UNCLASSIFIED AD 442299

DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

FOR

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

CAMERON STATION, ALEXANDRIA. VIRGINIA

and the second second



UNCLASSIFIED Best Available Copy

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

.

Best Available Copy



S

..... -



A Search for Rainfall Calendaricities

GLENN W. BRIER RALPH SHAPIRO NORMAN J. MACDONALD



METEOROLOGY LABORATORY PROJECT 8684

Best Available Copy

AST FORCE CAMORIDOR RESEARCH LABORATORIES, OFFICE OF AEROSPACE RESEARCH, UNITED STATES AIR FORCE, L.G. HANSCOM FIELD, MASS.

Requests for additional copies by Agencies of the Department of Delense, their contractors, and other government agencies should be directed to the:

· . ·

Best Available Copy

٠.

Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia

Department of Defense contractors must be established for DDC services, or have their 'need-to-know' certified by the cognizant military agency of their project or contract.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the U.S. Government AFCRL-64-190 MARCH 1964

and the second second

,

Environmental Research Papers No. 10

A Search for Rainfall Calendaricities

GLENN W. BRIER RALPH SHAPIRO NORMAN J. MACDONALD

Reprinted trans JOURNAL OF THE #1MOSPHERIC SCIENCES, V.1. 20, No. 6, November 1963, pp. 522-532

METEOROLOGY LABORATORY PROJECT 8604 AIR FORCE CAMBRIDGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES, OFFICE OF AEROSPACE RESEARCH, UNITED STATES AIR FORCE, L.G. HANSCOM FIELD, MASS

Best Available Copy

Reprinted from JOURNAL OF THE ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES, Vol. 20, No. 6, November 1963, pp. 529-532 Printed in U. S. A.

A Search for Rainfall Calendaricities

GLENN W. BRIER,

U. S. Weather Bureau, Washington, D. C.

RALPH SHAPIRO AND NORMAN J. MACDONALD¹

Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, Bedford, Mass.

(Manuscript received 10 July 1963)

ABSTRACT

A statistical analysis is applied to 63 years of daily United States precipitation data to determine whether a tendency exists for rainfall anomalies to occur near specific calendar dates. The results clearly indicate no tendency for rainfall anomalies near specific calendar dates

1. Introduction

Bowen's intriguing ideas (Bowen, 1956, 1957) have caused many meteorologists to re-examine the question of atmospheric calendaricities.² Recently Brier (1961a) applied a non-parametric statistical test to the analysis of three sets of rainfall data and reported evidence of calendaricities among the three time series. Some disagreement on the interpretation of the results was aired by the authors (Shapiro and Macdonald, 1961; Brier, 1961b) in an exchange of correspondence. The principal point raised by Shapiro and Macdonald was that when all three time series were compared simultaneously, Brier's results showed a weak tendency for the association of non-anomalies rather than (as Brier claimed) a strong tendency for precipitation anomalies to occur on specific calendar dates. However, Brier re-analyzed the three time series, delineating minor as well as major anomalies. In this re-analysis, a comparison of pairs of time series showed a positive association among the anomalies in two of the three comparisons but a somewhat negative association in the third. The third comparison involved the two time series with the least amount of data and thus subject to greater sampling fluctuations. Since the issues were not resolved by the correspondence, the authors decided to conduct a joint experiment designed to test the reality of rainfall calendaricities. It is the purpose of this paper to report the design and results of the experiment.

Present affiliation: Regis College, Weston, Mass.

The meteorological terminology, the term "singularities" has been used to describe an anomaly that tends to occur on fixed calendar dates. We feel this term is a poor one because of its possible confusion with mathematical usage and we suggest the term "calendaricity" in its stead.

2. Data and analysis

The basic data in this study consists of 63 years of daily United States precipitation data (1 January 1900 through 31 December 1962). Seven years of these data (1952-1958) were used in the earlier study (Brier, 1961a) in which a comparison was made with the "world-wide" rainfall averages for each day of the year for the period 1880-1950 as published by Bowen (1956, 1957). This study did not use any "world-wide" data since it was not practicable to compute a daily rainfall index for each day of a series sufficiently long for an adequate statistical analysis. The United States precipitation index used here was based on the total amount of precipitation observed each calendar day at approximately 100 stations more or less evenly distributed over the country. The index was normalized to account for any changes in the number of reporting stations.

The method of analysis used here for examining the data for calendaricities is a slight variation of the commonly used superposed epoch method in which the data are arranged in a Buys-Ballot table. The usual method is to put all the events for 1 January in the first column, all those for 2 January in the second column, etc., and then obtain the means for each column. In this study each day was given an index number ranging between 0 and 1 according to its position in the astronomical year of 365.2422 days. In respect to this period, each calendar day advances by 1/365.2422=0.00274 part of a cycle. 1 January 1900 was given the index number 0.00000, 2 January 1900 was given the index 0.00274, 3 January the index 0.00548, etc. After a year the index number becomes greater than unity but the integer was dropped since we are concerned only with the position of the day with respect to the annual cycle. This pro-

JOURNAL OF THE ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES

VOLUME 20



Fig. 1. Original U. S. precipitation data summarized for the annual period divided into 100 classes.

Class	Mean	s	t	Class	Mean	\$	t	
t	0.080	0.602	2.01	51	0.003	0.368	0.13	
2	-0.014	0.619	-0.35	52	0.006	0.360	0.27	
3	-0.088	0.563	-2.37	53	-0.001	0.307	-0.05	
4	0.012	0.596	0.30	54	0.0.54	0.326	1.57	
5	0.022	0.555	0.59	55	0.002	0.340	-0.10	
6	0.024	0.638	0.57	56	0.024	0.345	1.06	
7	0.061	0.593	1.57	57	-0.019	0.344	-0.82	
8	-0.084	0.515	- 2.48	58	-0.032	0.361	-1.36	
9	0.044	0.587	-1.13	59	-0.017	0.335	0.76	
10	0.003	0.592	0.08	60	-0.017	0.311	-0.75	
11	-0.001	0.576	-0.03	61	0.006	0 322	0.77	
12	0.007	0.541	0.18	62	0.060	0.321	2.80	
13	0.012	0.646	0.10	63	0.041	0 324	1.03	
13	0.071	0.546		6.1	0.011	0.324	0.50	
15	0.036	0.570	0.06	65	_0.014	0.339	1.74	
16	0.000	0.605	0.90	66	-0.042	0.305	- 1.74	
17	-0.055	0.588		67		0.112	-1.29	
10	-0,055	0.500	- 1.41	68	-0.024	0.413		
10	0.041	0,379	0.19	60	0.020	0,419	0.72	
19	-0,007	0.020	-0.18	70	0.010	0.408	-0.00	
20	0,005	0,393	0.14	10	0.004	0.420	0.04	
21	-0.011	0.018	~0.20	11	0.076	0.476	2,43	
22	0,004	0.580	0.10	12	-0.003	0.448	-0.09	
23	-0,077	0.552	-2.12	73	-0.016	0,499	0,50	
24	0,085	0,573	2.27	/4	0.029	0.517	0,86	
25	-0.025	0.613	-0.61	75	(),(990	0.554	2.46	
26	-0.055	0.589	1.41	76	-0.010	0.536	-0.28	
27	0,125	0.554	3.43	17	0.019	0.559	0.51	
28	0.024	0.553	0.65	78	0,001	0.498	-0.03	
	-0.045	0.552	-1.24		0.111	0.495		
30	-0.047	0,580	-1.23	80	0.016	0.597	0.41	
	-0.072	0,532	2,04	81	-0.057	0,596	-1.45	
32	0.032	0,446	1.09	82	0.018	0.617	0,45	
33	0.058	0,457	1.94	83	0,069	0.561	1.86	
.34	-0.013	0.492		84	0.041	0,563	1.19	
35	-0.031	0,470	-1.02	85	0,006	0,593	-0.15	
36	-0.032	0.423	-1.14	80	-0.070	0.594	1,79	
37	-0.015	0,454	-0,50	87	-0.047	0.567	1.27	
38	-0.039	0.415	-1.43	88	0,098	0.608	2.45	
39	0,069	0,435	2.40	89	0,052	0.618	1.27	
40	0.019	0.370	0,79	90	-0.044	0.582	- 1.15	
41	0.024	0,379	0.95	- 91	-0.026	0.557	-0.72	
42	-0,005	0,386		92	0.077	0.602	1.94	
4.3	0.032	0,393	1.24	93	0.032	0.622	0.78	
44	0,013	0.362	0,55	94	0,031	0.578	0.82	
45	0.027	0.359	1.14	95	0.018	0,585	0.46	
46	0.021	0.384	0.84	96	0,054	0,604	1.37	
47	-0,035	0,391	-1.36	97	0.088	0.569	- 2.34	
48	-0,036	0.348	1.57	98	0.022	0.632	0.53	
49	0,053	0,342	2.35		-0,015	0.632	0,37	
50	-0.026	0.391	1.02	100	0.047	0.620	1.16	

TABLE 1. Statistics computed for the annual period divided into 100 classes.

530

NOVEMBER 1963 G. W. BRIER, R. SHAPIRO AND N. J. MACDONALD



cedure has certain computational and statistical advantages, especially when high-speed electronic computers are used.

After each day was given an index number according to this scheme, all days were sorted into 100 classes. The first class included all days with an index number from 0.00000 to 0.00999. The second class included those days with an index number 0.01000 to 0.01999, etc. This procedure divided the year into 100 parts with the first 3 or 4 days of January falling in class 1, the 4th to 7th day of January falling in the second class, etc. The results of summarizing the original precipitation data in this way are shown in Fig. 1. The seasonal variation is pronounced and it was necessary to remove it before continuing with the remainder of the experiment. Since the frequency distribution of the original daily precipitation data was highly skewed, the data were normalized by means of the well-known cube-root transformation (see Howell, 1960). The seasonal trend was removed by means of a high pass filter which eliminated essentially all periods longer than about 60 days. The frequency response of the filter used is shown in Fig. 2.

The transformed and filtered series was then summarized in the same fashion as that shown in Fig. 1 according to the annual period of 365.2422 days divided into 100 classes. These results are shown in Fig. 3. The peaks and troughs appear in the same locations as in Fig. 1 but the seasonal trend has been removed and the mean of the entire series is now zero. To test whether a particular class mean departs significantly from zero, Student's / was computed for each of the 100 classes by

$t \mid \bar{y} : (S \mid n^{1}),$

where $\bar{y} = \sum y n$, $S^2 = \sum (y - \bar{y})^2 n - 1$, y is the trans formed and filtered precipitation data and u is the num ber of individual days falling into the class. Table 1 shows the results of these computations. It is noticed that 15 values of / exceed 2.00 in absolute value while one would expect only 5 of them to exceed this value by

chance. Thus, there is evidence of non-randomness in the data, but its source is not immediately apparent. It would be premature to conclude from this non-randomness that there are a number of calendaricities in the data. If this non-randomness is produced by calendaricities, similar analyses based on periods different from one year should show fewer significant departures.

Table 2 summarizes the results obtained from using a number of "control" periods varying from 361.2422 days up to 369.2422 days. Table 2 also shows the periods

531

various trial periods

464.5922

364.8922

364.9422

364.9922

365,0422 365 0922

365,142

365 1922 365.2422

365.2564

365.2590

365.2922 365.3422

365.3922

365.4422 365 1077

365.5923

365,6422 365,6922

365 7422

365.8422 365,8922 365,9422

365 0077 366.0423

366.0922

366.1422

366,192, 366.2422 366.8422 367.3650

367 1122

368.0422

368 6422

369.1322

369.2422

Cases for $t \ge 2.00$

14 15

88

11

9

16

16 9

16



FIG. 4. Number of cases for which Student's $t \ge 2.00$ for various periods near the annual period.

that were used and the number of cases for $t \ge 2.00$. The same data are shown in graphical form in Fig. 4. Although there is a minor maximum at a period of 365.2422 days, numerous other peaks are higher. It is clear that there is nothing particularly unique about the period of 365.2422 days, for many other periods having no particular physical significance produce equivalent results.

3. Discussion

532

These results give no support to the calendaricity hypothesis, at least as far as the United States precipitation is concerned. There is a non-randomness indicated in Table 1 and Table 2 which requires an explanation. An examination was made to see whether persistence in original precipitation data might be the cause of the nonrandomness. The 15 classes with $t \ge 2.00$ were chosen from Table 1 and the t values were recomputed, using only one observation out of each year in order to insure independence. The average numerical value of t was reduced about 30 per cent and the number of cases with $t \ge 2.00$ became less than 5. Thus there is no evidence of non-randomness in these data. Hannan (1962) has suggested that the association reported by Brier (1961a), in the paper which served as the impetus for this study, was due to the presence of a sharp peak in the precipitation spectra at a frequency near 18 cycles per year. The data used in the present study are suitable for an examination of this suggestion by Hannan and a report dealing with it is now in preparation. However, from the results presented here, it is clear that the United States precipitation data show no evidence in support of the calendaricity hypothesis.

REFERENCES

Bowen, E. G., 1956: A relation between meteor showers and the rainfall of November and December. *Tellus*, 8, 394–402.

 -, 1957: Relation between meteor showers and the rainfall of August, September and October. *Austral. J. Phys.*, 10, 402–417.

Brier, G. W., 1961a: A test of the reality of rainfall singularities. J. Meteor., 18, 242–246.
, 1961b: Reply. J. Meteor., 18, 705–707.

Hannan, E. J., 1962: Rainfall singularities. J. appl. Mcleor., 1, 426-429.

Howell, W. E., 1960: A comparison between two transformations used in normalizing meteorological data. J. Meteor., 17, 684. Shapiro, R., and N. J. Macdonald, 1961: A test of the reality of rainfall singularities. J. Meteor., 18, 704–705.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PAPERS

- No. 1. Examination of a Wind Profile Proposed by Swinbank, Morton L. Barad, March 1964 (REPRINT),
- No. 2. Wind and Temperature Variations During Development of a Low-Level Jet, Yutaka Izumi, Morton L. Barad, March 1964 (REPRINT),
- No. 3. Radiation Pattern of Surface Waves From Point Sources in a Multi-Layered Medium, N. A. Hashell, March 1964 (REPRINT).
- No. 1. Photoelectric Emission Phenomena in LiF and KC1 in the Extreme Ultraviolet, R. G. Neuburgh, February 1964 (REPRINT).
- No. 5. Equatorial Loci of the Earth's Magnetic Field and Cosmic Ray Parameters, E. J. Chernosky, J. M. Collins, M. P. Hagan, March 1964.
- No. 6. Helium and Argon Emission Continua and Their Use in Absorption Cross-Section Measurements in the Vacuum Ultraviolet, R. E. Huffman, Y. Tanaka, J. C. Larrabee, March 1964 (REPRINT).
- No. 7. Airflow and Structure of a Tornadic Storm, K. A. Browning, R. J. Donaldson, Jr., March 1961 (REPRINT),
- No. 8. Automatic Digital Radar Reflectivity Analysis of a Tornadic Storm, David Atlas, Keith A. Browning, Ralph J. Donaldson, Jr., Hugh J. Sweeney, March 1964 (REPRINT).
- No. 9. Indications of a Lunar Synodical Period in the Sunshine Observations for Boston, Massachusetts, and Columbia, Missouri, Iver A. Lund, March 1964.
- No. 10. A Search for Rainfall Calendaricities, Glenn W. Brier, Ralph Shapiro, Norman J. MacDonald, March 1964 (REPRINT).