

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER
AD463930
NEW LIMITATION CHANGE
TO Approved for public release, distribution unlimited
FROM Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational Use; MAY 1965. Other requests shall be referred to Atomic Energy Commission, Department of Energy, Security Office, SO-122 BLDG GTN, Washington, DC 20585-1290.
AUTHORITY
usnr1 ltr, 10 dec 1965

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**AD 4 6 3 9 3 0**

**DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER**

**FOR**

**SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION**

**CAMERON STATION ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA**



**UNCLASSIFIED**

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

USNRDL-TR-844  
11 April 1965

463930

CATALOGED BY: DDC

AS AM Mn

**RAPID ISOLATION OF RADIOSILVER AND CERTAIN OTHER  
RADIOELEMENTS FROM SOLUTION**

**Application to a Search for Ag<sup>121</sup> in U<sup>235</sup> Fission**

by  
**H. V. Weiss  
W. L. Reichert**

463930

**U.S. NAVAL RADIOLOGICAL  
DEFENSE LABORATORY**

**SAN FRANCISCO • CALIFORNIA • 94135**

**DDC  
RECEIVED  
JUN 7 1965  
RESOLVED  
JISA E**

NUCLEAR CHEMISTRY BRANCH  
N. F. Ballou, Head

CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY DIVISION  
R. Cole, Head

---

---

**ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION**


This work is part of a project sponsored  
by the Atomic Energy Commission under Contract  
No. AT(49-2)-1167.

**DDC AVAILABILITY NOTICE**

Qualified requesters may obtain copies  
of this report from DDC.

---

*Edward R. Tompkins*  
Edward R. Tompkins  
Associate Scientific Director

  
D.C. Campbell, CAPT USN  
Commanding Officer and Director

#### ABSTRACT

Rapid separation of silver from cadmium, indium, and tin was effected by filtration through a thin bed of copper powder. This method was applied to a search for  $\text{Ag}^{121}$  in the thermal neutron fission of  $\text{U}^{235}$ . None was detected and this result indicated that either the half-life of  $\text{Ag}^{121}$  is substantially shorter than the post-fission separation time of 3.5 sec or its yield is inordinately low.

The study was extended to examine the behavior of an additional number of elements in the separation. Of the 28 elements studied, only palladium, silver, gold, and mercury were removed from solution to the extent of 70 % or more. Selenium, ruthenium, tellurium, and iodine were partly retained by the copper to the extent of 5-33 %. Of the remaining 20 metals, with the exception of zirconium and arsenic, appreciably less than 0.1 % of the element adhered to the filter bed. This selectivity may allow wider application of the method.

## INTRODUCTION

A previous investigation related to a general study of the nuclear charge distribution in near-symmetric fission suggested the possibility that short-lived  $\text{Ag}^{121}$  forms in the thermal neutron irradiation of  $\text{U}^{235}$ .<sup>1</sup> For exploring this possibility a rapid procedure for the separation of silver from a freshly irradiated solution was required. Evaluation of the existence of  $\text{Ag}^{121}$  would depend upon the measurement of the quantity of  $\text{Sn}^{121}$  (half-life of 27.5 hours) growing from separated silver for various intervals between the time of irradiation and the time of silver separation. Therefore, the procedure demanded the separation of silver from tin as well as from the intervening decay product elements, cadmium and indium.

This note describes a very rapid method for the separation of silver from the descendant chain elements. It is based upon the well-known reduction of ionic silver by metallic copper. The results of the search for  $\text{Ag}^{121}$  are reported. Also the behavior of a variety of other elements in this separation is given. The latter information may provide the basis for wider application of the separation procedure.

## EXPERIMENTAL

### Chemicals and Radioactivities

Copper powder, purified grade, was supplied by the J. T. Baker Chemical Company (Lot No. 29,981).

Other chemicals used were of reagent grade quality.

Radioactive tracers were obtained from the Oak Ridge National Laboratory and Nuclear Science and Engineering Corporation. Their purity was established by gamma-ray spectroscopy.

### Filtration Apparatus

The filtration apparatus was adapted from a commercially available filter tower (Tracerlab Division of Laboratory for Electronics). A disc (7/8 in. diameter) of 10-micron pore size stainless steel mesh (Chas. Lowe Co., San Francisco) replaced the existing porous steel disc. The wire mesh supported 1 gram of copper powder. The powder was washed several minutes before use with 10 ml 1.6 N HNO<sub>3</sub>. The tower was connected to a suction flask and the filtration rate was 30 ml/sec.

### Procedure

A preliminary study of the distribution of silver, cadmium, indium, and tin between copper bed and filtrate was carried out under conditions that approximated those which were to exist in the irradiation experiments. Fifteen milliliters of 4 N HNO<sub>3</sub> containing 100 mg of uranium and 10<sup>6</sup> c/m each of Ag<sup>110</sup>, Cd<sup>109</sup>, In<sup>114</sup>, or Sn<sup>113</sup> were rapidly filtered through a copper bed which was then immediately washed with 5 ml 1.6 N HNO<sub>3</sub>. The copper was dissolved in conc. HNO<sub>3</sub> and then brought to a definite volume. One day after separation the gamma-ray activity of this solution was compared with that of a similarly prepared standard (including the same amount of copper).

In the Ag<sup>121</sup> experiments, a pneumatically driven sample carrier, (rabbit) loaded with 100 mg U<sup>235</sup> in 0.6 ml 4 N HNO<sub>3</sub>, was irradiated at the Vallecitos Nuclear Test Reactor (NTR) for 10 seconds in a flux of 10<sup>12</sup> neutrons/cm<sup>2</sup>/sec. At the end of the irradiation the rabbit was pneumatically transferred in about 1 sec a distance of 50 ft. to the mobile laboratory. The irradiated solution was transferred by suction to a tube containing 10 ml of 4 N HNO<sub>3</sub>, and the rabbit was washed with 5 ml 4 N HNO<sub>3</sub>. The combined solution was passed through the copper powder in the filtration apparatus at a definite time with reference to the end of the irradiation. Passage of the solution through the copper was accomplished in about 0.5 sec. The copper bed was washed immediately with 5 ml 1.6 N HNO<sub>3</sub>.

Copper and supporting stainless steel mesh were transferred to a centrifuge tube which contained a known volume of standardized tin carrier. Several hours after irradiation concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub> was added dropwise to dissolve the copper and 10-ml saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl were added to the solution. The solution was adjusted to pH 8 with NH<sub>4</sub>OH, and the precipitate which formed was collected by centrifugation. To the precipitate of tin hydroxide were added 10 ml of conc. NH<sub>4</sub>OH, and the mixture was stirred thoroughly to solubilize residual copper precipitate. The mixture was centrifuged and after the excess supernatant liquid was decanted, 1 ml of conc. HCl was added to the precipitate. Analysis of the resultant solution for Sn<sup>121</sup> by the radiochemical procedure of Cowan<sup>2</sup> was begun several hours after the irradiation.



TABLE 1

## Quantity of Radioactivity Retained by Copper Bed

Radioactivity	Retained on Copper (%)	Radioactivity	Retained on Copper (%)
Ag <sup>110</sup>	98.3 ± 1.2*	In <sup>114</sup>	0.06 ± 0.02
Ru <sup>109</sup>	85.0 ± 6.5	Tc <sup>99</sup>	0.05 ± 0.02
Hg <sup>203</sup>	74.4 ± 5.6	Sr <sup>85</sup>	0.04 ± 0.01
Au <sup>195</sup>	72.5 ± 3.0	Zn <sup>65</sup>	0.04 ± 0.02
I <sup>131</sup> (I <sup>-</sup> )	33.3 ± 1.0	Sn <sup>113</sup> (IV)	0.03 ± 0.01
Te <sup>135</sup> (IV)	7.2 ± 0.08	Ge <sup>68</sup>	0.02 ± 0.00
Ru <sup>106</sup> (III)	6.8 ± 0.2	Mo <sup>99</sup>	0.01 ± 0.00
Se <sup>75</sup> (IV)	5.3 ± 1.3	Rb <sup>86</sup>	0.01 ± 0.00
Zr <sup>95</sup>	0.68 ± 0.18	Ba <sup>133</sup>	< 0.01
As <sup>77</sup> (III)	0.60 ± 0.00	Co <sup>60</sup> (II)	< 0.01
Mo <sup>95</sup>	0.09 ± 0.01	Ce <sup>144</sup> (III)	< 0.01
Ti <sup>44</sup>	0.08 ± 0.07	Mn <sup>54</sup> (II)	< 0.01
Sb <sup>125</sup> (III)	0.08 ± 0.03	Y <sup>91</sup>	< 0.01
Cd <sup>109</sup>	0.06 ± 0.02	Tl <sup>204</sup> (I)	< 0.01

\*For silver, cadmium, indium and tin the standard deviations are based upon at least four determinations. The errors for the other radioelements are the average deviation of two determinations from the mean.

For the other radioelements studied (see Table 1),  $10^6$  c/m of the respective radioactivity in 10 ml of 4 N  $\text{HNO}_3$  was filtered through the bed, and the bed was then immediately washed with 20 ml 1.6 N  $\text{HNO}_3$ . The bed was dissolved and radiochemically analyzed as described above in the preliminary study.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Preliminary experiments indicated that silver was essentially quantitatively reduced and deposited on the copper bed; the retention of cadmium, indium, and tin was less than 0.1 % (Table 1). The procedure could therefore be used reliably to study the formation of  $\text{Ag}^{121}$  in fission.

In the search for  $\text{Ag}^{121}$  twelve irradiations were performed and separations were made in the interval of 3.5 to 60 seconds after the end of fission.  $\text{Sn}^{121}$  was undetected even in the shortest time of separation. Therefore, either the half-life of  $\text{Ag}^{121}$  is considerably less than several seconds or its fission yield is inordinately low.

The retention at the tracer level of various other radionuclides by the copper bed is shown in Table 1. Of the 28 elements studied, only palladium, silver, gold and mercury were deposited in excess of 70 %. Selenium, ruthenium, tellurium and iodine were partly retained by copper to the extent of 5-33 %. Of the remaining 20 metals, with two exceptions substantially less than 0.1 % of the radionuclide adhered to the filter. The selectivity inherent in the process may provide for the isolation of certain few elements to the exclusion of a significant number of other elements. It seems probable that even greater selectivity may be achieved by modification of the pH and by the action of complexing agents.

Comparison of the oxidation potentials for the electrode half-reactions of these elements with the half-reaction for copper<sup>3</sup> clearly accounts for the results obtained. Where the oxidation potential for the element is more negative than the potential for copper, appreciable reduction and removal from solution occurs. When the potential is more positive only a negligible fraction of the element is retained by the filter.

It is noteworthy that the kinetics of the oxidation-reduction reaction are extremely rapid. For silver, for example, the reaction is complete within the time required for the solution to pass through the copper filter bed (about 1/2 sec.).

Current investigation is concerned with the use of this process to remove silver and palladium separately from solution. Because of its inherent speed such a procedure should afford characterization of the half-lives and yields of short-lived species of these two elements in the region of symmetric fission.

#### REFERENCES

1. H. V. Weiss, N. E. Ballou. "The Rapid Separation of Indium From Tin by Sublimation. The Formation of  $\text{In}^{121}$  in the Fission of  $\text{U}^{235}$ ." U. S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory Report, USNRDL-TR-804, 30 December 1964.
2. G. A. Cowan. "Collected Radiochemical Procedures." Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory Report, LA-1721, Second Edition (1958).
3. W. M. Latimer. "Oxidation Potentials." Prentice-Hall, Inc., Second Edition, N. Y. (1952).

Chemistry

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION

Copies

NAVY

- 1 Chief, Bureau of Ships (Codes 320-364A)
- 2 Chief, Bureau of Ships (Code 210L)
- 1 Director, Naval Research Laboratory
- 1 Chief of Naval Research (Code 422)
- 3 CO, Office of Naval Research, FPO, New York
- 1 Supt., Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey
- 1 Commander, Mare Island Naval Shipyard, (Library)

ARMY

- 1 Chief of Research and Development (Atomic Office)
- 1 CG, Army Materiel Command (AMCRD-DE-NE)
- 1 Commandant, Chemical Center and School
- 1 Commander, Nuclear Defense Laboratory
- 1 CO, Engineer Research and Development Laboratory
- 1 Director, USACDS Nuclear Group

AIR FORCE

- 1 Director, USAF Project RAND
- 1 CG, Air Force Special Weapons Laboratory, Kirtland AFB
- 1 Director, Air University Library, Maxwell AFB
- 1 Commander, Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories (CRT)

OTHER DOD ACTIVITIES

- 2 Director, Defense Atomic Support Agency (Library)
- 1 Commander, FC/DASA, Sandia Base (FCDV)
- 20 Defense Documentation Center

AEC ACTIVITIES AND OTHERS

- 3 Argonne National Laboratory

3 Atomic Energy Commission, Washington  
5 Atomic Energy Commission, Division of Research (Dr. Pierce)  
1 Atomic Energy of Canada, Limited  
2 Brookhaven National Laboratory  
2 Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory (Library)  
1 National Bureau of Standards (Library)  
1 Sandia Corporation, Albuquerque  
1 Sandia Corporation, Livermore  
2 University of California Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, Livermore  
2 University of California Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, Berkeley  
15 Division of Technical Extension, Oak Ridge

USNRDL

45 Technical Information Division

DISTRIBUTION DATE: 26 May 1965

UNCLASSIFIED  
Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D		
<i>(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)</i>		
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) U. S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory San Francisco, California 94135		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
		2b. GROUP None
3. REPORT TITLE RAPID ISOLATION OF RADIO-SILVER AND CERTAIN OTHER RADIOELEMENTS FROM SOLUTION Application to a Search for Ag <sup>121</sup> in U <sup>235</sup> Fission		
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)		
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) Weiss, Herbert V. Reichert, William L.		
6. REPORT DATE 26 May 1965	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 11	7b. NO. OF REFS 3
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. Contract No. AT(49-2)-1167	8a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) USNRDL-TR-844	
a. PROJECT NO.		
c.	8b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
d.		
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC.		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Atomic Energy Commission Washington, D. C. 20545	
13. ABSTRACT Rapid separation of silver from cadmium, indium, and tin was effected by filtration through a thin bed of copper powder. This method was applied to a search for Ag <sup>121</sup> in the thermal neutron fission of U <sup>235</sup> . None was detected and this result indicated that either the half-life of Ag <sup>121</sup> is substantially shorter than the post-fission separation time of 3.5 sec or its yield is inordinately low.  The study was extended to examine the behavior of an additional number of elements in the separation. Of the 28 elements studied, only palladium, silver, gold, and mercury were removed from solution to the extent of 70% or more. Selenium, ruthenium, tellurium, and iodine were partly retained by the copper to the extent of 5-33%. Of the remaining 20 metals, with the exception of zirconium and arsenic, appreciably less than 0.1% of the element adhered to the filter bed. This selectivity may allow wider application of the method.		

DD FORM 1473  
1 JAN 64

UNCLASSIFIED  
Security Classification

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Rapid separation of Ag from In, Cd, Sn and other elements Ag <sup>121</sup> not identified Fission U <sup>235</sup> Selective reduction with copper						

INSTRUCTIONS

1. **ORIGINATING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (*corporate author*) issuing the report.

2a. **REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:** Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. **GROUP:** Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. **REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. **DESCRIPTIVE NOTES:** If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. **AUTHOR(S):** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. **REPORT DATE:** Enter the date of the report as day, month, year; or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. **TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. **NUMBER OF REFERENCES:** Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. **CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. **PROJECT NUMBER:** Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. **ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S):** Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. **OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S):** If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (*either by the originator or by the sponsor*), also enter this number(s).

10. **AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES:** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. **SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:** Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. **SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY:** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.

13. **ABSTRACT:** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. **KEY WORDS:** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, roles, and weights is optional.