CLEARINGHOUSE FOR FEDERAL SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION CESTI DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT BRANCH 410.11

٩

LIMITATIONS IN REPRODUCTION QUALITY

ACCESSION # A DOOT MTT

- WE REGRET THAT LEGIBILITY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS IN PART UNSATISFACTORY. REPRODUCTION HAS BEEN MADE FROM BEST AVAILABLE COPY.
- 2. A PORTION OF THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT CONTAINS FINE DETAIL WHICH MAY MAKE READING OF PHOTOCOPY DIFFICULT.
- 3. THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT CONTAINS COLOR, BUT DISTRIBUTION COPIES ARE AVAILABLE IN BLACK-AND-WHITE REPRODUCTION ONLY.
- 4. THE INITIAL DISTRIBUTION COPIES CONTAIN COLOR WHICH WILL BE SHOWN IN BLACK-AND-WHITE WHEN IT IS NECESSARY TO REPRINT.
- 5. LIMITED SUPPLY ON HAND: WHEN EXHAUSTED, DOCUMENT WILL BE AVAILABLE IN MICROFICHE ONLY.
- 6. LIMITED SUPPLY ON HAND: WHEN EXHAUSTED DOCUMENT WILL NOT BE AVAILABLE.
- 7. DOCUMENT IS AVAILABLE IN MICROFICHE ONLY.
- 8. DOCUMENT AVAILABLE ON LOAN FROM CFSTI (TT DOCUMENTS ONLY).
- 9.

PROCESSOR:

Pm

TSL-107-10/64



3

REPORT ON A LONG-RANGE FORECASTING STUDY

T. J. Gordon Olaf Helmer

September 1964

COP 0F HARD COPY MICROFICHE 1.00 \$.0.75 120p



P-2982

Approved for OTS release



REPORT ON A LONG-RANGE FORECASTING STUDY

T. J. Gordon Olaf Helmer

September 1964

P-2982

Copyright © 1964 The RAND Corporation

ę - --

REPORT ON A LONG-RANGE FORECASTING STUDY

T. J. Gordon

Consultant to The RAND Corporation, Santa Morina, California Olaf Helmer

The RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, California

September 1964

Any views expressed in this paper are those of the authors. They should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of The RAND Corporation or the official opinion or policy of any of its governmental or private research sponsors. Papers are reproduced by The RAND Corporation as a courtesy to members of its staff.

BLANK PAGE

SUMMARY

Prediction-making is a fundamental part of technological, military, commercial, social, and political planning in the modern world. Relatively short-term forecasts of events of, say, the next twenty-four hours, next year, or even trends of the next decade are often accurate enough to be of demonstrably practical use. But as the period of concern is moved further and further into the future, uncertainties multiply, confidence in prediction is degraded, and the scientific theories and techniques of forecasting increasingly give way to intuitive judgment. The fact remains, however, that for better or for worse, trend predictions-implicit or explicit, "scientific" or intuitive—about periods as far as twenty or even fifty years in the future do affect current planning decisions (or lack of same) in such areas as national defense, urban renewal, resource development, etc. Thus, almost anything further we can learn about the basis, the accuracy, and the means for improving such long-term forecasts will be of value.

This report describes an experimental trend-predicting exercise covering a period extending as far as fifty years into the future. The experiment used a sequence of questionnaires to elicit predictions from individual experts in six broad areas: scientific breakthroughs, population growth, automation, space progress, probability and prevention of war, and future weapon systems. A summary of responses from each round of questionnaires was fed back to the respondents before they replied to each succeeding round of questionnaires.

Results of the experiment illuminate a number of points: the contents of the predictions themselves, the bases on which respondents claimed their predictions were made, the spread of expert views, the convergence of views following data feedback, the experts' critiques of each other's views, and not least of all, the weaknesses of the method and the possible means for improving it.

The report also discusses potential objections that may be leveled at this approach: its inherently insufficient reliability; its tendency to produce self-fulfilling or self-defeating prophecies which would make it both undesirable and unreliable; the sensitivity of results to ambiguity of questions; the difficulty of assessing and utilizing the degree of expertise; and the impossibility of taking into account the unexpected. One must judge the merits or promise of an approach such as this in terms of the alternatives available. These same objections generally apply with even greater force to less systematic means of using any intuitive judgment. Moreover, it does appear that some of the observed or suspected defects in the method can be eliminated on the basis of what has been learned from this experiment.

No claims are made, or can be made, for the reliability of the predictions obtained here. However, inasmuch as they reflect explicit, reasoned, self-aware opinions, expressed in light of the opinions of associate experts, such predictions should lessen the chance of surprise and provide a sounder basis for long-range decision-making than do purely implicit, unarticulated, intuitive judgments.

A few thought-provoking examples of the predictions that were elicited are the following:

> The implication that the water-covered portions of the earth may become important enough to warrant national territorial claims.

The values assigned for the probability of another major war. (E.g., medians: 10% in 10 years; 25% in 25 years. Most likely cause: escalation.) The absence, on the one hand, of significantly new ideas for the prevention of war, and the confidence, on the other, that the application of what may be called traditional proposals could reduce the probability of war significantly.

The possibility that continued developments in automation will result in serious social upheavals; the almost complete acceptance of the necessity of regulative legislation.

The strong likelihood of the emergence of weapons of a nonkilling, nonpropertydestroying nature, covert perhaps, attacking on the psychological or biological level.

The eventual abundance of resources of energy, food, and raw materials, but also the possibility that a continuing inequitable world distribution of these assets to the increasing world population may furnish a persisting stimulant to warfare.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Among the many persons who contributed to this study, the greatest share of the credit must go to our questionnaire respondents, both within and outside of RAND, for their wisdom, patience, and constructive criticism. Numerous colleagues and RAND consultants offered helpful advice; among them we would like to mention especially Norman Dalkey, James DeHaven, Uskar Morgenstern, Ed Quade, and Milton Weiner. Two of our overseas respondents, whom one of the authors had the pleasure of contacting personally, were particularly helpful and encouraging---namely, Professor Dennis Gabor and Monsieur Bertrand de Jouvenel. To Arthur L. Shef we express our thanks for helping us pre-test the first set of questionnaires. Much of the burden of recording and analyzing the responses was carried by Bernice Brown, to whom we would like to express our very special gratitude. Jan Kurtz and Margaret Ryan contributed help by abstracting material and preparing graphical displays. Ann Rierson deserves our particular thanks for handling with admirable patience and reliability the inordinate volume of correspondence in connection with this project.

-ix

CONTENTS

PREFACE	iii
SUMMARY	v
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	ix
Section	
1. INTENT	1
2. SUBJECT MATTER	2
3. METHOD	5
4. ILLUSTRATION OF PROCEDURE	6
5. THE SUBSTANTIVE OUTCOME: INTRODUCTORY REMARKS	10
6. PREDICTED SCIENTIFIC BREAKTHROUGHS	11
7. PREDICTED POPULATION TRENDS	15
8. AUTOMATION PREDICTIONS	20
9. PREDICTED PROGRESS IN SPACE	23
10. PREDICTIONS CONCERNING WAR AND ITS PREVENTION.	27
11. PREDICTED WEAPON SYSTEMS OF THE FUTURE	32
12. THE WORLD OF 1984	39
13. THE WORLD OF 2000	40
14. CONCEIVABLE FEATURES OF THE WORLD IN THE	
YEAR 2100	41
15. EDITORIAL COMMENTS ON THESE FORECASTS	42
16. CONVERGENCE OF OPINIONS	45
17. PREDICTION PRECISION AS A FUNCTION OF TIME	49
18. PREDICTION FREQUENCY AS A FUNCTION OF TIME	52
19. CONFIDENCE AS A FUNCTION OF PREDICTED DATE	55
20. CRITIQUE OF EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE	55
21. CONCLUSIONS	61
BIBLIOGRAPHY	65

BLANK PAGE

REPORT ON A LONG-RANGE FORECASTING STUDY

1. INTENT

This is a report on an experiment in forecasting which has been conducted during the past twelve months. ("Forecasting" is used here in the sense of mapping out possible futures, as distinguished from "predicting" a single future.) The intended purpose of this undertaking was both substantive and methodological.

Substantively, our interests lay in assessing the direction of long-range trends, with special emphasis on science and technology, and their probable effects on our society and our world. Here, by "long-range" we had in mind something of the order of ten to fifty years. Our natural curiosity in this regard was enhanced by an awareness of the fact that our work at RAND is in many instances closely related to plans and policies affecting the rather distant future, and that consequently the direction of our studies and the substance of whatever recommendations may result from them are inevitably influenced by our concept of the shape of things to come.

Methodologically, we found ourselves confronted by a near-vacuum as far as tested techniques of long-range forecasting are concerned. Here our hope was to sharpen the few systematic methods that are available and, through practical experience, to gain some insight into specific needs for further methodological research.

Depending on one's point of view, a project such as this may be considered predestined to failure because of its over-ambitious scope, or predestined to success because even very little progress in so important and neglected an area is bound to be of value in the design of long-range plans. Actually, the outcome of this experiment has in no way been spectacular. Yet we hope that the reader of this report will agree with us that our undertaking has indeed been mildly successful, in the sense that our findings

-1-

represent a beginning in the process of sifting the likely from the unlikely among the contingencies of the future, and that we have obtained some hints as to how such efforts can be conducted more effectively hereafter.

Future events can be considered as roughly belonging to one of two sets: the expected and the unexpected. A study such as this cannot hope to uncover the unexpected, spectacular, unanticipated breakthroughs, but must concentrate on narrowing down the dates and circumstances of occurrences which can be extrapolated from the present. We recognize this as a shortcoming of our present study. Nevertheless, some of the substantive predictive material was, to the experimenters at least, unexpected. In that sense, the future may now hold fewer surprises for some of us.

2. SUBJECT MATTER

Among the many features of the world of the future that are competing for exploration, we had, for the sake of sheer manageability, to select only a few. Our choice, while somewhat arbitrary, was guided by the desire to have a collection of areas which in combination would provide broad (though not exhaustive) coverage of the most important determinants of the society of the future. We finally decided upon the following six topics:

- (1) Scientific breakthroughs.
- (2) Population control.
- (3) Automation.
- (4) Space progress.
- (5) War prevention.
- (6) Weapon systems.

In seeking out the future trends in these areas, we were of course well aware that we would not through some miracle be able to remove the veil of uncertainty from the future. This did not seem to us to imply, though, that it is altogether impossible to make meaningful assertions of substantive content about the future.

The reliability with which the future can be predicted is a matter of degree. In planning our daily lives, we are accustomed to predicting the immediate 24-hour future with a reasonable degree of certainty. Plans as far as a year ahead—say, concerning the budget of a family, or of a firm, or of the federal government-although afflicted with a noticeable degree of uncertainty, still are recognized and accepted as a highly reliable means of regulating our lives. Even if the planning horizon is five to ten years away, as it is with many major governmental decisions, standard trend projections, obtained by extrapolation from the recent past and from knowledge of current activities, usually provide fairly reliable results. Nevertheless, in employing past and present trends as indicators of the future, we begin to be strongly aware of the need for judicious intuitive assessment.

For the more distant future, as the uncertainties grow, increased reliance on intuitive (as opposed to theory-supported) contingency forecasts becomes inevitable. Yet this does not deter us from planning ten to fifty years ahead, as evidenced by our public policies regarding such matters as educational institutions, urban renewal, aid to developing countries, procurement of military weapon systems, space exploration, and so on.

In view of such common practice of long-range planning, which both affects the ten- to fifty-year future and is itself influenced by our expectations regarding the world at that time, it seems reasonable to adopt a pragmatic attitude: Since the use of intuitive forecasting as a basis for long-range planning is unavoidable, we should at least make an effort to obtain this intuitive judgment as systematically as possible from persons who are recognized experts in the area of concern. Until a satisfactory predictive theory of the phenomena in question becomes available, it would seem that any improvement in reliability, however slight, that could be achieved by replacing casual guess with the controlled use of intuitive expertise would be desirable because of the benefits that long-range public policies might derive from it.

These potential benefits are likely to grow with each decade; for, because of the ever more explosive rapidity with which new technological developments are apt to take hold, it becomes increasingly important to foresee the advent of such impacts in order to prepare for their social consequences and to avert possible calamities.

It is this potentially large payoff from even minor advances in the reliability of trend forecasting—not to mention man's natural fascination with the idea of exploring the future regardless of any tangible returns (just like exploring the Moon)—which we offer as justification for the present effort.

Our procedure, if we are fortunate, might even succeed incidentally in crystallizing the nucleus of a predictive theory of the subject matter under inquiry, by goading the experts from whom we solicit opinions

-4-

into formulating some of their r rhaps hitherto unarticulated reasons for these opinions. Thus we hope that an effort such as ours may go beyond merely filling a temporary gap and set into motion analytical thought processes which eventually might lead to the formulation of a scientific theory regarding the phenomena in question.

3. METHOD

The method which we have employed for the systematic solicitation of expert opinions is the so-called Delphi Technique.* Instead of using the traditional approach toward achieving a consensus through open discussion, this technique "eliminates committee activity altogether, thus ... reducing the influence of certain psychological factors, such as specious persuasion, the unwillingness to abandon publicly expressed opinions, and the bandwagon effect of majority opinion. This technique replaces direct debate by a carefully designed program of sequential individual interrogations (best conducted by questionnaires) interspersed with information and opinion feedback derived by computed consensus from the earlier parts of the program. Some of the questions directed to the respondents may, for instance, inquire into the 'reasons' for previously expressed opinions, and a collection of such reasons may then be presented to each respondent in the group, together with an invitation to reconsider and possibly revise his earlier estimates. Both the inquiry into the reasons and subsequent feedback of the reasons adduced by others may serve to stimulate the experts into

N. Dalkey and O. Helmer, "An Experimental Application of the Delphi Method to the Use of Experts," <u>Management</u> Science 9, 1963.

taking into due account considerations they might through inadvertence have neglected, and to give due weight to factors they were inclined to dismiss as unimportant on first thought."*

In line with this program, we selected 6 groups of experts, one each for the 6 areas to be surveyed (see Section 2 above). Of the approximately 150 persons approached, 82 responded to one or more questionnaires. Of these, 35 were members of RAND, 7 others were RAND consultants, and the remaining 40 were not connected with RAND; 6 of these 40 were European respondents. Some of the participants responded voluntarily also to questionnaires submitted to other panels. (It was our practice, in order to keep the participants informed of all phases of the experiment, to send copies of the questionnaires for all 6 panels to each respondent, distinguishing that addressed to his own panel by a special color of paper.)

Each panel of experts answered 4 sequential questionnaires, spaced approximately 2 months apart. The average number of filled—in questionnaires received from each panel per round was 14.5 (making a total of $6 \times 4 \times 14.5$, or 348).

Details about the respondents and reproductions of the relevant parts of the 24 questionnaires are given in the appendix to this paper.

4. ILLUSTRATION OF PROCEDURE

To illustrate our procedure, we will give the details of a small segment of the inquiry conducted with the help of Panel 1 on Scientific Breakthroughs.

^{*}See "On the Epistemology of the Inexact Sciences," by O. Helmer and N. Rescher, Management Science 6 (1959), p. 47.

In the opening round we addressed the following question to the panel:

Questionnaire 1.1.

One of the major problems of conducting a predictive study which poses its questions on the basis of extrapolations of current technology is the almost unavoidable exclusion of discontinuous state-of-the-art advances.

In this current study a period of 50 years is being considered. It is possible that inventions and discoveries not yet visualized could have a major impact on our society during this interval. It is easy to observe that the pace of scientific and technological innovation has been steadily increasing and that the time between origination and application has been decreasing. Therefore we believe that many generations of inventions Therefore can find application during the period under study.

Some insight even into discontinuous stateof-the-art advances might perhaps be gained by examining the world's need for such advances, in view of the old truism that necessity is the mother of invention. Therefore, you are asked to list below major inventions and scientific breakthroughs in areas of special concern to you which you regard as both urgently needed and feasible within the next 50 years.

Collation and paring of the responses led to a list of 49 items, which were presented to the panel in the next round (Questionnaire 1.2) with a request to indicate, for each item, the probability of actual implementation in each of the following time intervals:

1963-65 1965-68	1972-78	1997-2013
1968-72	1978-86 1986-97	Later than 2013 Never

Three examples of the 49 items were these:

- Chemical control over heredity molecular B1. biology. S8.
- Popular use of personality contiol drugs.
- P10. Reliable weather forecasts.

For each item, each respondent's probability distribution over time obtained from Questionnaire 1.2 was used to determine approximately the year by which the item, in his opinion, had a probability of 50% of being implemented. For the three illustrative items, these "50%-years" had the following medians and quartiles:

	Median	Quartiles
B1	1993	1982–2033
S8	2050	1984–2050
P10	1975	1972–1988

In the case of P10, for instance, this means that one quarter of the respondents thought that the date by which P10 had an even chance of occurring would be prior to 1972

Q1/4	м		Q 3/4
	<u> </u>	TTTT	
1970	198	10	1990

(the lower quartile), and similarly that one half thought it would be prior to 1975 (the median), and one quarter that it would be later than 1988 (the upper quartile).

On the basis of findings such as these, it was judged that for 10 of the 49 items (Item P10 among them) there existed a reasonable consensus among the respondents. This consensus was announced to the respondents in Questionnaire 1.3, together with an invitation to take exception if they differed strongly from this majority consensus:

P10 (Reliable weather forecasts): Not within 5 but within 35 years.

Do you, by and large, agree with the opinior represented by the consensus...? If you disagree ..., briefly state your reason for your differing opinion.

As for the remaining 39 items, on which an insufficient consensus had been observed, the experimenters at this point used their discretion in singling out a subset of 17 items which they thought to be deserving of further exploration. These were presented once more to the panel, together with an indication of the consensus status to date and a request for a statement of reasons for opinions differing from those of the majority. In some cases the item was reworded, because it was felt that the ambiguity of the original phrasing, rather than any factual disagreement among the participants, might have been partly responsible for the observed divergence of responses. (This contention was supported, in various instances, by explicit comments to this effect from the respondents.) In the case of our examples, Bl and S8, Questionnaire 1.3 followed up thus:

	Description of potential breakthrough	Consensus or dissensus \$c date	In your opinion, by what year does the probability of occurrence reach 50% 90%		If your 50% estimate falls within either the earlier or the later period indicated, briefly state your reason for this opinion
81	Feasibility of chem- ical control over hereditary defects through molecular engineering	Consensus that it will occur; disagreement as to when			Why before 1987 or after 2013?
58	Widesprend socially accepted use of non- nareotic personality control drugs pro- ducing specific psy- chological reactions	Divergent opin- ions, possibly due to differing interpretations of the original question			Why before 1937 or after 2013 (on never)?

The responses now had the following medians and quartile ranges:

	Median	Quartiles
B1	2000	1989-2015
S8	2000	1980-2033

We notice that in both cases the quartile range narrowed, while the median shifted to a somewhat later year for Bl and to a considerably earlier year for S8. Our sample was too small and unstable to permit us to trace such changes to specific causes. (The instability of the sample had two causes: the long interval between questionnaires, and changes in the composition of the panel.) We may merely conjecture that the sharpening in wording of the questions contributed to the narrowing of the quartile ranges; whether this also produced the shift in medians is even more uncortain.

The procedure for composing the last questionnaire in the series, 1.4, was similar to that used in the preceding cycle: Elimination of a few additional items, announcement of a satisfactory consensus on some, and restatement (possibly again involving actual rewording) of the remainder. Both of our illustrative items were judged to need such reconsideration. In this case, the information given to the panel comprised both a statement of the majority opinion and an indication of the reasons for a deviating opinion on the part of a minority. As far as B1 and S8 were concerned, the questionnaire appeared as follows:

	Description of potential breaktorough	Hajority consensus to date	Minority spinion	50%-year	90%-year
PI	Pensibility (not necessarily acceptance) of ehemical control over some hereditary defects by modifica- tion of genes through inclosular engineering	3y 2000	Will take longer or occur never, because it would necessitate intervention during embryonic development, when the foctus is inaccessible, hence would re- quire prior development of techniques of gestation in vitro		
58	Widespread and socially widely accepted use of mormercotic drugs (other than alcohol) for the purpose of producing specific changes in personality characteristics	By 2000	Will take 50 years or more, because research on psycho- pharemoeuticals has barely begun, and negative social reaction will cause delays		

This time the outcome was as follows:

	Median	Quartiles
B1	2000	1990-2010
S8	1983	1980-2000

Thus, for B1 the median remained unchanged and the quartile range shrank a little further; in the case of S2, the median was now even earlier than before and the quartile range shrank considerably. In both cases we now had what may be considered a reasonably narrow consensus.

5. THE SUBSTANTIVE OUTCOME: INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

Having illustrated our procedure through the cases of these three representative items, we now present the primafacie predictions by our panels, and then return later 2020

to a discussion and critique of the method. The reader is cautioned, however, to regard the data about to be listed with some reservation. It consists of summaries of considered opinions about the future by a small group of people, each an expert on some, but not necessarily all, of the subjects under inquiry. There is no question but that more reliable predictions could have been obtained with a greater effort and a wiser group of experimenters. We shall try to indicate later, through retrospective wisdom, how we believe that an effort such as this can be improved to the point where it might become a more reliable and valuable planning tool.

6. PREDICTED SCIENTIFIC BREAKTHROUGHS

....

Panel 1's predictions of scientific breakthroughs are summarized in graphical form on the following page. This is done here and throughout in terms of the "break-even" date, that is, the date for which there is an equal expectation that the event in question will materialize before or after it.

Each bar on the graph extends from the lower to the upper quartile of responses, the peak indicating the position of the median. The events are ordered according to the median date.

The time scale beyond 2020 has been foreshortened. The reader may wish to interpret the interval to the right of 2020, as we have done, as follows (although precise dates that far in the future are clearly not very meaningful):

2020	2025	2035	2050	2100	2200	2300	2400	2600	2800	3000 07. peyor
	In	addit	ion to	speci	fic su	bstant	ivo hr	L		or never
Р	anel w	as int	erroga	ted re	gardin		rve Dr	davala	oughs	the
tl	he org	anizat:	ional a	and op	eratio	nal me	thode	uevelo	pments	5 in
i 1	nvesti	gation	. The	re was	a str	ong co	nsensu	s that	the	.C



(medians and quartiles)

- 1 Economically useful desalination of sea water
- 2 Effective fertility control by oral contraceptive or other simple and inexpensive means
- 3 Development of new synthetic materials for ultra-light construction
- 4 Automated language translators
- 5 New organs through transplanting or prosthesis
- 6. Reliable weather forecasts
- 7 Operation of a central data storage facility with wide access for general or specialized information retrieval
- 8. Reformation of physical theory, eliminating confusion in quantum-relativity and simplifying particle theory
- 9. Implanted artificial organs made of plastic and electronic components
- 10. Widespread and socially widely accepted use of nonnarcotic drugs (other than alcohol) for the purpose of producing specific changes in personality characteristics
- 11. Stimulated emission ("lasers") in X and Gamma ray region of the spectrum
- 12. Controlled thermo-nuclear power
- 13. Creation of a primitive form of artificial life (at least in the form of self-replicating molecules)
- 14. Economically useful exploitation of the ocean bottom through mining (other than off-shore oil drilling)
- 15 Feasibility of limited weather control, in the sense of substantially affecting regional weather at acceptable cost
- 16. Economic feasibility of commercial generation of synthetic protein for food
- 17. Increase by an order of magnitude in the relative number of psychotic cases amonable to physical or chemical therapy
- 18. Biochemical general immunization against bacterial and viral diseases
- 19. Feasibility (not necessarily acceptance) of chemical control over some hereditary defects by modification of genes through molecular engineering
- 20 Economically useful exploitation of the ocean through farming, with the effect of producing at least 20% of the world's food
- 21 Biochemicals to stimulate growth of new organs and limbs
- 22 Feasibility of using drugs to raise the level of intelligence (other than as dietary supplements and not in the sense of just temporarily raising the level of apperception)
- 23 Man-machine symbiosis, enabling man to extend his intelligence by direct electromechanical interaction between his brain and a computing machine.
- 24. Chemical control of the aging process, permitting extension of life span by 50 years
- 25 Breeding of intelligent animals (apes, cetaceans, etc) for low-grade labor
- 26. Two-way communication with extra-terrestrials
- 27. Economic feasibility of commercial manufacture of many chemical elements from subatomic building blocks
- 28. Control of gravity through some form of modification of the gravitational field
- 29. Feasibility of education by direct information recording on the brain
- 30 Long-duration coma to permit a form of time travel
- 31 Use of felepathy and ESP in communications

BLANK PAGE

following four, among eight taken into consideration, represent desirable trends which are likely to occur:

Reform of present modes of scientific communication through the use of automated information retrieval systems.

Reorientation of scientific methodology toward greater interdisciplinary cooperation.

Increased emphasis on basic research in government-supported R and D.

Reformation of educational processes toward an increased interdisciplinary understanding of science.

7. PREDICTED POPULATION TRENDS

The questions addressed to Panel 2, on Population Control, were concerned with world population growth between now and the year 2050. The following four graphs (Figs. 7.1-7.4) exhibit the median and quartile curves derived from the panel's predictions for the birth rate, the death rate, the net-growth rate (= birth rate minus death rate), and the population size.

The population curves in Fig. 7.4 were derived as follows: From the responses of each individual we determined approximately what, according to him, the population would be as a function of time; for each year t between the present and 2050, we then selected the median and quartiles of these predictions.

An obvious alternative method is to use the three netgrowth rate curves shown in Fig. 7.3 and to compute the corresponding population curves; the result is shown in Fig. 7.5, and is seen not to differ significantly from that in Fig. 7.4.

We note that the population trend forecast by our panel is considerably more conservative than estimates obtained by straightforward extrapolation from past population growth, as shown by Fig. 7.6, where the shaded area, lying entirely below the projected curve, represents the quartile range of the panel's forecast over the next 87 years.



Fig. 7.3 — Net growth rate per thousand



-11-



Fig. 7.6 — World population from 1600 A.D.

We did inquire into the reasons for the opinions reflected in these relatively low population estimates.

Not surprisingly, the following three factors emerged as the principal ones affecting birth and death rates, and consequently population:

- (i) the degree of acceptance of birth control measures;
- (ii) the rate of further medical progress;
- (iii) advances in the production and distribution of food.

Of these, judging by the variance of responses, the first seems to be the least predictable. There was much more of a consensus regarding predictions of the availability (as opposed to the acceptance) of birth-control measures. This, incidentally, was confirmed by the Science panel (Panel 1), which predicted the general availability prior to 1980 of simple and inexpensive means of fertility control.

Further medical advances (Item (ii) above) were seemingly generally accepted as a matter of course. The consequent drop in the death rate will be attenuated, in the opinion of most respondents, by insufficient advances in the production and distribution of food (Item (iii)). A minority even predicted famine conditions and a consequent eventual sharp rise in the death rate.

These misgivings regarding food production and distribution should perhaps be examined in the light of relevant forecasts made by the Science and Automation panels (1 and 3). According to Panel 1, commercially efficient production of synthetic food may be expected within 40 years, to be augmented in the early part of the next century by largescale ocean farming. In spite of this forecast of food abundance for even a much enlarged world population, there may still be an ominous gap between potential and effective availability of food for all, because, according to the view expressed by Panel 3, an effective world-wide system of food distribution may not be implemented until later in the twenty-first century. In view of such facts as the uncertainty regarding large-scale acceptance of lirth control measures, the comparative certainty of further medical progress, and the doubts about equitable food distribution, one cannot help but wonder whether the panel's forecasts (which in the median suggest a nonfamine-induced levelling off of the population curve) would have remained quite so optimistic, had the Delphi process of examining the reasons for proffered opinions been carried through another round or two.

8. AUTOMATION PREDICTIONS

The predictions by Panel 3 regarding major developments in the field of automation are summarized on the following page, in the same graphical form we used for Panel 1. Each bar again extends from the lower to the upper quartile of the responses and peaks at the median. The foreshortened scale beyond 2020 was explained in Section 6.

In addition to technological progress in automation, the panel was asked to give some thought to the problem of unemployment resulting from automation. Almost all respondents agreed that the problem is a very serious one. While one third of the panel felt that social upheavals will accompany automation, the majority opinion indicated that suitable counter-measures, taken either preventively or at least therapeutically, will forestall severe social disruptions.

Ten counter-measures, proposed by the panel members themselves, were appraised by the panel with regard to: (1) potential effectiveness in reducing unemployment, (2) overall desirability, and (3) the probability of actual implementation. The averages of the appraisals concerning these three aspects turned out to be highly correlated, as shown by the following tabulation of results, in which the measures at the top of the list are considered effective, desirable, and probable, while those at the bottom are

BLANK PAGE



Fig. 8.1 – Consensus of Panel on automation (medians and quartiles)

- 1. Increase by a factor of 10 in capital investment in computers used for automated process control
- 2 Air traffic control positive and predictive track on all aircraft
- 3 Direct link from stores to banks to check credit and to record transactions
- 4 Widespread use of simple teaching machines
- 5. Automation of office work and services, leading to displacement of 25% of current work force
- 6 Education becoming a respectable leisure pastime
- 7. Widespread use of sophisticated teaching machines
- 8. Automatic libraries, looking up and reproducing copy
- 9 Automated looking up of legal information
- 10. Automatic language translator -- correct grammar
- 11 Automated rapid transit
- 12. Widespread use of automatic decision-making at management level for industrial and national planning
- 13. Electronic prosthesis (radar for the blind, servomechanical limbs, etc)
- 14. Automated interpretation of medical symptoms
- 15. Construction on a production line of computers with motivation by "education"
- 16. Widespread use of robot services, for refuse collection, as household slaves, as sewer inspectors, etc.
- 17. Widespread use of computers in tax collection, with access to all business records --- automatic single tax deductions
- 18. Availability of a machine which comprehends standard IQ tests and scores above 150 (where "comprehend" is to be interpreted behavioristically as the ability to respond to questions printed in English and possibly accompanied by diagrams).
- 19. Evolution of a universal language from automated communication
- 20. Automated voting, in the sense of legislating through automated plebiscite
- 21. Automated highways and adaptive automobile autopilots
- 22. Remote facsimile newspapers and magazines, printed in home
- 23. Man-machine symbiosis, enabling man to extend his intelligence by direct electromechanical interaction between his brain and a computing machine
- 24. International agreements which guarantee certain economic minima to the world's population as a result of high production from automation
- 25. Centralized (possibly random) wire tapping
considered ineffective, undesirable, and improbable:

Proposed Measure	Average Effective- ness	Average Desira- bility	Average Probabi-
Creation of new types of employ- ment	mod/high*	high	mod/high
Retraining of persons unemployed through automation	mod	mod/high	high
All—out vocational training program	min/mod	mod/high	mod/high
Education for better leisure- time enjoyment	min/mod	mod/high	mod/high
Massive aid to underdeveloped regions (including parts of the United States)	mod .	mod	mod
Two years of compulsory post- high school education	mod	mod	mod
Legislation shortening the work week by 20%	min/mod	neut/mod	mod/high
Massive WPA-type programs	min/mod	neut	mod
Legislation lowering the retire- ment age by 5 years	min/mod	neut	mod
Legislation protecting household and service jobs from auto- mation	nil/min	neg	min
<pre>* mod = moderate min = minor neut = neutral neg = negative</pre>			

9. PREDICTED PROGRESS IN SPACE

A graphical summary of predicted progress in space is given on the next page. We note that for events whose median break-even dates are within the next 15 years, the quartile ranges are remarkably narrow, reflecting no doubt the rather firm timetable of near-future space achievements to which our space specialists expect to adhere.

BLANK PAGE

- 1 SU orbital rendezvous
- 2 US orbital rendezvous
- 3. Increased use of near-Earth satellites for weather prediction and control
- 4. Unmanned inspection and capability for destruction of satellites
- 5 S.U. manned lunar fly-by
- 6 Establishment of global satellite communication system
- 7. U.S. manned lunar fly-by
- 8 Manned lunar landing and return
- 9 Rescue of astronauts stranded in orbit
- 10 Operational readiness of laser for space communications
- 11 Manned co-orbital inspection of satellites
- 12 Manned scientific orbital station-10 men
- 13 Development of reusable booster launch vehicle
- 14 Solid-core nuclear reactor propulsion
- 15 Ionic propulsion (nuclear-generator powered)
- 16 Temporary lunar base (2 men. 1 month)
- 17 Development of reusable maneuverable orbiting spacecraft
- 18. Manned Mars and Venus fly-by
- 19 Re-execution of critical experiments in deep space (Michelson-Morley, speed of light, equality of gravitational and inertial mass, etc)
- 20 Permanent base established on Moon (10 men, indefinite stay)
- 21 Manufacturing of atmospheres suitable for human beings on Moon or planets (no implication of surrounding entire Moon or planet with an atmosphere is intended)
- 22 Deep space laboratories and observatories for high-vacuum, zero-g, and space research
- 23 Earth weather control, in the sense of having a highly reliable ability to cause precipitation from certain types of clouds
- 24. Manned landing on Mars and return
- 25 Probes (small instrumented unmanned payloads) out of the solar system
- 26 Manufacturing of propellants and raw material on the Moon
- 27 Establishment of permanent research stations on near planets
- 28 Commercial global ballistic transport (including boost-glide techniques)
- 29 Establishment of a permanent Mars base (say, 10 men for an indefinite period)
- 30 Manned landing on Jupiter's moons
- 31 Pluto fly-by
- 32 Inter-galactic communication



* equality of gravitational and inertial mass, etc)

В

mplication of surrounding entire Moon or planet wit

earch

pitation from certain types of clouds

F





Fig. 9.1 – Consensus of Panel on space progress (medians and quartiles)

BLANK PAGE

10. PREDICTIONS CONCERNING WAR AND ITS PREVENTION

The members of Panel 5, on War Prevention, were asked both in the first questionnaire (June 1963) and the last questionnaire (January 1964) to give us their personal probability estimates of the occurrence of another major war within 10 and within 25 years. The responses, in terms of medians and quartiles, were these:



Here, the solid bars refer to the probability of war within 10 years, the dotted bars to the probability of war within 25 years.

100%

A significant decrease in the probabilities between the June and January responses is evident. Even in the case of the 10-year estimates, where the median remained at 10%, the shift of the quartile bar as a whole is quite pronounced. While the identity of the panel membership was not stable enough to draw the conclusion directly from this summary evidence that events of the intervening seven months had caused most of the respondents to take a rosier view of the future, examination of the responses of those individuals who participated in both the first and fourth questionnaires did tend to confirm this hypothesis. For example, none of them raised the value given to the probability of war within 10 years, and the median reduction of probability was 20%of the value originally given.

The panel's views as to the manner in which a major war might break out, if at all, did not change significantly between June 1963 and January 1964. When we average the minor differences in responses between these dates, the

panel's opinions as to the relative probabilities of the modes of outbreak may be summarized as follows:

Inadvertence			11%
Escalation of a political	•	•	L L /0
political crisis	•	٠	45%
Escalation in the level of violence in			
an on-going minor war			37%
Surprise attack at a time when there		•	
is no ostensible acute crisis	•	•	7%

We considered the main assignments of Panel 5 to be the proposal and appraisal of realistic and effective measures that might be undertaken in the future in order to reduce the overall probability of the occurrence of another major war. Members of the panel submitted a total of 42 distinct proposals for consideration. These were then resubmitted to the panel for appraisal.

Much of the response was in verbal rather than numerical form. Even numerical responses, such as effectiveness and probability-of-implementation ratings and desirability rankings, were subject to interpretation and relative weighting. Taking all these caveats into account, it appears that the picture which emerges can be described roughly by the following tabulation of proposed measures, arranged in the approximate order of decreasing overall desirability, with "effectiveness" to be understood as referring specifically to the lowering of the probability of war. A framed entry indicates a considerable consensus among the panel members:

Proposed Measure	Overall desira— bility	Effect- iveness if im- plemen- ted	Proba bility of im- plemen- tation
Build-up of Western-bloc conventional forces	high	high	high
Increased security of command—and—con- trol and retaliatory capability	high	high	high
Development on both sides of invulner- able delayed-response weapons tha are incapable of surprise attack	high t	high	high
	ļ		

-28-

Proposed Measure	Desira bility	- Effect- iveness	- Proba- s bility
Greater political and economic unity among free advanced democracies	high	high	medium
US—SU political agreement to seek peace and restrain other nations from developing nuclear weapons	high	high	medium
Establishment of a standing world- wide U.N. police force, not subject to veto	high	high	low
Improved defensive warfare techniques to reduce probability of escalation in limited wars	high	medium	medium
U.N. economic and military aid to areas threatened by political upheaval	high	medium	low
Development of a code of interna- tional law and establishment of effective world courts of justice and a world supreme court	high	medium	low
US—promoted rapid technological and economic advancement of under— developed nations	high	low	high
Strengthening of the U.N. with the objective of forming a world government	medium*	high	10w
Bilateral US-SU arms control agree- ments	medium	medium	high
Studies by sociology, group psy- chology, etc., seeking clues to war prevention	medium	medium	high
US—SU political association against China or other third party	medium	medium	hi gh
Holding the status quo against even minor aggressions	medium	medium	medium
Central-European disengagement to reduce military activity, induced by an improving SU-US atmosphere	medium	medium	medium
Instituting population control in all nations according to U.N. decisions	medium	medium	low

^{*}The doubt, implied by this entry, on the part of some respondents regarding the unconditional desirability of this item appears to be unrelated to their opinion of the U.N. as such but reflecting their fear that a single world government might be subject to subversion of its original purpose.

Proposed measure	Desira bility	- Effect-	- Proba- bility
Establishment of national assessment centers which would evaluate crisis situations and transmit policy statements to the poten- tial enemy to clarify U.S. intent	medium	medium	low
US or SU demonstration of the intent to use force of increasing levels (in identifiable steps) in re- sponse to specific provocations	medium	medium	low
Removal of trade barriers with Communist countries	medium	medium	low
Development of realistic understand- ing among western Allies of dynamics of nuclear warfare, by techniques including joint US/Allied crisis- and war- gaming and systems analyses	medium	medium	low
Settlement of the division of Germany on terms acceptable to West Germany and compatible with German membership in NATO	medium	medium	low
Development of a cadre of inter- national U.N. civil servants dedicated to world values	medium	low	high
Military alliance between US and SU plus possibly India)	medium	low	low
Support and promotion of a United States of Africa, Latin America, Europe, Asia	medium	low	low
Invitation to other nations to become member states of the U.S.A.	medium	low	low
Simulated US-SU war games, played by professional military plan- ners of both sides (possibly with sides interchanged)	medium	10w	low
Increased cooperative economic, poli- tical, and military ventures by the US with the SU and China to promote interdependency	?*	high	low
Bilateral reduction of armaments enforced by U.N. police force	?	high	low

A question mark indicates that with respect to this item the respondents had not been asked to assess the desirability as such.

Proposed Measure	Desira- bility	Effect- iveness	Proba- bility
Strengthening of NATO alliance to insure a guaranteed response to prestated provocations	?	high	low
SU—initiated gradual improvement of political atmosphere	?	high	medium
Strategic arms control (halting production but not R-and-D)	?	low	medium
Clear US statement as to which national interests are to be protected by nuclear deterrents, and orientation of our policies to that end	?	low	low
Development of a new system of inter- national political cue "signals" which would indicate real intent to go to war unless political situation changes, such as general mobilization in the past	- ?	low	medium
Postering educational and propaganda measures designed to amend or establish values of mutual toleration of various ideologies and the right to self-determin- ation	?	low	high
haring of technological innovations between US and SU	?	low	medium
upport of NATO, SEATO, and OAS to increase number of world forums where political differences can be resolved with minimum "loss of face"	?	low	hi gh
ffer of nuclear weapons to countries which agree to support our stated national policies	? [low	medium
rganized encouragement of conscien- tious objection on the part of scientists to cooperation in the improvement of weapon systems	10w [low	low
reation of buffer zones to avoid direct confrontation of major powers	?	low	hi gh
ecognition of Communist China and East Germany — creation of a realistic policy	? [[low	medium
-initiated unilateral steps toward disarmament	?	low	low

In order to obtain some idea of the potential impact that the above measures might have, we concluded our inquiry by asking each respondent his opinion of how much the probability of a major war in the next 10 and the next 25 years would be reduced if the measures which he favored were pursued vigorously. The result is shown below. The status-quo graph, shown for comparison purposes, repeats the January, 1964, estimates of the probability of war. The solid bars again refer to the 10-, the dotted bars to the 25-year period.

Status quo Status quo 50% 100% Effect of pursuing favored measures 0 50% 100%

We note that the reduction in the median probability is 75% (for 10 years) and 70% (for 25 years). We also examined the record to find each individual's reduction in these probabilities; the medians of these reductions turned out to be 25% and 33% respectively, which—while not nearly so large—may still be considered strong evidence of the respondents' optimism regarding the possibility of reducing their own dire forecasts by taking appropriate preventive measures.

11. PREDICTED WEAPON SYSTEMS OF THE FUTURE

Panel 6, whose subject matter was future weapon systems, had to work under a slight handicap in that the details of such systems are generally not in the public domain because of military secrecy. This had the dual effect of putting a restraint on respondents who did have access to such information, and of keeping respondents without such access in the dark with regard to some of the current work being done in this area. A classified inquiry on the same subject would presumably have yielded additional items and also more reliably dated forecasts.

The panel members suggested a total of 58 distinct weapon-system developments. Of these, all but 32 were eventually dropped from further consideration, because a majority consensus indicated either that their feasibility was so low as to make development in the foreseeable future very unlikely, or else that their effectiveness, even if developed, would be too low, or both.

The following page gives a graphical picture of the outcome. Again-as in the case of Panels 1, 3, and 4 (Science, Automation, and Space) — we used quartile bars peaked at the median. Figure 11.1 shows predicted dates in grey under the assumptions of the status quo prevailing (dark bars), and of a crash program (light bars). The green bars show the "absolute" predictions solicited in Questionnaire 4, in which the respondents had been required to estimate operational readiness dates on the basis of their own expectations as to the likelihood of a crash program being instituted. The items were arranged in the order of the median dates of the "absolute" predictions (green bars). (The three gaps appearing among the grey bars correspond to items representing afterthoughts that were not submitted to the panel until the final round.)

It should be noted that in a few cases the median of the operational-readiness estimates lies toward the right not only of the bar of crash-program estimates but even of that of the status-quo estimates. This may mean that the respondents had a change of mind between Questionnaires 3 and 4. More probably, though, in the last round the concept of actual operational readiness prevailed, while in the earlier rounds the contingency estimates may have been made subject to the additional, tacit assumption: "Suppose there is a decision to go ahead with the weapon system in question."



- 1. Tactical kiloton nuclear weapons for use by ground troops
- 2 Economic showmanship' riew foreign-aid techniques to influence nations
- 3. Extensive use of devices which persuade without killing (water cannons, tear gas, etc)
- 4. Miniature improved sensors and transmitters for snooping reconnaissance, arms control
- 5. Rapid mobility of men and light weapons to any point on Earth for police action
- 6 Incapacitating chemical (as opposed to biological) agents
- 7. Use of lasers for radar-type range sensors, illuminators, communications
- 8 Incapacitating biological agents
- 9 Cheap light-weight rocket-type personnel armament (silent, plastic match-lit projectiles, capable of single or gang-firing)
- 10 Lethal biological agents
- 11 Perishable counter-insurgent arms
- 12 Orbiting space reconnaissance station
- 13 Advanced techniques of propaganda, thought control opinion manipulation
- 14 Accurate intelligence correlation through use of computers
- 15. Effective anti-submarine capability, at least against contemporary submarines
- 16 Longer-endurance aircraft, perhaps nuclear-powered, for logistic supply or bombardment
- 17 Biological agents destroying the will to resist
- 18 Penetrating nuclear weapons for deep cratering
- 19. Automated tactical capability (battlefield computers, robot sentries, TV surveillance)
- 20 Effective terminal defense by ground-launched anti-missiles
- 21. ICBMs with other than nuclear warheads (such as snipers)
- 22. Rapidly mobile public-works and logistics units for war recovery and refugee support
- 23 Deep-diving submersibles made of materials which decrease detection probability
- 24. Directed-energy weapons (electro-magnetic radiation, particle beams, lasers)
- 25 M ssive civilian defense and post-war recovery plan
- 26. Weather manipulation (for military purposes)
- 27. Effective terminal defense by air-launched anti-missiles
- 28. Effective terminal defense by directed energy beams
- 29 Large orbiting satellite weapons for blackmail
- 30. Domesticated porpoises or dolphins for anti-submarine reconnaissance
- 31. Mass-hypnotic recruitment of forces from enemy population
- 32 Mind reading

Fig. II. I - Consensus of Panel 6 on future weapon systems (medians and quartiles)

BLANK PAGE

Figure 11.1 also carries, in the left—hand margin, a tabulation of the medians of effectiveness and feasibility, each measured on a scale from 0 to 10.

We have made an eight below to abstract from the responses of the other five panels such material as might be relevant for future weapon systems. No implication is intended that these are forecasts of military instrumentaliities in the offing; we simply leave it to the reader to consider them for what they are worth as regards possible relevance to potential weapon systems of the future. The statement in the last column of this tabulation merely represents the authors' extrapolation of the item described in the first column into the field of weapons application.

Item Establishment of a glo- bal satellite communi- cation system	Panel 4	Predi of ava <u>Median</u> 1968	cted time ilability Quartiles 1967—1970	Possible implication for weapon systems Improvement in the security of command-
Unmanned inspection and capability for de- struction of satellites	4	1967	1967–1970	and-control Potentially important defense against un-
Manned co-orbital in- spection of satellites	4	1970	1970–1974	sance or against satellites suspected of carrying bomb loads
control by oral contra- ceptive or other simple and inexpensive means	1	1970	1970–1983	Possibility of long- term manipulation of enemy's population size through covert seeding of his water supply with oral con- traceptives (alterna- tive non-aggressive version: contracep- tive aid to under- developed nations in an effort to upgrade their economies and to remove a future cause for war through relieving population pressure)

Item	Panel	Predi	cted time	Possible implication
		Median	Quartiles	for weapon systems
Development of new syn- thetic materials for ultra-light construc- tion	1	1971	1970–1978	New light-weight mili- tary equipment, in- cluding construction items such as bridges
Air traffic control – positive and predictive track on all aircraft	3	1974	1970–1977	Complete tracking of all aircraft by the Air Defense Command
Widespread use of auto- matic decision-making at management level for industrial and national planning	3	1979	1977–1997	More efficient military procurement planning; aid in strategic and tactical combat direc- tion
Controlled thermo- nuclear power	1	1986	1980–2000	Mobile power plants for tactical use; possibly rocket propulsion
Limited weather control, in the sense of sub- stantially affecting regional weather	1	1990	1987—2000	Destruction of crops; flooding of enemy territory
Biochemical general immunization	1	1994	1983-2000	Defense against bio- logical-warfare attacks
Global ballistic trans- port (including boost- glide techniques)	4	2000	1985–never	Rapid mobility of men and arms to any point on earth
Man-machine symbiosis, enabling man to extend his intelligence by direct electromechani- cal interaction between his brain and a com- puting machine	1 3	2020 2010	1990-never 1985-2600	Greater adaptability to hostile environments, especially in space com- bat; more effective use of computing aids in tactical decision-making
Breeding of intelligent animals (apes, cetaceans etc.) for low-grade labor	1	2020	2020-never	Use of animals for re- connaissance and other ground-combat tasks
International agreements which guarantee certain economic minima to the world's population as a result of high produc- tion from automation	3	2024	2018–2100	Removal of potential pressures toward war (i.e., a means for eliminating the need for weapon systems)
Control of gravity through some form of modification of the gravitational field	1	2063	2030-nev <i>e</i> r	Weightless combat vehi- cles; raising the enemy forces off the ground

Item Manned maneuverable geocentric bombardment fleet	Panel 4	Predi of ava <u>Median</u> 2500	cted time ilability Quartiles 1990-never	Possible implication for weapon systems Possible follow—on to the Polaris concept
Feasibility of educa- tion by direct informa- tion recording on the brain	1	2600	1997–ne ve r	Potentiality of permit- ting deductions from vast amounts of collect- ed data; possible edge in scientific and tech- nological innovation
Military force on the Moon	4	never	1999-never	Self-explanatory
Heliocentric strategic fleet	4	never	2500-never	Self-explanatory

12. THE WORLD OF 1984

If we abstract the most significant items from the forecasts of all six panels, the following picture emerges of the state of the world as of 1984:

The population of the world will have increased by about 40% from its present size to 4.3 billion—that is, provided no third world war will have taken place before then. There is an 80 to 85% probability that it will not, if present trends continue, but this probability can be raised to 95% by appropriate policy measures.

To provide the increased quantities of food needed, agriculture will be aided by automation and by the availability of desalinated sea water.

Effective fertility control will be practised, with the result that the birth rate will continue to drop.

In the field of medicine, transplantation of natural organs and implantation of artificial (plastic and electronic) organs will be common practice. The use of personality-control drugs will be widespread and widely accepted.

Sophisticated teaching machines will be in general use.

Automated libraries which look up and reproduce relevant material will greatly aid research. World-wide communication will be enhanced by a universal satellite relay system and by automatic translating machines. Automation will span the gamut from many service operations to some types of decision making at the management level.

In space, a permanent lunar base will have been established. Manned Mars and Venus fly-bys will have been accomplished. Deep-space laboratories will be in operation. Propulsion by solid-core nuclear-reactor and ionic engines will be becoming available.

In the military arena, ground warfare will be modified by rapid mobility and a highly automated tactical capability, aided by the availability of a large spectrum of weapons, ranging from non-lethal biological devices and light-weight rocket-type personnel armament to small tactical nuclear bombs and directed-energy weapons of various kinds. Ground-launched anti-IC3M missiles will have become quite effective. Anti-submarine warfare techniques will have advanced greatly, but improved, deepdiving, hard-to-detect submarines will present new problems.

13. THE WORLD OF 2000

When we continue our projection to the year 2000, the following major additional features emerge as descriptive of the world at that time, judging from the forecasts of the six panels:

The population size will be up to about 5.1 billion (65% more than 1963).

New food sources will have been opened up through large-scale ocean farming and the fabrication of synthetic protein.

Controlled thermonuclear power will be a source of new energy. New mineral raw materials will be derived from the oceans. Regional weather control will be past the

-40-

experimental stage.

General immunization against bacterial and viral diseases will be available. Primitive forms of artificial life will have been generated in the laboratory. The correction of hereditary defects through molecular engineering will be possible.

Automation will have advanced further, from many menial robot services to sophisticated, high-IQ machines. A universal language will have evolved through automated communication.

On the Moon, mining and manufacture of propellent materials will be in progress. Men will have landed on Mars, and permanent unmanned research stations will have been established there, while on Earth commercial global ballistic transport will have been instituted.

Weather manipulation for military purposes will be possible. Effective anti—ICBM defenses in the form of air—launched missiles and directed—energy beams will have been developed.

14. CONCEIVABLE FEATURES OF THE WORLD IN THE YEAR 2100

When we try to look as far ahead as to the year 2100, there can be no pretense regarding the existence of any consensus among our respondents. We record the following developments, for which there was a median forecast of nolater-than 2100, not as a prediction of the state of the world world at that time but as an indication of what a number of thoughtful people regard as conceivable during the next few generations to come:

By the year 2100 the world population may be of the order of 8 billion.

Chemical control of the aging process may have been achieved, raising a person's life expectancy to over 100 years. The growth of new limbs and organs through biochemical stimulation may be possible. Man-machine symbiosis, enabling a person to raise his intelligence through direct electromechanical tie—in of his brain with a computing machine, is a distinct possibility. Automation, of course, will have taken further enormous strides, evidenced in all probability by such things as household robots, remote facsimile reproduction of newspapers and magazines in the home, completely automated highway transportation, etc.

The problem of adequately providing the necessities of life for all peoples of the earth will presumably have been solved by international agreements based on the abundance of new sources of energy and raw materials opened up in the twenty-first century. As for materials, it is even possible that elaborate differential mining processes will have been abandoned in favor of commercially efficient transmutation of elements.

Conceivably, revolutionary developments will have become feasible as a result of control of gravity through some form of modification of the gravitational field.

A permanent lunar colony may well have been established, with regularly scheduled commercial traffic between Earth and Moon. A permanent base on Mars, landings on Jupiter's moons, and manned fly-bys past Pluto are likely accomplishments. Possibly even a multi-generation mission to other solar systems may be on its way, aided conceivably by artificially induced long-duration coma. Two-way communication with extra-terrestrial intelligent beings is a definite possibility.

15. EDITORIAL COMMENTS ON THESE FORECASTS

Before leaving the substantive aspects of this report and proceeding to a discussion of method, we would like to interject a few remarks reflecting our own reaction to some of the panel forecasts.

First of all, we would like to register our surprise at some of the ideas that have been propounded. To other persons, of course, a different set of items might be the unexpected ones. These are among the ones which we had failed to anticipate:

The implication that the water—covered portions of the earth may become important enough to warrant national territorial claims.

The possibility that continued developments in automation will result in serious social upheavals; the almost complete acceptance of the necessity of regulative legislation.

The probability, in the relatively near future, of very widespread use of personality—control drugs.

The notion of an actual symbiosis of man and machine.

The use of computers as "colleagues" rather than servants or slaves.

The fact that control of gravity was not rejected outright.

The relative confidence that the population curve would begin to level off during the next generation.

The strong likelihood of the emergence of weapons of a nonkilling, nonproperty-destroying nature, covert perhaps, attacking on the psychological or biological level.

The idea of perishable counter-insurgent arms.

The general disagreement with the concept of deepspace military applications, such as heliocentric strategic fleets.

The anticipated relatively high probability of another major war.

The absence, on the one hand, of significantly new ideas for the prevention of war, and the confidence, on the other, that the application of what may almost be called traditional proposals to this effect hold great promise for reducing the probability of war.

Secondly, we feel it incumbent upon us to point out certain warnings which seem to be implied in the opinions of our respondents. Our motivation in doing so is not to prophesy doom but to indicate the areas, however obvious, in which a major effort will have to be concentrated in order to avoid future disaster. They can be subsumed under four headings:

War prevention. While the odds are considered to be

against another major war within the next generation even a 20% chance of this (within 25 years), which is the War Prevention panel's median prediction, is clearly intolerable. The main danger appears to be in mutually undesired escalation and downright inadvertence, hence a major effort to seek improved ways of forestalling such disaster is mandatory.

Equitable distribution of resources. While there is a consensus that eventually there will be an abundance of resources in energy, food, and raw materials, it is not at all a foregone conclusion that they will be plentifully available in time to keep ahead of the increasing world population, or what is more, that effective means of an equitable world distribution of such assets will have been found and agreed upon. To solve these problems in time will clearly be a great contribution toward the prevention of (big or small) wars.

Social reorganization. The anticipated explosive growth in the amount of automation is likely to reshape the societies of industrialized nations considerably, perhaps beyond recognition. While improved and highly automated methods of education will make the acquisition of technical skills available to a larger fraction of the population, only the very ablest people are likely to be needed to manage the new, automated, economy. Since robots are apt to take over many of the services, especially the more menial ones, large segments of the population may find themselves without suitable employment within an economy of potential abundance. Far-sighted and profoundly revolutionary measures may have to be taken to cope with this situation and to create new patterns within which a democratic form of society can continue to flourish. "Earning" a livelihood may no longer be a necessity but a privilege; services may have to be protected from automation and be given social status; leisure time activities may have to be invented in order to give new meaning to a mode of life that

-44-

may have become "economically useless" for a majority of the populace.

Eugenics. Finally, to mention a problem which, though not upon us as yet, will require much forethought and wisdom, there is the possibility—now just below the horizon but expected to be realized within a generation or two of selectively extending an individual's life span through biochemical methods and of selective eugenic control through molecular genetic engineering. The potential dangers of mismanaging these capabilities are too obvious to require formulation.

16. CONVERGENCE OF OPINIONS

We now turn briefly to an examination of some of the methodological features of our experiment.

Many of the questions put before Panels 1, 3, 4, and 6 (Science, Automation, Space, and Weapons) were asked more than once. This gave us an opportunity to determine the amount of opinion convergence that was taking place in the process of interrogation.

A convenient measure of the spread of opinions is the quartile range, QR, of the responses. Figure 16.1 shows a scatter diagram of the final quartile range, QR_2 , versus the original quartile range, QR_1 , for each repeated question. (The numerals used to spot these points refer to the panel number.)^{*}

It can be seen at a glance that the quartile range decreased, since the majority of the points lie well below the 45° -line. The median ratio of QR_2/QR_1 is almost exactly 5/8. Broken down by panels, the median reductions in quartile range are as follows:

	Panel	Median or QR_2/QR_1
1	(Science)	.60
3	(Automation	.73
4	(Space	.63
6	(Weapons)	.61

*A detailed graphical report on the convergence of opinions, by individual questions, is given in the Appendix to this report.





The question for Panel 2 regarding future birth and death rates was also repeated, but the composition of the panel membership changed so much as to make a comparison not very meaningful. We report, for the record, that the median and quartile population curves computed on the basis of the panel's original and final responses did not differ significantly.

For Panel 5, the questions of the probability of war and of the likely causes of outbreak were raised twice. The response has already been recorded and discussed in Section 10 above. As for the questions relating to measures for reducing the probability of war, while the descriptions of these measures were repeated, the solicited modes of appraisal were too different to permit direct numerical comparison; qualitatively speaking, reasonably good convergence was generally observed.

In a number of "repeated" questions in Panels 1, 3, 4, and 6, the precise wording had been changed in an effort to eliminate ambiguities that had been brought to our attention. While we cannot point to a general pattern of success in achieving a better consensus through this device, an example may illustrate the effect which we had hoped to achieve:

The original wording of the question labelled "Social 6" addressed to the Automation panel mentioned

"Computing machines becoming the most significant intelligence on earth";

this was subsequently changed to

"Availability of a machine which comprehends standard IQ tests and scores above 150,"

and finally amended in the last questionnaire by the paren-

"...where 'comprehend' is to be interpreted behavioristically as the ability to respond to questions printed in English and possibly accompanied by diagrams." Here are the statistical characteristics of the successive sets of responses, which speak for themselves:

Questionnaire	Median	Quartile range
3.2	2050	2010 - never
3.4	1995	1985-2025

While the results reported here indicate a reasonably satisfactory convergence of opinions—as exhibited in particular in Fig. 16.1—we do not wish to make exaggerated claims in this regard. Hence we are adding these cautionary comments:

A number of questions were not pursued because of their relative unimportance in the face of an initial highly divergent response. We cannot guess whether a satisfactory process of convergence would have been observed, had we taken the trouble to continue the inquiry on these topics. Also, in a number of cases where a question was pursued through several rounds, a considerable divergence of opinions persisted. To cite just two examples, the Science and Automation panels each disagreed on predictions regarding the feasibility of direct electromechanical man-machine symbiosis (medians: 2020, 2010; quartile ranges: 1990 - never, 1985 - 2600, respectively); and in the Space panel there was a dissensus as to when (but not whether) propellent materials might be mined and manufactured on the Moon (median: 1990, quartile range: 1980 - 2020).

Whether or not the convergence observed in the Delphi procedure compares favorably in amount and rationality with that obtained by more traditional modes of consensus formation, such as a round-table discussion, is a moot question. We submit, though, that even if the effectiveness of the Delphi technique in producing a consensus is not superior to other methods, it can conceivably offer considerable advantages in cost and reliability—the former by avoiding the need for assembling the experts in one place, the latter by not subjecting them to the persuasiveness of oratory or to the bandwagon effect of prominent authority and of face—to—face confrontation with majority opinion, but merely to the milder form of anonymous social pressure exerted by the feedback of some information on the range of opinions held by the group.

17. PREDICTION PRECISION AS A FUNCTION OF TIME

The precision with which a panel as a group predicts the date of a future event, as measured by the narrowness of the quartile range, must be expected to diminish with increasing distance in the future. The scatter diagram in Fig. 17.1, which covers all items with a median date no later than 2020, not only confirms this but reveals the additional fact that the size of the quartile range on the average is about equal to the expected distance in the future. (The numerals again refer to the panel number; in the case of the Weapons panel (Panel 6), the absolute rather than the status-quo or crash-program forecasts have been used.)

We mention in passing that the position of the median within the quartile range, on the average, is about one third of its length from the lower end:

Hence, if an event has a median predicted date x years in the future then, on the average, the corresponding quartile range will span the interval from $\frac{2}{3}x$ years in the future to $\frac{5}{3}x$ years in the future (e.g., for an event with a median year of 2000, the ends of the quartile range would average approximately 1988 and 2024).

In this connection we may briefly refer to the rather special case of Panel 6, where we had asked for predictions of the availability of new weapon systems under two different assumptions—namely, that of the status quo and that of a crash program—to be followed in the final question naire by an absolute prediction.

As a preliminary observation we take cognizance, through Fig. 17.2, of the dependence of the potential speedup due to a crash program (the quantity SQ-CP, where SQ





-50-



Fig. 17.2 — Speed-up due to crash program

and CP are the median dates of availability under the two assumptions) on the predicted date under the status quo (=SW). Points above the dotted line are those for which the expected time from the present to operational readiness is expected to be cut at least in half by instituting a crash program. The figure shows that this is the case for more than half the weapon systems considered.

The next figure, 17.3, gives the quartile range of each absolute prediction of a weapon system's operational readiness as a function of the quartile range of the corresponding status-quo prediction. The prediction precision, as measured by the inverse of the quartile range, is reduced in the median (broken line in Fig. 17.3) by 30% when we go over from status-quo to absolute forecasts. This deterioration is accounted for by the additional uncertainty as to the engagement in a crash program, which the respondents had to assess in naming absolute dates.

18. PREDICTION FREQUENCY AS A FUNCTION OF TIME

The median dates for which Panels 1, (Science) 3, (Automation) 4, (Space) and 6(Weapons) predicted occurrences in their areas of concern were distributed noticeably differently for these four panels, as shown in Fig. 18.1, where the relative frequencies of predictions by 10-year intervals are displayed separately for each panel.

Panel 1, on scientific breakthroughs, is seen to have the comparatively most uniform distribution over time. The forecast dates of Panel 3, on automation, show a surprisingly smooth distribution peaked at the 1975-84 interval. Panels 4 and 6 both produced U-shaped distributions, with the notable difference that Panel 4 gave almost equal weight to both ends of the time interval, while Panel 6 concentrated heavily on the decade lying immediately ahead.

Taking the median, marked M, of the predicted median dates as an indicator of how far, on the average, each



Fig. 17.3 - Comparison of prediction precision for absolute versus status-quo dates of operational readiness of weapon systems



Fig. 18.1 — Frequency distribution of predicted median dates

-54-

panel is looking into the future, we note that this median time horizon is about 10 years for Panel 6, 20 years for both Panels 3 and 4, and 25 years for Panel 1.

While these differences are sizeable, they are not at all surprising, considering the subject matters of the panels concerned.

19. CONFIDENCE AS A FUNCTION OF PREDICTED DATE

The members of Panels 1, 3, and 4 were asked, in several questionnaires, to state not only the year by which they thought an event had a 50% probability of occurrence, but also by what year they felt 90% confident that the event would occur. In Fig. 19.1 we have plotted the medians of these 90%-confidence years against the medians of the corresponding 50%-confidence years for all events for which the latter was no later than 2000.

The graph, not unexpectedly, shows a close correlation. Denoting the distances in the future of the medians of the 50%- and 90%-years by $M_{.5}$ and $M_{.9}$ respectively, we note as a matter of curiosity that

median $(M_{.9}/M_{.5}) = 9/5 = 1.8$, with a corresponding quartile range from 1.6 to 2.0, as indicated by dotted lines in the figure.

20. CRITIQUE OF EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The procedure we have followed in this experiment is open to many criticisms. Some shortcomings we were aware of from the beginning, some became clear as we went along, others were brought to our attention through comments by our respondents, still others will undoubtedly occur to the readers of this report.

It is precisely because of our conviction of the basic soundness of our approach that we wish to devote some space to a critical discussion of our procedure. In particular,



Fig. 19.1 – 50% Confidence versus 90% Confidence
we would like to establish, for possible future reference, which deficiencies could have been corrected and thus are in principle avoidable, and which others are weaknesses inherent in the method.

(a) Instability of panel membership. The make-up of each of our six panels of respondents fluctuated considerably; some early participants dropped out others were added after the initial round. While in principle we see no objection to some changes in panel membership-in fact, scientific progress in general relies on the constantly changing collaboration of many contributors --- we have no doubt that the convergence of opinions is impeded by too many substitutions. To eliminate the latter entire would be virtually impossible in view of unforeseeable circumstances and of the many competing demands on their time to which a group of experts is bound to be subjected. One means of keeping changes in personnel within reasonable bounds for the duration of an experiment might be to have some form of contractual arrangement with the participants.

(b) <u>Time lapse</u>. Too much time elapsed between successive rounds, the average lapse having been about two months. Better advance organization plus possibly the omission of overseas respondents might have reduced this to one month per round. The excessive length of time presumably was partly responsible for some of the drop-outs mentioned under (a); it also may have caused some genuine shifts of opinion due to the mere passage of time, with its concomitant change in the state of our knowledge generally.

(c) <u>Ambiguous questions</u>. Many of the questions put to the respondents, perhaps even a majority, were worded ambiguously. To some extent we regard this as unavoidable, because precision of meaning can often be bought only at the expense of legalistic phraseology, whose cumbersomeness would be repellent to many respondents. Yet an even greater effort should be made, by being reasonably specific, to avoid the possibility that two respondents may form widely disparate interpretations of the same question. We are conscious of having violated this prescription in several instances—for example, when we asked for a specific date for the occurrence of an event that was inherently a matter of gradual development.

(d) <u>Respondents' competence</u>. The questions put to each panel ranged over a large field. With all due regard for our eminent respondents, it is not reasonable to expect that each could be equally competent with regard to all of the areas touched upon by our questions. Thus the answers by highly competent experts were somewhat diluted by lesshighly informed estimates on the part of others. This effect was even slightly enhanced by including among the responses those of volunteers from other panels who submitted answers to questionnaires not addressed to their own panel. There are several remedies for this defect. On the one hand, the members of a panel might be selected for their known expertise within a narrowly defined area, and questions be confined rigidly to the latter. On the other, the respondents might be encouraged to leave blanks in the questionnaires whenever they feel unsure of their judgment, thus leaving the matter of their qualification to their own discretion. Our own preference would be in the direction of this second alternative, with the possible further modification that the respondents answer every question, but add in each case a self-appraisal of their degree of competence in answering it. Precisely how this should be done is an open question which might be made the subject of a separate study. We merely mention that there are problems concerning scale comparability of different respondents' self-appraisals and concerning the optimal use of such self-appraisals in devising a consensus formula.

(e) <u>Self-fulfilling and self-defeating prophecies</u>. If a person of great authority and trustworthiness were to announce that the condition of the U.S. economy for the

foreseeable future is excellent, the strengthening effect on business morale might be such as to improve the state of the economy, thereby making the statement to some extent a self-fulfilling prediction. Conversely if, say, it were announced that we are about to lose our race with the Russians to the Moon, the effect might be a redoubling of our effort, thereby turning the statement into a selfnegating prediction. It has been objected by one of our panelists that some of the predictions which we solicited might be of one of these types. Leaving aside the implication-to which we emphatically do not subscribe---that the publication of the answers to some of our questions might in fact affect the future course of history with regard to the subject of the questions (e.g., by hastening or retarding a predicted event), there still remains the possibility that a respondent's answer might be biased by his expectation (whether conscious or not) that the announcement may affect the truth of the prediction's content. If this were so, then the respondent would cease to be acting as a pure predictor but would in part become a would-be manipulator of the future; in addition, so it has been said, the very act of his stating a probability for some future event would involve a logical circularity, because by stating it he would affect it. While the first possibility, of attempting to play politics as it were, must be admitted to be a real one, which may place a respondent in the position of having to choose between what he thinks is right and what he thinks is true, there seems to us to be no real evidence of a logical circularity. In other words, if a respondent wishes to make an objective forecast, he can do so without getting involved in a logical fallacy. To see that this is so, let us consider the case where the probability of the event E at some future date is to be estimated. Let e be the probability, according to the respondent's opinion, that E will occur provided no public announcement of the outcome of the questioning process is made, and let f(x) be his estimate of that

probability if an announcement is made stating that the probability has been estimated to be x. Then, if the announcement in itself were ineffectual, we would have

f(x) = e

for all x. If it were self-fulfilling or self-defeating, f(s) would be monotonically increasing or decreasing respectively, as shown in the figure below.



In either case, there will be at least one point (in the second case, exactly one point) x_0 for which

$$f(x_{0}) = x_{0},$$

so that a forecast of x_0 as the probability of E induces a probability x_0 , thus making x_0 a logically consistent estimate.^{*}

f. <u>Consensus by undue averaging</u>. The objection has been raised that the emphasis we place on the median as a descriptor of the group opinion and on the quartile range as a measure of the degree of consensus biases the outcome unduly against the far—out predictor, whose judgment may after all prove to be right while the majority opinion may be wrong. We regard this objection as not entirely un justified with respect to the present _xperiment, but as invalid as a criticism of the technique in general. It should be remembered that it is an essential feature of our method that a respondent who disagrees with the major ity is invited to state his reasons for such disagreement, and that all the members of the panel are given an

^{*}Since writing this, our attention has been drawn to the following similar but more detailed treatment of this subject: Herbert Simon, "Bandwagon and Underdog Effects and the Possibility of Election Predictions," <u>Public Opinion</u> <u>Quarterly</u>, Vol. 18, 1954, pp. 245-53.

opportunity to accept or reject such reasons and to reevaluate their opinions on the basis of whatever merits they believe these reasons deserve. Thus a far-out opinion is in principle rejected only if its proponent fails to justify it before the rest of the panel. The valid part of the objection against the overly averaging influence of our procedure appears to us to be directed at our not having sufficiently observed this principle in practice. In retrospect, it seems that we should indeed have been more insistent on eliciting explicit reasons for minority opinions, and should have provided an opportunity for explicit critique of such reasons, even at the expense of an additional round if necessary. We might thus have retained items that were rejected early, and explored them more thoroughly through further questioning; this material still forms part of the record of the experiment (see the questionnaires reprinted in the Appendix), but without our having been able to make any satisfactory disposition of it.

(g) <u>Substantive breadth</u>. The above points are all concerned with method. Substantively, although we had aimed for coverage of most of the major aspects of the world of the future, we would have done better in this respect had we also included in our survey a panel explicitly devoted to exploring the future of international relations. The War Prevention panel, of course, was concerned with perhaps the most important issue in this area, and other panels incidentally touched upon various aspects of the international scene, but it would have been greatly desirable to attempt a more systematic examination of this subject.

21. CONCLUSIONS

In trying retrospectively to assess the merits of our experiment in forecasting, we may summarize the outcome as follows:

Substantive forecasts. For many items whose occurrence is generally expected within the next few decades, the predicted time of this occurrence has been narrowed down somewhat. For others in the same category, we have found that even among experts there is little agreement as to the date, indicating perhaps that relatively greater uncertainties are involved, which preclude more precise predictions at this time. As for the more remote future, we have observed that some events are definitely expected to happen (though at an uncertain date), some are considered of dubious realizability, still others have been ruled out altogether by our respondents. None of these predictions should be endowed with excessive reliability, because of the smallness of the sample of respondents, the variability of their expertise, and the possible intervention of unforeseeable breakthroughs. Still, the number of surprises in store for us may have been reduced a little.

Warnings of potential dangers. Among the contingency forecasts implicit in the responses were indications of potential danger areas that call for preventive action (see Section 15 above). Among these are the possibilities of war, of a continuing maldistribution of food and other commodities in the face of plenty, of social upheaval due to progressive automation, and of unbridled biological applications of molecular engineering.

<u>Effect on the participants</u>. Although the filling in of our questionnaires must have had its nuisance aspects, there is evidence—or at least we like to think 30—that the questions were thought-provoking to many of our respondents, who may have found some reward for their labor through the mental stimulation to which the experiment exposed them.

Expediency of the method. Nothing that occurred in the experiment seemed to us to discredit the method in principle, and at least moderate consensus was usually obtained without excessive effort. The dependence of the outcome on certain subjective features, such as ambiguity in the wording of questions, uncertainties regarding the degree of expertise among the respondents, and the possibility of deliberate or subconscious bias in the answers (see Parts (c), (d), (e) of Section 20 above), while not totally unavoidable, is equally present—if not more so—in traditional modes of reliance on expert judgment in decision-making.

<u>Feasible improvements in method</u>. The experiment has pointed up the need for various kinds of methodological and procedural improvement. Some of these could be introduced without much difficulty. In particular, one would want to see to it that the panel membership remain reasonably stable, that the time between questionnaires be held within more acceptable limits, that questions be phrased with greater care to avoid unnecessary ambiguity, and that enough cycles be provided to allow for adequate feedback, not only of the primary reasons for opinions, but also for a critique of such reasons.

Potential improvements through further research. A more effective use of experts in a Delphi context might be achieved through further methodological research in several areas: (a) Improvements in the systematic selection of experts.* (b) Experimentation with various schemes for the respondents to give a self-appraisal of competence, either absolute or relative to that of their fellow respondents. (c) Methods of improving reliability of forecasts through suitable consensus formulas, possibly based on appropriate self-ratings. (d) Experimentation with various methods of leeding back information, in order to learn more about the sensitivity of opinion changes to both the form and the contents of such feedback. (e) Comparative analysis of social pressure and persuasive reasoning as determinants of opinion convergence. (f) Formulation of a statistical model of the question-and-answer operation of an expert panel, in which the latter would be viewed as a measuring instrument for the substantive quantities which form the subject of the

^{*}See "On the Epistemology of the Inexact Sciences," 1.c., p. 43.

questions; each respondent would here have to be represented by an error distribution, and some hypotheses would have to be stated as to the relative independence of the measurement thus obtained. (g) Development of techniques for the formulation of sequential questions that would probe more systematically into the underlying reasons for the respondents' opinions, in a deliberate effort to construct a theoretical foundation for the phenomena under inquiry.

This concludes our report. The appendix contains reprints of the essential portions of the questionnaires, a breakdown of the roster of respondents, a set of graphs exhibiting the amount of convergence observed in the case of repeated questions, and a small collection of comments, criticisms, and other opinions expressed by our respondents which we thought particularly worthy of quotation.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

There exists a large volume of literature concerned with serious forecasts of future trends. The following represents a small selection of publications, which the authors of this report found particularly useful and thought—provoking and which may be of interest to the reader.

- Army Research Office, Long-Range Technological Forecast (1963)
- Baade, Fritz, The Race to the Year 2000, Doubleday (1962).

Brown, Harrison, James Bonner, and John Weir, The Next Hundred Years, Viking Press (1958).

- Clarke, Arthur C., Profiles of the Future, Harper and Row (1960).
- Gabor, Dennis, Inventing the Future, Secker and Warburg, London (1963).
- Galton, Lawrence, "Science Stands at Awsome Thresholds," <u>New York Times Magazine</u> (Dec. 2, 1962).
- Coushev, Sergei (Editor), Russian Science in the 21st Century, McGraw-Hill (1950).

Institute of Radio Engineers, Symposium on Communications and Electronics - 2012 A.D., with contributions by Lloyd V. Berkner, Henri Busignies, J. H. Dellinger, Peter Goldmark, W. D. Lewis, G. A. Morton, Ernst Weber, Harold Wheeler, and Harold A. Zahl; I.R.E. (May 1962).

- Landsberg, Hans H., Leonard L. Fischman, and Joseph L. Fisher, <u>Resources of the Future</u>, Johns Hopkins Press
- Lundberg, Ferdinand, The Coming World Transformation, Doubleday (1963).

Neumann, John von, "Can We Survive Technology?," Fortune (June 1955).

- New York Times Magazine, Symposium on <u>The Future</u>, with contributions by H. S. Commager, H. L. Dryden, J. B. S. Haldane, Margaret Mead, Clarence Randall, and Arnold Toynbee (April 19, 1964).
- Scientific American, Symposium on <u>Technology and Economic Development</u>, with contributions (among others) by Asa Briggs, Kingsley Davis, J. W. Feiss, Frederick Harbison, Wassily Leontief, E. S. Mason, Roger Revelle, S. H. Schurr, and N. S. Scrimshaw (September 1963).

ţ

APPENDIX

to the REPORT ON A LONG RANGE FORECASTING STUDY

> Bernice Brown T. J. Gordon Olaf Helmer

September 1964

SUMMARY

This appendix consists of four items:

A1-6.	A reprint of the questionnaires used in
	the long-range forecasting study 1
Β.	A tabulation of respondents by profession,
	by panel membership, and by RAND affiliation. 35
C.	A selection of quotations from comments by
	respondents
D.	A graphical representation of opinion con-
	vergence in the case of repeated questions 41

PART A1

QUESTIONNAIRES ADDRESSED TO PANEL 1 ON SCIENTIFIC BREAKTHROUGHS

.

LONG-RANGE FORECASTING STUDY

Questionnaire 1

1.1. SCIENTIFIC BREAKTNROUGHS

One of the mejor problems of conducting a predictive study which poses its questions on the besis of extrapolations of current technology is the almost unavoidable exclusion of discontinuous state-of-the-art advances.

In this current study a period of 50 years is being considered. It is possible that inventions and discoveries not yet visualised could have a major impact on our society during this interval. It is easy to observe that the pace of scientific and technological innovation has been steadily increasing and that the time between origination and application has been decreasing. Therefore we believe that many generations of inventions can find application during the period under study.

find application during the period under study. Some insight even into discontinuous state-of-the-art advances might perhaps be gained by examining the world's need for such advances, in view of the old truism that necessity is the mother of invention. Therefore, you are asked to list below major inventions and scientific breakthroughs in areas of special concern to you which you regard as both urgently needed and feasible within the next 50 years:

Do you know of the existence of any information, in the form of tabulations or analyses, that might be particularly valuable in reaching projections of the kind requested?

Questionmaire 2

1.2 SCIENTIFIC BREAKTHROUGHS

- a. Listed below in Table 1.2s are most of the scientific breakthroughs suggested by the respondents as potentially possible during the next 50 years. Please indicate your judgment of the probability of implementation during each period. Note that the numbers inserted by you in each row should add up to 100. (In the case of items involving gradual development such as synthetic food production or automated education, "implementation" should be interpreted as referring to the time from which the effect on our society will no longer be negligible.)
- b. Considering the breakthroughs suggested in Table 1.26 are there other potential breakthroughs which you would care to add? When do you believe they will occur? Please make your additions in Table 1.25.

Table 1.2a

SUBMARY OF OPINIONS ON SCLENTIFIC BREAKTHROUGHS

		Probability of Implementation During Period								
Bt	blogical	1963-65	65-68	68-72	12-78	78-96	86-91	E102-16	Later Not at	
1.	Chemical control over heredity - molecular biology	+ +	+	+	1	+	-	+	+	
2.	Biochemical general	11	1	1	1	1	1	1	+	
3.	Blochemicals to stimulate growth of new organs and limbs	11		1	1	1	1	1	+	
4.	Availatic generation of protein for fund	T	1	1	1	1	1	1	+	
5.	Cial contraceptive	1 1	1	+	- †	+	-+	+	+	
6.	Other means of fertility control			-	-+	+	-+	+	+	
7.	New organs through transplanting			1			1	1	1	
8.	Use of telepathy and ESP in communications				1	1	1	1	1	
9.	Understanding of the physiology of mind-brain behavior		1	1	1		1	+	1	
10.	Chemical control of the aging process, permitting extension of life span by 50 years		1	1	1	T	1	T	1	
Γί.	Cancer cure	1 1	- +	- +	+	- +	-	+	+ +	
)2.	Man-machine symbiosis, permit- ting man to extend his intelligence directly through the use of computing machines						1			
13.	Creation of artificial life	++	-+	+	+	-+-	-	+	+ +	

		ŝ		~	er)	5		513		
	TABLE 1.24	183	65-64	1-89	2-2	8-82	86-9	97-20	la Le	t à
Boc	lological									
1.	Communication with animals	1 1				-	-		1.00	+
¢ .	areading of intelligent animals	1								1
	grade labor									
3.	Education by automation	+ +			1			•		+
۰.	Education by other means, such									1
	on the brain			_						
5.	Education or conditioning in	1		-				• •		+
	social behavior to reduce the									
5.	Automatic language translators	-	-		-	-	-			÷
7.	Efficient idea-coding to convey								a	÷
	precise information independent		-			_				
3.	Popular use of personality conteni		-	.]	Ļ			į,		1
	drugs								ĺ	
).	Long-duration come to permit a		1	+	1	1	t	t	1	
).	Solution to the number of distant		+	+	+					· Constant al.
	bution of goods computer identifi-			1						
	cation of points of need		_							
••	computing machines becoming the						1		-	- riding era
_	intelligence on earth									
	Discovery of life on Mars		+							
	Communication with extra-				I	I				
				+	+		+			
Set.										
	Reformation of physical theory.	+	+	-+	+-	-	+	-	\rightarrow	w10702-144
	eliminating confusion in quantum-									
	relativity and simplifying					1				
	Experimentation with anti-matter		+	-	+		-+	-+	+	
•	Control of gravity	t			·····	*	+	+	t	*******
*	Controlled thermo-nuclear power	1			1		1	1	1	
•	tation of elements			I						
	Pocused electromagnetic radiation	+	+	. +	+	+	+	+	+	
	for power transmission									
•	satellite			Î		ĺ				
	Efficient electric storage device		1	+	-	-		+		
-	Limited weather control	ļ	1	-	1			1		
. 1	iniaturization of electronica	+	-+	+	+-			+		
	arried to the molecular level								1	
	utomated highways	-	-+-		ļ	1	+	1	+	
	alverse		1							
•	milistic transport - 2 hours to	1	+	1					+	
	hywhere on earth		+							
	ing accurate earthquake prediction									
. 1	avelopment of new synthetic ma-	-	+	+	-			+		
	Friais for ultra-light construction	-							_	er skunsterer
1	providing electricity # 3-4 milia/									
i	w-hr 5-10 year refueling period									
. (olar energy which for of					1	1	-	-†	
	where the organic chemistry									
1	anufacturing processes									
0	peration of a central data storage			1	-	-		-	1	
	eneral or specialized information									
	etrieval									
		1			T	T	T			
od.	and Rev Materials	_								-
•	rields by a factor of ten					Í		T	T	
•	Economically useful deselination	- +				+	-			
	of see vater									
1	sconumical vorting of low-grade		T	T	T	I				
		-								

SCIENTIFIC INSU	METHINO VIENES					Table 1.3	(sent Inued)
the list of pater	stial actentific	and technological br	esthroughs submatted to you		Desription	Cana and us 105-yes	ar 905-941	Reason
not seem importar already emerged ow, therefore, ar timed to date but opinions on thei	nt enough to when (are Part (a) be re pateritial have t which are imposi- t ecourteries dif	whi further examinat (low) Those resubmin (through an which m riant enough to just) (for so widely.	Then the there even the statistic sector is a set of sensing a statistic sector is the set of sensing the set of the set	7	Creation of a 1 primitive form 1 of artificial 1 life	le estateraid, hut a majority optimien that it vill esters, herage net	. Mar and Markenman and Andrews	Wy befare 1995 or after 2013 (or never)?
The following sum	mary represents	a consensus of a lar	e majority of respondences			uthin 25 years	-	
Iten	T	Description	Predicted time of proverses	18-	Peasibility of I	le canèndus	n (eff)	thy before 1987 or nevert
HIGIGHIGHI Y	prosthesis	NUMBER OF STREET			tion recenting			
Secie:mgion1.6 Physical 16	Automatic lar Derelapment a rials for u	gunge transistors if new synthetic mate iltra-light construct	ien		on the brin			
Physical 10 Physical 19	Reliable west Operation of lity with a or specialize	her forecasts a contral storage fa fide access for gener M information retrie	ol- at within 5 but within 35 years	3	Substantial re- duction in the likelihood of			thy Sefere 1979 er after 2013 (er never)?
Sectological 9	Long-duration of time tre	comp to permit a fu-	The mithin 20 years		estion or other conditioning in			
Nological 3	Blechemicale	to atimulate growth	of Not within 25 years	-	eecial behavior		-	
Socialogical 2	new organs Breeding of	and links ntelligent animals	11 ++++	10	Widespread sectally accepted use of	Divergent opin- ions, pessibly		why before 1987 er after 2013 (or never)?
Biological S	(apes, ceta grade labor day of telera	the and ESP in some	Not within 35 years		birty control drugs producing opecific machinetes:	the to differ- ing interpre- tations of the prising]		
	cation Computing mad significant on Zarth	hines becoming the m source of intellige	na- Bet within 50 years at 17 ever nee	11	Predictions Referention of physical theory, alignitude com-	Gunstian Consensus that it will secur; dias research		thy before 1973 or after 20137
o you, by and la	rge, agree with	the opinion represent	1.3 p.	3	<pre>Fullering con- Fuller is quantum- relativity and simplifying particle theory</pre>			
nd briefly state	your reason for	your differing opin	leular item, please indicate which, Loni	12	Control of	Majority opin-		Why before 2013
t has been even	***** ***** *				gravity through some form of modification of the gravitational field	ion that it will probably never occur, and at any mate not within 50		Dr never?
perstional method	de ef scientific aid others at th	investigation. The end of the table	following table lists three stamples	1.	Cantenilad	years		When have an LONG
f occurrence and	of the desirabl	lity of each item: Table 1.3c	othe on your spinion or the promobility	4.7	thermo-muclesr pawer	it will eccur: disagreement		er after 2013
(Bros	akt hrough	ecours 10	bility of Bunefit to society ing within if occurring					
reform of preser	nt modes of scien	atific	CALINE REAL REAL POLICE INC.	L.,				
ated information	information retrieval systems		14 Commercially efficient manu-	No contensus, poseibly due		thy before 1998		
contentation of a	terdisciplinery	iology coperation		facture of arbi- trary chemical		to differing		
idespread use of entation in the s	simulation for opening and setting and set	speri-			elemente from sub-stante bullding Slocas	ef eriginal question		
				15	Limited weather	Consensus that	anna an an ann ann ann ann ann	Why before 1979
The following tal on which thus fai previously submit further explorati Questionnaire 2. and in certain es	ble gives a tist r no batisfactor tted to the pane low, plus a few o You are being n bees to state bro	of potential scienti conductus has been cand judged to be au- ifforthoughts suggest hand to reestimate t "afly your principal	fis and technological breathroughs obtained. It seemists meetly of items fficiently important to deserve ed by panel members in response to he time of occurrence of each item resear for this option.		control, in the sense of being able to exert a substantial effect on regional weather at acceptable cont	it will decury disagreement as to when		<u>or</u> after 2013*
elektronisten ist entropper	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2002e 1.3:		16	Boonomically useful exploits-	Consensus that it will scourg	1	thy before 1973 or after 20131
potential potential preakthrough	Consensus or dissencus to date	In your opinion, by what year does the probability of ensurence reach that ; cost	If your 505 optimate falls within either the earlier or the later SWFIGS indicated, briefly state your reason for this option		tion of sea water	disagreement as to when		#
eibility of	Consensus that		thy before 1007	17	Remoni sally	No consensus		Why before 1987
mitelisentro/ Friedeltary Peste th/ough istular engl- Fing	disagreement so to when		er after 2015*		useful exploits tion of the ocean bottom through forming and mining			or after 2015 (or never)?
inmunization	Consensus that		Why before 1987 ar after 2013?	18	the of drugs to	Newly proposed		Wry before 1987
inst becteria: viral diseases	disagreewent as to when		at erser cory.		raise Cornge Intelligence lovel	1tem		er after 2013 (or never)?
eibility of	Consensus that it will ecour:		Why before 1979 or after 2013*	19	Direct ours for	Newly proposed		The before 1007
LOBE GREEN	disagreement as to when				serious mental llinees	item		er after 2013 (or never)?
tion of syn- tic protein food				20	Stimulated	Heuly proposed	Constant of the state of the second	thy before 1987
tion of syn- tic protein food	Majerity	1	thy before 1969		AMTARIAN (11om	1	or after 2013 (or never)?
rolal gro- tic protein food y control by 1 control by	Majerity opinion that it will be feasible within 25 years		Why before 1969 or after 19861		region of the opportune			
tion of sym- tic protein food wotive forti- y control by l contro- tive or other pic and in- ensive means mis or means and control the aging pro- c, permitting ension of life n by 50 years	Majerity opinion that it will be reactble within 25 years within 25 years this ensue (though not within 10 years), dis- agreement as		Why before 1969 or after 19661 Why before 1979 or after 20131	21	In a and come ray region of the oppetrum Implanted arti- ficial organs and of plastis and distrumic components	Newly proposed item		thy before 1987 or after 2013 (or never)?

4	ы			ŧ	1		n	15		1	P		
---	---	--	--	---	---	--	---	----	--	---	---	--	--

1.4 SCIENTIFIC IN KARTHROMINE

This is the last quastionnairy in our present inquiry into opinions on scientific break-

He fore giving you an account of what Further consensus seems to have energed in the pre-soling round and putling some further substantive questions before you, we would lise to as your preference as to evaluate on of a report on this study should mention your participation as a respondent. Order no circumstances will any direct questions be

6: Check here if you he no objection to having your name mentioned as that of a respondent in this current study:

4

D. Table 1.4b on the next page lists a number of potential developments in the enganisational and operational methods of scientific investigation. Of these, the first three are repeats from Questionnairs 5, the athers are additional items proposed by the respondents for consideration.

Thus far, there is no slear-cut consensus regarding either the probability of orcurrence or the desirability of the first three items. All are considered matter likely and, on the average, of mederate benefit. Over the next 25 years, Item 3 is considered slightly more likely than Item 2, and Item 2 slightly more likely than Item 1 as for their potential benefits, the order is reversed; Item 1 is thought to be alightly more beneficial than Item 2, and Item 2 slightly more beneficial than Item 5.

In concluding the consideration of these potential developments in the organizational and operational methods of scientific investigation, we would like you to rank the eight items in Table 1.65 from 1 to 8 in two respects: The probable degree of their realization within the next 25 years, and the desirability of their realization

•	Potential direlopmenta In the organizational ant operational methoda of scientific investigation	Pank* by expected degree of realisation within 25 years	Asnk* by destrubility in terms of expected bene- fit to ascisty
1	Reform of present modes of actentific communication through the use of automated incommation retrieval systems		
2	Reprintation of scientific methodology toward greater interdisciplingry cooperation		•
5	sitespread use of simulation for experimentation in the social sciences		1
	Increased emphasis on basic research in covernment-supported $R \rightarrow D$		
5	Vitespread use in scientific research of more intelligent machines, with computers on the level of "colleagues" rather than of "servants"	an na hannan o hanna kana na mandan di kana na kana kana kana kana kana kana	
1	Synthetic stimulation of individual inventiveness (by chemi- al investion, cerebral manipulation, external rewards, etc.)		a a second
	Refermation of elumptional processes toward an increased intertisciplinary understanding of science		
	Shift in approach to blow-d.cal problems from predeminant experimentation to more th envical ground	-	Real management of the second s

Use Rank 1 for highes' degree and greatest desirability, Rank 6 for lowest degree and least insirability.

Of the imenty-two specific potential breatiumumus put before the panel in the previous questionnaire, items '(on retaine the probability of war throws education) an 22 (on grounb-effect machines) are being incoment former further consideration (because of inherent valueness of the opinions) 22 because of comparative unimportance in the face of a considerati i therenese of

On eleven other items a sufficient consensus has been established to warrant our bringing their consideration to a close, - where you wish to take explicit exception to any one of them. They are listed below (Table 1.4.) tone-ther with the majority opinion on the expected time of their occurrence.

-	escription of hr aithrough	Majority opinion	-
34	Economically useful exploitation of sea water	Already addimplianes in certain areas (magnesium, tealinatios); considerable further process likely within 10 years	
1	Peasibility of effective large- scale fertility control by oral or other simple and inexpensive means	Durine the 19 MD is	
0	Stimulate1 emission ("lasers") in the K and Gamma rey regions of the spectrum	About 20 to 25 years from now *	
1	Implantei artificial organs made of plastic ant electronic com- roments	Plastic tubes, heart values, electronic heart papers already in use; whole organs within 20 to 25 years	
1	Reformation of physical theory, eliminating confusion in quantum- relativity and eimplifying particle theory	Probably gradual development, 1 y about 2000	
7	Controlled thermo-mutlear power	Pering the period from 1980 to 2000	
2	Hischewissi general immunisation against besterial and viral dis- mases	Estensive, though not necessarily universal, immunisation during the period from about 20 to 40 years from now	
5	Chemical control of the acing pro- cess, permitting an estension of the average life span by 50 years	During the period from 1940 to 2050	

Table 1 to continue1

Two-ription of breakthrough	Tority opinion a
A Peacibility of man-machine sym- binets, enabling man to estend his intelligence by direct electrow- meriamical interaction between his brain and a computing machine	During the period free links to 20%0
17 "ontrol of gravity through some form of modification of the gravi- tational field.	Not for 100 years, if ever
A Presibility of education by direct information reconfine to the brain	Not for 200 years, if ever

e. Finase marm with a most in the last nulues of the above table any items regarding which you firs / yourself in substantial disagreement with the stated majority opinion. For each such ase, stars, relow the nature of your disagreement and, very briefly, the reason for your liftening opinion.

There are now nine residual if may requiring further examination. They are listed in Table 1.41 below. The table also includes, as [temp 25 to 25, three items about which a tentative conservus has already been announced in Table 1.5a of the previous questionnaire, their consideration is being resumed here because in each case several respondents explicitly volved lisagreement with the opinion stated.

I Please meconation to be option attend following table. The potential scientific and technological breakthroughs listed in the following table. They are the remaining items on which thus far no satisfactory consensus has been obtained. In some lakes, the fearingtion has been remorded in an effort to elicinate vacuncess which hav have been partly responsible for the apparent discrepancy of optimions.

In siving us once more your estimates of the years when the probability of occurrence resches 50° and 30° respectively, please take such reformulations into account, as well as the merits of any statements of minority opinions:

	potential breakthrough	He fority ion-	el Minority opinion	hor year	PO.5
	Presibility (not neces- sarily acceptance) of chemical control over some hereditary defects by modification of genes through molecular engineering	8y 2000	will take longer or occur never, be- cause it would necessitate inter- wantion during embryonic development, when the fortus is insimusatible, then a would require prior development of techniques of gestation is siteo		+
	Roomonic feasibility of commercial generation of synthetic protein for food	Hy I'r A	" If in-vitro semenation of proteins with all essential amino acits is intended, then such perfect control of polymer synthesis and arm from chemistry are required that more than 150 years are needed.		,
	form of artificial life (at least in the form of self-replicating molecules)	Not for 16 Years but by 2000			•
10	despread and socially widely accepted use of nonnarrotic druces (other than alcohol) for the performe of producing specific changes in per- sonality characteristics	av 2000	<pre>#111 take 50 years or more, because research on maychopharmaceut[cals has harely begun, and negative moria] reaction will neuse felays</pre>		
114	Frontomic feasibility of commercial manufacture of many chemical elements from subatomic building blocks	Not within 5 b.t within 'S / Era	Never, implie terminal featitit, because ofter methods will be a saper, auch as processing of low-proje natural sources or reprocessing from		T
15	Presibility of limiter weather control, in to Bende of substantially affecting reviews) weather at screptable out	4 Ultriin Dr. Vears		• •	٠
1	Recomminall useful exploi- taiton of the schart trought, farming, with the eff of projects at least for an the word in facts.	Not within 50 r.ers, ir exer		† •	
1.	' onominally appril ex- plotation of the or a bottom through minim (other than off-en one oil uniling)	$\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ & 1 \neq *, \leq p_1 = \cdots \neq j \\ & a \in [0,p^{-1}] \end{array}$	Not within 50 years, because costs will remain too high	۹ »	
19	Peasibility of using drama to raise the level of intelligence (other than as disting supplements and not in the sense of Just temporarily rately the level of apperception)	¹ Not พระกฎก มี1 30 เคร∞ร่างยุก 1405 γ####9	Never, because the use of molice lar engineering to maine the lawtil come first also, the effect of impsi- is limiter because they among affect of the basis symmittle connectivity of the brain		
19	Increase by an order of Machitude in the relative number of prychotic cases menable to prych all or shemical therapy	within 30 years	Nore than 50 years, because an enfile actence of biopsychistry must be built	• •	
23	Leng-duration come to permit a form of time travel (former "Sociala- tical O")	Not within 25 years but eventually	Never, because organisms are too felicate to be kept in long coma, also because the demant is question- able	+	
24	Pro-way communication with strm-terrestrials (former "Socialization: 13")	Not within 25 years but eventually	Never, because the elapsed time between measure and response would be unmanageably lang		
25	Nochemicals to stimulate rowth of new organs and limbs (former "Biologi-	Not within 25 years and persibly never	Definitely eventually, at least for artificial resecration of limbs	+	

PART A2

QUESTIONNAIRES ADDRESSED TO PANEL 2 ON POPULATION CONTROL

LONG-RANGE PORECASTING STUDY

Questionneire 1

2.1. POPULATION CONTROL

(a) It is well recogni. 4 that the present trend is world population growth cannot long continue. What is not clearly understood, though, is the mechanism by which this so-called population explosion will be slowed down. In an effort to shed more light on this problem, we are asking you to give us your opinion about world birth and death rate changes by completing the following table:

		Tear
- Marrie and Annual Parameter and Annual Parameters	1963 19	75 2000 2050
World population (10)	3.1	
Birth rate (per 1000)	36	
Death rate (per 1000)	19	
Life expectancy at age 1	about 55	

(b) If the present growth rate were to continue unchecked, the world population by 2050 might well be between $10 \times 10^{\circ}$ and 0.13 \times 10^{\circ}. If your estimate given above for the year 2050 falls outside this interval, what are your principal reasons for this opinion?

(c) In your opinion, what constructive steps might be taken to slow down the population explosion enough to result in world population figures substantially below those given by you for the years 2000 and 2050?

Do you know of the existence of any information, in the form of tabulations or analyses, that might be particularly valuable in reaching projections of the kind requested?

Questionstre 2 2.2 POPULATION CONTROL

a. Table 2.2a summarises the pane)'s opinions on the reasons why the world population of the year 2050 may be less than 7 billion or more than 13 billion people. Even though your estimate may have been between these limits, please indicate your opinion of the probable relative importance of each item by checking one box under "Effective-ness" and one box under "Probability."

Table 2.2m

REASONS FOR EXTREME OPTHIONS IN POPULATION ESTIMATES

	1	ffectiv imiting f occur	Ting	Probability of occurrence		
Reasons for low population :	nil	minor	noderate	bi ab	DEVER	marker las - t - t
1. Rapid increase in use and effectiveness of birth control mass of birth	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					-or certain
2. Increased economic prosperity						
 Progress in velfare and education in under- developed nations 					Annual Constant	
. Legislation penalizing Parge families						
Attrition due to war	+					
Attrition due to disease	1					

		1	ffectiv xpandin f occur	eness in g populat ring	Probability of occurrence				
Per	mesons for high population:		ainor moderate high			never	mybel center		
1.	Medical advances, resulting in lower death rates	and an and a							
2.	Insufficient general ac- ceptance of birth control	P Lindt Galderada	- Poloren militari olgo e e e Const			district a subject to a subje			
3.	Advances in agriculture		· · · · · · · · · · · ·	· manageragers		· · · · · · · · ·			
4.	Increased financial ability to support children			and a second sec		No strategica			
5.	Development of centralised world government providing efficient distribution of food, shelter and services, thus permitting the world to accommodate large population								

2.2 POPULATION CONTINUL (continued)

b. Table 2.2b summarises the panel's opinions about means of limiting population. Please review and evaluate these, again by checking one box under "Effectiveness" and one box under "Probability."

Table 2.2b

CONSTRUCTIVE STEPS FOR SLOWING POPULATION EXPLOSION

		11 11	fective Laiting	pop-latio	0	to	occurs	Li ty Mace
1.	Aid governments of depressed countries in increasing level of material culture	144 0	argor	alders ve	high	UR VOT	mayte	certain
2.	Continued publicity	_	-					
3.	Develop effective and cheaper physiological methods of fertility control		-					
τ.	Bring social opinion to approval of contraception			-				······································
5.	Change moral views of religious bodies			+	-			• •••••
6.	Conclude International							
	agreement on population control measures					1	**************************************	
7 ·	Paise Level of education							and particular the same state of the
0.	or land	1		1				

It is also significant to note that the panel on scientific breakthroughs tentatively predicted achievements which could materially affect the gross numbers of people. For example:

Development of oral contraceptives Control of aging process Rise in agricultural yields by a factor of 10 Limited weather control Beconsmically useful desailantion of sea water Exploitation of the ocean bottom through farming and mining Synthetic generation of protein for food Bolution to problem of distribution of goods; i.e., hunger control Cheap power and distribution systems Economic working of low grade ore

c. In light of your appraisal of these predictions and the reasons given in Tables 2.2m and 2.2b, please revise your previous estimates:

		1963	1975	2000	2050
World	Population	n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n		*****	
Birth	No. Le	a na Santan an Andrews and Andrews and Andrews			-
Death	Partie	a with the second	er annebens enner i Freering a	and sufficient over subscription	1.797/10.0000/00.000000000000000000000000000
Life	Epectancy				Value

d. Opinions have been advanced that the population explosion should not be slowed down, since technology can be expanded to provide for all people in the next one hundred years. Since the first questionnaire was phrased to assess means of population control rather than means of dealing with the inevitable population explosion, please state your opinion on the following:

If world population continues to grow at the same increasing rate, what is the probability that technology will be able to provide at least subsistance food and shelter for all people for the next 100 years? (Check one.)

Over 905: 60 to 905: 40 to 605: 10 to hof: Under 105:

Questionnaire 5	
2 3 20 PHLATION CONTROL	questionnaire 4
while straight-forward extrapolation of the world pepulation trend would lead to a total population figure for the year 2050 of between 10 and 15 billion, our panel seems to agree that the figure will be substantially owner compared broken our panel seems to agree	2 * FORMATION CONTROL This is the last questionnairs in our present inquiry into epinions on population trends and mobulation control
around & billion. In this phase of our inquiry, we would be to go to billion, with a median into the matter of the mechanism by which this lowering of the trend might eccur. The non-lating time he would be a set of the trend might eccur.	Before giving you a summary of the result of the preceding round and putting some further substantive questions before you, we would like to ask your preference as to winther or not a report on this study should mention your martisingtions as a prevented. Moreover, the
continue to fail off stessily but that birth rates will decline even faster	stances will any direct quotations be aftributed to you. a. Check here if you have no ablection to begin many and
From pursel previously estimated that the annual 4eath rate would drop by the year 2050 from the present 19 per thousand to a figure between 10 and 17 per thousand, with a median of 15, we would like you to resting the thousand the form.	mentioned as that of a respectent in this current study:
had been implicit also in your earlier attrate that in the masumption (which presumably Restingte of death rate per thousand in the year 2050:	regarding the absolute size of the future write position. Tet a read deal of light has been shed on the various contingencies determinant of the population size and on the rela- tive magnitude of the latter as a function of ouch contingencies.
b. If this estimate is less than 14, what relative weights do you five to the following factors to whethe to be following factors to	The divergence of opinions on the absolute size of the future world population is due to the obvious fact that the latter depends very sensitively on the rate of growth, i.e. the dif- ference between birth and death rates, and even since differences in estimates regarding
account for such a low estimate [dis- tribute 100 percentage points over the 5 bases below]:	Not surprisingly, the three principal factors affecting birth and death rates have emerged as being
A Medical udvances	 (1) the degree of acceptance of birth control measures, (11) the reits of further medical progress, and (111) advances in the production and distribution of food
B Alvances in agricultural 0. Insufficient advances in fooi production agricultural or synthetic	Of these, the first seems to be the least predictable, while the rate of acceptance of birth control measures is affected by appropriate education and the availability of simple
C. Advances in synthetic food N. Insufficient advances in production	and inexpensive instrumentalities", it is basically a function of the mapidity with which the culture perceives the value of a reduced birth rate and with which it reacts to this recognition by conferring social acceptance to the practice of birth control. This rate of recognition and consequent acceptance to the practice of birth control. This rate
D Advances in Foot dis- tribution	each case does not lend itself eacily to prognetication. An analysis, collation, and "purification" (in the sense of eliminating internal incomplet-
E-Other (specify:) J. Other (specify:)	encies of the responses reveal several distinguishable opinions, of which the following are typical:
100 100	inexpensive means of fertility control. A. Birth rate:
c. Present daily world food consumption, measured very crudely in terms of caloric intake, amounts to approximately 7.5 trillier, calories.	The birth rate will decline, the amount of the decline depending primerily on public accept- ance of birth control measures. Typical estimates are represented by the two curves below
To sustain the world population at this present caleric intake rate and at levels indicated by your previous estimates would require the daily amounts of caleries (in trillions) given	
in the table below." In the last now of this "able, please give your estimate (in trillion calories) of potentia)	Birth Aste
worin room production for mach year indicated:	
Year 1963 1975 2000 2050	
Tour previous estimate	
Calerie regul rement	1963 1975 2000 2050
world food production potential	B. Death mate:
	line estimates in the figure below; bath assume that there is enough food for all. These who believe that feed production and distribution with the figure below.
	predict a consequent eventual rise in the death rate as shown in the dotted curve. (In all cases, and throughout this study, it is assumed that no cataciyasic war will take place)
'If no previous population estimates had been supplied by you, the second and third rows have been left blank; you may, if you wish, insert such estimates new.	the second s
	Death
· 10 ¹²	
1. If your estimates given in the last row of the preseding fable different behavior to	
the calorie requirement figures in the row above, please indicate your principal reason for this:	
	2000 .2050
The panel previously estimated that the annual birth rate would decline by the year 2050 from the present % men thousand is a formula birth rate would decline by the year 2050	C. Population expansion: Various combinations of birth states (b)
of 20. We would like you to restinate this figure, again on the assumption that no therma- nuclear war will take place:	very different population growth curves. Of the six possible combinations, the following four in fact summarias the following
Restimate of birth mate per thousand in the year 2050:	the fact of memory sector respondents. Opinions;
f. If this estimate is	World Popu-
you give to the following factors to you give to the following factors to	
tribute 100 percentage points over the tribute 100 percentage points over the	a the second sec
A Availability of inexpensive D. Unavailability of sufficiently	
B Greater mublic southeast of	
birth control, due to of birth control, due to	
synthesis in the synthesis is a synthesis is a synthesis in the synthesis in the synthesis is a synthesis in the synthesis in t	2
n, propaganda for sirth families for larger	
Re a cessation of religious Recontinuing religious prohibitions	we note, in particular, that the hypothesis of a world nomulation in 2050 at any fit total
B. economic necessity Increasing economic affiliance	routs so the result of two different mains of assumptions, namely, ether a rapid drop in the birth rate coupled with a slight decline in the death rate, or a nore understa drop in the
Re- governmental dorrion	b. In soncluding the present inquiry, we are asking you to reconsider the news and
B ₁ other (spectry) E _A , other (spectry)	nigr, or low birth and death rates and to give us your (peesibly revised) estimate of pepulation trends from new until 2050. This time we are asking you to do this to a numeric
C= Other (specify:)	the preceding one. (Or draw any two of the three durwes, and we will approximately nom-
100 100	4. Do you wish to add any comments' in particular, is there anything further you wish to

c. Do you wish to add any comments' In particular, is there anything further you wish to say in support of your view as represented by the curves drawn in response to Part b?

-10-

-11-

PART A3

QUESTIONNAIRES ADDRESSED TO PANEL 3 ON AUTOMATION



LONG-RANGE PORECASTING STUDY

Questionaire 1

3.1. AUTOMATION

There has been much discussion in recent years about the impact of industrial automation on the world's economy. Similar discussion has centered on the application of automatic devices in fields such as medicine, communication, education, data handling, etc.

Would you please compose a list, giving your opinion as to the major developments in the field of automation to be expected over the next 50 years, in approximate order of occurrence, with estimated dates:

Do you know of the existence of any information, in the form of tabulations or analyses, that might be particularly valuable in reaching projections of the kind requested?

Questionnaire 2

3.2 AUTOMATION

- a. Listed below in Table 3.2a are most of the developments in automation suggested by the respondents as being potentially possible in the next 50 years. Please indicate your judgment of probability of implementation during each period shown. Note that the numbers inserted by you in each row should add up to 100. In the case of items involving gradual development (such as automation of farm equipment), "implementation" should be interpreted as referring to the time from which the effect on our society will no longer be negligible.
- b. Considering the developments suggested in Table 3.2a, are there other items which you would care to add? When do you believe they will occur? Please make your additions in Table 3.2b.
- c. One panelist suggested that unemployment would entail a revolution against automation. Clearly some industries which have recently automated have increased productivity while decreasing personnel. Do you believe that revolutionary social upheevals are likely to result from our current drive toward automation?

Yes

d. If yes, what means might be employed to benefit from automation while minimizing the impact of the unemployment thereby produced?

e. If no, what factors do you believe will mitigate against technological unemployment?

Table 3.2a

DEVELOPHENTS IN AUTOMATION

		1	Prob	1	ity o Durin	of In Ag th	ples p Pe	riod	tia	n	
Man	ufacture, Business and Comperce	1963-65	65-68	58-72	12-78	8-8 6	16-91	ET02-11	Ater	Dt at	
1.	Rise by an order of magnitude	++					-		914 - 10010		
	in automated process control										
2.	Automated rapid transit	+ +									
3.	Automation of office work and	+ +				+		+			-
	services leading to displacement of 25% of the current work force										
4.	High-speed facaimile handling of mail	+ -+		····· +		+			-	-	
5.	Air traffic control - positive and predictive track on all aircraft	+ +					+		_		-
6.	Construction on a production line of computers with motiva- tion by "education" (possibly with individual differences										
7.	Automated highways and adaptive automobile automilate	1-1	Martin Constant								
8.	Widespread use of computers in tax collection, with access to all business records - automatic single tax deductions		•	-							
9.	Robot services - refuse collec- tion, household slaves, sever inspectors, etc.									e distan	
.0.	Widespread use of automatic decision-making at management level for industrial and national planning.										-

TABLE 3.24		3	m			T		5	
		8	*	2-1	원	8	16-	R,	3.
Bann Convenience (continued)		14	3	3	14	F	8	5	38
Automatic Largunge trainintor - crude model								*	*
2. Automatic insumage translator -	•		-	-		•	•	+	+
Correct grammer									
and reproducing topy							*	*	t.
4. Widespread use of simple	*	•		• •		+	+	÷	+
5. Sophisticated teaching machines	+	+			+	*	+		
with next question based on								r	
4. Jysis of last response	,								
television				1				Ī	
7. Education becoming a respectable	1			1	-	t	- 1	+	
and a second						100 1			
8. Automated looking up of legal	1	-					-		
9. Development of computer system		+	+	*					
which make most legal decisi as							1		
. Automated interpretation of medical symptoms	1		+	+	+		.	÷	
1. Automated medical diagnostic	+	+	+	41	+		÷	+	
clinic	+	+		-					
and monitoring	1							*	
3. Pinancial transactions by credit	+ -	+	4	+	+	+	6 · · · · · · · ·		
4. Direct link from storms to bash	+ -	-		+	÷				
to check credit and to record	1							1	
transactions	1			_		1		1	
individual									
6. Phonene typewriter	1	1			ŧ	•	6 -mai ma		
polling of voters						1	in a nation pr		Antes Assessed
8. Governmental record centralizatio	p	+ •				·			
county and state units									
9. Personal "pocket size" high-speed	1					******			
Computers	1.								
magazines, printed in home									
	1			Street Land					
ciel								÷	
. Social explosion due to unen-	1						+		
- Anti-automation legislation	1		+			+			
. Centralised vire tapping	+ +								
(Possibly on a rendom basis)	\downarrow	-					1		
sucrantees certain economic		1							
minime to the world population									
from automation									
. Universal Language from automated	• •			-+		-+			
· Computing machines becoming the	+ +								
most significant intelligence on									Ĭ
			_						
			1						
n-Machine									
using electrical brain pick-offa							T		
for command signals									
functions, based on the study of					T		-	1	
computers									
Table	3.20	-	-			4		+	
OTHER FOTENTIAL DEVELO	PHEN	TS I	di au	TOMA	TION				
				Γ					1
	1.000		1.44	1	line.	1	-	1.2.2	E
	2	3	2	R	8	5	8	2	
	1963-6	65-68	68-72	12-78	8	16-9	2-14	ater	

the lifer of mini-	tal densioners		attain a state			Description of	Gonsensus	In most contains	16
are now proposing ortant mough to reged (see Part (s) refore. are potent	to drop a large arrant further below) Those Ial breakthroug	fraction from for manination On resultation On resultation on	orther consider others consider others come for pow for Items I tisfectory com	ntitted in at an at a second s	to you previously to do not seen toneus has siready art (c) below.	evelopment	er dissensus to date	by what year does the probability of sccurrence reach 50g 90g	fit your 50 estimate fails with either the samiler of the ister period indicated briefly sist your reason for this upinion
The following tom	lifer so widely	a consensus of a	iarge mjocit	y of reap	to why opinions	I Increase by a factor of 10 in repitel investments	Lan that it will occur during the		May before 1969 or efter 19 st
li.m.	1	Table 3 3a				for sutomated process control	Sevent Les		
Renut/But/Com	S Air traffic	control positiv	and predicts		ted the of occurrence	7 Automotion of	Balarity anto		
Nanul / Bus / Gom	Videspread w eith scena is the single Videspread w at unsages national pla	to all business ta defautions of automatic d timel for indu	n tax collecti records auto lecision and ing strial and	-		office work and services leading to displacement of 250 of current work force	ton that it will occur during the Seventies		Why before 1973 or after 1978?
Conv 1 Conv 1 Conv 1 Conv 14	Automatic list "", Jodar Lag "Compress un Automated int Direct link f credit and	copy to of simple toor to of simple toor to stores to be from stores to be record transaction	bing machines oficel symptom nks to check	. [ichin 25 years	3 Videopread use of robot services. for refuse col- lection. as mouse hold sizes, as sever inspectors.	Contentus that It will occur. disagreement as to when		Why before 1979 or after 1997?
Renul / Bas / Com	Gonatrattan	on a production	the at comments			etc			
Bases Comv ?	Automated Los	tion by "education	information	:	t within 5 but ithin 35 years	* Widespread use of sophisticated teaching mechines	Rone		Why before 1973 or never?
Renul / But / Com /	autopilots	ways and adapt to	ve sutomobile	:	at eventually				the second second
Name C 20	printed at 1	the newspapers a	nd magazines.	:	ut eventuelly	5 Development of	Consensus that	+ +	Why before 1987
to you, by and in on the preceding a and briefly stars	Pour reason for	the opinion reprisegree with any rour differing	perticular its	please	tabulation given indicate which.	which make most legal decisions	will not occur within 15 years		or after 2011 (or never)?
Fait to sumarise	the opinions of	the panel south	ra as follows	Almost a	Il agreed that the	A Automated within	-		
taken either preve	mtively or at 1	jority opinion in east therepeutics	dicated that i	uttable co	tial uphoavals numter - unasures. Fre social disrup-	by computed polling of voters			May before 1979 nr after 2013 (or never)?
In this seasons on		10.000 0000 000							
In this present phone in	to doing you	try. we would list	in mind the fo	the relation	tive merits of trimmtal effects				L
In this present photos in of under remotion in (1) the (1) the (11) the (11) the (11) the (11) the init in the initial of the open of the initial of the initial open of the initial of the initial open of the initial of the open of the initial open of the initial open of the initial open of the initial open of the initial open of the initial open of the initial ope	the of our inquite the second of the second	try, we would lik may wish to keep last seek to over itomal productivy ide a livelihood resulting from in that there dort main in your mind the eck one box sech	in mind the for the mind the for the internet for the unempt the their offects in the offects it in your spin under "Effects	oved individual	ttive merits of trimmmial effects vidual: weighed equally: arves Desirability."	7 CentralLaed (possibly random) wire tapping	Rone		Why before 1979 Of never?
In this present of our remains the of unexployment of (11) the (11) the (11) the Nore is no intend by all means give In the following t and "Probability"	ate of our inque to doing, you lish these remud reduction in na failure to prov demoralization ed implication each the weight able, please ch	try, we would lik may vish to heep last teak to over tional productive ide a livelihood rebuiling from the that there deris in your mind the eck one how each Table 3 Jo	to you to assess in mind the for come ity; for the unempi Mortivity montal effects at in your spin under "Effects	oved india	stive morits of strimmtal effects ridual; weighed equally; arves Desirability";	7 Centralised (possibly random) wire tapping 8 International Afreements which	Rene Nejority Johno ther		Why before 1978 Dr never? Mhy before 1998
In this present on our remains the of unexployment of (11) the (11) the (11) the There is no intend by all means give In the following t and "Probability" Construct	and of our inque to doing, you reduction in an failur o to prov demonitantion and langitation such the weight able, please ch the Steps for i Effort iveness mag failt for	try, we would lik may wish to keep like took to over tional productive resulting from to in your mind the ork took dorn a in your mind the ork took box each Table 3.36 Brdue ing Unemploy in robus ing for robus ing land	to you to assess in mind the for compo- try; for the unampi metivity mental stretct to in your opin under "Effecti under "Effecti under desuiting Overall des of proposed	the relation of the relation o	tive morits of trimmtal effects whighed equally: erves Desirability". Proceedings Proceedings	 7 Centralised (possibly random) wire tapping. 8 International Agreements which guarantes certain commic aisima to the world's population as a result of high pro- duction from and 	Nejority opinion that it sill occur, but not within 13 years		May before 1978 Dr never? May before 1998 or efter 2013 (or never)?
In this present an outh remulayment of (1) the (1) the (1) the (1) the (1) the (1) the (1) the former is no intend by all means give in the following t and "Probability" Genetruc spotod measure (1) Lation shorton-	and of our inque an doing, pou in their remain reduction in no failure to prove failure to prove failure to prove failure to prove abie, plaase ch the Steps for 1 Effectiveness neg nil p	try, we would it may wish to keep the tesk to aver tional productive resulting from to in your mind the ork that there doer a in your mind the ork tone bes sech Table 3. 20 bodie ing themploy in rocketing in rocketing	to pour to assess in mind the for come: Try: for the unempine ment offects under "Effects under "Effects under desulting Oversil des of pryposed neg neut	Trom Auto	Alive merits of Arimmtal effects Adumal: weighed equally: erves Beetrability". Beetwo Probability of implementation all paybe fortain	 Centralized (possibly random) wire tapping International agreements which guarantee certain economic misima to the world's population as a creation from wit- mation Notice of a set 	Nojority opinion that it will occur. but not within 15 years		Why before 1979 <u>Df</u> never? Why before 1998 or after 2013 (or never)?
In this present ph arch roundies In of unemployment wh {ii} the (iii) the (iii) the (iii) the iii) been a just and "Probability" Construct spoted measure is lation shorten- the work weak 205	and of our inque no doing, pou in their remain reduction in an failure to prov demonstration and implication able, please ch tive Staps for 1 Effect impacts impacts	try, we would like may wish to beep lise tesk to over tional productive resulting from in that there derive in grow wind the ork one bes such Table 3.3b brdscing Unemploy in roducing ment since moi bligh	to pou to assess in mind the for come: liv; for the unempine mutal offering under "Effects under "Effects under "Effects of prypesed neg neut	the relation of the relation o	Ative merits of Atrimuntal effects Adumal: weighed equally: arves Bestrability". Bestrability of implementation nil paybe pertain	 Contrailsed (possibly random) wire tapping International agreements which guarantes certain to the world's population as which aresult of high pro- duction from who- mation Boolution of sum universal language from sutomated communication 	No jority opinion that it will occur. but not within 15 years Na jority opinion that it will not recur for 25 years. If over		Why before 1979 Of never? Why before 1998 or after 2013 (or never)? Why before 1979 Of never?
In this present an outh remained in the (1) the (11) the (11) the (11) the (11) the Pore is no intend by all means give In the following t and "Probability" Construct spotod measure Is lation shorton- the work west 200 Is lation shorton- the work west 200 Is lation inverting Test of comput-	and of our inque no doing, pou in their remain reduction in no failure to prov demorsailantion each the weight able, please ch tive Steps for I Effect iveness mag mil i	try, we would like may vish to beep lise tesh to over tional productive resulting from to in your mind the eck one bes such Table 3.36 broke ing thempion in robus ing in robus ing in robus ing in robus ing	to you to assess in mind the for come try; for the unampine that is for the unampine that is your opin under "Effection under "Effection of proposed neg neut	the relation of the relation o	ntive morite of strimmtal effects stdual; weighed equally; afves Beeirability". Provability of impissingtion nil paybe pertain	 Centralised (possibly random) wire tapping. International Agreements which guarantes certain economic mixima to the world's population as a result of high pro- duction from sub- mation of a universal language from subcamated communication 	None Nejority opinion that it sill occur, but not within 15 years Majority opinion that it will not recur for 25 years, if ever		Why before 1978 or never? Why before 1998 or after 2013 (or never)? Why before 1979 or never?
In this present pa such romatics the (11) the (11) the (11) the (11) the There is no intend by all means give In the following t and "Probability" Construct spoted measure glaintion shorten- the work week 200 10 filment age 10 filment age 10 filment age 10 pater of comput- y pater of comput- y pater black	and do ing. you the theory remains reduction in me failure to prov demoral Lastien and langitation such the weight able. please ch the stops for i Effort iveness magning neg nit	try, we would it may wish to keep lise tesk to over tional productive resulting from to in your mind the each one how each Table 3.36 Brdue ing Unemploy in robus ing ment almort mot high	to you to assess in mind the for come: Toy the unampi Mattivity muntal affects It in your opin under "Effecti under "Effecti mont Besuiting Overail des of proposed neg neut	the rule liowing do oyed indiv should be ion it ded venses", " from Auto insbility mid high	Ative motits of Atrimutal effects Adumal: weighed equally: arrest Desirability? Proceeding Attan Attan Attan Attan Attan Attan Attan Attan	 7 Centralized (possibly random) wire tapping. 8 International afreements which guarantee certain commic mixima to the world's population as a result of high pro- duction from wix- mation 9 Doublation of a wriversal language from subcomated commencetion 10 Availability of a machine which 	Rene Rejority opinion that it will occur, but not within 15 years Majority opinion that it will not necur for 25 years, if ever Commensus, with regard to		Why before 1979 <u>Df</u> never? Why before 1998 or after 2013 (or never)? Why before 1979 or never? Why before 1987 or never?
In this present pa such romovies in (1) the (11) the (11) the (11) the (11) the (11) the (11) the init pace of the part of the	die of our inqu ao doing, you reduction transf reduction in no failure to prov demoralization each the weight able, please ch live Steps for I Effectiveness mag all	try, we would it may wish to keep lise tesk to aver tional productive resulting from to in your mind the ork the bes sech Table 3. 20 brown ing themploy in roducing themploy in roducing themploy	A pou to assess in mind the for come: Lty; for the unampi Mattivity muntal effects at in your opin under "Effects under "Effects of proposed neg nout	the relation of the relation o	Ative merits of Atimmtal effects Adual: weighed equally: erves Beetrability? Beetrability of implementation nil paybe fortain	 Centralized (possibly random) wire tapping International direments which guarantee certain commic misima to the world's population as a result of high pro- duction from sub- mation Buolistion of a universal language from subcasted communication Availability of a machine which compenends standard 10 teached 10 teached 10 	Reme Rejority opinion that it will occur, but not within 15 years Majority opinion that it will not recur for 25 years, if ever Contensus, with regard to a differently wirded ques. tion. that it will not occur within 5 years		Why before 1979 <u>Df</u> never? Why before 1998 of after 2013 (or never)? Why before 1979 of never? Why before 1987 or never?
In this present pa such roundies In of unemployment wh {ii) the (iii) the (iii) the (iii) the (iii) the construct probability" Construct spoted undaure laistion shorten- the work weak 205 laistion lowering retirement age 3 years years of compul- mol of undation laistim protect- Bustian protect- Bustian protect- Bustian protect- Bustian protect- Bustian for a po- set a	and of our inque no doing, pou in their remaind reduction in no failure to prov demorsalization each the weight able, please ch it for the weight able, please ch it for the meight able, please ch it for the meight able, please ch it for the meight able, please ch it for the meight is a state of the it for the meight is a state of the meight is a state of the meight is a state of the it for the meight is a state of the meight is a state	try, we would it may vish to beep lise took to over tional productive resulting from to in your mind the ock one box such <u>Table 3.36</u> broke ing themploy in robus ing in robus ing in robus ing in the box such <u>Table 3.36</u> broke ing in the box such <u>Table 3.36</u> broke ing in the box such <u>to robus ing</u> <u>to robus ing</u> <u>to robus ing</u>	A pou to assess in mind the for come: Lty; for the unempine mutal offering under "Effects under "Effects of prypered neg neut	the relation of the relation o	Ative merits of Atrimuntal effects Adumal: weighed equally: arves Bestrability". Bestrability of implementation nil paybe pertain	 Contralised (possibly random) wire tapping International agreements which guarantes certain to the world's population as which are it of high pro- mation from which to the world's population as which to a the second which and access from submathd communication Availability of a machine which competenda standard 10 tests and scores above 150 Imm satehine spa- tion 	Rome Rejority opinion that it will occur, but not within 13 years Majority opinion that it will not neur for 25 years, if ever Commensus, with regard to a th frently worded ques. tion, that it will not occur within 25 years Divergent opin-		Why before 1979 Of never? Why before 1998 or after 2013 (or never)? Why before 1979 Of never? Why before 1987 Of never?
In this present pa Just round ise in of unemployment of (11) the (11) the (11) the There is no intend by all unema give In the failowing t and "Probability" Construct speced unesure isistion shorten- the work owek 205 retirement Age retirement Age retir	and of our inque no doing, pou in their remed reduction in no failure to prov demoralization each the weight able, please ch the steps for I Effect iveness ment in neg nit	try, we would like may wish to keep like took to over tional productive resulting from to in your mind the ork tone how each Table 3.30 brdue ing thromploy in robus to the bill bill in your mind the brdue ing thromploy in robus to the bill bill in your mind the brdue ing thromploy in robus to	Ar you to assess in mind the for come: Toy the unampi Mattivity mintal affects at in your spin under "Effecti Mant Brewitting Overall das of pryposed mag nowt	the relation of the relation o	Ative merits of Atrimutal effects Adumi: weighed equally: arves Desirability". Promobility of implementation nil paybe pertain	 Centralized (possibly random) wire tapping. International afreements which guarantes certain commic mixima to the world's population as a result of high pro- duction from sub- mitien. Brolution of a universal language from subcompted commencetion Availability of a machine which comprehends staderd 10 tests and scores above 150 Imm machine sym- biose ented high min to estend high inte ligance by 	Rene Rejority opinion that it will occur, but not within 15 years Majority opinion that it will not neur for 25 years, if ever Consensus, with regard to a differently worded ques. tion, that is with occur within 25 years Divergent opin- ions due to differ- met in co-		Why before 1979 <u>Dr</u> never? Why before 1998 or after 2013 (or never)? Why before 1979 or never? Why before 1987 or never? Why before 1987 <u>or never?</u>
In this present pa Just round is the (11) the (11) the (11) the There is no intend by all means give In the following t and "Probability" Construct spoted measure the ist ion shorten- the mork week 205 Islation shorten- the mork week 205 Islation shorten- the mork week 205 Islation shorten- the mork week 205 Islation invering Islation inverting Islation inverting Islation protect- betweeking of pro- to weeking of pro- to an internal ion out we at ion out we at ion inling program retion for better we the outprotect Islation for better	and of our inque an doing, pou in their remot reduction in no failure to prov demoralization each the weight able, please ch the steps for i fiftert iveness mage in neg nit	try, we would it may wish to keep lise tesh to aver tional productive resulting from the in your mind the each one how each Table 3.36 brdue ing Unemploy in robus to ment almor mor high	Ar you to assess in mind the for come: Toy the unampi Mattivity mintal affects at in your opin under "Effecti under "Effecti Coverall das of proposed neg nout	the rule lioving de oyed indix should be ion it ded venses", " from Auto indixing material bigs bi	Ative motits of Atrimutal effects Adual; weighed equally; erves Desirability". Probability of implementation all paybe pertain	 Centralized (possibly random) wire tapping. International afromments which guarantee certain commic mixim to the world's population as a result of high pro- duction from wut- mation Doublation of a universal language from sutomated communication Availability of a machine which comprohends atomic mich ing man to estend his inte ligence by direct electro- machine inter- action brown a 	Reme Rejority opinion that it sill occur, but not within 15 years Majority opinion that it will not becur tor 25 years. If ever Consensus, with regard to a differently ourded ques. Flom, that it will not occur within 25 years Bivergent opin- tions of the original question		Why before 1979 <u>Dr</u> never? Why before 1998 or after 2013 (or never)? Why before 1979 or never? Why before 1987 <u>Or</u> never? Why before 1987 <u>Or</u> never?
In this present parts of unemployment where is not intend to the (11) the (11) the (11) the (11) the (11) the following the order of the order or the order order or the order order or the order or	Alter of our inque an doing, pou in the basis remaind reduction in no failure to prov demoral Lantion ach the usight able, please ch three Steps for 1 Effect irenese mag nit	try, we would it may vish to keep lise tesk to aver tional productive resulting from the in your mind the eck tone box sech Table 2.36 brdueing Unemploy in robusing in robusing	Ar you to assess in mind the for come: Ity; for the unampi Mattivity muntal effects at in your opin under "Effects of proposed neg nout (the relation of the relation o	Alive merits of Arimmtal effects Adual: wrighed equally: error Desirability of implementation nil paybe pertain	 Centralized (possibly random) wire tapping International directory of the second guarante which guarante certain to the world's population as a creation from with during the second from to disperse from automated communication Novition of a universal language from automated communication Availability of a machine which communication Availability of a machine which communication Ten automated randow 130 Ten automated bio intelligence by direct electory direct electory direct electory direct electory Ten automated bio direct electory Telectronic pros- 	Reme Rese Rejority opinion that it will occur, but not within 15 years Majority opinion that it will not recur for 25 years, if ever Contently with regard to a th regard to with regard to with regard to with regard to with regard to with regard to with regard to but recur for 25 years, if ever Divergent opin- tons the for differ- met interpre- tations of the original question		Why before 1979 <u>Df</u> never? Why before 1998 or after 2013 (or never)? Why before 1979 or never? Why before 1987 <u>OF</u> never? Why before 1987 <u>OF</u> never?
In this present pa and roundies in and roundies in (1) the (1) the (1) the (1) the (1) the (1) the (1) the I have in number construct construc	and of our inque no doing, pou in their remed reduction in no failure to prov demoralization each the weight able, please ch live Steps for I Effect iveness neg mil	try, we would it may wish to keep lise tesh to aver tional productive in productive in prove mind the erk there doer a that there doer a bries of the box sech Table 3. 26 bries ing themploy in roducing themploy in roducing the sector of the box sech bries of the b	Ar you to assess in mind the for come: for the unempine main of force at in your opin under "Effects of pryposed neg nout	the relation of the relation o	Alive merits of Arimmtal effects Adual: wrighed equally: erves Beetrability of implementation nil paybe pertain	 Contralized (gotsibly random) wire tapping International agreements which guarantes certain to the world's population and result of high pro- duction from sub- mation Boolution of sub- mition of sub- mition from sub- mition Availability of a machine which communication Availability of a machine which comprehends standard 10 tests and scores above 130 Man machine sym- blosis, emabling int to extend his intelligence by direct electron- mechanical inter- action browen his brain and a commenta field. Electronic pro- thesis (radar for the blind. servemechanical limbe. etc) 	Rome Rejority opinion that it will occur, but not within 13 years Majority opinion that it will not necur for 25 years, if ever Consensus, with regard to a differently worded ques. tion, that it within 25 years Divergent opin- fue differ- ent interpre- tations of the original question		Why before 1979 Of never? Why before 1998 or after 2013 (or never)? Why before 1979 Of never? Why before 1987 Of never? Why before 1987 of never? Why before 1987 of never?
In this present an Just remains of an employment which (11) the (11) the (11) the (11) the There is no intend by all means give In the following t and "Probability" Construct spoted measure station shorten- the work week 200 14 lation shorten- the work week 200 14 lation shorten- the mork week 200 14 lation shorten- the mork week 200 14 lation shorten- the station for some a unemployed be to ationation realing of poi- to unexployed be to ationation cation for better some cation for better the sid to under- loger against the sid to under- the sid to under the sid to under the sid to under the sid to unde	and of our inque an doing, pou in their remot reduction in no failure to prov demoralization each the weight able, please ch the steps for i fiftert iveness magning neg nit	try, we would it it may wish to keep the took to aver the took to aver the second seco	Ar you to assess in mind the for come: Tay if for the unamping Martivity month of the the the month of the unamping under "Effection under "Effection of proposed neg neuting	the relation of the relation o	Ative merits of Atrimutal effects Adual; weighed equally; erver Desirability of implementation all paybe pertain	 7 Centralized (possibly random) wire tapping. 8 International agreements which guarantee certain commic mixium to the world's population as a result of high pro- duction from with book of the second commence of a mixernal language from automated commence to the commence of a mixernal language from automated commence to the commence of a mixer of a mixer of a blow is an about blow is an about blow is pro- mication from blow is pro- mication to extend his inter i igence by direct electro- mechanical inter- action between his brain and a computing machine is from the blow of the blow	None Nejority opinion that it vill occur, but not within 13 years Nejority opinion that it will not necur for 25 years, if ever Consensus, with regard to a differently within 25 years Elon. that it within 3 years Divergent opin- ions of the original prestions of the original prestions		Why before 1979 Of never? Why before 1998 or after 2013 (or never)? Why before 1979 or never? Why before 1987 or never? Why before 1987 or never? Why before 1987 or never?
In this present pa ards roundies in ard numployment wh (11) the (11) the (11) the (11) the There is no intend by all means give in the following t and "Probability" Genetrue: "poted massure is is for subscript "poted massure is is for subscript "poted massure is is for north weak 205 is is for north weak 205 is is for a protect - humphoid and relations protect - humphoid and station for better nort of submation relaining of pro- t wenthous of the satisfies of pro- t wenthous of the satisfies of pro- t wenthous of the satisfies of t	Any suggest	try, we would it it may vish to keep the took to aver the took to aver the second seco	Ar you to assess in mind the for come: for the unampi Mattivity mutal offects at in your opin under "Effects of proposed neg nout	the relation of the relation o	Alive merits of Arimmtal effects Adual; weighed equally; erves Beetrability of hegiometation ali paybe jortain ali paybe jortain	 Centralized (possibly random) wire tapping International afreements which guarantee certain to the world's population as a result of high pro- duction from sub- mation Builtion of a universal language from subcasted communication Availability of a machine which communication Ban machine by direct electro- mechanical inter- action between his brain and a computing machine Electronic pros- thesis (radar for the blind. Brivosechanical Jimbs. etc J Salf-resproducing machines (ca a munifacturing technique) 	Rome Rejority opinion that it will occur, but not within 15 years Majority opinion that it will not recur for 25 years, if ever Consensus, with regard to a differmely worded ques. Elow, that it will not occur within 25 years Elow, that it within 25 years Elvergent opin- ton differ- ent interpre- tations of the original question Rev item		Why before 1979 <u>BT</u> never? Why before 1998 or after 2013 (or never)? Why before 1979 Or never? Why before 1987 Or never? Why before 1987 or never? Why before 1987 or after 2013 (or never)? Why before 1998 or after 2013 (or never)?
In this present pa and roundies in af unemployment wh {ii) the (iii) the (iii) the (iii) the There is no intend by all means give in the following t the definitioning t and "Probability" Construct spoted measure laistion shorten- the work weak 205 laistion shorten- the work weak 205 laistion protect- Numpleyed be- te of sutmetion -out weations -out weations -out weations -out weations the of soutmet of server time enjoy- live aid to under- long regions -out weations the of soutmetion -out weations the of soutmetion -out weations the of souther of souther the of souther the of souther the of souther the of souther the of souther the of souther of souther the of sout	Any suggest	tons ?	Ar you to assess in mind the for come: for the unempine main driver opin under "Effects of proposed neg neut	the relation of the relation o	which thus far mo	 Contralized (gossibly random) wire tapping International agreements which guarantes certain to the world's population and result of high pro- duction from sub- mation Boolition of sub- mition of sub- mition of sub- mition of sub- mition automated communication Availability of a machine which comprehends standard 10 tests and scores above 130 Man suchine spe- blosis, emabling un to estend his intelligence by direct electron- mechanical inter- action browen his brain and a communication Electronic pro- thesis (radar to bind, est) isbis, etc.) Self-reproducing mechines (as a manufacturing technique) To irphones 	No. or it on the state of the s		Why before 1979 Of never? Why before 1998 or after 2013 (or never)? Why before 1979 Of never? Why before 1987 Of never? Why before 1987 of never? Why before 1987 of never? Why before 1987 of after 2013 (or never)?

1

Guestionstre .

5.4 AUTOHIN TION

This is the last questionnairs in our present inquiry into opinions on the future of auto-

before giving you a summary of the result of the preceding round and putting some further substantive questions before you, we would like to sax your preference as to whether or not a report on this study should mention your participation as a respondent. Under no circum-stances will any direct questions be attributed to you.

b. Check here if you have us objection to having your name mentioned as that of a respondent in this current study:

We begin our report on the outcome of Questionnairs 5 with a summary of the responses to Question 36 on constructive steps for reducing unemployment resulting from sutomation.

It has turned out that the averages of the estimates of effectiveness, desirability, and probability for the ten proposed measures are highly correlated. This has enabled us to effective, desirable, and probable, while those at the top of the list are considered undesirable, and improbable, while those at the bottom are considered ineffective,

10 Greation of new types of employeet 5 Retraining of persons unemployed through automation 6 Ali-out verational training programs 7 Education for between leisure time enjoyment 9 Manature aid to undervieweloped regions (including parts of US)	mod/high mod min/mod min/mod	high mod/high mod/high	684 894 701
7 ywo years of compulsory most-high school education 1 Legislation shortening the work week by 206 8 Massive with-type programs 1 Legislation low-ring the neith-week as by 5 years 4 Legislation protecting household and service jobs 7 me sutomation	whi sect str/mot str/mot str/mot str/mot str/mot	mod/high mod neut/mod neut neut heut	654 524 678 536 218

Suggestions for the creation of new types of employment (Item 10) inc. fed the following:

une for the creation of new types of employment (item 10) inc. 'ed the relieving) Arts and labor-intensive cafts (gardening, decenating, etc. Companiums for older people Channing the social-status image of service personnel (maids, gardeners, stc.) Channing stitutes regarding civic besity; teenty billion dollars could be spent on massive park and read landscaping development and on getting utilities underground Organized leisure time, development of skills for personal enjoyment

of the fourteen specific potential developments in automation put before the panel in the previous questionnaire. Items 5 (computers for legsi decisions) and 15 (self-reproducing markines) are being dropped from further consideration because of their relative unimpor-tance in the face of a considerable divergence of opinions.

On nine other items a sufficient consensus had been established to warrant our bringing their consideration to a close, - unless you wish to take explicit exception to any one of them. Thuy are listed on the nest page (Table 5.5b), together with the majority epinion on the expected time of their occurrence.

b) Please mark with a cross in the last olumn of Table 3.36 (on the next page) any trens regarding which you find yourself in substantial its greement with the stated majority opinion. Por each such case, state below the nature of your disagreement and, very briefly, the reason for your differing opinion:

Thble 5.46

Items on which at least a moderate consensus seems to have been obtained

۴.	Description of development	Maintly atlata
1	Increase by a factor of 10 in capital investment in computers used for suto- mated process control	within 5 to 10 y arm
5	Automation of office work and services, leading to displacement of 25% of the current work force	Within 7 to 17 mars
1	eldespread use of robot services, for refuse collection, as household slaves, as sever inspectors, etc.	within 15 to 30 veams
	Widespread use of sophisticatod teach-	Within 10 to 15 years
7	Centralized wire tapping	Naver
Q	Evolution of a universal language from automated communication	Peasibility within 25 years, actual develop- ment never, because automated communication makes a universal incomes incommunication
11	Man-machine symbiosis, enabling man to extend his intelligence by direct electro-machanical interaction between his brain and a computing machine	Not in this Jentury, if ever
12	Electronic prosthesis (rudar for the blind, servomechanical limbs, etc.)	In redimentary form available new; widespread
14	TV telephones	within 10 to 20 years

There are now three residual items from our former list of potential developments requiring further examination (namely items 6, 8, and 10). They are listed in Table 3.4c below. The table site includes, as items 15 and 16, two items about which a tentative consensus half already been announced in Table 3.5 as for provide quantum dates the previous quantum are to the optimism of the date of a set of assessment respondents explicitly vulced diagreement with the optimism stand.

e. Please reconsider the patential developments in sutomation listed in the following table. They are the remaining items on which thus far no satisfantory consensus has been obtained. In some cases, the description has been removied in an effort to elisinate vagueness (which may have been partly responsible for the apparent discrepancy of opinions). In giving us once more your estimates of the years when the probability of occurrence reaches 50% and 40% respectively, please take such reformulations into account, as well as the morits of any statements of minerity epinions:

Table 1 to

•	potential development	Majority con-	Minerity epinion	506-year	905-year
6	Automated voting, in the sense of legislating through sutemated plebta- cite	No the year 2000 (with same doubt, however, whether the respondents interpreted this item as now re- formulated)	The network requires to reach up to 100 million voters is so large that this may, for that reason, not occur until after 2000		
8	International accommons which guarantee certain versions minima to the world's population as a result of high production from sufomation	Not in this contury, if ever			1
2	Availability of a machine which comprehends standard 13 tests and scores above 150 (where "comprehend" is to be interpreted behavior- istically as the ability to respond to questions printed in Brailah and possibly accompanied by ilagrams)	Not for at least 20 years, but eventually	within 20 years, since such pro- bless as generalised pattern recognition will have been solved before then		For Manufacture State State State State
5	Videopread use of com- puters in tax collection, with occess to all busi- hear records - automatic single tax defunctions (former "Manufacture/Busi- ness/Commerce" 8)	within 25 years	Not within 25 years, because of legal difficulties and political conservation		482 + 1749 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 -
5	Automated Interpretation of modical symptoms (for- mer "Human Convenience 10")	within 25 years	Not within 25 years, because some symptoms do not lend them- selves to quantification and because the adaptability of becteria and viruses necessi- tates centinual revision of the tind of judgment on which subs- mated diagnosis would have to be based.		

say in support of your views as expressed in your entries in the foregoing tablet

1

PART A4

QUESTIONNAIRES ADDRESSED TO PANEL 4 ON SPACE PROGRESS

LORG-RANGE PORECARTING STUDY

Questionstire 1

4.1. SPACE PROGRESS

In the past several years, predictions about future progress in space have originated from many sources. For instance, the fational Aeronautics and Space Administration has published a time table projecting planetary vorgage; a RAFD report to the U.S. House of Representatives (Space Handbook, edited by R V Buchheim, fandom Bouse, 1959) has predicted a space time table; the present Administration has forecast an American on the Mose by 1970.

Would you please list the major events and developments in space which, in your opinion, may be expected over the next 50 years, in approximate order of occurrence, with estimated dates:

Do you know of the existence of any information, in the form of tabulations or analyses, that might be particularly valuable in reaching projections of the kind requested?

Questionneire 2

4 2 SPACE PROCRESS

- Table 4.a contains a summary of space developments suggested by the panel in the first round of questions. In Table 5.2a please check the interval during which you believe attainment will be most likely Bots that the final columns ask for your judgment of the effect of certain changes in the political climate on the date of space accomplishments
- b Considering the items suggested in Table 5.26, are there other items you would care to add? Please list these in Table 5.26 indicating the date you believe these will be stained under the same assumptions.
- c. Do you believe that efforts to explore space are justified in terms of expected significant scientific or technological by-products?

Tee____ No

If yes, what specific potential benefits do you foresee?

Table 4 pm

SPACE PROUPERS

	5	. 8	1	P		-	1		ala	Interve Occur	il of Tence if
	5	15	18	4	1	1	2	-	10	18-50	US Budge
FARTH CHBIT OPERATIONS	A	10	12	16	6	13	8	1	1	Cooperate	Sharply
1 S.U. orbital rendezvous	1	17	17	17	th	th	10.	+**	124	In Appen	Reduced
2 U.S. orbital rendesvous	17	17	t	17	te	t_{T}	$t_{\rm C}$	te	t_{ij}		+
- Freche of setronaute	T		\square	\square	t	17	17	t > t	17		+
A Establishment of slobal	-+-+	4 4	4 I	67	1	1	1	1	11	1	
satellite communication		[]	1	(!		[]	67		1		a second se
system				1	1				11		1
5. Manned scientific orbital	1 +	1.1	r +	/= +	+	ŧ - +	+ +	+	1 4		
station - 10 men	-11	1.1	1		Ĺ	\Box	[]]	()	L J		1
D Increased use of near-earth		1		1	()	1	1 1	1 1	11	f	•
prediction and control		(]	(]	1	(_)	11	()	1	1	() () () () () () () () () ()	
7 Manned orbital sanitarium	++	+	-+		1 1		- 1	1	4		í
	+ +	(+	1		1 +	+ +	r †	+ +	+		¢
THE OF NAMED TATE OF THE GOLD GUILD		I.	1		1						
1. Manufacturing of promellants	-++	-+	+	-+	. +	i i	4		4		1
and rev materials on Muon						(I)	1	1			
2. Permanent lunar colony	++	+		+	+		- +		-	-	Contraction manual littlements
(500 men indefinitely)	11				1		1				
3 Righ-cost commercial	11	1	1	1	1	+	+	- +	+		
lunar traffic		+	4	4	-		4	1			
traffic to Moon				T	1	1	1	1		Meridan en la companya en la company	FARLES FRAME AND ADDRESS
- Economically competitive	-+-+-			+	+	+	+	-+	-		and a start of the
use of lunar materials on					1	T	1	1			An orașe a real de la companya de la
terth	-	1		1	1						1
 Regularly scheduled 	T	T	T	T	1	+	+	+	t	+	
compercial traffic to					1		1				//
Permanent same ach	++	-+-	-	4	4	+	1	1	I	1	1
stations on near planets		1					1	1	T		
. Competition for planetary	-+-+	+	ŧ	+	4	+	+	+	+		
raw materials	TL	1			1			1			1
Extra-terrestrial farsing	11	t	Ť.	+	t	+	+	+	ł		
Deepspace isborstories	TT	1	1	+-	1	1	1	+	+	+	
and observatories	11	1	1	1	1	4.	1				- I
Coler and a launching base	TI	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	1	2000	A
Astenoid minior	++	+	+-	+	+	+	-	+	+		
	11	1	1	1	1	1	4	1.	1		
											100 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10
NNOVATIONS IN SPACE											
Development of reusable	11	1-	-	-	· T	-	- +	+	-		
booster launch vehicle	11	Į				1	1				1
Operational readiness of	11	1	1	+	+	+	+-	+	+		
laser for space communications	11	1	1			į	1		1		-
Meaningrul international	11	T	1	+	1	1	1	t	t	+	
legal agreement on	11				1					-	
funar-based lasar boom	+ +	4	+	+	1	4	1	1	1	1.	
for use in amore vehicle		1				1	I		T		DO DO DO DO DO DE O
wranielon		1		1	ł				1		

		-							Interval of
	14	1	3	6	a p	6-9	1	3	UR-80 UR B
5. Bolid-core mariner	1		12	10	61		13		La Space Redu
5. Gas core suclass	1	4	1					1	
resctor propulation							1		
7 First human born entre-terrestrially	1	*	* 1		1	4 4	+	1	+ +
8 Rediction immediation	+	+	•		• •	•	•	-	+ +
9 Tonic propulation	+	+	4 .	. ,	, ,	- i	+	+	
(macloss-generator									
10. Mostat for equilibrium	+	+ -	. ;	•			+	+	
of plants and life - sarbon dioxide and ovvens			4						
anufactured on planets									
12 Long-duration come to	+	* *	+	*	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	*	+	1 +
permit a form of time travel						1			
anti-gravity					1		ľ	1	
in Development of reusable maneuverable orbiting		• •	*	*	+	+	+	+	1
apececraft			1						
ligent decisionmaking			7	1	*	4	1	1	1
robots for exploration of hostile environments									
16 Commercial global	+ +			÷	6	+	+	+	-
Dellistic transport 17. Space hydrogen ras ist	٠ ،	÷	+	+	+			-	
18. Inter-gallactic communi-	++		+-	+	+	+-	+	+ .	↓
19 Pulsed nuclear propulation	++	-+	+		+	+	+	+	
(as in Orion project)			1			1			
"magnetospheric medding"		1	1	I	I	T	T	T	1
	1	+	4	1	1	+	1	1	1
HILITARY IN SPACE									
capability for destruction			1		Ĩ	T	T	1	
of satellites	- +	-	1	1					
inspection of antellites					1		T		
j Rillary space station Operational	Ţ	1	t	1	1	t	+	1	
4. Military nuclear-propelled		+	+	+	+	+	÷	+ .	
space									
5. Capability for military	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	-	
6. Military force on Maon	•	+	+	+	+	+			
7. Space-to-space attack fleets	+	1	1	+	1	1	+		
5 Geocentric tomberdment flast	+	÷.	+	4	1	ł	+ -		
9. Neliorentric strategic	+	+	+	•	+				
0. First extra-termatrial	+	+	1	1	1	1			
APL	1	1							villen er vermentiger
FUEL CE LE	•		· • •	4 .		A		-	Service and Servic
L. S.U. maned lunar fly-by	- 7	7		Ť	+ -	7		, ,	
2. U.S. mar.ned lunar fly-by	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		
and return	Ť	T	1	1	1	1	+		
- Temporary lunar base +	+	+	+ -	+	4	+	÷ -	-+	
5. Hanned Hars and Venue	٤	+	+	+	+	-	+ +		_
5. Discovery of life +	-+	1	1	1	+	! • ·			
an Barp	1								
terrestrials		I	Ţ	1		1		t	
Temporary Mars base 4	+	+	+	+ •	•	•	+	-	n enter spreit in a margin on
. Permanent bace	+	+	+					4	
fitablished on Moon									
stay)	1		-					1	
Manned Landing on	+ -	Ŧ	1				+	#	A state of a second state of a second
Asteroida								1	
Seturn's suche	1					+	+	*	
- Penned landing on Nercury	+	1	•	h+		+		*	
Scientific probe	-	-					-+	-	
	+	-				-	-	1	
to the sun Permanent base					Ĩ	Ī	T	h	
to the sun Permanent base established on Mars	1	1							
to the sun Permanent base established on Mars 'lo men, indefinite stay)					- I.	1	-	1	there and the second seco
to the sun Permanent base established on Mars 'lo men, indefinite stay) Manned Venue Landing	+				1		ļ	Ţ	
to the sun Permanent base established on Mars (10 mm, indefinite stay) Manned Venus Landing Manned Landing on ter's mona	+			+	+		+	-	and a man provide the state of
to the sun Primanent base established on Mars '10 mm, indefinite stay) Manned Yemus Landing Manned Landing on ter's moons rfly-by Probes cut of the	* *			+	-		+	+	200) - + +
to the sun Permanent base established on Mars (10 mon, indefinite stay) Manned Yenus Landing Manned Yenus Landing function 	+			+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++			+	+	
to the sun Permanent base established on Mars '10 sen, indefinite stay) Manned Yenus Landing Manned Yenus Landing 	+			+			+	+	

Questionnaire 3

4.3 SPACE PROGRESS

- a. Table 4.3a, on the following page, presents the consensus of a large majority of the panel members with regard to some of the potential developments in space listed in the preceding questionnaire. Do you, by and large, agree with the opinion represented by this consensus? If you disagree with any particular item, or with the order of any particular pair of items, indicate which, and briefly state your reason for your differing opinion:
- b. Table 4.3b gives a list of potential developments in space exploration on which thus far no satisfactory consensus has been obtained. It consists mostly of items previously submitted to the panel and judged to be sufficiently important to deserve further examination, plus a few afterthoughts suggested by panel members in response to Questionnaire 2. You are being asked to reestimate the time of occurrence of each item and, in certain cases, to state briefly your principal reason for this opinion.



Table 4.3a: CONSENJUS ON SPACE PROGRESS

		···· 3 (em	(been.Life					4 u •	ettonnetre i	
	TABLE	4.56								
Description f potentia	AL COMPANEUR OF	In your op	taion. T	The second sector			This is the last que	etionnative in ou	ir present inquiry into opinions on	future progress in
de velig ne ni t	dissensus to date	by whet yo the process constrance SOM	ar dowa Hillty of Peach 900	aithin aither or the leter dicted. brie reach for th	n the earlier period in- afly state your his optnion		he fore giving you a s aubstantive questions not a report on this sincumstances will as	- dimery of the p B before you, we study should me ny direct quatat	roult of the preceding round and pu- would like to ask your preference milon your participation as a respo lone be attributed to you	stting exme further as to whether or ondent Under no
Manufacturing of prope ents and new	wide by divergent opinion, many	A LOLAR CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A	CONTRACTOR RECEIPTION	Why before 19	987		a - Check here if you mentioned as that	have no objecti of a respondent	or to having your name in this current study:	
Mestertsie on Guian	ne is-ing that this is no? Feelbis			AL MOVERS			On als of the thirtee the panel in the pre- rant our bringing the ception to any one of	en epecific jien viewe questionne ir consideration f them. They are	e sencerning epace developments the live a sufficient consensus has been n to a close, - unless you wish to a listed below (Table 4 th) fought	at had been put befor restablished to man take explicit es- r with the majority
Ratab istment of permanent research	V rtsel inserson Shet 11 elil rour			the before 19 of after 2013	990 5 for never)?		adhanatan an rus sibe	CHIS TIME OF CHEL	Table 4 4b	
plenets	stars ; disagree			-ba		ī	[1eme on wh	hich at least a	moderate consensus erems to have be	en obtained
						-	7 Description of 7 Manned Mare and Ve	development	Majority epinion	
Deep space Laboratories and observatories for high values, derong, and space research	Virtue comensus that it will occur (though efter is years'; disagree- ment as to when			Why before 19 22 sfler 2015	or maver ?		6 Manned landing on 3 Deep-space manned observatories for zero-g, and space space in the sens orbital.	Mars and return laboratories and high-varuum, research ("deep- e of higher that	Hetween 12 and 25 years from n Hetween 12 and 25 years from n Hetween 12 and 25 years from n	
Reaningful internetions impai agreement on	A BU CODERDENE			why before 19	125	ŀ-	10 Probes [ama11 inst	numented unmanne	d Duming the 1980 a	٠
eolomination of planets				SE DAVAST		+	Harloadel out ur t in deep space (Mic speed of light, eg timel and incention.	the solar system itical experiment the ison-Horley, wality of gravi-	nta [†] Ny 10°an	•
Development of Intelligent destation making cobots for espioring hostile	Virtual consensue that is will occur (though after 15 years), diagree-			Why before 190 or after 2015	or never!"		12 Sweeping up Marth- zones	tat mana, exel Arapped radiatio	Never, eince not economically will probably be cheaper to bu redistant equipment	prection1, it *ld redintion
environments	Bont as to sten						 Please mark with a which you find you For mach such case the reason for you 	realf in substar , state below to in differing oping	ast column of the preceding table a ntial disagreement with the stated he nature of your disagreement and,	hy items reparting majority opinion wery briefly,
("many togeneric concernation of the sense of having a highly reliable of the sense of having a highly reliable for a sense pre- cipitation from certain types of clouds	Widely diver- gent opinions, possibly due to differing interpreta- tions of the original		Why b 21 of	before 1995 Pter 2013 (or m	wver}+		Of the remaining 7 of national agreements r making robets for exp beth cases the widely ment, with no specifi (rather arbitrarily)	the 15 items of metarring planets cloring heatile a divergent resp dates that can phrased much mo	nion: Guestionnaire 3, we shall drop It any colonization: and Item 5 ion in mrdiponents: From further newsider moses suggest that we may expect a n be meaningfully attached unless t m smeetically.	em & (on inter- telligent decision- ation, because in gradual develop- be questions were
Finned Wars and Versus Fly-by	Autotion Najority opin- ton that it will occur between 1972 and 1986.		Why a	fter 1997 [or	never]+		This leaves us with 5 that require further The table also includ had alroady been anno tion is being resumed disarcoment with the	residual item examination - 79 es, es items 14 unced in Table 4 here, because 1 emining stated	from our former list of potential her are listed in Table 4 to on the bo 1/, three items about which a t is of the previous questionnaire; in each case several respondents an	space developments following page entative commensus their considers plicitly woiced
Renned Landing on								STREETS AT L STREETS AND A LONG TO A	*	
Bars and return	Newly proposed Lter	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Why be or art	ofore :979 ter 2013 (or m	•••••		c. Please reconsider They are the remained obtained. In some vagueness (which m opinions).	the potential sp ning items, on a cases, the dear By have been par	pace developments listed in the fol which thus far no satisfactory cons wription has been reworded in an ef rtly responsible for the apparent d	lowing table ensue has been fort to eliminate iscrepancy of
Permanent base cotab- lianed on Mars (say, 10 mm. Ludefinite	Hewig proposed iter Majority opin- ten that it will our -		Why be <u>SE</u> a Fi Why be <u>SE</u> a Fi	fore :979 ter 2013 (or me fore 1998 ter 2013 (or me	•••••)*	- Maria - 184	 Please reconsider They are the remain obtained. In some warvanese (which m apinione). In giving us anne- reaches 505 and 50 well as the worits 	the potential ap ning items, on t "Ases, the dear may have been par more your estim & respectively, of any statements	pace developments listed in the fol which thus far no satisfactory can a ription has been revented in an ar- rtly responsible for the apparent d ates of the years when the probabil please take such reformulations in its of minority opinions: <u>Table 4.4c</u>	lowing table ensue has been fort to eliminate increpancy of ity of occurrence to account, as
Permanent base estab- itered on Mars (say, 10 mm. Lndefinite stay)	He up proposed iter He jority opin- ion that it will over- tually occur, but not me-		Why be og a fi Why be og a fi	efore 1979 ter 2013 (or me sfore 1998 ter 2014 (or me	••••r)*	() part of	 Please reconsider They are the remain ebtained. In some warnenes, utilsh m apinione). In giving us once reaches 505 and 50 well as the worits Description of mtial development 	the potential ap ning items, on v masses, the dear more your eating f respectively, of any statemen Majority com- sensus to date	pace developments listed in the fol which thus far no satisfactory con- rription has been revented in an er- rtly responsible for the apparent d stes of the years when the probabil please take such reformulations in the of whomely opinions: <u>Table 4.4c</u> Rinority opinion	lowing table ensue has been fort to eliminate increpancy of ity of occurrence to account, as
Permanent base estab- liared on Mars (say, 10 mm. Indefinite stay)	Me up proposed iter Me jority opin- ion that it will even tuelly occur. but not be- fore 1987		Uny be og art Uny be og art	efore 1979 ter 2013 (or m fore 1998 ter 2013 (or m	• v • c) •	e poter 1 Manuf 1 ant	 Flesse reconsider They are the remain obtained. In some warveress (which m opinions). In giving us ence reaches 506 and 00 well as the write Description of milal development fecturing of propei- and new material 	the potential ap ning items, on : inase, the dear may have been par more your estima f respectively, of any statemer Mojority con- sensus to date Mojority con-	pace developments listed in the fol which thus far mo satisfactory can ription has been revented in an ef- rtly responsible for the spmarent d ares of the years when the probabil please taxe such reformulations in the of minority opinion Bule 4.4c Finority opinion Not for 50 to 50 years, if ey-	lowing table ensue has been fort to eliminate iscrepancy of ity of occurrence to account, as OM-year ROM-1
Permanent base estab- litered on Rana (say, 10 mm. Indefinite stay) Probes (small instru- mented unmaned per- ioada how of the	He is proposed iter In furity opin- ion that it will even tually occur, but not me- fore 1987 widely diver- ment opinions,		Uny be gr art	efore 1979 ter 2013 (or me fore 1998 ter 2015 (or me tore 1979 ter 2015 (or me	••••••)• •••••)•	d poter 1 Panuf Janti on tr	 Please reconsider They are the remain shifted is a some warverses (which m opinions). In giving us ence reches 505 and 500 well as the write Description of milal development Testuring of propel- t and new material he Roon 	the potential sy ning items, on : inase, the dear more your estima more your estima for sepectively, of ony statemer mojority com- sensue to date Ry 1984	pace developments listed in the fol which thus far mo satisfariory can a ription has been revented in an er- ription has been revented in any rety responsible for the sparent d ates of the years when the probabil pirase taxes such reformulations in ts of minority opinions: <u>Table 4.4c</u> <u>Rinority opinion</u> Not for 50 to 50 years, if ev because transport or required machinery to the Moon would be mentities a same any	lowing table ensue has been fort to eliminate increpancy of ity of occurrence to account, as
Permanent base cotab- lianed on Rara (say, 10 men. Ludefinite stay) Probes (small instru- mented urmarued pap- loads) out of the solar system	Newsy proposed itee Itee In Jority opin- ion that it Will over two not be- fore 1987 Widely diver- gent opinions, possibly due to differing interprete- tions of the origine 1		thy be ge and thy be ge art	efore 1979 ter 2013 (or m fore 1998 ter 2013 (or m tore 1979 ter 2013 (or me	••••••)* •••••()*	g poter 1 Hanud 1 Janti Gn 27 2 Ratai resei plana 6 Marti	C. Please reconsider They are the read, ebtained. In some warneress (which m epinions). In giving us once reaches 505 and 50 well as the worits Description of milal development facturing of propel- s and rev material he Shont arch stations on near sis he mather control, in	the potential ap ning items, on i masse, the dear more your eating 5 respectively, of any statemer Majority con- sensus to date Ry 1984 Detween 1980 and 2000	pace developments listed in the fol which thus far mo satisfactory cons ription has been revented in an er- rtly responsible for the apparent d ates of the years when the probabil please take such reformulations in the of minority opinions: <u>Table 4.4c</u> Rinority opinion Not for 50 to 50 years, if ev because transport of required machinery to the Boom would be prohibitively aspensive Not for at least 50 years because of extremely difficult logistice	lowing table ensue has been fort to eliminate increpancy of ity of occurrence to ancount, an OM-year IOM-)
Permanent base estab- liared on Rars (say, 10 mm. Undefinite stay) Probes (ampl) Instru- mented unmanud par- roads) out of the splar system	New j proposed iter In fority opin- in that it will ever tually occur, but not me- fore 1987 widely diver- gent opinions, possibly due to differing interprete- tions of the original question		thy be ge and styre be ge and styre be styre be	efore 1979 ter 2013 (or me sfore 1998 ter 2015 (or me sfore 1979 ter 2015 (or me	•v•r)* •v•r)*	d poter 1 Manuf 1 anti 2 Latai 1 Planu 6 Martt 1 be a bighi 1 co do	 Please reconsider They are the remain obtained. In such abtained. In such applications. In giving us once reaches 506 and 00 well as the worits Description of mtial development facturing of propel- t and raw service and raw service the Shorn Shornt of permanent arch stations on near sis howing a ly reliable ability such spise pitation. 	the potential ap ning litera, on : masse, the dear more your estima for sepectively, of any statemer Mujority con- sensus to date Ry 1984 Datween 1980 and 2000 Not for 20 or more years	pace developments listed in the foll which thus far mo satisfactory com- ription has been revented in an ef- ription has been revented in an ef- ription has been revented in an ef- ription takes such reformulations in ta of the years when the probabil please takes such reformulations in ta of minority opinions: <u>Table 4.4c</u> <u>Rinority opinion</u> Not for 50 to 50 years, if ev- because transport of required machinery to the Moon would be prohibilitely aspendive Not for at least 50 years because of extremely difficult legistics within 15 years, because current techniques are preventing, and offorts for expanding them are in progress	lowing table ensue has been fort to eliminate iscrepancy of ity of occurrence to account, as
Permanent base estab- lianed on Rara (say, 10 mm. Indefinite stay) Probes (ampli instru- mented urmaned par- coadel out of the solar system Probes (fishe par- coadel out of the solar system	Mewig proposed Itee Itee Me jority opin- ion that it will over- tusily over- tusily over- tusily over- form 1987 Widely diver- ment opinions, possibly due to differing interprete- tions of the original question posed item		and a set of the set o	efore 1979 ter 2013 (or me fore 1998 ter 2013 (or me fore 1979 ter 2013 (or me before 987 fter 2013 (or r	•••••)* •••••)* •••••]*	d pota 1 Manuf 3 anti 2 Batas reserves bight 6 Bartt 5 and 7 run 9 Batas 1 anti 1 anti 2 anti 1 anti 1 anti 2 anti 1 anti 1 anti 2 anti 1 anti 2 batas 1 anti 1 anti 2 batas 1 anti 1	 Please recommitder They are the remain ebiained. In some warverses (which m apinione). In giving us ence reaches 500 and 400 well as the worits Description of milal development fecturing of propel- t and rev interial he Ruon slishment of permanent Arch stations on near sis h weather control, in sense of having a iy reliable ability ade presipted of de Bistment of a perma- sis 	the potential ap ning items, on : masse, the dear may have been par more your estima for expectively, of any sittemer Majority com- ernews to date Ny 1980 Between 1980 Not for 20 or more years Between 1985 and 2000	pace developments listed in the fol which thus far mo satisfactory com- ription has been revented in an ef- retly responsible for the apparent d lites of the years when the probabil please taxe such reformulations in the of minority opinion Table 4.4c Finority opinion Not for 50 to 50 years, if ev- because transport of required achinery to the Moon would be prohibilitiely expendive Not for at least 50 years because of extremely difficult logistics within 15 years, because current techniques are promising, and efforts for expanding them are in progress Not for at least 50 years because of extremely difficult logistics	lowing table ensue has been fort to eliminate increpancy of 1ty of occurrence to account, as
Permanent base estab- lianed on Mars (say, 10 mm. Indefinite etay) Probas (amp)1 instru- mented urmarved par- coads) nut of the Bolar system Probas (amp)1 instru- monted urmarved par- coads) nut of the Bolar system Polar system	Newsy proposed Itee Itee In Jority opin- ion that it Will over- tuely occur, but not be- form 1987 Widely diver- gent opinions, possibly due to differing interprete- tions of the original question Newsy pro- posed item		why be <u>or</u> of why be <u>or</u> of <u>or</u>	efore 1979 ter 2013 (or me fore 1998 ter 2013 (or me efore 1979 ter 2013 (or me before 987 fter 2013 (or m	over)* over)* over)*	d peter 1 Hanwi 1 Hanwi 2 Latai 2 Latai 2 Latai 2 Latai 1 Hanki 2 Latai 1 Hanki 1 Hanki 1 Hanki 1 Hanki 1 Hanki 1 Hanki 1 Hanki 1 Hanki 1 Hanki 2 Latai 2 Latai 1 Hanwi 1 Hanki 1 H	 Please reconsider They are the read, estimation is a some warverses (which m opinions). In giving us ence reaches 506 and '00 well as the worits Description of milal development fecturing of propel- t and rev material he Moon Sisteret of permanent arch of a permanent arch of a the shilty asse presiption of the bistion certain type of the bisteret of a permanent Bisteret of a	the potential ap ning items, on : masse, the dear may have been par more your estima f respectively, of any statemer Mojority con- sensus to date Ry 1980 Mot ween 1980 Not for 20 or more years Retween 1985 and 2000	pace developments listed in the fol which thus far mo satisfariory com- ription has been revented in an ef- rely responsible for the apparent d ares of the years when the probabil please taxe such reformulations in the of minority opinion Not for 30 to 50 years, if ey- because transport of required machinery to the Boom would be prohibilitely aspendive sof for at least 50 years because of estremely difficult logistics Not for at least 50 years because in progress Not for at least 50 years because of estremely difficult logistics	lowing table ensue has been fort to eliminate increpancy of ity of occurrence to account, as
Permanent base estab- lianed on Rara (say, 10 mm. indefinite stay) Probes (ampli instru- mented unmarked per- coads) out of the solar system Probes (ampli instru- mented unmarked per- coads) out of the solar system Probes (ampli instru- mented unmarked of ilent equality of gravita- timal and inertial base, at.) Steeping up Karth- sones	Newly proposed Itee In fority opin- ion that it will over two not be- form 1987 Widely diver- provident interprete- tion of the original question Newly pro- posed itee Newly pro- posed itee		Uny ba Uny ba	efore 1979 ter 2013 (or m fore 1998 ter 2013 (or m fore 1979 er 2013 (or m before 987 fter 2013 (or m before 1987 fter 2013 (or r	•••• r) *	d poter 1 Bunut 1 Bunut 1 Bunut 1 Bunut 2 La tai 1 Bunut 1	 Flease reconsider They are the remain ebiained. In some warverses (which m apinione). In giving us ence reaches 506 and 20 well as the worits Description of milal development fecturing of propel- t and rev material he floom slistment of permanent arch distions on mear ets h weather control, in sense of having a ily reliable ability alignment of a perma- fest intering of the slistment of a perma- tion interinity are presided as interinity are a interinity are a interinity are mitable for the beings on floom or test for implication 	the potential sy ning litera, on to inase, the dear marks, the dear more your estima for respectively, of any statemer bujority con- sensus to date by 1984 Between 1980 and 2000 Not for 20 or more years Detween 1985 and 2000	pace developments listed in the fol high thus far mo satisfactory can a ription has been revented in an er- ription has been revented in any try responsible for the spparent d ates of the years when the probabil please take such reformulations in ta of minority opinions: <u>Table 4.4c</u> <u>Rinority opinion</u> Not for 30 to 50 years, if ev because transport of required machinery to the Moon would be prohibitively aspendive Not for at least 50 years because of estremely difficult logistics in progress Not for at least 50 years because of estremely difficult logistics Not for at least 50 years because of estremely difficult logistics Not for at least 50 years because of estremely difficult logistics Not worth 2000 or later, because uransport of required facility would be prehibitively espenal	lowing table ensue has been fort to eliminate increpancy of ity of occurrence to account, as 05-year 205-1 1
Permanent base estab- lianed on Rara (say, 10 mm, ludefinite stay) Probes (amp): instru- mented urmanued par- coade! out of the solar system Probes (amp): instru- mented urmanued par- coade! out of the solar system Probes (amp): instru- mented urmanued par- coade! out of the solar system Probes (amp): instru- mented urmanue of instru- tives and instructor tives and instructor tives and instruc- tives and instruc- tives and instruc- tives and instruc- tives and instruc- tives and instruc- tor number of status sones	Newly pro- posed item		Hun and the second seco	efore 1979 ter 2015 (or me fore 1998 ter 2015 (or me fore 1979 ter 2015 (or me before 987 fter 2015 (or m ter 2015 (or m ter 2015 (or m ter 2015 (or m	•••• c) • •••• c) • •••• c) • •••• c) •	d poter 1 Bunu 1 Bun	 Please reconsider They are the remail ebiained. Is some warverses (which m opinione). In giving us ence reaches 506 and 400 well as the worits Description of milal development fecturing of propel- t and rev material he Reon Bishment of permanent arch distions on near eis h weather control, in sense of having a iy reliable ability suse presipiation cards in type of de bishment of a perma- mense (say, 10) for an interinite add may base (say, 10) for an interinite add may base of the biggs on Been or table (se implication) herewoodly on the or here of interinite add may be a set of the big on Been or table (se implication) herewoodly on the or here of a perma- to or planet with an septore is intended 	the potential sy ning lines, on to masse, the dear masse, the dear masse, the dear masse, the dear masse, the dear for respectively, of any statemen masses and the masses and the mass an	pace developments listed in the foll high thus far mo satisfactory can a ription has been revented in an er- ription has been revented in any try responsible for the spparent d ates of the years when the probabil please take such reformulations in ta of minority opinions: <u>These 4.4c</u> <u>Rinority opinion</u> Not for 30 to 50 years, if ev because transport of required machinery to the Moon would be prohibitively aspendive Not for at least 50 years because of estremely difficult logistics in progress Not for at least 50 years because of estremely difficult logistics Not for at least 50 years because of estremely difficult logistics Not for at least 50 years because of estremely difficult logistics Not matil 2000 or later, because for estimated or required facility would be prehibitively espenal A	lowing table ensue has been fort to eliminate increpancy of ity of occurrence to account, as 05-year 205- 105-year 205- 105- 105-year 205- 105- 105- 105- 105- 105- 105- 105- 1
Permanent base estab- liare and return Permanent base estab- liared on Mars (say, 10 men. Indefinite estay) Probes (small instru- monted unmaned par- (ods) out of the polar system Probes (small instru- monted unmaned par- (ods) out of the polar system Probes (small instru- monted unmaned par- (ods) out of the polar system Probes (state of the polar system Probes (state of the polar system) Probes (state of the polar	Newly pro- proved item		Why be <u>SE</u> and Why be <u>SE</u> and Why be <u>SE</u> and Why be <u>SE</u> and Why be <u>SE</u> and <u>SE</u> a	efore 1979 ter 2013 (or me store 1998 ter 2014 (or me store 1979 ter 2015 (or me before 9015 (or m ter 2015 (or m before 2015 (or m ter 2015 (or m	••••••)* •••••)* •••••)*	potential potential potential planu lanti imner planu file f	 Please recommitder They are the remain obtained is average uprocess (which more uprocess (which more rechess 505 and co- well as the write Description of milal development fecturing of propel- t and rew material the Renn alighment of permanent arch stations on near station on near station of a permanent arch stations on near station of a permanent is base precipitation certain types of de bliphment of a perma- mers base lags, 10 fer an inneight of and inne is base for the pigs on Meon or testa (neight of the bliphment with an isphere is intended) ation immunication woch pills or other a) 	the potential sp ning litera, on : masse, the dear more your estima for respectively, of any statemer more your estima for specify con- sensue to date my 1967 Detween 1980 and 2000 Not for 20 or more years Netween 1985 and 2000 Not for 20 or more years Detween 1985 and 2000 Could be dance at any time; will be dance there first per- maner t long to the total lished (that is probably by 198	pace developments listed in the foll high thus far mo satisfactory can a ription has been revented in an er- ription has been revented in an tription has been revented in the of the years when the probabil please taxes such reformulations in the of minority opinions: <u>Table 4.4c</u> <u>Rinority opinion</u> Not for 30 to 50 years, if ev because transport of required machinery to the Boon would be prohibitively aspendive Not for at least 50 years because of estremely difficult legistics within 15 years, because current techniques are preventing, and offorts for espanding them are in progress Not wutil 2000 or later, because of estremely difficult legistics Not multi 2000 or later, because of estremely difficult legistics Not until 2000 or later, because of estremely difficult legistics Not for at least 50 years because of estremely difficult legistics Not until 2000 or later, because of estremely difficult legistics Not until exported by recent sevice leains of a leasy havin enducted succescoll experiment in the resard	lowing table ensue has been fort to eliminate increpancy of ity of occurrence to ancount, as 05-year 05- 105- 105- 105- 105- 105- 105- 105- 1
Permanent base estab- liened on Mare (say,) Probes (ameli instru- monted unmarked par- (odds) out of the Bolar system Probes (ameli instru- monted unmarked par- (odds) out of the Bolar system Probes (ameli instru- monted unmarked par- (odds) out of the Bolar system Instru- deep space (Nishelaum- Morlay, speed or light custify of gravite- time and instru- time and instru- time and instru- tones Probes (ameli instru- time and instru- tones Probes (ameli instru-) Sweethouse field at ion tones Probes (ameli instru- The state of the state of the state Probes (ameli instru- The state of the state of the state Probes (ameli instru- Probes (ameli instru- The state of the state of the state Probes (ameli instru- The state of the state of the state Probes (ameli instru- The state of the state of the state Probes (ameli instru- The state of the state of the state of the state Probes (ameli instru- Probes (ameli instru- The state of the stat	Newly pro- proved item		And a first state of the state	efore 1979 ter 2013 (or me fore 1998 ter 2014 (or me efore 1979 ter 2015 (or me before 2015 (or me ter 2015 (or me ter 2015 (or me	ever)* ever)* ever)* never)*	potential p	 Please reconsider They are the ream betained in some waveress (which m opinions). In giving us ence reaches 506 and 00 well as the write Description of milal development facturing of propel- t and rea material be Rean Stations on near sistement of permanent such rea waterial he mather centrol, in ments of Awing a listment of a perma- ment of aving a sistement of a perma- ment of the sister sistement of a perma- ment of sister sister of a perma- ment of a perma- sister of a perma- ment of a perma- sister of a perma-	the potential sp ning Linna, on to masse, the dear masse, the	pace developments listed in the foll high thus far mo satisfactory can a ription has been revented in an a tription has been revented in an tription has been revented in an tription take such reformulations in his of the years when the probabil please take such reformulations in the of minority opinions: <u>This of minority opinion</u> Not for 30 to 50 years, if ev because transport of required machinery to the Moon would be prohibilized y aspendive Not for at least 50 years because of extremely difficult logistics in progress Not for at least 50 years because of extremely difficult logistics in progress Not for at least 50 years because of extremely difficult logistics Not for at least 50 years because of extremely difficult logistics Not for at least 50 years because of extremely difficult logistics Not for at least 50 years because of extremely difficult logistics Not motil 2000 or later, because of extremely difficult logistics Not sort of almost of almost having and the sone of a tatisticall sort of almost purpowent, this ris supported by recently with n this regard Definitely within this century by beast plum beast-gilds each at least for mail and for mill performed as of mode and the sont sort of almost purpowent, this rised purpowent, and and for mill and	lowing table ensue has been fort to eliminate increpancy of ity of occurrence to ancount, as 05-year 205- 105-year 205- 105- 105- 105- 105- 105- 105- 105- 1
Permanent base estab- lianed on Mars (say, 10 men. Indefinite otay) Probes (ameli instru- mented unmarked par- code) out of the Polar system Probes (ameli instru- mented unmarked par- code) out of the Polar system Polar system Polar system Polar system Polar system Polar system Polar system Polar system Indep opace (Nichelau- muriey, speed of light equality of gravite- times stu- tange the system to page students a Sweepheres suitable for human beings on Poon up pinets	Newly proposed Itee In Jority opin- ion that it Will over- tuell over- tuell over- tuell over- tuell over- tuell over- tuell over- tuelly duen- form 1987 Widely diver- gent opinions, posed big due posed item Newly pro- posed item		Why be <u>SE</u> and <u>SE</u> and	efore 1979 ter 2013 (or me fore 1998 ter 2014 (or me efore 1979 ter 2015 (or me before 987 fter 2015 (or me before 2015 (or me before 2015 (or me	••• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	poter plann plann plann plann plann the s plann f Barti the s plann f Barti the s plann f Barti the s	 Please recommider They are the reaming abtained is associated in pinted is and appinted is and overlass the series reaches 406 and 100 well as the series metical development facturing of propel- t and rea starting and rea starting and rea starting bishment of permanent arch attions on near sis h weather centrol, in serie of having a light base [say, 10] for an interfinite all affortuning of sperma- fine base [say, 10] for an interfinite affort in inplication wretal statten to the factor of a interfinite affortuning entire h or planet with an affortuning of the bilite intermed affortuning of the bilite intermed affortuning entire h or planet with an affortuning entire h or planet with an affortuning of the bilite intermed affortuning of the bilite intermed affortuning of the bilite intermed affortuning of the bilite intermed affortuning of the biliteres	the potential sp ning items, on to masse, the dear mare, the dear more your estima- more your estima- more your estima- terespectively, of ony statemer- mensus to data more your estima- nd 2000 Not for 20 or more years Netween 1985 and 2000 Not for 20 or more years Netween 1985 and 2000 Dould be done stany time, will be done men first per- base to estab- libed (that is probably by 100 Never Never	pace developments listed in the foll bhigh thus far mo satisfartory cons ription has been revented in any ription has been revented in any tription has been revented in any tription takes such reformulations in the of minority opinions in the of minority opinions in the of minority opinions in the for 50 to 50 years, if ev because transport of regulred machinery to the Boon would be prohibitively aspensive Not for at least 50 years because of extremely difficult legistics within 15 years, because current techniques are promising, and efforts for expanding them are in progress Not woril 2000 or later, because of estremely difficult legistics Not until 2000 or later, because of estremely difficult legistics Not until 2000 or later, because of estremely difficult legistics Not until progress Not in the required facility would be prohibitively espensi in the fains of a facilitical in the supported by redent Soriet clame of a least has not all and for all and for more in the supported by redent and in this regard Definitely within this century by beast plue beast-glide esh at regione Probably not in this century.	lowing table ensue has been fort to eliminate iscrepancy of ity of occurrence to ancount, an Of-year ROG- iscount, an coloristic ancount, an iscount, an coloristic ancount, an coloris

PART A5

QUESTIONNAIRES ADDRESSED TO PANEL 5 ON WAR PREVENTION
Questionaeire 1

5.1. VAR PREVENTION

In recent years the conviction has grown in some quarters that another asjor war, involving direct confrontation of at least two of the great powers, is nost unlikely to occur except possibly through inadvertence (such as a nuclear accident or a misinter-pretation of signals), or through an escalation (mutually undesired) of a political crisis situation, leading to a preenptive attack out of the fear of an energy attack being imminent. (a) Do you agree or disagree with this view? In fact, if a or war were to occur, say, during the mart 10 years, how do you ess the probability that its outbreak would be due to: Bainr (11) escalation of a political crisis . . (111) escalation in the level of violence in an on-going minor war (iv) surprise attack at a time when there is no ostensible acute crisis . . . (v) other reasons (specify) 1005 (b) In your opinion, how high is the probability that another major war will, in fact, break out (1) within the next 10 years (11) within the next 25 years (c) Numerous ideas have, of course, been put forth as to how one might go about reducing this probability of another major war. They range all the way from attainable but inadequate to adequate but unattainable. In your opinion, and in view of your estimates given above in response to (a), what specific measures that you regard as both realistic and effective might be undertaken in the future, and by whom, in order to reduce the overall probability of the occurrence of another major war? [Use reverse side for more space] Do you know of the existence of any information, in the form of tabulations or analyses, that might be particularly veluable in reaching projections of the kind requested? Questionnaire 2 5-2 WAR PREVENTION a. Table 5.2e contains a summary of most of the suggestions made for reducing the probability of the occurrence of another major war. Please indicate your appraisal of the effectiveness of the items .isted. In the second column, indicate your evaluation of the probability that the measure suggested will be enacted. Are there any additional measures which you would now care to add to the list? How would you regard their effectiveness and probability? Please indicate these additions in Table 5.25. TABLE 5.20 SUGGESTED NETHODS OF LOWSEING THE PROBABILITY OF WAR Effectiveness Probability of implementation if implemented HILITARY MEASURES | nil |minor puderate high 1. Increased security of retaliatory capability Offer of nuclear vespons to countries that agree 3 to support our stated national policies Strengthening of MATO alliance to insure a guaranteed response to 1. prestated provo itions Build-up of conventional Т. forces Improved defensive warfare techniques to reduce probability of escalation in limited 6. nerable delayed-response weapons that are incap-able of aurprise stack. Establishment of 7. meaningful world-wide U.N. police force POLITICAL MEASURES 1. US-initiated unilateral steps toward disaransent Bilateral arms control 2. agreements Bilateral reduction of 3. Halaceral reduction of armamenta enforced by U.N. police force Strategic arms control (halt production but not R and D) SU-initiated gradual improvement of political T stmosphere

							-	
		Rffect	Livenes.		Τ		Probal	ility
POLITICAL HEASURES (continued)	si1	ataor	Boder	te h		PLANE S	Lung Long	e certai
most to seel posce and					I			
from developing macloar								
vespone	inferent setter torrestration							
Lion Against China or					Т			te un e r - datemporphistic Astrop 1
other third party	11.1 or do an and	Collision Collectors and		_				
engagement to reduce			angi-				1	
military activity, induced by ap improving				1				
SU-US stacephere			_					
cal innovations between			E.					
US and SU	-		1 +		_		-	
against even minor								
. Clear US statement as			+	+				+
to which national								
protected by nuclear					and the second second			
deterrents, and orients-								
to that end		_						
Assessment Centers which					-	in a state of the		Martin - Andrewski
would evaluate crisis								
policy statements to the					A STREET			
potential enemy to clari- fy US intent: statements								
would be unpublished to								
face" but would contain								
contingency plans to								
Creation of buffer somes	•	- +					- u - wha	
to avoid direct confron- tation of major powers								
Development of a new	•	+		+		beetideines n		
political cue "signals"								
which would indicate								
war unless political								
altuation changes, such								
in the past					0			
OAS to increase number of				Τ		and the second sec	a and to be a barrier a	
world forums where poli-								
resolved with minimum				-				
US or SU demonstration			an i Januari e analigija e a a	1				
of the intent to use force of increasing levels (in								
identifiable increments)					ļi. T			
Studies by the "soft					_			
sciences" (sociology,								
clues to war prevention								
Strengthening of the UN with the objective of		1		Probled Consultant parts	-			
forming a world government								
trol in all mations								
according to UN decisions								
ONTE MEASURES								
China and East Germany -			1		1			
creation of a realistic								
Removal of trade barriers					-			
With Communist countries								
economic unity among free							T	
Savanced democracies UN economic and military					1			1. Incompany of the local division of the lo
aid to areas threatened								
US-promoted rapid		-			1			
technological and								
underdeveloped nati *		_						
increased cooperative economic, political and		1		11 (m. 1) (m. 1)	-			
military ventures with								
promote interdependency					1			
Development of a cadre of	- +	+	+	~	+	+		N
servants dedicated to								
Vorld values	-				1		1.40	
propaganda measures						T		
cesigned to amend or establish values of								
mutual toleration of								
various ideologies and	1		-		1	1		

																يتنقين جيبي	
	ENE POAL	5	11000	a tra A							Int.in	Ja [carl	Limed)				
in the new vis- probatility a realist war T them all are	narriton r inglemeria Dege measure ate presarris ate presarris	aire you had be fice of certain a have now been ity assigned to these measures	en assed to suggester rentest by there by t	to evaluate to t methods of . r a combined : the parait The r marked arms	te potentia Inverina in Indee of in Stie 5-3a (ni in addie	i effectivene e probabilit, e average eff p.51 reproduc	res and the of another feritive the the			average o provinue as petential effective- ness	of imple- mentation probabilit of imple- mentation	Ymar f offect- y lyonna oftam	n Masson La	Tour proba- bility estimate	Ner ale tori	1468 611 114	T Ire- Ity Jak
n wiv propose The first fif of a concernau substanted men	1 meanures f tean entries s of the maj sures.	or your conside of Table 5.34 write of the pr	rmtion may be rea usel member	terfed as rep re as to the	resenting a more promis	tentation fo	newulation Flint of	8	Latablianment of a standing world- offer " N poll e for e, not subject	ρ	354						
a ser new se to future wes constinention sestimation to	elra yo to por Systems to ertain both the are	response the in your provis- elitary levi- term and the 30	e sugers) a sppreis a which, c sister block	ions while ; al, we would i in the opinion F in the news	rou may hav new line ye n of Parcel Puture:	n aiwn sow w to give egy A, sre likely	thought pli 1t plo he	₽'n	Studies by so 1 ology, proup- say balance, et .	¥	* ++ #		÷	•			
	Orbiting Temporar Labers S Small ta Alternic	. Romine performant Siv incaya ital aed bath offens ti al nuclear i types of sizes	ling as we lively and weapond arranged and	stions li as lethal ! as anti-lige anti-submari	biologinal weapons ne devices	agenta		+10	seesing les to war prevention Dimonstientor of	7	1.44						
a Tar said	Napitiv New tert	mobile and high migure of thous	nt centre	tei limitei-e 1 and epinion	er førens Menipulati	lan			the N with the ob- je five of forming a world government								
isf shi m sPt t	ness is nes O fur	ential effective sured on a scal "nil", 2-5 for	eness and e of "minor",	of the probat	mate", 10	to implemente	assessments Flor, The	- 11	Development of a	1 •	i. That	il. F	3				
Proband L (lingue d' Proprier bried): s. Sector	lty is riwen til i your one af your thte your p	in persent. eet.pessibly : estimates sift	wrigel, n wrw substa opinion in	enerical estim Intially from 1 The adjacent	mates, usin the previo L calenn	e the same s as panel aver (You might in	ales Mates Sternproct		nationa NJ ivil servanta leti ate to morij values								
1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	174 Similar nume Sition Far H	rical estimator	of petent	tial effective	mess and p	erobatility of	r sn	12	Pilateral US/SIT arma ontrol agreements		5y£ -€						
ff your en to ri en to tiγ in To makton tis o '	ar Grvis nos Impleme for tolumn forse "rec	or to the Presi ntation of the heat "Draimal ammendations",	lent of th 3 measure slity rank consiler 3	he U.S., in w rs listed" An r", using Rani the overmil en	hat order w sign rænks fiferte of e	muld you be i from 1 to 23 most desirat ant measure,	incline: Eccord- Ne measure Incluting		Holding the status quo against even minor agareticons	5	* 50 6	•	*	*	*	4	
In the p traction fifth nginion, traiser innot a of imple	r vioue para n measures should any for Carther the int of mulation, a	tionnairt, you Included in tr Durther measure constremation Table 5.5s, ar net state your 1	r nopy of protent , because in our pre mifill in reason for Table 5.34	which is being tabulation h of its poten sent listing your estimat thinking the	t returned we been di tial destro ' If so, i a of effec t they ough	to you for re- relet. In you bility, have indicate three tiveness and it to be inclu-	tference, bur been ▶ In the probability ded	10	Improved inform- sive worfare te-bulgues to return probabil- ity of recala- tion in lighted	ų.	4 9 4	4	A	•	•	·	
	Average previous potential -TC-rtive rena	of panel's probability of teple- mentation	Your effec- tiveness estimate	Reason	Four proba- billty estimate	Restor	Destra- bility rank		MR/P DSw9U politimal asso:faflori scalmaf China or other third berty		* * - *	*	•	*	•	4	a.
1 In man 0.5 south of con- man an contro an of status		Bie						Ĺ		I	 +ft= tiver	1 	j Frota: 1131 y	Dentr	i stility	1	ų
	i				4			karno L	Invitation to of	ve nationa		. 4	implementarion	+	11 ft 8 825-	1	
Billsop a convertional for pea					1		+	1	to be one member thy 0 = 4 7 Support and promotive the Drites 15at	r≯ntésiőti atlor at Cof		,		•		4	
" "bro legment or both siles of	1	- 7r	1.14		a			1	Birope, Asla Birope, Asla Development of a international lai listment of iff	oli e, sli of sant estates tlv: worli		h		÷			
inculnerable in Layris-respons- weapons that ar incapable of a sprise attack							1	-	-ounts of jisti x world a preme of Development of re- understanting are	aris srt alisti Wir western		,		+		4	
Ormator politi- ral ani promot unity amount fre a transer tamp- mentre	i	554				1	1 1		Allins of iment number worfare, nig es inclution Alliei rising a zeming ant system	n of by te me jorne Um si ware na snalysea							
 Tog anotos reptiticationa- tariral and 		650	-			•		1	D Settlement of the of Sermany on t able to ent Serm ompatible with f memberarip in NA*	tivisian nasia ept∝ narvian ≩irnnan ¶r							
to an anti-		-			-	-		p	1 Military alltance 0 1 gold 10 las	betwee 11 Inita	l	* 1					
an i wilitary al to great threat mer he polition (ph (w))								2	Orranizel encoure onstentious ob the part of a let cooperation in fi ment of erapon a	Memort of Jestion on htisis to he improve- ystemp							
7 TRZ10 political screenset to neek point and restrain other nations from	6	505							5 Simulated US/SP = played by profess willtary practices afres (possibly = infectmane)	Min games, Lonal Lof Noth Mith sties						-	
territopine mariner e-spons	1				1	1.		P122100	A 1111 I GHA I MMBR AI	** 1 <u>6</u>				Reas tp 1	ាម ី២៥ ខេង [៖] ល្អ	- 1200 M	

.

.

ł

 All PREVENTION This is the last questionnaire in our present inform giving you an account of una further on predicting came further sublantive que preformes as to whether or not a report on th a respondent. Under ne sireumsiances will my a. One's here if you have no adjoction is ney sentioned as that of a respondent in this o The turn new to sublantive matters, we begin t tailing respecting the matter matters. 	inquiry into op onconduc scoup films before y is study should direct quotati ng your name urrent study: his isst quotifi	iniana an upr té have emerge du, es eucle 1 eentien yeur ens be attribu	provention. of in the proceeding like to sat your participation on ited to you. series by essen-		his leaves us with a date moved of managed. Please indicate, i whether your opin the manure (-), o stated that writing stated reason again ranges over the so from 1 to 25, with	the sugger would be stated by writin on falle or whethe not or f als from	integrated and a second	Noteuros No te rec Nort ef "-", er i middieg Ire defin E not me Enet we Resevre O, with	on which a ronaide encider thuse, giu mindrity opinions. "e" in the Last co round (R), whether itsly in favor of an that you are needed) fou are reained) do a mact uffect	rable divergence of a ing remaideration to lumm of the following you are definitely o the following definitely o the following definite essarily subcriting d ence more that here we, and the Desimeli	pinions the brief table, pposel to e under- te the ctiveness ity mans
deing this, firstly, because a for respondents questionnaires had been processed, mars had no Recently, the passage of time since the begin respondents, have brought about a share in the the original estimates, and finally, some res possibly by giving consideration to nome of bu	this phoel in , heving joined and the expri- ing of this inque , world situation perdents may site	the opening r the parel oft res their opin siry may, in t an unreasting mply have show	wand to are or the first line in this regard he opinion of some an adjustment of def their sinds,			anti-t a	97 9441	for los	te 5.47	ity of mar	
have been transmitted to them via the present; b. In peur opinion, how high is the probabilit confrontation of at loast two of the great;	that another a	ne phisi's str stionnaires by major war, inv sair out	of mombers, which implication, wiring direct	ľ	Suggested measure	Hiddi sented Tffeet- Lvenese	Prote-	Tepre- Jority Destri- billty	Minority reason against measure	Minority reason in favor of measure	Your opinior (Write
 (1) within the set 10 re (11) within the set 25 re c. If such a major way should break out during methodility that its outbreak multice during (1) Instructionse (second as a nuclear (1) Contertonse (second as a nuclear (1) Starting, unautherized action 	the next 10 years the next 10 years the next 10 years	tinterpretatio	4 6880085 the	ľ	UN-premeted rapid technological and economic situance- ment of under- developed mations	4	14	6 te	Impatient ad- mancement of underdereloped doubtries may not be contan- tive to lower- ing the proto-	This already is current US policy and has proved offective; polici- cal preventatives to war oust not be underestingted	
by a third pawer ["satalytic us (11) escalation of a political crist isometic eristic] (11) escalation in the level of viol affort usr	e (including a ence in an en-g we when there i thede of issuerin	milateral milateral eng no cetonajbi		6	U.H. scenamic and military aid to areas threatened by political up- heavel		14	6 10 13	The IN could not agree as to what countries are threatened; supporting the dista gue of doubtrul nations may not premate what provention; The UR has in- cufficient funds	This is consistent with current pa- licy, it isplies a strengter UB and therefore is de- simula	
new seem to fail into three groups, namely, the eagent to be rejected, these on which there is a these on which a considerable livergence of opin The first group, of rejected measures, consists in Table 5.41 together with the median estimate bility of implementation as well as the median (B) should be remembered that effectiveness ren- ments offective, and desirability over 1 to 23.	e on which the convensus that ilend is apparer of the followin of the followin of the destrabil of the destrabil of the destrabil	The la & consent they are ease it. If four, which filty rank attr if from 0 to	we that they endable and we are listing of their preba- loted to them 10, with 10 as	9	Studies by socia- leng, group pop- chology, etc., seeking cluss to war provention	4	10 10 505	ta 16	A waste of time and offort	A better under- standing of the causes of war is mandatory, a small investment may produce break- through ideas	
Table 5	.41 L of mar that -	ere rejected	the milerity	10	St which ends of the UN with the objective of forming a world doversment	Ŷ	105 105	7 to 13	Estremaly up- likely, unless rollear nur andure	This is our only hope	1
Suggested measure Invitation to other mations to become member atates of the U.S.A. Aupport and prevailor of the United States	effectiveness p	Totan tee	erdian 20	11	Development of a caste of inter- mational UN divis servants dedi- rated to world values	1	106 10	13 10 20	Civil expression inch political power	Desirable if only in view of the beneficial influ- ence these people will event when	
Ormanized encoursement of sensitive objection on the mart of selections to compe- encien in the improvement of weight system Simulate 400/50 war sames, played by pro- feedienel military planners of both side	2	105	n	13	Holding the status que against even minor aggressions	ų	205 14 505	8 17	Continue! Fighting would Indress top- sion, the risk of exclation	they go back home Our policy to this effect thus far has been success. ful	1.00003.0
(weight of the intervinaged) d. Please mark with a crease in the last column of your find yourself in substantial disagreement enget to be rejected. For each such case, sign and, wery briefly, the reason why pow think this is the substantial transformer which there seems to be a concensual <u>Table 5.16</u> Resented measures for investing the second bills.	the show tabl with the stated to below the ne d measure shoul of measures rega	a any items re tajentry opi- ture of your is not be reje- anding the des	eganding which nion that they Jisspreement cted: Imbility	15	UN-SU political association against China or other third party	2 te 6	408 ta 516	10 te 19	The might dissi- pate the 18 Smade, it would be ineffective or weres because of Chine's irre- tions lity and the pushibity of a letperate	This could mean world stability	*
Buggestet measure creased 0.5. security of semand and control and c	Hedian accasilitan	Bacepted by . Bedian Brobabilit: Of	the majority desimblility : y median 2	19	Development of a realistic under- standing among wostern Alles of dynamics of upon	2 te 7	to TH	8 10 10	A mere pipe Gream	Resential for effectiveness of the MATO sillance	
15-up of destern-bloc conventional forces elegenet on both sides of invulnerable delayed- pense weapens that are incapable of surprise site ater political and economic unity among free at- ced demarcelos	6 7	706 706 554	1		lear warfare, by techniques includ- ing joint US/Allied crisis- and up r- gaming and systems analyse-						
"30 pointies; agreement to seek passe and restrain or mations from developing nuclear weapons ablishment of a standing world-wide U.H. police 19. DMS. Mulect to vote	6	408 334	6	51	Williary alliance between US and SU (plue pessibly India)	0 te T	106 to 156	17 te 21	Not passible short of fight- ing a war constant	This rould near world stability	•
teral UB/30 area control agreements provide formaics marfare techniques to reduce bility of emelation in lister uses lister of effective world courts of justice and a tappenent of effective world courts of justice and a tappenent of effective world courts of justice and a	<u>5</u> - 5	605 505 505	12 7 10	In series a model of a	tenclusion so would ain of the measures for war occurring w adme implifit assu- mar government and w	lite you that he ithin lo mption as ith what	to make or 25 to whi Success	r an est consise years in toh of th t they we	India of the paters relation of the paters relations to Quest other measures usual realized to mart	i Lini overnil effect o Du Stated the probabi Ion (61 en p.1 you pro 1 in fact he part or i	f purpuing lities of reamably the policy
Hilemont of the division of Germany on terms acception to weet Germany and compatible with German reserves in the second	- 5	506	15		aduming new that the averable opinion we h view of the succe		www.lin wd.wiges buld wig	ted in 1 receively dep	Tables 5.%e and 5.0 e wir Administratio efferte to have,	of for which you express on in the years to com what would be	Heel a Me, then,
 Please mark with a cross in the last column of you find yourself in substantial disagreement w would be effective and/ar segreement when the disagreement and, very briefly, the reason for 	the shows table ish the stated both use, state mur differing (any items rea majority spini s below the ne spinion:	arding which on that they iture of your	h. 1	(11) the prob (11) the prob b you wish to add an h support of your vi	terne an e	if war i of war i tu? Tr	within tr within tr n particu nd in you	w next 10 years w next 25 years llar, 1e there anyt r responses?	hind further you wish	s to say

PART A6

QUESTIONNAIRES ADDRESSED TO PANEL 6 ON WEAPON SYSTEMS

		ation aropr						
6.1. whates by States Having rel: would be all gree accurity. This and to its count is pour op- torel second op- the nest % pour include is your weapond, but an weapond, but an	TIME ist sprotace for p splice quely to erpert, the organit loton, what major d loss have a reason of lin enswering consideration not to peasive defense, and "pare"-milite	bout future weapon lansing in the area militory procures lation of arms cont evelopeants in the Alls chance of occu this question, by only defensive and measures, military fy and outright pol	ayetees of netional not planning rol. field of rring in ll means offensive lite.llgeese, lite.l	Table 7 as Francisco a second second a Agents coproduct the most business responses 5 Developments 7 Classes rescible eito directiones	EFfeitten Flanstap plijer medar	ess ed ale higt aim	Peerist.it. f Jane Jopanis gie janats a signifi	Bernstinne, Jate under/ realistica justi erseigen, justi generation
Do you know of tabulations of in ranching prod	of the emistance - r analyses, that m	of any information, ight be particulari.	in the form	 Mailistic transport d' troops 17 Bucker versions with 			• •	• • •
in the dial proj	Questions.	ire 2		no or greaty reduced Encode 45 Artimiter homes 39 Military decision	1 • • •		6 • F •	6 n
b 2 "Rapid Kiningson by the pane first column of the energy political a list he even frasibility the third c prosibility the third c prosibility the takend	climitates a listing of a l's respirates to the fit in protest factors your of there, "effectives devictages vill accompa- ted folumes indicate your of downing factors your opt enstimut tate given a ng a statumen.	mat of the wespons sug- irst questimmairs in putgent to the effects wes" means that signifi yutgent of the techni- jutgent of the techni- nu to operations, as fur crash program, and oper rish program, and oper a situation	potod the venues dant doystep osl a. In osritest sticmel	eysteme usin is nertise by automated entry: matte impotene NOLD ANC: (DRITHED WAR DEVI Automated var agan of 135 with textical matterfield im potene robat mention Drawn	• • •		• •	•
b Compidering Table 5.25 plating the	the weights suggested by Parther suggestions table as show	in Tesla 6.00, plasse 1 yes weald care to add,	ist in Com-	reliance f >coupled rountries, ett. ≥ Thetioni siaston			+ +	
	TABLE C. IN		1	Bucater verpone for wee by ground trouge) the of takers for reter type reage			¢ +	• •
	Miferitiveness 17 Seveloped	Peortballty of decisions	anter maier	enners Lisues netore, company Obtions much as			4 - 4	
DEFINE IVE - KAPINE 1 Hassive ivillar de Fener and spottwar	11 minor mulerate Figh	•14010 poestble diffic	Rut and the	selective means of selectroying submatic computers			• •	
2. Underground Location 7 APp production		+ + +		direrti, peringe nuclear pereret, far ligistic supply or				
Instantia i Papidiy mobile public works & registing anits for war recover		• -•	•	terrelevant of er fortive systems to move on and light	+ +		• •	• -
 Bry 6 refuges support Under-ocean bases 6 Carborises Briterises 		• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	weepone repidly to dry point on enrich to premote warks				
feferer by ground- iaircheri anti mission f Effertive terminal teferer by air	• •	ф финиф ф —		7 Periodolia counter- indurges, arms A murate intelli dence correlation through use of	• • •		• •	
ubunched anti Gisting Kifettire terminal Inform by dimented	+ + +	+ +		POLI TI CAL VIARCINA		11		
A History control of epsie close to earth for netellite in opection and Sector- Link	• • •	• • • • • •		 Batensive use if ievices which per- sumde without sill ing, such as water commune, fire hoses, 	t		? P	
 Orbiting space recont relisecore station Bisger or invular; sole detervents, astermities tes 		* * *	+ +	Ba Bas Binisture and to proved sensors and transmitters for	• •	÷ 44	¢ +	*
Simulay michine 1006's of measons 11. Exclored Low-radia tion, low-blast, black.com	* *		+ +	<pre>encepting, recommate sence, arms contros trapectors, etc 3 Use of "economic shermanship" (i e</pre>		, #	1 6	. ~
12 Electron curtain 13 Electron curtain 1001201 abeath to prevent electro	• •			iumer exploration) and new foreign-aid techniques to influ ence antiens b Mological agents	÷ .			
penetration	· · · · · · ·	L_1 _1 * • •	*	for covert use over long periods 5. Purther development c" techniques of propagate, thought		÷ 97	*	· · ·
annois 2 Incapacitating bisingleal agents 3 Hological agents destroying the sill	* * * *	• • •	• •	Control, and optimion minipulation filled reading has hyperblic re- cruitems of forces	4 0 4 0	· #	+ , + +	- 44
 Use of drugs or e- instringi simu- usion to create artificial motivation 	4 9 9 9	* •	1	BAYAL VILLION		4 44 4 44	• •	
to under take super- human insta 5 ZUM s with other then such our war- heads such as	••		+	of strategie atters of strategie atters	F. ø	1 1	• •	
5 Selector manipulation 5 Sector manipulation 7 Director-snoragy vengens including electromagnetic	:::		144	 Completely submaring as y Briscium anti f submaring capability, et losst against 	4 ¢	r de	+ •	* *
Home, vide aperture	+) 4		+	5. Brunstiester porpoises or dolphing for eati		F #	† •	
9 Loss total second	• • •	• +	+ + -	6. Borg-diving sub- more bloe ands of thereis an which	T			
for signification of the second secon	••-	+ +	+ + -	nerviset is to the tion probability The univervitor strategic most is grotem	ж. ў.	•		64 0 et
13 Hill Lary West on Hills		· · ·		6 Biional neeupation of orthan ficors 8 Earst Eakersonti neetal undersea transport pipelinee		* #	+	99 n d 99 o d
			C2			-		

																	—		_	-				_				
		-				•••	1.0			•												able 0	da fe	ontinue				
	DI The ST II	1. 1. (s)	r ad Th	1. 1			Lung le de	12	-		en dro	pp ing		one ha			14.	. Dem rigt Lin		Pre-1	Cr.	n 31.01	-	Review			r Für	#eason
	the remaining it.	1041	*11	-			e 11		-ped	NO4	1 1.	the f	toreseest	ble fut			DI	Diterial ener		• *	1 1	70 18						
	frem which repr			rtho Tel	ahe .			by pe	nel i	In 1			together	. with	tour new		L .	The magnet to										
1	a the median yes	1 A (M)	1 en 1 #11		el fac	(rente		nd of	the last		nf r	1.1	rs devel	opens	*****	1	lore	iasers)	1	. 1				,			+	
	with ellectiones		1.4	he in i	(Dy)										rions of			pone for him.			1.	14						
	Frasibility	0 1	01) 81			in,	Lines	Sur.	lar	-		10 f		- 1at	Lowe													
1	Please dill : well as the y		t inst stiet	110	****	1.199	1944				-	will	ne the e				Lie	Automated ter		• [•	1.		ē †	1	à			
	Everage or the	dian terpr	Br is	11.		1 441		in dir ion to	thi	Buba	441 La	11. 11	ton the	presion	us panel	•	11-	computers to-	1									
	they I		1111		7++	PATA	e ta	1990	-	** of	1 11	10 11	in the	Ye	t Effect		Lie	Thertesi bilo	4		1 19	65 196	5 *	1	÷			
b	In the president	i seled	in i	nna i na y	14.807	t tak		i ensi on her	h 18	-		arned In	to you	tor rei	lezence.			ty ground	•									
	Indicate these	be et	Les I	nari untern Lanca	1	in pro	esent	iler.	af .	Ite I	essib:	consi	and port	ential n7 If	effect.		1.	troops	۰.									
	they aught to		LIB I LUD	its ed	end	rears	at r			and		PONE I	your sat	or that	alling its		1	for redar type	1				0					
	THEOUGHS) and	1.0	e qu	EVEN	0mma (1108)	res w	1118	ere be perus	ins.	-		o Per		SC LENT 1				i compriset tone	5									
	Iveness, fees			nd y		-1 1+4	end in edune	··		lef1,	Indic		OUT THE	te thei	e ellect		Lie	Longer endur- ance allectafte	• •	. ,	1.9	10 197	1.1	1	1			
							Tak 1											powered for logistic suppl										
	Description	1 :			-	.1.	1		-		64	1			FOUR	- 1	1.10	Ant homberdart	۰,		19	0 1 197						
		114	1	1	Year	read,	10			Tear	ready		Your a		my of			to any point or										
	Manalize clubbe		+	-	rogr	9.c		fect D	••	Tuat	Que	1	from I	he pre	in Louis		1.10	Barth for	÷.,		1.10				+			
	tan defense and point war ra. 				1968	1980	1											fourter-ineur-				n 10,						
1.5	tapidiy mitile			¢,	1467	1980	+	+	+	-						-	Lie	Accurate letel		1.	196				+	1		
	and logistics															- 1		letion through use of com										
	telugre support						1									- 1	-	puters	1	1.	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	
	einal defense by ground-						1		1			1					Pol	I Extensive use of devices	1 4		1 19	1961	11	T	1	-	1	
									H.			р.				- 1	1.	without killing	10									
	minal defense	•	1		1970	1980	1		i.	i						-	Pol	Sear pas. etc.		1.6	1.194	4 1971		Ĕ		4		
	anti aleettes																	and traramitter	•									
1	Effective ter		1,	1	1975	1985	1	+	÷	÷		ŧ.					1.	Ferden alesence	έ.	1.1								
	by directed								1									hanship"; new foreign aid	11		196	3 1966			1			
	arbiting space		t,	÷.			+		1	1								techniques to influence										
-	tat ion		1	1			I.		1	- 1							Pol	Advanced tech- niques of pro		. 6	196	1971	20		1		÷	
4				1														thought control	÷									
1	tethal bielogi -	4		T	166	1478	-	ŕ	÷	T		_		-		1	Pol I	Hind reading	• ,	. 1	100	1 2013					÷	
1	Incaper Itating		. ,	1		1970		1		1							-	Mass homestic		1.	144							
-	to logical																	forces from	20	1	100							
J	a desired and							6									1	enemy population										
1	ments destroy	1	*	-	10	480		.,	1							1		Effective anti-	1	1.3	1+1	1.976		· •				
	estat																1.11	sgainat contes										
1	ther than		٠	- 19		412		4	÷		. 4					-	-	Dematicated	1	14	1 1970	1980	k.	1	Ł	X	a.	
	eads (such as																	deightne for			1							
	wather menipu	1		1.14	11	980					+						-	reconnalisance							1			
ľ	at Lon																	submersibles		*	1470	1477		1	1	1	4	
1																		tals which de-										
											1					4 L	-	tion probability	5							1000		

A . F A / T B. B B A L F A	Ditt (or () used
-14Pts 11770	Item Description Affectiveress Bestallity Constructed Status and Tour side at
This is the last mostlemester is our present inputer late opinions on ecsperarytics to the Puter.	ingital agents minorily L. Minority A. Min. 1.7. Boy 1.74. Seragas forces Transite follow Barbanes public Barbanes forces for any and minoritality for any presentes a presidence must for fars to said a
To fore units into a second statistic providence, as any filler to be your professore as to an except on one of a registry of the second second providence participations as a respective letter we for eventarious will act or the solution for a stribuled to part.	ty suprestriant to use at a transformation of the areas and the super- striction of the state of the superstriction of the state of the superstriction of the superstrine of the superstriction of the superstriction of the
 The is here to us use or other than to be the rear ranke mentioner as that is a reporter to the interval study. 	The state from the feasible shift of the line of the state of the stat
In the panel elements of bolds ready the two ends for the followed approximation presents a parent's approximation of the second sector approximation of the two ends of the second sector approximation of the two ends of the two ends of the second sector approximation of the second second sector approximation of the second sect	1017 Inverse fielder eine spinit, im 10 seit 1.44 te 44 spinit 1.4
"e" for "never" is not that that this will never be the same (CPF 1 Billion 1
The second secon	Int prove and provide the prov
The formula of the line of the second	<pre>NFF f exact sets to provide a set of the set of th</pre>
Applier work in <u>Derrit</u> is to <u>Derrit</u> is to <u>Derrit</u> is the <u>Derrit</u> is to <u>Derrit</u> is to <u>Derrit</u> is to <u>Derrit</u> is <u>Derrit</u>	off D' test with sy engons is interformand netto multip the part is seens, lagers lagers to all to its all to all to all t
The formation of the fo	Off 20 Large orbities and the second
String age 13 m to for age with string the dama is dama is a bin- string the string the string age to be string to the	11*1 schwarte fan Strong 12 - 1 i Storg 12 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
The first structure form in the joint of the	Leng, reduit sentrice, pr reserved and reasons of the complexities and end out union to set reserved the reserved the reser
The second secon	Lie Contraintier disting to don't its in the in the terms of terms
<pre>Firstive tree #10:11:2 *** *** **************************</pre>	The second state of the se
<pre>introduction the second s</pre>	tel response Els 1 Une of Lasers Directly to 2 Directly on the 2 Directly o

		Tati -12 (10	(DOFORT A					Ast av 1981	and a second second		
Iter Teacription	EFFECT MORES	Peastoll's	crash prochan	Status que	our opinion	Item Description	Effectiveness	P SoltSitty	Crash program	Status quo	To
Its in Longeneentur- perhaps nuc- lear-powers: for logistic supply or hombarchent	Milority: 5 to 7 besault to 10 the thermal and the thermal and the thermal discriming and because of any discriming and any discriming and any discriming and any discriming and any discriming and any discriming any discriming any discriming any discriming any discriming any discriming any discriming any discriming any discriming any discriming any discriming any discriming any discriming any discriming any discriming any d	b lority) to	Min: 1970 Berause of boundary layer and inlary layer power plant "evelopment	Min: 1973 to 78 Min: 1985 Bernus of control problem and felays in power plant levelopment	2 2	ol 6 Mind reading	Minority: 5 to 10	berity: 0 to 2	Mini 1970 Because sill- tary projects almed at this exist never, because sind realing never patter scopera- tion	Min 1980 Sector at this aimed at this aimed at this aimed at this auton never, Sector at the auton reading never, Sector at the sector at the	
Lis 4 Sapid mobility of wan and light weapons to any point police action	troi, there is 10	Marity: . to 10	Min: 1995 to To Min: 1965 to To Available now Min: 1975 Manual 1975 Manual 1975 Manual 1975 Manual 1975	Min: 1067 to 75 Min: 1067 to 75 Secure that is essentially available new Min: 1000 Secure that long to build up traveport		of fores from energy population			trol of the trol o	Main 1980 Reclar of in- creased brow- body and com- the lost think- by a schemics lost section all never, Mechanic hypeo all never, Mechanic hypeo all never, decomposition	
Lis 7 Perishable	Minority: 7 to 5	Mortty: 5 to 8	Li 1955 to 68	Mi 1070 to 75		anti-uberin	Siority: 6 to 8	Mority: 3 to 4	L: 1968 to 70	Min: 1977 to 50	
tourent and	Antigenes	1973 - 1980 1973 - 1980 1973 - 1980	and the sector is on the sector is a secto			spectations contemporary ubeat against contemporary ubeating spectations delphing for	Mority: 0 to 3	Minority: 5 to 7	A: 19/0 to 75	Anni 1972 Archae 117 Alle 1975 to 93 Anni 1975 to 93 Anni 1975 to 93	
Lim B Acturate in- telligence dorrelation through use of computers	Malority: 6 to 0 stnority: 9 for sume of need for Judgment, which cannot be mechanized away	<u>. lority</u> : 5 to 8	Min: 1978 Menuse of the absence of an adequate theory abould be pro- cessed and how	Min: 1970 to 80 Min: 1964 Dechuse appro- tert ar semp- tially wall- ablence of the because of the		reconnalesanc		training and problem and of obtaining enough animals	All it can be done seen done seen done seen difficulty of difficulty of transforming a transforming a transform	Ann by done at all it can by done seen by done by the by t	
				should be pro-		av 6 Deep-diving	Minority: 4 to 8	Minority: 1 to 6	An: 1970 to 75	1: 1975 to 85	
Pol 1 Estensive use which persuad witched will- ing (aster saw, etc.)	miority: 3 to 5	●_lority: 9 to 10	Min 1970 Min: 1970 Sector 10 rould take some time to decide what seepon system are promising	Man: 1960 Man: 1980 Sectors there is little act- ive Interest in this area now		tection pro- bability	tection probabi- lity is already quite scali	high-strength negalitement i incompatible afth anything but anything but anything because this is lessure this is	tent 1900 Min 1900 Mi	La livedy and devilop and avelop and avelop defau pro- ternite apt- silitie (my i)	
rel 2 Enlature 1- proved annualt- tene for incomplex, re- conveliance,	Almority: to 7 Almority: 9 Because this 111 ake 11 possible to ake use of pinpoint-	Minority: 6 to 8 Minority: 9 Because some thing like this is sirence	1 1965 to 68	E a Bret : E		the following	Three a dition	al weapon system	counteracted	nounteracted	
Fol 3 "Economic hoursenable":	Minority: 3 to 6	Mority: 60 7	Min: 1966	L: 1964 to 72		OF TRAT	we topment, and of	The substant of			1
ald technique	efforts have		at present			ł	Description	Effec	Itenese Nesthi	lity operational w	5 11
nationa	ive unimprese		Free Palant			off 20 Min	eratering nuclear w	capone for			
	of economic syldend					Off 21 Inc.	d to biological)	al (as op-			1.1
the S Alexander tech niques of progents con- troi, opinion sentpulation	Linerity: 4 to 7 Hinerity: 4 Accuse adison Accuse experts	Minority: 5 to 7 Minority: 2 because you can't buy a lot by manipulating minim that are	Min 1975 Secure 1975 Secure 1101- ta 107 1- an	Man 1965 to 75			p light-weight rootnel armanent (* stie, match-lit proble of single or s	cket-type ilent ojectiles.			

-78-

PART B: THE RESPONDENTS

Of the 82 respondents, 35 were members of the RAND staff, 7 were RAND consultants, and the remaining 40 were unaffiliated with RAND; 8 of the latter were overseas participants.

The following is a list of those nonmembers of RAND who gave us explicit permission to mention their participation:

Clark Abt	R. W. Hamming
Isaac Asimov	T. C. Helvey
Robert E. Beerstecher	Carl G. Hempel
K. A. Brueckner	Werner Z. Hirsch
M. C. Bryson	Eric Klippenberg
Arthur C. Clarke	Ferdinand Lundberg
Starr J. Colby	Alexander Mood
Bertrand de Jouvenei	Frederick Osborn
Ithiel de Sola Pool	John E. Pfeiffer
John D. Durand	William H. Pickering
Leonard L. Fischman	Martin Shubik
Dennis Gabor	Stephen Toulmin
Peter C. Goldmark	Warren W. Weaver
Harold Guetzkow	E. P. Wheaton
Harold Gumbel	J. R. Woolpert
R. J. Gunkel	-

A breakdown of all panelists by professional background is as follows:

Economists							12
Engineers							20
Mathematicians and	1	ogi	LC:	Lar	าร		14
Military officers		•				•	1
Operations analysts	S					•	4
Physical scientists	5			•	•		17
Social scientists							- 9
Writers			٠	1	•		5
				1	ſot	al:	82

The total number of responses (i.e., at least partly completed questionnaires) was 348. Many respondents participated in fewer than 4 rounds of questionnaires; on the other hand, quite a few volunteered responses to questionnaires addressed to panels other than their own, and such volunteers were thereafter considered to be members of those panels also.

Table 1 gives the total number of responses per round for each panel.

NUMBER	OF	RESPONSES	PER	ROUND	FOR	EACH	PANEL
				T) 1	******		

Table 1

			Pa	inel		
Round	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	15	12	10	18	18	17
2	11	7	10	10	12	13
3	18	10	15	15	13	15
4	23	13	19	18	17	19
Total	67	42	54	61	60	64

Thus the average number of responses per round was 87, the average per panel was 58, and the average per questionnaire was $14\frac{1}{2}$.

To give some idea of the degree of stability of participation, we have tabulated below for each panel (including volunteers from other panels) the percentage of its participants who responded to all 4, to 3, to 2, and to 1 questionnaire, respectively.

Table 2

PERCENTAGE OF EACH PANEL PARTICIPATING IN VARIOUS NUMBERS OF ROUNDS

Number of Rounds			Panel	(%)		
Participated in	1	2	3	4	5	6
4 3 2 1	8 17 28 47	17 0 30 52	15 19 23 42	21 14 21 45	19 3 25 53	40 12 12 36

PART C: QUOTATIONS

As a byproduct of the study we received a large volume of comments in the form of correspondence or marginal notes added to the questionnaires. The following quotations represent a small selection of such comments, which seemed to us especially noteworthy.

"The descriptions...are so brief and vague that most guesses as to effectiveness, feasibility and earliest date are very hard to interpret... People who agree on every aspect of technology and political science might still find themselves on opposite ends of a range of guesses because of differences in interpreting the questions."

"We hesitate to call anything impossible... There seems to be a feeling that hardly anything is beyond us, that we can solve any precisely formulated problem...This confidence among scientists contrasts strangely with the lack of confidence among 'humanists' in man's future."

"I would prefer the opinion of one expert to the opinion of several diluted by a multitude of incompetent guessers."

"I believe that one overwhelming breakthrough which is imminent is in the field of behavioral science. It will be a realization that we cannot successfully predict the future because its nature depends on discoveries as yet unmade and inventions as yet uninvented."

"There is no relation between level of technology and level of employment. Technological change is no more rapid now than it has been over the past 100 years."

"Most minds aren't worth reading."

"China is working unwittingly toward US-SU agreement to seek peace and restrain other nations from developing nuclear weapons."

"...on some political questions I have become more optimistic...A minimum of sense will be enough to bring about if not a treaty but at least a working understanding with the USSR. Only for God's sake leave Germany divided!"

"I have seen with great interest the list of suggestions for lessening the probability of war. ...I have felt compelled to assign negative efficiencies to some of them...'The offer of nuclear weapons to countries that agree to support our (U.S.) stated national policies' seems to me of regative value...(since a) present government c nnot bind its country for the future...I also gard as of negative value: 'Clear U.S. statement

as to which national interests are to be protected by nuclear deterrents, and orientation of our policies to that end.' Indeed its negative value seems to me considerable...By implication you will be giving permission to do all the things against which you do not promise a given reaction. The measure to which I attach far the greatest negative value is 'Strengthening of U.N. with the objective of forming a world government." T regard the establishment of a world government with the utmost distrust...My concern is not for the collective independence of the nation but for the personal liberty of the individual. Throughout history, men have escaped from a realm of oppression to one where they were safe from oppression... If you reduce the planet to one realm, this escape hatch disappears...But, you will say, a world government does not mean the collapsing of all the various States into one realm, only a general supervision of the various States. That is what the advocates of World Government believe. But are they right? Is there a single instance in history of a super-authority which has not either become futile (like the Holy Roman Empire) or moved towards unitarian government..."

PART D: CONVERGENCE OF OPINIONS

The graphs on the following pages display the convergence of opinions in the case of questions which were asked more than once. (See Section 16 above.) Solid lines refer to medians, broken lines to quartiles of opinions. The numerals shown along the abscissa in Figures 1 and 2 indicate the questionnaire number. Labels below the figures identify the particular question.



-43-



Fig. 2



MEDIANS AND QUARTILES FOR 6.2 AND 6.3