AEDC-TR-66-28

01

ECCECTED

SHBERING DETE

m

'n

00031

R ò LП

AEDC TECHNICAL LIBRAR'

ARCHIVE COPY DO NOT LOAN

THE EFFECTS OF FLOW THROUGH AN AIRCRAFT PITOT-STATIC PROBE AT SUPERSONIC MACH NUMBERS

This document has been approved tor public release its distribution is unlimited. Per TABT3-6, 1973

A. L. Baer

ARO, Inc.

PROPERTY OF U S AIR FORCE AFRALIPARY AF 40(600)1200

February 1966



VON KÁRMÁN GAS DYNAMICS FACILITY ARNOLD ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT CENTER AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND ARNOLD AIR FORCE STATION, TENNESSEE



When U. S. Government drawings specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related Government procurement operation, the Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise, or in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Qualified users may obtain copies of this report from the Defense Documentation Center.

References to named commercial products in this report are not to be considered in any sense as an endorsement of the product by the United States Air Force or the Government.

ERRATA

ί

AEDC-TR-66-28, February 1966

THE EFFECTS OF FLOW THROUGH AN AIRCRAFT PITOT-STATIC PROBE AT SUPERSONIC MACH NUMBERS

A. L. Baer, ARO, Inc.

Arnold Engineering Development Center Air Force Systems Command Arnold Air Force Station, Tennessee

The figure on the reverse side replaces Fig. 4a on page 9 of AEDC-TR-66-28.



Fig. 4 The Effects of Flow Injected into the Probe

THE EFFECTS OF FLOW THROUGH AN AIRCRAFT PITOT-STATIC PROBE AT SUPERSONIC MACH NUMBERS

This document has been approved for public release this document has been approved for public release that has been approved for public release to the has been a

A. L. Baer ARO, Inc.

of Defense must h FDL (FDCI 0 20.1 This document is subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign governments of foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of AFFDL (FDEL) FD043/STINFO, W-P PARA, oheo

AF - ARDC Armeld Art Tas

FOREWORD

The work reported herein was done at the request of the Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory (AFFDL) (FDCL), Air Force Systems Command (AFSC), under Program Element 62405364, Project 8222, Task 822207. The Bendix Corporation, Research Laboratories Division, acted as a consultant to the sponsoring agency.

The results of tests presented were obtained by ARO, Inc. (a subsidiary of Sverdrup and Parcel, Inc.), contract operator of the Arnold Engineering Development Center (AEDC), AFSC, Arnold Air Force Station, Tennessee, under Contract AF40(600)-1200. The test was conducted from November 4 through 22, 1965, under ARO Project No. VD0613, and the manuscript was submitted for publication on January 13, 1966.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved.

Darreld K. Calkins Major, USAF AF Representative, VKF DCS/Test

Jean A. Jack Colonel, USAF DCS/Test

ABSTRACT

Tests of a standard, aircraft-type, pitot-static probe were conducted in the 12-in. supersonic tunnel of the von Karman Gas Dynamics Facility to evaluate the effects on the probe total and static pressures resulting from drawing flow from the tube or putting flow into the tube. The data were obtained with the probe at zero angle of attack in a supersonic stream at Mach numbers from 1.5 to 5, and the Reynolds number per inch ranged from 0.12 x 10^6 to 0.58 x 10^6 . The results are presented in terms of the ratio of measured probe pressure to the pressure for no tube flow as a function of a nondimensional parameter representing the quantity of flow being passed through the tube.

CONTENTS

		Page
	ABSTRACT	i ii
	NOMENCLATURE	vi
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
11.	APPARATUS	
	2.1 Wind Tunnel	1
	2.2 Model	1
	2.3 Instrumentation and Techniques	2
III.	TEST PROCEDURE	2
IV.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	3
	REFERENCES	4

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure

1.	Probe Geometry (Rosemount Engineering Company Type TRU-1/A)	5
2.	Diagram of Test Equipment Installation	6
3.	The Effects of Flow Drawn through the Probe	
	a. Pitot Duct	7
	b. Static Ports	8
4.	The Effects of Flow Injected into the Probe	
	a. Pitot Duct	9
	b. Static Ports	10
5.	Schlieren Photographs for Ejecting Flow through	
	the Probe Tubes; $M_m = 1.99$	
	a. Pitot Duct	11
	b. Static Ports	11

TABLE

I.	Test Conditions	_	_	_	_	_	'	_	_	_			_		_			-		_	12	2
¥.,	TODE Conditions	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		-

NOMENCLATURE

- A_{c} Area of the four static pressure orifices, 0.01227 in.²
- A_{+} Area of the total pressure port, 0.02761 in.²
- M_w Free-stream Mach number
- p Tunnel stilling chamber pressure, psia
- $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\prime}$ Stagnation pressure behind normal shock, psia
- p_s Probe static pressure for flow in the static tube, psia

p_t Probe pitot pressure for flow in the pitot tube, psia

- p_{ω} Free-stream static pressure, psia
- R Gas constant, 1716.48 $\frac{\text{ft}^2}{\text{sec}^2 \circ \text{R}}$

Re Reynolds number

- To Tunnel stilling chamber temperature, °R
- T_s Total temperature of flow in probe static pressure line, °R
- T_t Total temperature of flow in probe total-head line, "R
- w Mass rate of flow through probe pitot or static pressure lines, slugs/sec
- $\frac{4fL}{D}$ Friction parameter for flow in constant area ducts as defined in Ref. 3 where:

f = coefficient of friction

- L = duct length
- D = hydraulic diameter

SECTION I

An experimental investigation directed toward studying the feasibility of a fluid state air data computer which would require air flow through the data probe was conducted in the 12-in. supersonic tunnel (Gas Dynamic Wind Tunnel, Supersonic (D)). A conventional, aircraft-type, pitot-static probe was chosen for the investigations, and the objective of the test program was to determine how the indicated probe total and static pressures would change as various flow rates through the probe ducts were maintained by throttling the flow to an external vacuum or from a high pressure air source.

Pressure and flow rate measurements were obtained at Mach numbers of 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, and 5 with the probe aligned at zero angle of attack. At a given Mach number, tunnel stilling chamber conditions were held constant as the flow through the probe was varied.

SECTION II

2.1 WIND TUNNEL

Tunnel D is an intermittent, variable density wind tunnel with a manually adjusted, flexible-plate-type nozzle and a 12- by 12-in. test section. The tunnel operates at Mach numbers from 1.5 to 5 at stagnation pressures from about 5 to 60 psia and at stagnation temperatures up to about 80°F. A description of the tunnel and airflow calibration information may be found in Refs. 1 and 2.

2.2 MODEL

A sketch of the pitot-static probe used during these tests is presented in Fig. 1. The probe was furnished by AFFDL and is identified by the manufacturer (Rosemount Engineering Company) as Type TRU-1/A, Model 850. The pitot tube of the probe was modified by extending the 0.1875-in. -diam bore through a baffle plate which was located within the duct approximately 1.25 in. aft of the probe tip.

A line diagram of the test equipment and installation assembly is shown in Fig. 2. The probe was offset laterally from tunnel centerline to allow the instrument lines to clear the sector hub. All joints along the instrument lines, with the exception of the "tee" flare fittings (at Section (a)) and the unions, were soldered to provide a smooth inside wall. The flowmeter was provided by the Bendix Corporation and was a commercially available instrument calibrated, at atmospheric conditions, for a maximum flow rate of 10 standard cubic feet per minute at a differential pressure of 10.43 in. of water. Two needle valves were installed in parallel between the flowmeter and the vacuum or high pressure air source. The larger valve had a 0.3125-in.-diam orifice and the smaller valve, which was used to make fine adjustments to the flow rate, had a 0.0625-in.-diam orifice.

2.3 INSTRUMENTATION AND TECHNIQUES

The instrument lines from the probe pitot and static ports were connected to 15-psid transducers immediately outside the tunnel wall. The transducers were referenced to either a vacuum or atmospheric pressure and were calibrated for ranges of 15, 5, and 1 psia. The pressure measurements are considered accurate to within ± 0.2 percent of the range being used, and the atmospheric reference pressure was measured to within ± 0.03 psia.

The flowmeter differential pressure was recorded with an oil U-tube micromanometer, and a flow rate was determined from calibration data which was furnished by the flowmeter manufacturer. Since the meter was calibrated at standard atmospheric conditions, meter outlet pressure and temperature were recorded to correct the indicated flow rate. The pressure measuring instrumentation for the flowmeter was similar to that described above, and the temperature measurement was made by a copper-constantan thermocouple installed within the flow line just beyond the meter exit.

SECTION III TEST PROCEDURE

At each Mach number, probe pitot and static pressures were measured for the no-flow condition and, subsequently, various probe pressure ratios $(p_t/p_o' \text{ or } p_s/p_o)$ were established by throttling the flow through the control valves until a desired probe pressure $(p_t \text{ or } p_s)$ was obtained. This procedure was repeated until the flow rate reached a maximum value or until the maximum flowmeter differential pressure was reached.

The nominal tunnel operating conditions at which the data were obtained are given in Table I.

SECTION IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The test results for drawing flow through the pitot and static ports of the probe are given in Fig. 3, and the results obtained for ejecting flow through the probe tubes (blowing) are given in Fig. 4. The measured probe pressures $(p_t \text{ and } p_s)$ have been ratioed to the appropriate value for no tube flow $(p_0^{-1} \text{ for the pitot and } p_{\infty} \text{ for the static ports})$ and presented as a function of a nondimensional tube flow parameter. Presented in this form, the data may be viewed from the point of simple duct flow since in the suction tests the pressures p_0^{-1} and p_{∞} can be considered as constant supply pressures and the measured pressures $(p_t \text{ and } p_s)$ as duct back pressure. For the blowing case, this illustration is reversed and the ducts exhaust to constant back pressures $(p_0^{-1} \text{ and } p_{\infty})$.

The results presented in Figs. 3a and 4a show that the pitot tube data follow trends classically portrayed for simple, one-dimensional flow in constant area ducts with friction (after Shapiro, Ref. 3). The curves for various values of the friction parameter, 4fL/D, included in Figs. 3a and 4a are for constant-area duct flow, and although the test setup included a change in tube area (see Fig. 2), these curves are given simply to illustrate the data trends.

The results for the static tube are given in Figs. 3b and 4b and, in contrast to the pitot tube results, show a strong dependence upon the tunnel free-stream conditions. There is little or no correlation of these data by the simple duct flow analysis as was shown for the pitot tube data, and for this reason curves of various friction parameters are not presented. These results are not surprising when one considers the complicated flow picture associated with flow into or out of the static orifices immersed in free-stream flow. Unlike the pitot tube, the flow approaching the static ports is tangential rather than normal, and neither the entering flow conditions or effective flow area at the orifices can be simply treated.

In regard to the static tube data, it should be noted that no results are presented for M_{∞} = 1.5 because the reflected nose shock wave intersected the probe near the location of the static orifices.

Schlieren photographs obtained during the phase when flow was being ejected through the probe tubes are presented in Fig. 5 for the probe immersed in $M_{\infty} = 2$ free-stream flow to illustrate the disturbances created by the out-flow into the stream.

It was also noted during the tests that for the range of conditions investigated there was no effect on the static pressure measurement of flow into or out of the pitot tube.

REFERENCES

- 1. <u>Test Facilities Handbook</u>, (5th Edition). "von Karman Gas Dynamics Facility, Vol. 4." Arnold Engineering Development Center, July 1963.
- 2. Anderson, A. "Flow Characteristics of a 12-in. Intermittent Supersonic Tunnel." AEDC-TDR-63-203 (AD418578), September 1963.
- Shapiro, Ascher H. <u>The Dynamics and Thermodynamics of</u> <u>Compressible Fluid Flow.</u> Vol. 1, Chapter 6, The Ronald Press Company, New York, 1953.



Fig. 1 Probe Geometry (Rosemount Engineering Company Type TRU-1/A)







a. Pitot Duct

Fig. 3 The Effects of Flow Drawn through the Probe

AEDC-TR-66-28





Fig. 4 The Effects of Flow Injected into the Probe







11

Nominal M _œ	Calibrated M _∞	P _o , psia	T _o , ⁰R	Re/in. x 10 ⁻⁶	p _o ,psia	p _o ', psia
1.5	1.47	5.5	535	· 0. 14	1.6	5.2
2	1.99	*5.4	535	0.12	0.7	3.9
	<u>. </u>	11.6	535	0.25	1_5	<u> </u>
2.5	2,50	25.3	535	0. 43	1.5	12.6
	3.00	45	535	0.58	1.2	14.8
4	3.99	60	535	0.47	0.4	<u> </u>
5	5.01	60	535	0.29	0.1	3.7

* These data are not presented in this report.

4

.

,

Security Classification										
DOCUMENT CO	NTROL DATA - R&	D								
(Security classification of little, body of abstract and index)	ing annotation must be en	Itered when i	he overall report (e classified)							
Arnold Engineering Development Ca	enter (AEDC)	UN	CLASSIFIED							
ARO. Inc., Operating Contractor		26 4800								
Arnold Air Force Station, Tenness	3ee	N/A								
3. REPORT TITLE										
THE EFFECTS OF FLOW THROUGH AN AL	RCRAFT PITOT	-STATI	C PROBE AT							
SUPERSONIC MACH NUMBERS										
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
	4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)									
N/A 5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial)										
Baer, A. L., ARO, Inc.										
6. REPORT DATE	74. TOTAL NO. OF P	AGES	75. NO. OF REFS							
February 1966	18		3							
AB CONTRACT OF GRANT NO.	98. ORIGINATOR'S RI	EPORT NUM	5ER(3)							
AF40(600)-1200	AEDC-TR-6	6-28								
5 FROJECT NO. 8222										
e Program Element 62405364	95. OTHER REPORT	NO(S) (Any	other numbers that may be seeigned							
. Task-822207										
10. A VAIL ABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES QUALTER	ed users max	obtair	conjes of this							
report from DDC / and transpittal	tot this doe	HREDT	miside-of DOD and							
release to forgign governments a	nd foreign n	ational	ls must have prior							
approval of AFFDL (FDCL)/.	State of the second second	13								
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12- SPONSORING MIL	TARY ACT	VITY Air Force							
N /4	Flight Dynam	nics La	boratory (AFFDL),							
N/A	Wright-Patte	rson A	FB. Ohio							
13 ADSTRACT										
Tosts of a standard strengt	ft_twngitc	.tt	ic probe work							
conducted in the 12-in superson	ic tunnel of	the wo	n Karman Gag							
Dynamics Facility to evaluate the	e effects on	the pr	obe total and							
static pressures resulting from (drawing flow	from t	he tube or putting							
flow into the tube. The data we	re obtained w	with th	e probe at zero							
angle of attack in a supersonic s	stream at Mac	ch numk	ers from 1.5 to 5,							
and the Reynolds number per inch	ranged from	0.12 x	10^{6} to 0.58 x 10 ⁶ .							
The results are presented in terr	ns of the rat	io of	measured probe							
pressure to the pressure for no	tube flow as	a func	tion of a							
nondimensional parameter represes	ating the que	intity	of flow being							
passed through the tube.										
		and in	Loginic Lengage W-1							
This docis	nent has been app	TOVEL IV	PWTAD DUP19							
	ts distribution is u	ulimitea.	ind istruction							
	IIA	C								

. 1

1

Security Classification

14		LINK A		LIN	KB	LIN	KC
	RET WORDS	ROLE	WT.	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
	supersonic flow						
	probes						
	total pressure						
	static pressure						

INSTRUCTIONS

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.

2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal opthor is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7s. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

85, 8c, 5: 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not suthorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.

13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. Howover, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases, that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.