a.f. \$. 3.00 \$. 0.75 . . MICROFICHE

608040

FDL-TDR-84-144

PRESSURE AND HEAT TRANSFER MEASUREMENTS AT MACH 13 AND 19 FOR FLOWS AHEAD OF RAMPS, OVER EXPANSION CORNERS, AND PAST FIN-PLATE COMBINATIONS

PART OF AN INVESTIGATION OF HYPERSONIC FLOW SEPARATION AND CONTROL CHARACTERISTICS

TECHNICAL DOCUMENTARY REPORT No. FDL-TDR-84-144

SEPTEMBER 1964

AIR FORCE FLIGHT DYNAMICS LABORATORY RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY DIVISION AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO

Project No. 5219, Task No. 821592



NOTICES

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from the Defense Documentation Center (DDC), (formerly ASTIA), Cameron Station, Bldg. 5, 5010 Duke Street, Alexandria, Virginia, 22314.

This report has been released to the Office of Technical Services, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington 25, D. C., in stock quantities for sale to the general public.

Copies of this report should not be returned to the Research and Technology Division, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Chio, unless return is required by security considerations, contractual obligations, or notice on a specific document.

500 - November 1964 - 448-11-313

FOREWORD

This report presents the results of a portion of the experimental program for an investigation of hypersonic flow separation and control characteristics conducted by the Research Department of Grumman Aircraft Engineering Corporation, Bethpage, New York. The work was partly sponsored by the Flight Dynamics Laboratory, Research and Technology Division, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, under Contract AF33(616)-8130, Air Force Task 821902. Mr. Donald E. Hoak was the Air Force Project Engineer for the program.

ABSTRACT

This report presents heat transfer and pressure distributions for hypersonic flows ahead of ramps, over expansion corners, and past fin-plate convinctions. Two basic models were used for these experiments: 1) a flat plate with a full span ramp (trailingedge flap) on one surface and an expansion corner on the other, and 2) a flat plate with wedge shaped fins mounted on the upper surface and an expansion corner on the lower surface. Both sharp leading edge models were tester in the Grumman Hypersonic Shock Tunnel at Mach 13 and 19. The plate-flap model was tested at $\mathbf{C} = -15^{\circ}$ to $\pm 30^{\circ}$, with flap deflections from 0° to 45° , at Reynolds numbers per foct of 0.8 x 10⁵ to 1.4 x 10⁵ at Mach 19 and of 1.2 x 10⁵ at Mach 13. The fin-plate model was tested at $\mathbf{C} = 0$ only, with 15 degree wedge shaped fins having two different heights at a Reynolds number per foot of 2.4 x 10^{5} at Mach 13 and 07 0.8×10^{5} at Mach 19.

This technical documentary report has been reviewed and is approved.

M. A. SLOAN, Jr. Colonel, USAF Chief, Flight Control Division AF Flight Dynamics Laboratory

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

Introduction	1
Models	2
Test Conditions	2
Data Reduction and Accuracy	3
Presentation of Results	4
References	6

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

.

FIGURE		PAGE
1	Ceneral Outline of Models and Remarks for Over-all Program	12
2	Dimensions and Instrumentation Location for Model A	13
3	Photograph Showing Model B With the 2 in. Wedge-Fin	14
4	Dimensions and Instrumentation for Model B	15
5	Tunnel Centerline Distributions of Mach Number and Static to Total Pressure and Temperature Ratios for the M=13 Conditions	16
6	Tunnel Centerline Distributions of Mach Number and Static to Total Pressure and Temperature Ratios for the M=19 Conditions	17
7	Chordwise Pressure Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 13$, $\alpha = 0$	18
8	Chorawise Pressure Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 13$, $\alpha = -15$	19
9	Chordwise Pressure Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 19$, $\alpha = -15$	20
10	Chordwise Pressure Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 19$, $\alpha = -10$	21
11	Chordwise Pressure Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 19, \alpha = -5$	22
12	Chordwise Pressure Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 12$, $\alpha = 0$	23
13	Chordwise Pressure Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 19$, $\alpha = 10$	24
14	Chordwise Pressure Distribution for Model A, $M_{a} = 19$, $\alpha = 30$	25

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Cont)

FIGURE		PAGE
15	Chordwise Heat Transfer Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 13$, $\alpha = 0$	26
16	Chordwise Heat Transfer Distribution for Model A, $M_{co} = 13$, $\alpha = -15$	2.7
17	Chordwise Heat Transfer Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 19, \alpha = -15$	28
18	Chordwise Heat Transfer Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 19$, $\alpha = -10$	29
19	Chordwise Heat Transfer Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 19$, $\alpha = -5$	30
20	Chordwise Heat Transfer Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 19, \alpha = 0$	31
21	Chordwise Heat Transfer Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 19, \alpha = 10$	32
22	Chordwise Heat Transfer Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 19$, $\alpha = 30$	33
23	Wedge Plate Interaction Pressure Data, Small, Sharp LE Wedge, $M_{\alpha} = 19$, $\alpha = 0$	34
24	Wedge Plate Interaction Pressure Data, Small, Sharp IE Wedge, $M_{\infty} = 13$, $\alpha = 0$	34
25	Wedge Plate Interaction Pressure Data, Large, Sharp LE Wedge, $M_{\infty} = 19$, $\alpha = 0$	35
26	Wedge Plate Interaction Pressure Data, Large, Sharp LE Wedge, $M_{\infty} = 13$, $\alpha = 0$	35
27	Wedge Plate Interaction Heat Transfer Data, Small, Sharp LE Wedge. $M_{\infty} = 19$, $\alpha = 0$	36
28	Wedge Plate Interaction Heat Transfer Data, Small, Sharp LE Wedge, $M_{\alpha} \approx 13$, $\alpha = 0$	37

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Cont)

FIGURE

29	Wedge Plate Interaction Heat Transfer Data, Large, Sharp LE Wedge, $M_{\alpha} = 19$, $\alpha = 0$	38
30	Wedge Plate Interaction Heat Transfer Data, Large, Sharp LE Wedge, $M_{\alpha} = 13$, $\alpha = 0$	39
31	Schlieren Photograph Showing Model A at Mach 13, $\alpha = \div 10^{\circ}$, $\delta = 0$, and Re/ft = 1.2 x 10^5	40
32	Schlieren Photograph Showing Model A at Mach 19, $\alpha = +30^{\circ}$, $\delta = 15^{\circ}$, and Re/ft = 0.8 x 10 ⁵	40
33	Schlieren Photograph Showing Model A at Mach 19, $\alpha = 0, \delta = 45^{\circ}$, and Re/ft = 1.3 x 10 ⁵	41
34	Experiment with Krylon White: Model A, $\delta = 45^{\circ}$, Before Run	42
35	Experiment with Krylon White: Model A, $\alpha = 0$, $\delta = 45^{\circ}$, $M_{\infty} = 19$, and $\text{Re}_{\infty}/\text{ft} = 0.8 \times 10^5$	43
36	Experiment with Krylon White: Model B, Large, Blunt LE Wedge, $\alpha = 0$, $M_{\infty} = 13$, and Re/ft = 0.3 x 10 ⁵ .	44

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
I	Instrumentation Coordinates for Model A	9
II	Instrumentation Coordinates for Model B	10
III	Program Summary and Test Conditions for Models A and B	11

$$C_{p} \qquad \text{pressure coefficient, } C_{p} \equiv (p - p_{w}) / q_{w}$$

$$h \qquad \text{heat transfer coefficient (BTU/ft2 sec °R),
$$h = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(T_{o} - T_{w})}$$

$$k_{w} \qquad \text{thermal conductivity of free stream flow (BTU/ft sec °R)*}$$

$$M_{w} \qquad \text{free stream Mach number*}$$
Nu Nusselt number, Nu = hx/k_w

$$p \qquad \text{pressure (psia)}$$

$$p_{w} \qquad \text{free stream static pressure (psia)}^{*}$$

$$\frac{1}{q_{w}} \qquad \text{aerodynamic heating rate (3TU/ft2 sec)}$$

$$q_{w} \qquad \text{free stream dynamic pressure (psia)}^{*}$$
Re_x local Reynolds number Re_x = $\rho_{w} U_{w} x/\mu_{w}$
Re_w/ft free stream Reynolds number per foot, Re_w/ft = $\rho_{w} U_{w}/\mu_{w}^{*}$
S nondimensional streamwise distance
$$S_{\ell} \qquad \text{lower surface streamwise distance}$$
t time (sec)
$$T_{o} \qquad \text{stagnation temperature (°R)}$$$$

LIST OF SYMBOLS (Cont)

τ _w	wall temperature (°R)
T _∞	free stream static temperature (°R) $*$
U _∞	free stream velocity $(ft/sec)^*$
X, Y, Z	coordinate axes defined in Figs. 2 and 4
μ _∞	free stream viscosity (slugs/ft sec)
۴ _∞	free stream density (slugs/ft ³).

* Free stream properties are calculated at the leading edge model station.

INTRODUCTION

The Grumman Research Department has been investigating hypersonic flow separation and the effectiveness of controls in separated flow zones. The experimental effort involved testing four basic configurations in the Arnold Engineering Development Center (AEDC) Tunnels A, B, and Hotshot 2, as well as in the Grumman Hypersonic Shock Tunnel (GHST). Eleven distinct models were built in order to accommodate pressure, heat transfer, and force measurements as well as to meet the requirements of the various facilities. Sketches of the configurations, along with some pertinent remarks for the over-all program, are shown in Fig. 1. The four basic configurations are labeled A, B, C, and D. Configurations A and B were designed primarily to study flow separation, while configurations C and D were designed primarily to provide controls information for typical hypersonic flight configurations. Detailed design information and test plans are given in Ref. 1.

This report deals with configurations A and B and presents pressure and heat transfer data that were obtained in the Grumman Hypersonic Shock Tunnel at nominal Mach numbers 13 and 19 during early 1964. The models in this tunnel entry are one half scale of the ones that were tested at Mach numbers 5 and 8 at AEDC.

The purpose of the tests reported here is twofold: first to generate experimental information at Mach 13 and 19, and second to provide possible guidelines for extending the Mach 5 and 8 data to higher Mach numbers. Results for the over-all program will be presented in a forthcoming final report. Two runs were made using Krylon white enamel as a heat sensitive paint and the results are discussed briefly. Schlieren photography was employed and some typical photographs are presented.

Manuscript released by the author August 1964 for publication as an FDL Technical Documentary Report.

MODELS

Dimensions, pressure tap, and thermocouple locations as well as coordinate axes definitions for model A are given in Fig. 2. Instrumentation coordinates are given in Table I. Model A has a six-inch square planform and a nominally sharp leading edge (35° included angle). The upper surface was designed for the study of the flow ahead of ramps and the lower surface for the study of the flow over 40° expansion corners. The trailing edge flap is of 25 per cent chord and is shown in Fig. 2 for the three flap settings studied in this tunnel entry.

Model B is pictured in Fig. 3; dimensions, instrumentation locations, and coordinate axes definitions are given in Fig. 4. Instrumentation coordinates are given in Table II. The body of model B has a six-inch square planform with a trapezoidal profile and is one and one half inches deep. The lower face of the body intersects the upper surface forming an angle of 30° at the leading edge. The leading edge of the model and the 30° expansion corner on the lower surface are machined sharp. A small, sharp leading edge fin is mounted symmetrically about the centerline on the flat plate upper surface of the model. The wedge shaped fin has a semi-vertex angle of 15°. An attachable fin portion provides an extra fin configuration of 2-inch height.

TEST CONDITIONS

Model A was tested at angles of attack of -15° to $+30^{\circ}$, referenced to the upper flat plate surface. The convention used here is that compression of the upper surface indicates positive angles of attack. Model B was tested only at zero angle of attack, also referenced to the upper flat plate surface. The flap deflections for model A were 0, 15°, 30°, and 45°; model B was tested with two wedge fins separately.

Both models were run at nominal Mach numbers of 13 and 19. The range of free stream Reynolds number per foot was from 0.8 x 10^5 to 2.4 x 10^5 , A detailed presentation of the test program and corresponding test conditions are shown in Table III. A number of runs were repeated and are so indicated in the table.

The free stream conditions shown in Table III are those obtained at the leading edge of the model. These conditions are not duplicated exactly from run to run and are thus indicated in the table. Useful test flow durations of approximately 3 milliseconds were obtained for these conditions. Further, because the GHST has a conical nozzle, the free stream conditions vary in the test section along the tunnel centerline. Distributions of the free stream static pressure, temperature, and Mach number along the tunnel centerline are plotted versus axial distance downstream of the nozzle exit in Figs. 5 and 6. A more detailed description of the facility is given in Ref. 3.

DATA REDUCTION AND ACCURACY

Pressure and heat transfer data were reduced to the standard coefficient forms presented herein by using reference "free stream" conditions. These were taken as those at the leading edge of the models.

Measured pressures p were reduced to pressure coefficients,

$$C_{p} = \frac{p - p_{\infty}}{q_{\infty}}$$

using the reference free stream values of the static and dynamic pressures, $p_{\rm m}$ and $q_{\rm m}.$

Aerodynamic heating rates \dot{q}_{W} were presented directly by the heat transfer gauge instruments (from measurements of the transient wall temperatures T_{W}). The heating rates were nondimensionalized in the form

$$\frac{\mathrm{Nu}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Re}_{\mathrm{x}}}} = \left(\frac{\dot{q}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{T_{o}} - \mathrm{T_{w}}}\right) \left(\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m}}}\right) / \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{U_{x}}}{\mu_{\mathrm{m}}}$$

where Nu is the Nusselt number, x is the streamwise distance from the leading edge to the heat transfer gauge* (see Figs. 2 and 4), and Re_x is the Reynolds number based on distance x.

*For model A, x is the running length at all flap settings while for model B, x is a projected length. For each test run, the values of k_{∞} , ρ_{ω} , U_{∞} , and μ_{∞} (the thermal conductivity, density, velocity and viscosity of the free stream flow respectively), were calculated at the model's leading edge station. Values of k_{∞} and Re /ft are given in Table III for each test run. For the low temperature encountered in these tests, the conductivity k was calculated by assuming a Prandtl number equal to 0.73, [∞]a specific heat at constant pressure $c_p = 0.24$ BTU/1bm °R, and a viscosity dependence $\mu = \frac{2.21 \text{ T}^{3/2}}{\text{T} + 178.6} \times 10^{-8}$ slugs/ft sec.

Pressures were estimated to be accurate to within 10 per cent of their measured values. In addition to these estimates, the data on the lower surface of both models provide a certain degree of repeatability, since the flow there should not be influenced by geometrical changes on the upper surface. The accuracy for the heating rates is not as good as for the pressures. This is to be expected for a facility of small duration testing time. The repeatability in most cases is good and within a 10 per cent range. In some instances, and particularly in the interaction zone of model B, repeatability of less than 10 per cent was not always obtained. This might very well be due to the sensitivity of the corner flow and not necessarily to instrumentation defects. Occasionally the oscilloscope trace of a point was very "noisy" and difficult to read. Such points have been omitted in the presentation of the data. Lastly, we mention that artificial errors may be introduced in the process of nondimensionalizing the data, since the free stream conditions can very well vary.

PRESENTATION OF RESULTS

The presentation of the data differs distinctly for the two models. For model A the pressure and heat transfer rates are plotted versus a nondimensionalized streamwise distance S. For the upper surface, the parameter S_u is defined as the ratio of the chordwise surface distance of a point from the leading edge of the model to the surface length of the model. For the lower surface, the parameter S_l is defined as the ratio of the chordwise surface distance of a point from the expansion corner to the true length of the model aft of the expansion corner. With this artifice we have presented the data for both the upper and lower surfaces on the same graph page. For model B we have presented the data at two chordwise stations versus the semispan Y and wedge height Z. The Nusselt number for this model is based on the x projection of a point. There is a degree of arbitrariness in using the projection, especially for the wedge, and we do not attribute any physical significance to this presentation.

The data for model A are presented in Figs. 7 through 22; those for model B in Figs. 23 through 30. The symbols are defined in the figures. Whenever a run was repeated the symbols are flagged, and filled symbols are used whenever the Reynolds number per foot was higher than 10^5 . Schlieren photographs were obtained throughout the program; some are presented in Figs. 31, 32, and 33.

In Ref. 2 we reported on the use of Krylon white enamel as a heat sensitive paint and recommended its use for preliminary, qualitative investigations of complicated configurations, which have many areas of interaction. A winged re-entry configuration was tested in the AEDC Hotshot 2 tunnel and clearly defined reased aerodynamic heating were observed. regions of Two in the present test serves using the Krylon white runs were enamel pair. Photographs of model A before and after the run and model B after the run are presented in Figs. 34, 35, and 36. Although hot areas can be seen in these pictures, there exists a lack of detail, which can be attributed in part to the considerably shorter duration of the GHST test runs. The use of Krylon is best employed for preliminary analyses of complicated configurations in test facilities having longer test durations.

REFERENCES

- Evans, W. J., and Kaufman, L. G. II, <u>Pretest Report on</u> <u>Hypersonic Flow Separation and Control Models for AEDC</u> <u>Tunnels A, B, Hotshot 2 and Grumman Hypersonic Shock</u> <u>Tunnel</u>, Grumman Research Department Memorandum RM-209, July 1962.
- Hartofilis, S. A., Pressure Measurements at Mach 19 for a Winged Re-entry Configuration, ASD-TDR-63-319, May 1963.
- 3. Hopkins, H. B., Scheuing, R. A., and Leng, J., <u>Investigation</u> of Pressure Distributions over Planar, Twisted and Cambered Wings in a Hypersonic Shock Tunnel, ASD-TDR-62-171, May 1962.
- 4. Wallace, A. R., and Swain, W. N., <u>Pressure Distribution</u> <u>Tests on a 60° and 70° Delta Wing at Mach Numbers 20 to 22</u>, <u>AEDC-TN-61-14</u>, February 1961, CCNFIDENTIAL REPORT.
- 5. Kaufman, L. G. II, <u>Pressure and Heat Transfer Measurements</u> for Hypersonic Flows Over Expansion Corners and Ahead of <u>Ramps</u>, ASD-TDR-63-679, Part I: Mach 5 and 8 Data for Expansion Corner Flows, September 1963, Part II: Mach 5 Pressure Data for Flows Ahead of Ramps, September 1963, Part III: Mach 8 Pressure Data for Flows Ahead of Ramps, December 1963, Part IV: Mach 8 Heat Transfer Data for Flows Ahead of Ramps, to be published.
- Eaer, A. L., <u>An Investigation of Separated Flows on Two-</u> <u>Dimensional Models at Mach Numbers 5 an</u> ¹/₈, AEDC-TDR-63-200, October 1963.
- 7. Burchfield, C. G., Hube, F. K., and Burdette, J. E., <u>An</u> <u>Experimental Heat-Transfer Investigation in Regions of Flow</u> <u>Separation at Mach Number 8</u>, AEDC-TDR-64-30, February 1964.
- 8. Kaufman, L. G. II, <u>Pressure Measurements for Mach 8 Flows</u> <u>Over Expansion Corners and Ramps on an Internally Cooled</u> <u>Model</u>, RTD-TDR-63-4044, Part I: Expansion Corner Flows, October 1963, Part II: Flows Over a Flat Plate with and without a Partial Span Ramp, to be published, Part III: Flows Over Full Span Ramps Mounted on a Flat Plate, to be published.

- 9. Kaufman, L. G. II, and Meckler, L. H., <u>Pressure and Heat</u> <u>Transfer Measurements at Mach 5 and 8 for a Fin - Flat</u> <u>Plate Model</u>, ASD-TDR-63-235, April 1963.
- Kaufman, L. G. II, <u>Pressure Distributions and Oil Film</u> <u>Photographs for Mach 5 Flows Past Fins Mounted on a Flat</u> <u>Plate</u>, ASD-TDR-63-755, September 1963.
- 11. Kaufman, L. G. II, <u>Pressure Measurements for Mach 5 Flows</u> <u>Over Winged Re-entry Configurations with Aerodynamic</u> <u>Controls</u>, RTD-TDR-63-4179, Part I: Blunt Cabin Configuration, February 1964, Part II: Conical Cabin Configuration, February 1964.
- 12. Meckler, L., <u>Pressure Measurements at Mach 8 on an Aero-</u> <u>dynamically Controllable Winged Re-entry Configuration</u>, to be published as an FDL Technical Documentary Report.
- 13. Meckler, L., <u>Heat Transfer Measurements at Mach 8 on an</u> <u>Aerodynamically Controllable Winged Re-entry Configuration</u>, to be published as an FDL Technical Documentary Report.
- 14. Donaldson, J. C., <u>Hypersonic Control Effectiveness Tests</u> of a Delta-Winged Re-entry Configuration at Mach 5 and 8, AEDC-TDR-63-268, December 1963.
- 15. Lacey, J. J., Jr., <u>Pressure Tests on a Blunt Delta Wing</u> Vehicle at M = 19, AEDC-TDR-63-32, February 1963.
- 16. Meckler, L., <u>Static Aerodynamic Characteristics at Mach 5</u> and 8 for an <u>Aerodynamic Controllable Winged Re-entry</u> <u>Configuration</u>, FDL-TDR-64-10, to be published.
- Kaufman, L. G. II, <u>Pressure Measurements for Mach Five Flows</u> <u>Over a Blunt Pyramidal Configuration with Aerodynamic</u> <u>Controls</u>, RTD-TDR-63-4239, January 1964.
- 18. Kaufman, L. G. II, <u>Pressure and Heat Transfer Measurements</u> for Mach 8 Flows Over a Blunt Pyramidal Configuration with <u>Aerodynamic Controls</u>, FDL-TDR-64-2, Part I: Pressure Data for Delta Wing Surface, January 1964, Part II: Pressure Data for Dihedral Surfaces and Part III: Heat Transfer Data for Delta Wing Surface, to be published, and Part IV: Heat Transfer Data for Dihedral Surfaces, May 1964.

- Donaldson, J. C., <u>Hypersonic Control Effectiveness Tests of</u> <u>a Blunted</u>, <u>Triangular-Pyramid Re-entry Configuration at</u> <u>Mach 5 and 8</u>, <u>AEDC-TDR-63-250</u>, <u>December 1963</u>.
- 20. Evans, W. J., and Kaufman, L. G. II, <u>Aerodynamic Character-istics and Flap Loads for a Blunt Pyramidal Configuration at Mach 5 and 8</u>, to be published as an FDL Technical Documentary Report.
- 21. Kaufman, L. G. II, <u>Pressure and Heat Transfer Measurements</u> for Mach 21 Flows Over a Blunt Pyramidal Configuration with Aerodynamic Controls, to be published as an FDL Technical Documentary Report.

TABLE I

.

UNSTRUMENTATION COORDINATES FOR MODEL A

	Pressure Ori	fices	Heat Transfer Gauges			
Тар	x	У	Gauge	x	У	
1 2 3 4	2.43 2.93 3.42 2.688 3.188	250 500 250 .250	1 2 3 4 5	2.43 2.93 3.42 2.688 3.188	.500 .250 .500 500 250	
5 6 7 8 9 10	3.688 4.188 4.750 5.25 5.75	.250 .500 .250 .500 .250	6 7 8 9 10	3.688 4.188 4.750 5.25 5.75	500 250 500 250 500	

TABLE II

INSTRUMENTATION COORDINATES FOR MODEL B

Pressure Orifices

Тар	^x in	y _{in}	zin
1	.875	0	O
2	1,375	0	1
3	1.875	0	
4	3.000	.462	
5	3.000	.900	
6	3.000	1.650	
7	4.500	.862	Ť
8	4.500	1.300	0
9	3,000	400	-1.500
10	3.250	.400	-1.500
11	3.000	.26	.312
12	4,500	.67	.312
13	3.000	.26	,937
14	4.500	.67	.937
15	4,500	.67	1.437

Heat Transfer Gauges

Gauge	×in	y _{in}	zin
1	1.875	.900	0
2	1.875	900	ł
3	3,000	462	
4	3.000	900	
5	3.000	-1.650	
6	4.500	867	Y
7		-1.300	0
8		. .67	.312
9	¥	67	.937
10	4.500	- ,67	1.437

		PROG	RAM SUM	MARY AND TEST	CONDIT	IONS FOR	MODEL A	
Run No.	α deg	б deg	М	Re/ft x 10 ⁻⁵	T°R	T _{w R}	$P_{\infty} \times 10^4$	$\rho_{\infty} \times 10^7$
1256	0	30	13.73	1.19	3650	105.43	18.15	14.47
1255	0	ļ	13.73	1.18	3495	100.25	16.72	14.01
1256	Ō	+	13.73	1.18	3479	99.72	16.62	14.00
1409	-15	30	13 83	1 19	3170	88 42	13 94	13 24
1413		0	13 83	1 21	3022	83 81	13 63	13.06
1394	I.	ñ	20 50	1 45	3607	20. 20 20 21	4 68	8 07
1395	ł	15	20,50	1 10	1660	40.07	5 00	7 64
1307	_15	30		1 30	3057	52 70	5.07	2 07
1400	15	20	20 50	1 20	7221	26.13	2.01	3.07 7 AQ
1265	10	20	10 26	1.32	4232	57.32	3.44	1.90
1205	-10	20	19,24	0.88	2017	52.08	3.33	5.37
1200	-10	50		0.00	2027	54,50	3.30	5,40
1207	-10	40		0.84	3/91	56.84	3,50	5.41
1263	- >	30		0.87	3607	53.53	3,44	5.39
1264		30		0.88	3529	52.27	3.35	5.38
1281	Ĩ	0		0.84	3839	57.70	3,71	5.41
1282	- 5	0		0.84	3792	56.86	3.66	5.41
1257	0	30	1	0.87	3592	53.36	3.42	5.39
1269		45		0.84	3842	57.76	3.72	5.41
1270		45		0.85	3763	56.36	3.63	ļ
1271		15		0.84	3849	57.88	3.73	
1272	[15		0.84	3806	57.11	3,68	1 P
1275	i	0	L	0.85	3762	56.34	3.63	5.41
1276			1	0.86	3676	54.83	3.53	5.40
1380	1	Y	13.24	1.44	3721	49.06	4.72	8.08
1382	ļ	ò	20.50	1.22	3918	52.16	4.37	7 03
1384	1	15	1	1,17	4047	54.25	4 44	6 87
1385	*	30		1.17	4109	55.27	4 58	5.97
1389	ò	45	20,50	1.43	3785	50 06	4.20	8 08
1260	10	30	19 24	0.86	3646	5/- 31	3 /0	5 40
1277		0	19 24	0.85	3772	56 51	3,43	5 / 1
1406	¥	45	20 50	0.80	3582	50.21	2.04	1. 73
1408	τń	15	20.50	0.81	3473	10.41	2.03	4.71
1/17	20	10	10 77	0.01	2412	40.00	2.12	4.05
1/18	30	15	10 77	0.79	2257	24.14	2.91	4.13
1410	50	1)	19.77	V.OZ	2231	40./0	2,00	4.00
		PROG	RAM SUM	MARY AND TEST	CONDIT	IONS FOR	MODEL B	
Run No	~	Wodaa	м	Po/ft = 10-5	ar.	m	D 104	107
Kun No.	deg	Reuge	11	Refic x 10	¹ °R	¹ ω R		ρ _∞ χ 10
1451	Ģ	Small	13.83	2.35	3334	93.64	29.97	26.83
1450		Small	19.7?	0.82	3373	47.05	2.61	4.67
1447		Large	13.88	2.33	3412	96.15	30.91	27.01
1448	1	1	13.88	2.22	3780	108.30	35.22	27.31
1446	ų.	1	19.77	0,83	3321	46.22	2.56	4.65
1449	0	Large	19.77	0.81	3463	48.49	2.71	4,69

TABLE III

11

,, --







Separated Flows shead of a Ramp Fore and aft flaps, end plates 3 separate models:

- Pressure and heat transfer, AEDC Tunnels A & B. M = 5 & 8, results in Refs. 5-7.
- Controlled wall temperature, pressure, AEDC Turnel B, M = 8, results in Refs. 6 and 8.
- 3) Pressure and heat transfer, Grumman Shock Tunnel, $M \approx 13$ & 19, results herein.

Wedge - Plate Interaction Small and large fins with sharp and blunt leading edges 2 separate models:

- Pressure and heat transfer, AEDC Tunnels
 A & B, M = 5 & 8, results in Refs. 6,7,9 and 10.
- 2) Pressure and heat transfer, Grunnian Shock funnel. $M \approx 13 + 15$, results herein.
- Clippew Lelts, Blurt L.E. Center budy, T.E. flaps, drooped bose, Spoiler. tip fins Comparate models:
- 1) Pressure and heat transfer, AEDC Tunnels A \supset B, M $\neg \supset$ & B, results in Refs. 7 and 11-14.
- 2) Pressure, AEDC Hotshop 2, N 2 19, results in Refs. 2 and 15.
- 3) Six component force, AEDC Tunnels A L b M = 5 & 8, results in Refs. 14 and 16.



- Delta, Blunt L.E., Dihestai T.E. flaps, canaid, ventral fir 3 separate models
- Pressure and heat transfer. AEDC Tunnels A & B, M = 5 A B. results in Rits. 7 and 17-18.
- 2; Pressure and lies" transfer, Grunabon Shuck Tunrel, K 2019, results in Ref. 21.
- 3) Six component force, AEDC Tunnels a & 2, M = 5 & 8, results in Acts. 19 and 20.









Fig. 3 Photograph Showing Model B with the 2 in. Wedge-Fin



Fig. 4 Dimensions and Instrumentation for Model B





Fig. 5 Tunnel Centerline Distributions of Mach Number and Static to Total Pressure and Temperature Ratios for the M=13 Conditions





Fig. 6 Tunnel Centerline Distributions of Mach Number and Static to Total Pressure and Temperature Ratios for the M=19 Conditions



Fig. 7 Chordwise Pressure Distribution for Model A, $M_{\omega} \approx 13$, $\alpha = 0$



. . .

7

-,

** .,

•

e KENTYEN . W. C. LINE .

- .

.

-

 $\sim \sim \sim$

in the second

."

and the second of the second of the second of the





Fig. 9 Chordwise Pressure Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 19$, $\alpha = -15$



Fig. 10 Chordwise Pressure Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 19$, $\alpha = -10$



Fig. 11 Chordwise Pressure Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 19$, $\alpha = -5$



÷

~

Ę

ž

1 81.14

and the shear and the second standing the second standing the second second

Fig. 12 thordwase Pressure Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} \approx 19$, $\alpha = 0$

1. J. J. 1.



Fig. 13 Ctordwise Pressure Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 19$, $\alpha = 10$



Fig. 14 Chordwise Pressure Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 19$, a = 30



Fig. 15 Chordwise Heat Transfer Distribution for Hodel A, $M_{\infty} = 13$, $\alpha = 0$

.

· ...



and the second of the second

で、、ないないないないないないないない、 メ ち いちい

1 11 1

1.51

2.12

and a start house and and here a strend and a start





Fig. 17 Chordwise Heat Transfer Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 19$, $\alpha = -15$



Fig. 18 Chordwise Heat Transfer Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 19$, $\alpha = -10$



Fig. 19 Chordwise Heat Transfer Discribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 19$, $\alpha = -5$







Fig. 21 Chordwise Heat Transfer Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 19$, $\alpha = 10$



Fig. 22 Chordwise Heat Transfer Distribution for Model A, $M_{\infty} = 19$, $\alpha = 30$



ĉ

Fig. 23 Wedge Plate Interaction Fressure Data, Small, Sharp LE Wedge, $M_{_{10}} = 19$, $\alpha = 0$



Fig. 24 Wedge Plate Interaction Pressure Data, Small, Sharp LE Wedge, M = 13, $\alpha = 0$



Fig. 25 Wedge Place Interaction Pressure Data, Large, that LE Wedge, $M_{\rm m}$ = 19, α = 0

. . . .

. . . .

· · · ¥1

. /**

5

1 10.6.

and the second state of the second in the second of the second second second second second second second second







Fig. 27 Wedge Plate Interaction Heat Transfer Data, Small, Sharp LE Wedge, $M_{\infty} = 19$, $\alpha = 0$



Fig. 28 Wedge Plate Interaction Heat Transfer Data, Small, Sharp LE Wedge, $M_{\omega} = 13$, $\alpha = 0$



Fig. 29 Wedge Plate Interaction Heat Transfer Data, Large, Sharp LE Wedge, $M_{\infty} = 19$, $\alpha = 0$





۰. به



Fig. 31 Schlieren Photograph Showing Model A at Mach 13, $\alpha = +10^{\circ}$, $\delta = 0$, and Re/ft = 1.2 x 10⁵



Fig. 32 Schlieren Photograph Showing Model A at Mach 19, $\alpha = +30^{\circ}$, $\delta = 15^{\circ}$, and Re/ft = 0.8 x 10⁵



Fig. 33 Schlieren Photograph Showing Model A at Mach 19, $\alpha = 0$, $\delta = 45^{\circ}$, and Re/ft = 1.3 x 10⁵



Fig. 34 Experiment with Krylon White: Model A, $\delta = 45^{\circ}$, Before Run



こうちょう ちょうちょうちょう ちょうちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう かいちょうちょう かいちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょう しょうしょう

warden a fair and the fair

Fig. 35 Experiment with Krylon White: Model A, $\alpha = 0$, $\delta = 45^{\circ}$, $M_{\infty} = 19$, and Re /ft = 0.8 x 10⁵



Fig. 36 Experiment with Krylon White: Model B, Large, Blunt LE Wedge, $\alpha = 0$, $M_{\infty} = 13$, and Re/ft = 0.3 x 10⁵

Territoria succession and the second

ERRATA - December 1964

Due to printing defects, Figs. 31, 32, and 33 were rendered without the flow detail that was present in the original schlieren photographs. To make these figures meaningful, a new printing was made and is submitted herewith.

> Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory Research and Technology Division Air Force Systems Command Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio





Mathian Start 1

5

Fig. 31 Schlieren Photograph Showing Model A at Mach 13, $\alpha = \pm 10^{\circ}$, $\delta \neq 0$, and Re/ft = 1.2 x 10⁵



Fig. 32 Schlieren Photograph Showing Model A at Mach 19, $\alpha = +30^{\circ}$, $\vartheta = 15^{\circ}$, and Re/ft = 0.8 x 10⁵



Fig. 33 Schlieren Photograph Showing Model A at Mach 19, $\alpha = 0$, $\delta = 45^{\circ}$, and Re/ft = 1.3 x 10⁵

•

FDL-TDR-64-144

ERRATA - December 1964

Due to printing defects, Figs. 31, 32, and 33 were rendered without the flow detail that was present in the original schlieren photographs. To make these figures meaningful, a new printing was made and is submitted herewith.

> Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory Research and Technology Division Air Force Systems Command Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio





Fig. 31 Schlieren Photograph Showing Model A at Mach 13, $\alpha = +10^{\circ}$, $\delta = 0$, and Re/ft = 1.2 x 10⁵



Fig. 32 Schlieren Photograph Showing Model A at Mach 19, α = +30°, δ = 15°, and Re/ft = 0.8 x 10^5



Fig. 33 Schlieren Photograph Showing Model A at Mach 19, $\alpha = 0$, $\delta = 45^{\circ}$, and Re/ft = 1.3 x 10⁵

CONSTRACT