


UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER
AD481625
NEW LIMITATION CHANGE
TO Approved for public release, distribution unlimited
FROM Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational Use; APR 1966. Other requests shall be referred to Bureau of Ships, Washington, DC 20350.
AUTHORITY
USNSSC ltr, 11 Apr 1969

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

481625



SOLAR

A DIVISION OF INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER COMPANY



SOLAR | 2200 PACIFIC HIGHWAY, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ENGINEERING REPORT



GAS TURBINE REGENERATORS FOR MARINE ENVIRONMENT

Third Quarterly Progress Report
January-March 1966

REPORT ER 1681-2

ISSUED 15 April 1966

PREPARED BY

H. A. Weber
H. A. Weber, Project Engineer

APPROVED BY

P. G. Carlson
P. G. Carlson, Chief Project Engineer

CUSTOMER REF

Contract NObs 92557
Project Serial No. SF013-06-14
Task 3890

SOLAR REF

S.O. 2-4739-9

COPY NO.

23

SOLAR



A DIVISION OF INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER COMPANY
2200 PACIFIC HIGHWAY, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92112



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ABSTRACT	1
I. INTRODUCTION	2
II. OBJECTIVES OF THE REPORTING PERIOD	2
III. TECHNICAL DISCUSSION	2
3.1 Materials Evaluation	2
3.2 Forming and Joining Techniques	11
IV. EXPECTED PROGRESS DURING THE NEXT REPORTING PERIOD	11



LIST OF FIGURES

	<u>Page</u>
1 Foil Material in "As Received" Condition - Types 347 & 430	4
2 Foil Material in "As Received" Condition - Inconel 600 and Incoloy 800	5
3 Foil Material in "As Received" Condition - Hastelloy C and Hastelloy F	6
4 Post-test Section, Type 430/Microbrazed 50	7
5 Post-test Section, Hastelloy C/Microbrazed 50	8
6 Post-test Section, Inconel 600/Coast Metals 53	9
7 Post-test Section, Type 430/GE 8201 B	10
8 Ductility Test, Type 347/Solar IX1*	12
9 Ductility Test, Type 430/GE 8201 B	13
10 Ductility Test, Type 430/Microbrazed 50	13
11 Test Core with Minimum Node Radius - Unbonded	14
12 Test Core with Maximum Node Radius - Unbonded	15
13 Test Core with Maximum Node Radius - Brazed	16
14 Formed Corrugation - Left Side Location	17
15 Formed Corrugation - Center Location	17
16 Formed Corrugation - Right Side Location	18

* U. S. Patent 2,856,281

REPORT ER 1681-2
ISSUED 15 April 1966



ABSTRACT

During this report period, 16 parent material-braze alloy combinations have been exposed to testing in the corrosion test rig. Results of this preliminary evaluation are discussed in terms of microstructural attack. Three core modules, fabricated with various passage shapes, are described and projected testing is outlined.



I. INTRODUCTION

Under Bureau of Ships sponsorship, Solar, a Division of International Harvester, has undertaken this program related to gas turbine regenerators for marine environment. This program is divided into two main areas of investigation -- materials evaluation and development of fabrication and forming techniques.

The eighteen month program was initiated on 1 July 1965 under the direction of Mr. Charles P. Howard, project manager of Code 645, Bureau of Ships. E. C. Thorsrud, Solar Senior Research Engineer, has been appointed principal investigator. This document constitutes the third quarterly progress report submitted under the program, covering the activities in January through March 1966.

II. OBJECTIVES OF THE REPORTING PERIOD

1. Initiation of corrosion rig testing and completion of approximately 1000 hours of specimen exposure.
2. Further investigation of forming techniques.
3. Start fabrication of sample modules for evaluation of braze joining.

III. TECHNICAL DISCUSSION

3.1 MATERIALS EVALUATION

Approximately 1000 hours of testing have been completed in the corrosion test rig under the following summarized test parameters:

Unit Test Time: 200 hours of 24 hour test cycles

Test Cycle Conditions: 16 hours exhaust gas exposure
8 hours quiescent salt air exposure

Exhaust Gas Conditions: Combustor discharge at 1000° F with
ASTM D-665-60 salt water injected downstream at the
rate of 15 parts per million parts of inlet air. Gas
at coupon, flows at rate of 50 fps.

Quiescent Salt Air Exposure: Immediately following exhaust gas
exposure, burner is shut off and salt spray maintained
for one hour. Spray is then discontinued and rig allowed
to soak for remainder of cycle.



Materials Tested: Six specimens of each parent material/braze alloy combination per test.

Test No.	Parent Material	Braze Alloy
1	Type 347 Type 347 Type 430 Type 430	Solar IX1* Coast Metals 53 Coast Metals 53 GE 8201 B
2	Hastelloy C Hastelloy C Type 430 Inconel 600	Coast Metals 53 GE 8201 B Microbrazed 50 Microbrazed 50
3	Inconel 600 Type 347 Type 347 Hastelloy C	Coast Metals 53 GE 8201 B Microbrazed 50 Microbrazed 50
4	Inconel 600 Type 430 Hastelloy C Inconel 600	Solar IX1* Solar IX1* Solar IX1* GE 8201 B


Photomicrographs of the parent material foils (10 mil) in the "as received" condition (Figures 1-3) are used as a basis of comparison with post-test photomicrographs (Figures 4-7). The poor braze flow depicted in Figure 4 was local in extent and not typical of the overall joint. It is of interest in demonstrating the reduced joint efficiency possible in visually acceptable braze joints. The break noted in the tang of Figure 5 was accidentally induced in preparing the specimen and is not related to the corrosive exposure.

Generally, there is no significant attack observed on these typical photomicrographs or on any of the other specimens examined. The incipient intergranular attack on the Inconel 600 (grain boundary oxidation) and Type 430 (pitting) are not adequate bases for materials ranking.


The coupons were also tested for ductility by folding the braze joint over 180 degrees. (Figures 8-10) No failures were observed on the tensile surface confirming the metallurgical evidence of relative freedom from attack.

Investigation of the rig revealed that although appreciable salt deposits were accumulated on the test specimens during testing, they quickly dried after salt spray was discontinued. The rig has been modified with salt water aspirators to maintain a wet salt steam environment during the final four hours of the quiescent phase.

REPORT ER 1681-2
ISSUED 15 April 1966



Type 437 - 150 x




Type 430 - 150 x


FIGURE 1 - FOIL MATERIAL IN "AS RECEIVED CONDITION"

REPORT ER 1681-2

ISSUED 15 April 1966




Inconel 600 - 150 x




Incoloy 800 - 150 x

FIGURE 2 - FOIL MATERIAL IN "AS RECEIVED CONDITION"

REPORT ER 1681-2
ISSUED 15 April 1966



Hastelloy C - 150 x



Hastelloy F - 150 x

FIGURE 3 - FOIL MATERIAL IN "AS RECEIVED CONDITION"

REPORT ER 1681-2
ISSUED 15 April 1966



Post-test Section

FIGURE 4 - TYPE 430/NICROBRAZE 50 - 75 x

REPORT ER 1681-2

ISSUED 15 April 1966



Post-test Section

FIGURE 5 - HASTELLOY C/NICROBRAZE 50 - 75 x



Post-test Section

REPORT ER 1681-2
ISSUED 15 April 1966



Post-test Section

FIGURE 7 - TYPE 430/GE 820! B - 77 x



3.2 FORMING AND JOINING TECHNIQUES

In the last report period, it was established that corrugations may be formed with extremely small nose radii (triangular shaped passage) or quarter-pitch radii ("U" shaped passage). Die life would be limited in forming small nose radii but might be justified if improved heat transfer characteristics were obtained. Two unbonded core modules (Figures 11 and 12) were fabricated with widely different passage shapes and are scheduled for testing at the U.S. Naval Postgraduate School at Monterey, California. Another question raised on the interaction of forming/joining and performance is the influence of braze fillet size. Since the unbonded core module already represents the one extreme of zero fillet size, a third module was brazed with the largest attainable fillet size. This third unit is also scheduled for thermal transient performance evaluation. (Figure 13)

Conventional punch and die tooling for a six inch cube cross-flow heat exchanger module has been completed. Some preliminary investigations are underway to determine variables influencing height and flatness control. The forming system used must accomplish the dimensional control required for good braze practice without creating high residual stresses. Braze alloy is particularly aggressive on stressed foils and will easily erode through such areas.

Photomicrographs of Figures 14-16 are of a node of one convolution formed from 6" width core material, sectioned at both outside edges and at the center. The purpose was to study the microstructure to determine if the forming operation resulted in a high stress area at the node.

IV. EXPECTED PROGRESS DURING THE NEXT REPORTING PERIOD

1. Continuation of corrosion rig testing with more aggressive environment.
2. Fabricate modules in six inch cubes for evaluation of braze stack-up control.
3. Formulate program for evaluation of fabrication problems with large modules.

REPORT ER 1681-2
ISSUED 15 April 1966



FIGURE 8 - DUCTILITY TEST - TYPE 347/SOLAR IX1* - 35 x

PAGE 12

*U.S. Patent 2,856,281




FIGURE 9 - TYPE 430/GE 8201 B - 35 x



FIGURE 10 - TYPE 430/NICROBRAZE 50 - 35 x

REPORT ER 1681-2

ISSUED 15 April 1966



FIGURE 11 - TEST CORE WITH MINIMUM NODE RADIUS - UNBONDED




FIGURE 12 - TEST CORE WITH MAXIMUM NODE RADIUS - UNBONDED

REPORT ER 1681-2

ISSUED 15 April 1966




FIGURE 13 - TEST CORE WITH MAXIMUM NODE RADIUS - BRAZED



FIGURE 14 - FORMED CORRUGATION

Mat'l 347 - 125 x

Left Side Location

No Stressed Area Apparent



FIGURE 15 - FORMED CORRUGATION

Mat'l 347 - 125 x

Center Location

No Stressed Area Apparent

REPORT ER 1681-2
ISSUED 15 April 1966



FIGURE 16 - FORMED CORRUGATION

Mat'l 347 - 125 x

Right Side Location

No Stressed Area Apparent

Unclassified

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D		
<i>(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)</i>		
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Solar A Division of International Harvester San Diego, California 92112		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified
		2b. GROUP None
3. REPORT TITLE Gas Turbine Regenerators for Marine Environment		
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Third Quarterly Progress Report, January-March 1966		
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) Weber, Henry A.		
6. REPORT DATE 15 April 1966	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 21	7b. NO. OF REFS None
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. NObs 92557	9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) ER 1681-2	
b. PROJECT NO.		
c. SF 013-06-14 Task 3890	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
d.		
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Qualified Requestors may obtain Copies of this Report from DDC		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Bureau of Ships Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20360	
13. ABSTRACT During this report period, 16 parent material-braze alloy combinations have been exposed to testing in the corrosion test rig. Results of this preliminary evaluation are discussed in terms of microstructural attack. Three core modules, fabricated with various passage shapes, are described and projected testing is outlined.		

DD FORM 1473
1 JAN 64

Unclassified
Security Classification

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Marine Regenerator Materials Fabrication						

INSTRUCTIONS

1. **ORIGINATING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (*corporate author*) issuing the report.

2a. **REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:** Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. **GROUP:** Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. **REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. **DESCRIPTIVE NOTES:** If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. **AUTHOR(S):** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. **REPORT DATE:** Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. **TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. **NUMBER OF REFERENCES:** Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. **CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. **PROJECT NUMBER:** Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. **ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S):** Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. **OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S):** If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (*either by the originator or by the sponsor*), also enter this number(s).

10. **AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES:** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through _____."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through _____."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through _____."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. **SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:** Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. **SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY:** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (*paying for*) the research and development. Include address.

13. **ABSTRACT:** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. **KEY WORDS:** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, roles, and weights is optional.

**NOTICES OF CHANGES IN CLASSIFICATION,
DISTRIBUTION AND AVAILABILITY**

69-17

1 SEPTEMBER 1969

AD-481 625 Solar, San Diego, Calif. Quarterly progress rept. no. 3, Jan- Mar 66. Rept. no. FR-1681-2 15 Apr 66 Contract Nobs-92557	No Foreign without approval of Chief, Naval Ship Systems Command, Washington, D. C.	No limitation	USNSSC ltr, 11 Apr 69
--	---	---------------	--------------------------