{\underline{t}}(0, \underline{y}) dy_1 dy_2 \sim K / (|\tau| \log |\tau|) \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows from this that the right hand side of (1) is infinite, in this case.

Finally we note a sufficient condition for $\xi(t)$ to possess a continuous sample derivative, with probability one, is that

$$r(\tau) = 1 - \lambda_2 \tau^2 / 2 + O\{\tau^2 / |\log |\tau||^a\}$$

for some $a > 1$. This follows from the work of Belaev [1]. In our case $r(\tau)$ given by (16) just fails to satisfy this requirement. Hence it appears that the requirements that ξ have a continuous derivative and that the right hand side of (1) be finite, which are sufficient for M_k to be finite and given by (1), are also very close to being necessary for this to be the case.

Appendix.

It was stated, in writing down certain density functions that if $t_1 \dots t_k$ are distinct time points, then

(i) the joint distribution of $\xi(t_1) \dots \xi(t_k) \xi'(t_1) \dots \xi'(t_k)$ is non singular, and

(ii) the joint distribution of $\xi(t_1) \dots \xi(t_k)$ is non singular.

We shall now prove (i), and hence (ii) will also follow.

Let (as assumed throughout) $F(\lambda)$ have an absolutely continuous component and write $\Lambda = [\Lambda_{ij}]$ for the covariance matrix of $\xi(t_1) \dots \xi(t_k), \xi'(t_1) \dots \xi'(t_k)$. Let $A = [A_{ij}]$ denote the covariance matrix of $\xi(t_1) \dots \xi(t_k)$ $B = [B_{ij}]$ that for $\xi'(t_1) \dots \xi'(t_k)$, and C the matrix of "cross" covariances, $C_{ij} = \text{cov}(\xi(t_i), \xi'(t_j))$. Then

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ C' & B \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $\underline{\theta}'$ denote the vector $[\theta_1 \dots \theta_k, \phi_1 \dots \phi_k]$, where θ_i, ϕ_i are complex numbers which are not all zero. Then we have

$$A_{j\ell} = \int e^{i(t_j - t_\ell)\lambda} dF(\lambda)$$

$$C_{j\ell} = -\int i\lambda e^{i(t_j - t_\ell)\lambda} dF(\lambda)$$

$$B_{j\ell} = \int \lambda^2 e^{i(t_j - t_\ell)\lambda} dF(\lambda)$$

From this we see that

$$\underline{\theta}' \Lambda \underline{\theta} = \int \left[\left| \sum_j \theta_j e^{it_j \lambda} \right|^2 + \lambda^2 \left| \sum_j \phi_j e^{it_j \lambda} \right|^2 - i\lambda \sum_j \theta_j e^{i\lambda t_j} \sum_\ell \phi_\ell^* e^{-i\lambda t_\ell} + i\lambda \sum_j \theta_j^* e^{-i\lambda t_j} \sum_\ell \phi_\ell e^{i\lambda t_\ell} \right] dF(\lambda),$$

in which a * denotes complex conjugate. Thus

$$\underline{\theta}' \Lambda \underline{\theta} = \int \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \theta_j e^{it_j \lambda} + i\lambda \sum_{j=1}^k \phi_j e^{it_j \lambda} \right|^2 dF(\lambda)$$

Now since the t_j are distinct and θ_j, ϕ_j not all zero it follows that

$\sum_{j=1}^k \theta_j e^{it_j \lambda}$ and $-i \sum_{j=1}^k \phi_j e^{it_j \lambda}$ are different regular functions of the complex variable λ , and hence cannot be equal for more than a countable number of values of

λ . Hence we must have $\underline{\theta}^T \Lambda \underline{\theta} > 0$ since $F(\lambda)$ has an absolutely continuous component and the measure it defines is not concentrated on a countable set. Thus Λ is a strictly positive definite matrix and the distribution thus defined is non singular.

Finally we note here that the above argument can be easily generalized to include an arbitrary number of derivatives. That is if $F(\lambda)$ has an absolutely continuous component and is such that $\xi(t)$ has n sample derivatives $\xi(t), \xi'(t), \dots, \xi^{(n)}(t)$, then for any distinct t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k , the joint distribution of

$\xi(t_1) \dots \xi(t_k) \dots \xi^{(n)}(t_1) \dots \xi^{(n)}(t_k)$ is non singular.

References.

- [1] Belaev, Yu. K. (1960) "Continuity and Hölder's Conditions for Sample Functions of Stationary Gaussian Processes." Proc. 4th Berk. Symp. Vol. II. p. 23-33.
- [2] Bulinskaya, E. C. (1961). "On the mean number of crossings of a level by a stationary Gaussian process" Teor. Veroyatn. 6 p. 474-477.
- [3] Ivanov, V. A. (1960) "On the average number of crossings of a level by sample functions of a stochastic process." Teor. Veroyatn. 5 p. 319-323
- [4] Leadbetter, M. R. and Cryer, J. D. (1965) "The variance of the number of zeros of a stationary normal process." Submitted to Bul. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [5] Pitman, E. J. G. (1960) "Some Theorems on Characteristic Functions of Probability Distributions" Proc. 4th Berk. Symp. Vol. II. p. 393-402.
- [6] Steinberg, H., Schultheiss, P. M., Wogrin, C. A., and Zweig, F. (1955). "Short-time frequency measurements of narrow-band random signals by means of zero counting process," J. Appl. Phys., 26, p. 195-201.
- [7] Volkonskii, V. A. and Rozanov, Yu. (1961), "Some limit theorems for random functions, II.," Teor, Veroyatn. 6, p. 202-215.
- [8] Ylvisaker, N. D. "The expected number of zeros of a stationary Gaussian process" To appear in Ann. Math. Statist.

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1 ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Research Triangle Institute		2a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
		2b GROUP	
3 REPORT TITLE The Moments of the Number of Crossings of a Level by a Stationary Normal Process			
4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Technical Report, February 1965			
5 AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) Cramér, Harald Leadbetter, M. Ross			
6 REPORT DATE February 1965		7a TOTAL NO OF PAGES 13	7b NO OF REFS 8
8a CONTRACT OR GRANT NO Navy RR 003-05-01 Nonr 4565(00)		8a ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) SU-181 No. 3	
b PROJECT NO NASA RO 001-01		8b OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
c Task No. NR 042-246			
d			
10 AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Releasable without limitation on dissemination.			
11 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Logistics and Mathematical Statistics Branch, Office of Naval Research, Washington, D. C.	
13 ABSTRACT In this report the number N of upcrossings of a level u by a stationary normal process $\xi(t)$ in $0 \leq t \leq T$, is considered. A formula is obtained for the factorial moment $M_k = E\{N(N-1)\dots(N-k+1)\}$ of any order k . The main condition assumed is that $\xi(t)$ possess, with probability one, a continuous sample derivative $\xi'(t)$. An example is given to show that this is very nearly a necessary condition for finiteness of moments of order greater than the first.			

14 KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Stochastic processes Probability						

INSTRUCTIONS

1. **ORIGINATING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (*corporate author*) issuing the report.
- 2a. **REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:** Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.
- 2b. **GROUP:** Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.
3. **REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.
4. **DESCRIPTIVE NOTES:** If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.
5. **AUTHOR(S):** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.
6. **REPORT DATE:** Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.
- 7a. **TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.
- 7b. **NUMBER OF REFERENCES:** Enter the total number of references cited in the report.
- 8a. **CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.
- 8b, 8c, & 8d. **PROJECT NUMBER:** Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.
- 9a. **ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S):** Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.
- 9b. **OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S):** If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (*either by the originator or by the sponsor*), also enter this number(s).
10. **AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES:** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through _____."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through _____."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through _____."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. **SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:** Use for additional explanatory notes.
12. **SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY:** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (*paying for*) the research and development. Include address.
13. **ABSTRACT:** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. **KEY WORDS:** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, roles, and weights is optional.