## UNCLASSIFIED

# AD NUMBER

### AD463879

## NEW LIMITATION CHANGE

TO

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

### FROM

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational Use; APR 1965. Other requests shall be referred to US Office of Naval Research, 800 North Quincy Street, Arlington, VA.

## AUTHORITY

ONR ltr, 9 Nov 1977

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN DELIMITED AND CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE UNDER DOD DIRECTIVE 5200.20 AND NO RESTRICTIONS ARE IMPOSED UPON ITS USE AND DISCLOSURE.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED,

# UNCLASSIFIED AD 463879

# **DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER**

FOR

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

CAMERON STATION ALEXANDRIA. VIRGINIA



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

# FOR ERRATA 463879 AD

# THE FOLLOWING PAGES ARE CHANGES

# **TO BASIC DOCUMENT**

#### CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91109

HYDROGYNAMICS LABORATORY

KARMAN LABORATORY OF FLUID MECHANICS AND JET PROPULSION

May 26, 1965

Recipients of California Institute of Technology Hydrodynamics Laboratory Report No. 111.3

#### SUBJECT Errata for Report No. 111.3

Gentlemen:

It is requested that the following changes be made in your copy of California Institute of Technology Hydrodynamics Laboratory Report No. 111.3, entitled "The Wall Effect In Cavity Flow," by D. K. Ai and Z. L. Harrison, dated April 1965:

The information in the caption of Fig. 10 should appear with Fig. A-2.

The information in the caption of Fig. A-2 should appear with Fig. 10.

Very truly yours,

E. Goodwin

Mary E. Goodwin

Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy Contract Nonr 220(41)

# THE WALL EFFECT IN CAVITY FLOW

by D.K. Ai and

Z. L. Harrrison

TISIA D

Hydrodynamics Laboratory Kármán Laboratory of Fluid Mechanics and Jet Propulson Chlifornia Institute of Technology Pasadena, California

Report No. 111.3

. 55

به بر حضار معرف

C) C) C)

DATALCGED

C

**APRIL 196**5

Best Available Copy

Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy Contract Nonr-220(41)

#### THE WALL EFFECT IN CAVITY FLOW

by

Daniel K. Ai

and

Z. L. Harrison

#### Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

#### Hydrodynamics Laboratory Karman Laboratory of Fluid Mechanics and Jet Propulsion California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California

Report No. 111.3

T<sub>20</sub>

۲

1

Approved by: T. Y. Wu April 1965

#### ABSTRACT

A non-linear theory for the calculation of the flow field of an oblique flat plate under blockage condition is given using the techniques of integral equations. Numerical results are obtained with the aid of a high speed digital computer for the plate situated mid-channel at values of the angle of attack from  $5^{\circ}$  to  $90^{\circ}$  and the channel width-chord ratio from 3 to 20. Also obtained are results for the plate situated at two different off-center positions for a channel width-chord ratio 5 and angles of attack less than  $30^{\circ}$ .

#### NOMENCLATURE

,

......

**.** 

.

--

•

.

•

.

	A	=	leading edge of the plate; origin of the coordinate system.
,	В	=	trailing edge of the plate; $x = 1$ .
	С	=	scale factor.
·	c <sub>D</sub>	=	drag coefficient.
-	с <sub>г</sub>	=	lift coefficient.
	D	=	stagnation point on the plate.
	F <sub>D</sub>	=	drag force.
	f	=	complex potential.
	H <sub>A</sub>	=	normal distance from A to the upper channel wall.
•	H <sub>1</sub> , H <sub>2</sub>	=	widths of the flow above and below the dividing streamline at
e e	h, h 1 2	=	widths of the flow above and below the cavity at downstream infinity.
	I	=	flow at upstream infinity.
	J	=	flow at downstream infinity between the upper cavity and
	К	=	flow at downstream infinity between the lower channel and
	k <sub>o</sub> , k <sub>1</sub> , k <sub>2</sub>	=	parameters in the transformation.
	P∞	=	free stream pressure.
	P <sub>c</sub>	=	cavity pressure.
	U, V	=	uniform up- and downstream velocities respectively.
	w	=	channel width = $H_1 + H_2$ .
	w	=	complex velocity.
	Z	=	x + iy, the physical plane.
	a	=	angle of attack.
•	σ	=	$(p_{00} - p_{c})/\frac{1}{2} \rho U^{2}$ , cavitation number.
	ρ	=	density of the liquid.
	θ	=	direction of the flow.

#### Introduction

The two-dimensional cavity theory of an unbounded fluid, with the recently published works<sup>(1), (2)</sup>on the non-linear solution of bodies of general shape, can be considered a well established field. For an experimentalist who has to perform the tests, however, the existing theories cannot always be applied directly and have to be modified mainly because the flow one creates for experiment is often bounded by different types of boundaries. These boundaries can be free surfaces of constant pressure if the equipment in use is a jet, rigid walls if it is a water tunnel, or both if it is a free surface channel. The essential problem is to determine the effect of the boundaries. In the past, many papers have been published on this problem. A small portion of these are listed here as references<sup>(3), (4), (5), (6)</sup>. We shall not repeat what they have done since these references are available and well known. This paper also deals with this problem, but the interest is focused only on the unsymmetric flow for arbitrary angle of attack and the boundaries considered are rigid walls. In a subsequent report, we shall treat the cases of the free jet and the free surface channel.

It is a well known fact that the cavity length behind a body depends essentially on  $\sigma$ , an important parameter known as the cavitation number and defined as

$$\sigma = (p_{p_{c}} - p_{c})/\frac{1}{2} \rho U^{2}.$$
 (1)

Here  $p_{\infty}$  is the free stream pressure, U the free stream velocity, and  $p_{c}$  is the cavity pressure presumably nearly constant. In general, the length would increase as  $\sigma$  decreases and with the presence of free surfaces, e.g. in a jet,  $\sigma$  would become zero when the length approaches infinity. However, this phenomenon is not observed in a water tunnel with rigid walls. In this case  $\sigma$  would reach a finite positive limit  $\sigma_{c}$  as the cavity length increases indefinitely hence cavitation numbers below  $\sigma_{c}$  are not attainable. Thus not all cavity flow conditions can be modeled in a water tunnel. The phenomenon corresponding to  $\sigma = \sigma_{c}$  in a water tunnel is called blockage or choking and the determination of  $\sigma_{c}$  is therefore one of the central problems in water tunnel testing of cavity flows.

In this paper, the two-dimensional non-linear theory of a choked unsymmetrical flow over a flat plate at an arbitrary angle of attack is worked out using the techniques of integral equations. Numerical results are obtained on a high speed digital computer (IBM 7094).

#### Formulation of the problem and preliminary calculations.

Consider the idealized cavity flow in a water tunnel with rigid walls, depicted in Fig. 1. We assume a uniform upstream flow with velocity U, and a uniform downstream velocity V as the cavity, which has a stationary interface, approaches its maximum cross-section. The flat plate, set at an arbitrary angle of attack, can be located anywhere in the tunnel and the stream after impinging on the frontal side of the plate separates smoothly at both its leading and trailing edges. The plate is of length unity or one may say all dimensions are normalized by the chord. The coordinate axes are set normal and parallel to the plate with the origin at the leading edge.

If we call  $\overline{w}$ , the velocity vector, with magnitude |w| and direction  $\theta$ ,  $\overline{w} = |w| e^{i\theta}$ , then in our coordinate system, the uniform velocities at upand downstream infinities are  $Ue^{ia}$  and  $Ve^{ia}$  respectively.

The boundary conditions for the problem are:

 $\theta = a$ , on the channel walls  $\theta = 0$ , on the plate from D to B  $\theta = \pi$ , on the plate from D to A |w| = V, on the cavity walls.

The force coefficients at the choking condition can be obtained from momentum consideration in terms of the channel width, the angle of attack and the critical cavitation number;

$$\rho V^{2} (h_{1} + h_{2}) - \rho U^{2} W = (p_{\infty} - p_{c}) W - F_{D}$$
(2)

where  $F_D$  is the drag, by conservation of volume,  $V(h_1 + h_2) = WU$  and by definition  $\sigma^* = (p_{00} - p_C)/\frac{1}{2}\rho U^2$ . Substituting

$$F_{\rm D} = (p_{\infty} - p_{\rm c}) W + \rho U^2 W - \rho V U W$$
(3)

The subscript is dropped since the cavitation number we refer to from now on is always the choked one.

and the drag coefficient is

$$C_{D} = \frac{2F_{D}}{\rho U^{2} A^{+}} = 2W \left[ (1 + \frac{\sigma}{2}) - \sqrt{1 + \sigma} \right].$$
(4)

 $A^+$ , the area per unit span, is equal to one for a plate of unit length. The lift coefficient is

$$C_{L} = C_{D} \cot \alpha.$$
 (5)

#### Theory

Assuming the flow to be irrotational, there exists a complex potential  $f = \varphi + i\psi$ . The flow field in the f-plane is shown in Fig. 2. The two channel walls are represented by the streamlines  $\psi_1$  and  $-\psi_2$  while the dividing streamline coincides with the negative  $\varphi$ -axis. The stagnation point D is chosen as the origin and the cut along the positive  $\varphi$ -axis represents the two branches of the streamline split at D. The leading and trailing edges of the plate are located somewhere on the upper and lower branches respectively. With reference to Fig. 1, the values of  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  are given as

$$\psi_1 = UH_1 = Vh_1$$
  
$$\psi_2 = UH_2 = Vh_2.$$
 (6)

We proceed to solve the problem by introducing a new variable  $\Omega$ , defined by

$$\Omega = \log \frac{V}{w} = \tau + i\theta$$
  
$$\tau = \log \frac{V}{|w|}, \quad \theta = -\arg w.$$
(7)

The choice of using  $\Omega$  as the dependent variable for the problem is suggested by the existing boundary conditions.

The flow fields in the f and the  $\Omega$ -planes are to be connected through a parametric variable  $\zeta = \dot{\varsigma} + i\eta$ . Consider the transformation

$$\frac{df}{d\zeta} = \frac{C}{(\zeta - k_0)(\zeta - k_1)(\zeta - k_2)}$$
(8a)

$$f(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ (h_1 + h_2) \log (\zeta - k_0) - h_1 \log (\zeta - k_1) - h_2 \log (\zeta - k_2) \right].$$
(8b)

The flow in the f-plane is mapped into the upper half  $\zeta$ -plane with the boundaries on the real  $\zeta$  or  $\xi$ -axis as shown in Fig. 3. The upstream I behaves like a source at  $\xi = k_0$  while the downstreams J and K behave like sinks at  $\xi = k_1$  and  $k_2$  respectively. The net strength of these singularities is certainly zero. The jump conditions on the f-plane further furnish the relations;

$$h_{1} = \frac{-\pi C}{V} \frac{1}{(k_{2} - k_{1})(k_{0} - k_{1})}$$
(9)

and

$$h_{2} = \frac{-\pi C}{V} \frac{1}{(k_{2} - k_{1})(k_{2} - k_{0})}$$
(10)

Combining Eqs. (9) and (10) yields the ratio

$$\frac{h_{1}}{h_{2}} = \frac{k_{2} - k_{0}}{k_{0} - k_{1}} \quad . \tag{11}$$

The constant C is a scale factor to be determined later in the theory.

 $\Omega$  has the following values along the  $\xi$ -axis and  $\eta = 0_{\downarrow}$ .

Im 
$$\Omega = \pi$$
,  $\xi < -1$   
Im  $\Omega = 0$ ,  $\xi > 1$   
Re  $\Omega = 0$ ,  $-1 < \xi < k_1$ ,  $k_2 < \xi < 1$   
Im  $\Omega = \alpha$ ,  $k_1 < \xi < k_2$ . (12)

We further define

$$\Omega = \Omega_0 + \Omega_1, \qquad (13)$$

where  $\Omega_0$  is associated with the unbounded fluid.  $\Omega$  is split up in this way to make the solution readily obtainable. The term  $\Omega_1$ , which represents the effect of the walls, is added to the unbounded fluid transcendentally to maintain the total exact solution. When the channel widthchord ratio is very large,  $\Omega_1$  then can be considered merely as a perturbation of the unbounded flow.

or

4

With reference to Figures 4a and 4b,  $\Omega_0$  has the form

$$\Omega_{o} = \cosh^{-1}\zeta = \log (\zeta + \sqrt{\zeta^{2} - 1}).$$
 (14)

Along the  $\xi$ -axis,

Im 
$$\Omega_0 = \pi$$
,  $\xi < -1$   
Im  $\Omega_0 = 0$ ,  $\xi > 1$   
Re  $\Omega_0 = 0$ ,  $-1 < \xi < 1$ . (15)

For  $|\xi| < 1$ ,  $\Omega_0$  is given by

$$\operatorname{Im} \Omega_{O} = \beta(\xi) = \arctan\left(\sqrt{1 - \xi^{2}}/\xi\right). \tag{16}$$

The remaining part,  $\Omega_1$  which is caused by the presence of the channel walls, then takes the boundary values;

Im 
$$\Omega_{1} = 0$$
,  $\dot{\varsigma} < -1$   
Im  $\Omega_{1} = 0$ ,  $\dot{\varsigma} > 1$   
Re  $\Omega_{1} = 0$ ,  $-1 < \ddot{\varsigma} < k_{1}$ ,  $k_{2} < \dot{\varsigma} < 1$   
Im  $\Omega_{1} = a - \beta(\dot{\varsigma})$ ,  $k_{1} < \ddot{\varsigma} < k_{2}$ . (17)

The boundary condition for  $\hat{\Omega}_1$  on the  $\dot{\xi}$ -axis is shown in Fig. 5. Three branch cuts appear on the  $\dot{\xi}$ -axis; these are from  $\xi = -\infty$  to  $\dot{\xi} = -1$ , from  $\dot{\xi} = 1$  to  $\dot{\xi} = +\infty$  and from  $\dot{\xi} = k_1$  to  $\xi = k_2$ . The first two cuts represent the plate and the last one the channel walls in the z-plane.

We now have a boundary value problem involving the unknown function,  $\Omega_1(\zeta)$ , which can be formulated and solved as a Hilbert problem. By analytic continuation

$$\Omega_{1}(\overline{\zeta}) = -\overline{\Omega}_{1}(\zeta).$$
(18)

The region is extended to the lower half  $\zeta$ -plane with the Re  $\Omega_1$  odd and the Im  $\Omega_1$  even with respect to the  $\tilde{\zeta}$ -axis. We now introduce the auxiliary function

$$H(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\zeta - k_1)(\zeta - k_2)(\zeta^2 - 1)}}, \qquad (19)$$

which has the following properties;

i) H( $\zeta$ ) has the proper branch cuts ( $\zeta = -1$ ,  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ , and +1 are branch points).

ii) On the Re  $\zeta$  axis:

Im H = 0,  
Re H = 0,  
Re H = 
$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\xi - k_1)(k_2 - \xi)(1 - \xi^2)}}$$
, Im H = 0,  
Re H = 0,  
Im H = 0,  
 $k_1 < \xi < k_1$   
 $k_1 < \xi < k_2$   
 $k_2 < \xi < 1$   
 $k_1 < \xi < \infty$ 

A new function

$$G(\zeta) = \Omega(\zeta) H(\zeta)$$

is then formed which has on the Re $\zeta$  axis;

Im G = 0,  
Im G = 
$$-\frac{\alpha - \beta(\xi)}{\sqrt{(\xi - k_1)(k_2 - \xi)(1 - \xi^2)}}$$
,  $-\infty < \xi < k_1$   
 $k_1 < \xi_1 < k_2$   
Im G = 0,  
 $k_2 < \xi < +\infty$ 

With the aid of Plemelj's formula<sup>(7)</sup>, the solution of the Hilbert problem is given immediately by

$$\Omega_{1}(\zeta) = -\frac{1}{\pi}\sqrt{(\zeta-k_{1})(\zeta-k_{2})(\zeta^{2}-1)} \int_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} \frac{\lfloor a-\beta(t) \rfloor dt}{(t-\zeta)\sqrt{(t-k_{1})(k_{2}-t)(1-t^{2})}}$$
(20)

However, the constants k and k cannot be arbitrarily chosen for a fixed a because of a physical condition that must be imposed on the solution. Specifically, the solution  $\Omega$  must behave locally like a stagnation flow at the stagnation point. In the  $\zeta$ -plane, the stagnation point corresponds to  $\zeta = \infty$ . The local stagnation flow can be shown to behave like log  $\zeta$  as  $\zeta$  approaches infinity; this behavior is already incorporated into the function  $\Omega_0$ . We require, therefore that  $\Omega_1$  be finite at infinity. If Eq. (20) is expanded in inverse powers of  $\zeta$ , this condition is seen to be given by the requirement that

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{\alpha - \beta(t)}{\sqrt{(t-k_1)(k_2-t)(1-t^2)}} dt = 0.$$
 (21)

7

Thus for a given value of a, Eq. (21) determines the relation between  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ .

We are now able to determine  $\sigma$  from  $\Omega_1$  in Eq. (20). At upstream infinity in the physical plane, |w| = U and  $\zeta = k_0$ .

$$\Omega_{1} = \tau_{1} = \log \frac{V}{U} = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{(k_{2} - k_{0})(k_{0} - k_{1})(1 - k_{0}^{2})} \int_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} \frac{[a - \beta(t)] dt}{(t - k_{0})\sqrt{(t - k_{1})(k_{2} - t)(1 - t^{2})}}$$
(22)

From our previous discussion,  $\sigma = V^2/U^2 - 1$ , therefore Eq. (22) enables us to calculate  $\sigma$  when the integral on the right hand side is evaluated.

In order to complete the solution, we shall relate the geometry of the flow to the undetermined constants. Utilizing the definition of the complex velocity, we have the relation

$$dz = \frac{1}{w} df = \frac{1}{w} \frac{df}{d\zeta} d\zeta = \frac{1}{V} e^{\Omega(\zeta)} \frac{df}{d\zeta} d\zeta . \qquad (23)$$

With the aid of Eqs. (8a), (13) and (14) and upon integration,

$$\int dz = \frac{C}{V} \int \frac{\zeta + \sqrt{\zeta^2 - 1}}{(\zeta - k_0)(\zeta - k_1)(\zeta - k_2)} e^{\Omega_1(\zeta)} d\zeta . \qquad (24)$$

When we apply Eq. (24) along the plate from A to B, the normalized chord is obtained;

$$z_{\rm B} - z_{\rm A} = 1 = \frac{C}{V} \left[ \int_{\infty}^{1} \frac{\dot{\varsigma} + \sqrt{\dot{\varsigma}^2 - 1}}{(\ddot{\varsigma} - k_{\rm O})(\dot{\varsigma} - k_{\rm I})(\varsigma - k_{\rm I})} e^{\Omega_1(\dot{\varsigma})} d\xi - \int_{-\infty}^{-1} \frac{\dot{\varsigma} - \sqrt{\dot{\varsigma}^2 - 1}}{(\dot{\varsigma} - k_{\rm O})(\dot{\varsigma} - k_{\rm I})(\varsigma - k_{\rm I})} e^{\Omega_1(\ddot{\varsigma})} d\xi \right].$$
(25)

The first integral on the right hand side represents the distance from the stagnation point to the trailing edge, we therefore find the location of the stagnation point.

 $H_A$ , the height of A below the upper channel wall can be determined in a similar way. With reference again to Fig. 1,

$$H_{A} = h_{1} + Im \left[ e^{-ia} (z_{J} - z_{A}) \right]$$

or

$$= h_{1} + \frac{C}{V} \int_{-1}^{k_{1}} \frac{\sin(\theta_{1} + \beta - \alpha)}{(\xi - k_{0})(\xi - k_{1})(\xi - k_{2})} d\xi, \qquad (26)$$

since  $\Omega_1$  is purely imaginary and equal to  $i\theta_1$  in the interval  $-1 \le \le k_1$ . The channel width W is expressed through continuity as

 $= h_{1} + \frac{C}{V} \operatorname{Im} \left[ e^{-i\alpha} \int_{-1}^{k_{1}} \frac{e^{i\beta(\xi)} e^{\Omega_{1}(\xi)}}{(\xi - k_{0})(\xi - k_{1})(\xi - k_{1})} d\xi \right]$ 

W = (h<sub>1</sub> + h<sub>2</sub>) 
$$\frac{V}{U} = \frac{(h_1 + h_2)}{\sqrt{1 + \sigma}}$$
 (27)

#### Final Results.

We have applied the theory to calculate the case of a flat plate positioned mid-channel for various values of a and W. The range in a is from 5° to 90° and in W from 3 chords to 20 chords. We also obtained results for two off-center positions of the plate,  $H_A = 1.0$ and 4.0 for W = 5 chords and values of a up to  $30^\circ$ .

The lift coefficient, drag coefficient and choking cavitation number are plotted versus a for W = 5 chords and the plate in midchannel in Fig. 6. The dash-dot curve in Fig. 6 is the lift coefficient given by Wu's unbounded fluid theory<sup>(1)</sup>.

In Fig. 7, we show the enlarged portion of Fig. 6 for  $a \le 30^{\circ}$  with experimental values from Wade<sup>(8)</sup> corresponding to the choking  $\sigma$  determined by the present theory. Wade's experiment was performed with W $\approx$ 5 and the experimental cavitation numbers were obtained from measured cavity pressure. Since it is difficult to operate the tunnel near choking condition, Wade was not able to obtain data at such low cavitation numbers: Therefore, we show values extrapolated from his data curves. One notices that all points are enveloped by the two theoretical curves.

Cohen, Sutherland and Tu<sup>(5)</sup> have worked out a linearized theory for a flat plate at small angles of attack and calculated  $\sigma$  for mid-channel position. However, their definition of mid-channel is different from ours as we define mid-channel when the center of the plate lies on the centerline while they locate the leading edge of the plate on the centerline. As a result, it is not possible to make a direct comparison between the two theories. For very small angles of attack, however, the discrepancy caused by the difference in definition becomes small and for  $\alpha = 6^{\circ}$ , W = 5, the present theory gives  $\sigma = 0.133$ ,  $C_L = 0.198$  for  $H_A/(W-H_A) = 0.959$ and their theory gives  $\sigma \approx 0.13$  and  $C_L \approx 0.22$  for  $H_A/(W-H_A) = 1$ . The values of their calculations are read from Fig. 10 of Ref. 3, hence are only approximate.

Figure 8 shows the effect of plate position for W = 5 chords. In this figure  $C_L$ ,  $C_D$  and  $\sigma$  are plotted versus a for  $a \le 30^\circ$  and two values of  $H_A$ . The dashed curves are  $H_A = 1.0$  and the solid ones are  $H_A = 4.0$ . As would be expected  $C_L$ ,  $C_D$  and  $\sigma$  all increase as the plate is lowered in the channel.

We show  $C_L$  and  $\sigma$  versus a for W = 8 with experimental values from Parkin<sup>(9)</sup> in Fig. 9. Again the experimental cavitation numbers were obtained from measured cavity pressure, however, in this case only the data for  $a = 8^{\circ}$  and  $10^{\circ}$  were obtained from extrapolated curves.

Figures 10a and b show drag coefficient,  $C_D$ , and choking cavitation number,  $\sigma$ , versus channel width, W, for a flat plate located midchannel. The range in angle of attack is 5° to 90° and in W from 3 to 20 chords.  $C_L$  may easily be obtained from Fig. 10a and Eq. (5) and therefore is not presented here.

In order to show the effect of the channel walls, we have plotted  $RC_D$ , the ratio of  $C_D$  bounded to  $C_D$  unbounded <sup>(1)</sup>,  $(RC_N = RC_L = RC_D)$  versus the channel width W in Fig. 11. The curves are for the same values of a and W as Figs. 10a and b except that for  $a = 90^{\circ} RC_D$  is shown for W as low as 1.224. As would be expected when W = 20 there is very little wall effect and as the channel becomes smaller the ratio drops until the walls approach the plate where the ratio increases. This effect is shown for  $\beta = 90^{\circ}$  where the ratio tends to infinity at W = 1.

The curve of  $RC_D$  for each a will have a similar asymptote at  $W = \sin a$ .

In Fig. 12, we have shown the distance of the stagnation point from the leading edge as a function of a. At  $a = 15^{\circ}$ , it is already difficult to tell the difference between the two points and at  $a = \frac{\pi}{2}$  the stagnation point is at mid-plate as one would expect.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is supported by the U. S. Office of Naval Research under Contract Nonr-220(41) at the California Institute of Technology. The authors also wish to express their sincere thanks to Professor T. Y. Wu for his suggestions and guidance in this problem, to Dr. D. P. Wang for the many helpful discussions they had, and to Miss C. Lin for the graphical works.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Wu, T. Y., "A wake model for free-streamline flow theory. Part I. Fully and partially developed wake flows and cavity flows past an oblique flat plate", Journal of Fluid Mechanics, Vol. 13 part 2, pp. 161-181, 1962.
- 2. Wu, T. Y. and Wang, D. P., "A wake model for free-streamline flow theory, Part II, Cavity flows past obstacles of arbitrary Profile", California Institute of Technology, Hydrodynamics Laboratory Report No. 97.4, May 1963.
- 3. Cohen, H. and DiPrima, R. C., "Wall effects in cavitating flows", pp. 367-390, Aug. 25--29, 1958.
- 4. Birkhoff, G., Plesset, M. and Simmons, N., "Wall effects in cavity flow I", Quarterly of Applied Mathematics, Vol. VIII, No. 2, July 1950.
- 5. Cohen, H., Sutherland, C. C. and Tu, Yih-O, "Wall effects in cavitating hydrofoil flow", Journal of Ship Research, 3, (1957), pp. 31-40.
- 6. Gurevich, M. I., "Symmetrical cavitation flow around a flat plate situated between parallel walls", Bull. of Acad. of Sci, of USSR, No. 4(1946).
- 7. Mikhlin, S. G., "Integral equations", Pergamon Press, 1957.
- 8. Wade, R. B., "Water tunnel observations on the flow past a planoconvex hydrofoil", California Institute of Technology, Hydrodynamics Laboratory Report No. E-79.6, Feb. 1964.
- 9. Parkin, B. R., "Experiments on circular arc and flat plate hydrofoils in non-cavitating and full cavity flows", California Institute of Technology, Hydrodynamics Laboratory Report No. 47.6, Feb. 1956.

#### APPENDIX

#### Procedures for numerical computations

In a direct problem one would specify the channel width, W, and the location of the plate (of chord unity),  $H_A$ , for a given angle of attack, then calculate  $\sigma$ ,  $h_1$ ,  $h_2$  and the force coefficients. However, in view of the form of our solution it is necessary to do the inverse problem of choosing the transformation parameters  $k_2$ ,  $k_1$ , and  $k_0$  (the downstream conditions,  $h_1$  and  $h_2$ , when the flow is choked) and calculating W and  $H_A$ . The values of  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are related to the k's through the transformations in Eqs. (8a), (8b) and the jump conditions in Eqs. (9) and (10). The choice of k defines the geometry so that the scaling factor, C, or more precisely, the ratio V/C is determined from Eq. (25).

Given a value of k,  $k_1$  is easily determined by iteration of the integral condition of Eq. (21). The integral in this equation cannot be numerically integrated in its present form so we divide it into two integrals

$$\int_{k_{1}}^{t} \frac{a-\beta(t)}{\sqrt{(t-k_{1})(k_{2}-t)(1-t^{2})}} dt = \int_{k_{1}}^{t} \frac{\frac{k+k_{2}}{2}}{\sqrt{(t-k_{1})(k_{2}-t)(1-t^{2})}} dt + \int_{k_{1}+k_{2}}^{k_{2}} \frac{a-\beta(t)}{\sqrt{(t-k_{1})(k_{2}-t)(1-t^{2})}} dt;$$
(A-1)

make the transformations

 $p = x^{2} + k_{1}$  in the first integral,  $s = k_{2} - x^{2}$  in the second integral,

and

$$x_0^2 = \frac{1}{2}(k_2 - k_1)$$

and Eq. (21) becomes

$$\int_{0}^{x_{0}} \frac{a - \beta(p)}{p^{2}\sqrt{2x_{0}^{2} - x^{2}}} dx + \int_{0}^{x_{0}} \frac{a - \beta(s)}{s^{2}\sqrt{2x_{0}^{2} - x^{2}}} dx = 0.$$
 (A-2)

This integral iteration presents no problem since all calculations are preformed on a high speed digital computer (IBM 7094). We now can calculate the remaining transformation parameter,  $k_0$ , from the specified ratio  $h_2/h_1$  and Eq. (11). To aid in the trial and error solution of this problem the behavior of  $k_2$  and  $k_1$  for the plate in mid-channel are shown in Fig. A-1 and for the off center positions in Fig. A-2. The remaining parameter to be guessed is  $h_2/h_1$  which may be approximated by  $(W-H_A-sina)/H_A$ . For the mid-channel case this quantity is roughly unity.

The choking cavitation number,  $\sigma$ , the channel width, W, the drag coefficient,  $C_D$ , and the lift coefficient,  $C_L$ , are readily determined from Eqs. (22), (27), (4) and (5) respectively. The integral in Eq. (22) is treated in the same way as Eq. (21).

To determine  $H_A$  we must first evaluate the ratio V/C. From Eq. (25)

$$\frac{V}{C} = -\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi + \sqrt{\xi^{2} - 1}}{(\xi - k_{0})(\xi - k_{1})(\xi - k_{2})} e^{\Omega_{1}(\xi)} d\xi - \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi + \sqrt{\xi^{2} - 1}}{(\xi + k_{0})(\xi + k_{1})(\xi + k_{2})} e^{\Omega_{1}'(\xi)} d\xi, \quad (A-3)$$

where  $\Omega_{1}(\xi)$  is given in Eq. (20) and

$$\Omega_{1}'(\xi) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{(\xi + k_{1})(\xi + k_{2})(\xi^{2} - 1)} \int_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} \frac{\alpha - \beta(t)}{(t + \xi)\sqrt{(t - k_{1})(k_{2} - t)(1 - t^{2})}} dt.$$
(A-4)

For numerical integration, it is more convenient to introduce the new integration variable  $\tau = \xi^{-1}$ . The first integral on the right hand side of Eq. (A-3) now may be written

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi + \sqrt{\xi^{2} - 1}}{(\xi - k_{0})(\xi - k_{1})(\xi - k_{2})} e^{\Omega_{1}(\xi)} d\xi = \int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \tau^{2}}}{(1 - k_{0}\tau)(1 - k_{1}\tau)(1 - k_{1}\tau)} e^{\Omega_{1}^{\dagger}} d\tau + \int_{\tau}^{1} \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \tau^{2}}}{(1 - k_{0}\tau)(1 - k_{1}\tau)(1 - k_{1}\tau)} e^{\Omega_{1}^{*}} d\tau, \quad (A-5)$$

and the second integral

$$\int_{A}^{\infty} \frac{\xi + \sqrt{\xi^{2} - 1}}{(\xi + k_{0})(\xi + k_{1})(\xi + k_{2})} e^{\Omega_{1}^{1}(\xi)} d\xi = \int_{0}^{\tau_{0}} \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \tau^{2}}}{(1 + k_{0}\tau)(1 + k_{1}\tau)(1 + k_{2}\tau)} e^{\Omega_{1}^{1+}} d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \tau^{2}}}{(1 + k_{0}\tau)(1 + k_{1}\tau)(1 + k_{2}\tau)} e^{\Omega_{1}^{1+}} d\tau, \quad (A-6)$$

14

where

$$\Omega_{1}^{+} = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{(1 - k_{1}\tau)(1 - k_{2}\tau)(1 - \tau^{2})} \left[ g_{1} + \tau g_{2} + \tau^{2} g_{3} + \ldots + \tau^{6} g_{7} \right], \quad (A-7)$$

$$\Omega_{1}^{'+} = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{(1+k_{1}\tau)(1+k_{1}\tau)(1-\tau^{2})} \left[ g_{1} - \tau g_{2} + \tau^{2} g_{3} - \ldots + \tau^{6} g_{7} \right] , \qquad (A-8)$$

$$\Omega_{1}^{*} = \frac{1}{\pi\tau} \sqrt{(1-k_{1}\tau)(1-k_{2}\tau)(1-\tau^{2})} \int_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} \frac{a-\beta(t)}{(1-t\tau)\sqrt{(t-k_{1})(k_{2}-t)(1-t^{2})}} dt, \qquad (A-9)$$

$$\Omega_{1}^{1} = -\frac{1}{\pi\tau} \sqrt{(1+k_{1}\tau)(1+k_{2}\tau)(1-\tau^{2})} \int_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} \frac{a-\beta(t)}{(1+t\tau)\sqrt{(t-k_{1})(k_{2}-t)(1-t^{2})}} dt, \qquad (A-10)$$

and

$$g_{n} = \int_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} \frac{t^{n} [a - \beta(t)] dt}{\sqrt{(t - k_{1})(k_{2} - t)(1 - t^{2})}}$$
 (A-11)

 $\Omega^+$  and  $\Omega^{+}$  are obtained from the power series expansion of  $\tau$  and therefore are suitable for the calculation of small values of  $\tau$  or large values of  $\xi$ . For a properly chosen  $\tau_0$ , say  $\tau_0 = 0.1$ , a truncated series of seven terms is sufficient to give  $\Omega_1^+(\tau_0)$  identical to  $\Omega_1^*(\tau_0)$  to five significant figures.

The remaining quantity to be evaluated is the integral in Eq. (26)

$$\int_{1}^{k} \frac{\sin(\theta + \beta - \alpha)}{(\xi - k_{0})(\xi - k_{1})(\xi - k_{2})} d\xi.$$

Some analysis is needed before a straightforward numerical integration can be performed. One notices that the denominator of the integrand has a factor  $(\xi - k)$  which causes the integral to be logarithmically singular unless the numerator also vanishes at the upper limit. It is therefore necessary to examine the behavior of the numerator as  $\xi$  approaches k. Let us study the behavior of

$$\theta_{1} = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{(k_{1} - \xi)(k_{2} - \xi)(1 - \xi^{2})} \int_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} \frac{\alpha - \beta(t)}{(t - \xi)\sqrt{(t - k_{1})(k_{2} - t)(1 - t^{2})}} dt \qquad (A-12)$$

as  $\xi$  approaches k. We denote the regular part of the integrand in the neighborhood of t = k as

$$F(t) = \frac{a - \beta(t)}{\sqrt{(k_2 - t)(1 - t^2)}} .$$
 (A-13)

F(t) has the Taylor expansion

$$F(t) = F(k_1) + F'(k_1)(t-k_1) + \frac{F''(k_1)}{2}(t-k_1)^2 + \dots$$

where the coefficients  $F(k_1)$ ,  $F'(k_1)$  etc. are finite numbers which can be evaluated without any difficulty. If we now subtract from F(t) the first terms of its Taylor expansion then add them on later, the integral in  $\theta_1$ can be written

$$\int_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} \frac{[a - \beta(t)] dt}{(t - \xi)\sqrt{(t - k_{1})(k_{2} - t)(1 - t^{2})}} = \int_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} \frac{dt}{(t - \xi)\sqrt{t - k_{1}}} \left[F(t) - F(k_{1}) - F'(k_{1})(t - k_{1}) - \frac{F''(k_{1})}{2} (t - k_{1})^{2}\right] + F(k_{1})\int_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} \frac{(t - k_{1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{t - \xi} dt + F'(k_{1})\int_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} \frac{(t - k_{1})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{t - \xi} dt + \frac{F''(k_{1})}{2}\int_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} \frac{(t - k_{1})^{\frac{3}{2}}}{t - \xi} dt.$$
(A-14)

4

The integrand of the first integral of Eq. (A-14) is finite and tends to zero as t approaches  $k_1$  because the terms in the bracket are of the order  $(t-k_1)$ . The remaining integrals of Eq. (A-14) are integrated in closed form:

$$\int_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} \frac{1}{t-\xi} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t-k_{1}}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{k_{1}-\xi}} \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} - \tan^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{k_{1}-\xi}{k_{2}-k_{1}}} \right]$$

$$\int_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} \frac{\sqrt{t-k_{1}}}{t-\xi} dt = 2\sqrt{k_{2}-k_{1}} - 2\sqrt{k_{1}-\xi} \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} - \tan^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{k_{1}-\xi}{k_{2}-k_{1}}} \right]$$

$$\int_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} \frac{(t-k_{1})^{\frac{3}{2}}}{t-\xi} dt = \frac{2}{3} (k_{2}-k_{1})^{\frac{3}{2}} - 2(k_{2}-k_{1})\sqrt{k_{1}-\xi} + 2(k_{1}-\xi)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} - \tan^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{k_{1}-\xi}{k_{2}-k_{1}}} \right].$$

From Eqs. (A-13) and (A-14) it can be shown that in the neighborhood of  $\xi = k_1, \theta_1$  has the asymptotic expression

$$\theta_{1} = \alpha - \beta + M\sqrt{k_{1} - \xi} + O(k_{1} - \xi)$$

where

$$M = \frac{\sqrt{(k_{2} - k_{1})(1 - k_{1}^{2})}}{\pi} \int_{k_{1}}^{K_{2}} \frac{dt}{(t - k_{1})^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left[ F(t) - F(k_{1}) - F'(k_{1})(t - k_{1}) - \frac{F''(k_{1})}{2}(t - k_{1})^{2} \right]$$

$$-\frac{2}{\pi}\frac{\alpha-\beta(k_{1})}{\sqrt{k_{2}-k_{1}}}+\frac{2F'(k_{1})}{\pi}\sqrt{1-k_{1}^{2}}(k_{2}-k_{1})+\frac{F''(k_{1})}{3\pi}\sqrt{1-k_{1}^{2}}(k_{2}-k_{1})^{2}.$$
 (A-15)

In our final form for numerical computation, the range of integration of the integral in Eq. (26) is split into two intervals;

$$\int_{-1}^{k} \frac{\sin(\theta + \beta - \alpha)}{(\xi - k_{0})(\xi - k_{1})(\xi - k_{2})} d\xi = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\sin(\theta + \beta - \alpha)}{(\xi - k_{0})(\xi - k_{1})(\xi - k_{2})} d\xi + \int_{k_{1}}^{k} \frac{(\theta + \beta - \alpha) d\xi}{(\xi - k_{0})(\xi - k_{1})(\xi - k_{2})}, \quad (A-16)$$

where  $\epsilon$ , small and positive, is chosen so that at  $\xi = k - \epsilon$ ,  $\sin(\theta + \beta - \alpha)$ can be safely approximated by  $\theta + \beta - \alpha$ . If we replace  $\theta$ , in the second integral of Eq. (A-16) by its asymptotic expression, Eq. (26) becomes

$$H_{A} = h_{1} + \frac{C}{V} \left[ \int_{-1}^{k_{1}-\epsilon} \frac{\sin(\theta_{1}+\beta-\alpha)}{(\xi-k_{0})(\xi-k_{1})(\xi-k_{2})} d\xi - 2M \int_{0}^{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \frac{d\lambda}{(k_{0}-\xi)(k_{2}-\xi)} \right] , \quad (A-17)$$

where

$$\lambda^2 = k - \xi$$

The integrals in Eq. (A-17) can be easily evaluated since their integrands are regular everywhere.



f - plane



Fig. 1 Sketch showing a flat plate with an infinite cavity in a water tunnel.



Fig. 2 The complex potential plane of the flow.



Fig. 3 The parametric  $\zeta$ -plane.



Fig. 4 The  $\Omega_0^{-}$  and  $\zeta_{-}$  planes.  $\Omega_0^{-1} = \cosh^{-1} \zeta = \log(\zeta + \sqrt{\zeta^2 - 1})$ .

 $\zeta$  -plane



Fig. 5 Boundary values of  $\Omega_1$  on Re  $\zeta$ -axis.







Fig. 7 Enlarged section of Fig 6 for small angles of attack with experimental data extrapolated from Wade's data curves.



Fig. 8 The effect of angle of attack on  $C_L$ ,  $C_D$  and  $\sigma$  for a flat plate located in two off-center positions in a channel of W = 5.



Fig. 9 Comparison of  $C_L$  from the present theory with the unbounded theory and experimental data from Parkin for a plate located mid-channel in a channel of W = 8.



,

.

١

Effect of channel width on (a) the drag coefficient and (b) the choking cavitation number for a flat plate located mid-channel at various angles of attack. Fig. 10



Fig. 11 The ratio of  $C_D$  (bounded) to  $C_D$  (unbounded) versus W for a flat plate located mid-channel.



Fig. 12 Distance of stagnation point from the leading edge for W = 5 and the plate in mid-channel.







Effect of angle of attack and plate position on the transformation parameters (a) k and (b) k for a flat plate in two off-center positions  $H_A = 1$  and 4, in a channel of W = 5. <sup>2</sup> Fig. A-2

#### DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR UNCLASSIFIED TECHNICAL REPORTS

#### **ISSUED UNDER**

#### CONTRACT Nonr-220(41)

#### (Single copies unless otherwise specified)

Chief of Naval Research Chief, Bureau of Ships Department of the Navy Department of the Navy Washington 25, D.C. Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Codes 438 (3) Attn: Codes 310 461 312 463 335 466 420 421 Commanding Officer 440 Office of Naval Research 442 **Branch** Office 449 495 Summer Street Boston 10, Massachusetts Chief, Bureau of Yards and Docks Department of the Navy Commanding Officer Washington 25, D. C. Office of Naval Research Attn: Code D-400 **Branch** Office 207 West 24th Street **Commanding Officer and Director** New York 11, New York David Taylor Model Basin Washington 7, D. C. Commanding Officer Attn: Codes 108 Office of Naval Research 142 **Branch** Office 500 1030 East Green Street 513 Pasadena, California 520 Commanding Officer 525 Office of Naval Research 526 **Branch** Office 526A 1000 Geary Street 530 San Francisco 9, California 533 580 Commanding Officer 585 Office of Naval Research 589 Branch Office 591 Box 39, Navy No. 100 591A Fleet Post Office 700 New York, New York (25) Commander Director U.S. Naval Ordnance Test Station Naval Research Laboratory Pasadena Annex Washington 25, D. C. 3202 E. Foothill Blvd. Attn: Code 2027 (6) Pasadena 8, California Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons Attn: Code P-508 Department of the Navy Commander Washington 25, D. C. **Planning Department** Attn: Codes RUAW-r Portsmouth Naval Shipyard RRRE Portsmouth, New Hampshire RAAD **RAAD-222** Commander DIS-42 Planning Department Boston Naval Shipyard Commander r Boston 29, Massachusetts U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station China Lake, California

Attn: Code 753

Commander Planning Department Pearl Harbor Naval Shipyard Navy No. 128, Fleet Post Office San Francisco, California

Commander Planning Department San Francisco Naval Shipyard San Francisco 24, California

Commander Planning Department Mare Island Naval Shipyard Vallejo, California

Commander Planning Department New York Naval Shipyard Brooklyn 1, New York

Commander Planning Department Puget Sound Naval Shipyard Bremerton, Washington

Commander Planning Department Philadelphia Naval Shipyard U. S. Naval Base Philadelphia 12, Pennsylvania

Commander Planning Department Norfolk Naval Shipyard Portsmouth, Virginia

Commander Planning Department Charleston Naval Shipyard U. S. Naval Base Charleston, South Carolina

Commander Planning Department Long Beach Naval Shipyard Long Beach 2, California

Commander Planning Department U. S. Naval Weapons Laboratory Dahlgren, Virginia

Commander U. S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory White Oak, Maryland

Dr. A. V. Hershey Computation and Exterior Ballistics Laboratory U. S. Naval Weapons Laboratory Dahlgren, Virginia

Superintendent U. S. Naval Academy Annapolis, Maryland Attn: Library Superintendent U. S. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California Commandant U. S. Coast Guard 1300 E. Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. Secretary Ship Structure Committee U. S. Coast Guard Headquarters 1300 E Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. Commander Military Sea Transportation Service Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. U. S. Maritime Administration GAO Building 441 G Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. Attn: Division of Ship Design **Division** of Research Superintendent **U. S. Merchant Marine Academy** Kings Point, Long Island, New York Attn: Capt. L. S. McCready (Dept. of Engineering) Commanding Officer and Director U. S. Navy Mine Defense Laboratory Panama City, Florida **Commanding Officer** NROTC and Naval Administrative Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge 39, Massachusetts U. S. Army Transportation Research and **Development Command** Fort Eustis, Virginia Attn: Marine Transport Division Mr. J. B. Parkinson National Aeronautics and Space Administration 1512 H Street, N. W. Washington 25, D. C. Director Langley Research Center Langley Station Hampton, Virginia Attn: Mr. I. E. Garrick Mr. D. J. Marten

Director Engineering Sciences Division Harvard University National Science Foundation 1951 Constitution Avenue, N. W. Washington 25, D. C.

Director National Bureau of Standards Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Fluid Mechanics Division (Dr. G. B. Schubauer) Dr. G. H. Keulegan Dr. J. M. Franklin

Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia (20) Office of Technical Services

Department of Commerce Washington 25, D. C.

California Institute of Technology Pasadena 4, California Attn: Professor M. S. Plesset Professor T. Y. Wu Professor A. J. Acosta

University of California Department of Engineering Los Angeles 24, California Attn: Dr. A. Powell

Director Scripps Institute of Oceanography University of California La Jolla, California

Professor M. L. Albertson Department of Civil Engineering Colorado A and M College Fort Collins, Colorado

Professor J. E. Cermak Department of Civil Engineering Colorado State University Fort Collins, Colorado

Professor W. R. Sears Graduate School of Aeronautical Engineering Ordnance Research Laboratory Cornell University Ithaca, New York

State University of Iowa Iowa Institute of Hydraulic Research Iowa City, Iowa Attn: Dr. H. Rouse Dr. L. Landweber

Massachusetts Institute of Technology Director Cambridge 39, Massachusetts Attn: Department of Naval Architecture Woods Hole, Massachusetts and Marine Engineering Professor A. T. Ippen

Cambridge 38, Massachusetts Attn: Professor G. Birkhoff (Dept. of Mathematics) Professor G. F. Carrier (Dept. of Mathematics) University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan

Professor R. B. Couch Attn: (Dept. of Naval Architecture) Professor W. W. Willmarth (Aero. Engineering Department)

Dr. L. G. Straub, Director St. Anthony Falls Hydraulic Laboratory University of Minnesota Minneapolis 14, Minnesota Attn: Mr. J. N. Wetzel Professor B. Silberman

Professor J. J. Foody Engineering Department New York State University Maritime College Fort Schulyer, New York

New York University Institute of Mathematical Sciences 25 Waverly Place New York 3, New York Attn: Professor J. Keller Professor J. J. Stoker

The Johns Hopkins University Department of Mechanical Engineering Baltimore 18, Maryland Attn: Professor S. Corrsin Professor O. M. Phillips (2)

Massachusetts Institute of Technology Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering Cambridge 39, Massachusetts Attn: Professor M. A. Abkowitz, Head

Dr. G. F. Wislicenus Pennsylvania State University University Park, Pennsylvania Attn: Dr. M. Sevik

Professor R. C. DiPrima **Department** of Mathematics Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, New York

Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute

۲

Stevens Institute of Technology Davidson Laboratory Castle Point Station Hoboken, New Jersey Attn: Mr. D. Savitsky Mr. J. P. Breslin Mr. C. J. Henry Mr. S. Tsakonas Webb Institute of Naval Architecture Crescent Beach Road Glen Cove, New York Attn: Professor E. V. Lewis Technical Library Executive Director Air Force Office of Scientific Research Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Mechanics Branch Commander Wright Air Development Division Aircraft Laboratory Wright-Pattern Air Force Base, Ohio Attn: Mr. W. Mykytow, Dynamics Branch Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory 4455 Genesee Street Buffalo, New York Attn: Mr. W. Targoff Mr. R. White Massachusetts Institute of Technology Fluid Dynamics Research Laboratory Cambridge 39, Massachusetts Attn: Professor H. Ashley Professor M. Landahl Professor J. Dugundji Hamburgische Schiffbau-Versuchsanstalt Bramfelder Strasse 164 Hamburg 33, Germany Dr. H. Schwanecke Attn: Dr. H. W. Lerbs Institut fur Schittbau der Universitat Hamburg Berliner Tor 21 Hamburg 1, Germany Attn: Prof. G. P. Weinblum, Transportation Technical Research Institute 1-1057, Mejiro-Cho, Toshima-Ku Tokyo, Japan Westbury, Long Island, New York Bottingerstrasse 6/8 Gottingen, Germany Attn: Dr. H. Reichardt Hydro-og Aerodynamisk Laboratorium Lyngby, Denmark Attn: Professor Carl Prohaska

Shipsmodelltanken Trondheim, Norway Professor J. K. Lunde Attn: Versuchsanstalt fur Wasserbau and Schiffbau Schleuseninsel im Tiergarten Berlin, Germany Attn: Dr. S. Schuster, Director Dr. Grosse Technische Hogeschool Institut voor Toegepaste Wiskunde Julianalaan 132 Delft, Netherlands Attn: Professor R. Timman Bureau D'Analyse et de Recherche Appliquees 47 Avenue Victor Bresson Issy-Les-Moulineaux Seine, France Attn: Professor Siestrunck Netherlands Ship Model Basin Wageningen, The Netherlands Attn: Dr. Ir. J. D. vanManen National Physical Laboratory Teddington, Middlesex, England Attn: Mr. A. Silverleaf, Superintendent Ship Division Head, Aerodynamics Division Head, Aerodynamics Department Royal Aircraft Establishment Farnborough, Hants, England Attn: Mr. M. O. W. Wolfe Dr. S. F. Hoerner 148 Busteed Drive Midland Park, New Jersey Boeing Airplane Company Seattle Division Seattle, Washington Attn: Mr. M. J. Turner Electric Boat Division General Dynamics Corporation Groton, Connecticut Attn: Mr. Robert McCandliss General Applied Sciences Labs., Inc. Merrick and Stewart Avenues Gibbs and Cox, Inc. 21 West Street New York, New York Lockheed Aircraft Corporation Missiles and Space Division Palo Alto, California Attn: R. W. Kermeen

£

Grumman Aircraft Engineering Corp. Bethpage, Long Island, New York Attn: Mr. E. Baird Mr. E. Bower Mr. W. P. Carl-Midwest Research Institute 425 Volker Blvd. Kansas City 10, Missouri Attn: Mr. Zeydel Director, Department of Mechanical Sciences Southwest Research Institute 8500 Culebra Road San Antonio 6, Texas Attn: Dr. H. N. Abramson Mr. G. Ransleben Editor, Applied Mechanics Review Convair A Division of General Dynamics San Diego, California Attn: Mr. R. H. Oversmith Mr. H. T. Brooke Hughes Tool Company Aircraft Division Culver City, California Attn: Mr. M. S. Harned Hydronautics, Incorporated Pindell School Road Howard County Laurel, Maryland Attn: Mr. Phillip Eisenberg Rand Development Corporation 13600 Deise Avenue Cleveland 10, Ohio Attn: Dr. A. S. Iberall U. S. Rubber Company **Research and Development Department** Wayne, New Jersey Attn: Mr. L. M. White Technical Research Group, Inc. Route 110 Melville, New York, 11749 Attn: Mr. Jack Kotik Mr. C. Wigley Flat 102 6-9 Charterhouse Square London, E. C. l, England **AVCO** Corporation Lycoming Division 1701 K Street, N. W. Apt. No. 904 Washington, D. C. Attn: Mr. T. A. Duncan

Mr. J. G. Baker Baker Manufacturing Company Evansville, Wisconsin Curtiss-Wright Corporation Research Division **Turbomachinery Division** Quehanna, Pennsylvania Attn: Mr. George H. Pedersen Dr. Blaine R. Parkin AiResearch Manufacturing Corporation 9851-9951 Sepulveda Boulevard Los Angeles 45, California The Boeing Company Aero-Space Division Seattle 24, Washington Attn: Mr. R. E. Bateman (Internal Mail Station 46-74) Lockheed Aircraft Corporation California Division Hydrodynamics Research Burbank, California Attn: Mr. Bill East National Research Council Montreal Road Ottawa 2, Canada Attn: Mr. E. S. Turner The Rand Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California Attn: Technical Library Stanford University Department of Civil Engineering Stanford, California Attn: Dr. Byrne Perry Dr. E. Y. Hsu Dr. Hirsh Cohen IBM Research Center P. O. Box 218 Yorktown Heights, New York Mr. David Wellinger Hydrofoil Projects Radio Corporation of America Burlington, Massachusetts Food Machinery Corporation P. O. Box 367 San'Jose, California Attn: Mr. G. Tedrew Dr. T. R. Goodman Oceanics, Inc. Technical Industrial Park

5

Plainview, Long Island, New York

Professor Brunelle Department of Aeronautical Engineering Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey

Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 219 S. Dearborn Street Chicago 1, Illinois 60604

University of Colorado Aerospace Engineering Sciences Boulder, Colorado Attn: Prof. M. S. Uberoi

The Pennsylvania State University Dept. of Aeronautical Engineering Ordnance Research Laboratory P. O. Box 30 State College, Pennsylvania Attn: Professor J. William Holl

Institut fur Schiffbau der Universitat Hamburg Lammersieth 90 2 Hamburg 33, Germany Attn: Dr. O. Grim

Technische Hogeschool Laboratorium voor Scheepsbounkunde Mekelweg 2. Delft, Netherlands Attn: Professor Ir. J. Gerritsma

Ł

Security Classification						
DOC	UMENT CONTROL DATA	RLD				
(Security classification of title, body of abeli OBIGINATING ACTIVITY (Composite author)	ect and indexing annotation must	2 e. REPC	The overall report is classified)			
Office of Naval Research. D	ept. of the Navy	U	Tinclesoffied			
Washington, D. C.	26 GROU	26 GROUP				
REPORT TITLE						
The Wall Effect in Cav	ity Flow		·			
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusion	live detes)		······································			
Final						
AUTHOR(S) (Last name, met name, initial)						
Ai, Danield K. and Har	rison, Zora L.					
REPORT DATE	70. TOTAL NO. 0	F PAGES	75. NO. OF REFS			
April, 1965	20		9			
. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. Nonr-220(41) 61260	98. ORIGINATOR	'S REPORT NU	MBER(5)			
PROJECT NO.	E-111	. 3				
	SE OTHER REPO	AT NO(S) (An	y other numbers that may be assign			
	this report)					
SUPPL EMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING	MILITARYACT				
	Office o	Office of Neural Descenach				
	Washing	ton, D. (				
ABSTRACT						
A nonlinear theory for the under blockage condition is go Numerical results are obtain the plate situated mid-channel the channel-width-chord ratic plate situated at two different ratio of 5 and angles of attac	he calculation of the given using the techn hed with the aid of a el at values of the ar o from 3 to 20. Als t off-center position k less than 30°.	flow field iques of i high spee agle of att o obtained s for a ch	l of an oblique flat plantegral equations. d digital computer fo ack from 5° to 90° and d are results for the lannel width-chord			
•						

DD . 508M. 1473

Unclassified Security Classification Unclassified

		LI	IK A	"LINK D		. LINIC	
	KEY WORDS	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
		1					
			ļ				
			}				
						1	
		le l	ł	+			ł
			1				
		1	1				1
			<u> </u>				
	· _· _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _	INSTRUCTIONS					
ORIGINATIN	IG ACTIVITY: Enter the name and add	dress imposed by securit	y classif	icution, us	ing star	idard stati	emente
the contractor	r, subcontractor, grantee, Department o	of De- such as:					

the report. 2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordence with appropriate security regulations.

2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal withor is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year; or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES. Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the upplicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8<sup>b</sup>, &c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

95. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (other by the originator or by the aponaor), also enter this number(s).

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

- "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through
- (4) <sup>44</sup>U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (*Pa*)<sup>+</sup> ing for) the research and development. Include address.

13 ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS). (S), (C) or (U)

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. How ever, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional

Unclassified

Security Classification