UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD423524

NEW LIMITATION CHANGE

TO

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

FROM

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational Use; SEP 1963. Other requests shall be referred to David Taylor Model Basin, Washington, DC.

AUTHORITY

USNSRDC ltr, 20 May 1981

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED AD 4 2 3 5 2 4

DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

FOR

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

CAMERON STATION. ALEXANDRIA. VIRGINIA



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the B. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, on conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

)



TESTS OF RING-STIFFENED AND SANDWICH COMPOSITE CYLINDERS UNDER EXTERNAL HYDROSTATIC PRESSURE

by

M.A. Krenzke and T.J. Kiernan

September 1963

Report 1725 S-F013 03 02

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	1
INTRODUCTION	1
DESCRIPTION OF MODELS	1 2
TEST PROCEDURE	4
TEST RESULTS	5
DISCUSSION	5
CONCLUSIONS	11
REFERENCES	27

LIST OF FIGURES

Page

Page

Figure	1	-	Models DSRV-1, DSRV-1L, DSRV-4M, and DSRV-4L	12
Figure	2	-	Typical Stress-Strain Curves for 7079-T6 Aluminum Alloy Used in Models	16
Figure	3	-	Location of Strain Gages	18
Figure	4	-	Typical Plots of Pressure versus Strain	21
Figure	5	-	Estimated Collapse Depth versus Ratio of Hull Weight to Displacement for Semi-Infinite Cylindrical Composite Hulls	25

LIST OF TABLES

Page

Table	1	-	Dimensions and Material Properties of Models and Corresponding Prototypes	26
Table	2	-	Ratio of Theoretical Plastic General-Instability Collapse Pressure to Experimental Collapse Pressure	26
Table	3	-	Structural Efficiency Factors	26

ii

ABSTRACT

Four cylindrical models were tested under external hydrostatic pressure to determine the structural behavior of ringstiffened and sandwich hulls of composite construction. Of particular interest in this series of tests were the effects of compartment length on collapse strength in the plastic general-instability mode and the relative strength-weight characteristics of ring-stiffened and sandwich cylindrical hulls. The test results demonstrated the importance of representing the actual prototype compartment length when testing a model which may collapse in the plastic generalinstability mode. These tests also demonstrated that a semiinfinite sandwich hull normally will have less than a 10percent strength advantage over an optimum semi-infinite ring-stiffened hull of the same material and weight. Based on these and earlier results, the strength-weight characteristics of composite semi-infinite cylindrical hulls of various combinations of materials are estimated.

INTRODUCTION

The development of underwater vehicles with positive buoyancy to operate at great depth is of particular interest to oceanographers, who desire to explore the oceans and their floors, and to naval strategists, who are studying the possible military advantages that such a vehicle may offer. Of obvious importance in the design of such a deep-sea vehicle is the achievement of a high strength, low density pressure hull. The basic approach to this structural problem must be through the use of hull materials with high strength-to-weight ratios.

Unfortunately, many of the hull materials which show favorable strength-weight characteristics cannot be fabricated satisfactorily with current procedures, particularly in the thicknesses required. Examples of these materials are superstrength steels, high strength aluminum and titanium alloys, and reinforced plastics. In an effort to find a method of construction which enables the use of these and other nonweldable materials as they become available, the Model Basin investigated a new concept in pressure hull design.¹ This concept, referred to herein as composite construction, involves the use of a thin jacket encasing rings of high

¹References are listed on page 27.

strength material. In an operating underwater vehicle, the jacket would hold the strength components in place and provide watertight integrity, longitudinal strength to resist bending moments, and corrosive protection for the strength elements. A more detailed presentation of composite construction concepts is given in Reference 1.

Under sponsorship of the Bureau of Ships, the Model Basin is currently conducting a rather extensive structural model program to further investigate the use of composite construction for deep-depth pressure hulls with collapse depths between 5000 and 30,000 ft. These investigations include experimental studies of fabrication techniques, methods of penetrating and closing off the ends of composite cylinders, ~lastic behavior, static collapse strength, fatigue life, and dynamic characteristics. HY-220 steel, HY-60 to HY-80 aluminum alloys, HY-140 and HY-200 titanium alloys, and glass-reinforced plastics are being used as the strength elements. Materials used in the jackets include HY-80 and HY-100 steel, an HY-30 aluminum alloy, an HY-120 titanium alloy, and a fiberglassreinforced plastic with a nominal yield strength of 35,000 psi.

This report describes the static tests of four models designed to investigate the structural behavior of ring-stiffened and sandwich composite cylinders to depths of 20,000 ft, particularly their relative strengthweight characteristics and the effect of compartment length on collapse strength in the plastic general-instability mode. Estimates of the strength-weight characteristics of composite semi-infinite cylindrical hulls of various combinations of materials are presented on the basis of the results of these and earlier tests.

DESCRIPTION OF MODELS

Four models of composite construction, designated DSRV-1, DSRV-1L; DSRV-4M, and DSRV-4L, were fabricated. Aluminum was selected as the basic hull material because of its ease of fabrication. Models DSRV-1 and DSRV-1L were ring-stiffened cylinders of machined 7079-T6 aluminum rings placed inside an HY-100 steel jacket. Models DSRV-4M and DSRV-4L were sandwich-type cylinders of machined 7079-T6 aluminum rings inside a 5086-H32 aluminum jacket. Sketches of the models are shown in Figure 1. Table 1 presents

a summary of model geometrics and material properties together with associated geometries and assumed material properties for an arbitrarily selected 10-ft-diameter prototype hull. Representative stress-strain curves for the basic hull material of each model are shown in Figure 2.

The effect of bulkhead spacing, or overall length, on collapse strength was of particular interest in this series of tests. Models DSRV-1 and DSRV-4M were approximately one diameter long and the radial deflection of the ends was restricted. Thus, they represented cylinders of finite bulkhead spacing. Models DSRV-1L and DSRV-4L were four diameters long and the ends were permitted to deflect radially. The bulkhead spacing of four diameters minimized the influence of compartment length on collapse pressure.² Thus, these models represented cylinders of semi-infinite length.

The typical bay geometry for each model was selected to provide a collapse pressure of 6667 psi, equivalent to a collapse depth of 15,000 ft based on a yield strength of 100,000 psi for HY-100 steel, 30,000 psi for 5086-H32 aluminum, and 62.000 psi for 7079-T6 aluminum. The total cross-sectional area of the material required for Models DSRV-1 and DSRV-1L was determined by arbitrarily setting the average circumferential stress in the frame and shell at collapse equal to 1.05 times the average vield strength of the section. The typical section of Models DSRV-1 and DSRV-1L had a ratio of weight of hull to weight of displacement of about 56 percent. The geometry of Models DSRV-4M and DSRV-4L was selected after Model DSRV-1 was tested and was influenced by the favorable results. Based on these favorable results of DSRV-1 and the anticipated advantages in structural efficiency of a sandwich hull as compared to a ring-stiffened hull. the average circumferential stress in the combined web, shell cross section of the sandwich hull models DSRV-4M and DSRV-4L was arbitrarily set equal to 1.15 times the average yield strength at the design collapse pressure of 6667 psi. Thus, the stress intensity at collapse was approximately equal to the two-dimensional Hencky-Von Mises yield stress developed in an unstiffened cylinder of equivalent weight and material. The typical section of Models DSRV-31 and DSRV-4L had a weight-to-displacement ratio of about 52 percent.

The typical bay geometries of the two ring-stiffened composite cylinders, Models DSRV-1 and DSRV-1L, were identical when scaled to the same diameter. Since the basic material, 7079-T6 aluminum, is a strain-hardening material, it was considered necessary to obtain uniform stress levels

throughout the hull and to provide adequate margin against elastic instability^{2,3} to enable utilization of the full strength of the material. Therefore, stiffeners were placed at relatively close intervals to minimize bending⁴ and to provide very high elastic shell stability.³ The size and shape of the stiffeners were such as to provide an elastic general-instability pressure for a semi-infinite cylinder of 2 1/2 times the design collapse pressure.² The thickness of the outer steel jacket was selected to provide sufficient strength to resist bending loads which might occur while surfaced.

The typical bay geometries of the sandwich composite cylinders DSRV-4M and DSRV-4L were also similar and were selected on the same stability considerations as used for the ring-stiffened cylinder. The inner and outer shell rings had a variable thickness designed to eliminate bending according to the theory of Short.⁵

The models were assembled in a manner feasible for large diameter hulls. The first step in the assembly was the welding of the jacket to an end ring and the slipping of the nonweldable rings in place. The nominal diametrical clearance between the outer jacket and the inner ring of each model corresponded to 5/32 in. for a 10-ft-diameter prototype hull. The jackets for the shorter models were formed from a single shell; the jackets for the larger mcdels consisted of four shells rolled into cylinders and joined by circumferential welds. The longer models were assembled by first inserting the aluminum rings to within several inches of the free end of the first section of jacket. Then the second section of jacket was welded in place, the weld was ground smooth on the inner surface, and the inner rings were placed again within several inches of the free end. The entire models were assembled in this manner until all rings were in place. The final step in the assembly of each model was the joining of the second weldable end ring and the outer jacket by a single circumferential weld.

TEST PROCEDURE

Foil resistance strain gages were used to measure strains in the longitudinal and circumferential directions of each model. Gage location diagrams are shown in Figure 3.

Model DSRV I was subjected to a pressure of 3000 psi in the 37-in. tank at the Model Basin. Oil was used as a pressure medium to eliminate the need for waterproofing the strain gages. The model was tested to collapse in the 4-ft-diameter pressure tank located at the Naval Research Laboratory. Here water was used as a pressure medium; no strain measurements were made since facilities were not available to oring strain-gage leads out of the tank.

Models DSRV-1L, DSRV-4M, and DSRV-4L were tested in the TMB 17 1/2 in.-diameter, high pressure tank. Water was used as a pressure medium, and strains were recorded during each test.

Special attention was given to the rate at which pressure was applied to each model. Each pressure increment was held at least 5 min, and the final pressure increment did not exceed 2 percent of the observed collapse pressure.

TEST RESULTS

Models DSRV-1, DSRV-1L, DSRV-4M, and DSRV-4L withstood maximum pressures of 8000, 7350, 8450, and 7800 psi, respectively. Models DSRV-1 and DSRV-4M were tested to destruction. Models DSRV-1L and DSRV-4L were tested to pressure levels at which excessive creep was observed from the strain readings while the pressure load remained constant. When it was decided that the maximum attainable pressure had been reached, the pressure was dropped off before complete destruction of Models DSRV-1L and DSRV-4L occurred.^{*} When these models were removed from the tank, a maximum out-of-roundness of about 3/8 in. was observed in each Model. Typical plots of pressure versus strain are presented in Figure 4.

DISCUSSION

All four models apparently collapsed in the plastic general-instability mode. Models DSRV-1L and DSRV-4L definitely would have failed by

Models DSRV-1L and DSRV-4L will be used at a future date to investigate the resistance of composite aluminum hulls to dynamic loading.

plastic general instability. This is demonstrated both by the measured out-of-round shape after the test and by the bifurication of the recorded circumferential strains in the frames as shown in Figure 4. The circumferential strains recorded at pressures near the collapse pressure indicate that Model DSRV-4M also failed by plastic general instability. The damage, however, was too extensive to determine the mode of collapse by visual inspection. No strains were recorded near collapse on Model DSRV-1. Moreover, the model was damaged too extensively to determine the mode of failure after collapse occurred. However, the elastic shell instability, as calculated by theory for monolithic shells,³ was about double the elastic general-instability collapse pressure.² Thus it appears that it also failed in the overall mode.

An analysis for determining the plastic general-instability collapse strength of a ylindrical hull is presented in Reference 1. The theoretical collapse pressures calculated using this analysis are compared in Table 2 with the observed collapsed pressures of these models and those of two compolite titanium sandwich models¹ which also failed in the plastic general-instability mode during previous tests at the Model Basin. Excellent agreement between the theoretical and experimental collapse pressures was obtained for each model.

One method of evaluating the structural efficiency of a stiffened cylinder under external hydrostatic pressure is to compare its experimental and theoretical collapse pressures with the theoretical collapse pressure in the yield mode of an unstiffened cylinder of the same size, weight, and material. Table 3 presents structural efficiency factors for this series of models. The theoretical collapse pressures of the equivalent unstiffened cylinders were obtained by applying the Hencky-Von Mises yield criterion to the three-dimensional stresses at midplane as obtained by the Lame⁶ solution of the stresses in a thick-walled cylinder. It is realized that the 0.2-percent offset method of obtaining yield strength, which is used in

^{*}The structural efficiency factor is defined as the ratio of the collapse pressure of a stiffened cylinder to the theoretical pressure at which collapse occurs by yielding for an equivalent unstiffened cylinder.

all the strength computations in Table 3, is arbitrary and, therefore, factors of more than 1 are attainable.

Table 3 shows that a relatively high degree of structural efficiency was achieved in each model. These high structural efficiency factors, together with the high ratios of experimental to theoretical collapse strength indicated in Table 2, demonstrate that composite hulls are as efficient as monolithic machined hulls. Since residual stresses reduce the collapse strength of welded hulls,⁷ a properly designed composite hull may be more efficient than a welded hull of similar material and geometry.

These tests demonstrate the effect of bulkhead spacing on the collapse strength of cylindrical hulls in the plastic general-instability mode. For example, the structural efficiency factor for the long ring-stiffened hull, Model DSRV-1L, was about 10 percent below that of the short ring-stiffened hull, Model DSRV-1. The efficiency of the long sandwich hull, Model DSRV-4L, was 9 percent below that of the short sandwich hull, Model DSRV-4L, was 9 percent below that of the short sandwich hull, Model DSRV-4M. The significance of this comparison is that it demonstrates the importance of representing the actual prototype compartment length when testing a model to determine the collapse strength of a hull which may collapse in the plastic general-instability mode. If it is not practical to test a section representing the full compartment length, it appears that the effect of overall length of cylindrical shells with closely spaced frames may be estimated using the plastic general-instability analysis outlined in Reference 1.

The strength-weight advantages of sandwich hulls over ring-stiffened hulls which collapse in the plastic general-instability mode may be estimated by comparing the structural efficiency factors of Models DSRV-1L and DSRV-4L. These models lend themselves to this type of comparison because:

1. Neither model was affected to any extent by residual stresses.

2. The shape of the stress-strain curves for each of the basic hull materials was very similar.

3. Each model failed in the plastic general-instability mode.

4. The ratio of elastic to inelastic collapse strength was of the same magnitude for both models.

5. Each model had favorable stress conditions since both were designed to minimize bending.

A comparison of the structural efficiency factors given in Table 3 for Models DSRV-1L and DSRV-4L shows that the sandwich hull was about 6 percent stronger than the ring-stiffened hull experimentally and only about 4 percent stronger theoretically.^{**} This advantage of sandwich hulls over ring-stiffened hulls will vary with collapse depth for any given material, but it now appears that it is not likely to exceed 10 percent when comparing truly optimum designs of each type which collapse in the plastic general-instability mode.^{***}

Previous estimates⁸ made by the Model Basin, of up to a 20-percent advantage were not based on optimum design of both sandwich and ring-stiffened cylinders. In this previous comparison, geometrical configurations of the ring-stiffened cylinders were restricted and resulted in a less than optimum design. Thus the strength advantage of sandwich hulls over ringstiffened hulls may be marginal at many depths and nonexistent for some materials at very shallow or very deep depths. The main advantage, therefore, which many sandwich hulls offer is the use of thinner plating. Offsetting this advantage are the inherent fabrication problems of sandwich construction.

The measured strains indicate that the strain distributions were very favorable in each model. Bending did not play an important role in the ring-stiffened models since the maximum measured strains occurred in the circumferential direction in the frames. The shell thickness of Models DSRV-4M and DSRV-4L varied between stiffeners in a manner which theoretically eliminates bending in a typical section.⁵ Strain measurements on Models DSRV-4M and DSRV-4L indicate that some bending did occur, however, since the longitudinal strains on the inner surface of the inside

*Similar results may be obtained by comparing the efficiency factors of the shorter ring-stiffened and sandwich hulls, Models DSRV-1 and DSRV-4M. However, this comparison favors the sandwich cylinder since the elastic general-instability collapse strength of Model DSRV-4M was considerably greater than that of Model DSRV-1.

** No greater advantage would be expected for hulls which fail between stiffeners; that is, in the inelastic shell buckle modes.

shell at midbay were only about one-half the calculated values. Although the measured strains indicated the presence of bending in the sandwich hulls, the maximum strains were located in the circumferential direction. Thus, it is unlikely that bending affected the strength of the sandwich hulls.

The estimated collapse depth versus ratio of cylindrical composite hull weight to displacement for steel, aluminum, titanium, and reinforced plastic composite hulls is presented in Figure 5. The relationship shown for composite aluminum hulls was obtained by drawing a straight line through the experimental points representing the results of Models DSRV-4L and the unpublished results of ring-stiffened Models DSRV-9A and DSRV-6A. Model DSRV-4L represented a semi-infinite hull, and Models DSRV-9A and DSRV-6A had finite deep frame, or bulkhead, spacings. The additional weight required for the deep frames in Models DSRV-9A and DSRV-6A was included when calculating their weight-to-displacement ratios. Thus, the curve for the composite aluminum hulls, as well as the other curves shown in Figure 5, represent strength-weight estimates for semi-infinite. cylinders. The titanium curves are based on the test results of Model DSRV-3L and on the assumption that the efficiency of the titanium hulls is proportional to the efficiency of the aluminum hulls. The experimental points representing Model DSRV-3L as well as all other experimental points shown in Figure 5 have been obtained by linearly adjusting collapse depths to nominal yield strengths. Since the model yield strengths were higher than the nominal yield strength for each model, the experimental collapse depths have been adjusted conservatively. The composite steel and fiberglass curves were obtained in the same manner as the composite titanium curves. However, Models DSRV-10 and DSRV-16 have not been tested so their strength can only be estimated at this time. The collapse depth of Model DSRV-10, a ring-stiffened membrane cylinder with deep frames, was estimated to be the depth at which the average circumferential stress equals 1.05 times the weighted yield strength. The collapse of Model DSRV-16 a cylinder with closely spaced rectangular frames, was estimated to occur when the average circumferential stress in the fiberglass rings reaches 90,000 psi.

Curves similar to those presented in Figure 5 may be developed for many more feasible types of composite hulls. For example, the use of

HY-120 titanium rings encased in an HY-120 steel jacket would eliminate the need to weld any portion of a titanium hull. Another promising hull is composed of HY-200 or greater steel rings encased in HY-100 to HY-120 steel jackets. If proper design procedures are used, the strength-weight characteristics of these and other composite hulls should be similar to those of machined monolithic hulls of the same materials and may be estimated accordingly.

The use of composite construction offers several attractive advantages over that of conventional welded construction. The chief advantage is that it does not require welding of the basic hull material. A second advantage is that the jacket serves as a watertight envelope and protects the strength elements against corrosion. Since the strength elements, or inner rings, of composite hulls are machined, their strength is not affected by initial imperfections and residual stresses. In addition, machining of the rings permits the desider to use geometries and configurations which produce uniform stress patterns.^{5,7} Thus, composite hulls are very efficient under hydrostatic loading and may, in some cases, offer an increase in structural efficiency over conventional welded construction.

The use of composite construction also has disadvantages. Whereas machining the strength elements may offer additional static strength, it will, in many cases, increase the cost of construction. Composite hulls are probably weaker than welded hulls of similar material under explosive loading, particularly on the surface or at shallow depths. Special machinery foundations are required if the ring elements are nonweldable. It may be more difficult to remove large machinery from a composite hull than from conventional welded hulls.

Since composite construction has both advantages and disadvantages, the merits must be evaluated for each application. For example, it will likely compare unfavorably with welded construction at shallow depths inasmuch as highly satisfactory performance may be obtained with relatively thin sections of conventional materials such as HY-80 steel. On the other hand, it may have the advantage at moderately deep depths for larger diameter hulls over conventional weldable materials since the plate thickness inyolved might make the cost of welding the entire hull prohibitive. However,

the true advantage of composite construction is realized in a hull designed for extreme depths.since it enables the use of high strength nonweldable materials as the basic hull material.

CONCLUSIONS

1. It is possible to accurately calculate the effect of compartment length on the experimental collapse pressure of these models using the analysis presented in Reference 1 for the plastic general-instability strength of stiffened cylindrical shells.

2. The present tests demonstrate the importance of representing the actual prototype compartment length when testing a model which may collapse in the plastic general-instability mode.

3. It now appears that a sandwich hull will normally have less than a 10-percent strength advantage over an optimum ring-stiffened hull of the same material and weight.

4. A high degree of structural efficiency was obtained in each model. Since residual stresses reduce the collapse strength of welded hulls, a properly designed composite hull may be slightly more efficient than a welded hull of similar material and geometry.

5. The strength-weight characteristics of composite scmi-infinite cylindrical hulls of various combinations of materials may be estimated on the basis of these and earlier tests; see Figure 5.

6. Composite construction permits the use of existing nonweldable materials with high strength-to-weight ratios in the design of pressure hulls for deep-depth application.

Figure 1 - Models DSRV-1, DSRV-1L, DSRV-4M, and DSRV-4L

Typical Longitudinal Cross Section

Figure 1a - Model DSRV-1

TYPICAL LONGITUDINAL CROSS SECTION

Figure 1b - Model DSRV-1L

TYPICAL LONGITIDINAL CROSS SECTION

Figure 1c - Model DSRV-4M

TYPICAL LONGITUDINAL CROSS SECTION

Figure 1d - Model DSRV-4L

Figure 2d - Model DSRV-4L

Figure 3c - Model DSRV-4M

ANGULAR ORIENTATION 90°0° . 102 100 103 101

÷

++

ANGL	ILAR O	RIENT	ATIO
90°	ង	30°	o°
110	108	106	104
111	109	107	105
124	122	120	118
125	123	121	119

	ANG ORIEN	ULAR TATIO	H
	0°	90°	
	200	202	
	201	203	
22.5			
ALL ALL			
and the second	ſ		-
	. 	0°	
+	t	204	
C.L.S	İ	218	
		219	
B B	-		

ANGULAR ORIENTATION							
0°	30°	60*	90 ⁰	120°	150°	180°	
204	205	208	210	212	214	216	
218	220	222	224				
219	221	223	225				

Figure 3d - Model DSRV-4L

Figure 4 - Typical Plots of Pressure versus Strain

80

0

ĝ

0

80

ο

800

1000 0 1 Strain in µin/in

0

80

0

8

0

8<u>0</u>

0

a

0

Goge 221

Goge 219

Goge 217

Goge 215

Goge 121

Goge II9

Goge II7

Gage 115

Goge III

Š

Figure 4c - Model DSRV-4M

TABLE 1

Dimensions and Material Properties of Models and Corresponding Prototypes

	Rine-	Stiffened	Cylinders	bandwich-Type Cylinders		
Property	Hodel ISAV-)	Model DSRV-1L	Prototype	Hodel DSRV-4H	Model DSRV-4L	Prototype
Outside Diameter, in.	19.174	17.000	120	10.21	17.136	120
Jacket Thickness, in.	0.010	0.010	1/1	0.061	0.106	1/4
Average Outer Shell Ring Thickness, in.	0. 174	0.407	1 4/h	0.149	0.24%	1 1/4
Average Inner Shell Ring Thickness, in.				0.141	0.101	2 1/-
Typical Web Spacing, in.	3, 555	1.152	22 1/4	1,015	1.700	12
Web Thickness, in.	0.100	0.206	1 7/h	0.243	0,407	2 7/-
Flange Width, in.	1.358	1.204	H 1/2		***	
Flange Thickness, in.	0, 199	0.154	2 1/2			
Minimum inside Diameter, in.	14,701	13.035	92	H.114	11,000	96
<u>Weight of Pressure Hull</u> Weight of Displacement	0.56	0,56	0.56	0.52	0.52	0,52
Compressive Yield Strength of Jacket, psi	97,000	H7,500	100,000	30,000	10,000	10,000
Compressive Yield Strength of 7079-To Aluminum Rings, psi	70,000	74,500	62,000	76,490	77,000	n2,000
Young's Modulus of 7079-To Aluminum Rings, ppi v 100			10,5			10.5

A 10-ft-diameter prototype hull was arbitrarily selected.

TABLE 2

Ratio of Theoretical Plastic General-Instability Collapse Pressure to Experimental Collapse Pressure

Model	Bulkhead Spacing, L _b Diameter, D	Experimental Collapse Pressure (Pexp) psi	Theoretical Elastic General-Instability Collapse Pressure (p _{CT}) psi	Theoretical Plastic General-Instability Collapse Pressure (p _{st}) psi	P _{st} P _{exp}
DSRV-3	1	14,250	74,000	13,800	0.97
DSRV-31.**	3	15,750	30,000	15,300	0.97
DSRV-1	1	8000	35,000	78 50	0.98
DSRV-1L	4	7350	17,000	7700	1.05
DSRV-4H	1	84.50	55,000	8600	1.02
DSRV-4L	4	7800	19,000	7900	1.01

TABLE 3

Structural Efficiency Factors

	Experimental Theoretical Collapse Pressure Plastic General-		Yield Pressure of Equivalent	Structural Efficiency Factor		
Mode 1	(P _{exp}) pai	Instability Coilapse Pressure (p _{st}) psi	Cylinder (p _{eq}) psi	Experimental Pexp/Peq	Theoretical Pat/Peq	
dyrv-1	6000	78.50	8435	0,95	0,91	
DSRV-1L	7350	7700	8H 50	0.83	0,87	
DSRY-4H	H4 50	H600	6750	0,97	0,44	
DSRV-4L	7400	7900	hH20	0,88	0.40	

REFERENCES

1. Krenzke, M. A. and Kiernan, T. J., "Structural Development of a 15,000 to 20,000-foot Titanium Oceanographic Vehicle," David Taylor Model Basin Report 1677 (Sep 1963).

2. Bryant, A. R., "Hydrostatic Pressure Buckling of a Ring-Stiffened Tube," Naval Construction Establishment Report R 306 (1954).

3. Reynolds, T. E., "Elastic Lobar Buckling of Ring-Supported Cylindrical Shells under Hydrostatic Pressure," David Taylor Model Basin Report 1614 (Sep 1962).

4. Salerno, V. L. and Pulos, J. G., "Stress Distribution in a Circular Cylindrical Shell under Hydrostatic Pressure Supported by Equally Spaced Circular Ring Frames," Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn Aeronautical Laboratory Report 171-A (Jun 1951).

5. Short, R. D., "Membrane Design for Stiffened Cylindrical Shells under Uniform Pressure," David Taylor Model Basin Report (in preparation).

6. Seely, F. and Smith, V., "Advanced Mechanics of Materials," Second Edition, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York (1955).

7. Krenzke, M. A., "Effect of Initial Deflections and Residual Welding Stresses on Elastic Behavior and Collapse Pressure of Stiffened Cylinders Subjected to External Hydrostatic Pressure," David Taylor Model Basin Report 1327 (Apr 1960).

9. Pulos, J. G., et al., "Investigation of the Strength-Weight Characteristics of Cylindrical, Sandwich-Type Pressure Hull Structures," David Taylor Model Basin Report 1678 (in preparation).

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION

Copies		Copies	
16	CHBUSHIPS	Ţ	SUPSHIP, Pascagoula
	2 Sci & Res Sec (Code 442)	1	Ingalls Shipbldg Corp
	3 Tech Lib Br (Code 320)	1	SUPSHIP. Camden
	1 Struc Mech, Hull Mat & Fab	7	New York Shinhldr Comp
	(Code 341Å)	-	New TOPK Shipping Corp
	1 Prelim Des Br (Code 420) 2 Prolim Des Sec (Code 421)	T	SUPSHIP, Quincy
	1 Ship Protec (Code 423)	1	DIR, DEF R & E, Attn: Tech Lib
	l Hull Des Br (Code 440)	1	CO, USNROTC & NAVADMINU, MIT
	1 Hull Struc Sec (Code 443)	1	0 in C, PGSCOL, Webb
	1 Sub Br (Code 525) 1 Hull Arrst Struc, & Preserv	, 1	DIR. APL. Univ of Wash. Seattle
	(Code 633)	, <u> </u>	NAS Atta: Comm on Undersee
	1 Pres Ves Sec (Code 651F)	T	Warfare
2	CHONR	1	Dr. E. Wenk, Jr., Tech Asst,
	1 Struc Mech Br (Code 439) 1 Undersea Pro (Code 466)		The White House
4	CNO	1	Dr. R. DeHart, SWRI
•	1 Tech Anal & Adv Gr (Op 07T) 1 Plans, Pro & Req Br (Op 311	1)	Mr. L. P. Zick, Chicago Bridge & Iron Co
	1 Sub Program Br (Op 713)	1	Prof. E. O. Waters, Yale Univ
2	CHBUWEPS, SP-001	2	Mr. C. F. Larson, Secy, Welding Research Council
10	DIR, DDC	1	Mr. J. L. Mershon, AEC
1	CO & DIR, USMEL	- 1	Mc. V. Mayor, WHOI
1	CDR. USNOL	*	
1	DIR. USNRL (Code 2027)		
- 1	CO & DTR. USNUSL		
- 1	CO & DIR, USNEL		
- 1	CDR. USNOTS. China Lake		
- 1	CO. USNUOS		
2	NAVSHIPYD PTSMH		
- · 2	NAVSHIPYD MARE		
1	NAVSHIPYD CHASN		
l	SUPSHIP, Groton		
1	EB Div, Gen Dyn Corp		
1	SUPSHIP, NNS		
1	NNSB & DD CO		

. . . .

 S. Pressure hulls Design	 Fressure hulls Besign-Hodel tests bubmarine hulls Structurel analysis Model tests Submarine hulls NaterialsStrength Krengke, Martin A. II. Kiernan, Thomas J. III. S-F013 03 02
collapse in the plastic general-instability mode.	collapse in the plastic general-instability mode.
These tests also demonstrated that a semi-infinite	These tests also demonstrated that a semi-infinite
sandwich hull normally will have less than a 10-per-	sandwich hull normally will have less than a l0-per-
cent strength advantage over an optimum semi-in-	cent strength advantage over an optimum semi-in-
finite ring-stiffened hull of the same material and	finite ring-stiffened hull of the same material and
weight. Based on these and earlier results, the	weight. Based on these and earlier results, the
strength-weight characteristics of composite semi-	strength-weight characteristics of composite semi-
infinite cylindrical hulls of various combinations	infinite cylindrical hulls of various combinations
of materials are estimated.	of materials are estimated.
 Fressure hulls Design-Model tests Submarine hulls Structural analysis Model tests Submarine hulls Materials-Strength Krenzke, Martin A. II. Kiernan, Thomas J. III. S-FOL3 03 02 	 Fressure hulls Design-Model tests Structural analysis Model tests Submarine hulls Materials-Strength Krenzke, Martin A. Krenzke, Martin A. Kiernan, Thomas J.
collapse in the Flastic general-instability mode.	collapse in the plastic general-instability mode.
These tosts also demonstrated that a semi-infinite	These tests also demonstrated that a semi-infinite
sandwich hull normally will have less than a 10-per-	sandwich hull normally will have less than a lopper-
cent strength advantuge over an optimum semi-in-	cent strength advantage over an optixum semi-in-
finite ring-stiffened hull of the same material and	finite ring-stiffened hull of the same material and
weight. Based on these and earlier results, the	weight. Based on these and earlier results, the
strength-weight characteristics of composite semi-	strength-weight characteristics of composite semi-
infinite cylindrical hulls of various combinations	infinite cylindrical hulls of various combinations
of materials are estimated.	of materials are estimated.

)

1.

<pre>5. Fressure hulls</pre>	 Fressure hulls Design-Model tests bubmarine hulls Structural analysis Model tests Submarine hulls Miterial sStrengh Hiterial sStrengh II. Kiernan, Thomas J. III. S-P013 03 02
collapse in the plastic general-instability mode. These tests also demonstrated that a semi-infinite sadwich hull normally will have less than a lopper- cent strength advantage over an optimum semi-in- finite ring-stiffened hull of the same material and weight. Based on these and earlier results, the strength weight characteristics of composite semi- infinite cylindrical hulls of various combinations of materials are estimated.	collepse in the plastic general-instability more. These tests also demonstrated that a semi-infinite statutch uil normally will fine tess than a lopper- cert strength adamting over an optimur semi-in- finite ring-stiffened hull of the same material and weight. Rased on these and earlier results, the strength-weigh durateristics of composite semi- infinite cylindrocal hulls of various combinutions of materials are estimated.
5. Prevare Fullan- Ber - 1-Model Fracts Ber - 1-Model Fracts Serrectural analysis- Nodel testa Nodel testa Naterials-Strongth I. Krenzle, Martin A. II. Kiernun, Thomas J. III. S-0013 (t) 02	 Pressure Mullan- Berign-Mc. (1) texts Berign-Mc. (1) texts Schnarine Mullan- Structur, analysis- Nodel to: (3) Kabnarine Mullan- T. Maharine Mullan- Hiternan, Themas J. Hit. S-P013 03 02
collapse in the plants proceed-instability made. There fors also demonstrated that a semi-infinite senderich hull normally will have less than a joper- ernt strength obsertage over an optimum semi-in- finite ring-matificened hull of the same material and wright. Planed on these and carlier results, the strength-wright characteristics of composite semi- infinite cylindrical hulls of various combinations of materials are estimated.	collapse in the plastic general-underbility mode. There to a lab demonstrated that a semi-inflaste semicich hull normally will have less than a loger- orn: strength advantage over an ptiman sau-un- finite runt-:

Lub. Rierman, Mey 196 14. 200	 Cylumirical com- positic shells (Strend)Marcri talsMarcri talsMarcri Cylumirical com- positic shells (Chlamirical com- cuth)Value (Strend)	TELYS OF RINC-STIFTERD CONSTRE CTLINDERS (NEW EXTRUME AN ADDITION TO ADDITING AND ADDITION EXTRUME AN ADDITION ADDITION ADDITION ADDITION FOR CALIFORMER SEP 1963. MILLAS MILLASSIFTED Mydrescaric pressure to determine the structural be- havier of ring-stiffered and sandwich hulls of com- posite construction. Of particular interest in this series of tring-stiffered and compactment institution collapse strength in the plastic general- instebility and and the relative strength-weight characteristics of ring-stiffered and sandwich instebility and and the relative strength-weight construction. Of farticular interest in this series of tests were the effects of compartment instebility and and the relative strength-weight characteristics of ring-stiffered and sandwich cylindrical bulls. The test results demonstrated the importance of representing the actual prototype compartment length when testing a model which may	posice shells (Stiffened) Max ials-Model test offindracai cor posice shells (wich)-Material Model test (Stiffened)-mol lapse-Mode) tes lapse-Mode) test posite shells (5 wich)Collapse- Model tests
Pauld Terior Nodel Rama. Appert 1735. TBUS OF RING-CITTOND COMPALIT. TTLANDS IN STERIAL REPRODUTING PREASER, by W. A. Krenska and S.J. Reprod. 401, 151, 257, 11141, EXCLARS FOR C.J. Reprod. 401, 151, 257, 11141, EXCLARS FOR C.J. Reprod. 401, 151, 257, 11141, EXCLARS FOR C.J. Reprod. 401, 151, 257, 11141, 1124 For C.J. Reprod. 401, 151, 257, 11141, 1124 For C.J. Reprod. 401, 151, 151, 151, 151, 151, 151, 151, 1	 Cylindrical con- geol (c. dolla) Stiffand)-Mater- Jala-Madrid - Mater- Jala-Matrial con- points shells (Sau- vich)-Material con- points shells (Sau- vich) conterial con- trial cests Otherrical con- trial cests Otherrical con- points shells (Sau- vich) conterial con- point shells (Sau- vich) conterial con- point conterial prist conterial 	 Javid Tavior Model Basim, Report 1725. Tüsts ob Mikus-KiribSkib coupositt: UtLingka, NBDP EATSAM, MDMUSTATIC PRESNIP, by 9. A. Krenzke and I.J. Akornan. Sep 19(1), 14, 29, 111us, graphs, di acre, calitates, refs. Phur dyladical models whe test di under external bydroatic pressure to determine the structural betweer of formine the structural betweer of fores of comparison units services of comparison units for our effects of comparison units services of intercifiered and sandwich hulls of comparison of particular interest units services of comparison units services of the relative structure. It within the plastic generative structure. Sevice the effects of and sandwich for the structure structure. 	 Cylindrical composite shells Cylindrical composite shells Stiffened) A ti jals-Model A ti fals A ti fa

collapse in the plastic general-instability mode. These tests also demonstrated that a semi-infinite sandwich hull normally will have less than a 10-percent strength advantage over an .ptimum semi-infinite ring-stiffened hull of the same material and wright. Based on these and carlier results, the strength-wright characteristics of composite semiinfinite cylindrical Hulls of various combinations of materials are estimated.

 Pressurc hulls--Design--Wordel test:
 Submarine hulis--Structural analysis--Model tests
 Submar ine hulls--Materiuls--Strength
 Krenzke, Martin A.
 Kierran, Thomas J.
 S-PO13 03 02

collapse in the plastic general-instability mode. These tests also demonstrated that a semi-infinite sandwich hull normally will have less than a l0-percent strength advantage over an optimum semi-infinite ring-stiffened hull of the same material and weight. Based on these and earlier results, the strength-weight characteristics of composite semiinfinite cylindrical hulls of various combinations of materials are estimated.

Pressure hulls-- Design--Model tests
 Submarine hulls-- Structural analysis- Model tests
 Nomerine hulls--

1

7. Suomarine hulls---7. Suomarine hulls---Materials--Strength I. Krenzke, Marvin A. 11. Kiernan, Thomas J. [11. S-PO13 03 02

> collapse in the plastic general-instability mode. These tests also demonstrated that a semi-infinite sandwich hull normally will have less than a 10-percent strength advantage over an optiaum semi-infinite ring-stiffened hull of the same material and weight. Based on these and earlier results, the strength-weight characteristics of composite semiinfinite cylindrical hulls of various combinations of materials are estimated.

 Fressure hulls--Design-Model tests
 Submarine hulls--Structural analysis--Model tests
 Submarine hulls--Materials--Strength
 Kiernan, Thomas J.

III. S-F013 03 02

collapse in the plastic general-instability rode. These tests also demonstrated that a seri-infinite sandwich hull normally will have less than a 10-percent strength advantage over an optimun semi-infinite ring-stiffened hull of the same material and weight. Based on these and earlier results, the strength-weight characteristics of composite semiinfinite cylindrical hulls of various combinations of materials are estimated.

b. Submarine hulls--Structural analysis--Model tests
7. Submarine hulls--Materials--Strength
I. Krenzke, Martin A.
II. Kiernan, Thomas J.
III. S-F013 03 02

Design-Hodel tests

5. Pressure hulls---

Structural analysis-Structural analysis--Design--Model tests 6. Submarine hulls--Design-yodel tests I. Krenzke, Martin A. II. Kiernan, Thomas J. II. S-FOIJ 03 02 Materials--Strength I. Krenzke, Marvin A. II. Kiernan, Thomas J. Material s-Strength 7. Submarine hulls--6. Submarine hulls--7. Submarine hulls---5. Pressure hulls ---5. Preisure hulls--II. S-F013 03 C2 Model tests Model tests 2 These tests also demonstrated that a semi-infinite sandwich hull normally will have less than a 10-per-These tests also demonstrated that a semi-infinite sandwich hull normally will have less than a 10-per-cent strength advantage over an optimum semi-infinite ring-stiffened hull of the same material and finite ring-stiffened hull of the same material and weight. Based on these and earlier results, the strength-weight characteristics of composite semiinfinite cylindrical hulls of various combinations weight. Based on these and earlier results, the strength-weight characteristics of composite semiinfinite cylindrical hulls of various combinations collapse in the plastic general-instability mode. collapse in the plastic general-instability mode. cent strength advantage over an optimum semi-inof materials ars estimated. of materials are estimated. Structural analysis-Structural analysis--Design-Model tests Materials-Strength II. Kiernan, Thomas J. I. Krenzke, Martin A. Design-Hodel tests Materials--Strength I. Krenzke, Martin A. II. Kierran, Thomas J. 7. Submarine hulls--6. Submarine hulis-7. Submar ine hulls--6. Submarine hulls-5. Pressure hulls--5. Pressure hulls--III. S-F013 03 02 III. S-FOL 3 03 02 Model tests Model tests These tests also demonstrated that a semi-infinite sandwich hull normally will have less than a 10-per-cent strength advantage over an optimum semi-in-These tests also demonstrated that a semi-infinite sandwich hull normally will have less than a l0-peu-cent strength advantage over an optimum semi-infinite ring-stiffened hull of the same material and finite ring-stiffened hull of the same material and weight. Based on these and earlier results, the strength-weight characteristics of composite semiinfinite cylindrical hulls of various combinations weight. Based on these and carlier results, the strength-weight characteristics of composite semiinfinite cylindrical bulls of various combinations collapse in the plastic general-instability mode. collapse in the plastic general-instability mode. of materials are estimated. of materials are estimated.

