AD NUMBER

AD524796

CLASSIFICATION CHANGES

TO:

unclassified

FROM:

confidential

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

FROM:

Distribution authorized to DoD only; Administrative/Operational Use; JUL 1972. Other requests shall be referred to US Army Land Warfare Lab., Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005.

AUTHORITY

31 Dec 1978 per document markings; US Army Materiel Systems Analysis Activity ltr dtd 9 Jul 1982



TECHNICAL REPORT NO. LWL-CR-04F70

QUIET, SPECIAL-PURPOSE REVOLVER (QSPR) DESIGN IMPROVEMENTS (U)

Final Report

By

W. L. Lineweaver R. W. Schnepfe

AAI Corporation P.O. Box 6767 Baltimore, Maryland

July 1972

U. S. Army Land Warfare Laboratory Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005

. T. MICHAIL SLOCKING INFORMATION •Unauthorized Dignlogure Subject to Criminal DECLASSIFY 31 Dec 1978 Sauetious" THIS DUC

TATE AN ANNAL ANNER AN AUTIO

SECURITY MARKING

The classified or limited status of this report applies to each page, unless otherwise marked. Separate page printouts MUST be marked accordingly.

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE ESPIONAGE LAWS, TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTIONS 793 AND 794. THE TRANSMISSION OR THE REVELATION OF ITS CONTENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW.

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

REPRODUCTION QUALITY NOTICE

This document is the best quality available. The copy furnished to DTIC contained pages that may have the following quality problems:

- Pages smaller or larger than normal.
- Pages with background color or light colored printing.
- Pages with small type or poor printing; and or
- Pages with continuous tone material or color photographs.

Due to various output media available these conditions may or may not cause poor legibility in the microfiche or hardcopy output you receive.

If this block is checked, the copy furnished to DTIC contained pages with color printing, that when reproduced in Black and White, may change detail of the original copy.

ABSTRACT

\$

X

è

1

(U) The LNL Tunnel Weapon was evaluated in the Republic of Viet Ham during July through October 1969. The results of this evaluation indicated that the weapon system was well received primarily because the low firing noise permitted use of the weapon without giving away the user's position. In addition to its tunnel exploration role, the weapon was used in embush eituations and in search and destroy operations. Because of this, the weapon is now designated the Quiet, Special-Purpose Ravolver (QSFR).

(U) Before consideration could be given to quantity procurement, it was necessary to correct any weapon and assumition deficiencies noted during the RVN evaluation, particularly those reported as assumition misfires.

(U) The objectives of this program were to determine the causes of misfires and malfunctions of the Quiet, Special-Purpose Revolver and its : secciated low signature, multi-projectile summittion; to modify or redesign components to effect necessary corrections including testing of all components to essure reliability of corrective action; and to modify weapons and fabricate annualtion for reliability testing by USALML.

(U) The effort expended under this contract revealed that the major causes of the misfires were the marginal firing pin energy and the combination anvil-primor design of the ampunition. A secondary or helper spring was added to the weapon's mainspring that provided a 50% increase in firing pin energy and eliminated mainspring degradation. The ampunition was redesigned with favor parts and the primer was repositioned and exposed at the base of

111



the round for direct contact by the firing pin as in conventional amaunition. These design improvements resulted in not a single misfire throughout the development, assurance, and acceptance tests associated with this program. (U) Numerous other design improvements were incorporated into the weapon, ammunition and holster assembly. At the completion of the program, improved weapons, improved holster assemblies, and improved ammunition complete with packaging were delivered for further user tests.

(U) A series of firing tests were conducted by LWL to evaluate the reliability and affectivaness of the QSPR and ammunition. Analyses of the data showed the reliability of the weapon and ammunition to be good at this stage in the development and that the QSPR offers considerable lethality improvement over both the caliber .38 revolver and the caliber .43 pistol inside the ranges of interest.

FOREWORD

(J) This work was conducted for the USA Land Warfare Laboratory under the terms of Contract No. DAADO5-70-C-0270. This report relates all efforts authorized under the terms of this contract. The task performed under the basic contract was to determine the causes of ammunition misfires and malfunctions and to affect remedial action to eliminate same. A modification of the basic contract included the fabrication and testing of various barrol configurations to yield the optimum hallis ic dispersion. A further contract modification provided for fabrication of t dditional weapons and holsters and modification of existing weapons and ammunition.

(U) Tesks No. 5, 6 and 8 of Contract No. DAAD05-71-C-0270 provided environmental tests, corrective rework, additional environmental tests and corrective rework of remaining QSPR emmunition.

....

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | | PALIE | | |
|-----------------|------------|--|-------|--|--|
| ABSTR | ACT | | III | | |
| FORESH | 0RD | •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | v | | |
| I. | DISCUSSION | | | | |
| | ۸. | Background accession and a second and a second accession and a second accession and a second accession acc | 1 | | |
| | 3. | Revolver Evaluation & Decign Improvements | 3 | | |
| | c. | Assumition Evaluation & Design Improvements | 13 | | |
| | D. | Noister Svaluation & Design Improvements | 29 | | |
| | ٤. | Lethality Investigations | 30 | | |
| | r. | Test Date | | | |
| | | t. Development Teste | 30 | | |
| | | 2. Assurance Tests | 23 | | |
| | | 3. Vinal Acceptance Tests | 34 | | |
| | | 4. Government Reliability Tests | 34 | | |
| 11. | COS | CLUSIONS AND RECONCIENDATIONS | 35 | | |
| A PP ENI | XI | | | | |
| | A, | CERTIFICATION OF "TROOF" TESTING | 37 | | |
| | B. | TEST RESULTS OF 200 ASSURANCE TEST FIRINGS | 39 | | |
| | c. | TEST RESULTS OF 125 FINAL DESIGN ACCEPTANCE TEST PIRINGS OF THE IMPHOVED QUIET, SPECIAL- PIRENCE REVOLVER AND ANOTHITION | 55 | | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

FACE

| D. | AQLE PLANCE TEST OF REVORAED ON PLANHUNITION | 66 |
|--------------|--|----|
| g. | CERTIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL CUNDITIONING OF QSFR REVOLVER AND AMOUNITION | 69 |
| ۲. | CERTIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONING OF QS FR ANNIHITION | 72 |
| G. | QS PR RELIABILITY AND ACCURACY TEST PROCRAM AND EVALUATION | 75 |
| DISTRIBUTION | LIST | |

HINCI ASSIFIED

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

| | | | PACE |
|--------|---|---|------|
| Figure | 1 | QUIET, SPECIAL-PURPOSE REVOLVER (QSIN) | 5 |
| FICURE | 2 | COPPER CRUSHER INDENTATION DEPTHS VERSUS FIRING FIN ENERGY FOR 10 GFP WEAFONS AND 6 NEW HAINSPRINGS | 6 |
| FIGIRE | 3 | AMMUNITION DESIGN AS EVALUATED IN RVN | 14 |
| FIGURE | 4 | INTROVED OS PRAMMITION CONFIGURATION (EXPOSED PRIMER) | 1c |
| Figure | 5 | QSER ANMUNITION WITH REDESIGNED PRIMER KETAINER | 25 |
| FIGURE | 0 | DIPROVED PROGR SEALING TECHNIQUE | 28 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE | 1 | LETHALITY | data , | <u>AFITA'I</u> | L INCAPACITATIC |)Y 4000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 71 |
|-------|---|-----------|--------|----------------|-----------------|--|----|
| TABLE | 2 | LETIALITY | data, | 1002 1 | NCAPACITATION - | | 32 |

L.(C) DISCUSSION

(U) A. Background

(U) The Quist, Special=Purpose Revolver (QSPR) is a balanced, compact, six=shot, cylinder=loaded, exposed=hasmer, selective=double=action, modified Smith and Wesson .44 Magnum revolver. It fires a special cartridge containing 15 high density pellets at a sound level comparable to the silenced .22 caliber pistol. This multipellet cartridge improves its effectiveness since the shot pattern is similar to that of a shotgun. Its low signature characteristic coupled with its high density multi=projectile capability render it highly effective in quick response, close=in tactical situations.

(U) This weapon system was valuated in the Republic of Viet Nam during July through October 1969. The results of this evaluation indicated that the weapon system was well received, primarily because the low firing noise permitted use of the weapon without giving away the user's position. Its multi-pellet cartridge afforded effective fire capability in those situations where there was no time for point or aim fire and was thus found to be ideally suited for ambushes. Respondents particularly liked to use the weapon when bunkers, houses and spider holes were encountered on search and destroy operations. Its small size enabled them to reach quickly around corners and fire without exposing more than a hand and arm. This capability had a beneficial psychological effect on respondents, and they reported it was possible to clear such areas much more rapidly with the

L

ICLASSIFIED

QSPR than with a rifle. Several comments were reported thus this weapon system would be ideally suited as a survival weapon for aircrews and Special Forces personnel.

(U) Before consideration could be given to quantity procurement, it was necessary to correct any weapon and ammunition deficiencies noted during the RVN evaluation, particularly those reported as ammunition misfires. In addition, numerous user comments regarding possible improvements to the system were worthy of consideration.

(U) The objectives of this program were to determine the causes of ammunition misfires and malfunctions; to modify or redesign components to effect necessary corrections including testing of all components to assure reliability of corrective action; and to modify weapons and fabricate ammunition for reliability testing by USALWL. The program was divided into three phases.

(U) Phase I included the design avaluation to determine the causes of the mounition misfires and malfunctions and to effect remedial action to eliminate same. Other design considerations were directed toward improved amounition scaling techniques, improved weapon and amounition protective finishes, an improved holster and cartridge carrier design, is proved lathelity capability of the amounition and the addition of a lanyard retaining ring to the weapon. At the conclusion of Phase I, 200 improved rounds were labricated and test fired for design assurance tests,

(9) Phase II included the fabrication of 1125 additional rounds.
125 for final acceptance test firings at this contractor's facility, and
1000 for final delivery to USALWL.

LASSIFIED

(U) Phase III included the fabrication, test and evaluation of three barrel configurations to establish the optimum design for the desired ballistic dispersion.

(U) An extended scope of work was provided to fabricate additional weepons and holsters and to perform design alteration and rework of weapons and emmunition after USALWL reliability tests revealed malfunctions. After correction of these deficiencies, samples of ammunition and weapons were subjected to environmental tests. The presence of moisture in the ammunition indicated additional waterproofing to be necessary. The correction was made and varified by additional environmental tests. The balance of ammunition we hand we then corrected and delivered to the USALWL,

(U) Following is a detailed discussion of the weapon systems deficiencies revealed as a result of the effort expended under the contract, along with the resulting design improvements. Those improvements associated with the revolver, ammunition and holster are presented respectively along with a discussion on lethality investigations and a section including test data.

(U) B. Revolver Evaluation and Design Improvements

(U) Ten GPP Weepone (Modified Smith and Wesson .44 magnum revolvers), Figure 1, while carefully examined to ascertain any obvious faults or discrepancies as a result of manufacture, assembly, or use in the field, that may have contributed to amounition misfires experienced during the RVN evaluation. Considerable mushrooming of the firing pin was quite evident and consequently the firing pin protruction above the branch face was significaptly under tolerance. In addition, brinelling of the weapon's frame at the

3

UNCLASSIFIED

breach face axisted on all ten weapons as a result of round setback forces. It was further observed that the mainspring tensioning screw was not seated on all ten weapons, but had either become loose or was backed out intentionally to achieve a lower trigger pull. The backing off of this spring tensioning werew would significantly degrade firing pin energy.

(U) The available firing pin energy was measured for each weapon with the aid of a simulated round, copper crushers and an original Smith and Wesson firing pio. The mainspring tensioning screw was fully seated in all cause and a mussle-up weapon orientation was employed since this orientation yielded the least cropper crusher indentation depth and represented the minimum energy level. Similarly, the double action mode of vespon operation was also employed axclusively. The minimum and maximum copper crusher indentation depths recorded for the ten weapons. Fig: 2 2, correspond to firing pin energy levels of 11.0 and 31.5 inch outputs respectively. Also indicated is the manufacturer's energy requirement to reliably fire the No. 1 1/2 small pistol primer (used in the existing empunition) and the No. 1/2 large plotol primer (used in stendard .44 magnum essemiltion). It became quite obvious that some of the weapons exhibited firing pin energies conciderably below that required to reliably fire the No. 1 1/2 primer, even with the mainspring tensioning screw fully sested. and neglecting the additional energy loss absorbed in accelerating the anvil into the primer. (See section on argunition). It therefore became reasonable to assume that there low firing pin energy levels could have been resconsible for amminition misfires, particularly, if the mainspring tencioning screw had been backed out to achieve a lover trigger pu'"



5

ź





COPPER CONSIGNE EXCEPTION DEFINES VERSUS FINING FIN ENERGY FOR 10 GFP WEAPONS AND 6 NEW MAINSFRINGS . FICURE 2 3

Nothing A. Call

134.13

11.12.12

(ຫ) New unused mainsprings were secured from Smith and Wesson and energy levels were recorded for six of them, in a single weapon, and under identical conditions as before. These values are also prevented in Figure 2. Note that the significantly higher energies from these new springs all exceed the energy requirements for the large pistol primer. It was concluded at this point that the mainsprings in the ten GPP weapons had definitely experienced a degradation. It was further theorised that severe hammer rebound could cause this detrimental effect by exercising the spring at an extremely high rate. Hanner rebound was known to be prevalent because of the reversing action of the anvil within the round itself. At the onset of firing, the envil is first driven forward into the primer by the impact of the firing pin. Upon round initiation the envil is then thrust regreard to its initial static position. It is this rearward movement of the anvil against the firing pin that is responsible for the harmer rebound phenomena. Subsequent high speed motion pictures of the mainspring and hammer movements during firing substantiated that the heamer rebounds all the way back in .003 seconds and impacts the waspon frame, However, when compared to the .243 inches of spring travel, a spring velocity of 6.5 feet per second was realized. Thus, it became obvious that the spring velocity during hammer rebound was relatively low, and not a significant factor in spring degradation. It was further observed, however, that noticeable spring oscillation occurred after the hammer impacted the weapon's frame. It is believed that these oscillations occur as a result of the kinetic energy remaining in the spring after the hammer has impacted thu frame.

(U) Concurrent analytical studies indicated that fully seating the epring tensioning screw resulted in such a high initial pre-load, that the

7

spring was being stressed beyond its yield point when the hommer is fully cocked against the frame (point of maximum spring deflection). These analytical results were subsequently verified experimentally. Three new unused mainsprings were individually installed, again in a single weapon with spring tensioning screw fully seated, and dry cycled by hand cocking the hanner until it contacted the frame 100 times each. Energy measurement, both before and after cycling, indicated the firing pin energies of these three springs were degraded 18.5%, 23.0%, and 37.0%, respectively. Thus, it was concluded that a portion of the energy loss experienced in the ten GFF weapons can be correlated to the fact that the springs were overstressed initially. Furthermore, additional stresses induced into the spring Jue to hammer rebound (as computed from motion characteristics observed from the high speed motion pictures) could have had a further progressive degrading effect.

(U) Continued experimentation revealed that if the spring tensioning screw was restricted such that the springs were not overstressed initially, then no energy degradation occurred due to dry cycling. However, in so doing, the available firing pin energy was of the magnitude of 22.5 inch ounces, and afforded little excess of the 20 inch ounces required to reliably fire the No. 1 1/2 primer. Such a small safety factor on firing pin energy was considered incompatible with the primary objective of this program, namely to increase round functioning reliability by eliminating misfires.

(U) Consequently, a dual spring installation was designed consisting of the original mainspring with limited preload so as not to be overstressed, and an additional small compression spring placed between the mainspring and weapon handgrip frame as shown on the following page. The resulting firing pin energy of 34.0 inch nunces, attributable to this secondary or helper spring.

bastances

UNCLASSIFIED



represents a 30% energy increase and effectively provides a significant safety factor over the 20 inch ounces required. Subsequent monitoring of this improved dual apring installation through repeated dry cycling and actual live firing tests revealed no apring energy degradation whatsoever. Also of extreme importance is the fact that not a single misfire occurred throughout this program with the advent of the dual spring installation and other ammunition design improvements discussed later in this report.

(U) Two GPP weapons were modified to accept this dual spring installation. At the same time, a hardened steel insert was installed in the breech face on one of them, to prevent brinsling due to round setback forces. Concurrent empunition improvements permitted the removal of the muchroomed firing pins and these were replaced with standard Smith and Wesson firing pins (See section on amounition). Barly testing with the increased firing pin energy produced a few minor primer punctures, necessitating a slight reduction in firing pin protrusion and end radius configuration. These two modified weapons, designated as a primary and secondary weapon, were used for all subsequent development and assurance tests. A few weapon problems arose during the

9

assurance test of 200 rounds but were subsequently corrected. These problems are fully discussed in Appendix 5.

(U) Various protective finishes for the weapon were investigated to improve the corrosion and wear resistance afforded by the existing weapon blueing. A black Teflon-S^{*} coating was selected as the most promising and this finish was applied to all internal and external surfaces of a .38 special revolver (not associated with this program) for evaluation. Teflon-S^{*} is a non-stick, self-lubricating, easy to clean, rust resistant, protective finish, first applied and subsequently cured by oven baking. Evaluation of this finish included weapon test firings, and subjection to corrosion inducing environments. The Teflon-S^{*} coating was remarkably easy to clean and exhibited superior rust prevention qualities as opposed to other revolver finishes. Thus, the Teflon-S^{*} coating was selected as the improved protective finish for the Quiet, Special-Purpose Revolver.

(U) At the conclusion of this basic program, four new weapons ware procured from Smith and Wesson and remade into Quiet, Special Purpose Revolvers. In addition to all modifications previously required, the four new weapons consained the following design improvements:

- a .562 inch diameter hardened steel insurt recessed in the breech face to praclude brindling due to round setback forces.
- 2. a heat treated hand pin and hammer pivot pin.
- J. a modified firing pin length and end radius.
- * Registered DuPont Trudemark

10

- a secondary or helper spring along with the weapon's mainspring to increase firing pin emergy.
- mainspring tensioning screw pinned in place to preclude firing pin energy adjustment.
- 6. a lanyard retaining ring located in the hand grip butt.
- 7. a .400 inch diameter straight bore barrel.
- 8. an improved dull black Taflon-S" protective finish.

(U) These additional mr 'ifications were a direct result of weapon improvements evaluated during this program and were considered to satisfy all program objectives. The four new weapons were used exclusively at the end of the program for weapon and ammunition acceptance test, without incident, prior to final dolive j. The straight bore barrel was selected during acceptance tests for imploved ballistic dispersion. This is more fully documented in the test section of this report.

(U) Delivery of the four weepone to the Government and their subsequent test farings revealed the following problem eress:

- Excessive wear between the mating surfaces of the cylinder and the cylinder mounting yoke,
- e Revolver side plate screws loosening during firing, and
- Difficulty in functioning the weapon due to ensunition interference during cylinder rotation,

(U) A contract modification was awarded to provide corrective measures for the above problems, incorporate these corrections into the four QSPR weapons tested and febricate two new QSPR weapons t the revised configuration.

(U) Inspection of the four QSPR weapons revealed that upon firing, the recoil of the cylinder is transmitted into the weapon thru a small bearing

11

UNCLASSIFIED

area on the cylinder mounting yoks. This bearing area, though adequate for the standard .44 magnum ammunition, was excessively worn due to the combined recoil of firing the QSPR ammunition and the subsequent forward load transmitted thru the cylinder by stopping the piston inside the ammunition.

(U) The result of this wear is excessive clearance in the forward and aft location of the cylinder. This movement is thought to contribute greatly to the difficulty in functioning the weapon due to annunition interferance during cylinder rotation.

(U) Correction of this wear problem was accomplished by machining off the existing bearing surfaces on the cylinder and mounting yoke and adding a hardened steel washer of larger diameter such that the new bearing area is approximately tripled. Subsequent test firings have demonstrated the success of this modification.

(U) The loosening of the weapon side plate screws during firing was thought to be caused by decreased friction due to the addition of the Teflon-3th protective finish. The corraction of this problem was accomplished by using screws with nylon inserts in the threads. These inserts provide a locking effect which prevented any subsequent locaening during test firings.

(U) The difficulty in functioning the weepon due to semunition
 interference during cylinder rotation was thought to be predominantly caused
 by the cylinder and cylinder mounting yoke wear problem. An additional weepon
 modification was made however to further assure elimination of the problem.
 (U) An improved surface beval at the root of the barrel was added

so that, as the next round of assumition was advanced into the firing position

UNCLASSIFIED

by cylinder rotation, there would be no sharp corners to cause any resistance. (U) In addition to all modifications to a standard Smith and Wesson .44 Magnum pistol previously required, the following design improvements were thus made to yield the completed QSPR weapon under the scope of this contract modification.

- Addition of a hardened steel washer between cylinder and yoke to provide increased bearing surfaces.
- Addition of nylon inserts in the side plate screws to prevent loosening during firing.
- 3. Improved surface beval at the roar of the barrel for smooth rotation of the cartridge.

Four improved and two new QSPR weapons were delivered to the Government. (U) Task Number 5 of Contract No. DAADO5-71-C-0270 provided for environmental conditioning of QSPR weapon and emmunition. Inspection and tests of the conditioned weapon revealed no malfunctions. Appendix "%" contains details of the environmental conditioning of the QSPR weapon.

G. Ammunition Evaluation and Design Improvements

(U) The existing engineering drawings of the assumition were carefully surveyed with regard to tolerance build-up, press fits, ease of assembly and reliable functioning. One area workhy of consideration with regard to misfirms is in the primer retainer assembly (See Figure 3). As then designed, in the extreme case, the anvil could project into the primer as much as .Oll inches, even after the primer had been consolidated as much as .Oll inches. A possible over-consolidation of the primer could have a desensitizing effect and attribute to malfunctions or misfirms. Forty-seven GFP rounds of samunition, designated as misfires from the HVN evaluation, were evaluated by rediography to escention any obvious results or discrepancies as a result of

13





(U) FICURE 3 AMPRIMITION DESIGN AS EVALUATED IN RVN

•••

CLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

manufacture or assembly. Examination of the A-rays proved negative, in fact, no appreciable movement of the anvil could be detected. This observation tended to support the theory that the staking incquer used around the anvil, when allowed to amply cure for several months, could conceivably prevent of recard the envil's forward movement into the primer and thus absorb most of the available firing pin energy. To check this, primer sensitivity tests ware conducted on fifthen unused rounds returned from Viet Nam. The primer assemblies were removed from the rounds, placed in a test fixture, and subjected to a firing pin energy of 20 inch ounces, the manufacturer's recommendation to reliably fire the No. 1 1/2 small pictol primer. All fifteen primars functioned on the first impact even though the firing pin penetration uca varied from .045 inches to .030 inches. Although this relatively small sempling was recognized, it bucame evident at this point that the staking leaguer was not the primery reason for the misfirer. Fifteen primer assemblies identified as misfires, were then subjected to the same test with twelve primers functioning and three primers not functioning. The three primers that did not function were each subjected to a second and third impact, again without functioning, although the anvil had moved forward approximately ,020 inches in all three cases.

(9) Considerable emphasis was directed toward an ammunition redesign that would simplify assembly and at the same time increase reliability. The most direct approach was to eliminate the anvil in its entirety, and thus eliminate all of its associated problems. These included (1) an undesirable length over diameter (L/D) ratio that facilitated cocking or canting as the

15

184 at la think and the Marsh Way Dr. of Day and some and this to

VCLASSIFIED

anvil is driver forward, (2) the undesirable loss of firing pin energy that the anvil absorbed during acceleration, and (3) the detrimental mushrooming effect the anvil produced on the firing pin. It was further concluded that if the primes were inserted from the rear into the threaded base plug, and tetained from blow-out due to internal pressures with a trimp, then not only the anvil, but another closely toleranced part could be eliminated as well, namely the retaining sleeve. Furthermore, by so doing, the firing pin would contact the relatively soft primer directly as in conventional ammunition, the mushrooming of the firing pin would be eliminated, and increased reliability would result.

(U) This reasoning led to the development of the redesigned primer in..allation, henceforth referred to as an improved explored primer round configuration. A reassessment of the loads in the base plug of the round indicated that heat treatment was not necessary. After a thorough materials cearch, the managing stuel that was currently used for the base plug was selected, but in the annealed condition. Strength, currosion resistance and elongation properties dictated this selection. Associated tooling was prepared and various crimping flamps configurations were fabricated and subsequently subjected to static tasts. The annealed managing steel crimped exceedingly well and unhibited and consibility was demonstrated when the crimp successfully captivated the primer against all internal pressures and the primer did not blow through when indented directly by the firing pin. A small rifle primer was employed initially because of its increased material

UNCLASSIFIED

thickness. Reliability data was being sought when a primer blow-through occurred on the 10th and 11th test firings, which also included a 10% propellant overcharge by weight. One out of two subsequent test firings with the standard propellant charge also produced a primer blow-through. Examination of the standard charge round that contained the primer failure revealed that not onl had the primer extruded up flush with the top of the crimped surface, as was customary, but had actually extruded around on top of the crimped surface (between the crimped surface and breech face). Up to this time, the base plug was being threaded into the cartridge case until the orimer crimp surface was flush or as below flush as practical. It was therefore decided to back the threaded base plug out until the primer crimp surface was always above the base of the cartridge case, assuring direct bearing against the breach face at round setback. This approach was henceforth used exclusively with complete success including test firings with 10% propellant overcharges. The No. 1 1/2 small pistol primer was subsequently repeatedly test fired without any primer failures. This was considered a big breakthrough with regard to weapon firing pin energy requirements, for now the weapon need only to consistently deliver in excess of the 20 inch ounces to ruliably fire the No. 1 1/2 primer, rather than energies in.excess of the 36 inch ounces to reliably fire the No. 6 1/2 small rifle primer.

(U) Consequently, this improved exposed primer round configuration, shown in Figure 4, was employed throughout the remainder of this program, and coupled with weapon improvements previously discussed, resulted in not a single misfire, the primary objective of this program. Additional

17

refinement entailed the inclusion of a curved spring washer, also shown in Figure 4 to keep the piston in intimate contact with the payload, now that the threaded base plug was not positioned against the piston. Improved assembly techniques were devised that facilitated round assembly while consistently positioning the crimped surface of the threaded base plug a known distance above the base of the cartridge case. The assembly fixture was modified to accept a dial indicator and a total tolerance on round overall length of .004 inches was found to be practical. This variation coupled with a total tolerance of the cartridge case of .005 inches, resulted in a tolerance of the crimp projection above the cartridge case of .009 inches. The overall length of the round was fixed a 1.866 \pm .002 inches and the length of the cartridge case was reduced slightly to yield a crimp projection above the cartridge case of .006 to .015 inches. Also, the more closely controlled overall round length (.004 inches) associated with a more closely controlled dimension on the weapon, from breach face to aft face of barrel. (.002 inches) permitted a reduction in head space. This closer controlled head space of from .003 to .009 inches further inhanced reliability and significantly reduced hammer rebound to one half of its allowable stroke. as evidenced by high speed motion pictures.

(U) Improved cartridge scaling at both ends was investigated and as a result, no significant change was made in the scalant used at the sabot end of the cartridge except for color. However, an improved scalant was selected for the threaded base of the cartridge. The basic requirements for an effective scalant at the sabot end of the cartridge ware summarized as follows:

UNCLASSIFIED



- must be self leveling type potting compound that will flow and fill up the voids in and around the sabot and stopping threads.
- must cure in the presence of moisture and air to an elastic solid that is both heat and water resistant.
- 3. must not harden to the point when it becomes brittle and susceptible to cracking.
- should be a one part pre-mixed compound with unlimited pot life.

The white RTV-112 Silicone Rubber used previously was found to possess all of the aforementioned qualities. Examination of the sealant on all of the returned GFP rounds revealed the sealant to be in excellent condition and water immersion tests produced no visible deterioration of the sealant. Research revealed numerous higher strength RTV⁰s but none were found possessing the self-leveling quality. Consequently, the same white RTV-112 Silicone Rubber was utilized on one half of the design assurance test rounds, and a similar clear or translucent RTV-118 Silicone Rubber was utilized on the remaining half of the test rounds (Seu Appendix E). Since no appreciable difference on round performance could be detected, the clear RTV-118 was selected as the final choice for the sealant at the sabot end of the cartridge, because of its more subdued color and compatibility with the improved cartridge finish.

(U) Examination of the RTV-106 scalant used on the threaded primer retainer at the base of the cartridge case on the returned GFP rounds indicated an effective cure had not been achieved, as evidenced by the low torque required for removal. This was attributable to the fact that the

UNCLASSIFIED

RTV-106 requires a moisture laden environment to cure. Therefore, an anacrobic type sealent (one that cures in the absence of air) which would positively secure the threaded base plug from rotation when properly applied and cured, was sought. Loctite Retaining Compound No. 1886 provided the answer, not only because of its anaarobic qualities, but also because of its known compatibility with N-9 double base propellant. Component essembly with this compound and subsequent efforts toward disassembly varified its retaining capabilities, and firing tests demonstrated its sealing characteristics. Some outgassing was notice ble around the threads on as occasional round immediately after firing, however, this was not considered objectionable, did not degrade performance, and was no more serious than the occasional gas leak experienced at the piston end of the round. Therefore, Loctite Rataining Compound No. 1886 was selected and utilized exclusively as the improved sealant at the threaded base of the round. In addition, both threaded surfaces were pre-primed with Locquic Primer Grade T prior to the Loctite 1886 application in order to re-activate the surfaces after black chrome plating.

(U) Humarous protective finishes for the ammunition were investigated from a cost effectiveness point of view and the most promising candidate finishes were then subjected to Salt/Humidity Environmental Comparison Tests. Sample cartridge cases treated with each finish were immersed for two minutes in a 20% solution of Sodium Chloride and water, placed in a humidity chamber at 100°F and 95-100% relative humidity for 4 hours, removed and rinsed in fresh water and returned to the same chamber environment for approximately

21

UNCLASSIFIED

120 hours. The specific protective finishes under consideration included black chrome plating applied both over the base metal and gray electroless nickel, gray electroless nickel plating, black electroless nickel plating, various oxide finishes imposed during heat treatment, and bright bare metal. These test specimens were then compared and rated with regard to their corrosion resistant qualities. The results indicated that the black chrome plating applied directly to the base metal afforded the most corrosion protection for the least cost, and therefore was selected as the improved protective finish for the QSFR amunition.

(U) At the conclusion of the development phase of this program, two hundred improved rounds were fabricated for assurance tests. Upon successful completion of these tests, 1125 additional rounds were fabricated, of which 125 were subjected to final acceptance tests and the remaining 1000 rounds were delivered complete with packaging. All of these newly fabricated rounds contained the following design improvements:

- an exposed, crimped-in-place primer positioned at the base of the round permitting direct contact with the weapon's firing pin.
- 2. the elimination of two parts and their associated pressed and sliding fits, namely the snvil and retaining sleeve.
- a practical assembly technique facilitating a more closely controlled round overall length (permits reduced head space).
- the use of a clear scalant at the subst end of the cartridge, namely RTV-110 Silicone Rubber.
- 5. the use of an improved thread scalant at the base of the round, namely Loctite Retaining Compound No. 1886.

UNCLASSIFIED

- 6. the addition of a curved spring washer to keep the piston regardless of tolerance variations.
- 7. a dull black chrome plating applied to the external aurfaces of the round.

All of the afformentioned design changes were a direct result of ammunition improvements evaluated during this program, and the resulting increase in reliability over the original configuration satisfied all program objectives.
(U) Delivery of the 1000 rounds was made on 23 December, 1970. Contract modification No. P00008, dated 10 March, 1971 was received and work was immediately initiated to accomplish design alterations on the ammunition to correct problems found in the Governments preliminary testing.

(U) Primer crimp failures on the newly redesigned primer retainer were experienced upon firing. This resulted in the primer being pushed out by internal pressure, jamming the ammunition in the chamber and prevention of the weapon cylinder rotation. No failures of this type had been experienced in the development of this design or the lot acceptance tests.

(U) The reason for the material failure was determined to be the stress corrosion cracking characteristics of the maraging steel used in primer ratainer fabrication. Strass corrosion cracking refers to greatly accelerated corrosion that takes place in certain environments when metals contain certain internal tensil stresses. Depending on the conditions stress corrosion failures can take place from within a few hours to many months. All testing of the ammunition had always taken place within two weeks of fabrication while Government tests were made after several months of storage. The internal stresses present in the material as a result of crimping and the fact that a certain amount of

time was required before failure indicates stress corresion crecking to be the cause of the crimp failures.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

weiter aus Haustrafe Methodad af

(U) An improved primer retainer was designed for the ammunition that alleviated the stress corrosion problems encountered with the primer crimp. The exposed primer configuration permitting direct contact with the firing pin was maintained, however, the crimp or swaging operation associated with the stress corresion cracking of the maraging steel was eliminated in its entirety. Twenty (20) primer retainers reflecting this improved design were manufactured and subsequently assembled into twenty GFP rounds of QSPR ammunition, after removel of their existing primer retainers. Development test firings were conducted, in the presence of the Project Officer, to establish the integrity of this redesign. All twenty rounds functioned normally and cylinder rotation problems experienced previously were noticeably non existent.

(U) Figure 5 shows this new round with the redesigned primer retainer. The exposed primer configuration was maintained, however, the primer is pressed into the retainer and then backed up by a threaded restraining sleave.

(1) Based on the successful performance of this design change, manufacturing was initiated for additional primer retainers for the remaining rounds.

(U) The existing assembly fixture was modified to facilitate the safe disassembly of the old primer retainer assemblies from the GFP rounds. New primer retainers were assembled and installed in the cases. Assembled lengths as well as sealing techniques were maintained the same as the crimpad retainer design.


UNCLASSIFIED

(U) Prior to delivery of reworked rounds acceptance tests were conducted including three "proof" tests. The results of these tests are given in Appendix "D" of this report.

(U) In assessing the accumulated test results up to this point, it became apparent that the velocities were somewhat lower than those previously recorded when this lot of ammunition was originally acceptance test fired. This velocity decay is difficult to explain since the propellant charge within the round itself was not disturbed in any way during rework, and numerous precautions were employed to prevent moisture accumulation during the short interval the rounds were unassembled. Three of the reworked rounds were disassembled, and the moisture content of their propellant charge was determined to be .23%. This compares to a moisture content of .29% for the same lot of propellant that had been stored in a megazine. These same three rounds were then reloaded with the new propellant from the magazine, and subsequently test fired with no significant improvement in velocity.

(U) Continued sussessment of the velocity decay problem centered eround the addition of a screwdriver slot on the retaining sleave in the redesigned primer retainer assembly. This slot represents a nominal 4% increase in the initial volume of the propellant burning chamber. To more closely observe the effect of this volume change on round performance, three additional rounds were disassembled and reassembled with one primer retainer that did not contain the screwdriver slot. These rounds were fired and exhibited some increase in average velocity. Due to the small sample size involved (three rounds) the decrease in velocity due to increased initial vumume could not be proven conclusively, however, the results indicate that it was a major factor.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UHCLASSIFIED

(U) Though a slight average velocity decrease was experienced, no significant less in performance was expected therefore the ammunition was accepted by and delivered to the Government.

(U) Teak Number 5 of Contract No. DAAD05-71-C-0270 was provided to conduct environmental conditioning of two hundred (200) improved rounds of QSPR ammunition. Appendix "E" includes a test report cartification of the conditioning. The ammunition was then delivered to the Government.

(U) Tests of the conditioned rounds revealed a substantial reduction in performance which was attributed to moleture entry in the propeliant and primer area of the annunition. Task Number 6 of Contract No. DAAD05-/1-C-0270 was entered into to correct the moleture entry problem and conduct ad itional environmental conditioning of reventy-five (75) corrected rounds of QSFR assumition.

(U) Improvements in the sealing around the primar wave thought to be sufficient to correct the problem. The original technique for sealing was accomplished by application of lacquar after the primer was pressed into place against the locating rim on the primer retainer. To improve the seal in this area the locating rim on the primer retainer. To improve the seal the sim. This slowed iscquer was applied just before the primer was pressed egainst the sim. This slowed iscquer to flow around the end of the primer and provide sealant between the primer retainer rim. Figure 6 shows the location of the lacquer sealant in the corrected design.



(U) DIPROVED FRINCE SEALING TECHNIQUE FIGURE 6

(U) Primer retainer essemblies were febricated using the improved ecaling technique and seventy-five (73) were subjected to environmental conditioning as outlined in the test report in Appendix "F". Test firings by the Government of these conditioned rounds revealed no further problems with the corrected assumption.

(U) Task Humber 8 of Contract Humber DAADOS-71-C-0270 was provided to correct the remainder of the rounds delivered to the Government. The resulting delivery of rounds of packaged, reworked QSPR amounition was made after acceptance test firings were made.

28

BODER ASLANDER SHOALA

30 V . S. 1955

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

(U) D. Holster Evaluation and Design Improvements

(U) The 10 used GFP holster and cartridge carrier assemblies were

examined with regard to those deficiencies found by the user. These included:

- 1. the formation of ruse on the metal snaps and fasteners of the holster, cartridge carrier and associated straps.
- 2. the pulling loose of the snap retainer from the leather on the cartirdge carrier.
- the flap corners on the cartridge carrier would roll up during tunnel or brush penetration permitting the cartridges to fall out.
- the cartridge carrier would inadvertently shift on its associated belt or strap.

A conference with the holster manufacturer resulted in the following mutually agreeable changes and improvements:

- increase the leather thickness of the cartridge carrier from 5 = 5 ounces per square foot to 7 = 8 ounces per square foot.
- mold the cartridge carries to more closely house the ammunition pack.
- curve and taper the outside flaps of the cartridge carrier to eliminate projecting corners.
- reduce size of belt slits on certridge carrier and increase number of slits from two to four to permit double weaving of the belt.
- interchange locations of spring fastener and "D" ring on holster and belt.
- use improved quality military spacification hardware that is black oxide costed for corresion resistance.

Four new holster and certridge carrier assemblies were fabricated accordingly and subsequently delivered as an end item.

(U) Contract Modification No. P00008 included a requirement for fabrication of two additional holster and cartridge carrier assemblies to the above improved configuration and they were subsequently delivered to the Government.

(C) E. Lathality Investigation

(C) An investigation was conducted to determine the optimum number of projectiles which would fit in the existing round, for maximum effectiveness at 30 foot range. Computer analyses were conducted for both partial and 100 percent incapucitation at 30 second defense, entire body-number and a 20 mil aim error. In addition, P_K values for standard cellber .38 and .45 ammunition were computed to compare the results with existing sidearms.

(C) The results of the analyses are shown in Tables 1 and 2. It was concluded from these results that the existing projectile configuration; i.e., 15 - 7.5 grain Hellory spheres is the optimum configuration since the $P_{\rm H}$ is high for both partial and 100% incepacitation criteria.

- (U) S. Test Date
- (U) 1. Development Teste

(U) The numerous development test firings conducted throughout this program are not documented herein. However, two special tests made during the development plane are worthy of mention. The first was the measurement and recording of the peak sound pressure level (SPL) for three test firings. The revolver was hand held and sound recordings were obtained at a

30

CONFIDENTIAL

| | Projectile | VELOCITY | BALLISTIC DISPERSION | P _K AT 30' |
|-----|--------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| - | | (FPS) | (MILS) | |
| 1. | Std .45 Caliber Ball | 850 | 1 | 586 |
| 2. | Std .38 Caliber | 855 | 1 | .534 |
| :. | 15 - 7.5 Grain Mallory Spheres | 730 | 20 | . 803 |
| 4. | 13 - 7.5 Grein Mallory Spheres | 730 | 10 | . 891 |
| 5. | 5 - 21 Grein Mallory Spheres | 730 | 20 | .663 |
| 6. | 5 - 21 Grain Mallory Spheres | 730 | 10 | .753 |
| 7. | 24 - 4.7 Grain Mallory Spheres | 730 | 20 | .902 |
| ŧ. | 24 - 4.7 Grain Mallory Spheres | 730 | 10 | .958 |
| 9. | 49 - 2.4 Grein Hellory Spheres | 730 | 20 | .933 |
| 10. | 49 - 2.4 Grein Mellory Spheres | 730 | 10 | .977 |

(C) TABLE 1

LETHALITY DATA

30 SECOND DEFENSE, ENTIRE BODY - NUDE,

PARTIAL INCAPACITATION, 20 MIL ADM ERROR (U)

31

CONFIDENTIAL

| | PROJECTILE | velocity (FPS) | HALLISTIC DISPERSION (MILS) | P _K AT 30' |
|-----|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | Std .45 Caliber Ball | 850 | 1 | .229 |
| 2. | Std .38 Caliber | 855 | 1 | .189 |
| 3. | 15 - 7.5 Grein Mallory Spheres | 730 | 20 | .208 |
| 4. | 15 - 7.5 Grain Hallory Spheres | 730 | 10 | .245 |
| 5, | 5 - 21 Grein Mallory Spheres | 730 | 20 | .175 |
| 6. | 5 - 21 Grain Mallory Spheres | 730 | 10 | .208 |
| 7. | 24 - 4.7 Grain Mallory Spheres | 730 | 20 | .157 |
| 8. | 24 - 4.7 Grain Mallory Spheres | 730 | 10 | .187 |
| 9. | 49 - 2.4 Grain Mallory Spheres | 730 | 20 | .000 |
| 10. | 49 - 2.4 Grein Mallory Spheres | 730 | 10 | •000 |

(C) TABLE 2

LETHALITY DATA

30 SECOND DEFENSE, ENTIRE BODY - NUDE,

100% INCAPACITATION, 20 MIL AIM ERROR (U)

CONFIDENTIAL

32

UNCLASSIFIED

5 mater distance directly to the side of the muzzle. The following equipment was employed to obtain this data:

Microphone ----- Nox Type 4133 Cathode Followar ---- Bak Type 2615 Microphone Power Supply- Bak Type 2801 Oscilloscope ----- Tektronix Type 543 with Tektronix Type 1A7A Plug In

Oscilloscope Camera ---- Tektronix Type C-27

The three peak to peak sound pressure levels recorded were 109.2, 111.5 and 112.0 decibels, respectively.

(U) The other special test firings were the five "proof" tests conducted during the development stage. These proof rounds were tested for functioning at 110% of the peak operating pressure of the regular round. Written certification of satisfactory completion of "proof" testing is included in this report in Appendix A.

(U) Contract Modification P00008 required modification of previously delivered amounition. Three special test firings were made for function evaluation at 110% of the peak operating pressure of the regular round. Bocumentation of these tests is included in Appendix "D" of this report.

(U) 2. Assurance Tests

. .

ì

(U) The assurance tests for 200 improved QSPR rounds were conducted in the presence of the Government's Project Officer. These rounds reflected all of the latest design innovations and improved assembly techniques previously discussed in this report. Twenty-four rounds, preconditioned at +160°F for 18 hours were subjected to a five-foot drop test prior to firing. (Both nose and base down oriented) and allowed to impact on a 1.25

33

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIF

inch thick steel plate without any edvarse effects. Numerous velocities were recorded at both 10 and 30 foot ranges, utilizing cast Fharmagel A gelatine blocks. A standard six-layer winter uniform was placed in front of the gel on some firing, As well as .25 inch thick mesonite to simulate bone or skull structure. A complete chronological tabulation of the 200 assurance test firings in included in Appendix B, as well as physical characteristics and performance criteria. The successful firing of these 200 rounds for final Government approval, without A single misfire, represented the culmination of Fhase I of the subject program.

(U) 3. Final Acceptance Tests

(U) The final acceptance tests of 125 improved QSFR rounds were conducted in the presence of the Government's Project Officer. Four new weapons were employed exclusively without incident and velocities were recorded at a 10 foot range on a 2 foot base line for practically all rounds. Dispersion patterns were obtained for ten rounds each of two barrel bore configurations and at two ranges, 25 and 50 feet. The two barrel bore configurations are shown below.



UNCLASSIFIED

The actual ballistic dispersion for each of the 40 rounds has been computed and tabulated in Appendix C, along with a chronological listing of the 123 final acceptance test firings. In addition, peak sound pressure levels (SPL) were recorded at a 2 foot distance to the side of the muzzle and are also included. Specific SPL readings were obtained for the first 40 rounds, at which time the scale was changed and a screening process was employed thereafter that verified the SPL reading to be less than 140 decibels. This noise level is the maximum permitted by contract requirements at A point 12.5 feet down range and 2 feet to the side of the line of fire,

(U) At the completion of these tests, it was concluded that the straight bore barrel configuration afforded the most effective ballistic dispersion, therefore all four new weapons were retro-fitted with a straight bore barrel. The successful firing of these 125 rounds, again without a single misfire, distributed over four new weapons, represented the completion of all test requirements associated with this program. The program was completed with the delivery of four improved Quiet, Special-Purpose Revolvers, 1000 rounds of improved QSPR ammunition and four improved QSPR holster and cartridge carrier assemblies.

15

ľ

UNCLASSIFIED

II. (U) CONCLUSIONS AND RECONSENDATIONS

ENGLASSIFIET

UNCLASSIFIED

(U) The weapon and ammunition deficiencies noted during the RVM evaluations, particularly those reported as ammunition misfires, were caused by the weapons merginal firing pin energy and the complex anvil-primer design of the ammunition. The resulting design improvements and simplifications associated with this program were demonstrated to have corrected the melfunctions thru extensive development, assurance, final acceptance and reliability tests. The weapon systems effectiveness has further been enhanced with improved ballistic dispersion, improved protective finishes and improved holster assemblies.

(U) A series of firing tests were conducted by LWL to evaluate the reliability and effectiveness of the QSPR and ammunition. Analyses of the data showed the reliability of the weapon and ammunition to be good at this stage in the development and that the QSPR offers considerable lathality improvement over both the caliber .38 revolver and the caliber .45 pistol inside the ranges of interest.

(U) The next logical step in the development of this system is a production engineering program with the major goal of reducing the production costs and increasing reliability thru mass production techniques. Since the QSPR is made from a standard hand gun, and also since the ammunition will be used in much larger quantities than the weapon, it is obvious that a reduction in the cost of the ammunition will realize the most substantial savings.



UNCLASSIFIE

UNCLASSIFIED

CERTIFICATION OF "PROOF" TEFTING CONTRACT NO. DAADOS-70-C-0270

(U) In accordance with Section 4.g. of Exhibit "A", Scope of Work to the subject contract, AAI Corporation hereby certifies that the "proof" testing defined therein has been successfully completed.

(U) This "proof" test required that a minimum of five (5) Quist, Special-Purpose Revolver Rounds be loaded and fired in the Quiet, Special-Purpose Revolver such that peak operating pressures equal to 110% of the normal operating pressure he generated. To conduct these tests, five rounds were loaded with 110% of the normal propellant charge which is 3.2 grains of M9 propallant. This overcharge is the maximum charge which can be loaded into the round.

(U) All five rounds functioned satisfactorily and produced an average velocity of 740 feet per social. The theoretical peak pressure generated for these overcharge rounds is 57,920 psi as compared to the normal operating peak pressure of 53,070 psi. The test results are on file at AAI.

7

APPENDIX "B"

第一人でいくなれないないないないないないないないとう

ä

いわどくり

ð É

1. S. C. S. C.

1

Many Machan

CONFIDENTIAL INSI ME BURGANDER

TEST RESULTS OF 200 ASSURANCE TEST FIRINGS OF THE IMPROVED OSFR AMOUNITION

(C) The assurance tests consisted of 200 rounds that reflected all design innovations and improvements discussed in this report. All test firings were conducted with the weapon being hand held, and a full cylinder was employed at all times. The single and double action modes of weapon operation, as well as slow and rapid fire were interchanged throughout the test program. The following observations resulted from these tests.

- RTV-118 scaled round = the average velocity out of seven roadings at a 10 foot range was 701.71 feet per second.
- b. RTV-112 sealed round ~ the average velocity out of nine readings at a 10 foot range was 706.67 feet per second.
- c. RTV-118 sealed round the average velocity out of four readings at a 30 foot range was 616.75 feet per second.
- d. RTV-112 sealed round the average velocity out of three readings at a 50 foot range was 611.33 feet per second.
- a. RTV-118 sealed round the average penetration out of 10 hits into bare gelatin at a 30 foot range was 4.85 inches.
- RIV-118 sealed round the average penetration out of 9 hits through a six layer winter uniform into gelatin at a 30 foot range was 3.22 inches.
- g. ATV-118 scaled round the average penetration out of 6 hits through .25 inch thick masonito into golatin at a 30 foot range was 2.67 inches.
- h. RTV-118 sealed round the penetration from a single hit into bare gelatin at a 50 foor range was 4.50 inches.
- RTV-112 scaled round = the average penutration out of 4 hits into bare golatin at a 50 foot range was 4.69 inches.

CONFIDENTIAL

And Kalan Ash

CONFIDENTIAL

- 3. RTV-118 sealed round the sverage penetration out of 3 hits through a 6 layer winter uniform into gelatin at a 50 foot range was 2.42 inches.
- RTV-112 sealed round the penetration from a single hit through
 a 6 layer winter uniform into gelatin at a 50 foot range was
 2.25 inches.
- RTV-118 sealed round the average penetration out of 5 hits through .25 inch thick masonite into gelatin at a 50 foot range was 2.05 inches.
- m. there was no significant difference in performance between the two types of adhesive-sealants employed.

A few problems were encountered with the amounition during the test (U) firings. Of the 200 rounds, 161 were fired from the primary weapon and the remaining 39 rounds were fired from the secondary weapon. (Weapon differences and problem areas are fully discussed later). Only one round fired from the primary weapon (Round No. 127) was cataloged as · possible primar puncture. Nagnifying glass examination of this round after the fact indicated that it was arronaously cataloged. Another questionable primer puncture (Round No. 153) and three definite primer punctures (Rounds No.s 1)7, 139 and 164) occurred while using the secondary weapon. These latter primer punctures have all been correlated to defective inserts in the breach face of the weapon. In addition, the primer on Round No. 98 actually extruded up into the firing pin hole around the firing pin but did not puncture, and in so doing, prevented the cylinder from rotating. This is the first and only time that the primer has extruded in this manner throughout the entire program and can only be attributed to an exceptionally soft primer cup. Herdness readings for verification were not possible because of the primer's captivated configuration.

Lastly, the cartridge case expanded several thousandths of an inch on four rounds in the area of the piston at the stopping threads. This occurred on Rounds Nos. 82, 153, 136 and 179 and made round extraction difficult in various degrees. No explanation can be offered for this phonomena since subsequent examination revealed that the rounds possessed the correct hardness, here treat, initial size, etc.

(U) As previously mentioned, two used GFP weapons were utilized during this assurance test. It was originally planned to $condert - e^{+}$ of the firings on a single weapon, but to have a back-up weapon in the event of a weapon failure; thus, the terminology of primary and secondary weapons. The primary weapon contained a .962 inch diameter hardened insert in the breach face, the dual spring installation, and a modified Smith 6 Wesson firing pin. The secondary weapon contained a similar dual spring installation, and a modified Smith 6 Wesson firing pin. At the onset of the tests, however, this latter weapon did not contain the .362 inch dismeter hardened insert that existed in the primary weapon.

(U) The primary weapon was utilized initially for the first 30 rounds when the pin on the cylinder hand broke preventing cylinder indexing. The eccondary weapon was then amployed for 10 rounds and two primer punctures occurred (Hounds No. 137 and 139). By this time the cylinder hand had been replaced and testing was runnaed with the primary weapon. After 45 more rounds the hamaer pivot pin sheared rondering the weapon imporative. Mine additional rounds were then fired from the secondary weapon in order to complete the penetration testing and a questionable primer puncture occurred (Hound No. 153).

CONFIDENTIAL

(U) With regard to the hammer pivot pin and hand pin failure on the primery weapon, it was concluded that the severe hemmer rebound environment that this GTP weapon had experienced with the unimproved ammunition, may have had a deteriorating effect on these relatively soft pins. Other pivot pins in the weapon had previously been replaced with higher strength material equivalents on earlier programs, but such was not the case with the two aforementioned feilures. Both pins on the primery weapon were successfully replaced with heat treated equivalents and testing was completed without further incident.

(V) In assessing the primer punctures that had occurred up to this point, it became interesting to note a very significant difference in the frequency of occurrence between the two weepons. The primary weepon had been fired \$5 times with one erroneously labeled primer puncture, while the secondary weepon had yielded two definite and one questionable primer punctures in only 19 firings. Upon examination of these punctures from the secondary weapon, it became evident that the mashed primer crimped surface was not flat and perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the wound. Inspection of the breech face of the secondary weapon revealed that the small (.250 inch diamater) Smith and Wesson insert around the firing pin was received below the breech face and use actually cocked or canted and not parallel to the breach face. It was therefore concluded that a bearing failure was occurring in the weapon frame supporting this small insert, and that there was no bearing failure in the primary weepon because of the much larger (.362 inch diameter) insert possessing 5 times more bearing area. It was further concluded that this

43

and a line of the all of the second of the s

UNCLASSIFIED

defect existing or the secondary weapon was responsible for the high incidence of primer punctures, this being the one and only difference between weapons. As a result of these conclusions, a large .562 inch diameter hardened invert was installed in the secondary weapon, similar to the one existing in the primary weapon.

(U) Test firings were resumed and six rounds were fired initially from the primary weapon to check out the heat treated hummar pin installation. The secondary weapon was then employed to check out the hardened insert installation and 20 rounds were fired before any problems developed. A primer puncture occurred on Round No. 164 but was attributed to a failure in the insert recently installed in the secondary seapon. The insert was found to have contained a flaw in that the 30° vertical slot was cut too deep and broke out into the firing pin hole. As a result, a cave-in occurred in the vicinity of this weakened section which affected the restraint afforded to the primer. The primer on Round No. 165 extruded up into the insert failurs the same as Round No. 164 but did not puncture. The remaining 40 rounds were fired from the primary weapon without any further primer problems except for Round No. 96 previously discussed. This completed the design assurance test and evaluation of 200 improved Quiet, Special=Purpose Rounds.

UNCLASSIFIED

44

WALLER TO MEAN FRANCE SALE





. • • • • •





CONFIDENTIAL

ree/ar

3

Perita Ma 8 E 6 Loyer Unifers over Gol Prosected. 1 hit at 3.0", 4 hits at 3.5", 1 hit at 4.0" Processian. 1 his as 4.75" over Gal Prantracian a" Necessite over Cal Punctation, 1 bit at 2.0", 1 bit at 2.5", 1 bit at 1.0" -5-4 his at 3.0' Rad farm over the Present te sa i" Mevenise over Gel Penetrasi L'éts at 2.5°, 2 hits at 3.8° Mer Cel Reer WILLINGAN REDUCTIONER INT TO ADDID TO THE le bice la tica Ch Ject tee/Put for manual aver Gel Let Gi Nut 4 Loyer Chile 1 his st 2.0" Ĩ 6 Loyer Unife 1 hit at 2.25 6 Layer No bite lece Onl]:]] 8. 2 8 ALGUERTE 1148 8 FILL THE CASE OF THE â 20 ş 6 **a a** Ř 10 388 8 8 8 8 8 ž **A** 82**9**-23 .012 8 212-8 8 ą 193 515 215 Ę 125 523 et: \$ \$ 610-1.836 33.5 1949 Y 1.457 2.87 1037 1.636 1000 1.656 -454 1.455 1.435 2,853,5 1.456 1.857 1 ŝ -153 -----3 69 -\$ 593 1997 ŝ, Į Line and the second sec 3 (9**1**) - 193 TYO. 59 .803 .867 8 100 FEX43 135 2 2 112 ġ \$ 8 \$ z 7 3 3 1 **1**45 3 3 3 j 1 <u>9</u> 151 24.9 3 3 -7-2-2 \$-17¢ \$/1P Ĕ ĝ 3 2 3 ž. Ź \$ \$ 4 165 101 107 2 191 3 103 49 CONFIDENTIAL 뼳





UNCLASSIFIED



LASSIFIED

| Resolts/Remetics | Primer 0.L. | •••• | | | | | | | Primer U.L. | Prime O.K. Round Brynnhod Mitteult to Britert | Trine 6.5. | | | | | | | | | | | Friend O.L. |
|---|-------------|----------|-------|------------|-------|--------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|--|------------|------|------------|------------|-------|---------|--------|-------|-------------|------------|-------|-------------|
| Ch ject ive/ her forwards | tapte Pirc | Han Fire | | | | | the fire | lapte Itire | | | | 3 | Lepid Tise | they Pirce | ••• | | | | slow Tire | Lapid Piro | | Lapid Fire |
| | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | , | المتيوي | | | | | | |
| O Mentes Operation | Via | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9/ 9 |
| | a, 1 | | | Page 1 | | | | | | مرور بر | | | | | | | | | | - | | • |
| | 83 | ğ | ą | 1 | â | 8 | ŝ | Ş | 8 | ŝ | ą | â | ą | 8 | ŝ | 8 | ŝ | 8 | S 3. | Ĕ | .00, | ğ |
| Criss) Profection Abuve Cens ditte 113 (11-) | .000 | E10. | 218- | 118 | iq. | 115- | 600' | 110 | 110' | 010. | 112 | | 110- | 600- | 010 | 110 | 2187 | 019- | 010 | .610 | 210- | 110- |
| Case 6.41 1.655 (ie.) | 1.857 | L.855 | 1.055 | 1.454 | 1.856 | 1.855 | 1.856 | 464.1 | 1.855 | 1.45% | 1.455 | 1.63 | 1.456 | 1.457 | 2.85 | 1.856 | 1.455 | 1.657 | | 1.8% | 1.634 | 1.854 |
| | 3.440 | 1.447 | 1.462 | 1.457 | 3.865 | 3 | 248.1 | 1.467 | 1.8.66 | 3 | 1.467 | 3 | ÷. | 1.246 | 1.164 | 1.867 | 1.44.1 | 1.467 | 1.466 | | 1.54 | 1997-1 |
| 16:13 | 116 | 3 | 11. | **** | | Concernent Referentie | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | • | | 112 |
| | 1 | 5 | 213 | 6 . | 727 | 175 | 270 | 11 | 5 | 641 | 8 | 381 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 185 | 1 | | 8 | | ŝ | |
| Bees | 16/2 | | | ***** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 8/31 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

\$3

UNCLASSIFIED



CONFIDENTIAL ITHE PAGE IS UPICLASSIFEDI

CONFIDENTIAL.

APPENDIX "C"

5,5

TEST RESULTS OF 124 FINAL DESIGN ACCEPTANCE TEST FIRINGS OF THE INFROVED QUIET, SPECIAL-FURPOSE REVOLVER AND AMERICATION

(C) The final acceptance tests consisted of 125 improved rounds and ware distributed over four new improved weapons. All test firings were conducted with the weapon being hand held, and a full cylinder was employed at all times. Again, both single and double action modes of weapon operation ware utilised as well as slow and rapid fire. The following observations resulted from these tests:

- the average velocity of 86 rounds at a 10 feet range was 703.3 feet per second.
- b. the everyge bailistic dispersion of tes rounds with the tepsred berrel at a 25 foot range was 9.02 mile.
- c. the average ballistic dispersion of ten sounds with the tappred barrel at a 50 foot range was 9.93 mile.
- d. the average ballistic dispersion of ten rounds with the straight burrul at a 25 foot range was 0.67 mile.
- e. the average bellistic dispersion of two rounds with the straight barrul at a 50 foot range was 6.92 will.

CONFIDENTIAL

CURCHALACICAL TANUATION OF 125 FIEM. DECICH ACCUPLER'S TEST FIRTHER

0

| Date Fired | Keapon So. | te apor Deration | Velocity at 10 Pt. (fpe) | A SPL (dectheis) | Earrel Conflguration | ballistic Dispersion (sils) | Resulte Berturks |
|---------------|---------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| 12/15/70 | | 8/A | 668 | • | Tapered | 96.9 | Prince Neepon 0 |
| | | | 692 | 123.5 | | 7.73 | |
| | | | 686 | 119.6 | | 47.8 | |
| | | | 360 | 120.9 | | | |
| | المراجعين | | 102 | 19.6 | | 13.17 | |
| | | | 694 | 3.611 | | 9.29 | |
| | | | 636 | 122.2 | | 10 .06 | |
| | | | , | 119.6 | | 3.06 | |
| | | | ŧ | 120.9 | | 11.02 | |
| | | | 69 6 | 123.5 | Tapered | 4.91 | |
| | | | 662 | 0.711 | Straight | 8.18 | |
| | | •• | 688 | 120.9 | | 6.21 | |
| | **** | | 674 | 119.6 | | ę. 39 | |
| | - | | 684 | 122.2 | | 7.06 | |
| | | | 680 | 119.6 | | 6.76 | ¢ |
| 12/15/70 | ~ | s/A | 712 | 120.9 | straight | 1.29 | Princr/Nearon C |

CONFIDENTIAL

INTIAL

WFIDE

| | Ð | |
|------------|----------------|---|
| | (contr'b) | |
| | APPENDING TION | 3 |
| | | |
| | REVOLVI | |
| | FIR POSE | |
| | SPECIAL- | |
| NOT Y YANA | QUIET. | |
| | DAFROVED | |
| | THE 1 | |

| te/Nesath2 | r Neepon CK | - | | | | | | | | | | - | | | e Neston G |
|---|-------------|-----------|----------|-------|-------|--------------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-----------------|------------|
| Real | Prime | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | |
| Ad Ballistic Dispersion (ails) | 68.7 | 7.23 | 19.4 | 5.08 | 5.66 | c .16 | é.12 | 6.27 | 5.43 | 5 °49 | 8.21 | 9.21 | ð., | 9.e | 6.45 |
| Barrel Configuration | Straight | | | | | | | <u></u> | | | | | | Straight | Tapared |
| 3 SPL (decibels) | 119.6 | 122.2 | 120.5 | 120.9 | 123.5 | 119.6 | 118.3 | 113.3 | 118.3 | 119.6 | 120.9 | 117.0 | 118.3 | 119.6 | 117.0 |
| Velocity at 10 ft. (fps) | 112 | 716 | 969 9 | 692 | 726 | 700 | 306 | 703 | ŧ | 718 | 703 | \$98 | 780 | 650 | 3 |
| at Keapon Operation | 5/A | ••••• | | | | | | | | | | - | | • - 4 5# | \$/A |
| é e pom Ko . | -4 | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dete | 11/12 | 11 | | • • • | | | | | | | | | | | 12/15/70 |
| | 1 | 16 | 19 14 | 4 | 24 | | 53 | i | \$2 | 20 | 22 | 23 | . | õ | 16 |

IFIDENTIAL

en consta 13.52 (BUA') and the states

| (a) (| Results/Remarks | Prince/Neapon OC | | | | | | Friner/Reason Of | Pin Sole Friet Ancture, Vespon OK | Primer Pespon CK | - | | | | | Frisse /Seapon Of |
|------------------------------------|---|------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------|-------|-------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|-----|-----|-------|------|-------------------|
| TILLON (COLT'D) | Bellistic Dispersion (mile) | 11.87 | 8.98 | 14.19 | 12.21 | 6.71 | L1 - LC | 6.38 | 11.16 | 8.13 | ţ | | | | | b 1 |
| NOLVER AND APPEND | Barrel Configuracion | Saper e d | - | <u>مېرى مەمىلە</u> | | - | | | | | | | | | | Taper ed |
| P 125 FIMAL DES CLAL-PURPOSE RE | å SPL (öccibels) | 119.6 | 117.0 | 118.3 | 117.0 | 119.6 | 117.0 | 9.911 | > 130.0 | 3.011 | < 140.0 | | | | | < 140.0 |
| ABULATION . | Velocity at 10 Ft. (fps) | 716 | 686 | ł | 662 | 300 | 6 86 | 669 | 696 | 696 | 702 | ଚଞଚ | 140 | 718 | 754 | 714 |
| CHOLOGICAL 1 | 44 Mcepon Operation | \$/A | | | | | | | | | <u></u> | | | | | 5/A |
| 65 50 50 | 4 4 0 4 4 0 2 4 5 2 4 5 | 1 | 19 3 0000 | - | | | | | Q i | | N | | | | | 2 |
| | Bare Bare | 02/51/21 | | | | | | | | 12/15/70 | 12/21/70 | - | | | | 12/21/70 |
| | Round No. | ~ | 55 | z | 35 | 36 | 11 | 36 | 96 | 3 | 17 | 42 | 63 | 7-7-7 | | 49 14 |

59

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFILLING

CHERRELOCICAL TABULATION OF 125 FIMAL DESIGN ACCEPTANCE TEST FIDINGS OF THE REFLOVED QUIET, SPECIAL-FRENSE REVOLVER AND AMAINITION (CONT'D)

Ê

×.,

į

:

1

| ite Remette | er/deapon (K | | · · · | | | | | | | | | | | | r |
|--|--------------|---------|-------|-------|-----|---|----|-----|-----|----|-----|-------|-----|---|------------|
| Al Ballistic Dispersion (mils) Resu | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | |
| Barrel Configuration | Tapered | | | ***** | | | | | | | | | | | Sapered |
| L SPL (decibels) | < 140.0 | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | < 140.0 |
| Velocity at 10 Ft. (fps) | 684 | 142 | 692 | 16. | 724 | 712 | • | 686 | 694 | 6 | 714 | 102 | • | | gen (|
| hte Messpen Operation | \$/A | | | | | | | | | | | \$/\$ | D/A | | • V |
| é e trois E o . | ~ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | EN |
| Care Fired | 01/12/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 12/21/70 |
| Round So. | | 3 | 6, | 8 | 58 | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | 53 | z | 55 | 56 | 23 | \$ | 59 | ę | ðî. |

CONFIDENTIAL INNER OF CONFIDENTIAL


Primer Neapon Of Results/Remerks Pt Lever A CHECHROLOCICAL TAMERATION OF 125 FIEAL DESIGN ACCEPTANCE TEST FIRINGS OF THE DUPROVED QUIET, SPECIAL-FREMOSE REVOLVER AND REQUITION (CONT'D) Ballfatic Dispersion (alls) 3 Configuration Barrel Lapered Taper ed (decibels) < 140.0 2**5**2 < 140.0 Velocity at 10 Ft. (Epe) 208 769 8 686 696 Weapon Uperacion <u>S / A</u> ₽/a ₹/a **5/**4 *ৰ/ 3* 5/1 Heapon No. 9 0//17/22 12/21/70 Date Read Friday Š. 3 -18 3 5 \$ \$ **\$**3 63 G 43 2 1 È

UNCLASSIFIED

1

ť





and the second second



APPENDIX "D"

65

UNCLASSIFIED

ACCEPTANCE TEST OF RENORKED QSPR ANNUNITION

The acceptance test firing of seventy-mine (79) reworked rounds of QSMR Assaunition was conducted on 2 April, 27 April, 29 April and 30 April 1971, respectively. The primary objective of these tests was to assure complete and proper functioning of the ammunition and weapons, and to ascertain the integrity of the aforementioned redesign. Particular emphasis was placed on ammunition chambering and feeding within the revolver itself, and the freedom with which the cylinder is permitted to rotate from both fired and unfired rounds. All six weapons were employed throughout the tests, a full cylinder was employed at all times, numerous velocities were obtained, and both the single and double action modes of weapon operation were used. A greater number of rounds were devoted to Weapon's No.'s 5 and 6, since these were completely new weapons and here-to-fore unfired.

The first three test firings were conducted on 2 April without incident, although these rounds were loaded with 110% of the normal propellant charge and represents a "proof" test with 110% of the peak operating pressure of the regular round. Further testing was resumed on 27 April in the presence of the Project Officer, and all forty-two (42) rounds and aix (6) weapons tested functioned normally with the two following exceptions. Round No. 13 jailed to fire while employing Weapon No. 2, even though it was subjected to a second hit by the firing pin. Subsequent examination of this weapon revealed that the hammer was being centrained on its forward stroke due to bearing pressure from the side plate. This condition was attributed to an excessive build up of the "Teflon-S" coating on the weapon frame, the side plate, and on both sides of the hammer. Round No 13 was then fired in Weapon No. 1 at which time the primer extruded reservard and prevented cylinder rotation and extraction.

67

UNCLASSIFIED

This was the only time cylinder jamming was evident and can possibly be correlated to the fact that the round in question had seen three firing pin hits before firing. A similar failure to fire occurred on Round Do. 33 for the same reason with the same weapon. Round No. 33 was subsequently fired in Weapon No. 4 without inuident, and the excessive build up of "Teflon-S" was later removed from Weapon No. 2 to remedy the light firing pin hits.

UNCLASSIFIED

協会

APPEDIE

69

UNCLASSIFIED

NCLASSIFIED

CERTIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONING OF QS IN REVOLVER AND ANNANTION

(U) The following is a letter cost report in the QSFR revolver and 200 rounds of QSFR ememation that certifies they were subjected to high humidity, temperature cycling conditioning in accordance with Section 5.2.2 of NTP 4-20-820.

UNCLASSIFIED

Constant and a second second second

UNCLASSIFIED

General Testing Laboratories, Inc. / 6840 Industrial Road, Springfield, Virginia 22151 / (703) 354-2000

| | | Hartwood Division Nartwood, Virginia 22871 |
|---|---|--|
| | LETTER TEST REPORT | |
| DATE: | 18 July 1971 | |
| B X: | Aubrey A. Ellis, Test Engineer | |
| Por: | AAI Corporation Baltimore, Maryland 21204 | |
| TEST ARTICLES : | One (1) Smith and Messon Quiet S S/M S319425 and two-hundred (200 38 Caliber. | pecial Furpose Revolver,) rounds of amnunition, |
| SPECIFICATION: | AAI Corporation Purchase Order H Proving Ground Material Test Pro 6.2.2 for Humidity and Temperatu | Number 315814 and Aberdsen Icedure 47-2-820, paragraph Ire Test. |
| TEST CONDUCTED BY: | General Testing Laboratories, In Pyrotechnic Laboratory Fartwood Division Hartwood, Virginia 22471 | 16. |
| TATE TEST COMPLETED: | 1 June 1971 | |
| The pH value of the The Humidity-Tempera consecutive times fo | Chamber (LHHCA/27FS) water supply ture cycle presented in the Table r a total of 240 hours exposure. | was determined to be 6.9. I was repeated ten (10) |
| TABLE I | - HIGH HUMIDITY-TEMPERATURE CYCLE | s (24 Hours) |
| No. of <u>Hours</u> | Temperature, of (oc) | Relative <u>Humidity</u> , 5 |
| 2 increase to 16 maintain at 2 decrease s 4 maintain at | $\begin{array}{c}105 (40.5). \\105 \pm 3 (40.5 \pm 2). \\105 to 70 (40.5 to 21). \\70 \pm 3 (21 \pm 2). \\ \end{array}$ | and85 to 90 and85 to 90 |
| The Revolvar showed result of the test e showed indication of | no apparent indication of damage exposure, however, the two hundred oxidation of the casings. | end/or deterioration as a (200) rounds of emmunition |
| | ₽ E C 7 I V 6 U | Aubrey A. Ellis Test Engineer |
| Report No. A-3818 | A A I L | |

UNCLASSIFIED

and the second second

.

APPENDIX "T"

.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

CERTIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONING OF OFFR AND UNITION

(U) The following is a better test report on 75 rounds of QSFR ammunition that cortified they were subjected to high humidity, hemperature cycling conditioning in accordance with Section 6.2.2 of MTF-4-20-320.

73

UNCLASSIFIE

UNCLASSIFIED

| | Hart Hart 2247 | wood Divislon wood, Virginia 1 |
|---|---|--|
| | LETTER TEST REPORT | RECEIVEI |
| sate: | 26 July 1971 | Alle a 4 1971 |
| BY: | Steven D. Johnson, Tost Technic | |
| FOR: | AAI Corporation Baltimore, Karyland 21204 | r a l <i>P</i> alukisien |
| TEOT ANTICLES: | Seventy Five (75) rounds of and | unition |
| effectivication : | AAI Corporation Purchass Order (4-2-820, paragraph 5.2.2 for Hu Tost. | mæder 317992, MTP- ældity and Teaperature |
| TEST CONTRCTED BY: | General Testing Laboratories, I Pyrotechnic Laboratory Hartwood Division Hartwood, Virginia 22471 | na . |
| Report NUNBER : | A · 3871 | |
| DATE TEST CONSILETED: | 26 July 1971 | |
| Fifty (50) rounds of 8 Twanty five (25) round container. The sevent the temperature humidi of temperature humidit | smunition were installed into a sp s of emmunition were retained in a y five (75) rounds of examition v ty chamber and subjected to ten (1 y conditions, as described in Tab) | pecial holding fixture. the standard cardboard wore installed into 10) continuous cycles le I. |
| TABLE 1 - | HICH HIMIDITY-TEOPERATURE CYCLE (2 | a hans) |
| No. 95 Nours_ | Seeperature op (oc) | Kelative <u>Hunidity, J</u> |
| 2 increase to 16 maintain at 2 úccrease 4 maintain at | $\begin{array}{c} .105 & (40.5) \\ .105 & 3 & (40.5) \\ .105 & 5 & (40.5) \\ .105 & 70 & (40.5) \\ 80 & 21 \\ .10 & \pm & (21 & \pm & 2) \\ .1$ | |
| Post test inspections : "ive (75) munde of em | revealed clicht oxidation on the c multion. | meeting of the seventy |
| | | |

General Vesting Laboratories. Inc. / 6840 Industrial Road. Springfield. Virginia 22151 / (703) 354-2000

76

UNCLASSIFIED

.

. .

.

Appendix """

۲.

.

75

UNCLASSIFIED

in the second second second second in the second second second second second second second second second second

(U) A. Objective

(U) A series of firing tests were conducted by LHL to evaluate the reliability and accuracy of the QSPR and assumition. The following results and conclusions are included in this appendix:

- Reliability of the weapon and the ammunition.
- The effect of quick versus slow fire on system accuracy.
- Probability of hit (P_H), throughout the range of interest, including comparison with P_H for the calibar .45 pistol and calibar .38 revolver.
- Probability of kill (P_{K}) , throughout the range of interest, including comparison with P_{K} for the caliber .45 pistol and caliber .38 revolver.

(U) B. Test Procadure

(U) Approximately 400 QSPR rounds were fired during a reliability test program conducted by the Munitions Brench in the LML test area on Specutie Island. Prior to the test, a test format (experimental test sequence) for examining the accuracy of firing was provided by the Raseerch Analysis Office (RAO). This format consisted of individual firing tests which ware designed to provide information on the effects of quick va. slow fire, single-action vs. double-action trigger pull, single-round firing vs. two-round firing, and the effects of range.

(U) The BAO accuracy test was superimposed on the reliability test.

INCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

(U) Two firers were provided by the Military Operations Division (MOD) of LML for the test. Both firers were pistol-qualified. Two firing positions were established, one at five meters from the target and one at 15 maters from the target. The positions were determined such that the distance from the end of the barrel (with the weapon held in the firing position) to the target was the indicated range. For the slow-firing salvos, the first was allowed all the time he desired before firing. The quick-fire sequence was implemented using a retractable muslin screen. where the silhoustte target (face-on, head and shoulders) was placed at verious positions on a vertical 4' x 8' plywood sheet and the specific positions were unknown to the first prior to uncovering the target. The muslin screen was uncovered for a period of one to three seconds and then dropped back to cover the target area. The times of target exposure ware veried to reduce the tendency of the first to depend upon a full three-seconds target exposure. For all firings (entire test), the target eilhouettes had no center zurkings and the firer was instructed to sim at the center of mass of the silhouette.

(U) Data was collected in accordance with the prescribed format, This consisted principally of measuring the coordinates of impact for each pallet in each selvo. Since no center markings were used, the lower left hand corner of the eilhouette was taken as reference.

(U) Prom the measured coordinates of impact for each pellet in each salvo, the center of impact of each salvo was calculated by determining the mean horizontal and vertical impact coordinates. It was then assumed that these mean impact coordinates represented the siming point for the particular

77

UNCLASSIFIED

salvo. The siming error distribution was then estimated by calculating the standard deviation of the horizontal and vertical mean impact coordinates for all replications of the same test conditions.



(C) C. Reliability of the Weapon and Amsunition

(C) 1. Weapon

(C) Four (4) different weapons were used, identified as weapons Nos. 2, 3, 5 and 6. The log entries indicate that only one (1) waapon melfunction occurred and this was recorded on Salvo No. 127 as a ". . . . hanner jam on second round (roll past)".

(C)

The following table can be constructed from the firing lot:

| Weapoa | Number of Trials (2) | Number Helf "Lone (| (<u>;)</u> (<u>;)</u> |
|-----------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2 | 102 | 1 | 1/102 |
| 3 | 93 [·] | 0 | 0/93 |
| 4 | 73 | 0 | 0/73 |
| 5 | 124 | 0 | 0/124 |
| Aggregate | 392 | 1 | 1/392 |

(C) Table I. QSFR Weepon Kellsbillty Data (U)

(Ç)

In constructing Table I, elofires setributed to essenition melfunction are included in the number of trials. Reliability calculations based upon the entries of Table I and a 95% confidence interval yield the following:

| Weapon | Reliability |
|-----------|--------------|
| 2 | .9544 |
| 3 | .9683 |
| 3 | .9598 |
| 6 | .9762 |
| Ageregute | 168 0 |

(C) Table II. / Apon Relinchity @ 95% Confidence (U)

(C) 2. summition

(C) In 382 trials ten (10) summition malfunctions were recorded. All of the malfunctions occurred when cycled emmunition was used. Furthermore, from the no-fire log of Reference 1 six (6) of the ten (10) m sfires are attributed to the second can of cycled emmunition which was used.

(C) Date for the reliability calculations has been extracted from the firing log and is presented in the table below.

| Ansteinicion | Condition | Number of Tria.s (2) | Number of Nalfunctions ()) | Retio (3) (2) |
|--------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Guelad | Conteiner 1 | 89 | 4 | 4/89 |
| CACTER | Container ? | 21 | ð | 6/21 |
| Unicycled | lot | 272 | 0 | 0/272 |

(C) Table III. QSPR Annualtion Reliability Data (U)

80

CONFIDENTIAL

(C) Reliability calculations based upon the entries of Table III

| Ammunition | Conditioned | Reliability |
|------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| Cycled | Container 1 Container 2 | .9001 .5172 |
| Uncycled | Lot | .9890 |

and a 95% confidence interval yielding the following:

1



- D. The Effect of Quick vs. Slow Fire (C) on System Accuracy (Reference 2)
- (C) 1. Aiming Error
- (U)

The coordinates of impact for each pellet in each salvo wers measured and the conter of impact of each salvo was calculated by determining the mean horizontal and vertical insact coordinates. It was then assumed that these mean impact coordinates represented the siming point for the particular selvo. The eiging error distribution was than estimated by calculating the standard deviation of the horizontal and vertical mean impact coordinates for all replications of the same test conditions.

The results of the accuracy test are given below. (C)

(C) (1) Five maters range, single-action and double-action trigger pull - The nominal firing conditions wors at five motors range in the slow-fire and single-round mode; that is, the bulk of the tests were for these conditions. Such subject fired 12 rounds each in the single-action and doubleaction condition. The results are as follows (table entries are in mile):

| a na su taring planunt fantrakin | | Hors | Eonta) | 7er | tical. |
|----------------------------------|--------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| TIME | Action | Mean | Std Dev | Mean | Std Dev |
| Cloutles | Single | -7.1 | 10 3 | -10.9 | 16.2 |
| | Double | -7.8 | 13.9 | -10.0 | 19.3 |
| Gunter | Single | +7.2 | 15.3 | -11.1 | 29.1 |
| | Double | +9.6 | 16.7 | - 0.6 | 19.7 |

(C) (2) Fifteen meters range - each subject fired 16 rounds under controlled test conditions in the single-round, single-action, slow-fire condition. The results are as follows (toble entries are in mils):

| Et man | lorizontal | | Vert | lcal |
|--------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| Pares | Mean | Std Dev | Maun | Std Dev |
| Cloutier Conter | +1.8 +1.9 | 6.3 10.5 | +6.9 +5,9 | 8,1 13,8 |

CONFIDENTIAL

 (C) (3) Five meters range, quick-fire, single-round selve - Each subject Firsd a total of 16 rounds in the quick-fire condition. Eight rounds were fired in the single-action and eight rounds in the double-action condition. The results are as follows (table entries are in mile):

| | | Horiz | oncal | Vers | ical |
|----------|--------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| Firer | Action | Neen | Std Dev | Mean | Std Dev |
| Cloutler | Single | -10.2 | 19.9 | 0.9 | 13.4 |
| | Double | - 0.1 | 13.0 | -14.0 | 17.9 |
| Gunter | Single | 14.0 | 17.2 | -12.2 | 30-3 |
| | Double | 38.5 | 20.8 | - 9.4 | 40.3 |

(C) (4) Five maters range; quick-fire, double action, two-round selve -Each subject fired 16 selves of two rounds sach. For the two-round selves, the centers of impact were determined in the sense memor as for the one-round selve. The results are so follows (table entries are in mile):

| | herite | ontal | Verti | csl |
|----------|--------|---------|-------|---------|
| Firer | Nean | Std Dev | Hean | Std Dev |
| Cloutier | 9.8 | 10.0 | 16.1 | 17.1 |
| Gunter | 18.1 | 10.3 | 27.6 | 28.8 |

(U) It is clear from Table V that there are six (6) test combinations to be compared. The siming error distribution is assumed bivariate normal; however, by inspection it is non circular. The effect of test combination on system accuracy can be renked by computing the equivalent circular probable err τ to each non-circular distribution and ordering. While the CEP, as a parameter, is not associated with the non-circular bivariate normal distribution, there is a circle centered at the siming point of thet distribution which contains half of the impact points. While it is an expedient measure of goodness. We will make the implication thet small is good, smaller is better, and smallest is best.



XNFIDENTIAL

| | | | | | | | | | | | San Street in the Street in th | ſ |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|-----------------|--------|-------|-----------|------|--------|---------|--|----------|
| Ko. of Emusica in Selvo | | | 3 | 200 - B.Cov 205 | 0418K | | | : | | | E Ricester | er la |
| | | | | 5 1942 | 4 11 6 | | | | 15 Mac | .18 | 5 1000 | 818 8 |
| sice Control | - | 510 | | | | Qu.10 | н | | \$10 | > | Qute | |
| ffferst Active | 510 | \$18 | Dwd | 87 | Bat 2 | 10 | 4700 0 | • | s tas | - Ie | qnoq | |
| Anthe Escues ⁶ (mile | | ~ ^ ~ | Э | °, | × O | Ď | a a | ay | р И | ď | м У | • |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 (1) (1) (1) 2 | 1.01 | 14-2 | 6. EJ | 19.1 | 35 | 13.6 | 13.0 | 17.9 | ¢.3 | 8 .1 | 10.1 | 17.1 |
| terester 2 | 15.3 | 1. 42 | 16.7 | 19.7 | 17.7 | 30.3 | 20.6 | £.94 | 10.5 | 13.6 | 10.3 | 23.6 |
| 7 - Cublineton | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| a Tuble corrice ave | cone l'incat | at 2003.ar 0 | deviati | of | | | | | | | | |

140

Summery of Alming Errors, QSFR (U) (C) Table V.

CONFIDENTIAL

84

and an antipaction of the second s

| Firer | Test Combination | omin omen | R G _{max} | or max | R |
|-----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------|----------|
| | 1 | .635 | .956 | 16.2 | 15.487 |
| | 2 | .720 | 1.012 | 19.3 | 19.531 |
| | 3 | .673 | .980 | 19.9 | 19.502 |
| Cleutsor | 4 | .726 | 1.014 | 17.9 | 18.151 |
| | 5 | .777 | 1.044 | 8.1 | 8.455 |
| | 6 | .384 | .924 | 17.1 | 15.800 |
| | | · · · · | | | |
| · · · · | 1 | .525 | ,686 | 29.1 | 35.782 |
| ··. ·· · | 2 | .847 | 1.085 | 19.7 | . 21.434 |
| Ganter | 3 | .449 | .840 | 38.3 | 32-172 |
| ********* | · · · | .516 | . 880 | 40°9 | 35.460 |
| | 5 | .761 | 1.036 | 13.6 | 14,290 |
| | 4 | .358 | .784 | 28.8 | .*.579 |

(C) Table VI. Equivalent (EP's (R's) of Test Combinations (1')

CONFIDENTIAL

(U) The radius of the circle, R, in Table VI above is computed using Figure 1 "Equivalent CEP Chart" of Reference 3.

(U) It can be inferred from the above results that one of the largest factors influencing accuracy is the difference in firers. An examination of learning effects on accuracy shows that both firers improved considerably during the course of the casts; however, due to the limited sample sizes, it is not prudent to extrapolate accuracy estimates for a fully trained firer.

(U) A second factor influencing accuracy is the lack of a designated target center (bulls-eye). This conclusion is fairly obvious when the five-mater and 15-mater results are compared. The explanation is similarly obvious in that, principally, the results are contingent on the relative proportions of the sight picture to the target. Howing the target further anoy has the effort of enabling the firer to better discriminate between the center of mass and the center of the target picture.

(U) It should be clear that at very close stages it is virtually impossible, or at least very difficult to discern the center of target mass, while at the longer ranges the exercise becomes a practicality - sepecially if the firer has a reasonable essunt of "time on target". The confounding afforded by stress and verying target exposure times particularly at the closer ranges, inflate the siming errors.

CONFIDENTIAL INCOMPANY

(U) The effect of slow versus quick fire is very clear, and can be safely compared on the basis of agreement in performance trends when the cest parameter fire control is changed from slow to quick while holding range and trigger action constant. Both firers obtain better (smaller) circles on slow fire, single action at five (5) meters. The glaring improvement at fifteen meters by both firers has been previoucly explained.

(C) 2. Ballistic Dispersion

(C) The test provided an opportunity to estimate the pellet disparsion based on a large number of firings. The results of the dispersion estimates for one-round salvos are given below (table entries are in mils and represent one linear standard deviation):

| | 3 Mete | | 15 Mete | 15 Meters | | | |
|--------------|------------|----------|------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| <u>Firer</u> | Horizontal | Vertice] | Horizontal | Vertical | | | |
| Cloutier | 5.9 | 6.4 | 7.0 | 6.9 | | | |
| Gunter | 6.0 | 6.5 | 6.7 | ·8.5 | | | |

(C) The results above may be combined to give an estimated CEP of 7.3 mile at five maters range and 8.6 mile at 15 maters range. Alternatively, the estimated average extreme spread in both the horizontal and vertical directions for a 13-pellat salvo is 21.5 for five maters range and 25.4 mile for 15 maters range.

CONFIDENTIAL

(C) E. QSPR Hit Probability (P_{H}) and Comparison with P_{H} for the Caliber .45 Pistol and the Caliber .38 Revolver

(U) The Frankford Arsens! (F/A) salvo kill probability model was exercised to obtain hit probability for the weapons and ranges of interest. The salvo kill model assumes a bivariate normal distribution of both aiming and ballistic errors. The model assumes square targets to take advantage of computational symmetry. A single or line (squad) target may be analyzed. In this investigation a single target was analyzed. Principal required inputs to the program are:

- Aiming errors
- B Sallistic errors
- Aim point
- . Number of projectiles in salvo
- Attendant projectile characteristics (Nt., size, etc.)

(U) The sim point was taken at the center of the target to be consistent with the test directive - "aim at the center of mass". Aiming and ballistic errors are taken from the previous section for the QSPR and from the tables below for the caliber .45 pintol and the caliber .38 revolver, with noted exception.

| Fire Conti | rol | | Slo | W | | Timed | | | |
|------------|---------|----------------|-----------|-----|-----|--------|------|--|--|
| Source | | Md, it P.14 | ate ce | | :1. | FIL | | | |
| | | <u>а</u> . | | אט | ₹y | л Х | .*y | | |
| Trigg. | Single | 1.9 | 2.3 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 6.4 | 10.9 | | |
| ACTION | nenible | 2 | 2 | | | | | | |

"labiu couries are one linear standard deviation,

(C) Table VII. Aiming Error Data for the Caliber .38 Rovolver (U)

CONFIDENTIAL

| Derrornol | Aim Ei | ror |
|-----------|--------|-----|
| | άx | σγ |
| Civilian | 3.5 | 3.7 |
| filitary | 8.7 | 8.0 |

*Table entries are one linear standard deviation.

(C) Table VIII. Aiming Error Data for the Caliber .45 Pistol (U) Ranges of interest were 3 meters and 15 meters.

(C) By way of comparison a 9.5 mil aim error is cited in Reference 4 for the caliber .45 pistol and proficient firers with a three (3) second target exposure time. This is elightly larger than the error data of Table IX which was obtained from Edgewood Arsenal. For purposes of this evaluation the 9.5 mil error was used for "quick-fire" siming error (QSPR tests allebod maximum of three (3) seconds per target in the quick fire mode). To promote consistency in the evaluation the timed fire aiming errors of the caliber .38 revolver are assumed synonomous with quick fire errors. Errors for the slow fire condition are broken into the dichotomy of civilian personnel and military personnel for both the caliber .38 revolver and the caliber .45 pistol.

(C) islistic dispersion is approximately the same for both the caliber .38 and caliber .45 bullet. For this investigation the value used was $\sigma_{\chi} = \sigma_{\chi} = 1$ mil.

(C) Results of the hit probability investigation are shown in Table IX.

89

3 2 martine martine

CONFIDENTIAL



| (mila | | e) | (11) | A. ⁴⁴ | | |
|----------|------|--------|---------|------------------|-----------|---------------------------|
| Ţ | 3 | ы ж | a, | 5 Mccert | 15 Meters | 87martea 1 |
| | 16.2 | ¢*0 | 6 .5 | 799977 | .94601 | sloufire einele errie |
| | 19.3 | | | \$9985 | .87212 | slow fire. Jouble action |
| 6 | 13.4 | | | 91992. | .66107 | Quick fire similar are |
| c. | 17.9 | | | .99993 | 26 206 * | quick fire, double action |
| <u>.</u> | 29.1 | | | COU?? | .69135 | slow fire. single action |
| ·. | 19.7 | · | | 08666. | .82934 | slow fire, double action |
| | 18.1 | | | -95074 | .54814 | quick fire, single action |
| •• | 10.3 | 6-0 | é.5 | 01826- | .48760 | quick fire, double action |
| ¢. | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 66666. | 66666* | slow fire, civillan |
| | 5.7 | | | 66666. | 75666. | slow fire military |
| 4 | 6.01 | 0.4 | 0" 1 | 86066. | 0861.6* | quick fire |
| | 3.7 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 66665. | \$6666 | slow fire. civilian |
| | 0.8 | | | 66566. | 421834 | slow fire, military |
| ? | 9-5 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 65656" | 06148. | quick fire |

(C) Table IX. Surnery of Weapon Error Date and Attendent Hit Probabilities (U)



the man are a bulk where the state of the second second where the state of the second second

(C) F. QSPR Kill Probabilities (P_{K}) and Comparison with P_{K} for the Caliber .45 Pistol and the Caliber .38 Revolver

(U) The Frankford Arsenal salvo kill probability model was exercised to obtain kill probabilities for the weapons and ranges of interest. Since this would be a head-to-head comparison between weapons, the selection of a particular stress situation is academic. Ordered results would remain unchanged regardless of the selection.

(C) The 30 second essault criterion was used. It gives reasonably large conditional kill probabilities, P_{HK} (helpful when looking for small differences), while representing fairly a stress situation for pistol or revolver employment. A summary of results for the bill probability investigetion is given in Table X. Additional inputs meded for the kill probability model are as follows:

| Weapon | Muzzle Velocity (ft/mec) | Projectile Neight (grains) | No, of Projectiles In Salvo |
|----------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| QSPR | 700 | 7.5 | 15 |
| Cal38 Revolver | 855 | 158 | 1 |
| Cal45 Fi01 | 8 50 | 230 | 1 |

(C)

The results of the $P_{\underline{\mu}}$ investigation are easily interpreted.

The kill probability of the QSPR is higher at 5 meters than either the celiber .38 revolver or the celiber .45 pistol, and this is shown to be true for all siming errors computed for the QSPR test conditions.

C



- If the errors of the better of the two shooters of the QSPR test program are used and a one-to-one correspondence of test conditions and available caliber .36 and .45 data are compared, then again the QSPR exhibits considerably more kill probability at the 15 meters range.
- a In short the QSPR offers considerable lethality improvement over both the caliber .38 revolver and the caliber .45 pistol inside the ranges of interest.

| | | Cemar ba | low fire, single action | low fire, double action | utch fire, single action | sick fire, double action | low fire, single action | low fire, double action | uich fire, single action | sick fire, double action | low fire, civilian | ov fire, allitary | itck fire | | | itch fire | |
|-------------|-----|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------|----------|-----------|--|
| | | 15 Meters | .94607 | .87222 si | .86118 41 | 10 10£U6" | 1 2 8168. | .62947 | .60644 GI | .48773 qu | . 17727. | 12 9E72Y. | .71967 gu | .81772 | - 100012 | .77540 qu | |
| | | 5 Neters | 79997 | .99985 | .99976 | 66666. | \$0056. | 08666 | .95083 | .93817 | 11121. | 17571. | . 75771 | .81773 | .81772 | .\$1772 | |
| L TTOT | 6 | • | 6.5 |) | | | | | | ć. č | 0. | | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | |
| Bullietic | *** | ы С | 6.9 9 | | | | | | | ¢.0 | | | D. 1 | 0-1 | | 0.1 | |
| rzor •) | | * * | 16.2 | 19.) | | 17.9 | 1.92 | 19.7 | 1.81 | 40.3 | (,,, | ••• | 10.9 | 3.7 | 8.0 | 9.5 | |
| Ata C. | , | | 10.3 | 101 | 5 , 5, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, | | | 191 | 11 | 9 . F | | | 7-4 | 5.6 | 5.7 | •.5 | |
| | | Keapon | | _ | | as ra | | | | | | 0 | | | 54. | | |

(C) Table X. Summary of Weapon Error Duta and Attendant Kill Probabilities

<u>.</u> .

93

and the second state and the

The state of the s



REFERENCES

1. Log and Data Book, LML Environmental and Accuracy Tests of QSPR.

2. Memo, E. B. Shank, T4, R2, 3, 4 8 48/70, dated 6 April 1972.

- "Handbook on the Use of the Viberiate Normal Distribution in Discribing Weapon Accuracy," A. D. Groves, BRL Report No. 1372, September 1961.
- "Rifie, Carbine and Pistol Aiming Error as a Function of Target Exposure Time," K. L. Yudowitch and T. E. Sterna, BRL Report No. 969, December, 1955.

THE MELL & LINCE ALLEY &

Director of Defense, Research & Engineering Department of Defense WASH DC 20310 1

3

1

3

ì

Director Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency WASH DC 20310

HQDA (DARD-DDC) WASH IXC 20310

HQDA (DARD+ARZ-C) WASH DC 20310

IQDA (DAFD-ZB) WASH DC 20310

HQDA (DAMO-PLW) WASH DC 20310

HQDA (DAMO-IAM) WASH LX: 20310

Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCDL WASH DC 20315

Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCRD WASH DC 20315

Communder US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCRD-P WASH DC 20315

Commander US Army Combar Developments Command AFTN: CDCMS-P Fort Belvoir, VA 22000. Commander US Army CDC Special Operations Agency Fort Bragg, NC 28307

Commander US Army CDC Combat Systems Group Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027

Commander US Army CIX: Personnel & Logistics Systems Group Fort Lee, VA 23801 1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

Commander US Army CDC Intelligence & Control Systems Group Fort Belvoir, VA 22060

USACEC Liaison Officer Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Commander US Army Test and Evaluation Command Abordeen Proving Ground, NID 21005

Commander US Army John F. Kennedy Center for Military Assistance Fort Bragg, NC 28307

Commander US Army Vietnam ATTN: AVIIIX) APO San Francisco 96373

Director OSD/ARPA Research & Exvelopment Field Unit APO San Francisco 96243

Commander-In-Chief US Army Pacific APO San Francisco 96558

Commander Eighth US Army APO San Francisco (9630)

Commander US Army Europe ATTN: DCSOPS APO New York (1946) Commander US Army Edgewood Arsenal ATTN: SMUEA-TS-L Edgewood Arsenal, MD 21010

US Marine Corps Liaison Officer Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

1

1.

1

1

1

Commander U. S. Army Small Arms Systems Agency Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Commander US Army Frankford Arsenal ATTN: Mr. George Bornheim Philedelphia, PA 19137

Director US Arey Human Engineering Laboratory * Aberdsen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Combander Aeronautical System Division/SML ATTN: LTC Brandt Wright Patterson Air Force Sase Ohio 45433
Cominander US Army Alaska ATTN: ARACD APO Scattle 98749

Commander

MASSTER Fort flood, TX 76544 Commander. US MAC-T & JUSMAG-T ATTN: MACTRD APO San Francisco, 96346 Senior Standardization Representative US Army Standardization Group, Australia ele american Finbassy A.O. an Francisco, 90404 Senior Stendardization Representative US Army Standardization Group, UK Box 65 FPO New York 09510 Senior Standardization Representative US Army Standardízation Croup, Canada Canadian Forces Headquarters Ottawa, 4, Canada Director Air University Library ATTN: AUL31-64-572 Maxwell Air Force Base, AL 36112 Battelle Memorial Institute Tactical Technical Center **Columbus** Laboratories 505 King Avenue Columbus OI1 43201 Defense Documentation Center (ASTIA) Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314 Commander Aberdeen Proving Ground

ATIN: STEAP-TE Abordeon Proving Ground, MD 21005 2

1

2

1

Ł

1

1

ŧ

12

2

Umriassified Westinty Classification DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA . 8 & D because classification of title bady at abilitat i wind indeasing annalation must userale report AAI Corporation P. O. Box 6767 Baltimore, Maryland 21204 F3 90 9 112-QUIET, SPECIAL-PURPOSE REVOLVER (OSPA) DESIGN IMPROVEMENTS 111 Jul - Oct in 1 lest nem Wayne L. Alineweaver Robert W./Schnepfe 18331 24.4 50 31 4693 March 1921 DAAD05-70-C-0270 18)4 - FA -----171 1 4+ 44 WELLUL ENGINE JO4-F-70 NONE. JI1*** 8.4**05 \$7.47848 100 : INANCE in addition to ase may a long approval of the each transmity Levelsi JSALML. U. S. Army Land Wariare Laboratory Aberduen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005 ASSTRACT (V) The LLT Turned Macron was evolutive in the Assublic of Vist Non, Subing, -1940-L- The results of this statustion indicated that the weepen overter was well reculsed primerily because the law firing modes puralical use of the calopen vithout giving over the section. In addition to its tunnel aspinents, the vegen way used in andust divide and in everthe one data into a partitions. Boulance of this, the to its tunnel exploration unopen is now dostgeneted the Quist, Special-Purpson Rovolvag (QIPE)." sefore consideration pould be given to quantite procuratement. To use nocurrery to correct any undern appear systemate is needed during the 1998 overlastion, pertirularly those reported as administration statices, (V) 5 The expectives of this program were to determine the causes of elatives and selfunctions of the (¥) Quies, Special-Purpose Savalvar and the secontated low algosture, multi-projectile annunition, to modify or remaining neuropanence to affect another constitution including testing of all componences to adduce reliability the second in a contract of the modify weapons and fabricate accountion (or reliability testing by takking a (U) The effort supported under this contract revealed that the edger causes of the "leftres were the merginal firing pin energy and the condition sewil-prime decign of the environtial maps is accordery or hisper opting was added to the undepends we spring that provided to 100 thereses in firing pin sector and eitersmend meanspring degredations. The environt is not reduce sector that force prime was repeated and the sector and eitersmend and espaced at the base of the cound for direct contact by the fiting pix as th conventional and mitten. These design inprovements repulsed in not a single nieflic lifewijhout the development, essuriers, and deregologie casts dessenated with this progres. -(1) - Humarous other divisor intervenence uses interpreted into the wispin, amunition and holeses assauly, At the completion of the Playran, inproved version, inproved hulster shen blies, and inproved anumitian complete with packaging were delivered for further user tests, (V) ""A pretar of firing cross were conducted by UHL to evoluate the reliability and affectiveness of the dash and annualitan. Analyses of the date showed the reliability of the werpen and annualities to be excellent de this steps to develop ant and that the USPM offers youthfacture inthe try information over both the college of prover and the reliber is place intide the reages of interest. 11.4.16 1 Una lessified 5/1 0101-007-0001 Partet. Classific alien 402 332

| 487 +0008 | t, the σ Δ | | LIN 4 0 | - L - L - | LINE C | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|--------|--|
| | 801 | | | | • | |
| Tunnel | | | | | 1 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Hulti-Shot Round | | | | | | |
| Reduced Noise | | | | | | |
| Poduced Flash | | | | 1 | | |
| Hend Held Weepon | 1 | | | | | |
| Effoctivoness | | | | | | |
| Awhuen | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 1 | |
| | | | | ļ | | |
| | | | | } | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | | |
| | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | |
| · · | | | | | | |
| | | | | [| | |
| | | | | | ! | |
| | | | | 1 | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| D | | Unclassified | | | | |
| | | Security | Classificatio | LAN) | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | S. C. S. C. Salar and S. | | | • • | | |