UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD461260

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FROM:

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational Use; APR 1965. Other requests shall be referred to Arnold

Engineering Development Center, Arnold AFB, TN.

AUTHORITY

AEDC ltr 31 Mar 1966

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

AEDC-TR-65-80



FEB 23,1968

IVUV (1968



•

DYNAMIC STABILITY CHARACTERISTICS OF A 10-DEG CONE AT MACH NUMBER 20

R. H. Urban ARO, Inc.

and

R. J. Shanahan

Aerospace Research Laboratories Office of Aerospace Research United States Air Force

....s document has been approved for public release its distribution is unlimited. DDC [TR. 75/5 AD 40/1 700 7/75

> 2ROPERTY OF U. S AIR FORCE AEDC LIBRARY AF 40(600)1000

April 1965

VON KÁRMÁN GAS DYNAMICS FACILITY ARNOLD ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT CENTER AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND ARNOLD AIR FORCE STATION, TENNESSEE



When U. S. Government drawings specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related Government procurement operation, the Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise, or in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Qualified users may obtain copies of this report from the Defense Documentation Center.

References to named commercial products in this report are not to be considered in any sense as an endorsement of the product by the United States Air Force or the Government.

DYNAMIC STABILITY CHARACTERISTICS OF A 10-DEG CONE AT MACH NUMBER 20

R. H. Urban

ARO, Inc.

and

R. J. Shanahan

Aerospace Research Laboratories Office of Aerospace Research United States Air Force

FOREWORD

The work reported herein was completed at the request of Arnold Engineering Development Center (AEDC), Air Force Systems Command (AFSC) under Program Element 65402034.

The results of tests presented were jointly obtained by ARO, Inc. (a subsidiary of Sverdrup and Parcel, Inc.), contract operator of the Arnold Engineering Development Center (AEDC), AFSC, Arnold Air Force Station, Tennessee, under Contract AF40(600)-1000 and the Fluid Dynamic Facilities Laboratory, Aerospace Research Laboratories (ARL), Office of Aerospace Research (OAS), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base (WPAFB), Ohio. The tests were conducted during September and December, 1964 at ARL under ARO Project No. VT3116, and the report was submitted by the authors on March 26, 1965.

Appreciation must be extended to N. E. Scaggs and the many other personnel of the ARL for their effort and cooperation in making the test effort a success.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved.

Darreld K. Calkins Major, USAF AF Representative, VKF DCS/Test Jean A. Jack Colonel, USAF DCS/Test

ŧ

ABSTRACT

Tests were conducted in the 30-Inch Hypersonic Tunnel on the Aerospace Research Laboratories, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio to determine the effects of Reynolds number and frequency of oscillation on the damping-in-pitch derivatives of a 10-deg half-angle cone. Free oscillation data were obtained at a nominal Mach number of 20, at Reynolds numbers, based on model base diameter, from 50,000 to 100,000, and at model oscillation frequencies from 8 to 45 cps. Test results are presented, and comparisons are made with modified Newtonian impact theory and an unsteady flow field theory.

CONTENTS

.

		Page
	ABSTRACT	iii
	NOMENCLATURE	vi
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	APPARATUS	
	2.1 Wind Tunnel	1
	2.2 Balance	2
	2.3 Models	3
III.	PROCEDURE	
	3.1 Data Reduction	3
	3.2 Tests	4
IV.	PRECISION OF MEASUREMENTS	4
v.	RESULTS	5
VI.	CONCLUSIONS	5
	REFERENCES	· 6

ILLUSTRATIONS

.

Figure

.

٢

1.	Schematic of the ARL 30-Inch Hypersonic Wind Tunnel	7
2.	Dynamic Stability Balance a. Assembled View b. Sectional View c. Balance Components	8 9 · 10
3.	Model-Balance Installed in Test Cabin	11
4.	Wind Tunnel Model a. Geometry	12 12
5.	Damping-in-Pitch Derivatives versus Reynolds Number	13
6.	Damping-in-Pitch Derivatives versus Reduced Frequency Parameter	13

TABLE

I.	Test Summary .										•	•]	14
			-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

.

NOMENCLATURE

А	Reference area (base area), ft^2
$\mathbf{c}_{\mathtt{m}}$	Pitching-moment coefficient, pitching moment/ $q_{\infty}Ad$
c _{mq}	$ \left[\frac{\partial C_m}{\partial (qd/2V_{\omega})}\right] q \longrightarrow 0 $ Damping-in-pitch derivatives, 1/rad
$c_{m_{\dot{\alpha}}}$	$\left[\frac{\partial C_{m}}{\partial (\dot{\alpha} d/2V_{\omega})}\right] \dot{\alpha} \longrightarrow 0$
CyR	Number of cycles to damp to a given amplitude ratio, R, cycles
d	Reference length (model base diameter), ft
f	Frequency of oscillation, cps
I	Model and flexure moment of inertia about pivot axis, $slugs-ft^2$
м _ө	Angular restoring-moment parameter, ft-lb/rad
мė́	Angular viscous-damping parameter, ft-lb-sec/rad
M'ė́	Aerodynamic angular viscous-damping-moment parameter, ft-lb-sec/rad
M	Mach number
P _O	Stilling chamber total pressure, psia
q	Pitching velocity, rad/sec
q _∞	Free-stream dynamic pressure, psf
R	Ratio of amplitude of a damped oscillation after a number of cycles to the initial amplitude
Red	Reynolds number based on model base diameter
т _о	Stilling chamber total temperature, °R
t	Time, sec
v _∞	Free-stream velocity, fps
ά	Time rate of change of angle of attack, rad/sec
θ	Angular displacement, rad or deg
ė	Angular velocity, rad/sec
θ	Angular acceleration, rad/sec^2
ស	Angular frequency, $2\pi f$, rad/sec

•

.

•

$\frac{\omega d}{2V_{\pi}}$	Reduced frequency parameter,	rad
- ' @		

-

.

SUBSCRIPTS

0	Maximum	conditions

- v Vacuum conditions
- w Wind-on conditions

•

1

SECTION I

When an aerodynamic model is tested in a wind tunnel, it is desirable to duplicate the Reynolds number and the Mach number of its fullscale counterpart assuming real gas effects are not of interest. If the body is a dynamic model, then the model should be tested at the same reduced frequency as the full-scale vehicle.

The only wind tunnel facilities that are available at the von Kármán Gas Dynamics Facility (VKF), AEDC for testing at $M_{\infty} = 20$ are hotshot tunnels which are short run time (50 msec useful run duration) facilities which require that the model oscillation frequency be very high (-150 cps) in order to obtain dynamic stability data. The flow velocity in the hotshot facility is about 10,000 ft/sec. Because of the low velocity and high frequency, compared to flight, the reduced frequencies available in these tunnels are on the order of 30 times higher than those in flight. In order to establish the role of the reduced frequency (frequency of oscillation) in dynamic stability testing in the hotshot tunnels, it would be necessary to compare the data from the hotshot facilities with the data obtained in long run time facilities where full-scale reduced frequencies may be duplicated.

A program was initiated to conduct dynamic stability tests at the Fluid Dynamics Facilities Laboratory (ARF), Aerospace Research Laboratories (ARL), Office of Aerospace Research (OAS), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base (WPAFB), Ohio, where wind tunnel facilities capable of useful run times of up to 2 min are available. The tests were conducted in the ARL 30-Inch Hypersonic Wind Tunnel using a free oscillation, low amplitude (± 1.5 deg) dynamic stability balance. Experimental data presented in this report were obtained at a nominal Mach number of 20, at zero angle of attack, for Reynolds numbers ranging from 50,000 to 100,000 and at reduced frequencies ranging from 0.0019 to 0.0107 rad. Comparisons are made between these experimental results and modified Newtonian impact theory and an unsteady flow field theory.

SECTION II APPARATUS

2.1 WIND TUNNEL

The ARL 30-Inch Hypersonic Wind Tunnel, shown schematically in Fig. 1 and fully described in Ref. 1, is a free-jet facility that is operated

in a blow-down fashion using axisymmetric nozzles to generate high Mach number airflows. This facility is operated at Mach numbers from 16 to 22 and with Reynolds numbers from $10^4/\text{ft}$ to $10^5/\text{ft}$ at air reservoir temperatures to 4000°R. Presently the facility is operated at temperatures only slightly above the experimental condensation curve for hypersonic facilities (Ref. 2). A 3000-psia high pressure air storage station and a 135,000-cu-ft vacuum sphere system coupled to a three-stage vacuum pumping station provide the required pressure ratio for establishment of flow. Storage air is processed with silica-gel dryers to a dew-point below -60°F at atmospheric pressure. The heater for the tunnel is a gas-fired, ceramic pebble bed, regeneration-type storage heater. In operation, the 12.5-ft-deep bed of 7/8-in.-diam pebbles is heated by a methane-oxygen air burner.

The facility is presently equipped with a conical nozzle having interchangeable throat sections and a 30-in. exit diameter. The nozzle enters into a 5-ft cubic test cabin. The dimensions of the test cabin allow sufficient room for installation and storage of instrumentation and/or models outside the test jet.

The facility is currently operating with test run times on the order of 2 min with an established hypersonic isentropic jet (test core) of about 20-in. diameter.

2.2 BALANCE

The balance is a remotely controlled, pneumatically operated, stingsupported, free oscillation, low amplitude (± 2 deg), one-degree-offreedom system (Fig. 2). The pivots used in these tests were two sets of cross flexures with nominal stiffnesses of 58 and 680 ft-lb/rad.

The model pivot system is pneumatically displaced to a desired amplitude by a set of displacement arms which apply a couple about the pivot axis of the balance. Once the desired amplitude is reached, the pneumatically operated releasing piston (Fig. 2) is fired into the set of cams which made contact with the set screws located in the displacement arms, thereby releasing the static couple.

Because of the high stagnation temperatures encountered during the operation of the tunnel, a portion of the balance was water cooled by means of wrapping copper tubing around the rear portion of the balance and internally cooled by air directed on the model bulkhead. External model cooling was provided, when data were not being taken, by a retractable air cooling device (Fig. 3). Essentially the external cooling device consisted of nine orifices arranged in a cruciform to provide a uniform airflow along the models periphery.

The time history of the motion of the models was measured with a direct-writing oscillograph in conjunction with a strain-gage bridge mounted on one of the cross flexures.

2.3 MODELS

The models were conical bodies of revolution having 10-deg semivertex angles, bluntness ratios (nose to base radius) of 0.017, and pivot axes locations of 58.7 percent of the model length (Fig. 4). The models were fabricated from 303 stainless steel. Two nose sections were fabricated, one solid and the other hollow. These nose sections in combination with an aft ballast weight enabled the tests to be performed on models of two different inertias. The combination of two pivots and two model inertias yielded four dynamic models whose frequencies of oscillation were 8.1, 12.6, 28.8, and 44.9 cps.

SECTION III PROCEDURE

3.1 DATA REDUCTION

The equations of motion for a free oscillation, one-degree-of-freedom system may be expressed as

$$I \ddot{\theta} - M_{\dot{\theta}} \dot{\theta} - M_{\theta} \theta = 0,$$

The method for computing the dimensionless damping-in-pitch derivatives is indicated by the following expressions:

$$\theta = \theta_{0} e^{(M\dot{\theta}/2I)t} \sin \sqrt{-M_{\theta}/I} t$$

$$M_{\dot{\theta}} = \frac{2II \ln R}{C_{Y_{R}}}$$

$$M_{\dot{\theta}}' = M_{\dot{\theta}_{w}} - M_{\dot{\theta}_{v}} (\omega_{v}/\omega_{w})$$

$$C_{m_{q}} + C_{m_{\alpha}} = M_{\dot{\theta}}' (2V_{w}/q_{w} A d^{2})$$

The expression for obtaining the aerodynamic viscous-damping parameter $(M'_{\dot{\theta}})$ is based on the premise that the structural damping of a cross-flexure pivot varies inversely with the frequency of oscillation (Ref. 3).

Correction factors, to account for the variation of the ratio of specific heats, as a function of stagnation pressure and temperature were obtained empirically from flow calculations based on the Beattie-Bridgeman equation of state, (Refs. 4 and 5). These factors were applied to the isentropic flow relationships to obtain V_{∞} , q_{∞} , and Red.

3.2 TESTS

The wind-on damping measurements and the damping measurements with wind-off, at atmospheric conditions, were conducted at ARL. The wind-off damping measurements at near vacuum conditions (typically 10 microns) were conducted at the VKF and evaluated for all four models at the same mean amplitudes.

The wind-on test procedure consisted of cooling the model balance system until the steady-state tunnel conditions had been established. Cooling was then discontinued, and the model was displaced and released with the resulting motion recorded on a direct-writing oscillograph. The tests were conducted at $M_{\infty} = 20$, zero angle of attack, and mean amplitudes of ± 1.5 deg, for Reynolds numbers ranging from 50,000 to 100,000 and reduced frequencies from 0.0019 to 0.0107 rad. A summary of the test conditions is presented in Table I.

SECTION IV PRECISION OF MEASUREMENTS

The measurement of the damping-in-pitch derivatives is affected by the uncertainties in determining the model moment of inertia (I), the angular frequency of oscillation (ω), and the amplitude ratio (R).

As a result of the above sources of error, the maximum estimated uncertainty in measuring the damping-in-pitch derivatives, $C_{m_q} + C_{m_{\dot{\alpha}}}$, is ±0.5.

SECTION Y RESULTS

Figure 5 exhibits the effects of a Reynolds number variation on the damping-in-pitch derivatives for the four models tested. The models having the two lowest reduced frequencies (0.0019 and 0.0030 rad) display the trend of increasing dynamic stability with Reynolds number. The configurations having the two highest reduced frequencies (0.0068 and 0.0107 rad) display the trend of decreasing dynamic stability with increasing Reynolds number.

Figure 6 illustrates the effects of a reduced frequency variation on the damping-in-pitch derivatives for near constant values of Reynolds numbers. At the two lower values of Reynolds numbers (50, 000 and 70, 000) model dynamic stability is increased as the reduced frequency is increased; whereas at a Reynolds number of approximately 100, 000 model damping is unaffected by varying the reduced frequency.

Both unsteady flow field theory (Ref. 6) and modified Newtonian impact theory (Refs. 7 and 8) are conservative in the prediction of the damping-in-pitch derivatives. The low frequency data (Fig. 5) indicate a trend of increasing with Reynolds number and would be expected to approach the theoretical predictions at higher Reynolds numbers where the theory should be more applicable.

SECTION VI CONCLUSIONS

A wind tunnel test program was conducted in the ARL 30-Inch Hypersonic Tunnel to determine the effects of frequency of oscillation and Reynolds number on the dynamic stability of a 10-deg half-angle cone. Conclusions based on these tests are:

- 1. Increasing the frequency of oscillation increases the dynamic stability at low Reynolds numbers but does not significantly affect it at high Reynolds numbers.
- 2. Increasing Reynolds number may dynamically stabilize or destabilize a model, depending on the frequency of oscillation.
- 3. Both modified Newtonian impact theory and unsteady flow field theory are in poor agreement with these measured data.

REFERENCES

- 1. Scaggs, N. E., Burggraf, W. and Gregorek, G. M. "The ARL Thirty-Inch Hypersonic Wind Tunnel Initial Calibration and Performance." ARL 63-223, December 1963.
- > 2. Daum, F. L. "Air Condensation in a Hypersonic Wind Tunnel." AIAA Journal, Vol. 1, No. 5, May 1963.
 - 3. Welsh, C. J. and Ward, L. K. "Structural Damping in Dynamic Stability Testing." AEDC-TR-59-5 (AD 208776), February 1959.
 - 4. Randall, R. E. "Thermodynamic Properties of Air: Tables and Graphs Derived from the Beattie-Bridgeman Equation of State Assuming Variable Specific Heats." AEDC-TR-57-8(AD135331), August 1957.
 - Randall, R. E. "Thermodynamic Properties of Gases: Equations Derived from the Beattie-Bridgeman Equation of State Assuming Variable Specific Heats." AEDC-TR-57-10 (AD135332), August 1957.
 - 6. Brong, E. A. "The Unsteady Flow Field about a Right Circular Cone in Unsteady Flight." FDL-TDR-6A-, to be published.
 - Gray, J. Don. "Drag and Stability Derivatives of Missile Components According to the Modified Newtonian Theory." AEDC-TN-60-191 (AD246085), November 1960.
 - 8. Tobak, Murray and Wehrend, W. R. "Stability Derivatives of Cones at Supersonic Speeds." NACA TN 3788, September 1956.



Fig. 1 Schematic of the ARL 30-Inch Hypersonic Wind Tunnel

AEDC-TR-65-80

-7





 ∞







AEDC-TR-65-80

Fig. 2 Concludea



Fig. 3 Model-Balance Installed in Test Cabin



All dimensions are in inches.

b. Photograph Fig. 4 Wind Tunnel Model



Fig. 5 Damping-in-Pitch Derivatives versus Reynolds Number



Fig. 6 Damping-in-Pitch Derivatives versus Reduced Frequency Parameter

TABLE I TEST SUMMARY

Entry	M∞	T _o , ⁰R	p _o , psi	V _æ , fps	9, deg	f, cps	ud/2V _a , rad	Red
Sept. 64	20 I	2300→2400 I		5400	11,5	8.1	0.0019	48,000 94,000
ļ						12.6	0.0030	49,000
Dec. 64						8.1	0.0019	51,000-100,000
				1		12.6	0.0030	54,000104,000
						28.8	0.0068	54,000101,000
	•	ļ	Ļ		8	44.9	0.0107	48,000- 92,000

UNCLASSIFIED								
Security Classification								
DOCUMENT CO	NTROL DATA - R&C)						
(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexi	ng annotation must be ent	ered when the	he overelà tepart is clessified)					
I ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	Conton	24 REPOR	REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION					
APO Inc. Openating Contractor	center		JNCLASSIFIED					
ARO, Inc., Operating contractor	Ľ	25 GROUP	N / A					
Arnord Ar Station, Tennessee			N/A					
3 REPORT TITLE								
DYNAMIC STABILITY CHARACTER	ISTICS OF A 1	0-DEG	CONE AT					
MACH NUMBER 20								
4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)				-				
	N/A							
5 AUTHOR(S) (Last name, list name, initial)		1225		1.1				
Urban P H ARO Inc and	d Shanahan R	• т						
orban, R. H., RRO, Inc. and	u Shahanan, m	. J.,	ARL					
6 REPORT DATE	74 TOTAL NO. OF PA	GES	75. NO. OF REFS					
April 1965	21		8					
BA CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. AT 40(600)-1000	94. ORIGINATOR'S RE	PORT NUM	6 E R(\$)					
AF 40(000)-1000								
^a Program Element 65402034	AEDC-	-TR-65-	-80					
с.	S. OTHER REPORT N	IO(S) (Any	other numbers that may be essigned	ed .				
8	the report.							
d	N/A							
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES				-				
Qualified requesters r	mav obtain cc	pies d	of this					
report from DDC.		-						
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Arnold Engin	eering	Development Cent	ter				
N/A	Air Force Sy	stems	Command	- 22				
	Arnold AF St	ation,	Tennessee					
13 ADSTRACT								
13 RESTRACT								
Tests were conducted in	1 the 30-Inch	Hyper	sonic Tunnel -					
of the Aerospace Research La	aboratories W	right-	-Patterson					
Air Force Base, Ohio to dete	ermine the ef	fects	of Reynolds					
number and frequency of osci	illation on t	he dam	ping-in-					
pitch derivatives of a 10-de	∋g half-angle	cone.	ŕ					
Free oscillation data v	vere obtained	at a	nominal					
Mach number of 20, at Reynol	Lds numbers,	based	on model					
base diameter, from 50,000 1	to 100,000, a	nd at	model oscil-					
lation frequencies from 8 to	o 45 cps. Te	st res	sults are					
presented, and comparisons a	are made with	modif	lied New- 🕖					
tonian impact theory and an unsteady flow field theory.								

UNCLASSIFIED

Security	Classification	

14-	YEY WORDS	LIN	KA	LINK B		LINKC		
	KET WORDS		ROLE	ΨT	ROLE	W۲	ROLE	WT
	conical bodies							
	stability tests							
	hypersonic							
	oscillation							, T
			1	5				2
			13					
			1					

INSTRUCTIONS

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.

2e. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3, REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in ali capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter tast name, first name, middle Initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal sother is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year; or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

.

7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

ya. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through
- (4) ¹⁴U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through

្ភា

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (*paying for*) the research and development. Include address.

13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U)

There is no imitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or abort phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.