



#### SUMMARY

Let X(t) be a continuous n x n symmetrix matrix function of t for  $0 \le t \le 1$ , monotone in the sense that X(t) - X(s) is non-negative definite for  $1 \ge t \ge s \ge 0$ . Denote by  $[X(t) - X(s)]^{1/2}$  the unique non-negative definite square root of X(t) - X(s) for  $t \ge s$ . Take  $0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le t_N = 1$  to be a sub-division of [0, 1] and consider the sum

$$S_{N} = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} [X(t_{i+1}) - X(t_{i})]^{1/2} F(t_{i}) [X(t_{i+1}) - X(t_{i})]^{1/2},$$

where F(t) is a given continuous matrix function of t in [0, 1].

It is shown that as  $N \longrightarrow \infty$ , with Max  $(t_{i+1} - t_i) \longrightarrow 0$ , i S<sub>n</sub> converges to a linear matrix function of F which we write

$$L(F) = \int_{0}^{1} (dX)^{1/2} F(t) (dX)^{1/2}$$

This is a generalized Riemann-Stieltjes integral for matrices.

#### ON POSITIVE DEFINITE MATRICES AND STIELTJES INTEGRALS

by

Richard Bellman

§1. Introduction.

In a recent paper, [1], we considered two generalizations of the Riemann-Stieltjes integral connected with the study of positive definite matrices. One extension was considered in full generality, the other only for 2x2 matrices.

In this paper, relying upon a result of Ali R. Amir-Moez, [3], concerning the variational characterization of the eigenvalues of symmetric matrices, we shall complete the second extension.

Our final result is a Riemann-Stieltjes integral for matrices, which can be extended to many other classes of noncommutative hypercomplex number systems. This will be discussed subsequently. The motivation for the present investigation arises from an extension of classical probability theory treated in [2].

### §2. A Riemann-Stieltjes Integral for Matrices.

Let X(t) be a continuous  $n \ge n \ge n$  symmetric matrix function of t for  $0 \le t \le 1$ , monotone in the sense that X(t) - X(s) is non-negative definite for  $1 \ge t \ge s \ge 0$ . Denote by  $[X(t) - X(s)]^{1/2}$  the unique non-negative definite square root of X(t) - X(s) for  $t \ge s$ . Take  $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \cdots \leq t_N = 1$  to be a sub-division of the interval [0, 1], and consider the sum

(1) 
$$S_N = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} [X(t_{i+1}) - X(t_i)]^{1/2} F(t_i) [X(t_{i+1}) - X(t_i)]^{1/2}$$
,

where F(t) is a given continuous matrix function of t in [0, 1].

We wish to demonstrate the following:

<u>Theorem 1.</u> Let Max  $(t_{i+1} - t_i) \rightarrow 0$  as N  $\rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $S_N$ converges to a linear matric functional of F, which we write

(2) 
$$L(F) = \int_{0}^{1} (dX)^{1/2} F(t)(dX)^{1/2}$$
.

The proof of this result for  $(2 \times 2)$  matrices is contained in [1]. Below we shall present a proof of the general result.

### §3. Preliminaries.

It is sufficient to indicate the proof for the case where the sub-divisions possess a special form,  $t_k = k/N$ , with N assuming values of the form  $2^M$ ,  $M = 1, 2, \cdots$ . In this case, every sub-division is a refinement of the preceding one. Standard techniques used in the scalar theory can be carried over to the matrix case to establish the general result.

Let us now show that  $S_N$  is a uniformly bounded matrix function. We have, for any n-dimensional vector y,

10-22

(2) 
$$(\mathbf{S}_{N}\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left( \left[ \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{t}_{i+1}) - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{t}_{i}) \right]^{1/2} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{t}_{i}) \left[ \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{t}_{i+1}) - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{t}_{i}) \right]^{1/2} \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \right)$$
  
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left( \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{t}_{i}) \left[ \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{t}_{i+1}) - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{t}_{i}) \right]^{1/2} \mathbf{y}, \left[ \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{t}_{i+1}) - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{t}_{i}) \right]^{1/2} \mathbf{y} \right).$$

Since F(t) is continuous in [0, 1], we have (Fz, z)  $\leq m(z, z)$  for any z, for a fixed m. Thus

(3) 
$$(\mathbf{S}_{N}\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left( [\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{t}_{i+1}) - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{t}_{i})]^{1/2} \mathbf{y}, [\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{t}_{i+1}) - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{t}_{i})]^{1/2} \mathbf{y} \right)$$
  
$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left( \mathbf{y}, [\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{t}_{i+1}) - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{t}_{i})] \mathbf{y} \right)$$
$$\leq m \left( \mathbf{y}, [\mathbf{X}(1) - \mathbf{X}(0)] \mathbf{y} \right).$$

This completes the proof of the boundedness of  $S_N$ . Since X(t) - X(s) is symmetric, and non-negative definite, for t  $\geq$  s, we may write

where  $\lambda_{i}(t, s)$  are the characteristic roots of X(t) - X(s), taken for the sake of definitness in the order  $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}$ , and T(t, s) is an orthogonal transformation which may be taken to be continuous in t and s for  $1 \geq t \geq s \geq 0$ .

Then we may write

(5) 
$$[X(t)-X(s)]^{1/2} = T(t,s) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1(t,s)^{1/2} & 0 \\ \lambda_2(t,s)^{1/2} \\ 0 & \ddots \\ 0 & \lambda_n(t,s)^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} T'(t,s)$$

As in [1], we may show that the convergence of  $S_N$  depends upon the convergence of sums of the form

(6)  $S_{N}^{(k)} = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} g(t_{i})\lambda_{k}(t_{i+1}, t_{i}),$  $R_{N}^{(j,k)} = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} h(t_{i})(\lambda_{j}\lambda_{k})^{1/2},$ 

for  $1 \leq j, k \leq n$ , where g(t) and h(t) are continuous functions of t in [0, 1]. As in [1], it is sufficient to consider the case where g and h are constant.

The convergence of sums of the form  $S_N^{(k)}$  has been considered in [1]. It remains to consider the sums  $R_N^{(j,k)}$ .

# §4. Representation of Amir-Moez - Hoffman.

The result we require to treat the convergence of sums involving terms of the form  $(\lambda_j \lambda_k)^{1/2}$  is

<u>Theorem 2.</u> Let A be a non-negative definite matrix with <u>characteristic values</u>  $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n$ , and let  $i_1$ ,  $i_2$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $i_k$ <u>be integers such that</u>  $1 \le i_1 \le \cdots \le i_k \le n$ . <u>Then</u>

(1) 
$$\lambda_{1}\lambda_{1}^{\lambda} = \sup_{\substack{M_{1} \subset M_{2} \\ dim M_{p}=1_{p} \\ m_{p}=1_{p$$

This is a particular case of a general result of Amir-Nóez, [3], found independently by A. J. Hoffman.

## \$5. A Lemma.

Finally, we require the simple

Lomma. If A and B are 2x2 non-negative definite matrices, then

(1) 
$$[det(A + B)] > (det A) + (det B)$$

A proof of this is given in [1], and is readily established by direct calculation.

### §6. Proof of Theorem.

It is easy to see from the inequality  $(\lambda_j \lambda_k)$ .  $\leq (\lambda_j + \lambda_k)/2$ , or otherwise, that each sum of the form  $R_N^{(j,k)}$  is uniformly bounded for all N. Let us now establish the inequality

(1) 
$$\frac{R_{2}^{(j,k)} \leq R_{2}^{(j,k)}}{2^{M+1}} \leq \frac{R_{2}^{(j,k)}}{2^{M}}$$

This will demonstrate the convergence of  $R_N^{(j,k)}$  for  $N = 2^M$ . Using Theorem 2, we see that

(2) 
$$[\lambda_{j}(t_{i+1},t_{j})\lambda_{k}(t_{i+1},t_{i})]^{1/2} = \sup \inf \left| \begin{array}{c} (K_{1}x_{1},x_{1}) & (K_{1}x_{1},x_{2}) \\ (K_{1}x_{2},x_{1}) & (K_{1}x_{2},x_{2}) \end{array} \right|^{1/2}$$

where  $K_{i} = X(t_{i+1}) - X(t_{i})$ .

Let  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N$  be the additional points added to transform the N<sup>th</sup> sub-division into the  $(N+1)^{st}$  sub-division



Since

23

(3) 
$$K_{1} = X(t_{1+1}) - X(t_{1}) = [X(t_{1+1}) - X(s_{1+1})] + [X(s_{1+1}) - X(t_{1})],$$

we see upon applying the lemma of  $\S5$  to the representation in (2) above, that

$$(4) \quad [\lambda_{j}(t_{i+1},t_{i})\lambda_{k}(t_{i+1},t_{i})]^{1/2} \geq [\lambda_{j}(t_{i+1},s_{i+1})\lambda_{k}(t_{i+1},s_{i+1})]^{1/2} + [\lambda_{j}(s_{i+1},t_{i})\lambda_{k}(s_{i+1},t_{i})]^{1/2}.$$

This yields the desired monotonicity and completes the proof of Theorem 1.

# BIBLIOGRA PHY

10-

- R. Bellman, Limit Theorems for Non-Commutative Process-II.
  On a Generalization of the Stieltjes Integral, Rendiconti del Palermo (to appear).
- 2. R. Bellman, On a Generalization of Classical Probability Theory—I. Markoff Chains, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., Vol. 39 (1953), pp. 1075-1077.
- 3. Ali R. Amir-Moéz, Extreme Properties of Eigenvalues of a Hermitian Transformation and Singular Values of the Sum and Product of a Linear Transformation, <u>Duke Math. Jour.</u>, Vol. 23 (1956), pp. 463-477.