UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD446689

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FROM:

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Foreign Government Information; APR 1964. Other requests shall be referred to Canadian Embassy, 501 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20001.

AUTHORITY

NRC ltr dtd 7 Mar 1969

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED 4 4 6 6 8 9

DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

FOR

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

CAMERON STATION, ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA



32

UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

DISCLAIMER NOTICE



THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY AVAILABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY. NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL OF CANADA

行用した

12 14

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING REPORT

MK - 12

SMOOTHING OF DIGITAL - TO - ANALOG CONVERTED DATA IN DIGITAL DATA SYSTEMS

BY

R. E. GAGNÉ

DIVISION OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

OTTAWA

APRIL 1964

THIS REPORT MAY NOT BE PUBLISHED IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL

N. R. C. NO. 8032

National Research Council of Canada, Div, of Mechanical Engineering, Ottawa (Ontaria 134115 Pages - Preface - 10 Report: MK-12 Text - 58 Date: April 1964 Lab. Order: 13449A 8 App. - 25 File: M2-2 6-2 Figures For: Internal Subject: SMOOTHING OF DIGITAL-TO-ANALOG CONVERTED DATA IN DIGITAL DATA SYSTEMS, R.E. Gayne, Submitted by: D.C. Baxter Head Analysis Section D.C. MacPhail Approved by: Director SUMMARY A brief review of the properties of sampled signals

WA brief review of the properties of sampled signals is given, and used to describe systems which contain digital components. It is shown that the errors in such digital data systems are of two types, one due to the inaccuracies in the algorithm programmed, and the other due to the reconstruction process necessary to convert the digital data to analog form. The latter error only is discussed.

A number of realizable smoothing filters, which can be used to improve the reconstruction accuracy over that obtainable directly by conventional digital-to-analog converters, are presented. The frequency responses of these filters are compared with the ideal recovery filter. Also discussed is the percent root mean square reconstruction error when these filters are used to recover a sampled sinusoid.

Circuits are presented for the realization of these filters using standard analog computer components.

Page - (ii) MK-12

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Ъ. П						Page
	SUMM	ARY				(i)
	LIST	OF ILL	USTRATIONS	A 1 7		(iv)
	LIST	OF SYM	BOLS			(viii
1.0	INTR	DUCTIO	N			1
2.0	DIGI	TAL DAT	A SYSTEMS		1	2
3.0	THE	SAMPLIN	G PROCESS			6
	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	The Id Freque The Sau The Da Digita	eal Sampler ncy Content of Samp mpling Theorem ta Reconstruction T l (or Pulse) Transf	oled Signals Task Fer Functions	л Мар	6 8 9 10 10
4.0	ERRO	RS IN D	IGITAL DATA SYSTEMS	ò		14
5.0	POLY	NOMIAL	RECONSTRUCTION FILT	TERS		1.6
	5.1	Zero-O First-	rder Filte <mark>r (Clamp</mark> Order Filt <mark>er</mark> s	or Zero-Order Hold)	18 20
		5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4	First-Order Extrap First-Order Extrap First-Order Interp First-Order Extrap	oolator – Unsmoothe oolator – Smoothed oolator – Delayed oolator – Ideal	d	20 23 25 27
	5.3	Second	-Order Filters			28
		5.3.1	Second-Order Extra	apolating Filter -		20
		5.3.2 5.3.3	Second-Order - Uni Second-Order Extra	it Delay apolator – Ideal		31
	5.4	Genera	l N th -Order Filters	ò		34
6.0	OTHE	R RECON	STRUCTION FILTERS			34
	6.1	The Fr	actional Order Hold	k		34

Page – (iii) MK-12

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

	an ^a		Page
*	6.2	Exponential Reconstruction Filters	37
		6.2.1 First-Order Exponential 6.2.2 Second-Order Exponential	37 38
7.0	DISC	USSION OF FREQUENCY CHARACTERISTICS	39
8.0	ROOT	MEAN SQUARE RECOVERY ERROR OF FILTERS	41
	8.1	Root Mean Square Error - Zero-Order Filter	46
	0.2	- Unsmoothed	47
	8.4 8.5 8.6 8.7 8.8 8.9 8.10 8.11	- Smoothed Mean Square Error - Delayed First-Order Mean Square Error - Ideal First-Order Mean Square Error - Second-Order 'Extrapolator Mean Square Error - Second-Order - Unit Delay Mean Square Error - Second-Order - Ideal Mean Square Error - Fractional-Order Hold Mean Square Error - First-Order Exponential Mean Square Error - Second-Order Exponential	48 49 50 51 52 53 53 54
9.0	DISC	USSION OF ROOT MEAN SQUARE RECOVERY ERRORS	55
10.0	CONC	LUSIONS	56
11.0	REFE	RENCES	57
	APPEI	NDIX A: Exponential Filtering of D/A Converted Data	
	APPE	NDIX B: Hardware Requirements for Filters	

Page - (iv). MK-12

1.4

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS MIGHLE

	Figur
Digital Data Systems	6
Basic System	la
Analog Digital Conversion	lb
Equivalent Description	lc
Mathematical Model	ld
The Sampling Process	
Ideal Sampling as Amplitude Modulation of any Impulse Carrier	2a
Spectrum of a Sampled Signal	2b
Ideal Recovery Filter	3
Errors in Digital Data Systems	
System Design Problem	4a
Digital Error	4b
System Showing Digital Error	4c
Digital and Reconstruction Errors	4d
Polynomial Reconstruction Filters	Ĵ
Zero-Order Filter	
Operation	6a
Realization	6b
Zero-Order Hold - Frequency Response	6c
First-Order Extrapolating Filter	
Operation	7a
Impulse Response	7b
First-Order Extrapolator (Unsmoothed) - Frequency Response	70
Partial Circuit for Realization	7d
Complete Realization	7e

e

.

Page - (v) -MK-12

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Cont'd)

	riqure
First Order Extrapolator - Smoothed	
Operation	8a
Impulse Response	8b
Frequency Response	8c
Partial Realization	8d
Reduction of Figure 8b	8e
Complete Realization	8f
Delayed First-Order Filter	
Operation	9a
Impulse Response	9b
Frequency Response	9c
Realization	9d
Ideal First-Order Filter	10
Second-Order Extrapolating Filter	
Impulse Response	lla
Frequency Response	11b
Form of Realization	llc
Realization	lld
Delayed Second-Order Filter	
Impulse Response	12a
Frequency Response	12b
Realization	12c
General N th -Order Polynomial Filter	13

Page – (vi) MK-12

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Cont'd)

		Figure
Fractional-Order	Hold	
Impulse Respon	Se	14a
Frequency Resp	onse	14b
Realization		14c
First-Order Expo	nential - Frequency Response	15
Second-Order Exp	onential - Frequency Response	· ·
$\frac{2\omega_n}{\omega_s} = 0.8$		16a
$\frac{2\omega_n}{\omega_s} = 1.0$		16b
$\frac{2\omega_n}{\omega_s} = 1.2$		16c
$\frac{2\omega_n}{\omega_s} = 1.3$		16d
Comparison of Fi	rst-, Second-and Zero-Order Filters	17
Reconstruction E	rror	18
Root Mean Square Order Filters	Recovery Errors - Zero- and First-	19
Root Mean Square	Recovery Error - Second-Order Filte	rs 20
Root Mean Square	Error - Fractional-Order Hold	21
Root Mean Square Filter	Error - First-Order Exponential	22
Root Mean Square Filter	Error - Second-Order Exponential	
$\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_s/2} = 0.8$		23a
$\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_s/2} = 1.0$		23b
$\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_s/2} = 1.2$		23c

Page - (vii) MK-12

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Cont'd)

		5.00 P	Figure
Exponential	Filtering		A-1
Exponential	Reconstruction	Filters	A-2

Page - (viii) MK-12

LIST OF SYMBOLS

{c _n }, {r _n }, etc.	=,	Denoting the sequences $c_0^{}, c_1^{}, c_2^{},$
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, c _n , etc.
c(t), r(t), etc.	11	Function of a continuous variable t (time)
$c^{*}(t)$, $r^{*}(t)$, etc.	=	Denoting a sampled signal
c _I (t)	11	<pre>Ideal recovery of the sampled signal c*(t)</pre>
c1(t)	11	Prediction of c(t)
d(t)	=	Ideal system output
e(t)	=	Reconstruction error for a sampled sinusoid input
$e^2(t)$	=	Mean value of e ² (t)
$e^{2(t)} \times 100\%$	11	Root mean square value of e(t)
h(t)	=	System impulse response
H(s)	=	System transfer function = L{h(t)}
$ H(j\omega) = H(s) _{s=j\omega}$	=	System amplitude response
$/H(j\omega) = /H(s)/s=j\omega$	=	System phase response
$H(z) = Z{h(t)}$	=	System pulse transfer function
h ₀ (t)	1	Impulse response, zero-order hold
h _l (t)	=	Impulse response, first-order extrapolator
h _{1,s} (t)	=	Impulse response, first-order smoothed extrapolator
h _{l,d} (t)	-	Impulse response, first-order delayed interpolator
h _{l,I} (t)	5-40 9-0	Impulse response, first-order ideal extrapolator
h ₂ (t)	=	Impulse response, second-order extra- polator

Page - (ix) MK-12

LIST OF SYMBOLS (Cont'd)

$h_{2,d}(t)$	Ξ	Impulse response, second-order delayed interpolator
h _{2,I} (t)	Ξ	Impulse response, second-order ideal extrapolator
h _k (t)	Ξ	Impulse response, fractional-order hold
h _{l,e} (t)	Ξ	Impulse response, first-order exponential filter
h _{2,e} (t)	-	Impulse response, second-order exponential filter
K, k	Ξ	Variable constant, when appropriate
L{f(t)}	=	Laplace transform of $f(t) = F(s)$
n, i, j, k, etc.	=	Integers, when appropriate
р	danagi danagi	Normalized intersample time variable, where $t = (n+p)T$, and $0 \le p \le 1$
S	-	Laplace complex frequency variable
Т		Interval between samples, seconds
u(t)		Unit step at t = 0
$x = \frac{\omega T}{2} = \pi \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_s} \right)$	П	Normalized frequency variable
Y		Samples/cycle = $\pi/x = \omega_s/\omega$
$z = e^{ST}$		z-transform complex variable ∞
Z{f(t)}		z-transform of $f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(nT)z^{-n} = F(z)$
Z _m {f(t)}	6000 6000	Modified z-transform of
		$f(t) = z^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n+m)T z^{-n} = F(z,m)$

¢

Page - (x). MK-12

LIST OF SYMBOLS (Cont'd)

δ(t)	н	Unit impulse at $t = 0$
ε(t)	=	System performance error
$\varepsilon_{c}(t)$	11	System reconstruction error
e _d (t)	=	System digital error
ζ	-	Damping of a second-order system
ω		Frequency, radians per second
ω _n	н	Undamped resonant frequency of a second-order system
$\omega_{\rm s} = \frac{2\pi}{\rm T}$	-	Sampling frequency, radians/second

Page - 1 MK-12

SMOOTHING OF DIGITAL-TO-ANALOG CONVERTED DATA IN DIGITAL DATA SYSTEMS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Over the past number of years a great deal of interest has been shown in the use of digital devices in situations which had previously been the exclusive domain of continuous or analog devices. The two most evident examples of this trend are the use of digital controllers for process control, and the invasion of the hallowed analog ground of system simulation by the digital computer. This trend has also resulted in a new dimension being added to the fields of computation and control by the combined use of analog and digital devices (hybrid computers) by assigning to each that portion of the task to which it is best suited. It is the resulting mixture of the two types of data in one system, i.e. digital data and continuous data, that defines the systems of interest here, and which are called sampled-data systems (see Ref. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5).

In general, a sampled-data system is defined as any system in which there appear signals which can be said to exist only at discrete instants of time. The interest in radar systems, in which data existed in the form of pulses, stimulated the theoretical study of sampled-data systems in the late 1940's, and by the middle 1950's a sound theory had been evolved for dealing with these <u>pulsed-data</u> systems. As digital computers became fast enough for use in real time situations, it was found that sampled-data theory could also be applied to the study of these <u>digital-data</u> systems after a suitable representation of digital data was defined.

Section 2 discusses this problem of representation of digital data, and indicates how the sampled-data theory, reviewed

Page - 2 MK-12

in Sections 3.1 to 3.3, can be applied to the study of digitaldata systems. This review of sampled-data theory also introduces, in Section 3.4, the data reconstruction problem, which is the main topic of this report. The section concludes with a technique for obtaining the digital (or pulse) transfer function for certain digital programs in the general situation when initial conditions may be present.

Section 4 presents an analysis of the sources of error in digital-data systems, and indicates that this error can be separated into two distinct components. The first component can be attributed to the numerical algorithm programmed, and the second component can be attributed to errors in the digital-toanalog reconstruction process.

Sections 5 and 6 present an analysis of varicus realizable reconstruction devices (filters) that can be used in the digital-to-analog recovery process, and show how they can be constructed from standard analog computer components. These filters are compared in two ways. First the frequency response characteristics of each is obtained. In the majority of cases this frequency response information was not previously available, and in all cases was not available in sufficient detail so that the comparisons of Section 7 could be made.

Section 8 presents a new comparison between the various filters, which is the root mean square recovery error when the filters receive a sampled sinusoid of a given frequency. This comparison is in a form which allows otherwise difficult design decisions to be made quite easily in situations where the root mean square error is a suitable error measure.

2.0 DIGITAL DATA SYSTEMS

A digital data system is here used to define a system in which signals that are number sequences appear. One can think of the signal as being that sequence of numbers that appear at a given location in the digital device; the time history of the contents of this location define a number sequence which is related to the other number sequences at the other locations in the device.

Consider only those situations, illustrated in Figure 1(a), where the digital portion of the system is operating in real time; that is, there exists an "input" number sequence to the program, $\{r_n\}$, which is derived from some external real time signal, r(t), by a sampling process. The purpose of the program is to cause an output time signal, c(t), which is derived from the program "output" number sequence, $\{c_n\}$, when it is passed through suitable converters.

If the input sequence, $\{r_n\}$, is derived from the input signal, r(t), there must be some data transducer to translate the input signal (voltage, current, force, etc.) to the numerical (coded) language of the digital computer. This data translation is done by some form of analog-to-digital conversion. This conversion may be the manual reading of dials and the subsequent entering of corresponding numbers by cards or on the computer typewriter, or may be a completely automatic, electronic analogdigital converter operated under program control.

Similarly some form of digital-to-analog conversion is required to generate the output, c(t), from the sequence $\{c_n\}$.

Another property of digital devices which is of concern here is the existance of computation time. The digital computer is basically a serial device; that is, all operations performed by it must be programmed as a sequence of simple steps, and, although each step is performed very quickly, the whole computation may take an appreciable time. Since the inputing of r(t)information and the outputing of the latest value of $\{c_n\}$ are steps in this program, the input and output sequences can only Page - 4 MK-12

be samples of their respective continuous signals, and no information about their behaviour is available between these sample times. Obviously the highest sampling rates obtainable will depend on the complexity of the program itself.

Now the input sequence can completely characterize the signal r(t), (provided round-off errors are not significant) if the sampling theorem, discussed in Section 3.3, is satisfied. The output time signal will, in general, correspond to the output sequence at the sample times (i.e. at the instant of a digital-to-analog conversion), and it must be the task of the conversion process to generate an intersample signal to yield an acceptable continuous output signal c(t). This generation of a continuous output from the output sequence is the topic of this report.

Consider, now, the problem of representation of number sequences. In the digital computer, the computation yielding the output sequence, $\{c_n\}$, from the input sequence, $\{r_n\}$, can usually be described by means of difference equations. Outside the computer, however, the r(t) and c(t) signals are best described by means of Laplace transform theory. There is an obvious need in these sampled-data systems for a complete description based entirely on one mathematical discipline. It is here that the concept of the impulse function is of service.

Consider first the representation of the data as it passes through the analog-to-digital converter at the input. This converter must perform two distinct functions; it must first sample the input signal, r(t), and it must then convert this sampled value to a digital number. Let these two functions be represented separately as shown in Figure 1(b), where the starred notation, $r^*(t)$, represents a sampled signal, and where the symbol for a periodically-operated switch is introduced. Assume that the sampling switch has the following properties:

- (a) it operates periodically, with period T seconds
- (b) it remains closed, at the sample times, for an infinitely short time
- (c) it has as an output an impulse of area equal to the value of the input at the sample instant.

That is,

 $r^{*}(t) = 0$ for $t \neq nT$ for integers n = ∞ at t = nT

such that

 $\int_{nT-\varepsilon}^{nT+\varepsilon} r^{*}(t) dt = r(nT)$

Applying similar arguments to the digital-analog converter of the output, there results an equivalent description of the digital data system of Figure 1(a), by that shown in Figure 1(c).

This figure suggests that, since the data transducers of the input and output are unity gain devices, the digital program can be described as that portion of the system which receives a sampled signal input, $r^*(t)$, and produces a sampled signal output, $c^*(t)$. Since the Laplace transforms of these sampled signals exist, the program can now be described as the ratio of these Laplace transforms, yielding a Laplace transfer function for the program, or a digital transfer function. Thus the digital-data system can be described as shown in Figure 1(d), where H(s) is the digital transfer function, and the output sampler emphasizes that $c^*(t)$ is a sampled signal. Page - 6 MK-12

By the use of this digital transfer function for the digital program, the complete description of a digital-data system can be based on Laplace transform theory.

3.0 THE SAMPLING PROCESS

3.1 The Ideal Sampler

It can be seen, with reference to Figure 1(c), that the Laplace transform description of digital-data systems can proceed based on the concept of an ideal sampling switch. To describe this ideal sampling switch in detail, consider the replacement of this switch by the amplitude modulator of Figure 2(a) (Ref. 1, p. 18).

Let the carrier be an impulse train $\delta_T(t)$ where

$$\delta_{T}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta(t-nT)$$

and where $\delta(t)$ is a unit impulse at t = 0. The output of the modulator is defined as the product

$$r^{*}(t) = \delta_{T}(t) \cdot r(t)$$

and it is seen that $r^*(t)$ becomes a sequence of impulses of area equal to the value of r(t) at the positions of these impulses, as required.

Since $\delta_T(t) = 0$ for $t \neq nT$, then

$$r^{*}(t) = \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta(t-nT)\right] \cdot r(t)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{r}(t) \cdot \delta(t-nT)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r(nT)\delta(t-nT)$$
 (1)

and the character of $r^{*}(t)$ is exhibited.

Taking the Laplace transform of this sampled signal

$$R^{*}(s) = L\{r^{*}(t)\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r(nT) \int_{0}^{\infty} \delta(t-nT)e^{-st}dt$$

$$R^{*}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r(nT)e^{-snT}$$
 (2)

Thus, given r(t), the Laplace transform of $r^{*}(t)$ can be obtained.

The so-called z-transform description of sampled

Page - 8 MK-12

signals is obtained by introducing the notation

$$z = e^{sT}$$
(3)

then

$$R(z) = R^{*}(s) \Big|_{z=e^{sT}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r(nT)z^{-n}$$
(4)

Several tables exist (eg. Ref. 3, p. 56) which give the z-transform for the commonly occurring r(t) functions, or it can be evaluated directly from the above definition.

3.2 Frequency Content of Sampled Signals

Returning to the expression for the sampled signal $r^{*}(t)$, one can evaluate the Laplace transform of this signal directly by noting that the product of two time functions is equivalent to the complex convolution of their respective transforms (Ref. 6, p. 275), thus

$$R^{*}(s) = L\{r^{*}(t)\} = L\{r(t) \cdot {}_{T}(t)\} = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \int_{c-j\infty}^{c+j\infty} R(p) \Delta_{T}(s-p)dp.$$

Carrying out the indicated operation (Ref. 1, pp. 32-35), there results:

$$R^{*}(s) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R\left(s + \frac{2\pi jk}{T}\right) + \frac{r(0^{+})}{2}$$
(5)

This expression exhibits a most important property of sampled signals, that is, the creation of an infinite number

Page - 9 MK-12

(6)

of sidebands of the shape of the original signal spectrum, R(j ω), these sidebands being centred at $\omega = k \left(\frac{2\pi}{T}\right)$ for $k = \pm 1$, ± 2 , ± 3 , etc. This is illustrated in Figure 2(b).

3.3 The Sampling Theorem

The Shannon sampling theorem (Ref. 1, p. 27) can be seen directly from Figure 2(b) by noting that the sidebands of a sampled signal, in this case $r^*(t)$, will not interact if $R(j\omega) = 0$ for all $\omega > \pi/T$. If these sidebands are non-interacting, then $R(j\omega)$ can be recovered from $R^*(j\omega)$ by an ideal low pass filter of bandwidth π/T .

The condition for non-interaction is that the highest non-zero signal component, of frequency ω , be such that

 $\omega \leq \pi/T$

or

 $\frac{2\pi}{\tau} \leq \pi/T$ where τ is the period of this component

thus $T \leq (\tau/2)$

Thus, if at least two samples per cycle of this highest frequency component are obtained, then r(t) is recoverable from $r^{*}(t)$ by linear filtering. Equation (6) is the form in which the sampling theorem is usually stated.

Notice that the signal being sampled, r(t), must contain no higher frequency components than that allowed by the sampling theorem. In particular, it must not contain any high frequency noise components, which, after the sampling, could introduce a low frequency noise due to the sideband effect (an effect known as aliasing). This emphasizes the fact that, in the sampling of noisy signals, some form of presample filtering is desirable (Ref. 7 and 8). Page - 10 MK-12

3.4 The Data Reconstruction Task

Again, the problem is evident from Figure 2(b). If the sampling theorem is satisfied, then the signal is recoverable from its samples by means of an ideal low pass filter, with characteristics as shown in Figure 3.

the lates

It is know, however, that this ideal recovery filter is non-realizable since the impulse response is non-zero for t < 0, and one is faced with the problem of approximating this ideal filter with some realizable filter.

This approximation problem is discussed in Sections 5 to 9, and is the main topic of this report.

3.5 Digital (or Pulse) Transfer Functions

One more point deserves attention here, and this is the evaluation of the Laplace transfer function H(s) of a class of linear digital programs (see also Ref. 2, pp. 70-72.).

In such programs the current member of the output sequence, c_n , is a linear combination of current and past values of the input, and past values of the output, i.e.,

 $c_n = a_c r_n + a_1 r_{n-1} + \cdots + a_m r_{n-m} - b_1 c_{n-1} - b_2 c_{n-2} - \cdots - b_k c_{n-k}$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{i}r_{n-i} - \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{j}c_{n-k}$$
(7)

Page - 11 MK-12

The sampled signal representation of the output will

be

$$c^{*}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n}\delta(t-nT) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{i}r_{n-i}\delta(t-nT) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{j}c_{n-k}\delta(t-nT) \right\}$$

$$=\sum_{i=0}^{m}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}r_{n-i}\delta(t-nT) - \sum_{j=1}^{k}b_{j}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}c_{n-k}\delta(t-nT)$$

Taking the Laplace transform, and introducing the z-transform notation,

$$C(z) = L\{c^{*}(t)\}_{s=1/T \ln z} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_{n-i} z^{-n}$$

$$-\sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{j} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n-k} z^{-n}$$
 (8)

Page - 12 MK-12

Consider

$$W = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_{n-i} z^{-n}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{i} \left(r_{-i} + r_{-i+1} z^{-1} + \dots + r_{-1} z^{-(i-1)} + \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} r_{n-i} z^{-n} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{i} \left(r_{-i} + r_{-i+1} z^{-1} + \dots + r_{-1} z^{-(i-1)} + z^{-i} \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} r_{n-i} z^{-(n-i)} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{i} \left(r_{-i} + r_{-i+1} z^{-1} + \dots + r_{-1} z^{-(i-1)} + z^{-i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_{n} z^{-n} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{i} \left[r_{-i} + r_{-i+1} z^{-1} + \dots + r_{-1} z^{-(i-1)} + z^{-i} R(z) \right]$$

where R(z) is the z-transform of the input $r^{*}(t)$.

Assume $r_n = 0$ for n < 0, i.e. zero initial conditions, then

$$W = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i z^{-i} R(z),$$

and equation (8) reduces to

$$C(z) = R(z) \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{i}z^{-i} - C(z) \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{j}z^{-j}$$
 (9)

Page - 13 MK-12

Ż

A CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR OF

The z-transform relating the input and output sequences is thus

$$H(z) = \frac{C(z)}{R(z)} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i z^{-i}}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_j z^{-j}} = H(s) / s = 1/T \ln z$$
(10)

This is known as the digital (or pulse) transfer function of the program defined by the difference equation (7).

In the situation where the initial values

rn	for	n		-i	to	-1
c _n	for	n	1	-j	to	-1

and

are not zero, the digital transfer function becomes, from equation (8)

$$H(z) = \frac{C(z)}{R(z)}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{i}z^{-i}}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{j}z^{-j}} + \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{i}(\sum_{\ell=1}^{i} r_{-\ell}z^{-(i-\ell)}) - \sum_{j=0}^{k} b_{j}(\sum_{\ell=1}^{j} c_{-\ell}z^{-(i-\ell)})}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{j}z^{-j}}$$
(11)

where the second term contains the effect of these non-zero initial values.

Page - 14 MK-12

4.0 ERRORS IN DIGITAL DATA SYSTEMS

Before proceeding with the discussion of realizable reconstruction devices for digital data systems, it is of interest to separate the reconstruction errors from other possible errors in the system. To this end, consider the system design problem illustrated in Figure 4(a). The system receives an input r(t), produces an output c(t) which can be compared with a desired output d(t), the result of some ideal operation which also receives r(t) as an input.

This difference between the desired output d(t) and the actual output c(t) defines the system error $\varepsilon(t)$. The problem of specifying separately the digital program and the data reconstruction device can be done only after their separate functions have been defined, for the over-all system design is defined quite independently of these separate functions. Indeed this separation of the individual functions of the two devices becomes necessary only in order to reduce the problem to a manageable form.

The most likely function for the digital program would be that it attempts to produce, at the sample instants, samples of the ideal output d(t), as shown in Figure 4(b). One can then define a sampled digital program error, $\varepsilon_d^{(t)}$, as the difference between the samples of the ideal output, d^(t), and the program output

$$\epsilon_{d}^{*}(t) = d^{*}(t) - c^{*}(t)$$
 (12)

$$c^{*}(t) = d^{*}(t) - \varepsilon_{d}^{*}(t)$$
 (13)

or

Page - 15 MK-12

Let this digital error, $\varepsilon_{d}^{*}(t)$, be a sample of some continuous digital program error signal, $\varepsilon_{d}(t)$, where, from (12),

 $\varepsilon_{d}(t) = d(t) - c_{T}(t) \qquad (14)$

where $c_{I}(t)$ is the ideal recovery of the sampled signal $c^{*}(t)$. Figure 4(a) can now be visualized as Figure 4(c).

The function of the data reconstruction device is now defined as that of attempting the ideal recovery of the sampled signal it receives. The ideal recovery of $c^{*}(t)$ was defined as $c_{I}(t)$, and a reconstruction error, $\epsilon_{c}(t)$, can be defined as;

$$\varepsilon_{c}(t) = c_{T}(t) - c(t) \qquad (15)$$

or

$$(t) = c_{\tau}(t) + \varepsilon_{c}(t)$$
(16)

The system now becomes as shown in Figure 4(d).

С

Since the operations of sampling, followed by ideal recovery, result in the recovery of the original signal, these operations cancel, and the total system error becomes;

$$\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon_{d}(t) + \varepsilon_{c}(t)$$
 (17)

where, to repeat,

\$\vec{d}{d}(t) = digital error, the ideal recovery of the sampled
signal which is the difference between the ideal
output at the sample times, and the computed
values at these same instants.

Page - 16 MK-12

\$\vec{c}(t) = reconstruction error, the difference between the ideal recovery of the digital output, and the actual output.

This report will now discuss the reconstruction error, $\varepsilon_{c}(t)$, for realizable reconstruction filters. The problem of the digital error, $\varepsilon_{d}(t)$, is considered elsewhere (Ref. 9).

5.0 POLYNOMIAL RECONSTRUCTION FILTERS

It was seen in Section 3.4 and Figure 3 that the ideal reconstruction filter has the ideal low-pass characteristics and so is non-realizable. One is led to compare realizable filters with this ideal. A class of realizable filters are the polynomial filters described by means of Figure 5.

Let the reconstruction filter output, c(t), be given as an Nth-degree polynomial, such that

$$c(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_{i,n}(t-nT)^{i}$$

 $nT \leq t < (n+1)T \tag{18}$

The current values of the coefficients $\alpha_{i,n}$ for i = 0, 1, 2, ..., N will be obtained by use of past values of the output, i.e.,

 $\alpha_{i,n} = \alpha_{i,n}(c_n, c_{n-1}, c_{n-2}, \dots, c_{n-N})$

In this discussion we will distinguish among three types of polynomial filters, the EXTRAPOLATING filter, the SMOOTHED EXTRAPOLATING filter, and the DELAYED filter.

for

Page - 17 MK-12

Consider first the extrapolating filter. At the time t = nT, the most recent value of c_i available is c_n , and let the output then start at this value.

i.e., $c(t) = c_n$ at t = nT

Now let c(t) be an Nth-degree polynomial which also passes through the most recent N values of c_1 ,

i.e.,
$$c(t) = c_{n-1}$$
 at $t = (n-1)T$
= c_{n-2} at $t = (n-2)T$
:
= c_{n-N} at $t = (n-N)T$

Thus, this filter is seen to generate an intersample signal which is such as to predict, with an Nth-order prediction, the value of c_{n+1} . At the time t = (n+1)T when c_{n+1} becomes available, it will, in general, differ from the predicted value, and so c(t) will have discontinuities at the sample times.

The smoothed extrapolating filter is a logical extension of the extrapolating filter which does not allow discontinuities in the output at t = nT to appear, but applies the required correction linearly over the next sample interval, i.e. in the interval $nT \le t \le (n+1)T$.

Another means of overcoming the discontinuity in the extrapolating filter output is to generate a signal which passes through c_n only at a time t = (n+1)T. In other words, wait one sample interval until the end point of the intersample signal is known, then generate it by Nth-order interpolation. This defines the delayed filter.

Page - 18 MK-12

In the sections that follow various polynomial filters are discussed, their frequency responses are given, and circuits for their realization using standard analog computer components are given.

5.1 Zero-Order Filter (Clamp or Zerc-Order Hold)

A very common polynomial filter is the zero-order filter, where the most recent value of the output sequence is converted to a level which is held throughout the intersample period, i.e.,

 $c(t) = c_n$

for

$nT \leq t \leq (n+1)T$

The operation of this clamp recovery filter is illustrated in Figure 6(a).

The unit sample or impulse response is seen to be

$$h_{o}(t) = 1, \quad 0 \le t < T$$
$$= 0 \quad \text{otherwise}$$

Taking the Laplace transform of this impulse response results in the transfer function of this filter, i.e.,

$$H_{o}(s) = \left(\frac{1 - e^{-Ts}}{s}\right)$$
(19)

The frequency response Figure 6(c) of this firstorder hold is obtained by substituting $s = j\omega$, to yield

$$|H_{o}(j\omega)| = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_{s}} \frac{|\sin x|}{x}$$
(20)
cont'd

Page - 19 MK-12

(20

and

$$\frac{H_{o}(j\omega)}{|\sin x|} = -x \frac{\sin x}{|\sin x|}$$

where

$$x = \left(\frac{\omega T}{2}\right) = \pi/Y$$

and where Y is the number of samples per cycle of the input of frequency ω rad./sec.

In digital-data systems, the usefulness of the zeroorder hold (or clamp or filter) results from the fact that most, if not all, commercial digital-analog converters convert the digital sequence $\{c_n\}$ to a voltage level which is, in fact, held throughout the succeeding interval, i.e., includes a zeroorder filter. Thus any reconstruction filter of interest must include the H_o(s) transfer function as one of its factors. Often the zero-order recovery is of sufficient accuracy that its output can be used directly.

It is also possible to realize another form of a zero-order filter with a conventional analog computer integrator, as shown in Figure 6(b).

The input is applied to the initial condition input of an integrator which is periodically put into the reset mode for a short time at the sample instants, remaining on "hold" or "operate" between these sample times. The time during which the integrator must be in the reset mode is, for conventional analog computer components, a function of the time constant formed by the integrating capacitor and initial condition resistors. In many modern analog computers, this reset time is reduced to negligible amounts by special design (see Ref. 10). Page - 20 MK-12

5.2 First-Order Filters

5.2.1 First-Order Extrapolator - Unsmoothed

The first-order extrapolating filter, or first-order hold, has an output given by

$$c(t) = (c_n - c_{n-1}) (t - nT) + c_n$$

 $nT \leq t \leq (n+1)T$

This is illustrated in Figure 7(a).

At the time nT, the slope of c(t) is assumed constant and given by

$$\left(\frac{c_n - c_{n-1}}{T}\right)$$

and a linear extrapolation of a signal of this slope is generated which starts at c_n . The impulse response is illustrated in Figure 7(b).

Thus,

$$h_1(t) = (1+t/T)u(t) - 2u(t-T) - 2u(t-T)\left(\frac{t-T}{T}\right)$$

+ $u(t-2T) + u(t-2T)\left(\frac{t-2T}{T}\right)$

where u(t) is a unit step at t = 0.

$$h_{1}(t) = (1+t/T)u(t) - 2\left(1 + \frac{t-T}{T}\right)u(t-T) + \left(1 + \frac{t-2T}{T}\right)u(t-2T)$$

Page - 21 MK-12

.

nes no si vito na

Now

$$L{f(t-\tau)} = e^{-\tau S} F(s) \quad \text{if } f(t-\tau) = 0 \quad \text{for } t < \tau$$

Thus the Laplace transform of this filter is

$$H_{1}(s) = \left(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{Ts^{2}}\right) \left(1 - 2e^{-Ts} + e^{-2Ts}\right)$$
$$= (s + 1/T) \left(\frac{1 - e^{-Ts}}{s}\right)^{2}$$
(21)

The frequency response, Figure 7(c), is given by

$$|H_{1}(j\omega)| = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_{s}} \left[\frac{\sin x}{x}\right]^{2} \sqrt{1 + 4x^{2}}$$

and

$$/H_1(j\omega) = -2x + \tan^{-1}(2x)$$
 (22)

where $x = \frac{\omega T}{2}$ as before.

In order to realize this first-order filter, using analog computing components, consider

$$H_{1}(s) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{sT}\right) \left(\frac{1 - e^{-Ts}}{s}\right) (1 - e^{-Ts})$$

Now this transfer function is seen to be the response of a circuit which has an input

$$\xi(s) = (1 - e^{-Ts})$$
Page - 22 MK-12

followed by a zero-order hold

$$H_{o}(s) = \left(\frac{1 - e^{-Ts}}{s}\right)$$

followed by a circuit which has the transfer function

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{sT}\right)$$

This is shown in Figure 7(d).

The problem now is to generate a signal which has the transform e^{-Ts} .

Consider the output of the integrator, x(t), then

$$X(s) = \zeta(s) \left(\frac{1 - e^{-Ts}}{s}\right) \left(\frac{1}{Ts}\right)$$

$$= (1 - e^{-Ts})^2 \left(\frac{1}{Ts^2}\right)$$

The sampled value of this signal has the transform (Ref. 1, Appendix A, where $z = e^{sT}$)

$$X^*(s) = (1 - e^{-Ts})^2 (\frac{1}{T}) [\frac{Te^{-Ts}}{(1 - e^{-Ts})^2}] = e^{-Ts}$$

Thus the samples of x(t) are our required signal. Moving the zero-order hold outside the loop, and accounting for analog computer component sign changes, the complete circuit is shown in Figure 7(e).

5.2.2 First-Order Extrapolator - Smoothed

It is seen, from Figure 7(a), that the first-order filter has discontinuities at the sample instants due to the difference between the first-order prediction of the next output sample, and the actual value of this sample. One can avoid this by applying this correction linearly over the succeeding sample interval as shown in Figure 8(a).

 $c(t) = c_n + (t-nT) (c'_{n+1}-c_n) + (1 - [t-nT]) (c'_n-c_n)$

for
$$nT \leq t < (n+1)T$$

where the primed values are the first-order predictions of the output sequence values,

i.e.
$$c'_{n+1} = (c_n - c_{n-1}) + c_n$$

$$c_{n} = (c_{n-1} - c_{n-2}) + c_{n-1}$$

Thus,

$$c(t) = c_n + (t-nT) (c_n-c_{n-1}) + (1 - [t-nT]) (2c_{n-1}-c_{n-2}-c_n)$$

for

The impulse response of the circuit with the required properties is shown in Figure 8(b), together with the unsmoothed case for comparison.

Page - 24 MK-12

Thus,

$$h_{1,s}(t) = 2u(t) (t/T) - 5u(t-T) \left(\frac{t-T}{T}\right) + 4u(t-2T) \left(\frac{t-2T}{T}\right)$$

- u(t-3T)
$$\left(\frac{t-3T}{T}\right)$$

and

$$H_{1,s}(s) = 2\left(\frac{1}{s^2T}\right) - 5e^{-Ts}\left(\frac{1}{s^2T}\right) + 4e^{-2Ts}\left(\frac{1}{s^2T}\right) - e^{-3sT}\left(\frac{1}{s^2T}\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1 - e^{-ST}}{S}\right) \left(\frac{1}{ST}\right) \left[2 - 3e^{-ST} + e^{-2ST}\right]$$
(23)

The frequency response, Figure 8(c), is given by,

$$|H_{1,s}(j\omega)| = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_s} \left[\frac{\sin x}{x}\right]^2 \sqrt{5 - 4\cos 2x}$$
$$/H_{1,s}(j\omega) = -2x + \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\sin 2x}{2 - \cos 2x}\right)$$

To realize this first-order smoothed filter, we again recognize the clamp and integrator, and require to obtain an input

$$\xi(s) = (2 - 3e^{-sT} + e^{-2sT})$$
$$= 1 + (1 - 3e^{-sT} + e^{-2sT})$$

as shown in Figure 8(d).

The integrator output is

$$X(s) = \zeta(s) H_{o}(s) \left(\frac{1}{Ts}\right)$$

=
$$(2 - 3e^{-sT} + e^{-2sT}) (1 - e^{-sT}) (\frac{1}{Ts^2})$$

The sampled value of this output has the transform

$$x^{*}(s) = (2 - 3e^{-sT} + e^{-2st}) (1 - e^{-sT}) \left(\frac{1}{T}\right) \left[\frac{Te^{-sT}}{(1 - e^{-sT})^{2}}\right]$$
$$= e^{-sT} (2 - e^{-sT})$$

Thus the circuit of Figure 8(d) becomes Figure 8(e).

The additive signal at the input is recognized as being the $\xi(s)$ signal for the unsmoothed first-order case, and Figure 8(f) results.

5.2.3 First-Order Interpolator - Delayed

Another means of overcoming the discontinuities of the first-order extrapolator is to allow for a delay of one sample interval, at which time a linear interpolation can be performed. This is illustrated in Figure 9(a).

The output is given by

 $c(t) = (c_{n}-c_{n-1}) (t-nT) + c_{n-1}$

Page - 26 MK-12

The impulse response shown in Figure 9(b) is

$$h_{1,d}(t) = u(t) t/T - 2u(t-T) \left(\frac{t-T}{T}\right) + u(t-2T) \left(\frac{t-2T}{T}\right)$$

Thus the transform is

$$H_{1,d}(s) = \frac{1}{Ts^2} (1 - 2e^{-Ts} + e^{-2Ts})$$
$$= \frac{1}{T} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-Ts}}{s}\right)^2$$
(24)

The frequency response, Figure 9(c), is given by

$$|H_{1,d}(j\omega)| = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_s} \left[\frac{\sin x}{x}\right]^2$$

$$H_{1,d}(j\omega) = -2x$$

Again, in order to realize this filter, write the transfer function in the form

$$H_{1,d}(s) = \left(\frac{1 - e^{-Ts}}{s}\right) (1 - e^{-sT}) \left(\frac{1}{sT}\right)$$

The zero-order hold (clamp) and integrator are recognized, as is the $\xi(s)$ signal, as being the same as the extrapolated case. The final realization is shown in Figure 9(d).

5.2.4 First-Order Extrapolator - Ideal.

As a measure of the limit of accuracy for linear approximations in the intersample interval, consider the ideal linear case when, at the time t = nT, the value of c_{n+1} is known, and the straight line approximation between sample values can be generated. This would be the case, for example, when a known function is being outputted from the digital computer, and the value c_{n+1} can be outputted at the time t = nT. Another realization of this ideal case is in the strict data conversion task, when a time delay in the recovery process is of no consequence, and a time shift in the output time axis of one sample interval is allowed.

This ideal linear case is illustrated in Figure 10.

The situation is essentially no different from the first-order delayed case, and, introducing a time advance of T seconds, the transfer function becomes

$$H_{1,I}(s) = e^{Ts} H_{1,d}(s)$$

= $\frac{e^{Ts}}{T} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-Ts}}{s}\right)^2$ (25)

This is seen to be identical with the first-order delayed extrapolator in amplitude, but without the phase shift, and the frequency response is also given in Figure 9(c).

The realization, allowing for the dealy in the output, or the presence of c_{n+1} at time t = nT, is the same as in Figure 9(d).

Page - 28 MK-12

1 Same

5.3 Second-Order Filters

5.3.1 Second-Order Extrapolating Filter - Unsmoothed

Let the intersample signal be a second-order function of the form

$$c(t) = \alpha_{n}(t-nT)^{2} + \beta_{n}(t-nT) + \delta_{n}$$

for

$$nT \leq t \leq (n+1)$$

The coefficients will be chosen such that

$$c(t) = c_n \quad \text{at } t = nT$$
$$= c_{n-1} \quad \text{at } t = (n-1)T$$
$$= c_{n-2} \quad \text{at } t = (n-2)T$$

Thus,

 $c_n = \delta_n$ $c_{n-1} = \alpha_n - \beta_n + \delta_n$

$$c_{n-2} = 4\alpha_n - 2\beta_n + \delta_n$$

Solving gives

$$\alpha_{n} = \frac{c_{n} - 2c_{n-1} + c_{n-2}}{2}$$

$$\beta_{n} = \frac{3c_{n} - 4c_{n-1} + c_{n-2}}{2}$$

 $\delta_n = c_n$

Page - 29 MK-12

Thus,

-

$$c(t) = \left(\frac{c_n^{-2c_{n-1}+c_{n-2}}}{2}\right) (t-nT)^2 + \left(\frac{3c_n^{-4c_{n-1}+c_{n-2}}}{2}\right) (t-nT) + c_n$$

for $nT \le t < (n+1)T$

The impulse response shown in Figure ll(a) is

$$h_{2}(t) = u(t) \left[\frac{(t/T)^{2} + 3(t/T) + 2}{2} \right] - u(t-T) \left[\frac{(t/T)^{2} + 3(t/T) + 2}{2} \right]$$
$$- u(t-T) \left[\left(\frac{t-T}{T} \right)^{2} + 2 \left(\frac{t-T}{T} \right) \right] + u(t-2T) \left[\left(\frac{t-T}{T} \right)^{2} + 2 \left(\frac{t-T}{T} \right) \right]$$
$$+ u \left(\frac{t-2T}{2} \right) \left[\left(\frac{t-2T}{T} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{t-2T}{T} \right) \right] - u \left(\frac{t-3T}{2} \right) \left[\left(\frac{t-2T}{T} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{t-2T}{T} \right) \right]$$

which can be written in the form

$$h_{2}(t) = \frac{u(t)}{2} \left[\left(\frac{t}{T} \right)^{2} + 3 \left(\frac{t}{T} \right)^{2} + 2 \right] - 3/4 u(t-T) \left[\left(\frac{t-T}{T} \right)^{2} + 3 \left(\frac{t-T}{T} \right)^{2} + 2 \right] + 3/4 u(t-2T) \left[\left(\frac{t-2T}{T} \right)^{2} + 3 \left(\frac{t-2T}{T} \right)^{2} + 2 \right] - 1/4 u(t-3T) \left[\left(\frac{t-3T}{T} \right)^{2} + 3 \left(\frac{t-3T}{T} \right)^{2} + 2 \right]$$

Taking the Laplace transform

$$H_{2}(s) = \left(\frac{1}{T^{2}} + \frac{3s}{2T} + s^{2}\right) \left(\frac{1 - e^{-sT}}{s}\right)^{3}$$
(26)

Page - 30 MK-12

 $|H_2(j\omega)| = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_s} \left[\frac{|\sin x|}{x}\right]^3 \cdot \sqrt{1 + x^2 + 16x^4}$

and

$$\underline{/H_2(j\omega)} = -3x \frac{\sin x}{|\sin x|} + \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{3x}{1-4x^2}\right)$$

As before, we split H₂(s) into recognizable factors

The frequency response, Figure 11(b), is given by

$$H_{2}(s) = \left(\frac{1}{T^{2}s^{2}} + \frac{3}{2Ts} + 1\right) \left(\frac{1 - e^{-sT}}{s}\right) (1 - 2e^{-sT} + e^{-2sT})$$

which is realizable in the form suggested by Figure ll(c).

Consider the signal

 $e(t) = \alpha x(t) + \beta y(t)$

and enquire if there exist values of α and β such that

$$E^{*}(s) = -2e^{-sT} + e^{-2sT}$$

Now

$$X(s) = (1 - e^{-sT})^2 \left(\frac{1 - e^{-sT}}{s}\right) \left(\frac{1}{Ts}\right)$$

$$X^{*}(s) = (1 - e^{-sT})^{3} \cdot \frac{1}{T} \cdot \left[\frac{Te^{-sT}}{(1 - e^{-sT})^{2}}\right]$$
$$= e^{-sT} (1 - e^{-sT})$$

Page - 31 MK-12



Solving, $\alpha = -1$, $\beta = -3/2$. Thus the filter can be realized as shown in Figure 11(d)

5.3.2 Second-Order - Unit Delay

Again, the presence of the discontinuities at the sample instants can be avoided at the expense of adding a delay. In this case we get

$$c(t) = \left(\frac{\tau^2 + \tau}{2}\right)c_n + (1 - \tau^2)c_{n-1} + \left(\frac{\tau^2 - \tau}{2}\right)c_{n-2}$$

where

$$\tau = (t - nT)$$

It is seen that

 $c(t) = c_n$ at $\tau = 1$; t = (n+1)T= c_{n-1} at $\tau = 0$; t = nT= c_{n-2} at $\tau = -1$; t = (n-1)T Page - 32 MK-12

The impulse response is shown in Figure 12(a), and

$$h_{2,d}(t) = \left[(t/T)^{2} + t/T \right] \frac{u(t)}{2} - \left[(t/T)^{2} + t/T \right] \frac{u(t-T)}{2} + \left[1 - \left(\frac{t-T}{T} \right)^{2} \right] u(t-T) - \left[1 - \left(\frac{t-T}{T} \right)^{2} \right] u(t-2T) + \left[\left(\frac{t-2T}{T} \right)^{2} - \left(\frac{t-2T}{T} \right) \right] u(t-2T) - \left[\left(\frac{t-2T}{T} \right)^{2} - \left(\frac{t-2T}{T} \right) \right] u(t-3T)$$

or

$$h_{2,d}(t) = \left[(t/T)^2 + (t/T) \right] \frac{u(t)}{2} - 3/2 \left[\left(\frac{t-T}{T} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{t-T}{T} \right) \right] u(t-T)$$
$$+ 3/2 \left[\left(\frac{t-2T}{T} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{t-2T}{T} \right) \right] u(t-2T)$$
$$- 1/2 \left[\left(\frac{t-3T}{T} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{t-3T}{T} \right) \right] u(t-3T)$$

Taking the Laplace transform,

$$H_{2,d}(s) = \left[\frac{1}{T^2} + \frac{s}{2T}\right] \left(\frac{1 - e^{-Ts}}{s}\right)^3$$
(27)

The frequency response, Figure 12(b), is given by

$$|H_{2,d}(j\omega)| = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_s} \left[\frac{|\sin x|}{x}\right]^3 \sqrt{1+x}$$

$$\underline{H_{2,d}(j\omega)} = 3x \frac{\sin x}{|\sin x|} + \tan^{-1} x$$

The filter can be realized by considering

$$H_{2,d}(s) = (1 - 2e^{-Ts} + e^{-2Ts}) \left(\frac{1 - e^{-sT}}{s}\right) \left(\frac{1}{T^2s^2} + \frac{1}{2Ts}\right)$$

which is shown in final form in Figure 12(c).

5.3.3 Second-Order Extrapolator - Ideal

Again, as in Section 5.2.4, one can conside the recovery when delay is of no consequence, or when c_{n+1} is known at time nT. The transfer function is obviously

 $H_{2,1}(s) = H_{2,d}(s) \cdot e^{sT}$

$$= e^{sT} \left[\frac{1}{T^2} + \frac{s}{2T} \right] \left(\frac{1 - e^{-sT}}{s} \right)^3$$
(28)

and is realized using Figure 12(c).

Forming the frequency response, the amplitude is the same as for the unit delay case (Fig. 12(b)) but with the phase

Page - 34 MK-12

given by

$$\underline{H_{2,I}(j\omega)} = -x \frac{\sin x}{|\sin x|} + \tan^{-1} x$$

which is included in Figure 12(b).

5.4 General Nth-Order Filters

The realization of the discussed extrapolators, and, when delays are allowed, interpolators, with analog computer components suggests that, in general, any polynomial filter can be realized in this way. This has been proved in general (Ref. 11), where the general Nth-order filter, with or without delay, will have the form show in Figure 13. The α_i and β_i are positive or negative.

6.0 OTHER RECONSTRUCTION FILTERS

Needless to say, the class of polynomial filters discussed in the previous section are by no means the only type of realizable filters deserving of attention, although it will be seen that, in most cases, they provide the best performance for a given complexity. Two additional types are discussed here, the fractional-order hold, and first- and second-degree exponential types of filters.

6.1 The Fractional-Order Hold

For reasons which are apparent when the frequency response of the zero- and first-order polynomial filters are compared, a seemingly more satisfactory frequency response is obtained by a filter which is a compromise between the two. For such a filter, let the output be given by

$$c(t) = k(c_n - c_{n-1}) (t - nT) + c_n$$

for

 $nT \leq t \leq (n+1)T$

and where

 $0 \leq k \leq 1$

When k = 0, the filter becomes a zero-order filter, and when k = 1 it becomes a first-order filter. Such a filter, for arbitrary k, is known as the fractional-order filter (or hold) (Ref. 1, pp. 49-51).

The impulse response is shown in Figure 14(a), and shows how the overshoot of the first-order filter is decreased by allowing k to be less than 1.

The impulse response is given by

$$h_{k}(t) = u(t) [1 + kt/T] - (1+k)u(t-T) - \frac{2k}{T} (t-T)u(t-T) + ku(t-2T) + \frac{k}{T} (t-2T)u(t-2T)$$

$$= u(t) [1 + kt/T] - u(t-T) [(1+k) + \frac{2k}{T} (t-T)] + u(t-2T) [k + k \frac{(t-2T)}{T}]$$

Page - 36 MK-12

Taking the Laplace transform,

$$H_{k}(s) = \left(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{k}{Ts^{2}}\right) - \frac{(1+k)e^{-Ts}}{s} - \frac{2ke^{-Ts}}{T}\left(\frac{1}{s^{2}}\right)$$
$$+ \frac{k}{s}e^{-2Ts} + \frac{k}{T}e^{-2Ts}\left(\frac{1}{s^{2}}\right)$$

which can be written in the form

$$H_{k}(s) = (1 - ke^{-Ts}) \left(\frac{1 - e^{-Ts}}{s}\right) + \frac{k}{Ts+1} \left[(s+1/T) \left(\frac{1 - e^{-Ts}}{s}\right)^{2} \right]$$

$$= (1 - ke^{-Ts}) H_{0}(s) + \frac{k}{Ts+1} H_{1}(s)$$
(29)

The frequency response for this fractional-order hold is shown in Figure 14(b), and is given by

$$|H_{k}(j\omega)| = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_{s}} \frac{|\sin x|}{x} \sqrt{R(x,k)}$$

where

$$R(x,k) = 1 + k^{2} - 2k \cos 2x + \frac{k^{2} \sin x}{x} \left(\frac{\sin x}{x} - 2 \cos x\right) + \frac{2k \sin x \cos x}{x}$$

and

$$\frac{H_k(j\omega)}{(1-k)\cos x + k\frac{\sin x}{x}}$$

Page - 37 MK-12

The realization of this kth-order hold follows from the definition of its operation and the first-order hold of Figure 7(e). It is shown in Figure 14(c).

Notice that this requires no more amplifiers than the first-order filter, which it becomes when k = 1.

6.2 Exponential Reconstruction Filters

The polynomial filters considered in the previous sections are not the only realizable reconstruction filters possible; it is also possible to approach this filter design by more conventional means. The following considers the problem depicted in Figure A2, where the output of the D/A converter is fed to an exponential filter (i.e., possessing a finite number of poles). Two cases are considered, the first-order case and the second-order case.

6.2.1 First-Order Exponential

Let H(s) be a first-order lag with cut-off frequency b, i.e.,

$$H(s) = \frac{b}{s+b}$$

The reconstruction filter will have the transfer function

$$H_{1,e}(s) = \left(\frac{1 - e^{-s l}}{s}\right) \left(\frac{b}{s+b}\right)$$
(30)

The frequency response, plotted in Figure 15, is

Page - 38 MK-12

given by

$$|H_{1,e}(j\omega)| = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_{s}} \left[\frac{|\sin x|}{x}\right] \frac{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{2x}{K\pi}\right)^{2}}}{1 + \left(\frac{2x}{K\pi}\right)^{2}}$$

$$/H_{1,e}(j\omega) = -x \frac{\sin x}{|\sin x|} - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{2x}{K\pi}\right)$$

where $x = \frac{\omega T}{2}$ as before, and where

$$K = \frac{b}{(\omega_c/2)}$$

6.2.2 Second-Order Exponential

For H(s) second-order, the reconstruction filter will have the transfer function

$$H_{2,e}(s) = \left(\frac{1 - e^{-sT}}{s}\right) \left(\frac{\omega_n^2}{s^2 + 2\zeta \omega_n s + \omega_n^2}\right)$$
(31)

The frequency response is given by

$$|H_{2,e}(j\omega)| = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_{s}} \frac{|\sin x|}{x} \frac{\sqrt{\left[1 - \left(\frac{2x}{K\pi}\right)^{2}\right]^{2} + 4\zeta^{2}\left(\frac{2x}{K\pi}\right)^{2}}}{\left[1 - \left(\frac{2x}{K\pi}\right)^{2}\right]^{2} + 4\zeta^{2}\left(\frac{2x}{K\pi}\right)^{2}}$$

$$\underline{/H_{2,e}(j\omega)} = -x \frac{\sin x}{|\sin x|} - \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{2\zeta \left(\frac{2x}{K\pi}\right)}{1 - \left(\frac{2x}{K\pi}\right)^2} \right]$$

where

$$K = \frac{\omega_n}{(\omega_s/2)}$$

This is plotted in Figures 16(a) to (d) for various values of ζ and $\frac{\omega_n}{(\omega_n/2)}$.

7.0 DISCUSSION OF FREQUENCY CHARACTERISTICS

As discussed in Sections 3.3 and 3.4. it is the task of the recovery filter to separate the signal contained in the frequency interval - $\omega_c/2 < \omega < \omega_c/2$ from the sampling sidebands centred at frequencies $k\omega_c$ for all non-zero integer k. Ideally, the amplitude response of the filter should be unity for as large a frequency interval as possible near zero, and should be as near zero as possible for an equivalent frequency interval about the sideband center frequencies. The desired phase response of the filter will depend on whether or not a time lag in the recovery operation can be tolerated. If the phase response increases linearly with frequency over the above-mentioned frequency interval, then phase distortion will be absent, and the signal is recovered delayed in time by a value equal to the phase slope. Obviously any closed-loop or otherwise real time situation would be affected by this delay, whereas open-loop signal recovery would not.

It is evident, from the frequency responses presented, that the choice of a suitable recovery filter is a task which depends critically on the frequency content of the signal being recovered, as well as on the system in which the filter is to appear; even complexity does not allow for any "better" or "best" choice. However, a number of general comments can be made.

Consider the zero-, first- and second-order filter of Figures 6(c), 7(c) and ll(b), the significant features of Page - 40 MK-12

which are summarized and compared in Figure 17. In general, an increase in complexity yields a better approximation to the ideal only at very low frequencies, and results in increased departure from the ideal at the higher frequencies. Of special note are the avoidance of delay near zero frequency for the first- and second-order filters (at the expense of phase distortion), and the increased attenuation of the sidebands (again for low frequencies).

The fractional-order hold of Figure 14(b) illustrates how a compromise between the zero- and first-order filters yields a more satisfactory amplitude response to a significantly higher frequency. This is achieved at the expense of decreasing the sideband attenuation over that possible with the first-order case, and the introduction of both phase distortion and delay at all frequencies.

The first-order smoothed filter, as expected, increases the attenuation of the sidebands at the expense of delay and increased distortion for higher frequencies. The first-order ideal and first-order delayed markedly decrease the sideband contributions at the expense of delay (for the delayed case) but without phase distortion.

The first-order exponential seems to have little to recommend it. It decreases the bandwidth, for a given amplitude error, over the zero-order case, and also increases delay and adds phase distortion. Its only advantage is the increased attenuation of the sidebands.

The second-order exponential of Figures 16(a) to (d) is much more interesting. The presence of the under-damped filter compensates for the droop in the zero-order frequency response to extend the bandwidth for a given amplitude error.

п

This is done at the expense of increased phase lag, which is in the form of increased delay at zero frequency over that of the zero-order case, as well as the presence of phase distortion. The sidebands are markedly attenuated, the attenuation increasing, as expected, as the resonant frequency of the filter is decreased. This reduction of resonant frequency, however, decreases the bandwidth of the filter.

8.0 ROOT MEAN SQUARE RECOVERY ERROR OF FILTERS

One of the conclusions of the previous sections can only be that the frequency response characteristics of the reconstruction filters are rather difficult to interpret in any general way. Typically, the requirements for undistorted transmission near zero frequency (i.e. low amplitude, phase distortion and delay) conflict with the requirements for attenuation of the sideband components. Choosing the reconstruction filter on the basis of these frequency response curves alone would necessarily depend greatly on the details of the problem in hand, where the relative demerits of errors in the various frequency intervals would have to be assigned. There is an obvious need for a more analytic filter rating procedure.

One can attempt this more rational filter rating by proceeding along the lines suggested in Section 4, where the reconstruction error, $\varepsilon_{c}(t)$, was defined. Assume that the digital signal fed to the recovery filter is the sampled version of the signal which is to be recovered. The difference between this signal before sampling, and the recovery filter output, is directly, then, the reconstruction error for the filter. This is illustrated in Figure 18. It remains to choose the recovery filter such that, for a given f(t), there is an acceptable reconstruction error $\varepsilon(t)$.

Page - 42 MK-12

Although many measures of reconstruction error are possible, e.g. maximum error, mean error, etc., each of which might be appropriate in given circumstances, the root mean square value of this reconstruction error will be considered here.

In the discussions that follow, time is measured by the relation

$$t = (n+p)T$$
(32)

where T = sample interval, seconds

n = integer

p = intersample parameter, where $0 \le p \le 1$ and the following notation is introduced:

 $g(t) = g[(n+p)T] = g_{n+p}$ (33)

Using (32) and (33), the reconstruction error from Figure 18 is given by

$$\varepsilon_{n+p} = g_{n+p} - f_{n+p}$$

for $0 \le p \le 1$ and all integers n.

Let the input be a complex sinusoid of frequency $\boldsymbol{\omega},$ then

$$f(t) = e^{j\omega t}$$

or

$$f_{n+p} = e^{j\omega nT} \cdot e^{j\omega pT}$$

Page - 43 ... MK-12

Let

 $\omega T = a$

then

$$f_{n+p} = e^{jan} \cdot e^{jpa}$$

and

$$\varepsilon_{n+p} = g_{n+p} - e^{jan} \cdot e^{jpa}$$
(34)

1 14

The mean square value of this reconstruction error will be

$$\begin{split} \overline{\varepsilon^{2}(t)} &= \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} \varepsilon^{2}(t) dt \\ &= \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{NT} \int_{0}^{T} \varepsilon^{2}(t) dt \\ &= \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{NT} \left\{ \int_{0}^{T} \varepsilon^{2}(t) dt + \int_{T}^{2T} \varepsilon^{2}(t) dt + \dots + \int_{(N-1)T}^{NT} \varepsilon^{2}(t) dt \right\} \\ &= \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{NT} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{nT}^{(n+1)T} \varepsilon^{2}(t) dt. \\ &= \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{NT} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{nT}^{(n+1)T} \varepsilon^{2}(t) dt. \\ &\text{In the } n^{\text{th interval}}, \\ &\qquad \varepsilon^{2}(t) = \varepsilon^{2}_{n+p} \end{split}$$

where

dt = Tdp

t = (n+p)T

and

Page - 44 MK-12

thus,

$$\overline{\varepsilon^{2}(t)} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{0}^{1} \varepsilon_{n+p}^{2} dp}$$

or, in more symmetric form,

$$\overline{\varepsilon^{2}(t)} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \int_{0}^{1} \varepsilon_{n+p}^{2} dp \qquad (35)$$

For this complex sinusoidal input the recovery error will be of the form

$$\varepsilon_{n+p} = A(p)e^{jan}$$
(36)

Thus, for the real input $f(t) = \cos \omega t$, the recovery error, e(t), will be

 $e_{n+p} = \frac{\varepsilon_{n+p} + \varepsilon_{n+p}^{*}}{2}$

where ϵ_{n+p}^{*} is the complex conjugate of $\epsilon_{n+p}^{}$. Thus,

$$\left[e_{n+p}\right]^{2} = \frac{\varepsilon_{n+p}^{2}}{4} + \frac{(\varepsilon_{n+p}^{*})^{2}}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon_{n+p}}{2}$$

Now

$$\varepsilon_{n+p}^2 = [A(p)]^2 e^{2jan}$$

Page - 45 MK-12

Since

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{n+p}^2 = [A(p)]^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{2jan} = \frac{[A(p)]^2}{1 - e^{-2aj}} \text{ i.e., exists,}$$

then it follows that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \int_{0}^{1} \varepsilon_{n+p}^{2} dp = 0$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \int_{0}^{1} [\varepsilon_{n+p}^{*}]^2 dp = 0$$

Let

$$\frac{\varepsilon_{n+p}}{2} = \frac{A(p)A^{*}(p)}{2} = I(p)$$
(37)

Since I(p) is independent of n, then the mean square recovery error for the cosine input will be

$$e^{2}(t) = \int_{0}^{1} I(p)dp$$
 (38)

In the sections following, this mean square reconstruction error for a unit cosinusoidal input is determined, and the percent root mean square value is plotted for each as a function of input frequency. The root mean square is defined by:

Percent r.m.s. error = $\sqrt{e^2(t)} \times 100$

where $e^{2}(t)$ is given by equation (38) for a unit amplitude cosine input.

Page - 46 MK-12

In the majority of the cases considered, the root mean square value of the reconstruction error was also determined experimentally, using standard analog computer components. The experimental errors are mainly due to the difficulty in simulating the digital features of the filters with the equipment at hand, and the difficulty of measuring the mean square value of slowly varying signals.

8.1 Root Mean Square Error - Zero-Order Filter

For the zero-order filter, the intersample signal is given by

 $g_{n+p} = f_n$

and the recovery error, for the complex sinusoid input, by

$$\varepsilon_{n+p} = g_{n+p} - f_{n+p}$$
$$= f_n - f_{n+p}$$
$$= e^{jan} - e^{jan} \cdot e^{jap}$$
$$\varepsilon_{n+p} = e^{jan}(1 - e^{jap})$$

This is of the form of equation (36), and so one forms

$$I(p) = \frac{(\epsilon_{n+p}) (\epsilon_{n+p}^{*})}{2}$$

= 1/2 [e^{jan}(1 - e^{jap})] · [e^{-jan}(1 - e^{-jap})]
= 1/2 (1 - e^{jap} - e^{-jap} + 1)
= (1 - cos ap)
and $e^{2}(t) = \int_{0}^{1} I(p)dp = 1 - \frac{\sin a}{a}$

Page - 47 MK-12

Substituting for a, the mean square error is given by

$$e^{2}(t) = \left(1 - \frac{\sin \omega T}{\omega T}\right)$$
(39)

The percent root mean square value of equation (39) for a unit amplitude cosinusoidal input is plotted in Figure 19 as a function of Y = samples per cycle

where

$$Y = \frac{2\pi}{\omega T}$$

Of interest is the behaviour of the mean square error for small (ωT), or large samples per cycle. This is obtained from (39) and is given by

$$\overline{e^2(t)} \approx \left[\frac{(\omega T)^2}{6} - \frac{(\omega T)^4}{120} + \frac{(\omega T)^6}{5040} - + \dots\right]$$

8.2 Root Mean Square Error - First-Order Extrapolator -Unsmoothed

The intersample behaviour, from Section 5.2.1, is given by

$$g_{n+p} = f_n(l+p) - pf_{n-l}$$

The recovery error for the input $e^{j\omega t}$ is

$$\varepsilon_{n+p} = e^{jan} \{ (1+p) - pe^{-ja} - e^{jap} \}$$

Forming I(p),

$$I(p) = (1+p+p^2) - p(1+p) \cos a - (1 - \cos a)p \cos pa$$

- (sin a)p sin pa - cos pa

Page - 48 MK-12

The mean square error becomes

$$\overline{e^{2}(t)} = \left[\frac{11 - 5 \cos\omega T}{6} - \frac{2(1 - \cos\omega T) (\cos\omega T + \omega T \sin\omega T)}{(\omega T)^{2}}\right]$$
(40)

and the percent root mean square value of this is plotted in Figure 19.

For small (ωT), or many samples per cycle, this becomes

$$\overline{e^{2}(t)} \sim \left[\frac{31(\omega T)^{4}}{240} - \frac{1061(\omega T)^{6}}{(84)(720)} + \cdots\right]$$
$$\sim \left[0.12916 (\omega T)^{4} - 0.017543 (\omega T)^{6} + \cdots\right]$$

8.3 Mean Square Error - First-Order Extrapolator - Smoothed

For the smoothed linear extrapolator, the mean square error becomes

$$\overline{e^2(t)} = \left[\left(\frac{13}{6} - \frac{\cos \omega T}{2} - \frac{2 \cos^2 \omega T}{3} \right) - \frac{2(1 + \cos \omega T - 4\cos^2 \omega T + 2\cos^3 \omega T)}{(\omega T)^2} \right]$$

.]

which is plotted in Figure 19.

For small (ω T) this becomes

$$e^{2}(t) = \left[\frac{101(\omega T)^{4}}{240} - \frac{11342(\omega T)^{6}}{(720)(147)} + \dots\right]$$

=
$$[0.42083 (\omega T)^4 - 0.107162 (\omega T)^6 + ...]$$

8.4 Mean Square Error - Delayed First-Order

In this case,

$$\frac{e^{2}(t)}{e^{2}(t)} = \left[\frac{5 + \cos \omega T}{6} - \frac{2 \cos \omega T (1 - \cos \omega T)}{(\omega T)^{2}}\right]$$

which is also plotted in Figure 19.

Again, for small (ωT) this becomes

$$\overline{e^{2}(t)} = \left[\frac{(\omega T)^{2}}{2} - \frac{37(\omega T)^{4}}{432} + \frac{1101(\omega T)^{6}}{(252)(720)} - + \cdots\right]$$
$$= \left[\frac{(\omega T)^{2}}{2} - 0.08565 (\omega T)^{4} + 0.006068 (\omega T)^{6} - + \cdots\right]$$

8.5 Mean Square Error - Ideal First-Order

In the case when delay is allowed, a delay of one sample interval in the comparison loop allows the error to become

 $\varepsilon_{n+p} = g_{n+p} - f_{n+p}$

where

 $g_{n+p} = (f_{n+1} - f_n)p$, i.e. f_{n+1} , is effectively know at time nT.

THIC HILD

In this case, the mean square error becomes

$$e^{2}(t) = \left[\frac{5 + \cos \omega T}{6} - \frac{2(1 - \cos \omega T)}{(\omega T)^{2}}\right]$$

which is plotted in Figure 19.

Page - 50 MK-12

For small (
$$\omega T$$
) this becomes;

$$\overline{e^{2}(t)} = \left[\frac{(\omega T)^{4}}{240} - \frac{11(\omega T)^{6}}{(84)(720)} + \frac{13(\omega T)^{8}}{(56)(90)(720)} - + \dots\right]$$
$$= \left[0.83333 \ (\omega T)^{4} - 0.036375 \ (\omega T)^{6} + 0.0007165 \ (\omega T)^{8} + \dots\right]$$

8.6 Mean Square Error - Second-Order Extrapolator

From Section 5.3.1, the second-order intersample response is given by

$$g_{n+p} = \left(\frac{f_n^{-2f_{n-1}+f_{n-2}}}{2}\right) p^2 + \left(\frac{3f_n^{-4f_{n-1}+f_{n-2}}}{2}\right) p + f_n$$

For $f(t) = e^{j\omega t}$, the above results in a recovery error given by

where

$$a = \omega T$$
 as before,

and where

$$A = \frac{p^2 + 3p + 2}{2}$$
$$B = p^2 + 2p$$
$$C = \frac{p^2 + p}{2}$$

Again, this is in the form of equation (36), and one

Page - 51 MK-12

5 8

forms, for a cosine input, the expression

$$I(p) = \frac{\varepsilon_{n+p} \varepsilon_{n+p}^{*}}{2} = \left(\frac{3p^{4}}{4} + 3p^{3} + \frac{15p^{2}}{4} + \frac{3p}{2} + 1\right)$$

- $(\cos a)(p^{4}+4p^{3}+5p^{2}+2p) + \cos 2a\left(\frac{p^{4}+4p^{3}+5p^{2}+2p}{4}\right)$
- $\cos ap\left(\frac{p^{2}+3p+2}{2}\right) + \cos [(p+1)a](p^{2}+2p)$
- $\cos [(p+2)a]\left(\frac{p^{2}+p}{2}\right)$

Integrating over the intersample parameter p, the mean square recovery error for a cosine input becomes

$$\overline{e^{2}(t)} = \frac{44}{15} - \frac{58}{15} \cos a \left(1 - \frac{\cos a}{2}\right) + \frac{3 \sin a - 3 \sin 2a + \sin 3a}{a^{3}}$$
$$+ \frac{3(1 - 3 \cos a + 3 \cos 2a - \cos 3a)}{2a^{2}}$$
$$+ \frac{(-3 \sin a + 3 \sin 2a - \sin 3a)}{a}$$

the root mean square value of which is plotted in Figure 20. Again, for small $a = (\omega T)$, this reduces to

$$e^2(t) \approx \left[\frac{106(\omega T)^6}{945} + \dots\right]$$

8.7 <u>Mean Square Error - Second-Order - Unit Delay</u> By a similar procedure, the mean square error Page - 52 MK-12

becomes

$$\overline{e^{2}(t)} = \frac{9}{10} + \frac{2}{15} \left(\cos a - \frac{\cos 2a}{4} \right)$$
$$- \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3 \cos a - 3 \cos 2a + \cos 3a - 1}{a^{2}} \right)$$
$$+ \frac{3 \sin a - 3 \sin 2a + \sin 3a}{a^{3}}$$

which is plotted in Figure 20.

For small $(\omega T) = a$, this becomes

$$\overline{e^2(t)} \approx \frac{(\omega T)^2}{2} - \frac{577(\omega T)^6}{(1120)(27)} + \dots$$

8.8 Mean Square Error - Second-Order - Ideal

In the case where delay can be tolerated and its effect neglected, the mean square error becomes

$$e^{2}(t) = \frac{14}{15} + \frac{2}{15} \cos \omega T \left(\frac{1 - \cos \omega T}{2}\right) - \frac{2 \sin \omega T}{(\omega T)^{2}} \left(\frac{1 - \cos \omega T}{\omega T}\right)$$

$$-\left(\frac{1-\cos\omega T}{\omega T}\right)^2$$

which is plotted in Figure 20.

$$e^2(t) \approx \frac{(\omega T)^6}{945} + \dots$$

Page - 53 MK-12

8.9 Mean Square Error - Fractional-Order Hold

The mean square error is given by

$$\overline{e^2(t)} = 1 + \left(\frac{k^2}{3} + \frac{k}{2}\right) (1 - \cos \omega T) - \frac{\sin \omega T}{\omega T} (1 - k \cos \omega T)$$

$$-\frac{k}{(\omega T)^2} (1 - \cos \omega T) (2 \cos \omega T + \omega T \sin \omega T)$$

This is plotted in Figure 21 for various values of k.

For small (ωT) , this behaves as

$$e^{2}(t) \approx \frac{(\omega T)^{2}}{6} (1 - k)^{2} + \dots$$

8.10 Mean Square Error - First-Order Exponential

The calculation of mean square error for the exponential filters requires a different technique, and is discussed in Appendix A. There results, for the first-order case,

$$e^{2}(t) = 1 - \frac{\sin \omega T}{\omega T} + \frac{(\sin \omega T - bT \cos \omega T + bT)}{(\omega T)^{2} + (bT)^{2}}$$

$$-\frac{(1 - e^{-2bT})(1 - \cos \omega T)}{2bT(1 - 2e^{-bT}\cos \omega T + e^{-2bT})}$$

This is plotted in Figure 22 for values of

$$K = b/(\omega_c/2)$$

Page - 54 MK-12

8.11 Mean Square Error - Second-Order Exponential

Again, from Appendix A, the mean square error is shown to be

$$\frac{1}{e^2(t)} = \int_0^1 I(p)dp$$

where

$$I(p) = 1/2 \left[1 + \frac{N(p)}{D} \right]$$

$$N(p) = R^{2} + S^{2} + U^{2} - 2 \cos ap (R - SZ + UA^{2})$$

$$+ 2 \cos a (RS + SU) - 2 \cos [a(1+p)] (S - UZ)$$

$$+ 2RU \cos 2a - 2U \cos [a(2+p)]$$

$$+ 2 \cos [(1-p)a] (RZ - A^{2}S) - 2A^{2}R \cos [(2-p)a]$$

$$D = (1 + Z^{2} + A^{4}) - 2 \cos a (Z^{2} + ZA^{2}) + 2A^{2} \cos 2a$$

$$R = (1 - YW)$$

$$S = (X + YW - Z)$$

$$U = (A^{2} - YX)$$

$$W = \cos (bpT + \phi)$$

$$X = A \cos <(1-p)bT - \phi >$$

$$Y = A^{p} \sec \phi$$

$$Z = 2A \cos bT$$

$$A = e^{-dT}$$

$$\tan \phi = -\frac{d}{b}$$
$$d = \zeta \omega_n$$
$$b = \omega_n \sqrt{1 - \zeta^2}$$
$$a = \omega T$$

This was evaluated digitally, and the resulting root mean square value plotted in Figures 23(a) to 23(c) for various values of

$$\omega_n/(\omega_s/2)$$
 and ζ

9.0 DISCUSSION OF ROOT MEAN SQUARE RECOVERY ERRORS

There are perhaps two general comments which can be made about the root mean square recovery error plots of Figures 19 to 23. First, for more than approximately five samples per cycle of input frequency, increasing the polynomial filter order results in a reduction of root mean square recovery error. And second, the more traditional exponential filters yield a root mean square recovery error which is always greater than that available by using the raw staircase output directly.

One must remember, however, that the above comments are pertinent only if the root mean square recovery error is a valid error measure for the case in hand. The polynomial filters will usually allow discontinuities at the sample instants, as does the zero-order hold itself, whereas the exponential filters provide a great deal of smoothing of the response. This smoothing, however, is achieved at the cost of delay, which is seen to result in the larger root mean square recovery errors. Page - 56 MK-12

10.0 CONCLUSIONS

This report is essentially divided into two main sections. In the first, a review of certain topics from the theory of sampled-data systems is presented, which, in the opinion of the author, is more intuitively satisfying than that available elsewhere. This section also contains an analysis of the sources of error occurring in sampled-data systems containing a digital device. Here it is shown that errors in such systems arise from two main processes. The first, a digital error, is due to the numerical approximations made in the digital process used, and the second, a reconstruction error, is due to the recovery process necessary to convert the digital signals to continuous form.

The main body of the report is devoted to a detailed evaluation of the reconstruction errors arising from realizable digital-to-analog conversion processes. It is assumed that the required conversion is achieved in two steps; first the digital data is converted to a staircase signal by a conventional digital-to-analog converter, and this staircase signal is next smoothed by a realizable smoothing filter. Two families of smoothing filters are considered, the finite memory polynomial filters, and the more conventional infinite memory exponential filters. Circuits are presented which allow the filters to be realized using standard analog computing components.

The first comparison between the various digitalto-analog conversion processes is made on the basis of their frequency response characteristics. It is shown that such a comparison does not lead to an analytic evaluation, and it becomes a difficult design task to choose from among the possible solutions. The frequency response curves do, nonetheless, allow certain general comments to be made as to the types of distortions present, such as phase and amplitude errors, ripple attenuation, etc., and should be considered if certain of these types of error are especially important.

Lastly, a comparison based on the root mean square recovery error is presented. This error is obtained when the digital data to be converted are samples of a sinusoid of varying frequency, and the resulting output is compared with this sinusoid to form the recovery error. The curves presented show the improvement to be expected when the higher order smoothing filters are used, and can be used directly for design purposes when the root mean square error is a suitable design criterion.

11.0 REFERENCES

1.	Kuo, B.C.	Analysis and Synthesis of Sampled-Data Control Systems. Prentice-Hall Series in Instrumentation and Controls, 1963.
2.	Ragazzini, J.R. Franklin, G.F.	Sampled-Data Control Systems. McGraw-Hill Control Systems Engineering Series, 1958.
3.	Jury, E.I.	Sampled-Data Control Systems. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1958.
4.	Tou, J.T.	Digital and Sampled-Data Control Systems. McGraw-Hill Electrical and Electronic Engineering Series, 1959.
5.	Monroe, A.J.	Digital Processes for Sampled Data Systems. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1962.
6.	Gardner, M.F. Barnes, J.L.	Transients in Linear Systems - Vol. I. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1942.
7.	DeRusso, P.M.	Optimum Linear Filtering of Signals Prior to Sampling. Trans. AIEE., January 1961. pp. 549-554.
Page - 58 MK-12[,]

8.		Computer Controlled Systems. Scientific Data Systems Publication 2003. Section III. p. 3-1.
9.	Baxter, D.C.	The Digital Simulation of Transfer Functions. N.R.C. Report MK-13, April 1964.
10.	Korn, G.A.	New High-Speed Analog and Analog-Digital Computing Techniques: The Astrac System. Proc. 3rd International Analog Computation Meetings. Gordon and Breach, Science Publishers, Inc., New York, 1962, pp. 513- 519.
11.	Brulé, J.D.	Polynomial Extrapolation of Sampled Data with an Analog Computer. IRE Trans. on Automatic Control, Vol. AC-7, January 1962, pp. 76-77.

/AM




















































































and the second sec



stander for an approximate of the

.



Page - A-1 MK-12

APPENDIX A

EXPONENTIAL FILTERING OF D/A CONVERTED DATA

In the case where the staircase output of the D/A converter is fed to an exponential filter which has a nonfinite transient response, the simple method used to obtain the filter output for the polynomial filters cannot be used. The system of interest is obtained from Figure 18, and shown in Figure A-1. The system is seen to be a sampled-data system, and z-transform techniques can be used (see Ref. 1, 2, 3 or 4).

The modified z-transform of the output g(t) will be

$$G(z,m) = \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right) \cdot F(z)Z_{m}\left\{\frac{H(s)}{s}\right\}$$
(A.1)

which yields a recovery error whose modified z-transform is

$$E(z,m) = \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right) F(z) \cdot Z_{m} \left\{\frac{H(s)}{s}\right\} - F(z,m)$$
(A.2)

Now the mean square recovery error is, from Section 8, given by

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}(t)} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \int_{0}^{1} \varepsilon_{n+p}^{2} dp \qquad (A.3)$$

It is thus required to take the inverse modified z-transform of (A.2) and substitute into (A.3). The inverse modified z-transform is given by

$$\varepsilon(n,m) = \varepsilon_{n-1+m} = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint E(z,m) z^{n-1} dz$$

Page - A-2 MK-12

Thus,

$$\varepsilon_{n+m} = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint \left[\frac{z-1}{z} F(z) \cdot Z_m \left\{ \frac{H(s)}{s} \right\} - F(z,m) \right] z^n dz \quad (A.4)$$

and

$$m = q$$

Case 1: First-Order Filtering

Let

$$H(s) = \frac{b}{s+b}$$

Then

$$Z_{m}\left\{\frac{H(s)}{s}\right\} = Z_{m}\left\{\frac{b}{s(s+b)}\right\} = \left[\frac{1}{z-1} - \frac{e^{-bmT}}{z-e^{-bT}}\right]$$

or

$$Z_{m}\left\{\frac{H(s)}{s}\right\} = \frac{(z-B) - B^{m}(z-1)}{(z-1)(z-B)}$$

where $B = e^{-bT}$ Also, for $f(t) = e^{j\omega t}$, $F(z) = \frac{z}{z-A}$ and $F(z,m) = \frac{A^m}{z-A}$ where $A = e^{-j\omega T}$

Substituting into equation (A.2), the modified z-transform of the error becomes

$$E(z,m) = \frac{(z-B) - B^{m}(z-1)}{(z-A)(z-B)} - \frac{A^{m}}{z-A}$$

$$= \frac{Wz - X}{(z-A)(z-B)}$$

Page - A-3 MK-12

where $W = 1 - B^m - A^m$ $X = B + B^m - A^m B$

and where m = p

$$\varepsilon_{n+p} = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint \frac{Wz - X}{(z-A)(z-B)} \cdot z^{n} dz$$
$$= \frac{WA - X}{A - B} \cdot A^{n} + \frac{WB - X}{B - A} B^{n}$$

Now $B^n \rightarrow 0$ as n increases, and so has a mean square value of zero. Thus the only contribution to the mean square will be

$$(\varepsilon_{n+p})_{\perp} = \frac{WA - X}{A - B} \cdot A^{n}$$

Again the mean square error for a cosine input is given by

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}(t)} = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\varepsilon_{n+p} \varepsilon_{n+p}^{*}}{2} dp = \int_{0}^{1} I(p) dp$$

where

$$I(p) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{WA - X}{A - B} \right) \left(\frac{W^*A^* - X^*}{A^* - B} \right)$$

Now

$$(1 - 2B\cos a + B^{2}) I(p) = 1 - B^{p} + B^{2p} + B^{2} + BB^{p} - \cos a[2B - BB^{p} - B^{p} + B^{2p}]$$

- cos ap[1 - B^{p} + B^{2} - BB^{p}]
+ cos a(p+1) [B - B^{p}]
+ cos a(p-1) [B - B^{1+p}]

Page - A-4 MK-12

Integrating over the p, and after some effort, the mean square error becomes:

$$e^{2}(t) = 1 - \frac{\sin a}{a} + \frac{\sin a - \beta \cos a + \beta}{a^{2} + \beta^{2}} - \frac{(1 - B^{2})(1 - \cos a)}{2\beta(1 - 2B \cos a + B^{2})}$$

where $a = \omega T$

$$\beta = bT$$
$$B = e^{-bT}$$

Case 2: Second-Order Filtering

Let

$$H(s) = \frac{\omega_{n}^{2}}{s^{2} + 2\zeta\omega_{n}s + \omega_{n}^{2}}$$
$$Z_{m}\left\{\frac{H(s)}{s}\right\} = Z_{m}\left\{\frac{\omega_{n}^{2}}{s(s^{2} + 2\zeta\omega_{n}s + \omega_{n}^{2})}\right\}$$
$$= Z_{m}\left\{\frac{d^{2} + b^{2}}{s[(s+d)^{2} + b^{2}]}\right\}$$

where $d = \zeta \omega_n$ $b = \omega_n \sqrt{1 - \zeta^2}$

Then

$$Z_{m}\left\{\frac{H(s)}{s}\right\} = \left\{\frac{1}{z-1} - A^{m} \sec \phi \left[\frac{z \cos(bmT+\phi) - A \cos(1-m)bT-\phi}{z^{2} - 2z A \cos bT + A^{2}}\right]\right\}$$

Page - A-5 MK-12

where
$$A = e^{-dT} = e^{-\zeta \omega_n T}$$

and
$$\tan \phi = -\frac{d}{b} = -\frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{1-\zeta^2}}$$

low
$$F(z) = \frac{z}{z-C}$$
 where $C = e^{-j\omega T}$

The filter output is thus

$$C(z,m) = \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right) \left(\frac{z}{z-C}\right) \left\{\frac{1}{z-1} - A^{m} \sec \phi \right\}$$

$$\left[\frac{z \cos(bmT+\phi) - A \cos((1-m)bT-\phi)}{z^{2} - 2zA \cos bT + A^{2}}\right]$$

Again it is the contribution from the pole at z = C which yields the steady-state component of the output and which has the non-vanishing mean square value. This component is given by

$$c_{n+p} = \left[1 - (C-1)Y\left\{\frac{WC - X}{C^2 - ZC + A^2}\right\}\right]C^n$$

where $W = \cos(bpT + \phi)$

X = A cos<(l-p)bT-\$ Y = A^p sec \$ Z = 2A cos bT Page - A-6 MK-12

The recovery error becomes

 $\varepsilon_{n+p} = c_{n+p} - f_{n+p}$

$$\varepsilon_{n+p} = \left[1 - (C-1)Y\left\{\frac{WC - X}{C^2 - ZC + A^2}\right\} - C^p\right]C^n$$
$$= \left[\frac{-C^{2+p} + RC^2 + ZC^{1+p} + SC - A^2C^p + U}{C^2 - ZC + A^2}\right]C^n$$

Where
$$R = (1 - YW)$$

 $S = (YX + YW - Z)$
 $U = (A^2 - YX)$

 $U = (A^2 - YX)$ The mean square error for a cosine input is thus

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2(t)} = \int_0^1 I(p) dp$$

where

$$2I(p) = \varepsilon_{n+p} \varepsilon_{n+p}^{*} = 1 + \frac{N(p)}{D}$$

and $N(p) = R^{2} + S^{2} + U^{2} - 2 \cos(\omega T \cdot p)(R - SZ + UA^{2})$
 $+ 2 \cos(\omega T)(RS + SU) - 2 \cos[\omega T(1+p)](S - UZ)$
 $+ 2RU \cos(2\omega T) - 2U \cos[(2+p)\omega T]$
 $+ 2 \cos[(1-p)\omega T](RZ - A^{2}S) - 2A^{2}R \cos[(2-p)\omega T]$
 $D = (1+Z^{2}+A^{4}) - 2 \cos(\omega T) \cdot (Z^{2}+ZA^{2}) + 2A^{2} \cos(2\omega T)$



Page - B-1 MK-12

APPENDIX B

HARDWARE REQUIREMENTS FOR FILTERS

Another comparison of the recovery filters is obviously the hardware necessary to realize these filters. Table B-1 provides one such comparison based on realizing the filters with standard analog computer components. It is assumed that a sample and hold amplifier is available for the polynomial recovery filters, and that the filters receive, as an input, the staircase output of a conventional digital-to-analog converter. Page - B-2 MK-12

TABLE B-1

Filter	No. of Amplifiers	Circuit Diagram Figure Number
Zero-Order	None or one	6(b)
First-Order Extrapolator – Unsmoothed	5	7(e)
First-Order Extrapolator – Smoothed	7	8(e)
First-Order Delayed and Ideal	3	9(d)
Second-Order Extrapolator	7	11(d)
Second-Order Delayed and Ideal	7	12(c)
N th -Order Extrapolator	N + 4 plus inverters	13
First-Order Exponential	1	
Second-Order Exponential	3	

Page - B-1 MK-12

APPENDIX B

HARDWARE REQUIREMENTS FOR FILTERS

Another comparison of the recovery filters is obviously the hardware necessary to realize these filters. Table B-1 provides one such comparison based on realizing the filters with standard analog computer components. It is assumed that a sample and hold amplifier is available for the polynomial recovery filters, and that the filters receive, as an input, the staircase output of a conventional digital-to-analog converter.

-						
	UNCLASSIFIED	1. Analny digital curverters I. Gegné, ⁹ .E. IL NRC MY-12		UNCLASSIFIED	 Analog digital converters 	IL NRC MK-12 MR-12
	NRC MK-12 National Research Council, Canada. Division of Mechanical Engineering.	<pre>SMOOTHING OF DIGITAL-TO-ANALOG CONVERTED DATA IN DIGITAL DATA SYSTEMS. F.E. Gagre. April 1964. 76 pp. + 73 figs. A brief review of the properties of sampled signals is given, and used to describe systems which con- tain digital components. It is shown that the errors in such digital data systems in the algorithm programmed, and the other due to the reconstruction process necessary to convert the digital data to analog form. The latter error only is discussed.</pre>	be used to improve the reconstruction accuracy over that obtainable directly by conventional digi al- to-analog converters, are presented. The firequency responses of these filters are compared with the ideal recovery filter. Also discussed is the per- cent root mean square reconstruction error when these filters are used to recover a sampled sinusoid. Circuits are presented for the realization of these filters using standard analog computer components.	NRC MK-12 National Research Council, Canada. Division of Mechanical Engineering.	SMOOTHING OF DIGITAL-TO-ANALOG CONVERTED DATA IN DIGITAL DATA SYSTEMS. R.E. Gegné. April 1964. 76 pp. + 23 figs.	A brief review of the properties of sampled signals is given, and used to describe systems which con- tain digital components. It is shown that the errors in such digital data systems are of two types, one due to the inaccuracies in the algorithm programed, and the other due to the reconstruction process necessary to convert the digital data to analog form. The latter error only is discussed. A number of realizable smoothing filters, which can be used to improve the reconstruction accuracy over that obtainable directly by conventional digital- responses of fulters are presented. The frequency responses of fulters are compared with the ideal recovery filter. Also discussed is the per- cuits are used to recover a sampled sinusoid. filters are used to recover a sampled sinusoid.
UNCLASSIFIED I. Analog digital converters I. Gagné, R.E. I. NRC MK-12		UNCLASSIFIED	 Analog digitai converters 	L. Gagne, R.E. NRC MK-12		
	NRC MK-12 National Research Council, Canada. Division of Mechanical Engineering.	<pre>SMOOTHING OF DIGITAL-TO-ANALOG CONVERTED DATA IN DIGITAL DATA SYSTEMS. R.E. Gagné. April 1964. 76 pp. + 23 figs. A brief review of the properties of sampled signals 1 is given, and used to describe systems which con- tis given, and used to describe systems which con- errors in such digital data system. are of two of the inaccuracies in the algorithm programmed, and the other due to the reconstruction programmed, and the other due to the indicata to analog form. The latter error only is discussed. A number of realizable smoothing filters, which can</pre>	be used to improve the reconstruction accuracy over that obtainable directly by conventional digital- to-andlog converters, are presented. The frequency responses of these filters are presented is the per- ideal recovery filter. Also discussed is the per- cent root mean square reconstruction error when these filters are used \uparrow recover a sampled sinusoid. Circuits are presented for the realization of these filters using standard analog computer components.	NRC MK-12 National Research Council, Canada. Division of Mechanical Engineering.	SMOOTHING OF DIGITAL-TO-ANALOG CONVERTED DATA IN DIGITAL DATA SYSTEMS. R.E. Gagné. April 1964. 76 pp. + 23 figs.	A brief review of the properties of sampled signals is given, and used to oescribe systems which con- terrors in such digital data systems are of two types, one due to the inaccuracies in the algorithm programmed, and the other due to the reconstruction process necessary to convert the cigital data to process necessary to convert the cigital data to analog form. The latter error only is discussed. A number of realizable smoothing filters, which can be used to improve the reconstruction algital- to-analog cormerters, are presented. The fraguency responses of these filters are compared with the ideal recovery filter. Also discussed is the per- tent root mean square reconstruction error when terr filters are presented for the realization of these filters using standard analog computer components.

UNCLASSIFIED Analog digital converters Gagné, R.E. NRC MK-12	UNCLASSIFIED Analog digital converters Gagné, R.E. NRC MK-12
, HI ec ch > 8 e	L L C C C A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
NRC MK-12 NRC MK-12 National Research Council, Canada. Division of Mechanical Engineering. SMOOTHING OF DIGITAL-TO-ANALOG CONVERTED DATA IN SMOOTHING OF DIGITAL-TO-ANALOG CONVERTED DATA IN DIGITAL DATA SYSTEMS. R.E. Gagné. April 1964. 76 pp. + 23 figs. A brief review of the properties of sampled signal is given, and used to describe systems which ccn- tain digital components. It is shown that the errors in such digital data systems are of two types, one due to the inaccuracies in the algorith programmed, and the other due to the reconstruction types necessary to convert the digital data to analog form. The latter error only is discuracy over that otherable smoothing filters, which can be used to improve the reconstruction accuracy over that otherable directly by conventional digital- to-analog form. The latter are requented that otherable directly by conventional digital- toranlog form and square reconstruction arouracy over that otherable directly by conventional digital- toranlog form eventers, are compared with the filters are used to recover a sampled sinusoid. Circuits are presented for the realization of thes filters using standard analog computer components.	NRC MK-12 National Research Council, Canada. Division of Mechanical Engineering. SMOOTHING OF DIGITAL-TO-ANALOG OONVERTED DATA IN DIGITAL DATA SYSTEMS. SMOOTHING OF DIGITAL-TO-ANALOG OONVERTED DATA IN DIGITAL DATA SYSTEMS. R.E. Gagné. April 1964. 76 pp. + 23 figs. A brief review of the properties of sampled signal is given, and used to describe systems which con- tain digital components. It is shown that the errors in such digital data systems are of two types, one, due to the inaccuracies in the algorith programmed, and the other due to the reconstruction types, note due to the inaccuracies in the algorith programmed, and the other due to the reconstruction types, note due to the inaccuracies in the algorith programmed of the other due to the reconstruction to process necessary to convert the digital data to analog form. The latter error only is discussed. A number of trealizable smoothing filters, which ca nalog form the reconstruction accuracy ove that obtainable directly by conventional digital- to-analog converters, are presented with the ideal recovery filter. Also discussed is the per- cent root mean square reconstruction arror when the filters are used to recover a sampled sinusoid.
UNCLASSIFIED Analog digital converters Gagné, R.E. NRC MK-12	UNCLASSIFIED Analog digital converters Gagné, R.E. NRC MK-12
	A AH
<pre>search Council, Canada. Division of ingineering. > DIGITAL-TO-ANALOG CONVERTED DATA IN April 1964. 76 pp. + 23 figs. April 1964. 76 pp. + 23 figs. April 1964. 76 pp. + 23 figs. eew of the properties of sampled signals id used to describe systems which con- tomponents. It is shown that the components. It is shown that the start the other the digital distato. The latter error only is discussed. The latter are compared with the converters, are compared with the converters, are compared with the converters. Also discussed is the per- and square reconstruction set when these used to recover a sampled sinusoid. presented for the realization of these of standard analog computer components.</pre>	<pre>arch Council, Canada. Division of ginetring. DIGITAL-TO-ANALOG CONVERTEL DATA IN SYSTEMS. April 1964. 76 pp. + 23 figs. w of the properties of sampled signals used to describe systems which con- to digital data systems are of two h digital data systems are of two in the other due to the reconstruction sary to convert the digital data to The latter error only is discussed. e all elatter error only is discussed. e directly by conventional digital- to the seconstruction accuracy over le directly by conventional digital- prove the reconstruction accuracy over these filters are compared with the y filter. Also discussed is the per- n standard analog computer components.</pre>