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SUMMARY

This paper presents a review of various techniques employed in the simulation of a jet exhaust in ground test facilities. A brief summary of the characteristics of a jet exhausting into both quiescent and moving media is presented. The importance of duplicating the initial inclination angle of the jet, \( \delta_j \), when conducting simulation studies is pointed out. Various scaling parameters are enumerated. A requirement for the duplication of the jet pressure ratio, jet momentum, and the parameters \( \gamma_j \beta_j^2 \) and \( (E_T) \), is indicated. Experimental data are also presented which verify the importance of these parameters in simulation studies. One method of selecting the geometry and test conditions for a simulation model in order to account for a difference in \( \gamma_j \) between model and full scale and still duplicate the important similarity parameters, is presented.

SOMMAIRE

Ce papier présente une revue de diverses techniques employées dans la simulation de l'échappement du jet, en essais à terre. Un bref sommaire des caractéristiques de l'échappement du jet en milieu calme et agité est présenté. L'importance de doubler l'inclinaison initiale du jet \( \delta_j \) dans l'étude de la simulation est ponctuée. Différents paramètres d'échelle sont énumérés. Une condition pour la duplication du rapport de pression du jet, de son moment, et des paramètres \( \gamma_j \beta_j^2 \) et \( (E_T) \), est indiquée. Des résultats expérimentaux qui vérifient l'importance de ces paramètres en études simulées sont aussi présentés. Aussi est présentée une méthode qui permet de choisir la géométrie et les conditions de test pour une simulation afin de tenir compte d'une différence dans \( \gamma_j \) entre modèle et pleine échelle, tout en cependant doublant les paramètres similaires importants.
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**NOTATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>speed of sound</td>
<td>L/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>area</td>
<td>L^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>specific heat</td>
<td>L^2/T^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>coefficient</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>diameter</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>thrust or force</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>shock reflection parameter</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>length</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>mass</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mach number</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>static pressure</td>
<td>P/L^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>dynamic pressure</td>
<td>P/L^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>gas constant or radius</td>
<td>L^2/C^2T or L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>time</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>temperature</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>velocity in the x direction</td>
<td>L/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>velocity</td>
<td>L/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>sound speed</td>
<td>cL^2/T^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x, r</td>
<td>coordinates in the axial and radial directions</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>((M^2 - 1)^{1/2})</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ</td>
<td>Rayleigh parameter</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ</td>
<td>ratio of specific heats</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ</td>
<td>flow inclination angle</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Dimensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta$</td>
<td>geometric angle</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>Mach angle</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu$</td>
<td>turning angle from $M = 1$ to $M &gt; 1$</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>density</td>
<td>$m/ft^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>experimental constant</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subscripts</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>jet boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>boat-tail or base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>full scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>thrust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$j$</td>
<td>conditions at the jet nozzle exit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_d$</td>
<td>Mach disc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>nozzle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>at constant pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s$</td>
<td>intercepting shock boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>total or stagnation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v$</td>
<td>at constant volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w$</td>
<td>free stream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z$</td>
<td>conditions before expansion or compression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z_2$</td>
<td>conditions after expansion or compression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z_0$</td>
<td>conditions at the nozzle throat</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
JET SIMULATION IN GROUND TEST FACILITIES

M. Pindzola

I. INTRODUCTION

Shortly after the inception of the use of jet propulsion for air vehicles, it was observed that significant changes were realized between the jet-on and jet-off cases in the aerodynamic and thermodynamic characteristics of the vehicle. Early summaries of these effects are presented in References 1 and 2 for aircraft and missile configurations respectively. Since these summaries were published, many additional investigations have been conducted in order to more accurately define the jet interactions. Some of the more recent studies are listed as References 3 to 10 in this Report. Each of these references in turn lists the most recent work in the respective fields of study.

The purpose of this Report is to summarize the various techniques which are used to obtain the jet-on characteristics. Results of such investigations will be quoted only to show the merit of the techniques employed.

The discussion will be limited primarily to an axisymmetric, under-expanded jet. A short review of the characteristics of such a jet are presented in Section II. This review is separated into the categories of a jet exhausting into a medium at rest and into a moving stream. It should be realized that even with a vehicle in motion, portions of the jet exhaust for certain base configurations can be typified as though exhausting into a medium at rest.

In Section III, some of the scaling laws of particular concern to the subject matter are presented. No discussion of the more usual fluid dynamic and thermodynamic similarity parameters such as the Reynolds and Prandtl numbers is presented.

Methods of jet simulation used in ground test facilities are next presented in Section IV followed by a presentation of typical test results using these techniques in Section V. The more important aspects of these results are discussed in Section VI.

The bibliography at the conclusion of the Report is categorized according to the subject matter of the various sections of the Report.

II. JET FLOW CHARACTERISTICS

The study of the characteristics of the flow of a jet of gas into a surrounding medium has received much attention since the work of St. Venant and Wentzel in 1839. A comprehensive summary of these studies up to 1954 is given by Pal in Reference 11. In order to keep the references in this Report within bounds, those listed in Pal's publication will not be repeated here.

*ARO, Inc., USAF Arnold Engineering Development Center, Tullahoma, Tennessee, U.S.A.
The initial structure of an axisymmetric jet consists of a core surrounded by an annular mixing region. Farther downstream, the entire jet is a mixing region. Theories to predict this jet structure have been developed under the assumption of either inviscid or viscous considerations.

For jets in which the ratio of the pressure at the exit of the jet nozzle to the ambient pressure of the surrounding medium is low, inviscid theories based on the linearized equations of fluid flow (Refs. 12 to 19) are used to describe the jet characteristics. Since these derivations are not applicable at high exit to ambient pressure ratios, resort is made to the method of characteristics (Refs. 20 to 23) or to approximate solutions based on various assumptions (Refs. 24 to 29).

Although the inviscid theories have been fairly successful in predicting the jet structure immediately downstream of the jet exit, resort must be made to viscous theories (Refs. 30 to 37) to obtain jet characteristics further downstream. In these cases, the fluid flow equations based on the boundary layer approximations are used assuming either laminar or turbulent mixing.

Various experimental studies (Refs. 38 to 45) have also been made to determine the structure of jets and to serve as a check on the validity of the theoretical analyses. From both the analytical and experimental studies of gas jets, the following information is derived.

1. JETS EXHAUSTING INTO A MEDIUM AT REST

A sketch of the generalized flow pattern of an under-expanded (over-pressured) axisymmetric jet exhausting into a medium at rest is shown below.

As the jet emerges from the nozzle, it expands to the pressure of the surrounding medium at the jet boundary. The condition of constant pressure at the boundary causes the curvature of the boundary to tend back toward the axis of the flow. The jet shock is formed by the confluence of the compression waves required to turn the flow at the boundary. For a slightly under-expanded jet, the jet shocks next to form a shock...
diamond. However, as the nozzle pressure ratio is increased, a Mach reflection occurs in the jet forming a Mach or shock disc. A reflection of the jet shock occurs in either case, and the pattern is repeated at the intersection of the reflected shock and the jet boundary.

The study of the jet structure thus involves the prediction of the above pattern as influenced by the various variables such as the nozzle pressure ratio, jet Mach number, ratio of specific heats of the jet, and so forth. Near the exit of the nozzle, the effects of viscosity are small and inviscid theories describe the flow reasonably well. However, further downstream from the exit the mixing region between the jet and free stream predominates and viscous theories are required.

1.1 Initial Inclination of the Jet Boundary

In exhausting from the pressure at the exit of the nozzle, \( p_j \), to a lower ambient pressure, \( p_a \), the jet will initially undergo a two-dimensional expansion at the nozzle lip. This expansion is governed by the Prandtl-Meyer equations. In expanding from a Mach number of 1.0 to a higher Mach number, \( M \), the relationship between the turning angle \( \nu \) and \( M \) is given by

\[
\nu = \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} \arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{\gamma - 1}}{\sqrt{\gamma + 1}} \right) - \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} \arctan \beta,
\]

where \( \beta \) is the angle required for expansion from some initial supersonic Mach number, \( M_1 \), to some higher Mach number, \( M_2 \), is simply the difference in the values of \( \nu \) at the two Mach numbers, i.e.,

\[
\Delta \nu = \nu_2 - \nu_1.
\]

The ratio of the final to initial static pressures is given by

\[
\frac{p_2}{p_1} = \left( \frac{2 + (\gamma - 1) M_1^2}{2 + (\gamma - 1) M_2^2} \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1}}.
\]

For a jet exhausting into a medium at rest, the jet exit conditions (denoted by the subscript \( j \)) become the conditions before the expansion (subscript 1) and the free-stream pressure \( p_a \) is the pressure after the expansion, \( p_2 \). For values of \( \gamma \) equal to 1.667, 1.38, 1.25 and 1.133, an explicit relationship for \( \Delta \nu \) in terms of \( \beta_1 \) and \( p_j/p_a \) can be determined. For these values of \( \gamma \), Equations (II-1) and (II-3) reduce to the following:

For \( \gamma_1 = 5/3 = 1.667 \),

\[
\tan \nu = \frac{\beta^2}{4 + 3\beta^2},
\]

\[
\beta_1^2 = \left( \frac{p_1}{p_j} \right)^{0.4} \left( \beta_2^2 + 4 \right) - 4.
\]
In terms of \( \beta_2 \) and \( \gamma_j \), Equations (II-4) and (II-5) can be combined to give

\[
\tan \Delta \nu = \left( \frac{p_1}{p_\infty} \right)^{0.8} \left( \frac{\beta_2^2 + 4}{\gamma - 4} \right)^{2/2} \frac{\left( 2 \beta_2^2 + 4 \right) \left( 3 \beta_2^2 + 4 \right) - 3 \left( \frac{p_1}{p_\infty} \right)^{0.8} \left( 3 \beta_2^2 + 4 \right) \beta_2^2 + 6 \beta_2^4}{\left( \frac{p_1}{p_\infty} \right)^{0.8} \left( \beta_2^2 + 4 \right)^{2/2} \left( 3 \beta_2^2 + 4 \right) + 3 \left( \frac{p_1}{p_\infty} \right)^{0.8} \left( \beta_2^2 + 4 \right) \left( 3 \beta_2^2 + 4 \right) - 8 \left( 3 \beta_2^2 + 4 \right)}
\]

(II-6)

**For** \( \gamma_j = \frac{29}{21} = 1.38 \)

\[
\tan \Delta \nu = \frac{(\beta^2 - 1.25) (6.25 + \beta^2)^{0.5} + 3.125 \beta + 6 \beta^2}{(6.25 + 4 \beta^2) (6.25 + \beta^2)^{0.5} + 11.25 \beta^2 + 15.625 - \beta^4}
\]

(II-7)

\[
\beta_2^2 = \left( \frac{p_1}{p_\infty} \right)^{0.274} \left( \beta_2^2 + 6.25 \right) - 6.25.
\]

(II-8)

**For** \( \gamma_j = 5/4 = 1.25 \)

\[
\tan \Delta \nu = \frac{8 \beta^2}{27 + 18 \beta^2 - \beta^4}
\]

(II-9)

\[
\beta_2^2 = \left( \frac{p_1}{p_\infty} \right)^{0.2} \left( \beta_2^2 + 9 \right) - 9.
\]

(II-10)

**For** \( \gamma_j = 17/15 = 1.133 \)

\[
\tan \Delta \nu = \frac{\beta^2 (80 - \beta^2)}{256 + 16 \beta^2 - 15 \beta^4}
\]

(II-11)

\[
\beta_2^2 = \left( \frac{p_1}{p_\infty} \right)^{0.117} \left( \beta_2^2 + 16 \right) - 16.
\]

(II-12)

Curves showing the effects of jet Mach number, \( \gamma_j \), and pressure ratio, \( p_j/p_\infty \), on the turning angle of the jet flow, \( \Delta \nu \), for the above values of \( \gamma_j \) are presented in Figures 1 and 2.

The limiting values of \( \Delta \nu \) which represent the turning angles when exhausting into a vacuum are shown in Figure 3. These values of \( \Delta \nu \) are approached when considering problems associated with the exploration of space (see Ref. 45 for example).

In addition to the parameters mentioned above (i.e., \( p_j/p_\infty \), \( \gamma_j \), and \( \nu_j \)), the initial inclination angle of the jet, \( \delta_2 \), also depends on the nozzle exit angle and is given by:
Thus a fourth parameter is available and often used to obtain matched conditions of the initial inclination angle of a jet.

For small values of the angle, $\Delta \nu$, the ratio of the free stream static pressure and the jet exit static pressure can be expressed by the following series:

\[
\frac{p_m}{p_j} = 1 - \frac{\gamma_j M_j^2}{B_j} (\Delta \nu) + \frac{\gamma_j M_j^2}{B_j^2} \left( \frac{\gamma_j + 1}{\gamma_j} \right) M_j^4 - \frac{4 \beta_j^2}{B_j^2} (\Delta \nu)^2
\]

\[
- \frac{\gamma_j M_j^2}{2 B_j^2} \left( \frac{\gamma_j + 1}{\gamma_j} \right) M_j^6 - \frac{5 + 7 \gamma_j}{6} M_j^8 + \frac{5}{3} (\gamma_j + 1) M_j^{10} - 2 \frac{M_j^{12}}{3} (\Delta \nu)^2 + \ldots \ldots \quad (\text{II-14})
\]

The pressure ratio range for which Equation (II-14) is applicable can be deduced from the curves of Figure 4. The curves labeled 1st, 2nd and 3rd are obtained by retaining the corresponding terms of the equation.

1.2 Jet Boundary Shapes

The shape of the jet boundary for the first few diameters downstream of the nozzle exit can be assumed to be affected only slightly by viscous effects and therefore can be determined by inviscid solutions. The method of characteristics is thus generally used as an ‘exact’ solution for the jet boundary and various approximate techniques are employed to duplicate the characteristic solution.

Approximately 3000 boundaries determined by the method of characteristics over the range of the parameters used in the previous discussion of initial angles are presented in Reference 20. A few of these are reproduced in Figures 5, 6 and 7 in order to show the effects of the parameters.

It was shown in Reference 20 that a circular arc of constant radius, $R_b$, provides an adequate approximation to the jet boundary up to the point of maximum diameter provided this point can be determined in advance. However, no suitable method for accurately predicting the maximum jet diameter and its location has as yet been determined.

The results of a spreading study of an air jet at high altitudes reported in Reference 27 indicate that an approximate location of the jet boundary can be obtained by the following technique. With reference to the sketch overleaf, after determining the initial inclination angle of the jet from Equation (II-13), a line is constructed perpendicular to this tangent to the jet boundary. The boundary radius, $R_b$, for $\gamma_j = 1.4$ is determined from the following equation:

\[
\frac{R_b}{r_j} = 32.4 \frac{a_n}{u_j} = \frac{15.7}{u_j} \sqrt{5 + \frac{M_j^2}{u_j^2}} . \quad (\text{II-15})
\]
This radius is located along the perpendicular and the jet boundary is drawn as a circular arc. Assuming that the radius ratio is proportional to \( \frac{u_i}{u_j} \) for other than 1.4, \( R_b \) for other \( \gamma_j \) can be obtained by using the \( \gamma_j = 1.4 \) radius ratio as a reference value at a particular \( u_j \) and substituting into the following equation (see Eqn. (19) in Ref. 27):

\[
\frac{R_b}{r_j} = \left( \frac{\delta_i}{\delta_j} \right) \frac{(\gamma_j + 1)(5 + M_j^2)}{\sqrt{12 + 6(\gamma_j - 1)M_j^2}}.
\]

Other approximate techniques for calculating the jet boundary exhausting into a medium at rest are summarized in Reference 28.

1.3 Intersecting Shock Boundary

The jet boundary calculations in Reference 20 by the method of characteristics also defined the jet or intersecting shock boundary within the jet boundary. This boundary (see previous sketch) is initially tangential to the final Mach line of the expansion fan and is then formed by the reflection of the expansion fan waves from the jet boundary.

In Reference 20 a circular arc approximation for the intersecting shock (see sketch) is given with the radius of curvature given by

\[
R_s = R_b \cos \mu
\]
where \[ \mu = \arcsin \frac{1}{M_x} \].

Thus, again if a method of determining \( R_b \) is available, an approximation to the jet shock boundary can be obtained readily.

1.4 Primary Wavelength of the Jet

Many investigators have attempted to derive an analytical expression for the primary wavelength of a jet, \( L_j \), that is, the length of the first periodic jet structure.

For values of \( p_1/p_m < 2 \) the equation given by Pack\(^{21}\) which is based on linear theory applies satisfactorily, i.e.,

\[
L_j = \frac{2.695}{d_j} \left( \frac{p_1}{p_m} \right)^{0.237} - 1.205 \tag{II-18}
\]

For higher pressure ratios, purely analytical determinations of the wavelength have been unsuccessful. In Reference 20 an empirically determined equation for the primary wavelength is given by

\[
L_j = \frac{1.52 (p_1/p_m)^{0.477}}{d_j} + 1.55 \left[ \left( \frac{2\beta_j^2}{\beta_j - 1} \right)^{1/2} - 1 \right] \tag{II-19}
\]

This equation was derived from a large amount of experimental data obtained with high pressure air jets expanding into still air at atmospheric pressure or lower with \( p_1/p_0 > 2 \). It was shown in Reference 20 that the jet nozzle exit angle, \( \beta_m \), had little effect on the primary wavelength.

1.5 Distance to the First Mach Disc

A method for calculating the distance from the shock exit to the first Mach disc, \( L_{md} \), has been given in Reference 25. The assumption is made that the static pressure immediately downstream of the Mach disc or normal shock is equal to the ambient pressure of the surrounding, \( p_0 \). Thus if the centerline Mach number and pressure distribution, which are identical up to the shock for any fixed nozzle, are known, the shock position can be computed.

1.6 Jet Mixing Region

A qualitative picture of the mixing regions of the jet exhaust can be obtained by referring to the sketch. Immediately downstream of the nozzle, an annular mixing region, I, surrounds a core of potential flow. Region III consists of an entirely turbulent mixing zone in which the velocity profiles across the jet are similar. Region II in turn represents a transition zone between the conditions at I and III.
A mean velocity distribution of the flow in the mixing zone of Region III can be obtained by using an error function as the velocity profile. This is given by

$$\frac{u}{u_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \text{erf} \left( \frac{x}{x_j} \right) \right]$$

(II-20)

where $u_j$ = the jet free stream velocity

$\sigma$ = spreading rate parameter

$$\text{erf} \left( \frac{x}{x_j} \right) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{x/x_j} e^{-z^2} dz.$$ 

To account for the compressibility of the jet fluid, Tripp has suggested the following relationship for the spreading rate parameter:

$$\sigma = 12 + 2.768 M_j$$

(II-21)

The value of $\sigma = 12$ has been established for the case of incompressible flow. An evaluation of the spreading rate parameter is presented in Reference 30 (see Fig. 8) in which Tripp's relationship is shown to underestimate the value of $\sigma$ above $M_j = 1.8$ and overestimate the value of the parameter below this Mach number.

### 1.7 Jet Noise

With the increased use of jet aircraft, much more attention is being focused on the problem of jet noise (see Refs. 9 and 10). The total radiated acoustic power of a subsonic jet has been shown to correlate with the Lighthill parameter, that is

$$W \sim \frac{\rho A_j u_j^3}{T_0^2}.$$ 

(II-22)

An example of such correlation is presented in Figure 9. These results showing the sound power produced by a subsonic jet exhausting into a medium at rest at various jet temperatures were obtained from Reference 41.
For a supersonic jet, attempts have been made to correlate the sound power by adding a suitable factor to the Lighthill parameter to account for the noise generated in the supersonic portion of the jet. These correlations are still not very satisfactory.

2. JETS EXHAUSTING INTO A MOVING STREAM

The generalized flow pattern of an under-expanded axisymmetric jet with an exit nozzle diameter equal to the base diameter exhausting into a stream moving faster than the speed of sound \( \frac{V}{a} > 1 \) is shown in the sketch below.

On emerging from the nozzle, the expanding jet sets up a disturbance in the external flow producing an exit shock. The pressure at the jet boundary just aft of the nozzle lip, \( p_2 \), is a balance between the external pressure downstream of the shock wave caused by the deflection angle, \( \delta_j \), and the jet pressure downstream of the expansion fan through the angle, \( \Delta u \). The pressure along the jet boundary, \( p_b \), also varies in this case because of the changing slope of the boundary and the three-dimensional flow effects. The jet shock remains in the form of a shock diamond at pressure ratios higher than for the case of the ambient medium because of the increase in pressure at the jet boundary. Depending on the conditions in the two streams the jet shock is partially reflected at the boundary. The periodic structure of the jet is much less defined and in most cases not present at all.
As a first approximation, the flow pattern in the vicinity of a blunt-based body in a moving stream can be considered as a combination of a sharp base jet exhausting into a medium at rest and a moving stream. Up to a streamline separating the moving stream from the quiescent medium in the base region, the flow pattern is similar to that of a jet exhausting into the medium at rest. Beyond this streamline the external flow experiences an exit shock resulting in a flow pattern as described above. The pressure at the base of the model is of course dependent upon the jet and free-stream conditions and should be determined by the methods outlined in Reference 5 when an accurate representation of the flow pattern is desired.

2.1 Initial Inclination of the Jet Boundary

Conditions at the jet boundary immediately downstream of the nozzle exit are depicted in the following sketch:

Conditions in the jet or expansive flow are still governed by Equations (II-1), (II-2) and (II-3). For the external or compressive flow, conditions are governed by the following equation:

\[
\tan \delta_1 = \frac{\left( \frac{\gamma_o}{\gamma_m} \right)^2 - 2 \left( \frac{\gamma_o}{\gamma_m} \right)^{\gamma_o/\gamma_m} \left( \frac{\gamma_o - 1}{\gamma_o + 1} \right) \left( \frac{\gamma_o}{\gamma_m} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{p_2}{p_m} \right)^{1/2}}{2 \left( \frac{\gamma_o}{\gamma_m} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\gamma_o}{\gamma_m} \right) \left( \frac{\gamma_o - 1}{\gamma_o + 1} \right) \left( \frac{\gamma_o}{\gamma_m} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{p_2}{p_m} \right)^{1/2}}
\]

which for \( \gamma_o = 7/5 = 1.4 \) reduces to

\[
\tan \delta_1 = \frac{\left( \frac{\gamma_o}{\gamma_m} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\gamma_o}{\gamma_m} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{p_2}{p_m} \right)^{1/2}}{2 \left( \frac{\gamma_o}{\gamma_m} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\gamma_o}{\gamma_m} \right) \left( \frac{\gamma_o - 1}{\gamma_o + 1} \right) \left( \frac{\gamma_o}{\gamma_m} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{p_2}{p_m} \right)^{1/2}}
\]

After \( \delta_0 = \delta_0 = 0 \) then \( \Delta \nu \) and \( \delta_1 \) must be equal and the conditions existing at the nozzle exit are obtained by equating, for example, Equations (II-6) and (II-24). Such solutions have been obtained for various jet conditions exhausting into a stream at Mach numbers greater than 1.0 with \( \gamma_o = 1.4 \) and \( \delta_0 = \delta_0 = 0 \). The results
showing the effects of the various jet and free stream conditions are shown in Figures 10, 11 and 12.

For small values of the angle, \( \delta_j \), the ratio of the pressures across the shock in the external flow is given by

\[
\frac{p_2}{p_0} = 1 + \frac{\gamma \rho_0}{\rho_0} \delta_j
\]

or

\[
\delta_j = \frac{p_2 - p_0}{p_0} \frac{\rho_0}{\gamma \rho_0^2}
\]

To the first order (see Eqn.(II-14)), conditions in the expansive flow are related to \( \Delta p \) by the expression

\[
\Delta p = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{p_1} \frac{\rho_0}{\gamma \rho_0^2}
\]

Equating the expressions for \( \delta_j \) and \( \Delta p \) gives the following relationship for the conditions existing at the nozzle exit.

\[
\frac{p_1 - p_2}{p_2 - p_0} = \frac{p_1 \rho_0 \gamma \rho_0^2 \omega^2}{p_2 \rho_0 \gamma \rho_0^2}
\]

2.2 Jet Boundary Shapes

As in the case of a jet expanding into a quiescent medium, the method of characteristics can be used to determine the boundaries for a jet exhausting into a stream moving with \( V_b > 1 \). For a jet expanding into a moving stream, conditions at the boundary cannot be considered under a constant pressure but must be determined by the interaction of the jet and external stream. If the stream flow is hypersonic, the Newtonian approximation can be used to determine the jet boundary pressure. This condition is represented by

\[
\frac{p_b}{p_0} = \gamma \rho_0^2 \sin^2 \delta_j + 1
\]

The results of calculations of jet boundaries using the method of characteristics for the jet flow and the above boundary conditions are presented in Reference 21. Representative boundaries obtained from this report are reproduced in Figure 13.

An approximate technique employing this same boundary condition is presented in Reference 24. In this method, one-dimensional flow theory in conjunction with Newtonian theory is used to define the jet structure. A comparative boundary with that obtained by the method of characteristics is shown as the dashed curve in Figure 13.

The jet boundaries calculated by each of the above methods represent the dividing streamlines between the jet and the external stream. Curvatures with experimental boundaries, which are wide ranging regions are shown by the schlieren photograph in Figure 14 obtained from Reference 29, is therefore rather deficient. In order to obtain a pure geometric extension, the jet shock boundary can be approximated from the jet boundary by a method contained in Reference 28. The method consists of determining the local shock line at each calculated boundary point for the jet flow. The intersection of these shock lines specifies the shock location. The results of such
an analysis as obtained from Reference 29 are shown in Figure 15. The flow field is represented by the photograph and jet boundary shown in Figure 14. As is apparent from the plot, a close approximation between the calculated and experimental jet shock is obtained.

2.3 Jet Mixing Region

For the case of a jet exhausting into a moving stream, the velocity profile in the mixing zone corresponding to Equation (12-19) can be approximated by

\[ u = \frac{u_j + u_m}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{u_j - u_m}{u_j + u_m} \text{erf}\left( \frac{r - r_j}{R} \right) \right] \]  

(II-30)

where \( u_j \) = the jet free stream velocity
\( u_m \) = free stream velocity of the moving stream.

The value of \( \sigma \) suggested by Gold is given by

\[ \sigma = \frac{12}{1 + 2.738 u_j} \]  

(II-31)

where \( u_j > u_m \).

2.4 Jet Shock Reflection

On the basis of linearized theory, the following parameter was derived in Reference 17 to indicate the strength of the transmitted shock (see sketch on p.9):

\[ k = \frac{\gamma_j P_j H_j^2 - P_m H_m^2}{\gamma_m P_m H_m^2} \]  

(II-32)

When \( k = 1 \), the jet shock is not reflected at the jet boundary and no periodic behavior of the jet is noticeable. When the ratio increases or decreases from unity a reflected wave of increasing magnitude occurs. For \( k > 1 \), the boundary exhibits a periodic behavior.

A similar parameter is derived in Reference 19 and is discussed in Reference 20 as the Karzur parameter given by

\[ \lambda = \frac{1}{\gamma} \sinh \cos \beta = \frac{\beta}{\gamma H^{\frac{3}{2}}} \]  

(II-33)

The difference in the value of this parameter between the jet and free stream flows determines the character of the jet shock reflection. If \( \lambda_j \) is larger than \( \lambda_m \) (where the values of the parameter are the local values at the interface) a compression wave reflects as a compression wave, while if \( \lambda_m \) is larger than \( \lambda_j \) a compression wave reflects as an expansion wave.
III. SCALING PARAMETERS

In ground test facilities, it is many times necessary or more convenient to perform jet tests with test fluids of different composition and with test models of different size from those of the actual vehicle. Thus, it becomes necessary to determine scaling parameters for which the results obtained with the test model are similar to those of the full scale vehicle.

The equations governing the behavior of the interacting jet and free stream flows are at best very approximate. Thus, the use of these equations in deriving similarity parameters is limited. A dimensional analysis of all of the variables involved (see Ref. 2) leads to a host of parameters of which many are relatively unimportant. In what follows, therefore, only those scaling parameters, or more accurately equivalence relationships, are discussed which have been shown or intuitively appear to be important in the simulation of jet exhausts.

In any given problem, only certain scaling parameters are important. For example, when determining the effects of a jet exhaust on base pressure, the parameters governing the shape of the initial portion of the jet are more important than those governing the jet shape far downstream. Thus, an evaluation must be made of the objectives of each specific test in order to determine the extent of simulation required.

3. JET BOUNDARY SIMULATION

In Reference 20 it was shown that, in order to obtain jet boundary simulation, the initial inclination was the most important property that must be duplicated. Simulation of the initial portion of the jet boundary would be important in studies to determine base pressure, base heating, or the effects of the exit shock on adjacent surfaces or jets.

For small turning angles, similarity parameters which provide the same flow turning angle for the model and full scale tests can be obtained starting with Equation (11-14). If a free choice of any of the three variables is allowed, then the first order terms of Equation (11-14) indicates that the following relationship between the model and full scale tests must be satisfied to provide identical flow turning angles,  \( \alpha \):

\[
\left( 1 - \frac{p_a}{p_{jy}} \right)_a \approx \left( 1 - \frac{p_a}{p_{jy}} \right)_f.
\]  

(III-1)

If it is assumed as in Reference 46 that the jet Mach number of the model, \( M_{j2} \), is the same as the jet Mach number of the full scale vehicle, \( M_{jf} \), then the following relationship is obtained:

\[
\left( 1 - \frac{p_a}{p_{jy}} \right)_a = \left( 1 - \frac{p_a}{p_{jy}} \right)_f.
\]  

(III-2)
If instead, it is assumed that the ratio of the static pressure at the nozzle exit to the free stream static pressure is the same for the model and full scale tests, the similarity parameter becomes

\[
\left[ \frac{\gamma_j \beta_j}{\beta_j} \right]_m = \left[ \frac{\gamma_j \beta_j}{\beta_j} \right]_f .
\]  

(III-3)

In Reference 47, this same similarity parameter was obtained by starting with the requirement that the static pressure change caused by a change in flow direction in the jet and external flow must be the same for the model and full scale tests, that is

\[
\left[ \frac{\Delta p / \rho}{\delta_j} \right]_m = \left[ \frac{\Delta p / \rho}{\delta_j} \right]_f .
\]  

(III-4)

It was further postulated that since \( \gamma_j \) is normally the same for model and full scale tests, \( \gamma_j \) should also be duplicated. Since \( \gamma_j \) is, however, usually different between model and full scale, \( \beta_j \) of the model would be adjusted according to Equation (III-3) to satisfy the above requirements, that is

\[
\beta_j^m = \frac{\gamma_j \beta_j}{\gamma_j \beta_j} \beta_j^f .
\]  

(III-5)

The extent to which the constant jet Mach number and the constant jet pressure ratio similarity parameters duplicate the initial inclination angle of the jet can be seen in Figures 16 and 17 respectively. The values of the jet angle for the constant jet Mach number parameter are shown for both a low (3.72 < \( p_j / \rho_0 \) < 5.0) and high (26.4 < \( p_j / \rho_0 \) < 53.0) jet pressure ratio. As is readily apparent, the similarity parameter based on a constant jet pressure ratio gives more nearly equal values of the jet angle than that using a constant jet Mach number.

For the case of a jet exhausting into a moving stream, a similarity parameter can be obtained by starting with Equation (II-25). If the ratios of the static pressures for the model and full scale tests are duplicated the following similarity parameter is obtained:

\[
\left[ \frac{\beta_j \gamma_j \beta_j}{\beta_j \gamma_j \beta_j} \right]_m = \left[ \frac{\beta_j \gamma_j \beta_j}{\beta_j \gamma_j \beta_j} \right]_f .
\]  

(III-6)

Furthermore, if the free stream conditions \( \gamma_j \) and \( \beta_j \) for the model tests are identical to those in free flight (which is usually the case), the similarity parameter reduces to that given by Equation (III-3) for a jet exhausting into a quiescent medium.

4. JET SHOCK SIMULATION

In some cases it is necessary to duplicate the structure and strength of the shock and expansion waves in the flow field beyond the intersection of the jet shock with
the jet boundary. Such simulation requires the duplication of the parameter given in
Equation (11-32). The simulation parameter thus obtained is given by:

\[
\frac{\left[ \frac{\gamma \mu^2}{\rho} \right]_b}{\left[ \frac{\gamma \mu^2}{\rho} \right]_e} = \left[ \frac{\gamma \mu^2}{\rho} \right]_f
\]

(III-7)

Using the assumption that the free stream conditions for \( \gamma \) and \( \mu \) for the model
tests are identical to those in flight, the parameter reduces to

\[
\left[ \frac{\gamma \mu^2}{\rho} \right]_b = \left[ \frac{\gamma \mu^2}{\rho} \right]_f
\]

(III-8)

If the assumption is also made that the model and full scale static pressure ratio is
matched, this parameter also reduces to that given by Equation (III-9) or that given
by the Kawamura parameter, Equation (II-33).

5. SIMULATION OF JET FLOW PARAMETERS

In this section relationships are defined which govern the simulation of the various
jet flow parameters (see Ref.43). The expressions are derived by relating the jet
flow parameters to similar free stream parameters.

5.1 Jet Mass Flow

The simulation parameter for the mass flow characteristics is obtained by relating
the jet mass flow to a representative free stream mass flow. In equation form,

\[
\frac{(p\mu A)_j}{(p\mu A)_e} = \frac{p_j \gamma_j \mu_j^2 (RT)_j}{p_e \gamma_e \mu_e^2 (RT)_e}
\]

(III-9)

The resulting similarity parameter is therefore

\[
\left[ \frac{\gamma \mu^2}{\rho} \right]_b = \left[ \frac{\gamma \mu^2}{\rho} \right]_f
\]

(III-10)

In addition to the parameters involved in the simulation of the jet boundary and jet
shock, a requirement that \((RT)_j\) of the model be related to that of the full scale
engine is obtained.

5.2 Jet Kinetic Energy

Duplication of the kinetic energy per unit mass is obtained by simulation of the
velocity ratio of the jet and free streams. In equation form,

\[
\frac{u^2_j}{u^2_e} = \frac{\gamma_j \mu_j^2 (RT)_j}{\gamma_e \mu_e^2 (RT)_e}
\]

(III-11)
The resulting similarity parameter becomes

\[
\frac{\gamma_j \frac{\rho_j^2 (RT)_j}{\gamma_j \rho_j^2 (RT)_m}}{\frac{\rho_j^2 (RT)_j}{\gamma_j \rho_j^2 (RT)_m}} = \frac{\gamma_j \frac{\rho_j^2 (RT)_j}{\gamma_j \rho_j^2 (RT)_m}}{\frac{\rho_j^2 (RT)_j}{\gamma_j \rho_j^2 (RT)_m}} \quad (\text{III-12})
\]

For matched conditions of the free stream parameters, the parameter reduces to

\[
\left( \frac{\gamma_j \frac{\rho_j^2 (RT)_j}{\gamma_j \rho_j^2 (RT)_m}}{\frac{\rho_j^2 (RT)_j}{\gamma_j \rho_j^2 (RT)_m}} \right)_s = \left( \frac{\gamma_j \frac{\rho_j^2 (RT)_j}{\gamma_j \rho_j^2 (RT)_m}}{\frac{\rho_j^2 (RT)_j}{\gamma_j \rho_j^2 (RT)_m}} \right)_{sf} \quad (\text{III-13})
\]

**5.3 Jet Internal Energy**

Duplication of the internal energy per unit mass is obtained by simulating the following relationship:

\[
\frac{(C_v, T)_j}{(C_v, T)_m} = \frac{(\gamma_j - 1)(RT)_j}{(\gamma_j - 1)(RT)_m}
\]

which gives the following simulation parameter:

\[
\left( \frac{(\gamma_j - 1)(RT)_j}{(\gamma_j - 1)(RT)_m} \right)_s = \left( \frac{(\gamma_j - 1)(RT)_j}{(\gamma_j - 1)(RT)_m} \right)_{sf}
\]

**5.4 Jet Enthalpy**

Duplication of enthalpy per unit mass is obtained by simulating the following relationship:

\[
\frac{(C_p, T)_j}{(C_p, T)_m} = \frac{(\gamma_j - 1)(\gamma_j)(RT)_j}{(\gamma_j - 1)(\gamma_j)(RT)_m}
\]

which gives the following simulation parameter:

\[
\left( \frac{(\gamma_j - 1)(\gamma_j)(RT)_j}{(\gamma_j - 1)(\gamma_j)(RT)_m} \right)_s = \left( \frac{(\gamma_j - 1)(\gamma_j)(RT)_j}{(\gamma_j - 1)(\gamma_j)(RT)_m} \right)_{sf}
\]

**5.5 Jet Momentum**

The simulation parameter for the jet momentum is obtained from the relationship:

\[
\frac{(p_j u_j^3 A_j)}{(p_0 u_0^3 A_0)} = \frac{p_j \gamma_j u_j^3 A_j}{p_0 \gamma_0 u_0^3 A_0}
\]

giving the similarity parameter:

\[
\left( \frac{p_j \gamma_j u_j^3 A_j}{p_0 \gamma_0 u_0^3 A_0} \right)_s = \left( \frac{p_j \gamma_j u_j^3 A_j}{p_0 \gamma_0 u_0^3 A_0} \right)_{sf}
\]

\[
\left( \frac{\gamma_j \frac{\rho_j^2 (RT)_j}{\gamma_j \rho_j^2 (RT)_m}}{\frac{\rho_j^2 (RT)_j}{\gamma_j \rho_j^2 (RT)_m}} \right)_s = \left( \frac{\gamma_j \frac{\rho_j^2 (RT)_j}{\gamma_j \rho_j^2 (RT)_m}}{\frac{\rho_j^2 (RT)_j}{\gamma_j \rho_j^2 (RT)_m}} \right)_{sf}
\]

\[
\left( \frac{(\gamma_j - 1)(RT)_j}{(\gamma_j - 1)(RT)_m} \right)_s = \left( \frac{(\gamma_j - 1)(RT)_j}{(\gamma_j - 1)(RT)_m} \right)_{sf}
\]

\[
\left( \frac{(\gamma_j - 1)(\gamma_j)(RT)_j}{(\gamma_j - 1)(\gamma_j)(RT)_m} \right)_s = \left( \frac{(\gamma_j - 1)(\gamma_j)(RT)_j}{(\gamma_j - 1)(\gamma_j)(RT)_m} \right)_{sf}
\]

\[
\left( \frac{(p_j u_j^3 A_j)}{(p_0 u_0^3 A_0)} \right)_s = \left( \frac{(p_j u_j^3 A_j)}{(p_0 u_0^3 A_0)} \right)_{sf}
\]
5.6 Jet Thrust

The relationship for the simulation of the jet thrust is obtained by starting with the jet thrust coefficient defined by

\[ C_T = \frac{F_j}{\rho A_0} \]  

(III-20)

where the thrust is given by

\[ F_j = (\rho u^2 A_0 + (D_j - P_a) A_j) \]  

(III-21)

The simulation parameter thus obtained is given by

\[ \frac{A_j}{\gamma_0 n^2 A_0} = \left[ \frac{D_j}{\gamma_0 n^2 A_0} (1 + \gamma_0 n^2) - 1 \right] \]  

(III-22)

6. BASE HEATING SIMULATION PARAMETERS

Much experimental and some theoretical work has been done recently on the problems associated with the base heating of rocket-powered models. A general discussion of the important simulation parameters is presented in Reference 49. In addition to those parameters already discussed here the jet emissivity, jet-to-base form factor, engine efficiency, nozzle wall cooling effects, fuel distribution pattern, flame speed and ignition delay characteristics of the entrained fuel and other associated properties.

Similarity parameters concerning the base flow patterns are derived in Reference 47. The resulting relationships are referred to as an excess pumping mass parameter

\[ \frac{\Delta V_j}{V_o} = \left( \frac{\rho u_j}{\rho u_o} \right) \frac{A_j}{A_0} \int_{r_j}^{\infty} \frac{\rho u}{\rho u_j} dr \]  

(III-23)

and a jet boundary streamline total pressure head parameter

\[ \frac{P_j(r_j)}{P_o} = \frac{\rho(r_j)[u(r_j)]}{{\gamma_0 h^2 P_o}} \]  

(III-24)

where \( r_j \) refers to the streamline within which the mass flow is equal to the jet mass flow and the velocity and density profiles are defined by some distribution such as that given by Equation (II-20).

7. JET MIXING SIMULATION

Very little work has been done in the derivation of simulation parameters for the mixing processes along the jet boundary. These processes are governed by the
viscosities, momentum, and heat transfer rates of the local elements of the flow at the jet boundary. It would appear therefore that simulation of the mixing processes would be governed by the degree of simulation of the jet flow parameters discussed in Section III-8.

8. JET NOISE SIMULATION

The simulation parameter for the noise generated in the far field of a subsonic jet and a portion of the supersonic jet can be derived from Equation (II-22). The following parameter is obtained for the correlation of sound power:

\[
\left[ \rho d A_j \frac{\gamma^2 U_j^2 (RT)_j^3}{\gamma^{2/3} (RT)_j^{1/3}} \right]_{m} = \left[ \rho d A_j \frac{\gamma^2 U_j^2 (RT)_j^3}{\gamma^{2/3} (RT)_j^{1/3}} \right]_{f} \quad (III-25)
\]

For matched conditions of the free stream conditions the parameter reduces to:

\[
\left[ A_j \gamma^2 U_j^2 (RT)_j \right]_{m} = \left[ A_j \gamma^2 U_j^2 (RT)_j \right]_{f} \quad (III-26)
\]

Thus, under these conditions the jet sound power is proportional to the jet kinetic energy.

A summary of the scaling parameters discussed in the preceding paragraphs is presented in Table I. An examination of the general simulation parameters for the various jet characteristics reveals that the pressure ratio function varies appreciably among the relationships. It would appear therefore that a matching of this parameter between model and full scale tests is essential for good simulation. As pointed out previously, the free stream conditions of \( \gamma_c \) and \( M_c \) for the full scale article can be duplicated with relative ease for a model in ground test facilities. If it is further assumed that the other free stream conditions are matched, the simulation parameters reduce to those shown in the second column of Table I. Under these conditions, besides matching the initial inclination angle of the jet exhaust, \( \delta_j \), simulation of the parameters \( \gamma_j U_j^2 / A_j \), \( \gamma_j^2 U_j^2 (RT)_j \) and \( (RT)_j \) between model and full scale tests appears desirable.

As mentioned in the introduction to this section, a complete simulation of all of the parameters listed in Table I is not required for all jet tests. In the following sections, the jet effects are separated into exit effects and downstream effects. Conditions at the base of the model would appear to depend primarily upon the initial shape of the jet at the nozzle exit. Thus duplication of the initial inclination angle of the jet, \( \delta_j \), would suffice for base pressure studies. In addition, for base heating (temperature) studies, duplication of the jet temperature would be required. In studies in which model surfaces are located within or near to the jet stream duplication of the jet flow properties would have to be considered. Thus a thorough examination of the test objectives is required in order to specify which simulation parameters must be duplicated.
IV. METHODS OF JET SIMULATION

Various methods are in use for the experimental simulation of an exhaust jet in ground test facilities. These vary in complexity from the use of simple cold gas jets to an almost exact duplication of the full scale jet. The degree of similitude used or required depends on the particular problem under investigation. Some of the techniques which have been employed or proposed are discussed in the following paragraphs.

Approximate values for the properties of turbojet, ramjet, and rocket exhausts are listed in Table II. The ramjet properties are also typical of an after-burning turbojet. As will be discussed in the following sections, the simulation of these properties is the goal of the other media listed in the Table.

9. COLD GAS JETS

The use of a cold gas for the simulation of a jet exhaust has the primary advantage of relative simplicity in set-up and operation. Cold gases are particularly appealing when the simulation of jet temperature is considered.

9.1 Air

Since high pressure air supplies are most commonly available, the use of cold air has found wide application for jet studies. As seen in Table II, only the value of R is in the same range of the properties of the jet exhausts which must be duplicated.

9.2 Helium

Cold helium has been used in many studies (see Refs.51 to 53) because the high value of its gas constant, R, allows for an almost exact simulation of the value of (RT) for a ramjet or afterburning turbojet. As shown in Section III-9, the simulation of (RT) is important for the duplication of jet flow parameters. The high value of the ratio of specific heats for cold helium, however, is a prime disadvantage.

9.3 Carbon Dioxide

The value of the ratio of specific heats of carbon dioxide makes its use attractive for a simulation medium. The low value of its gas constant is, however, a disadvantage. This medium was used in the studies reported in Reference 54 at a temperature of 580°C so that its value of \( \gamma \) matched that of a hot jet of burning hydrogen and air at 260°C.

10. COLD GAS MIXTURES

In the studies reported in Reference 53, a cold mixture of hydrogen and carbon dioxide was used as the jet fluid. The mixture used (46% H\(_2\) and 54% CO\(_2\)) provided a duplication of (RT) for the ramjet conditions as did the use of helium. The value of the ratio of specific heats although lower than that of the helium jet, was still however above that required for exact simulation.
The proportions of hydrogen and carbon dioxide required to simulate \((RT)_j\) for a turbojet exhaust were computed and listed in Table II. For a rocket exhaust the value of \((RT)_j\) is almost identical to that of cold hydrogen. In each case, however, the value of \(\gamma_j\) for the simulation fluid is higher than that of the engine exhaust.

In the study reported in Reference 55, it was shown that by the addition of a third gas—hydrogen and carbon dioxide both the \((RT)_j\) and \(\gamma_j\) of a turbojet exhaust could be simulated. For the case cited in Table II, ethane, \(C_2H_6\), was used as the third gas. It was stated in Reference 55 that the upper temperature limit for which complete simulation is possible with a cold \((T_e = 530^\circ R)\) gas mixture is on the order of 1650^\circ R. By heating the mixture somewhat, simulation for higher temperatures could be achieved.

II. HOT GAS JETS

The properties of a jet exhaust can be simulated much more closely with a hot rather than a cold gas stream. However, the complexity in providing a hot gas jet is increased considerably over that of a cold gas jet.

11.1 Hot Air

The properties of a hot air jet at a temperature \((3300^\circ R)\) corresponding to that of a ramjet or after-burning turbojet exhaust are shown in Table II. As a result of heating the air, the ratio of specific heats approaches that of the jet exhaust much more closely than does that of a cold air jet giving close simulation of \((RT)_j\) and \(\gamma_j\).

11.2 Hydrogen and Air

The use of a burning mixture of hydrogen and air was used in the studies reported in References 53 and 54 to duplicate the properties of an after-burning turbojet. Since the resulting jet properties at a temperature of 3300^\circ R are typical of those of a ramjet or after-burning turbojet, they were chosen to represent the properties of a ramjet exhaust in Table II.

11.3 Hydrogen Peroxide

The development of a hydrogen peroxide simulator for jet exhaust tests is described in Reference 56. The characteristics of the simulator exhaust using hydrogen peroxide of 30 per cent concentration \((10\text{ per cent pure \(H_2O)}\) are shown in Table II. As pointed out in Reference 56, the system is much simpler and easier to operate than a burning gas. In addition, the products of decomposition, steam and oxygen, are much safer to handle in ground test facilities.

11.4 Turbojet Simulator

A simulation device described in Reference 57 uses a turbojet-combustor for the duplication of a jet exhaust. Such a device, frequently employed, burns a mixture of a hydrocarbon fuel and air. The jet properties can be adjusted to closely simulate those of a turbojet or ramjet exhaust.
12. ROCKET MOTOR SIMULATORS

In order to achieve the duplication of the high temperature of a rocket exhaust (see Table II), resort is made to the use of scaled rocket motors for jet simulation. Both solid and liquid propellant engines are used. Results are presented in Reference 58 wherein a liquid propellant rocket engine operating on gaseous oxygen and hydrogen was used. A combination of liquid oxygen and jet engine fuels has also been used successfully.

In References 43 and 59, turbojet exhaust simulators are described wherein solid-propellant rocket motors are used to simulate the exhaust jet. The characteristics of one of these rocket motors, a JATO unit, are shown in Table II.

A number of methods are employed to introduce the simulation fluid into the model. The most widely used method is to mount the model from a side strut and use the inside of the strut to duct the fluids. A second technique wherein high pressure air is ducted through a sting support and discharged in such a way as to duplicate a jet exhaust is described in Reference 60. A similar technique developed for use for short run times at hypervelocities is described in Reference 61. A third method (see Ref.62) which can be used for jet studies utilizes a duct extended through the wind tunnel nozzle from the upstream stilling chamber. The use of a strut or a sting is thus entirely avoided. Such a method is appealing for transonic studies where strut interference problems are especially troublesome. For missile studies, the duct can also be used to simulate the vehicle body.

The recent interest in space exploration has provided a requirement for a low pressure environment for an emerging jet and stimulated the development of such test chambers. Test cells using cryopumping to provide near vacuum conditions are being developed at a rapid rate. Another novel technique (see Ref.63) using an existing wind tunnel utilizes the low pressure environment existing downstream of a blunt base model mounted in a supersonic wind tunnel as the simulated test chamber.

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Numerous studies (Refs.3 to 10) have been made to determine the effects of a jet exhaust on base pressure, stability, drag, interference with nearby wings and control surfaces and other aerodynamic and thermodynamic phenomena using the techniques described in the preceding section. However, very few systematic investigations have been undertaken to determine the reliability of these techniques for the particular problems under study. In the following sections, only those data are presented which indicate the sensitivity of the jet flow properties in simulating actual flow conditions.

13. JET EXIT EFFECTS

Jet exit effects are defined as those effects which should be affected little by the aging process and therefore should be amenable to prediction by inviscid theories or to simulation by parameters derived therefrom.
13.1 Initial Inclination of the Jet Boundary

Prediction of the initial inclination of the jet boundary by the methods described in Section II has been verified by many experimental studies. As an example of such verification, values of the initial inclination angle of a sonic jet exhausting into a stream of air moving at a Mach number of 1.1 are shown in Figure 19 as obtained from Reference 54. In this investigation, made to confirm the procedure of using cold-gas jets to simulate a hot gas stream, air at 520°F and carbon dioxide at 580°F (see Table II) were used as the cold jet gases and a burning mixture of hydrogen and air at 2620°F was used for the hot jet gas. The investigation was conducted in the transonic Mach number range at jet pressure ratios up to six.

13.2 Base Pressure

Results of the effect of a jet exhaust on base pressure are shown in Figure 19. These results were obtained from Reference 64 wherein air and carbon dioxide at 540°F were used as the jet media. The dashed curve in the Figure was obtained from the cold air ($\gamma_j = 1.4$) results using the assumption that nozzle conditions which yield the same value of the initial inclination angle of the jet produce the same base pressure. Similar results presented in Reference 65 show that this same adjustment correlates base pressure data obtained from hot and cold air jet tests.

For the studies reported in References 58 and 67, hot and cold flow models were designed based on the similarity parameter given by Equation (III-3). Base pressure measurements in these investigations correlated very well between the hot and cold flow models.

13.3 Exit Shock Position

In References 52 and 53, studies were made to determine to what extent agreement was obtained among various gaseous media in simulating interference effects on a nearby surface. Air, helium, and a mixture of .56 H₂ and .54 CO₂ at 520°F (see Table II) were used as the jet media. The interference produced by the exit shock of the jets was depicted by its effect on the pressure coefficients measured by pressure orifices on the surface as shown in the following sketch:
The relative location of the shocks and boundaries were deduced from the pressure data. A typical plot showing the effect of the exit shock on the pressure orifice located 3.47 jet diameters downstream of the jet exit, as obtained from Reference 54, is shown in Figure 20. The dashed curve is obtained from the $\gamma_1 = 1.65$ results using the assumption that nozzle conditions which yield the same value of the initial inclination angle of the jet produce the same pressure coefficient.

14. DOWNSTREAM EFFECTS

Jet characteristics which would appear to be affected by the mixing at the jet boundary are considered in the following paragraphs.

14.1 Transmitted Shock Position

In a manner similar to that used to obtain the effects of the exit shock (see sketch in Section V - 13.3), data were also obtained in References 52 and 53 to determine the effects of the transmitted jet shock. A typical plot showing the effects of the transmitted shock on a pressure orifice located 7.63 jet diameters downstream of the jet exit is shown in Figure 21. As the pressure ratio is increased, the shock moves from a position upstream to a position downstream of the pressure orifice because of the increase in the jet primary wavelength, $L_1$, with a resulting decrease in the pressure coefficient. As shown in Table II, the value of $\gamma_1$ is identical for the air and $\text{H}_2 + \text{CO}_2$ mixture, while the value of $(\bar{E}1)$ is essentially the same for the helium and $\text{H}_2 + \text{CO}_2$ mixtures. By correcting the helium results to a $\gamma_1 = 1.65$ to account for the difference in the initial inclination angle of the jet gives good agreement with the $\text{H}_2 + \text{CO}_2$ results for shock position. Although the initial inclination angles for the air and $\text{H}_2 + \text{CO}_2$ mixture should be identical, the difference in shock position is probably a result of the difference in mixing caused by the larger difference in the value of $(\bar{E}1)$ between these media.

14.2 Transmitted Shock Strength

The difference in the level of the values of the pressure coefficient in Figure 21 before and after the transmitted shock passes over the pressure orifice indicates a difference in the strength of this shock between the helium jet and the air and $\text{H}_2 + \text{CO}_2$ mixture jets. The ratio of these differences for the case shown is approximately proportional to the ratio of the values of the similarity parameter given by Equation (III-7). In the case of the helium jet the reflected shock (see sketch in Section V - 12.3) is of greater magnitude than that of the $\text{H}_2 + \text{CO}_2$ and air jets which in turn reduces the strength of the transmitted shock.

14.3 Transmitted Shock Angle

The results of References 51 to 54 for free stream Mach numbers of 1.1 to 2.02 indicate that the angle which the transmitted shock makes with the centerline of the jet is very nearly equal to the Mach angle based on the free stream Mach number. It should be noted, however, that these investigations were limited to jet pressure ratios less than 10.
14.4 Jet Boundary Shape

The relative locations of the jet boundaries for the Reference 53 results shown previously (see sketch in Section V - 13.3) can be deduced from the shock positions. The \( H_2 + CO_2 \) mixture boundary would be largest, followed by the air and helium boundaries respectively. The fact that the positions of both the jet and the transmitted shock for the helium jet can be made to agree with the positions of these shocks for the \( H_2 + CO_2 \) mixture by correcting for the difference in the initial inclination angle of the jets, indicates that these boundaries would be identical if compared using the same exit angle conditions. For these jets, the values of \( \gamma \) are approximately equal. Since \( \gamma \) for the \( H_2 + CO_2 \) mixture and air are the same, the difference in the jet boundary shape is attributed to the difference in \( \gamma \) between the jets (see Table II).

The results of other investigations (see Refs. 56 and 69) also indicate a slight increase in the rate of mixing as the value of \( \gamma \) is increased.

14.5 Jet Momentum Effects

The importance of simulating the jet momentum is discussed in Reference 62. Results obtained from subsonic tests are presented which indicate that the downwash angle and the drag of an airfoil in the wake of a jet exhaust are both independent of temperature when the momentum is maintained constant.

VI. DISCUSSION

In keeping with the previous Section, the discussion of the results will be separated into the categories of jet exit effects and downstream effects. These categories can also be thought of as those effects which are not affected by jet mixing and those which are affected by mixing.

15. JET EXIT EFFECTS

As pointed out in Reference 20 and discussed in Section II, matching of the initial inclination angle of the jet, \( \beta \), is the most important requirement in order to duplicate jet exit effects between a model and full scale vehicle. The results of the previous Section and of many investigations show that this angle can be predicted accurately by the Prandtl- jet equations for a two-dimensional expansion (see Section II - 1.1).

The results shown previously also indicate that base pressure results and data affected by exit shock position can be correlated among tests conducted with various jet media. These correlations are obtained by assuming that nozzle conditions which give the same initial inclination angle of the jet produce identical results.

No satisfactory similarity parameter has been derived to provide an expression relating all of the parameters which affect the initial inclination angle of a jet. A free choice of these parameters to obtain similarity is provided, however, by using data with the pressure in terms of \( 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \) and \( 10 \). A more accurate of analysis in jet flow studies has been achieved by duplicating the jet pressure ratio, \( P_j/P_a \).
nozzle boattail angle, \( \beta_0 \), and the free stream fluid properties and using the similarity parameter \( \gamma_1^{\mu_1/\mu_2} \) given by Equation (III-3) to account for the difference in \( \gamma_1 \) of the jet media.

From the foregoing, therefore, it does appear that jet exit effects obtained from model tests can be used with some measure of confidence in predicting full scale results.

16. DOWNSTREAM EFFECTS

The results presented in Section V. although limited in scope, indicate that if the jet inclination angle and \((R_T)_j\) are matched the jet boundary shape and the position of the transmitted shock will be duplicated, provided free stream conditions are matched. The strength of the transmitted shock has been shown to be a function of the Prandtl parameter, \( \mu_{\infty}/\mu_1 \). With all other conditions the same, an increase in \((R_T)_j\) which represents an increase in jet velocity, produces an increase in the jet boundary.

Although matching of \((R_T)_j\) appears to provide a means of simulating the mixing boundary, no correlation parameters are available for use in predicting full scale results from data obtained at unmatched conditions of \((R_T)_j\).

When wing or tail surfaces are inserted in or placed near to the jet exhaust, duplication of the jet momentum has been shown to be an important equivalence parameter. Although most of the jet properties such as velocity, temperature and mass flow vary downstream of the jet exit, the jet momentum remains constant and therefore appears to be the most critical jet flow property for simulation.

17. ADDITIONAL REMARKS

From the foregoing it is apparent that although many theoretical and experimental studies have been made to define the jet characteristics, few systematic investigations have been made to determine simulation parameters and the feasibility of various experimental techniques. On the basis of the existing data, the jet pressure ratio is shown to have the greatest effect on performance characteristics. Certain characteristics are affected by conditions in the immediate vicinity of the base. These conditions in turn are shown to be affected most by the initial inclination angle of the jet. Characteristics affected by downstream jet conditions are seen to be dependent upon the parameters, \( \gamma_1^{\mu_1/\mu_2} \) and \((R_T)_j\) and the jet momentum.

Of the cold gas media listed in Table II, simultaneous duplication of \( \gamma_1 \) and \((L_T)_j\) is possible only with a 3-component mixture. Use of such a gas would of course allow exact duplication of a jet exhaust without the adjustment of any of the remaining variables according to the scaling parameters. However, tests with such mixtures are required to obtain experimental verification of their use.

Use of the 1- or 2-component cold gas media does require an adjustment of other variables to account for the lack of duplication of both \( \gamma_1 \) and \((R_T)_j\). One possible combination which would satisfy the most critical simulation parameters is to use the
following procedure. Initially select a gas which duplicates (RT) and furthermore, specify model operation at matched jet pressure ratios, $p_j/p_0$. Assuming $\gamma_1$ is not matched, an adjustment is made in $\beta_j$ of the model to satisfy the parameter $\gamma_1 u_j^2 / \beta_j$ to account for duplication of the jet shock properties. Since, as shown in Figure 17, this correction does not completely provide the necessary correction to the flow turning angle of the jet, $\Delta \theta_j$, the nozzle exit angle can be adjusted according to Equation (11-13) to provide duplication of the initial inclination angle, $\beta_j$, of the jet. Simulation of the remaining important scaling parameter, the jet momentum, is obtained by an adjustment of the model exit area according to Equation (11-19).
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TABLE I

Summary of Scaling Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jet Characteristic</th>
<th>General Simulation Parameter</th>
<th>Simulation Parameter for Matched Stream Conditions and Jet Pressure Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boundary in Quiescent Medium</td>
<td>( \left( \frac{P_2}{P_1} - \frac{P_2}{P_1} \right) \alpha \rho_j \gamma_j A_j^2 )</td>
<td>( \frac{\gamma_j M_j^2}{\beta_j} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boundary in Moving Stream</td>
<td>( \left( \frac{P_2}{P_1} - \frac{P_2}{P_1} \right) \alpha \rho_j \gamma_j A_j^2 )</td>
<td>( \frac{\gamma_j M_j^2}{\beta_j} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmitted Shock</td>
<td>( \frac{P_2 \gamma_j M_j^2}{\rho_j \gamma_j A_j^2 \beta_j} )</td>
<td>( \frac{\gamma_j M_j^2}{\beta_j} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Flow</td>
<td>( \frac{\rho_j \gamma_j M_j^2}{\rho_j \gamma_j A_j^2 \beta_j} )</td>
<td>( \frac{\gamma_j M_j^2}{\beta_j} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinetic Energy</td>
<td>( \frac{\gamma_j M_j^2 (RT_j)}{\gamma_j M_j^2 (RT)_\infty} )</td>
<td>( \frac{\gamma_j M_j^2 (RT_j)}{\gamma_j M_j^2 (RT)_\infty} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Energy</td>
<td>( \left( \frac{\gamma_j - 1}{\gamma_j - 1} \right) (RT)_\infty )</td>
<td>( \frac{(RT)_1}{\gamma_j - 1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enthalpy</td>
<td>( \left( \frac{\gamma_j - 1}{\gamma_j - 1} \right) (RT)_\infty )</td>
<td>( \frac{\gamma_j M_j^2 (RT_j)}{\gamma_j M_j^2 (RT)_\infty} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momentum</td>
<td>( \frac{\rho_j \gamma_j M_j^2 A_j}{\rho_j \gamma_j A_j^2 A_j} )</td>
<td>( \frac{\gamma_j M_j^2 A_j}{\gamma_j M_j^2 A_j} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrust</td>
<td>( \frac{A_j}{A_j \omega \alpha \rho_j \alpha \rho_j \beta_j} \left[ \frac{\gamma_j M_j^2 (RT)<em>j}{\gamma_j M_j^2 (RT)</em>\infty} \right] )</td>
<td>( \frac{\gamma_j M_j^2 A_j}{\gamma_j M_j^2 A_j} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound Power</td>
<td>( \frac{P_2 A_j}{\gamma_j M_j^2 (RT)_j} )</td>
<td>( \frac{\gamma_j M_j^2 (RT)<em>j}{\gamma_j M_j^2 (RT)</em>\infty} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE II

Properties of Gaseous Media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>T °F</th>
<th>γ</th>
<th>ft-lbf/lb °R</th>
<th>ft-lbf/lb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turbojet Exhaust</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>77,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramjet Exhaust</td>
<td>3300</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>195,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocket Exhaust</td>
<td>3700</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>399,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>148.0</td>
<td>77,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helium</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>148.0</td>
<td>77,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>768.0</td>
<td>403,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Dioxide</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>18,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Dioxide</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>18,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.15 H₂ + .85 CO₂</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>374.0</td>
<td>195,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.46 H₂ + .54 CO₂</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>374.0</td>
<td>195,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.14 H₂ + .29 CO₂ + .57 C₂H₄</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>147.0</td>
<td>78,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>3300</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>185,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂ + Air (burning)</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>148,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂ + Air (burning)</td>
<td>3300</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>195,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O₂ (.10 H₂O)</td>
<td>1825</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>127,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JATÒ</td>
<td>3420</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>245,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX + JP</td>
<td>5880</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>411,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1 Effect of jet Mach number on the initial inclination angle of a jet exhausting into a medium at rest.
Fig. 2 Effect of the ratio of specific heats of the jet on the initial inclination angle of a jet exhausting into a medium at rest.
Fig. 3  Effect of the ratio of specific heats of the jet on the initial inclination angle of a jet exhausting into a vacuum.
Fig. 4  Comparison of initial inclination angle of a jet exhausting into a medium at rest calculated by an exact and an approximate series solution.
Fig. 5  Effect of the ratio of specific heats of the jet on the boundary of a jet exhausting into a medium at rest

\[ M_j = 2.50 \]
\[ p_j/p_\infty = 10 \]
\[ \theta_N = 15^\circ \]

\[ \gamma_j = 1.115 \]

\[ 1.200 \]

\[ 1.400 \]

\[ 1.667 \]
Fig. 6  Effect of the jet pressure ratio on the boundary of a jet exhausting into a medium at rest.
Fig. 7 Effect of jet Mach number on the boundary of a jet exhausting into a medium at rest.
Fig. 8  Effect of jet Mach number on the spreading rate parameter of a jet exhausting into a medium at rest
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\( \varepsilon = 12 + 2.758 M_j \)
Fig. 9 Effects on jet noise of a subsonic jet exhausting into a medium at rest
Fig. 10  Effect of jet Mach number on the initial inclination angle of a jet exhausting into a moving stream
Fig. 12 Effect of free stream Mach number of the initial inclination angle of a jet exhausting into a moving stream
Fig. 15 Comparison of an experimental and calculated jet shock in a jet exhausting into a moving stream
Fig. 16 Values of the initial inclination angle of a jet exhausting into a medium at rest using a constant jet Mach number similarity parameter.
Fig. 10  Values of the initial inclination angle of a jet exhausting into a medium at rest using a constant jet Mach number similarity parameter.
Fig. 17 Values of the initial inclination angle of a jet exhausting into a medium at rest using a constant jet pressure ratio similarity parameter, $\gamma_j B_j^2 / B_j = 5.08$
Fig. 13  Initial inclination angle of a sonic jet exhausting into a moving stream

Fig. 19  Effect of a sonic jet exhaust on base pressure
Fig. 20 Effect of the exit shock from a sonic jet on the pressure coefficient at a point in a moving stream

Fig. 21 Effect of the transmitted shock from a sonic jet on the pressure coefficient at a point in a moving stream
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This paper presents a review of various techniques employed in the simulation of a jet exhaust in ground test facilities. A brief summary of the characteristics of a jet exhausting into both quiescent and moving media is presented. The importance of duplicating the initial inclination angle of the jet, δ, when conducting simulation studies is pointed out. Various scaling parameters are
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A requirement for the duplication of the jet pressure ratio, jet momentum, and the parameters \( \gamma R_{\text{jet}} / \gamma_{\text{jet}} \) and \( (RT)_{\text{jet}} \) is indicated. Experimental data are also presented which verify the importance of these parameters in simulation studies. One method of selecting the geometry and test conditions for a simulation model is presented. The importance of these parameters in simulation studies is presented.
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