UNCLASSIFIED AD 4 2 1 1 8 1

DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

FOR

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

CAMERON STATION, ALEXANDRIA. VIRGINIA



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

TECHNICAL NOTE R-52

and the second second and the second s

TI

00

-

421

CATALOGED BY DDC AS AD No. A FORTRAN PROGRAM FOR COMPUTING REFECTION, TRANSMISSION, AND ABSORPTION COEFFICIENTS FOR AN INHOMOGENEOUS PLASMA LAYER

Prepared By

B. H. Kavanaugh, Jr.

J. M. Scarborough

June, 1963





OCT 2 5 1963

USOBU UN TISIA B

TECHNICAL NOTE R-52

A FORTRAN PROGRAM FOR COMPUTING REFLECTION, TRANSMISSION, AND ABSORPTION COEFFICIENTS FOR AN INHOMOGENEOUS PLASMA LAYER

June, 1963

Prepared For

RE-ENTRY PHYSICS SECTION RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT DIRECTORATE ARMY MISSILE COMMAND

By

SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES BROWN ENGINEERING COMPANY, INC.

Contract No. DA-01-009-ORD-1019

Prepared By:

B. H. Kavanaugh, Jr.

J. M. Scarborough

ABSTRACT

This report describes a FORTRAN computer program for computing the transmission, reflection and absorption coefficients of an inhomogeneous plasma layer. A modified Runge-Kutta integration scheme is used to solve Maxwell's equations for the electric and magnetic fields at the boundary of the plasma layer.

Approved:

CWa Kan

Raymond C. Watson, Jr. Director of Scientific Research

.

Γ

U

1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
INTRODUCTION	1
ANALYSIS OF INHOMOGENEOUS PLASMA LAYER	2
TESTS	7
RESULTS	11
CONCLUSIONS	14
REFERENCES	15
APPENDIX A	16
Subroutine RUNGKT	18
Subroutine DERIV	23
Subroutine PRINT	24
APPENDIX B	25
Inputs to the Program	26
Output of the Program	29
List of FORTRAN Statements	30
Flow Charts	45

A. Tak

LIST OF SYMBOLS

 \Box

 $\left[\right]$

Ο

0

[]

D

0

A	absorption coefficient
B	time dependent magnetic induction vector
C	speed of light in vacuum = 2.9979576 x 10^8
D	time dependent electric displacement vector
Ē	time dependent electric intensity vector
E(z)	complex magnitude of electric field intensity within plasma
Ei	imaginary part of E(0)
$\mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{I}}$	complex magnitude of transmitted electric field intensity
Er	real part of E(0)
ET	complex magnitude of transmitted electric field intensity
6	base of Napierian logarithms
EoI	complex amplitude of incident electric field intensity
ਜੋ	time dependent magnetic field intensity vector
H(z)	complex magnitude of incident magnetic field intensity
Hi	imaginary part of H(0)
н ^I	complex magnitude of incident magnetic field intensity
H _r	real part of H(o)
$\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{T}}$	complex magnitude of transmitted magnetic field intensity
i	$\sqrt{-1}$
H _o I	complex amplitude of transmitted magnetic field intensity
Ĵ	time dependent true current density
Kr	relative permittivity of plasma

LIST OF SYMBOLS (Continued)

.

 \square

ĸ	dimensionless conductivity of plasma
k	propagation constant for dielectric window
k _o	free space propagation constant
R	reflection coefficient
S	parameter defined by Equation (21)
T	transmission coefficient
t	time
Z	Cartesian co-ordinate normal to surface of plasma layer
zo	value of z at "outer" surface of plasma layer
Greek	Symbols
a	propagation constant of plasma
β	attenuation constant of plasma
δ	parameter defined by Equation (22)
٤m	permittivity of dielectric adjoining plasma layer
ε _O	permittivity of free space (8.854 x 10^{-12} farad/meter)
٤	effective permittivity of plasma
λ	wavelength in plasma
λ _o	free space wavelength
μ _o	permeability of free space ($4\pi \times 10^{-7}$ henry/meter)
σ	effective conductivity of plasma
ω	angular frequency of incident wave

v

LIST OF FORTRAN SYMBOLS

AM	absorption coefficient
E	electric field
E2	error limits in the integration
F150	CODE - 0 on all cases but last
F ₁₅₁	A for conductivity
£ 152	B for conductivity
F ₁₅₃	C for conductivity
F ₁₅₄	D for conductivity
F ₁₅₅	E for conductivity
F156	A for permittivity
F ₁₅₇	B for permittivity
F158	C for permittivity
F159	D for permittivity
F ₁₆₀	E for permittivity
F ₁₆₁	M1
F ₁₆₂	M ₂
F ₁₆₃	M ₃
F ₁₆₄	M4
F ₁₆₅	M ₅ Dielectric Constants
F166	M ₆
F ₁₆₇	M ₇
F ₁₆₈	M ₈
F 169	Mg
F 170	M ₁₀

Π

Π

0

F174	MB	conductivity equation numbers
F175	J	permittivity equation numbers
н	magneti	c field
OMA	omega	
PHIE	φE	phase of the electric field at $z = 0$
PHIH	ф _Н	phase of the magnetic field at $z = 0$
RM	reflecti	on coefficient
TE	end of r	ange
ТМ	transmi	ission coefficient
TT	beginni	ng of range
 ¥1	real con	mponent of electric field
¥2	imagina	ary component of electric field
¥3	real co	mponent of magnetic field
¥4	imagina	ary component of magnetic field

Ο Π 5 \square

項

vii

INTRODUCTION

The program described herein uses a method for computing reflection and transmission coefficients for a plane-parallel inhomogeneous isotropic layer of plasma in which the plasma properties are functions only of distance along a normal to the surface of the layer with normal incidence assumed, as presented in a paper by Scarborough.¹

This report presents a direct and expedient method for computing these coefficients for a wide variety of distributions of both permittivity and conductivity which takes full advantage of available digital computers. The method involves the direct numerical integration of Maxwell's equations within the plasma by a modified Runge-Kutta integration process that allows accuracy control in the solution of differential equations.

ANALYSIS OF INHOMOGENEOUS PLASMA LAYER

Maxwell's equations for a stationary medium containing no free charges are

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{\mathbf{D}} = 0 \quad , \tag{1}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0 , \qquad (2)$$

$$\nabla \mathbf{x} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{E}} = -\frac{\partial \vec{\mathbf{E}}}{\partial t} , \qquad (3)$$

$$\nabla \mathbf{x} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{H}} = \vec{\mathbf{J}} + \frac{\partial \vec{\mathbf{D}}}{\partial t}$$
(4)

As is shown in Reference 1, Maxwell's equation can be reduced to the following form for an inhomogeneous plasma layer in which the plasma properties are functions of a single Cartesian co-ordinate z normal to the surface of the layer:

$$\frac{dE_{r}}{dz} = -\omega\mu_{0}H_{i}$$
 (5)

$$\frac{dE_i}{dz} = \omega \mu_0 H_r$$
 (6)

$$\frac{dH_r}{dz} = -\omega\varepsilon_0 (K_i E_r + K_r E_i) , \qquad (7)$$

$$\frac{dH_i}{dz} = \omega \varepsilon_0 (K_r E_r - K_i E_i) .$$
(8)

Π Π U

In these expressions

$$K_r = \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0}$$
 ,

and

$$K_{i} = \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon_{0}\omega} \qquad (10)$$

(9)

with ε and σ functions of the co-ordinate z.

A transmitted wave E^{T} of unit amplitude and phase $(k_{0}z - k_{0}z_{0})$ is assumed in the free-space region immediately "outside" (i.e., $z > z_{0}$) the plasma layer as shown in Figure 1. With $E^{T}(z_{0}) = E_{T}(z_{0}) + iE_{i}(z_{0}) =$ 1 + i0 and $H^{T}(z_{0}) = H_{T}(z_{0}) + iH_{i}(z_{0}) = \sqrt{\epsilon_{0}/\mu_{0}} + i0$ as initial values, the system of equations (5), (6), (7), and (8) is integrated numerically over the range $0 \le z \le z_{0}$ using the modified Runge-Kutta method described in Appendix A. The integration proceeds backward along the z axis to the origin which is taken at the interface between the plasma layer and the dielectric window of the transmitting antenna. Across the boundary z = 0, it is required that the electric and magnetic fields be continuous, and the following equations are derived for reflection and transmission coefficients in terms of the terminal values $E_{T}(0)$, $E_{i}(0)$, $H_{T}(0)$, $H_{i}(0)$ of the fields:

$$R = \frac{\left[\sqrt{\varepsilon_{m}}E_{r}(o) - \sqrt{\mu_{0}}H_{r}(o)\right]^{2} + \left[\sqrt{\varepsilon_{m}}E_{i}(o) - \sqrt{\mu_{0}}H_{i}(o)\right]^{2}}{\left[\sqrt{\varepsilon_{m}}E_{r}(o) + \sqrt{\mu_{0}}H_{r}(o)\right]^{2} + \left[\sqrt{\varepsilon_{m}}E_{i}(o) + \sqrt{\mu_{0}}H_{i}(o)\right]^{2}}$$
(11)



Ο

Π

Ο

Figure 1. Schematic Representation Showing the Type of Solution Valid in Each Region

4

$$\Gamma = \frac{4\sqrt{\varepsilon_m \varepsilon_o}}{\left[\sqrt{\varepsilon_m} E_r(o) + \sqrt{\mu_o} H_r(o)\right]^2 + \left[\sqrt{\varepsilon_m} E_i(o) + \sqrt{\mu_o} H_i(o)\right]^2} \quad (12)$$

The absorption coefficient, A, is then given by

$$A = 1 - (R + T)$$
 . (13)

The expressions for $K_r(z)$ and $K_i(z)$ are chosen independently from the following list and these choices are specified in the input to the program.

$$K(z) = Az^4 + Bz^3 + Cz^{4^2} Dz + E$$
, (14)

$$K(z) = Az^{-4} + Bz^{-3} + Cz^{-2} + Dz^{-1} + E , \qquad (15)$$

$$K(z) = Ae^{Bz} + Ce^{-Dz} + E , \qquad (16)$$

$$K(z) = Ae^{-\frac{(z+B)^2}{C}} + D + E . \qquad (17)$$

The constants A, B, C, D, and E are chosen to give the best fit to the true distributions over a given range of z. Several expressions may be used to obtain a piecewise fit over the entire range $0 \le z \le z_0$ as explained in Appendix B.

The choice of location of the boundaries separating the various ranges is largely arbitrary, but the following conditions should be observed: all boundaries should be located at z values which are integral multiples of $z_0/100$. If this is not possible, an effort should be made to locate the boundaries at points just less than an integral multiple of $z_0/100$ as the program will in effect shift the boundary to the next integral multiple of $z_0/100$ greater than the boundary specified. In most cases this poses no serious limitation upon the accuracy or the usefulness of the program.

In order to determine the accuracy of the program and to investigate the effects of variations in certain parameters on accuracy, a number of test cases were computed and the results compared with those found by other methods. The tests performed were divided into three groups: (1) homogeneous non-conducting layers, (2) homogeneous conducting layers, and (3) inhomogeneous conducting layers. Results of the first two groups of tests were compared with values computed using analytic solutions for these simple cases; results of the third group were compared with those obtained by Albini and Jahn² for trapezoidal distributions of electron densities.

Group I. Homogeneous Non-conducting Layers

In these tests reflection coefficients were computed using the following values for the various parameters:

 $K_i = 0$ $K_r = 1, 2, 4$

Layer Thickness = $z_0 = 1 m$, 0.1 m, 0.01 m

 $\frac{z_0}{\lambda} = 0.125, 0.250, 0.375, 0.500, 0.675, 0.750, 0.875, 1.000, 1.500, 2.000, 4.000$

 $\frac{\epsilon_{\rm m}}{\epsilon_{\rm o}} = 1, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, 4.0, 4.5, 5.0, 5.5$

Π

Π

Values computed using the formula

$$\begin{cases} \left[\left(\sqrt{\epsilon_{\rm m}}/\epsilon_{\rm o} - \sqrt{K_{\rm r}}\right) \left(\sqrt{K_{\rm r}} + 1\right) + \left(\sqrt{\epsilon_{\rm m}}/\epsilon_{\rm o} + \sqrt{K_{\rm r}}\right) \left(\sqrt{K_{\rm r}} - 1\right) \right]^{2} \\ -4 \left(\frac{\epsilon_{\rm m}}{\epsilon_{\rm o}} - K_{\rm r} \right) \left(K_{\rm r} - 1\right) \sin^{2} \frac{2\pi z_{\rm o}}{\lambda} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

$$R = \frac{\left[\left(\sqrt{\epsilon_{\rm m}}/\epsilon_{\rm o}} + \sqrt{K_{\rm r}}\right) \left(\sqrt{K_{\rm r}} + 1\right) + \left(\sqrt{\epsilon_{\rm m}}/\epsilon_{\rm o}} - \sqrt{K_{\rm r}}\right) \left(\sqrt{K_{\rm r}} - 1\right) \right]^{2} \\ -4 \left(\frac{\epsilon_{\rm m}}{\epsilon_{\rm o}} - K_{\rm r} \right) \left(K_{\rm r} - 1\right) \sin^{2} \frac{2\pi z_{\rm o}}{\lambda} \end{cases}$$

$$(18)$$

were used for comparison.

Group II. Homogeneous Conducting Layers

Reflection and transmission coefficients were computed for the following conditions:

$$\frac{K_{i}}{1-K_{r}} = 0, 0.1$$

 $\frac{K_{i}^{2} + 1}{1 - K_{r}} = 0.5, 1.0, 4.0$ $\frac{z_{0}}{\lambda} = 0.5, 3.0$ $z_{0} = 0.1, 0.01$ $\frac{\varepsilon_{m}}{\varepsilon_{0}} = 1$

These results were compared with those obtained from a second computer program which computes R and T from the formulas:³

$$R = \frac{\sin^2 az_0 + \sinh^2 \beta z_0}{\sin^2 (az_0 + \delta) + \sinh^2 (\beta z_0 + S)}, \quad (19)$$

$$T = \frac{\sin^2 \delta + \sinh^2 S}{\sin^2 (\alpha z_0 + \delta) + \sinh^2 (\beta z_0 + S)}$$
(20)

where

Û

S =
$$\ln \left[\frac{(k_0 + a)^2 + \beta^2}{(k_0 - a)^2 + \beta^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
, (21)

$$\delta = \tan^{-1} \frac{2k_0\beta}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - k_0^2}$$
, (22)

and

$$h = \frac{k_0}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sqrt{K_r^2 + K_i^2} + K_r \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} , \qquad (23)$$

$$\beta = \frac{k_0}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sqrt{K_r^2 + K_i^2} - K_r \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$
(24)

Group III. Inhomogeneous Conducting Layers

 \Box

 \Box

CT2

In Reference 2, Albini and Jahn present in graphical form the results of computations of reflection and transmission coefficients for plasma layers having electron density distributions of trapezoidal form (i.e., distributions which are constant over the central region of the layer and decrease linearly to zero electron density at the surfaces). A constant collision frequency is assumed. These computations were based on analytical expressions obtained by matching plane wave solutions in the uniform central region with appropriate Airy function solutions in the inhomogeneous regions.

The following values of parameters were used to reproduce a particular set of results as presented in Reference 2:

 $\begin{array}{rcl} K_{r} &=& -30.\ 4\ z \,+\, 1 \\ K_{i} &=& 4.\ 0\ z \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} 0 \leq z \leq 0.\ 025 \\ \end{array}$

 $K_r = 0.24$ $K_i = 0.10$ $0.025 \le z \le z_0 - 0.025$

 $\begin{array}{ll} K_{r} &=& 30.4 \ z + (1 - 30.4 \ z_{0}) \\ K_{i} &=& -4.0 \ (z - z_{0}) \end{array} \qquad (z_{0} - 0.025) \leq z \leq z_{0} \\ \end{array}$

 $z_0 = 0.06, 0.08, 0.10, 0.12, 0.14, 0.16, 0.18, 0.20$

 $\omega = 1.8836723 \times 10^{12}$ $\frac{\epsilon_{\rm m}}{\epsilon_{\rm o}} = 1$

In order to conserve space, only a few results typical of their particular groups are presented.

Group I.

Û

0

Ο

[]

0

Real

Reflection coefficients for the case $K_r = 2$, $K_i = 0$, and $\epsilon_m/\epsilon_0 = 3$ are listed below for eleven values of z_0/λ and two values of z_0 . For convenience in comparison, the corresponding values of R as computed from equation (18) are listed in the adjacent column.

z_0/λ	(program)	(equation 18)
0.125	3.9630627×10^{-2}	3.96305 x 10^{-2}
0.250	5.1548061 x 10^{-3}	5. 15478 x 10 ⁻³
0.230	3.9630426×10^{-2}	3.96305 x 10^{-2}
0.575	7. 1796888 x 10^{-2}	7.17968 x 10^{-2}
0.500	3.9630644×10^{-2}	3.96305 x 10^{-2}
0,025	5. 1548134 x 10^{-3}	5.15478 x 10 ⁻³
0. 150	3.9635804×10^{-2}	3.96305 x 10^{-2}
0.875	7. 1796971×10^{-2}	7.17968 x 10^{-2}
1.000	7. 1796929 x 10^{-2}	7.17968 x 10 ⁻²
1,500	7. 1796910×10^{-2}	7. 17968 x 10^{-2}
4 000	7. 1796868 $\times 10^{-2}$	7. 17968 x 10^{-2}

 $z_0 = 1 m$

z _o /λ	(program)	R (equation 18)
0.125	3.9891224 x 10^{-2}	3.96305 x 10^{-2}
0.250	5.1592200 x 10^{-3}	5.15479 x 10 ⁻³
0.375	3.9632806 x 10^{-2}	3.96305 x 10^{-2}
0.500	7.1796898 x 10^{-2}	7.17968 x 10 ⁻²
0.625	3.9626767 x 10^{-2}	3, 96305 x 10^{-2}
0.750	5. 1548193 x 10^{-3}	5.15478 x 10^{-3}
0.875	3.9635924 x 10^{-2}	3.96305×10^{-2}
1.000	7.1796883 x 10^{-2}	7.17968 x 10^{-2}
1.500	7.1796945 x 10^{-2}	7.17968 x 10^{-2}
2.000	7.1796888 × 10^{-2}	7.17968 x 10^{-2}
4.000	7.1796868 x 10^{-2}	7.17968 x 10^{-2}

 $\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{0}} = 0.01 \text{ m}$

Group II.

·

The following results apply to the case $K_i/(1 - K_r) = 0.1$, $z_0/\lambda = 0.5$, $z_0 = 0.1$ m, $\varepsilon_m/\varepsilon_0 = 1$, for three values of $(K_i^2 + 1)/(1 - K_r)$: (Values of R and T as computed from equations (19) and (20) respectively are listed for comparison.)

Reflection Coefficients

$\frac{K_i^2 + 1}{1 - K_r}$	R (program)	R (equation 19)	
0.5	3. 2831956 x 10 ⁻²	3. 2820612 x 10 ⁻²	
1.0	4.9112033 x 10 ⁻¹	4.9111955 x 10^{-1}	
4.0	8.9099815 x 10^{-1}	8.9100520 x 10 ⁻¹	

Transmission Coefficients

$\frac{K_i^2 + 1}{1 - K_r}$	T (program)	T (equation 20)	
0.5	2. 5536399 x:10 ⁻¹	2.5531482 \times 10 ⁻¹	
1.0	2.0548905 x 10 ⁻¹	2.0548882 x 10 ⁻¹	
4.0	5. 3000023 x 10^{-5}	5.2958208 x 10 ⁻⁵	

Group III.

朝

0

0

Results of the inhomogeneous conducting layer tests are tabulated below for comparison with values read from the curve of Reference 2. Due to the limitations inherent in reading values from such curves, the last digit in each of these numbers is doubtful.

z_0/λ_0	R (program)	R (Reference 2)
0.6	1.6026404×10^{-1}	1.61×10^{-1}
0.8	1. 5735421 x 10^{-1}	1.57 x 10^{-1}
1.0	8.7658395 x 10^{-2}	8.76 x 10^{-2}
1.2	4. 1034775 x 10^{-2}	4.04 x 10^{-2}
1.4	5.9441907 x 10^{-2}	5.90 x 10^{-2}
1.6	9.3593028 x 10^{-2}	9.36 x 10^{-2}
1.0	9.7007352 x 10^{-2}	9.67 x 10^{-2}
2.0	7.7091658 x 10^{-2}	7.73×10^{-2}

CONCLUSIONS

 \prod

From the results of the Group I tests, it is seen that the program yields values in good agreement with the theoretical-values of reflection coefficient: Accuracy seems to be generally poorest for combinations of small z_0 and (relatively) large λ . For other combinations, the program yields results consistently accurate to five significant figures with occasional seven-place accuracy. In no case tested was the accuracy less than 1%.

Results of the Group II tests indicate that the introduction of conductivity into the homogeneous layer does not degrade the accuracy of the program, and transmission coefficients are computed with comparable accuracy.

Results of the third group of tests indicate that inhomogeneities in K_r and K_i requiring piecewise fitting over several ranges of z may be successfully treated. No conclusions concerning the accuracy of the program in this case may be drawn from these results, since in this case, the program is probably more accurate than the values used for comparison.

It should be pointed out that in applications of the relation

$$\omega = \frac{2\pi c}{\lambda_0}$$

to determine the frequency required to produce a wave of given length λ_{\bullet} in free space, the speed of light c was taken as 2.9979576 x 10⁸ meters/second in order to be consistent with the relation $\epsilon = (\epsilon_0 \mu_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

REFERENCES

0

O

 \Box

0

- Scarborough, J. M., "A Numerical Method for Computing Transmission and Reflection Coefficients of an Inhomogeneous Plasma Layer", Brown Engineering Company, Inc., Technical Note R-51, June, 1963
- 2. Albini, F. A., and R. G. Jahn, "Reflection and Transmission of Electromagnetic Waves at Electron Density Gradients", J. Appl. Phys., Vol. 32, No. 1, January, 1961
- 3. Stratton, J. A., <u>Electromagnetic Theory</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 1941
- 4. Miller, James and Miller, Robert, "AA ICE4 Integration with Controlled Error", FORTRAN II Subroutine, Westinghouse Air Arm, March 7, 1961
- 5. Fritz, W. B. and Moraff, N., "Automatic Error Control in the Solution of Differential Equations", Paper Presented at the ACM Meeting, University of Houston, June 19, 1957
- 6. Miller, James and Miller, Robert, AA INTI "Second, Third, and Fourth Order Runge-Kutta Integration", FORTRAN II Subroutine, Westinghouse Air Arm, March 7, 1961
- 7. Scarborough, J. B., Numerical Mathematical Analysis. The John Hopkins Press, Baltimore, Maryland, 1962

П

0

[].

Ο

D

0

0

D

SUBROUTINE RUNGKT	18
SUBROUTINE DERIV	23
SUBROUTINE PRINT	24

LIST OF SYMBOLS FOR SUBROUTINE RUNGKT

Ω

Ω

Į

E	maximum relative error
En, i + 1	error estimate for each of the 4 dependent variables
f _n	a function
h	step size
i	refers to the old value of the independent variable
i + 1	refers to the solution at the new value of the independent variable
I	order of integration (2, 3, or 4)
n	values 1-4 inclusive - referring to the 4 equations
$R_{n, i+1}$	relative error
У _п	dependent variables
y _n	first time derivative of the dependent variables
У _n ,i	old values of dependent variables
Yn, i + 1	new values of dependent variables
z i	specific value of the independent variable
z ₀	point on z axis in free space region
(I)	one-step approximation
(II)	two-step approximation

SUBROUTINE RUNGKT

The method used by SUBROUTINE RUNGKT is briefly described as follows:⁴

- 1. Use initial conditions for the 4 dependent variables as solutions at $z = z_0$ and adopt the maximum step size permissible.
- Perform a Runge-Kutta integration to find the single step solution for the new value of the independent variable, z.
- 3. Halve the integration step size.

{

- 4. Resolve the problem from the last convergent point using two applications of the reduced step size.
- 5. Compute an extrapolated solution making use of both solutions.
- 6. Compare the two-step solution with the extrapolated solution.
- 7. If the two solutions are sufficiently close, the procedure is continued using the extrapolated solution as initial values at the updated point z.
- 8. If the two solutions are not sufficiently close, the reduced step size is again halved and the procedure restarted from step 4 using the conditions at the last convergent point.

9. If the two solutions agree too closely, then the basic step is doubled and the procedure restarted at step 1 using the extrapolated solution at the new value of the independent variable.

The above briefly describes the method; however, additional details are given below.

The one-step approximation is found by a Runge-Kutta numerical integration.

The two-step approximation is found by halving the step size and computing a one-step approximation at point $(z_i + \frac{h}{2})$. This approximation is then used as the starting point for another one-step approximation again using the halved value of step size. This two-step approximation then can be thought of as two one-step approximations.

The procedure used for automatic error control is as follows:⁵

1. An error estimate for each of the four dependent variables using extrapolation to zero step size is expressed

$$E_{n, i+1} = \frac{\binom{(II)}{y_{n, i+1}} - y_{n, i+1}^{(I)}}{2^{I} - 1}$$

where I is the order of integration.

2. These values of $E_{n,i+1}$ are added to the two-step results

to obtain an extrapolated solution.

 \prod

(II)
$$y_{n, i+1} = y_{n, i+1} + E_{n, i+1}$$
.

3. A relative error term is next defined and computed for each variable.

$$R_{n,i+1} = \frac{E_{n,i+1}}{y_{n,i+1}}$$

- 4. The maximum relative error $(R_{n, i+i})_{max}$ is designated E and is tested against two bounds El and E2. El is to keep the integration step size from remaining too small and E2 is used to keep the interval from becoming too large. The program then halves the interval and repeats the step, continues at the same interval, or continues at twice the interval.
- 5. The subscript n of the maximum relative error is recorded in INDEX. The value of index and the value of the nonconvergent term is printed when no convergence occurs. The program will try to restart the solution five times.

Given the initial conditions of the dependent variables at point z_i , this routine⁶ will calculate a one-step approximation for each of the dependent variables at point z_i + h where h is the step size.

Π Π Π 0 U

Given the function,

$$\dot{y}_n = f_n (z, y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n),$$
 (A-1)

and the initial values

$$z_i; y_1, i; y_2, i; y_3, i; \dots; y_{n,1}$$
 (A-2)

then a second order Runge-Kutta solution gives a one-step approximation of

 $y_{n, i+1} = y_{n, i} + \frac{1}{2} (K_{1, n} + K_{2, n})$, (A-3)

where

$$K_{1,n} = h f_n (z_1, y_1, i; y_2, i; ...; y_{n,i}),$$
 (A-4)

$$K_{1,n} = h f_n (z_{i+1}; y_{1,i} + K_{0,i}; y_{2,i} + K_{0,2}; ...; y_{n,i} + K_{0,n})$$

The third order Runge-Kutta solution gives an approximation of

$$y_{n, i+1} = y_{n, i} + \frac{1}{6} (K_{1, n} + 4 K_{2, n} + K_{3, n}),$$
 (A-5)

where

$$K_{1,n} = h f_n (z_i; y_{1,i}; y_{2,i}; ...; y_{n,i}),$$
 (A-6)

$$K_{2, n} = h f_{n} \left(z_{i} + \frac{h}{2} ; y_{1, i}; + \frac{1}{2} K_{0, 1}; y_{2, i} + \frac{1}{2} K_{0, 2}; \dots; y_{n, i} + \frac{1}{2} K_{0, n} \right),$$

$$K_{3, n} = h f_{n} \left(z_{i} + h; y_{1, i} + 2 K_{1, 1} - K_{0, 1}; y_{2, i} + 2 K_{1, 2} - K_{0, 2}; \right)$$

...;
$$y_{n,i} + 2 K_{i,n} - K_{0,n}$$

and the fourth order Runge-Kutta solution⁷ gives a one-step approxi-

mation of

$$y_{n,i+1} = y_{n,i} + \frac{1}{6} (K_{1,n} + 2 K_{2,n} + 2 K_{3,n} + K_{4,n})$$
, (A-7)

where

$$K_{1, n} = h f_{n} (z_{i}; y_{1, i}; y_{2, i}; \dots; y_{n, i}) , \qquad (A-8)$$

$$K_{2, n} = h f_{n} \left(z_{i} + \frac{h}{2}; y_{1, i} + \frac{1}{2} K_{0, 1}; y_{2, i} + \frac{1}{2} K_{0, 2}; \dots; y_{n, i} + \frac{1}{2} K_{0, n} \right) ,$$

$$K_{3, n} = h f_{n} \left(z_{i} + \frac{h}{2}; y_{1, i} + \frac{1}{2} K_{1, 1}; y_{2, i} + \frac{1}{2} K_{1, 2}; \dots; y_{n, i} + \frac{1}{2} K_{1, n} \right) ,$$

$$K_{4, n} = h f_{n} (z_{i} + h; y_{1, i} + K_{2, 1}; y_{2, i} + K_{2, 2}; \dots; y_{2, i} + K_{2, n}) .$$

The program proceeds one step at a time until the end of run (TE) is reached at which time it returns control to the calling program for the calculation of R_n , T_n , A_n .

SUBROUTINE DERIV

This routine is used to obtain a numerical evaluation of the differential equations at the last valid point calculated. It also allows the choice of equations for permittivity (K_r) and/or conductivity (K_i) for the plasma layer.

These equations for K_r and K_i are

$$K = Az^4 + Bz^3 + Cz^2 + Dz + E$$
, (A-9)

$$K = Ae^{Bz} + Ce^{-Dz} + E , \qquad (A-10)$$

$$K = Az^{-4} + Bz^{-3} + Cz^{-2} + Dz^{-1} + E$$
, (A-11)

$$K = Ae^{-\left(\frac{z-B}{C}\right)} + D + E \qquad (A-12)$$

The derivatives that this subroutine evaluates are

$$\frac{d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{r}}}{d\mathbf{z}} = -\omega \,\mu_0 \,H_i \quad , \qquad (A-13)$$

$$\frac{dE_i}{dz} = \omega \mu_0 H_r , \qquad (A-14)$$

$$\frac{dH_r}{dz} = -\omega \varepsilon_0 (K_i E_r + K_r E_i) , \qquad (A-15)$$

$$\frac{dH_i}{dz} = \omega \varepsilon_0 (K_r E_r - K_i E_i) \qquad (A-16)$$

Subroutine DERIV is under the control of subroutine

RUNGKT.

 \Box

 \Box

 \prod

 \prod

0

SUBROUTINE PRINT

This is a dummy routine necessary for Subroutine RUNGKT to function properly. If it is ever desired to print the values of the fields during the integration process, the print statements should be placed in this routine. The routine will be called 100 times for every complete data case.

INPUTS TO THE PROGRAM	26
OUTPUT OF THE PROGRAM	29
LIST OF FORTRAN STATEMENTS	30
FLOW CHARTS	45

INPUTS TO THE PROGRAM

The initial conditions are read into the computer from 10 input cards containing the following information in the MKS system of units:

Card #1 --- OMEGA, z₀, M₁

OMEGA - frequency in radians /sec of signal under study

 z_0 - thickness of plasma layer in meters

M₁ - relative permeability

Card #2 --- M2, M3, M4

M₂ - relative permeability
M₃ - relative permeability
M₄ - relative permeability

Card #3 --- M5, M6, M7

M₅ - relative permeability
M₆ - relative permeability
M₇ - relative permeability

Card #4 --- M8, M9, M10

M₈ - relative permeability
M₉ - relative permeability
M₁₀ - relative permeability
Card #5 --- CODE

CODE - if CODE = 0, a complete new data case is read; if CODE ≠ 0, the program will print end of job.

Card #6 --- Equation No. A, B

Equation No. - number 1, 2, 3, or 4 depending upon which equation for permittivity is being used A - constant of the equations for permittivity

B - constant of the equations for permittivity

Card #7 --- C. D, E

C - constant of the equations for permittivity
D - constant of the equations for permittivity
E - constant of the equations for permittivity

Card #8 --- Equation No. A. B

Equation No. - number 1, 2, 3, or 4 depending upon which equation for conductivity is being used

A - constant of the equation for conductivity

B - constant of the equation for conductivity

Card #9 --- C, D, E

C - constant of the equation for conductivity

D - constant of the equation for conductivity

E - constant of the equation for conductivity

Card #10 --- Break Point

Į

Break Point - If the break point = 0, then program proceeds with its calculations, but if the break point > 0, program reads new data with cards (1) through (5) omitted for a change in Kr and/or Ki for the plasma layer.

NOTE: Format is 3E15.8.

If it is desired to change equations during the integration process, set break point equal to the point at which it is desired to change to a new equation and place the constants on a new group of input cards (Cards six (6) through ten (10)). Set the break point of these cards to a new change point or to zero.

OUTPUT OF THE PROGRAM

Π

The quantities shown on the sample print-out are defined as follows:

OMEGA -	frequency in radians/sec of signal under study
z -	thickness of plasma layer in meters $(= z_0)$
EQ. NO	number of equations being used
RANGE -	portion of medium in which above equations are used
E -	electric field in volts per meter
ф <u>е</u> -	phase of E with respect to phase at z_0
Н -	magnetic field intensity in ampere-turns per meter
ф _Н -	phase of H with respect to phase at z_0
RM -	reflection coefficient for a specific value of M
TM -	transmission coefficient for a specific value of M
AM -	absorption coefficient for a specific value of M
м -	relative permittivity of dielectric window

This program was written for an IBM 7040 computer which uses eight significant figures. Each case ran in approximately one minute and forty seconds on this machine.

SJOB	SP 67
\$18,08	SP67 MAP
\$IBFT(; SP67
	DIMENSION Y#250, DY#250, F#1750
	COMMON Y, DY, F, P, TT, TP, TE, E2, I, NCI, NN, T
2	READ 1,0MA ,TT,%F%MAD,MA # 161,1700,F%1500
	E2 # .1E-03
1	FORMAT%3E15.8m
	1#4
	TP #-TT/100.
	P # TP
	NN # 4
	NCI #4
	Z # TT
	F%1710 # .8854E-11
	F%172¤ # .12566371E-05
	F%1730 # OMA
	E0 # F%1710
	XMU # F%1720
	YX30 # SQRT XEO/XMUD

[

Y%4¤ # 0.

Π

Į

Y\$2¤ # 0.

Y\$10 # 1.

WRITE \$6,600MA,TT

6 FORMAT%1H1,23X,86HREFLECTION, TRANSMISSION AND ABSORPTION COEFFI 1CIENTS FOR INHOMOGENEOUS PLASMA LAYER ///24X.7HOMEGA #,E15.8,33X 2, 5HZ #E15.8////21X,3HEQ. 9X, 5HRANGE12X, 31HA.12X.1HB,12X.1HC,12X.1HD,12X.1HE// =

5 READ 1, F%1750, %F%MAG, MA #156, 1600, F%1740, %F%MAD, MA # 151, 1550, TE

R1 # TT

R2 # TE

J # F%175¤

MB# F%1740

PRINT7, J, TT, TE, %F%MAD, MA#156, 1600, MB , %F%MAD, MA # 151, 1550

7 FORMAT%1H ,5X,12HPERMITTIVITY15,F10.4,2HTOF6.4,5X,E11.4,4E13.4//

16X, 12HCONDUCTIVITY15, 21X, 5E13.4///0

CALL RUNGKT

TP # P

IF%TE=108,108,5

108 SMU # SQRT %XMUT

	LIST OF FORTRAN PROGRAM	「日本」で見たして
1		
	SP - 67	
	E# SQRT % Y%10++26Y%20++20	
	H # SQRT % Y%30++2&Y%40++20	
	PHIE # ATAN2%7%20 , 7%100 + 180./3.1415927	
	PHIH # ATAN2%7%40 , 7%300 + 180./3.1415927	
n	WRITE\$6,1070 \$Y\$IX0,IX # 1,40	
\Box	107 FORMAT%1H .///15X,6HE%R0 #015.8,5X,6HE%10 #015.8,10X,000044	
Π	1E15.8,10X,6HH%IG #E15.8 G	
	PRINT 109, E, PHIF, H, PHIF PRINT 109, E, PHIF, H, PHIF BE #E15, 8, 8X, 8HPHIXED #E15, 8, 8X, 3HH #E15, 8	
	109 FURMATAIN , 3X, 7/713X, 3NC #21, 109 FURMATAIN , 3X, 2HAM///0	
(~)		
	M6 # M & 160	
	XM # F%M60	
	SEM # SQRT %XM+EO	
	RM #%%SEM+Y%10-SMU+Y%300+#2&%\$EM+Y%20-SMU+Y%400+#20/%%SEM+Y%10&SMU	
	1 #Y%300##2&%SEM#Y%20& SMU#Y%400##20	
	TM # 4.0+XM+E0/%%SEM+Y%10&SMU+Y%300++2&%SEM+Y%20&SMU+Y%400++20	
	L/SQRT \$XMD	
Π	AM # 1TM - RM	
	4 PRINT 8,XM,RM,TM ,AM	
П		
П		
П	. 32	
L		

LIST OF FORTRAN PROGRAM SP - 67 8 FORMAT%1H + 4E30.80 IF%F%15000111,2,111 111 PRINT 112 STOP END SIBFTC D67 SUBROUTINE DERIV DIMENSION Y#250, DY#250, F#1750 COMMON Y, DY, F, P, TT, TP, TE, E2, I, NCI, NN, T J # F%175¤ MA # F\$1740 OMA # F%1730 EO # F%1710 XMU # F%1720 GO TO \$71,72,73,740,J 71 XR# F\$1560+T++4 &F\$1570+T++3 & F\$1580+T++2 &F\$1590+T & F\$1600 GO TO 115 72 XR4 F%1560/T++4 &F%1570/T++3 & F%1580/T++2 & F%1590/T & F%1600 GO TO 115

SP - 67	
U SP - 67	
SP - 67	
73 XR # F\$1560+EXP \$F\$1570+T0 & F\$1580+EXP \$F\$1590+8-T00& F\$1	0¤
GO TO 115	
74 XR # F%156a+EXP %-%T-F%157aa/F%158aa&F%159a	
115 GO TO \$75,76,77,780,MA	
75 XI # F%1510+T++4 &F%1520+T++3 &F%1530+T++2 &F%1540+T & F%15	n
GO TO 119	
76 XI #F%1510/T++4 &F%1520/T++3 &F%1530/T++2 & F%1540/T & F%15	50
GO TO 119	
77 X1 #F%1510+EXP %F%1520+T0&F%1530+EXP %-F%1540+T0 & F%1550	
GO TO 119	
78 XI #F%1510+EXP %-%T-F%15200/F%15300 & F%1540	
119 DY%10 # -OMA+XMU+Y%40	
DY%20 # OMA+XMU+Y%30	
DY%30 # -ONA+%XI+Y%106 XR+Y%200 +E0	
DY%40 # OMA #%XR+Y%10- XI+Y%200 +E0	
RETURN	
END	
SIBFTC P67	
SUBROUTINE PRINT	
RETURN	

	LIST OF FORTRAN PROGRAM
	SP - 67
\Box	
П	73 XR # F\$1560+EXP \$F\$1570+10 & F\$1550+EXP 4F\$1550+CXP
	GO TO 115
	74 XR # F%156¤+EXP %-%T-F%157¤¤/F%158¤¤&F%159¤
Π	115 GO TO \$75,76,77,780,MA
	75 XI # F%1510+T++4 &F%1520+T++3 &F%1530+T++2 &F%1540+T & F%1550
Π	GO TO 119
	76 XI #F%151¤/T**4 &F%152¤/T**3 &F%153¤/T**2 & F%154¤/T & F%155¤
	GO TO 119
	77 XI #F%1510+EXP %F%1520+T0&F%1530+EXP %-F%1540+T0 & F%1550
	GO TO 119
\square	78 X1 #F\$1510#EXP \$-%T-F\$15200/F\$15300 & F\$1540
	119 DY%10 # -OMA+XMU+Y%40
	0Y%20 # 0MA+XMU+Y%30
	DY%30 # -OMA+%XI+Y%10& XR+Y%200 +EO
	DY%40 # OMA +%XR+Y%10- XI+Y%200 +EO
	RETURN
	END
	SIBFTC P67
	SUBROUTINE PRINT
$ \square$	RETURN
П	
	· ·
10	

	n	
	L	
	Π	
	n	
	Ц	
	Π	
17.5 M 10.1 M		
	D	

END

SIBFTC RUNG

C

SP - 67	ł
---------	---

SUBROUTINE RUNGKT DIMENSION Y#250, DY#250, F#1750 COMMON Y, DY, F, P, TT, TP, TE, E2, I, NCI, NN, T E1 # E2/100. NCII # 0 N #NN L # 4 DI # TP TP # DI & TT 800 T # TT GO TO \$75 ,200,300,4000,L 75 IG # IG GD TD \$101,1020,IG 101 J # 1 L#2 M # 0 TS # T

SP - 67

 \Box

DO 106 K # 1,N K1 # K & N * 3 K2 # K1 & N K3 # N & K F%K10 # Y%K0 F %K3¤ # F%K1¤ 106 F%K20 # DY%K0 GO TO 402 102 GO TO 60 99 J # J & 1 IF%J-In 103,103,104 103 L # 1 GO TO 402 104 M # M & 1 105 GO TO #110,120,1300,M 110 DO 111 K # 1,N KI # K & N & N 111 F\$K10 # Y\$K0 112 DO 113 K # 1,N K1 # K & 3+N

		LIST OF FORTRAN PROGRAM
		SP - 67
		K2 # K1 & N
1	Π	K3 # N & K
		Y%K0 # F%K10 F%K30# F%K10
		113 DY\$K¤ # F\$K2¤
		T # TS
		[F%Po 114,116,114
		114 [F %ABS %H/PD00000010 115,115,115,116
		115 M # U
		GO TU 402
		116 DT # .5+H
		M # 1
		J # 1 GO TU 300
	П	120 DD 121 K # 1,N
		Κ1 # Κ ξ Ν
		121 F%K10 # Y%K0
		M # 2
	L	
		37
	IL	

IG # 2 L#1 GO TO 402 130 DO 131 K # 1,N K1 # K & 2+N F%K= # %Y%Kn-F%K1n=/%2.**[-1.= Y%K0 # Y%K0 & F%K0 LF%ABS %F%K00-.000010139,139,140 139 F%K¤# 0. GO TO 131 140 F%K0 # ABS %F%K0/Y%K00 131 CONTINUE E # F%1¤ INDEX # 1 IF #N-101335,1335,1315 1315 DD 133 K # 2.N IF%E-F%K00132,133,133 132 INDEX # K E # F%K¤ 133 CONTINUE

{ \square -

SP - 67

1335 [F#E-E10134,135,135 134 H # H & H 1345 DT # H GO TO 401 135 IF%E-E201345,1345,136 136 DO 137 K # 1.N K1 # K & N K2 # K & N & N 137 F\$K2¤ # F\$K1¤ 138 H # .5+H GO TO 112 200 MU # MU GO TO #203,2040,MU 203 H#AMAX1%H,H1,H20 MU # 2 204 H1 # ABS %HI IF%P#205,206,206 205 H # -H1 GO TO 207 206 H # H1

Π

Π

Ű

	L.				
	a			1.	
			J	r	
	r	1 _{2**}	1		
	r		٦		
	l		J		
	r		7		
	ſ		-1		
	1		h		
	1		-1		
			j		
		-	7		
	,	r			
		ſ	7		
		L	J		
		ſ			
_		٢			
		L			
		ſ			
		L		}	
		ſ]	
		L]	
		ſ]	
		L		J	
		ſ	****]	
		L		J	
		ľ]	
		L		ן	
		ſ			
		1			

SP - 67

207 IF%ABS %PD-H10208,209,209 208 H # P 209 T2 # TP - T IF# ABS#T20 - .1E-080 212,210,210 210 H2 # ABS #T20 211 IF*ABS #T2/DID-.000010 212,213,213 212 T # TP L#3 GO TO 402 213 M # 0 J#1 IF#H1-H20 215,215,214 214 MU # 1 - H # T2 215 DT # H 300 IG # 2 GO TO 102 400 MU # 2 H # P DT # P

 \Box

N # NN 401 IG # 1 L#1 402 TT # T GO TU % 902,903,904,905 0,L 903 GO TO 800 904 CONTINUE CALL PRINT IF%TP-TE#901,901,801 801 TP # TP & DT GO TO 800 905 WRITE%6,909DINDEX,TT,Y%INDEXD 909 FORMAT%1H0,///5X,12,25HDDES NOT CONVERGE AT T # ,F14.8,25HCURRENT 1VALUE OF Y%14 IS .E15.8///4 IF%NCI-NCII0901,901,908 908 NCII # NCII & 1 J#1 IG # 1 DT # H M # 0

SP - 67

 \Box

Y%Ka#F%K4a&F%K1a GO TO 100 95 GOTO %1,2,3,4a,J 1 F%K1a#DY%Ka+DT Y%Ka#F%K4a&.5*F%K1a GO TO 100 2 F%K2a#DY%Ka+DT GOTO %999,22,23,24a,I 3 F%K3a#DY%Ka+DT GOTO %999,33,33,34a,I 4 Y%Ka#F%K4a&%F%K1a&2.*%F%K2a&F%K3aa&DY%Ka+DTa/6.

GO TO 800

K2#K65#N

K3#K2&N

K4#KEN

GOTO \$999,85,95,950,I

85 GOTO \$86,2,999,999m,J

86 F%K10#DY%K0+DT

K1#K

60 UD 100 K # 1.N

SP - 67
GOTO100
Z2 Y%K¤#.5*%F%K1¤&F%K2¤¤
GOTO25
Z3 Y%K¤#2.*F%K2¤-F%K1¤
GOTO25
Z4 Y%K¤#.5*F%K2¤
25 Y%K¤#Y%K¤&F%K2¤
GOTO100
33 Y%K¤#F%K4¤&%F%K1¤&4.*F%K2¤&F%K3¤¤/6.

LIST OF FORTRAN PROGRAM

GOT0100

34 Y%K0#F%K406F%K30

100 CONTINUE

GO TO \$50,61,62,58 H,J

50 GO TO \$999,56,57,570,1

61 GO TO \$999,58,57,580,1

62 GO TO \$999,58,58,579,1

56 T # DT & T

GO TO 58

57 T # T & .5* DT

58 GO TO 99

LIST OF FORTRAN PROGRAM 0 SP - 67 999 CALL DUMP GO TO 58 902 CONTINUE CALL DERIV GO TO 800 901 RETURN END

















.







(INAPAR)

[

[]

-

0

D

Ū

U















T.



L

PG









ALL STREET

[

Π

Π

Π

Π

Π

Π

Π

D

L

Ľ

"







Enter 2 from Previous Page


Enter from Previous Page

日本学校学校

Π

Π

Π

Π

Π

Π

0

Ľ

L

Ľ

Ľ

1

Ľ

The second s



Enter 3 from Page 60





Π

Π

Π

Π

Π

Π

L

63

Enter 50 from Previous Page

Π

5

Ľ



Enter 62 from Previous Page



Enter from Previous Page



tra Silia

1

- The second

D

L

13

新教教室的教育和教育和教育









Π

Π

E

Π

Π

Π

Π

Π

U

L

1973



(Next Page)

111









\$



UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED