UNCLASSIFIED

AD 445218

DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

FOR

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

CAMERON STATION. ALEXANDRIA. VIRGINIA



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Ô 01 20 4 CATALOGED BY AS AD NO. AS

THE EFFECT OF VASOACTIVE ANTAGONISTS IN ENDOTOXIN SHOCK

JOHN P. KALAS, M.D. EUGENE D. JACOBSON, M.D. Washington, D.C.

From Walter Reed Institute of Research

Reprinted from

AMÈRICAN HEART JOURNAL St. Louis

Vol. 67, No. 6, Pages 764-778, June, 1964 (Copyright © 1964 by The C. V. Mosby Company) (Printed in the U. S. A.)



The effect of vasoactive antagonists in endotoxin shock

John P. Kalas, M.D.* Eugene D. Jacobson, M.D.** Washington, D. C.

Injection of lethal quantities of gramnegative bacterial endotoxin into the circulation of dogs results in characteristic vascular changes during the ensuing 30minute period, including development of systemic arterial hypotension, portal venous hypertension, and an increase in splanchnic vascular resistance.¹ Several naturally occurring vasoactive substances have been implicated in the pathogenesis of endotoxin shock. These include the catecholamines,² histamine,⁸⁻⁷ serotonin,^{8,9} and bradykinin.¹⁰

A possible role for the sympathetic nervous system in endotoxin shock was first suggested by Reilly and associates,¹¹ who showed that direct injection of minute quantities of endotoxin into splanchnic nerves or autonomic ganglia induced gastrointestinal hemorrhages and necrosis, shock and death. Penner¹² demonstrated that tetraethylammonium chloride and ergotamine tartrate were able to abort the intestinal lesions induced by endotoxin. Other reports showed that Dibenamine^{13,14} prevented the increased vasomotion of endotoxemia.

More recent studies have shown that spinal-cord section does not prevent the hemodynamic changes observed early in endotoxin shock.¹⁶ This has resulted in a shift in emphasis from the sympathetic nervous system to the circulating catecholamines. This concept is supported by the work of Zweifach and associates² and Gourzis and associates,16 who have observed a heightened vascular sensitivity to pressor catecholamines during endotoxemia. Furthermore, regional studies of perfused stomach,¹⁷ small intestine,¹⁸ spleen,¹⁹ kidney,^{20,21} and lung²² indicate that endotoxin increases vascular resistance in these organs, and that phentolamine^{17,21} can block this rise in resistance. In addition, catecholamine antagonists have been shown to increase survival in endotoxin shock.16,28

Other investigators have focused attention on histamine as the primary agent involved in the vascular events of endotoxin shock. Schayer and his collaborators^{4,5} have shown that both endotoxin and the histamine liberator, Compound 48/80, enhance histidine decarboxylase activity. Hinshaw and associates⁷ have described certain similarities in the hemodynamic responses of the dog to histamine, Compound 48/80, and endotoxin.

The dramatic decline in the circulating concentrations of 5-hydroxytryptamine * (serotonin) during endotoxin shock,⁹ and the protective action of serotonin in endo-

From Walter Reed Institute of Research, Washington, D. C.

Presented in part before the 47th Annual Meeting of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology, April 20, 1963.

Received for publication Aug. 7, 1963.

*Present address: Department of Physiology, State University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa.

**Address Department of Applied Immunology, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Washington 12, D. C.

764

6

toxemia⁸ suggest an important role for this agent.

This investigation describes the early hemodynamic effects of endotoxin in dogs whose response to catecholamines, histamine, acetylcholine, or serotonin was altered pharmacologically.

Methods and materials

Seventy-five mongrel dogs of both sexes which weighed from 10 to 29 kilograms were the subjects of these experiments Sixty of these animals were anesthetized with pentobarbital sodium (30 mg. per kilogram) administered intravenously. An endotracheal tube was inserted and connected to a positive-pressure respirator* with a respiratory minute volume of 2,500 to 4,000 c.c. per minute, which maintains a normal pH and pCO_2 , thus obviating altered vascular responses to either endotoxin²⁴ or catecholamines.²⁵ A left subcostal laparotomy was performed, and the splenic artery was exposed. Those branches of the splenic artery which passed to the stomach and the pancreas were ligated. Heparin scdium (10 mg. per kilogram) was injected intravenously, and polyethylene tubing was connected through a finger pumpt to a metal cannula which was inserted into the splenic artery. Blood flow to the spleen was interrupted for less than 1 minute by this procedure. Flow was fixed in the pump so that pressure in the tubing proximal to the splenic artery would approximate mean systemic arterial pressure recorded from the left femoral artery in 60 animals (Dogs 1-45, 51-65, Table 1). In 5 animals (Dogs 61-65), systemic arterial pressure was intentionally reduced 50 per cent by exsanguination. In 5 animals (Dogs 46-50), splenic arterial pressure was purposely maintained at a pressure approximately 50 per cent below systemic arterial pressure.

In 5 animals (Dogs 21-25), morphine sulfate (15 mg. subcutaneously) and chloralose (75 mg. per kilogram intravenously) were employed to induce anesthesia with agents that would not have atropine-like effects, and the surgical procedure outlined above was performed.

These 65 animals were divided into 13

*Harvard Apparatus Co., Dover, Mass. †Sigmamotor, Inc., Middleport, N. Y. groups of 5 dogs each, arranged in Table I according to pharmacologic pretreatment, systemic arterial and splenic arterial pressures, or anesthesia. The agents used prior to the injection of endotoxin included the following:

1. Reserpine (CIBA Pharmaceutical Co., Summit, N. J.), 0.2 mg. per kilogram injected intravenously 2 hours before and repeated 1 hour before endotoxin in 5 dogs.

2. Compound 48/80 (Burroughs, Wellcome Co., Inc., Tuckahoe, N. Y.), 0.1 mg. per kilogram injected intraperitoneally twice daily for 5 days before endotoxin in 5 dogs. One hour before endotoxin was administered, an additional 0.5 mg. per kilogram was infused intravenously.

3. Atropine sulfate, 0.4 mg. per kilogram injected intravenously 10 minutes before endotoxin. This dose blocked the systemic arterial depressor response to 300 μ g of acetylcholine.

4. Pyrilamine maleate (Merck & Co., Inc., West Point, Pa.), 50 mg. per kilogram injected intravenously over a 2-hour period prior to endotoxin. This drug failed to attenuate the systemic arterial depressor response to 100 μ g of histamine or the pressor response to 100 μ g of norepinephrine administered intravenously. Pyrilamine maleate was used in only 1 dog.

5. Diphenhydramine hydrochloride (Benadryl, Parke, Davis & Co., Detroit, Mich.), 50 mg. pei kilogram injected intravenously over a 1-hour period prior to endotoxin. This dose abolished the depressor response to 10 μ g of histamine and markedly attenuated the depressor response to 36 and 100 μ g of histamine injected intravenously. One animal was pretreated with this drug.

6. N-(2'dimethylamino-2'methyl) ethyl phenothiazine hydrochloride (Phenergan, Wyeth Laboratories, Philadelphia, Pa.), 50 mg. per kilogram injected intravenously over a 1-hour period prior to endotoxin in 3 dogs. This drug abolished the depressor response to 10 μ g and markedly attenuated the depressor response to 36 and 100 μ g of histamine injected intravenously. The depressor response to 33 μ g of isoproterenol was also attenuated, but the depressor response to 100 μ g of isoproterenol was unaffected by this phenothiazine derivative. In one of these animals, diphenhy-

766 Kalas and Jacobson

Group			Mean splenic	Mean pressures (mm. Hg)		
	Dog numbers	Anesthesia	flow (ml./min.)	Systemic artery	Splenic arlery	pretreatment
I.	1-5	Pentobarbital	38:	39	110	Control—No agent
II.	6-10	Pentobarbital	36	80	94	Reservine
III.	11-15	Pentobarbital	26	83	106	Compound 48/80
IV.	16-20	Pentobarbital	40	101	104	Atropine
v.	21–25	Morphine and chloralose	41	90	100	Control-No agent
VI.	26-30	Pentobarbital	33	70	91	Antihistaminics
VII.	31-35	Pentobarbital	- 29	60	78	Cyproheptadine
VIII.	36-40	Pentobarbital	34	104	114	Control—Solvent for phenoxy- benzamine
IX.	41-45	Pentobarbital	30	45	65	Phenoxybenzamine
Х.	46-50	Pentobarbital	14	109	65	Control—Low per- fusion pressure
XI.	5155	Pentobarbital	- 26	66	66	Nethalide
XII.	56-60	Pentobarbital	35	72	74	DCI
XIII.	61-65	Pentobarbital	8	51	74	Control—Low systemic artery

Table I. Division of dogs into 13 groups according to pharmacologic pretreatment, anesthesia, and pressures in the systemic and perfusion circuits prior to injection of endoloxin

dramine hydrochloride, 25 mg. per kilogram, was also administered.

7. 1-Methyl-4-(5 dibenso [a, e] -cycloheptatrienylidene)-piperidine (Cyproheptadine, Merck & Co., Inc., West Point, Pa.), 15 to 25 mg. per kilogram injected intravenously over a 1-hour period prior to endotoxin. This drug was dissolved in acetic acid and saline (pH 4.0). In these doses this agent abolished or markedly attenuated the depressor response to 40 and 100 μg of serotonin, and markedly attenuated the pressor response to 200 and 400 μg of serotonin injected intravenously in 5 dogs. This serotonin antagonist also converted the depressor response of 33 μg of isoproterenol to a pressor response, markedly attenuated the depressor response to 36 μ g of histamine, but had no effect on the pressor response to 33 μ g of 1-norepinephrine.

8. Phenoxybenzamine hydrochloride (Dibenzyline, Smith, Kline and French Laboratories, Philadelphia, Pa.) 20 mg. per kilogram injected intravenously over a 1-hour period prior to endotoxin in 5 dogs. This substance was dissoved in a solution containing propylene glycol and acetic acid (pH 4.0). In these doses, phenoxybenzamine abolished the pressor response to 100 μg of l-norepinephrine, and somewhat attenuated the depressor response to 100 μ g of histamine.

9. 2 (D-hydroxy-3-isopropyl aminoethyl naphthalene) (Nethalide, Averst Laboratories, New York, N. Y.), 30 mg. per kilogram injected intravenously over a $1\frac{1}{2}$ -hour period prior to endotoxin. In these doses, Nethalide markedly attenuated or abolished the depressor response to 100 μ g of isoproterenol, incompletely reduced the depressor response to 100 μg of histamine, and caused some reduction in the pressor responses to 100 μg of l-norepinephrine and serotonin. Nethalide was administered to 5 dogs.

10. 1-(3', 4'-Dichlorophenyl)-2-isopropylaminoethanol hydrochloride (Aldrich Chemical Co., Inc., Milwaukee, Wisc.) or DCI, 40 to 80 mg. per kilogram injected intravenously over a 1-hour period prior to endotoxin in 5 dogs. In these doses, DCI abolished the depressor response to 33 μg of isoproterenol, enhanced the pressor response to 100 μg of l-norepinephrine, somewhat diminished the depressor response to 100 µg of histamine and the pressor response to 400 μ g of serotonin.

The lethality of the single lot of endotoxin (Shigella flexneri 2A*) employed in these experiments was determined in an additional 10 unanesthetized dogs after preliminary lethality studies in guinea pigs. Half of the animals were killed within 24 hours by a single intravenous injection of 0.6 mg. per kilogram. An LD₅₀ was employed in these experiments rather than the frequently used endotoxin doses which are many times greater than an LD_{100} , for two reasons: the effectiveness of any potential pharmacologic agent in opposing some hemodynamic action of endotoxin could be entirely overwhelmed by massive doses of endotoxin.

After a period of approximately 30 minutes of perfusion of the spleen to allow hemodynamic stabilization, endotoxin was injected into the splenic artery, and mean pressures were monitored in the splenic artery, a mesenteric branch of the portal vein, and the left femoral artery (systemic arterial pressure) by means of a straingauge transducer connected to a recorder.† Splenic vascular resistance was calculated as the quotient of the mean pressure gradient from the perfused splenic artery to the portal vein and the constant flow, and was expressed as millimeters of mercury per milliliter per minute (P.R.U.). Pressures were obtained at 1-minute intervals for 30 minutes after injection of endotoxin. The well-described "first phase" of endotoxin shock in the dog is complete within

*Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Mich. †Sanborn Co., Waltham, Mass. this period of time and offers an opportunity of observing the effects of neurohumoral blocking agents on a complex but reproducible hemodynamic entity. The results of a typical experiment are shown in Fig. 1.

It should be noted that we observed certain consistent differences from the usual pattern of changes in pressure which has been reported elsewhere.¹ The increase in portal pressure and the decline in systemic arterial pressure after injection of an LD_{b0} of *Shigella flexneri* endotoxin are neither as abrupt nor as profound as those observed with fully lethal doses of *Escherichia coli* endotoxin.

The vascular responses to endotoxin in pharmacologically pretreated dogs were compared by noting the number of animals in each group whose systemic arterial or splenic perfusion pressures changed by more than 10 mm. Hg, or whose portal venous pressures increased by at least 4 mm. Hg during the 30-minute period of observation. In addition, statistical comparison of the slopes of pressure and resistance as functions of time during endotoxin shock with or without the various pharmacologic or mechanical treatments was performed, employing an analysis of combined hierarchial design²⁶ which was programmed for a computer.*

Results

Control. The injection of 0.6 mg. per kilogram of the endotoxin of Shigella *RPC 4000, Royal Precision Co., Bethesda, Md.





flexneri, type 2A, into the perfusion circuit of 5 control dogs resulted in portal venous hypertension, systemic arterial hypotension, and an increase in both perfusion pressure and splenic vascular resistance. Mean pressure in the portal vein doubled

5 minutes after endotoxin had been administered, pressure in the femoral artery decreased 22 per cent at 10 minutes and 17 per cent at 30 minutes after endotoxin, perfusion pressure increased 36 per cent, and the resistance to the flow of blood

Table 11. Comparison of changes in systemic arterial pressure, splenic arterial pressure, portal in 6 groups of 5 dogs each*

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Group	Time (minutes)					
	0	5	10	15		
	Syste	mic arterial pressure (i	mm. Hg)	<u> </u>		
I. Control	99 + 5	84 + 11	78 + 13	78 + 12		
II Reservine	80 + 4	50 ± 7	53 ± 4	46 ± 6		
UL 48/80	83 ± 13	72 ± 8	50 ± 12	57 ± 12		
IV Atropine	101 ± 7	$\frac{12}{80} \pm 5$	83 ± 7	70 ± 5		
V. Morphine and		00 T 2	99 T 1	17 1 5		
chloralose	90 ± 12	76 ± 11	57 + 14	52 + 13		
VI Antihistaminics.	70 ± 7	53 ± 5	40 + 5	52 ± 15 52 + 4		
			+7 <u>1</u> 5	JZ _ +		
ъ.	Sple	nic arterial pressure (1	nm. Hg)			
Í Control	110 + 7	121 + 9	140 + 6	149 + 11		
II Reservine	94 + 5	110 ± 0	140 ± 10	133 ± 15		
	106 ± 7	117 ± 5	122 + 7	130 ± 13		
V Atroping	100 ± 7	117 ± 10	123 ± 4 121 ± 0	125 ± 12		
V. Morphine and	104 <u>T</u> 11	117 ± 10	131 ± 9	134 <u>T</u> 10		
v. worphile and	100 ± 4	122 + 9	150 ± 17	160 ± 16		
VI Antihistominica	100 ± 4	122 ± 6 105 ± 7	130 ± 17	100 ± 10		
1. Antinistaminics	91 ± 8	105 ± 7	110 ± 4	112 ± 0		
	Por	tal venous pressure (m	m. Hg)			
I Control	7 + 1	14 ± 2		<u>8</u> ⊥1		
II Reservine	6 ± 1	12 ± 2	10 ± 2	7 + 2		
11 A9/90		10 ± 1	9 ± 1	7 + 1		
V Atronine	5 ± 1			7 4 1		
V. Morphine and	U T I	11 1 2	9 ± 1	1 1		
v. Morphile and	6 + 1	15 + 2	12 + 2	10 ± 1		
T Antihisteminics	0 <u>+</u> 1 9 + 1		12 ± 2 11 + 1	10 ± 1		
	0 I I	15 ± 1	11 I I	911		
•	Splen	ic vascular resistance	(P.R.U.)	· ·		
L Control	3: 20 + 79	3 30 + 87	3 80 + 81	4 31 + 07		
II Reservine	$2.20 \pm .19$ 2.72 ± .52	3.00 ± .07	3.09 ± 01	386 ± 70		
	2.12 <u>1</u> .32 2.04 <u>1</u> .7	$3.21 \pm .51$ A 21 \pm 57	1.50 ± .51	5.00 ± .19 5.00 ± .04		
11. 1 0/00 V Atroping	0.74 I .47	1.31 T .31 2 07 4 50		3.00 ± ,94 2.59 ⊥ 41		
V. Auopine	2.10 ± .41	2.91 I .30	J.44 I .34	3,30 <u>T</u> ,01		
v. worphine and		2.05 1 84	4 03 1 00	4 27 1 07		
chloralose	2.77 ± .59	$3.25 \pm .74$	$4.03 \pm .88$	4.37 ± .95		
1. Antihistaminics	$2.77 \pm .67$	2.97 土 .65	$3.24 \pm .03$	$3.37 \pm .08$		

*The groups are divided according to treatment before endotoxin. p is the probability of no difference in the time sequence of changes arterial pressure declined or splenic arterial pressure increased more than 10 mm. Hg, or portal venous pressure increased by at across the spleen increased 35 per cent at 15 minutes after the injection of endotoxin. These results appear in Table II.

Reserpine. The 5 animals pretreated with reserpine exhibited hemodynamic responses to endotoxin which were similar to those

of the control group (Table II). Portal venous pressure increased 100 per cent in 5 minutes, systemic arterial pressure declined 34 per cent in 10 minutes, perfusion pressure climbed 49 per cent, and splenic vascular resistance rose 42 per cent in 15

venous pressure, and splenic vascular resistance in response to endotoxin injected at zero time

	Time (minutes)—Cont'd		N.P.G.	Þ	
20	25	30		r	
	Systemic arteria	l pressure (mm. Hg)			
79 ± 10	82 ± 8	82 ± 7	5/5		
47 ± 7	48 ± 9	46 ± 11	5/5	n.s.	
54 ± 11	51 ± 11	45 ± 12	5/5	< . 01	
75 ± 5	76 ± 4	79 ± 5	4/5	n.s.	
52 ± 12	53 ± 13	56 ± 15	5/5	< .05	
50 ± 4	51 ± 5	51 ± 4	4/5	n.s.	
·····	Splenic arterial	pressure (mm. Hg)			
145 + 10	145 + 11	147 + 14	5/5 ·		
133 + 16	135 ± 20	137 + 22	5/5	n.s.	
131 + 16	130 ± 18	130 + 21	4/5	n.s.	
132 ± 10	130 ± 10	129 ± 10	5/5	< .05	
158 ± 17	158 ± 18	161 ± 18	5/5	n.s.	
108 ± 8	104 ± 9	104 ± 11	5/5	< .001	
	Portal venous	pressure (mm. Hg)			
8 + 1	7 + 0	7 + 1	5/5	_	
6 ± 1	$\frac{1}{7+2}$	$\frac{7}{7+2}$	3/5	n.s.	
7 + 1	6 + 1	6 + 1	1/5	< .01	
6 ± 1	5 ± 1	5 ± 1	3/5	n.s.	
7 ± 2	6 ± 1	6 ± 2	5/5	n.s.	
8 ± 1	7 ± 1	7 ± 0	5/5	n.s.	
	Splenic vascular	resistance (P.R.U.)			
$4.03 \pm .76$	$4.23 \pm .98$	4.25 ± .98			
3.89 ± .79	$3.92 \pm .84$	$4.03 \pm .95$		n.s.	
5.16 ± 1.12	5.16 ± 1.18	5.15 ± 1.30		n.s.	
$3.51 \pm .58$	$3.50 \pm .59$	$3.51 \pm .61$		< .05	
4.41 ± .94	4.50 ± 1.04	4.59 ± 1.03		n.s.	
3 31 + 75	3.24 + 78	3.20 + .79		n.s.	

in pressure or resistance between each group and control, N.P.G. signifies the number of animals per group of 5 in which systemic least 4 mm. Hg within 30 minutes after endotoxin. The numbers tabulated are mean values \pm standard error of the mean.

minutes after endotoxin had been injected.

Compound 48/80. Animals pretreated with Compound 48/80 showed a somewhat less marked response of portal venous pressure and a somewhat greater and more sustained systemic hypotensive response to endotoxin (Table II). Thus, portal venous pressure rose 43 per cent at 5 minutes, systemic arterial pressure fell 29 per cent at 10 minutes and 45 per cent at 30 minutes, and perfusion pressure increased 21 per cent at 15 minutes after the injection of endotoxin.

Atropine. In 5 dogs pretreated with atropine the effects of endotoxin on systemic arterial and portal pressures were not different from those in the control series (Table II). Peak mean portal venous pressure was observed 5 minutes after injection of endotoxin (+83 per cent), systemic arterial pressure declined 20 per cent at 5 minutes after endotoxin and was 17 per cent lower than preinjection values at 10 minutes after endotoxin. Perfusion pressure and splenic vascular resistance exhibited a somewhat less marked increase in response to endotoxin; however, in all 5 animals an increase in perfusion pressure of more than 10 mm. Hg was noted within 30 minutes after endotoxin.

Morphine and chloralose. Since pentobarbital has an anticholinergic effect, 5

Table III. Comparison of changes	in systemic arterial	pressure, splenic	arterial pressure, p	ortal
in 4 groups of 5 dogs each*				

Group	Time (minutes)			
F	0	. 5	10	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Systemic arterial press	ure (mm. Hg)	· ·	
III. Control-solvent	104 ± 14	89 ± 19	86 ± 13	
VII. Cyproheptadine	60 ± 9	50 ± 6	52 ± 8	
IX. Phenoxybenzamine	45 ± 5	39 ± 4	33 ± 3 .	
X. Low perfusion pressure	109 ± 1	82 ± 5	85 ± 5	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Splenic arterial press	ure (mm. Hg)	<u>_</u>	
/III. Control-solvent	114 ± 8	122 ± 10	135 ± 7	
VII. Cyproheptadine	78 ± 9	86 ± 9	85 ± 9	
IX. Phenoxybenzamine	65 ± 8	68 ± 10	70 ± 11	
X. Low perfusion pressure	65 ± 7	74 ± 6	94 ± 11	
<u></u>	Portal venous pressu	re (mm. Hg)		
/III. Control-solvent	6 ± 2	15 ± 3	12 + 1	
VII. Cyproheptadine	10 ± 0	13 ± 1	11 ± 1	
IX. Phenoxybenzamine	6 ± 1	6 ± 1	6 ± 1	
X. Low perfusion pressure	7 ± 1	15 ± 0	11 ± 1	
<u> </u>	Splenic vascular resista	unce (P.R.U.)		
/III. Control-solvent	3.74 + .87	3.85 + :88	4.22 + .87	
VII. Cyproheptadine	$2.60 \pm .63$	$2.77 \pm .59$	$2.80 \pm .60$	
IX. Phenoxybenzamine	$2.06 \pm .35$	$2.15 \pm .38$	$2.20 \pm .39$	
X. Low perfusion pressure	$4.08 \pm .24$	$4.15 \pm .41$	$5.98 \pm .76$	

*The groups are divided according to treatment before endotoxin. The control group received an infusion of the solvent used to dissolve sistance between each group and control. N.P.G. signifies the number of animals per group of 5 in which systemic arterial pressure within 30 minutes after endotoxin. The numbers tabulated are mean values ± standard error of the mean. animals were anesthetized with morphine and chloralose for purposes of comparison with the control and atropine-treated groups. The injection of endotoxin in these dogs produced hemodynamic events similar to those of either control or atropine-treated dogs (Table II). Portal venous pressure increased 150 per cent at 5 minutes and was still 100 per cent above preinjection pressures at 10 minutes after injection. Pressure in the splenic artery increased 60 per cent and vascular resistance rose 58 per cent at 15 minutes after endotoxin was administered. Systemic arterial pressure declined 40 per cent at 15 minutes after endotoxin.

Antihistaminics. Pretreatment of 5 dogs with sizeable doses of antihistaminics did not alter the pattern of systemic or portal hemodynamic responses but reduced somewhat the perfusion pressure response to endotoxin (Table II). Portal venous pressure increased 87 per cent at 5 minutes, systemic arterial pressure declined 30 per cent at 10 minutes, splenic asterial pressure increased 23 per cent and splenic vascular resistance increased 20 per cent at 15 minutes after endotoxin was injected. However, all 5 animals exhibited an increase in splenic arterial pressure of 10 mm. Hg or more during the period of observation.

venous pressure, and splenic vascular resistance in response to endotoxin injected at zero time

Time (minutes)-Cont'd				N.P.G.	ф
15	20	25	30		r
· · · ·	• Syste	emic arterial pressur	e (mm. Hg)		
87 ± 12	86 ± 15	83 ± 15	85 ± 13	5/5	
50 ± 7	46 ± 6	43 ± 6	40 ± 6	5/5	n.s.
31 ± 3	29 ± 4	25 ± 3	20 ± 4	5/5	< .05
89 ± 5	87 ± 3	. 89 ± 3	90 ± 3	5/5	n.s
	Sple	enic arterial pressure	(mm. Hg)	i	
150 ± 10	152 + 12	147 + 12	147 + 11	5/5	
81 ± 8	76 ± 9	75 ± 10	73 ± 9	1/5	< .00
69 ± 10	63 ± 9	58 ± 7	55 ± 7	1/5	< .00
95 ± 11	86 ± 11	83 ± 10	84 ± 10	4/5	n.s.
	Por	rtal venous pressure	(mm. Hg)		
9 ± 1	7 ± 1	. 7 ± 1	6 ± 1	3/5	
10 ± 1	8 ± 1	8±1	7 ± 1	3/5	n.s.
5 ± 1	5 ± 1	4 ± 1	3 ± 1	0/5	< .00
9±1	8 ± 1	<u>.8 ± 0</u>	8± 1	5/5	n.s
	Sple	nic vascular resistan	ce (P.R.U.)	······	•
4.80 ± .99	4.91 ± .98	4.67 ± .81	4.75 ± .87		
$2.72 \pm .63$	$2.62 \pm .66$	$2.64 \pm .77$	$2.58 \pm .74$		<00
$2.19 \pm .38$	$2.02 \pm .34$	$1.88 \pm .31$	$1.78 \pm .28$		< .00
6.11 + 60	5 53 + 69	5.42 ± 78	5 49 + 80		n.s.

phenoxybensamine and Cyproheptadine. p is the probability of no difference in the time sequence of changes in pressure or redeclined or splenic arterial pressure increased more than 10 mm. Hg, or portal venous pressure increased by at feast 4 mm. Hg Cyproheptadine. The use of Cyproheptadine in 5 dogs was attended by a marked decrease in the magnitude of changes in splenic arterial pressure after the injection of endotoxin. The increases in splenic arterial pressure and splenic vascular resistance were 10 and 7 per cent, respectively, at 5 minutes, and 9 and 8 per cent, respectively, at 10 minutes after endotoxin. Systemic arterial pressure had declined 16 per cent from preinjection values at 5 minutes, 13 per cent at 10 minutes, and 33 per cent at 30 minutes after endotoxin. These results appear in Table III.

Control-solvent. The 5 dogs pretreated with the solvent used as a vehicle for

phenoxybenzamine and Cyproheptadine exhibited hemodynamic alterations quite similar to those of the first control group (Table 111).

Phenoxybenzamine. Prior infusion of phenoxybenzamine induced marked alteration of the splanchnic vascular responses to endotoxin (Table III). There was no increase in mean portal venous pressure, and only an 8 per cent rise in perfusion pressure and a 7 per cent increase in vascular resistance at any time during the 30-minute period of observation. The pattern of response in these dogs was significantly different from that of control animals (p = < .001 for either of the pres-

Table IV. Comparison of changes in systemic arterial pressure, splenic arterial pressure, portal in 4 groups of 5 dogs each*

Group _	Time (minutes)					
	0	5	10	15		
	System	ic arterial pressure (m	m. Hg) '			
I. Control	99 ± 5	84 ± 11	78 ± 13	78 ± 12		
XI. Nethalide	66 ± 8	60 ± 7	60 ± 8	60 ± 9		
XH. DCI	72 ± 4	62 ± 9	67 ± 8	63 ± 7		
XIII. Hemorrhage	51 ± 5	43 ± 4	40 ± 5	44 ± 5		
•	Sple	enic arterial pressure (n	nm. Hg)			
I. Control	110 ± 7	121 ± 9	140 ± 6	149 ± 11		
XI. Nethalide	66 ± 6	90 ± 10	101 ± 13	91 ± 13		
XII. DCI	74 ± 7	118 ± 8	136 ± 9	125 ± 11		
XIII. Hemorrhage	74 ± 7	79 ± 6	76 ± 6	76 ± 8		
	Por	rtal venous pressure (n	nm. Hg)			
I. Control	7 ± 1	14 ± 2	11 ± 1	8 ± 1		
XI. Nethalide	6 ± 1	11 ± 2	9 ± 1	8 ± 1		
XII. DCI	6 ± 0	11 ± 0	9 ± 1	7 上 1		
XIII. Hemorrhage	6 ± 1	9 ± 1	8 ± 1	7 ± 0		
	Sple	nic vascular resistance	(P.R.U.)	÷		
I. Control	3.20 ± .79	3.30 ± .87	3.89 ± .81	4.31 ± .97		
XI. Nethalide	$2.61 \pm .63$	3.25 ± .54	3.66 ± .50	3.31 ± .49		
XII. DCI	$2.35 \pm .64$	3.59 ± .91	4.29 ± 1.01	4.03 ± 1.06		
XIII. Hemorrhage	8.53 + .64	8.79 + .65	8.78 + .57	8.85 + .53		

*The groups are divided according to treatment before endotoxin. p is the probability of no difference in the time sequence of changes inarterial pressure declined or spienic arterial pressure increased more than 10 mm. Hg, or portal venous pressure increased by at sures or resistance). Systemic arterial pressure exhibited a steady decline over the period of observation; at 10 minutes after endotoxin the decrease averaged 27 per cent, and at 30 minutes had reached a level 55 per cent below preinjection pressures. It should be noted, also, that phenoxybenzamine induced profound changes in these animals before endotoxin was administered. Pressures in the perfusion circuit and in the femoral artery were approximately 50 per cent lower than pressures obtained in control dogs before endotoxin was injected.

Low perfusion pressure. In a series of 5 dogs whose perfusion pressures were main-

tained at levels comparable to pressures in the phenoxybenzamine-treated group before injection of endotoxin, the responses of perfusion pressure and splenic vascular resistance to endotoxin were similar to events observed in control dogs (Table 111). However, these splanchnic responses difered significantly from the sequence recorded from dogs treated with phenoxybenzamine (p = < .001 for pressure and < .001 for resistance). Portal venous and systemic arterial pressures were not significantly different from those of control dogs in this group.

Nethalide. The splanchnic vascular responses to endotoxin in animals pretreated

venous pressure, and splenic vascular resistance in response to endotoxin injected at zero time

		b			
20	25	30	-	, P	
	Systemic arteria	al pressure (mm. Hg)	······		
79 ± 10	82 ± 8	82 ± 7	5/5		
62 ± 9	62 ± 8	62 ± 8	2/5	< .05	
61 ± 8	63 ± 7	62 ± 7	2/5	< .05	
45 ± 5	42 ± 5	39 ± 5	4/5	< .05	
	Splenic arteria	l pressure (mm. Hg)			
145 ± 10	145 ± 11	147 ± 14	5/5		
86 ± 13	85 ± 13	85 ± 14	4/5	< , 00.	
116 ± 12	112 ± 14	108 ± 14	5/5	<00	
77 ± 9	73 ± 9	72 ± 8	4/5	<u></u>	
	Portal venous	pressure (mm. Hg)			
8 ± 1	7 ± 0	7 ± 1	5/5	<u> </u>	
7 ± 1	7 ± 1	6 ± 1	4/5	n.s.	
6 ± 1	6 ± 1	6 ± 1	5/5	n.s.	
7 ± 0	6 ± 1	6 ± 0	3/5	n.s.	
	Splenic vascula	r resistance (P.R.U.)			
4.03 ± .76	4.23 ± .98	4.25 ± .98			
3.23±.54	$3.14 \pm .59$	3.34 ± .86		< .00	
3.79 ± 1.06	3.68 ± 1.09	3.56 ± 1.04		< .00	
8.75±.49	$8.27 \pm .53$	$8.28 \pm .46$			

pressure or resistance between each group and control. N.P.G. signifies the number of animals per group of 5 in which systemic least 4 mm. Hg within 30 minutes after endotoxin. The numbers tabulated are mean values \pm standard error of the mean.

with Nethalide resembled events in control animals, except for a more rapid return of splenic arterial pressure and resistance toward base-line levels. The changes in systemic arterial pressure after injection of endotoxin exhibited a time course similar to that in control animals: however, the magnitude of fall in pressure was considerably reduced in these animals. In the Nethalide group, systemic pressure declined 10 per cent at 10 minutes and was only 6 per cent below starting values at 30 minutes after the injection of endotoxin. By contrast, systemic arterial pressure had fallen 22 per cent at 10 minutes and 10 per cent at 30 minutes after endotoxin in the control dogs. Of the 5 animals pretreated with Nethalide, only 2 manifested a decline in systemic arterial pressure exceeding 10 mm. Hg within 30 minutes after the injection of endotoxin. Of the 15 dogs which could be considered to be controls (Groups I, V and VIII, Table I), all showed a drop in systemic arterial pressure of more than 10 mm. Hg within the 30 minutes after endotoxin. These results appear in Table IV.

DCI. The animals which received the beta receptor antagonist, DCI, exhibited hemodynamic responses to endotoxin similar to those observed in the group given Nethalide (Table IV). Thus, 3 of these dogs did not manifest the early hypotensive response to endotoxin, and the group had a mean fall in systemic arterial pressure of 14 per cent at 5 minutes and 7 per cent at 10 minutes after endotoxin was injected. The perfusion pressure responded to endotoxin in a manner similar to that in animals treated with Nethalide: a marked increase maximal at 10 minutes, followed by a return toward preinjection pressures.

Hemorrhage. Since systemic arterial pressure was materially reduced in many of the groups prior to the administration of endotoxin (Table I), partial exsanguination was employed to provide a hypotensive group for comparison purposes. Systemic arterial pressure fell 22 per cent at 10 minutes after endotoxin in this group (Table IV). Four of these animals exhibited a fail in pressure of more than 10 mm. Hg within 10 minutes after endotoxin was injected.

Discussion

The results of this study indicate that the abrupt increase in portal venous pressure and the rise in splanchnic vascular resistance induced by endotoxin are essentially abolished by prior treatment with an alpha receptor (pressor) antagonist, phenoxybenzamine. In addition, in a majority of dogs, the profound early fall in systemic arterial pressure seen in endotoxin shock was greatly attenuated by prior treatment with the beta receptor (depressor) antagonists, Nethalide and DCI. Splanchnic vascular responses to endotoxin were somewhat modified by prior administration of Cyproheptadine, Compound 48/80, or antihistaminics. There were no consistent changes in the early hemodynamic events of endotoxemia in animals pretreated with reservine, atropine, or morphine and chloralose.

The nature of this study introduces certain limitations in the interpretation of these findings. The obvious technical shortcomings of this investigation include the use of the dog as the experimental model for endotoxin shock. This animal exhibits hemodynamic responses to endotoxin unlike those of the monkey, rabbit, and cat.27 The use of deep pentobarbital anesthesia induces a hyporeactive nervous state, particularly with respect to the parasympathetic nervous system. The extensive surgery involved and the use of a mechanical pump which traumatizes formed blood elements add further variables which compromise the physiologic condition of the animal. Finally, the doses of pharmacologic agents required to block specific neurohumoral substances were of such magnitude as to induce toxicity in the animals, to antagonize other neurohumoral substances, and to limit severely any clinical inferences.

Both the attenuation of portal hypertension and the increase in splenic vascular resistance by phenoxybenzamine implicates alpha adrenergic vascular stimulation in the mechanism of these early hemodynamic changes of endotoxemia. Furthermore, the pattern of systemic arterial pressure in the animals pretreated with phenoxybenzamine was significantly different from that of the control group; the abrupt decline in arterial pressure did not occur in the group which received phenoxybenzamine, although there was a progressive profound fall in the pressures over 30 minutes. This is consistent with the explanation offered by the Minnesota group²⁸ that splanchnic vascular pooling is responsible for the acute development of hypotension during endotoxin shock in dogs. In addition, the reports that phentolamine induces a similar response to endotoxin in the circulation of the stomach¹⁷ and kidney²¹ during endotoxemia support our findings.

Systemic arterial hypotension after injection of endotoxin was nearly abolished in a majority of dogs pretreated with the beta adrenergic receptor inhibitors, Nethalide and DCI, despite the occurrence of typical splanchnic vascular changes. This suggests a possible role for beta receptor stimulation in endotoxin shock, perhaps by endotoxin itself, pressor cathecholamines, or an unidentified depressor catecholamine. Another explanation which might be considered relates to the striking parallelism in the hemodynamic effects of epinephrine and endotoxin. Both compounds induce splanchnic pooling,²⁸⁻³⁰ a diminished circulating blood volume,23,31 splanchnic,^{1,32} renal,^{20,33} and cutaneous^{14,32} vasoconstriction, and dilate the circulatory beds in the heart,^{34,35} with an over-all reduction in total peripheral resistance.^{36,37} Furthermore, plasma levels of epinephrine are increased early in endotoxin shock, with a less marked effect on the levels of norepinephrine.8 The beta receptor antagonists enhance the pressor effects of epinephrine,³⁸ thereby lessening the difference between the vasoactive actions of epinephrine and norepinephrine. The over-all effect of Nethalide or DCI could, therefore, be an increase in total peripheral resistance in response to epinephrine, the major catecholamine released in the early phase of endotoxemia. This could explain the paradox of an amelioration of systemic arterial hypotension in the face of splanchnic vasoconstriction observed in the dogs to which Nethalide or DCI was administered.

Since the starting systemic arterial blood pressure in animals treated with phenoxybenzamine, Nethalide, DCI, antihistaminics, and Cyproheptadine was con-

siderably lower than in control animals. a series of dogs whose arterial pressures were decreased by partial exsanguination was used as a control hypotensive group. In these animals the early response of systemic arterial pressure to endotoxin. was diminished, and the depressor response to isoproterenol was also diminished. However, it is probable that partly exsanguinated dogs would exhibit a somewhat different initial response to the shocking effects of endotoxin, since plasma levels of cathecholamines would be elevated (as the extremely high splenic vascular resistance in the exsanguinated dogs indicates), and plasma levels of steroids would be increased just before the administration of endotoxin. Furthermore, the groups of dogs receiving antihistaminics, Nethalide, and DCI, with comparable initial blood pressures, exhibited different responses to endotoxin. At 10 minutes after endotoxin the declines in pressure were -21, -6, and -5 mm.Hg in these 3 groups, respectively. This suggests that the amelioration of endotoxininduced hypotension observed in dogs treated with Nethalide, DCI, and phenoxybenzamine was due in large part to the pharmacologic agent used.

It is difficult to explain the diminished response of perfusion pressure to endotoxin in animals pretreated with Cyproheptadine and antihistamines, and the reduced portal venous changes in animals receiving Compound 48/80. Other substances which oppose the actions of serotonin and histamine have also been reported to change certain of the vascular responses to endotoxin.^{39,40} Pretreatment of dogs with reserpine, Compound 48/80, atropine, morphine, and chloralose, and antihistaminic drugs did not diminish the hypotensive response to endotoxin. Although reservine is a potent postganglionic adrenergic blocking agent⁴¹ and depletes tissues of catecholamines and serotonin, studies in this laboratory indicate that measurable concentrations of catecholamines in the plasma are present in reserpine-treated dogs, and that endotoxin can induce elevations of the levels of epinephrine in the plasma of these animals. In addition, the increase in renal vascular resistance induced by endotoxin is not blocked by reserpine.²¹ Compound

48/80 is a potent histamine liberator; however, in studies in progress, no difference has been observed in the concentrations in plasma of catecholamines. histamine, or serotonin between control animals and dogs pretreated with Compound 48/80. Furthermore, the animals treated with Compound 48/80 exhibit changes in these plasma neurohumoral agents in response to endotoxin which do not differ from those of control dogs. It appears that reserpine and Compound 48/80 are not totally effective agents in depleting body stores of neurohumoral substances. The specificity of atropine for the parasympathetic system, and the essential lack of difference between atropine-treated animals and dogs anesthetized with either pentobarbital or morphine and chloralose implies a minor role for cholinergic vascular receptors in the early phase of endotoxin shock.

There are two possible explanations for the apparent discrepancy between our findings and the report by Tsagaris and associates⁴⁰ in which they showed that antihistaminics effected some amelioration of the hypotension induced by lethal doses of Escherichia coli endotoxin. First, it may be that the endotoxin of Escherichia coli induces hypotension through activation of neurohumoral systems different from those stimulated by Shigella flexneri endotoxin. Second, the explanation could relate to the amount of endotoxin injected. According to Spink (personal communication), plasma concentrations of histamine are markedly elevated within 1 minute after the injection of amounts of endotoxin in excess of the lethal dose. We have not found increases in plasma histamine values after sublethal amounts of Escherichia coli endotoxin (unpublished observations). It is possible that a histamine component is superimposed on the basic effects of endotoxin when massive doses are injected, and under these conditions antihistaminics might exert partial attenuation of the hemodynamic effects of endotoxin.

Although the foregoing discussion has underscored the participation of catecholamines in endotoxin shock, the complexity of the pathologic state implies the interaction of many neurohumoral systems.

Summary

The effect of pharmacologic antagonists on vascular pressures in the perfused splenic artery, a branch of the portal vein. and in a systemic artery were monitored for 30 minutes after the injection of an LD₁₀ of Shigella flexneri endotoxin. In control animals the injection of endotoxin was followed by a rapid increase in splenic arterial pressure, portal venous pressure, and vascular resistance across the spleen. Systemic arterial pressure exhibited an abrupt decline after the injection of endotoxin. Pretreatment of dogs with the alpha vascular receptor antagonist, phenoxybenzamine, prevented the increase in portal venous pressure and markedly attenuated the rise in splenic perfusion pressure. Pretreatment of dogs with either of two beta vascular receptor antagonists. Nethalide or DCI, ameliorated the fall in systemic arterial pressure without diminishing the splanchnic vascular responses to endotoxin. The serotonin antagonist, Cyproheptadine, diminished the increase in splenic perfusion pressure after endotoxin. Atropine, reserpine, Compound 48/80, or antihistaminics did not diminish the hypotensive response to endotoxin, although the increase in portal venous pressure was less marked in dogs to which Compound 48/80 had been administered, and perfusion pressure did not increase as markedly in animals pretreated with antihistaminics.

The authors are indebted to Pfc. Donald Collins for technical assistance, to Lt. Colonel Stefano Vivona for statistical analysis of the results of this study, to Mr. Thomas McBroom for computational assistance, and to Dr. Wesley W. Spink and Dr. Hiroshi Kuida for advice.

REFERENCES

- 1. Gilbert R. P.: Mechanisms of the hemodynamic effects of endotoxin, Physiol. Rev. 40:245, 1960.
- 2. Zweifach, B. W., Nagler, A. L., and Thomas, L.: The role of epinephrine in the reactions produced by the endotoxin of gram-negative bacteria, J. Exper. Med. 104:881, 1956.
- 3. Weil, M. H., and Spink, W. W.: A comparison of shock due to endotoxin with anaphylactic shock, J. Lab. & Clin. Med. 50:501, 1957.
- 4. Schayer, R. W.: Relationship of induced histidine decarboxylase activity and histamine synthesis to shock from stress and from endotoxin, Am. J. Physiol. **198**:1187, 1960.
- 5. Schayer, R. W., Rothschild, Z., and Bizony,

P.: Increase in histidine decarboxylase activity of rat skin following treatment with compound 40/80, Am. J. Physiol. **196**:295, 1959.

- Greisman, S. E.: Activation of histaminereleasing factor in normal rat plasma by E. coli endotoxin, Proc. Soc. Exper. Biol. & Med. 103:628, 1960.
- Hinshaw, L. B., Emerson, T. E., Jr., Iampietro, P. F., and Brake, C. M.: A comparative study of the hemodynamic actions of histamine and endotoxin, Am. J. Physiol. 203:600, 1962
 Gordon, P., and Lipton, M. A.: Hormonal
- Gordon, P., and Lipton, M. A.: Hormonal modification of endotoxin mortality in mice, Proc. Soc. Exper. Biol. & Med. 105:162, 1960.
- Rosenberg, J. C., Lillehei, R. C., Moran, W. H., and Zimmermann, B.: Effect of endotoxin on plasma catecholamines and serum serotonin, Proc. Soc. Exper. Biol. & Med. 102:335, 1959.
- Kobold, E., Katz, W., and Thal, A. P.: Vasoactive mechanisms in endotoxin shock, Fed. Proc. 22:430, 1963.
- 11. Reilly, J., Rivalier, E., Compagnon, A., Laplane, R., and duBuit. H.: Sur la pathogénie de la dothiénentérie. Le rôle du système neurovégétatif dans la genèse des lésions intestinales, Ann. de méd. 37:321, 1935.
- 12. Penner, A.: The pathogenesis of experimental dysentery intoxication: Further studies in the inhibition of the lesions, Gastroenterology 19:855, 1951.
- Boquet, P., Dalauney, A., Lehoult, Y., and Lebrun, J.: Observation directe des reactions vasculaires cutanées chez la lapin soumis à l'épreuve d'une endotoxine typhique, Compt. rend. Acad. sc. 225:1193, 1947.
- 14. Boquet, P., and Izard, Y.: Effect of Dibenamine on the vascular response of rabbits to thyphoid endotoxin, Proc. Soc. Exper. Biol. & Med. 75:254, 1950.
- 15. Egdahl, R. H.: The differential response of the adrenal cortex and medulla to bacterial endotoxin, J. Clin. Invest. **38**:1120, 1959.
- Gourzis, J. T., Hollenberg, M. W., and Nickerson, M.: Involvement of adrenergic factor in the effects of bacterial endotoxin, J. Exper. Med. 114:593, 1961.
- 17. Jacobson, E. D., Dooley, E. S., Scott, J. B., and Frohlich, E. D.: Effects of endotoxin on the hemodynamics of the stomach, J. Clin. Invest. 42:391, 1963.
- Meyer, M. W., and Visscher, M. B.: Partial analysis of segmental resistances in intestinal vessels after endotoxin, Am. J. Physiol. 202:913, 1962.
- Frohlich, E. D.: Effect of S. typhosa endotoxin on the perfused dog spleen, Fed. Proc. 22:629, 1963.
- Hinshaw, L. B., Spink, W. W., Vick, J. A., Mallet, K., and Finstad, J.: Effect of endotoxin on kidney function and renal hemodynamics in the dog Am J. Physiol 201:144 1961.
- in the dog, Am. J. Physiol. 201:144, 1961.
 21. Gillenwater, J. Y., Dooley, E. S., and Frohlich, E. D.: Effect of Salmonella typhosa endotoxin on renal function and the relationship of function to renal hemodynamics, U.S.A.M.R.L. Report No. 551, 1962.

- Kuida, H., Hinshaw, L. B., Gilbert, R. P., and Visscher, M. B.: Effect of gram-negative endotoxin on pulmonary circulation, Am. J. Physiol. 192:335, 1958.
- Lillehei, R. C., and McLean, L. D.: The intestinal factor in endotoxin shock, Ann. Surg. 148:513, 1958.
- 24. Talbot, J. E., Lee, F., and Gilbert, R. P.: Alterations in the hemodynamic response to E. coli endotoxin with changes in the acid-base state, Fed. Proc. 22:629, 1963.
- 25. Nash, C. W., and Heath, C.: Vascular responses to catecholamines during respiratory changes in pH, Am. J. Physiol. 200:755, 1961.
- 26. Snedecor, G. W.: Statistical methods, ed. 5, Ames, 1956, Iowa State College Press, p. 264.
- Kuida, H., Gilbert, R. P., Hinshaw, L. B., Brunson, J. G., and Visscher, M. B.: Species differences in effect of gram-negative endotoxin on circulation, Am. J. Physiol. 200:1197, 1961.
- Weil, M. H., MacLean, L. D., Visscher, M. B., and Spink, W. W.: Studies on the circulatory changes in the dog produced by endotoxin from gram-negative microorganisms, J. Clin. Invest. 35:1191, 1956.
- Edmunds, C. W.: Some vasomotor reactions of the liver, with special reference to the presence of vasomotor nerves to the portal vein, J. Pharmacol. & Exper. Therap. 6:569, 1914.
- Hoskins, R. G., and Gunning, R. E. L.: Effects of Adrenine on the distribution of the blood.
 V. Volume changes and venous discharge in the intestine, Am. J. Physiol. 43:399, 1917.
- Freeman, N. E.: Decrease in blood volume after prolonged hyperactivity of the sympathetic nervous system, Am. I. Physiol. 103:185, 1933.
- Clark, G. A.: The vaso-dilator action of adrenalin, J. Physiol. (London) 80:429, 1934.
- Ahlquist, R. P., Taylor, J. P., Rawson, C. W., and Sydow, V. L.: Comparative effects of epinephrine and levarterenol in the intact anesthetized dog, J. Pharmacol. & Exper. Therap. 110:352, 1954.
- 34. Frohlich, E. D., Scott, J. B., and Dooley, E. S.: Hemodynamic alterations due to Salmonella typhosa endotoxin, with special reference to the coronary vascular bed, J. Clin. Invest. 41:147, 1962.
- 35. Wégria, R., Essex, H. H., Herrick, J. F., and Mann, F. C.: The simultaneous action of certain drugs on the blood pressure and on the flow in the right and left coronary arteries, AM. HEART J. 20:557, 1940.
- Ranges, H. A., and Bradley, S. E.: Systemic and renal circulatory changes following the administration of adrenine, ephedrine and Paredrinol to normal man, J. Clin. Invest. 22:687, 1943.
- Hinshaw, L. B., Gilbert, R. P., Kuida, H., and Visscher, M. B.: Peripheral resistance changes and blood pooling after endotoxin in eviscerated dogs, Am. J. Physiol. 195:631, 1958.
- 38. Powell, C. E., and Slater, I. H.: Blocking of inhibitory adrenergic receptors by a dichloro

 $\{ \mathcal{D} \}$

- analog of isoproternol, J. Pharmacol. & Exper. Therap. 122:480, 1958.
 39. Gilbert, R. P.: Effect of antihistaminic and antiserotonin drugs on vascular responses to E. coli endotoxin in the cat, Proc. Soc. Exper. Di t. 2 Mattino 2026 (1050) Biol. & Med. 100:346, 1959. 40. Tsagaris, T. J., Koehler, J. A., Kuida, H., and

Hecht, H. H.: Drug inhibition of circulatory response to endotoxin in dogs, Am. J. Physiol. 204:991, 1963.

41. Burn, J. H., and Rand, M. J.: The effect of precursors of norepinephrine on the response to tyramine and sympathetic stimulation, Brit. J. Pharm. Chem. 15:47, 1960.