# unclassified ad 411921

# DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

FOR

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

CAMERON STATION, ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

OF ZEROS AND ONES 63-32 ORC 63-9 (RR) 1 MAY 1963 EXAMITATIONS CONTAINED IN A MATRIX by D. R. Fulkerson CV **OPERATIONS RESEARCH CENTER** INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING RESEARCH UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA - BERKELEY

# THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF DISJOINT PERMUTATIONS CONTAINED IN A MATRIX OF ZEROS AND ONES

Ъy

D.R. Fulkerson Operations Research Center University of California, Berkeley

1 May 1963

ORC 63-9 (RR)

This research has been partially supported by the Office of Naval Research under Contract Nonr-222(83) with the University of California. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

\* The author is a visiting research mathematician from The RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, California

## THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF DISJOINT PERMUTATIONS CONTAINED IN A MATRIX OF ZEROS AND ONES

#### I. Introduction

A well-known consequence of the König theorem on maximum matchings and minimum covers in bipartite graphs<sup>[5]</sup> or of the P. Hall theorem on systems of distinct representatives for sets<sup>[4]</sup> asserts that an n by n (0,1) - matrix A having precisely p ones in each row and column can be written as a sum of p permutation matrices:

(1.1) 
$$A = P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_p$$

Our main objective is a generalization of (1.1) along the following lines. Let A be an arbitrary m by n (0,1) - matrix. Call an m by n (0,1) - matrix P a <u>permutation matrix</u> if  $PP^{T} = I$ , where  $P^{T}$  is the transpose of P and I is the identity matrix of order m. This definition implies  $m \leq n$  and we shall assume throughout that this inequality holds. As in (1.1), we seek a decomposition

(1.2) 
$$A = P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_p + R$$

where each  $P_i$ , i = 1, ..., p, is a permutation matrix, R is a (0,1) - matrix, and the integer p is maximal.

If p is maximal in (1.2), the remainder R of course contains no permutation matrix. The converse statement is false, however. For example

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

has the decompositions

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + R_{1}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + R_{2}$$

and neither  $R_1$  nor  $R_2$  contains a permutation matrix.

In Section 4 a formula for the maximum value of p in (1.2) is derived. Letting  $\pi = \pi(A)$  denote this maximum value, it is shown that

(1.3) 
$$\pi(A) = \min_{A'} \left[ \frac{N_{\perp}(A')}{s(A')} \right]$$

Here A' is an e by f minor of A,  $N_1(A')$  denotes the number of l's in A', s(A') = e + f - n, brackets denote biggest integer, and the minimum is understood to be taken over all minors A' of A with s(A') > 0. The constructive proof singles out a critical minor A' of A that yields the minimum in (1.3). In the example above, critical minors are the identity of order two in the lower right corner, the last column, or the last row.

Using the formula (1.3) and a result due to Haber, [3] the integer

(1.4) 
$$\begin{aligned} &\widetilde{\pi} = \min_{A \text{ in } \mathcal{X}} \pi(A) \\ &A \text{ in } \mathcal{X} \end{aligned}$$

can also be evaluated. In (1.4)  $\alpha$  is the class of all m by n (0,1) - matrices that have the same row and column sums as A. The resulting formula for  $\tilde{\pi}$  is given in Section 5.

#### 2. Reformulation

The problem of determining  $\pi(A)$  for an m by n (0,1) - matrix A =  $(a_{1,i})$  may be reformulated in the following way: Determine an m by n (0,1) - matrix  $X = (x_{ij})$  satisfying the constraints

(2.1) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} = p$$
,  $i = 1, ..., m$ ,

(2.2) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i,j} \leq p$$
,  $j = 1, ..., n$ 

$$(2.3) x_{ij} \leq a_{ij} ,$$

and maximizing p.

To see this, first note that if (1.2) holds, then  $X = P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_p$ satisfies (2.1), (2.2), (2.3). On the other hand, if X is an m by n (0,1) - matrix with row sums equal to p and column sums at most p, then X is a sum of p permutation matrices. This assertion can be proved in various ways. For instance, a theorem of Mann and Ryser<sup>[6]</sup> concerning the existence of a system of distinct representatives that includes a prescribed set of elements implies that such a matrix X contains a permutation matrix  $P_1$  having a l in each column of X of sum p. We may thus write  $X = P_1 + R_1$ , where  $R_1$  has row sums p - 1 and column sums at most p - 1, and apply the theorem to  $R_1$ . Repeated applications produce the desired decomposition.

The construction described in the next section solves the maximum problem (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) by increasing the parameter p by one at each major cycle until the maximum value  $\pi$  is obtained. Thus for each value of p encountered in the construction, there will be a decomposition (1.2) for A. The construction can be started with p = 0, X = 0, although this would, in general, be relatively inefficient from the computational standpoint.

-3-

#### 3. A Construction

We may suppose, in describing the construction, that A = X + R, where each row sum of the (0,1) - matrix X is either p or p + 1, each column sum of X is at most p + 1, and R is a (0,1) - matrix. The aim of a major cycle of the construction is to produce a decomposition A = X' + R', with X' having precisely p + 1 l's in each row and at most p + 1 l's in each column. If successful, the process is repeated with the new decomposition and p replaced by p + 1. If unsuccessful,  $\pi(A) = p$ .

We distinguish the l's of X in the matrix A and call these "marked l's" of A; other l's of A are "unmarked." A row or column of A that contains less than p+l marked l's will be termed "short." Thus short rows have p marked l's, but short columns may have fewer than p marked l's.

The basic routine in the construction assigns "marks" to certain rows and columns of A using the iterative procedure (3.1), (3.2) below.

- (3.1) Mark all short rows of A.
- (3.2) Repeat the following two steps in order until there are either no newly marked rows or no newly marked columns of A. (The short rows of A are called "newly marked" after application of (3.1), and any other row or column marked in the immediately preceding application of (3.2b) or (3.2a) is called "newly marked.")
  - (a) For each newly marked row, mark each unmarked column containing an unmarked 1 in that row.
  - (b) For each newly marked column, mark each unmarked row containing a marked 1 in that column.

At the conclusion of the row and column marking process, we distinguish two cases.

-4-

CASE 1: A short column of A has been marked. In this case the marking procedure has located a sequence of  $r \ge 1$  unmarked and r - 1 marked 1's having the form

$$(3.3) \qquad {}^{a_{i_{1}j_{1}}, a_{i_{2}j_{1}}, a_{i_{2}j_{2}}, \cdots, a_{i_{r}j_{r-1}}, a_{i_{r}j_{r}}}$$

with  $a_{i_1j_1}$  unmarked,  $a_{i_2j_1}$  marked, ...,  $a_{i_rj_r}$  unmarked, and such that row  $i_1$  and column  $j_r$  are short. We then interchange marked and unmarked 1's in (3.3). This yields a new decomposition  $A = X^* + R^*$ , with  $X^*$ containing one more 1 than X and having row sums p or p + 1, column sums at most p + 1. With reference to the new marking of 1's given by  $X^*$ , A has one less short row. The procedure (3.1), (3.2) is then repeated with the new set of marked 1's of A.

CASE 2: No short column of A has been marked. If A has no marked rows (and hence no short rows), replace p by p + 1 and repeat (3.1), (3.2) with the new meaning for short row and column. If A has marked rows (and hence short rows), the construction ends.

It is clear that the process terminates. We shall show in the next section that the terminal value of p is  $\pi(A)$ .

#### 4. A Formula for $\pi(A)$

To establish the formula (1.3) for  $\pi(A)$ , we show first that if (1.2) holds, and if A' is a minor of A with  $s(A^2) > 0$ , then

$$(4.1) P \leq \left[\frac{N_1(A^{\dagger})}{s(A^{\dagger})}\right]$$

-5-

Thus, assume (1.2) and let A' be an e by f minor of A with s(A') = e + f - n > 0. Rearranging rows and columns of A, we may write

$$(4.2) A = \begin{pmatrix} A' & * \\ & \\ * & A'' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X' & * \\ & \\ * & X'' \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} R' & * \\ & \\ * & R'' \end{pmatrix}$$

It follows that

(4.3) 
$$p(e + f - n) \le N_1(X') - N_1(X'') \le N_1(A')$$

verifying (4.1).

To complete the proof, it suffices to show that there is a p corresponding to a decomposition (1.2), and an e by f minor A' of A with s(A') > 0, for which equality holds in (4.1). Let p be the terminal value in the construction of the preceding section, let A' be the e by f minor corresponding to the terminal sets of marked rows and unmarked columns, and let A" be the m - e by n - f complementary minor. By (3.2a), A' contains no unmarked 1's; by (3.2b), A" contains no marked 1's. Moreover, by (3.1), each unmarked row of A contains p + 1 marked 1's; and, by the Case 2 hypothesis, each marked column of A contains p + 1marked 1's. Let t be the total number of marked 1's in A. The above remarks provide a count for t:

$$(4.4) t = (p+1)(m-e) + (p+1)(n-f) + N_{1}(A')$$

Since the construction has ended, there is at least one row of A having p marked 1's. Consequently

(4.5) 
$$t < (p+1)m$$
.

It follows from (4.4) and (4.5) that

(4.6) 
$$(p+1)(e+f-n) > N_1(A')$$
.

In particular, s(A') = e + f - n > 0.

Since A has a decomposition (1.2) for the terminal value p, we have from (4.3) and (4.6),

$$(4.7) p(e + f - n) \le N_1(A') < (p + 1)(e + f - n)$$

This shows that the terminal p is  $\pi(A)$  and establishes the formula (1.3) for  $\pi(A)$ .

In case A is n by n with precisely p 1's in each row and column, the formula (1.3) reduces to  $\pi(A) = p$ . This may be checked as follows. Let A' = A in the right side of (1.3) to get

$$\frac{N_1(A)}{s(A)} = \frac{np}{n} = p$$

On the other hand, if such an A contained an e by f minor A' with s(A') > 0 and

$$\left[\frac{N_{1}(A')}{s(A')}\right] < p$$

then

$$N_{1}(A') < p(e + f - n)$$
.

But writing

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{i}} & \mathbf{*} \\ \mathbf{*} & \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{i}} \end{pmatrix}$$

we see that

$$p(e + f - n) = N_1(A') - N_1(A'')$$

a contradiction. Hence for such an A, the right side of (1.3) is p.

#### 5. A Formula for $\tilde{\pi}$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of all m by n (0,1) - matrices having row sums

$$(5.1) r_1 \ge r_2 \ge \cdots \ge r_m \ge 0$$

and column sums

$$(5.2) s_1 \ge s_2 \ge \cdots \ge s_n \ge 0$$

The class  $\mathscr{A}$  can also be viewed as generated from an arbitrary A in  $\mathscr{A}$  by interchanges.<sup>[7]</sup> Here an <u>interchange</u> is a transformation on the elements of A that changes a minor of type (a) below into one of type (b), or vice versa, and leaves all other elements fixed:

(a) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (b)  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

9

In this section we describe a formula for

(5.3) 
$$\widetilde{\pi} = \min_{\substack{A \text{ in } \mathscr{A}}} \pi(A)$$

which is similar to those for maximal term rank, <sup>[8]</sup> minimal term rank, <sup>[3]</sup> maximal and minimal trace, <sup>[9]</sup> and minimal width. <sup>[2]</sup> Note that the normalization assumptions (5.1) and (5.2) are no restriction in determining  $\tilde{\pi}$ .

The key additional result needed in evaluating  $\pi$  has been furnished by Haber in his study of minimal term rank.<sup>[3]</sup> To describe this result, we begin with the function

(5.4) 
$$t(e,f) = ef + (r_{e+1} + \dots + r_m) - (s_1 + \dots + s_f)$$
,  
 $(0 \le e \le m, 0 \le f \le n)$ 

This function, introduced in [9], can also be viewed in the following manner. Let A in  $\alpha$  be written

(5.5) 
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & * \\ * & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $A_1$  of size e by f. Then t(e, f) is the number of 0's in  $A_1$  plus the number of 1's in  $A_2$ :

(5.6) 
$$t(e,f) = N_0(A_1) + N_1(A_2)$$
.

Next define, as in [3],

(5.7) 
$$\psi(e,f) = \min_{\substack{e \leq i \leq m \\ f \leq j \leq n}} t_{ij},$$

Now let H(e,f) denote the maximum number of 0's that any matrix in the normalized class  $\alpha$  can contain in its leading e by f minor. An ingenious argument in [3] shows that

(5.9) 
$$H(e,f) = \min(\psi(e,f), \beta(e,f))$$

From (5.6), if A in  $\mathscr{A}$  contains the maximum number of 0's possible in the leading e' by f' minor, then A contains the minimum number

(5.10) 
$$t(e',f') - H(e',f')$$

of 1's possible in the complementary e = m - e' by f = n - f' minor. Thus, provided it can be shown that the minimum number of 1's possible in any e by f minor of matrices in  $\mathscr{A}$  is achieved in the lower right e by f minor of some A in  $\mathscr{A}$ , it would follow from (1.3) and (5.10) that

(5.11) 
$$\tilde{\pi} = \min_{e',f'} \left[ \frac{t(e',f') - H(e',f')}{m - e' - f'} \right] ,$$

the minimum being taken over e',f' satisfying

(5.12) 
$$e' + f' < m$$

The proviso above can be established by an interchange argument. Let e and f be fixed and consider an e by f nor A' of A in the normalized class  $\mathscr{A}$ . Let i be a row of A that is not a row of A', and let i' be a row of A that is a row of A', with i' < i. By (5.1), interchanges confined to rows i' and i of A can be applied in such a way that the transformed matrix B has an e by f minor B' with the following properties: Columns of E' have the same index set as those of A'; rows of E' have the same index set as those of A', except that i has replaced i';  $N_1(E') \leq N_1(A')$ . Repetition of this argument, first on rows, then on columns, shows that the e by f minor with the minimum number of l's possible in the normalized class  $\mathscr{A}$  can be assumed to be in the lower right corner. Hence (5.11) is valid.

#### 6. Remarks and Questions

The recursive procedure (3.1), (3.2), which was the main tool in establishing the formula (1.3) for  $\pi(A)$ , is a variation, suitable to the problem at hand, of the labeling method for constructing maximal flows in capacity-constrained networks.<sup>[1]</sup> Formula (1.3) can also be deduced from known theorems concerning network flows.

There is an analogue for matrices over non-negative reals. Specifically, suppose given an m by n  $A = (a_{ij}), a_{ij} \ge 0$ , and ask for a non-negative matrix  $X = (x_{ij})$  satisfying (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) with p maximal. If we let  $N_1(A')$  denote the sum of all entries of A', s(A') the sum of the dimensions of A' minus n, then the maximum value of p is given by dropping brackets in (1.3).

Returning to (0,1) - matrices, other related questions are suggested. For example, what is the minimum value of p in a decomposition (1.2), for a fixed matrix A, assuming that the remainder R contains no permutation matrix? Or what is the maximum value of  $\pi(A)$  over the class generated by A? Both of these questions seem harder to answer than those considered here.

#### REFERENCES

- L.R. Ford, Jr. and D.R. Fulkerson, <u>Flows in Networks</u>, Princeton University Press, 1962.
- D.R. Fulkerson and H.J. Ryser, "Widths and Heights of (0,1) Matrices," Can. J. Math., Vol. 13 (1961), 239-255.
- R.M. Haber, "Minimal Term Rank of a Class of (0,1)-Matrices," <u>Can. J. Math.</u>, Vol. 15, No. 1 (1963), 188-192.
- P. Hall, "On Representatives of Subsets," <u>J. Lond. Math Soc.</u>, Vol. 10 (1935), 26-30.
- D. König, <u>Theorie der Endlichen und Unendlichen Graphen</u>, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1950.
- H.B. Mann and H.J. Ryser, "Systems of Distinct Representatives," Amer. Math. Monthly, Vol. 60 (1953), 397-401.
- H.J. Ryser, "Combinatorial Properties of Matrices of Zercs and Ones," Can. J. Math., Vol. 9 (1957), 371-377.
- 8. \_\_\_\_\_, "The Term Rank of a Matrix," <u>Can. J. Math.</u>, Vol. 10 (1958), 57-65.
- "Traces of Matrices of Zeros and Ones," <u>Can. J. Math.</u>, Vol. 12 (1960), 463-476.

-12-

### BASIC DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR UNCLASSIFIED TECHNICAL REPORTS

Head, Logistics and Mathematical Statistics Branch Office of Naval Research Washington 25, D.C.

C.O., ONR Branch Office Navy No. 100, Box 39, F.P.O. New York, New York

ASTIA Document Service Center Arlington Hall Station Arlington 12, Virginia

Institute for Defense Analyses Communications Research Division von Neumann Hall Princeton, New Jersey

Technical Information Officer Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D.C.

C.O., ONR Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena 1, California ATTN: Dr. A.R. Laufer

Bureau of Supplies and Accounts Code OW, Department of the Navy Washington 25, D.C.

Professor Russell Ackoff Operations Research Group Case Institute of Technology Cleveland 6, Ohio

Professor Kenneth J. Arrow Serra House, Stanford University Stanford, California

Professor G.L. Bach Carnegie Institute of Technology Planning and Control of Industrial Operations, Schenley Park Pittsburgh 13, Pennsylvania

Professor A. Charnes The Technological Institute Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois Professor L.W. Cohen Mathematics Department University of Maryland College Park, Maryland

Professor Donald Eckman Director, Systems Research Center Case Institute of Technology Cleveland, Ohio

Professor Lawrence E. Fouraker Department of Economics The Pennsylvania State University State College, Pennsylvania

Professor David Gale Department of Mathematics Brown University Providence 12, Rhode Island

Dr. Murray Geisler The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California

Professor L. Hurwicz School of Business Administration University of Minnesota Minneapolis 14, Minnesota

Professor James R. Jackson Management Sciences Research Project University of California Los Angeles 24, California

Professor Samuel Karlin Mathematics Department Stanford University Stanford, California

Professor C.E. Lemke Department of Mathematics Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, New York

Professor W.H. Marlow Logistics Research Project The George Washington University 707 - 22nd Street, N.W. Washington 7, D.C.

#### BASIC DISTRIBUTION LIST

#### FOR UNCLASSIFIED TECHNICAL REPORTS

Professor R. Radner Department of Economics University of California Berkeley 4, California

Professor Stanley Reiter Department of Economics Purdue University Lafayette, Indiana

Professor Murray Rosenblatt Department of Mathematics Brown University Providence 12, Rhode Island

Mr. J.R. Simpson Bureau of Supplies and Accounts Navy Department (Code W31) Washington 25, D.C.

Professor A.W. Tucker Department of Mathematics Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey

Professor J. Wolfowitz Department of Mathematics Lincoln Hall, Cornell University Ithaca 1, New York

C.O., ONR Branch Office 346 Broadway, New York 13, New York ATTN: J. Laderman

Professor Oskar Morgenstern Economics Research Project Princeton University 92 A Nassau Street Princeton, New Jersey