UNCLASSIFIED

AD 411509

DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

FOR

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

CAMERON STATION, ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.



FINAL SUMMARY TECHNICAL REPORT

BUREAU OF NAVAL WEAPONS RRMA-223 Y DEPARTMENT

CTURE CHARACTERISTICS

OF

STRUCTURAL METALS

CONTRACT No. N 600 (19) 58831

30 JUNE 1963



MATERIALS PROCESSING DEPARTMENT

TAPCO

A DIVISION OF

Thompson Ramo Wooldridge Inc.

CLEVELAND 17, OH!O

411509

\$9.60



FRACTURE CHARACTERISTICS OF STRUCTURAL METALS

Prepared Under U. S. Navy, Bureau of Naval Weapons
Contract No. N 600(19) 58831

FINAL SUMMARY TECHNICAL REPORT

ER-5426

1 July, 1962 Through 1 July, 1963

Submitted By:

G. L. Hanna E. A. Steigerwald

June 30, 1963

Materials Research & Development
TAPCO - a division of
Thompson Ramo Wooldridge Inc.
23555 Euclid Avenue
Cleveland 17, Ohio



FOREWORD

This Final Summary Report is submitted by the Materials Research and Development Department, TAPCO - a division of Thompson Ramo Wooldridge Inc., in accordance with the provisions of Contract No. N 600(19) 58831. The work was administered under the direction of the Bureau of Naval Weapons, Navy Department, with Mr. George M. Yoder, as project engineer.

This report describes the results of the program during the period 1 July, 1962 to 1 July, 1963 and was:

Prepared By:

9. L. Hanns G. L. Hanna, Metallurgist

Approved By:



ABSTRACT

An experimental program was conducted to determine the plane strain fracture toughness (K_{TC}) of the following high-strength materials:

- 1. 4340 steel (two strength levels),
- 2. H-ll steel (four strength levels),
- 3. Maraging steel (two strength levels) and,
- 4. Beta titanium (one strength level).

The K_{TC} parameter was determined from circumferentially precracked round specimens and by resistance measurements conducted on precracked sheet specimens. Several heats of each material were evaluated over temperatures ranging from -100°F to 300°F.

Although specific problems occurred in the maraging steel evaluations, the overall results indicated that reasonably good consistency existed between heats of sheet material. The experimentally determined data were combined with results of plane strain fracture toughness presented in the literature to produce typical room temperature K_{TC} values for 4340 and H-11 sheet which could be considered for inclusion in MIL Handbook 5.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
I	INTRODUCTION	1
II	LITERATURE SURVEY	3
	1. Low Alloy Martensitic Steels	45556
III	EXPERIMENTAL FROGRAM	7
	1. Materials	7 8 9
	a. 4340 Steel, 400°F Temper b. 4340, Steel, 750°F Temper c. H-11 Steel d. Maraging Steel, (18% Ni, 7% Co, 5% Mo) e. Maraging Steel, (18% Ni, 9% Co, 5% Mo) f. Beta Titanium g. Summary of Experimental Program	9 10 10 11 13 13
IV	APPLICATION OF DATA TO HANDBOOK PRESENTATION	15
٧	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	19
VI	APPENDIX	20
VII	BIBLIOGRAPHY	22



I INTRODUCTION

Catastrophic failure of components at stress levels below their design values has often been experienced, particularly with very high-strength materials. These brittle failures usually bear no relation to the conventional smooth strength or ductility of the material, however, they can be directly correlated to some parameter which evaluates relative notch sensitivity. Although a designer can obtain the tensile and yield strength of a material as handbook data, no fracture parameter is currently available in handbook form which will quantitatively rate prospective constructional metals in terms of their resistance to crack propagation. A serious problem results with the employment of a parameter such as notch tensile strength to rate material reliability in the presence of severe stress concentrators, since the nominal failure stress of a structure or a test specimen with a sharp notch is dependent on geometrical considerations and is not an intrinsic property of the material.

The development of fracture mechanics has introduced the concept that the stress environment at the tip of the crack determines the point at which rapid crack extension occurs. This technique which has been described and verified in the literature (1, 2) employs a material parameter called fracture toughness (K_{IC}) to characterize the stress intensity at the crack tip when catastrophic failure occurs. The determination of fracture toughness as a material evaluation parameter has many advantages since it eliminates the influence of specimen width and crack size in the evaluation model and provides some measure of the strength characterisites of full-size components. The propagation of a crack, however, in sheet material generally consists of a normal and shear mode. The shear mode which occurs at the free surface represents a high energy component of crack growth while the normal, plane strain mode which is present at the specimen center provides a low energy contribution. As the specimen thickness is progressively increased, the contribution of the shear lips becomes a lower percentage of the total energy for crack propagation. As a result, the fracture toughness (K_ which is related to the energy necessary for crack propagation decreases as the specimen thickness increases. At large thicknesses the fracture toughness approaches a constant value which represents the plane strain fracture toughness (K_{TC}),

Numbers in parentheses pertain to references in the Bibliography.



Since plane strain fracture toughness is, in principle, completely independent of specimen dimensions it represents a convenient handbook parameter to characterize the load-carrying ability of high-strength materials in the presence of crack-like defects. This material parameter (K_{TC}) is of basic importance. It is not only capable of predicting the total failure of very thick components but it also defines the stress level at which slow crack growth is initiated in relatively thin sections (3, 4). Recent studies on actual pressure vessels have indicated the suitability of the fracture mechanics approach towards predicting component failure, from relatively simple laboratory tests on precracked specimens (5, 6).

In addition, the plane strain fracture toughness appears to represent a basic material parameter which can be related to a variety of fracture parameters, and which can be used to characterize other failure mechanisms (e.g. low-cycle fatigue) (6).

The purpose of this program is to study the possibility of employing the plane strain fracture toughness (K_{TC}) as a handbook parameter to evaluate the fracture characterisites of high-strength metals (strength-to-density ratios greater than 7.5 % 10 in.). Such a parameter, if suitable, would be presented to the MIL Handbook 5 committee for possible incorporation into handbook form.

The present program involved the following three phases:

- 1. A literature survey to determine if the previously published notch data would yield suitable $K_{{
 m TC}}$ values.
- The evaluation of high-strength materials in a test program to obtain K_{IC} values that would supplement existing data.
- 3. Suitable compilation of the test data for presentation to the ANC-5 Handbook committee.



II LITERATURE SURVEY

A survey of the published data on the notch properties of high-strength-to-weight materials was conducted to determine the relative quantity of useable information that could be employed to evaluate plane strain fracture toughness. In practice the plane strain fracture toughness (K_{IC}) has been determined from at least five different test methods:

- a) Tensile tests on circumferentially-notched round specimens,
- Measurement of the stress to initiate slow crack growth in a sheet tensile specimen,
- c) Tensile test with a surface-cracked sheet specimen,
- d) Notched bend test (slow or impact strain rates),
- e) Tensile test on single edge-notched specimen.

In all test methods the presence of a precrack is generally considered a necessary condition for the accurate determination of fracture toughness (7). The actual methods which are used to calculate $\mathbf{K}_{\underline{\mathbf{IC}}}$ from the various test techniques are summarized in Table 1. A large amount of the published data concerning notch tests do not conform to the requirements for accurate $\mathbf{K}_{\underline{\mathbf{IC}}}$ measurements. In many cases the use of precracking was not employed, and/or the section size was not sufficiently large so that the failure stress was significantly greater than the yield strength.

In the literature survey, data obtained from specimens with notch radii less than 0.0015" have been included. In all cases the results are presented in a form so that the precracked specimens which do not violate the condition for accurate plane strain fracture toughness measurements can be readily distinguished from the data which cannot be used for quantitative parameter determinations.

The results of the literature survey are presented in Tables 2 through 12. In determining K_{TC} from sheet tests, only the data obtained from actual measurements of the initiation of slow crack propagation obtained from either compliance gauges, resistance measurements, or acoustic pick-ups, were used.

In the survey, the materials are classified in terms of the following categories:



1. Low alloy martensitic steels (Tables 2 to 9)

2. Hot-work die steels (Table 10)

3. Special high-strength steels (Table 11)

4. Aluminum and titanium (Table 12)

The analyses of the materials covered in the literature survey are presented in Table 13.

1. Low Alloy Martensitic Steels

In addition to the tabular representation, selected data are also given in graphical form. Figure 1 indicates the standard method of presentation. The smooth strength and the plane strain fracture toughness (K_{TC}), which is the selected crack propagation parameter, are plotted as a function of tempering temperature. Although the individual data points are indexed in the tables, the figures merely show a composite of all data in order to give a qualitative indication of the relative scatter in the particular evaluation parameters. Data for \$1340\$ steel, obtained on circumferentially-notched specimens, oriented in the longitudinal direction and tested at room temperature are presented in Figure 1. Several interesting points are apparent with reference to the K_{TC} parameter. In cases where machined notches were used and where \$\sigma_{\text{N}} > 1.1 \text{F}_{\text{TY}} *, \text{ the values of plane strain fracture toughness were in the than and \$\sigma_{\text{N}} < 1.2 \text{I}_{\text{TY}} *. The use of a machined notch would be expected to raise the arent* \$K_{\text{TC}}\$ due to the blunt notch effect, while the precaked specimens with \$\sigma_{\text{N}} > 1.1 \text{F}_{\text{TY}} * \text{ would exhibit measured \$K_{\text{TC}}\$ values below the true parameter. In cases where machine notches are present and \$\sigma_{\text{N}} > 1.1 \text{F}_{\text{TY}} *, \text{ it is not always obvious as to what factor will predominate. In the data obtained in the survey precracked specimens produced a significantly lower value of \$K_{\text{TC}}\$ than the machine-notched specimens at the lower tempering temperatures, and were the only results that could be considered as valid measurements of \$K_{\text{TC}}\$.

Data for specimens of 4340 steel oriented in the transverse direction are presented in Figure 2. In this case, all the results were obtained on circumferentially machine-notched specimens.

The influence of test temperature on the K_{TC} parameter is presented in Figure 3. A decrease in test temperature significantly decreased the apparent plane strain fracture toughness. It is also interesting to note that the K_{TC} values obtained in the lower temperature tests indicated the region of irreversible "500°F embrittlement" which occurs in the 4340 steels, while the K_{TC} parameters obtained from the room temperature tests were not sufficiently sensitive to detect this embrittlement with the particular specimen geometries employed.

^{*} σ_{N} = net notch tensile strength; F_{TY} = 0.2% yield strength.



The results obtained on the modified 4330 steel (AMS 6434) are presented in Figure 4. The K_{TC} values, which were obtained from several sources, showed a relatively large degree of scatter. The K_{TC} results for this lower carbon steel were higher than the 4340 steel. The fracture parameters for a 4330 steel, modified with silicon and vanadium, are presented in Figure 5. The K_{TC} values were generally obtained under conditions where $\sigma_N > 1.1 \ F_{TY}$, and therefore probably are not representative of the true parameter. In addition, these values were obtained by one investigator, and are indicative of only one heat of material.

The smooth tensile and fracture toughness results obtained for the 300M high-strength steel tested in the longitudinal direction are given in Figure 6. Although only limited data are available, the precracked specimens produced K_{TC} values which were considerably lower than those obtained with machined notches. Data for 300M, tested in the transverse direction, are presented in Table 8 while results obtained on a variety of low alloy martensitic high-strength steels are summarized in Table 9. In general, precracking was not employed, and the K_{TC} values were obtained on specimens where σ_N was greater than 1.1 F_{TY} .

2. Hot-Work Die Steel

The results obtained with the hot-work die steels are presented in Table 10 and Figure 7. Much of the K_{TC} data were obtained on precracked specimens and the rapid rise in K_{TC} over the 1000 to 1100°F tempering temperature interval is certainly noteworthy.

3. Special High-Strength Steels

Only a limited amount of plane strain fracture toughness data have been published on the special types of high-strength steels. These results are presented in Table 11 for AM 355, 17-7PH, and the maraging steel.

4. Aluminum and Titanium Alloys

The data on aluminum and titanium alloys are presented in Table 12. The results were obtained with both round and sheet specimens, and both machined notches and precracked specimens were used.



5. Summary of Literature Survey

The major portion of published data on the notch properties of highstrength steels have been obtained under conditions which do not allow
valid calculations of plane strain fracture toughness. In general, the
application of fracture mechanics to testing and the adoption of specimen
precracking as a method of generating a sharp notch are relatively new
techniques. As a result, the currently published data do not readily
provide a large amount of information which can be directly integrated
into handbook form. At present, however, the fracture mechanics approach
to the evaluation of high-strength materials is being widely used and, as
a result, a large quantity of useful fracture toughness data should be
available in the near future. It is encouraging to note that the limited
data which are published on precracked specimens indicate a reasonably good
agreement between investigators.



III EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

An experimental program was initiated to provide a necessary supplement to the existing $K_{\rm TC}$ data and to evaluate the suitability of employing this parameter in MIL Handbook 5. The general approach employed in the program was to test several heats of the selected materials over a range of test temperatures.

1. Materials

The following four materials were chosen for the experimental program:

- a) 4340 steel (air melt),
- b) H-ll die steel (vacuum melt),
- c) 18% nickel maraging steel (vacuum melt),
- d) Beta titanium.

A summary of the material variables is presented in Table 14.

The 4340 steel was selected as representative of a widely-used, airmelted, low alloy martensitic high-strength steel, and should serve as a convenient reference for discussing the significance of the K_{IC} parameter for design purposes. The H-11 die steel was chosen as a tool steel which is presently included, along with 4340, in MIL Handbook 5. The 18% nickel maraging steels are representative of a new class of ultra high-strength materials which depend on an aging reaction, rather than carbon, to develop the high-strength properties. The beta titanium was selected as a high-strength, non-ferrous material. Both the beta titanium and the 18% nickel maraging steel represent materials which are being considered for future inclusion in MIL Handbook 5.

The 4340 and H-ll steels were austenitized in neutral salt baths and tempered in an air furnace. After heat treatment, 0.006 to 0.008^{4} of stock was removed from each side of the sheet material to eliminate any possible effects due to decarburization.

The maraging steels were austenitized and aged in an air furnace. Testing was performed on the as-received stock with no surface removal. The beta titanium was received from the vendor in the solution treated condition and aged for 72 hours at 900°F in a vacuum chamber (approximately 1 micron). Subsequent to heat treatment the titanium was pickled in an aqueous solution of 3% HF and 30% HNO3 to remove approximately 0.002° of material from each surface.



2. Test Techniques

The general scope of the experimental program involved selecting several high-strength constructional metals and determining the significant strength parameters (i.e. $F_{\pi U}$, $F_{\pi V}$, and K_{TC}) for these materials. The geometries of the smooth and noticed sheet tensile specimens used in this investigation are shown in Figures 8 and 9. In the sheet materials the plane strain fracture toughness K_{TC} was determined from resistance measurements on the center-notched, precracked specimens. This technique which has been previously described involves making the specimen one leg of a Kelvin-Wheatstone double bridge and measuring the increase in resistance which accompanies the crack extension (3). The method is capable of detecting crack extensions of the order of 0.003%. Typical load-resistance curves are shown in Figures 10A and B. The point where slow crack growth is initiated corresponds to the load at which the curve deviates from linearity (Figure 10A). Under certain conditions this deviation corresponds to a noticeable discontinuity in the measuring parameter (pop-in) (Figure 10B). Since the initiation of slow crack growth generally occurs under plane strain conditions, the conventional Irwin formula (2) can be used to calculate the stress intensity parameter at this point to yield the value of plane strain fracture toughness. The test method generally produces results which are analogous to those obtained by the more conventional displacement gage techniques (30). It should be noted that in many high-strength steels a noticeable "pop-in" is not observed when slow crack growth is initiated. As has been previously discussed, this lack of an observable "pop-in" is not a unique function of the test method but is dependent on the particular material (3). The presence of a "pop-in" is apparently not a necessary condition since reliable plane strain fracture toughness values have been obtained by using the approach based on the Previous work on aluminum (4) has also deviation from linearity (3, 4). indicated that a "pop-in" occurs when the plastic zone is less than about one-fourth the specimen thickness. In addition, reliable K_{TC} data were obtained when the plastic zone size was less than one-half the thickness. In many tests with high-strength steels a "pop-in" does not occur despite the fact that the plastic zone size is considerably less than one-fourth the thickness and additional work is still required to define the conditions under which measureable "pop-in" can be observed in this class of materials.

In bar stock, the K_{TC} parameter was determined from circumferentially precracked specimens. The geometry of the round specimens is presented in Figure 11. An axial alignment fixture (32) which insured an accentricity less than 0.001" was used for the notch tests on round specimens, which had a notch strength less than approximately 200,000 psi.



The apparatus for precracking round specimens is illustrated in Figure 12. The cracks were produced by mounting one end of the specimen, containing a machined notch with a 0.005 radius, in the chuck of a lathe. A bending moment was applied to the end of the specimen with a smooth-surfaced knurling tool and the resulting deflection was measured on a dial gage. As the crack progressed, the load-carrying area of the specimen decreased and an increased deflection was indicated on the dial gage. This technique consistently produced concentric cracks between 0.005 and 0.010 in depth.

The testing sequence involved conducting tests at -100, -45, 40, 75, 200 and 300°F at a crosshead speed of 0.010 in/min. for the notch specimens and at a strain rate of 0.010 in/in/min. for the smooth specimens. Two replicas per variable were used in the smooth tests and three specimens per variable in the notch tests. The low-temperature tests were conducted in a special apparatus which employed liquid nitrogen vapor. The apparatus was similar to that developed by Wessel and Olleman (33). With the use of an automatic controller and solenoid, that governed the flow of nitrogen vapor, the temperature variation during a test was less than ±2°F. A diagram of the low-temperature test facility is presented in Figure 13. Tests above room temperature were conducted in conventional resistance-heated furnaces.

3. Results and Discussion

a. 4340 Steel, 400°F T er

The smooth tensile properties of three heats of 4340 steel, tempered at 400°F are presented in Figure 14. The reproducibility between heats was excellent and the properties conformed to previously published data (18).

The notched tensile strengths of the 4340 steels are shown in Figure 15 as a function of test temperature. A comparison between the sheet materials (heat 7C-8236 and 7C-8657) indicates that significant differences occurred in notch properties. Due to the increased constraint present in the round specimens, the notch strength was consistently higher with this type of specimen geometry. The notch tensile strength did not continually rise with increasing test temperature but reached a maximum at approximately 100°F. This decrease in notch properties at higher test temperatures has been previously studied and is caused by a strain aging effect which occurs in this type of high-strength steel (34). These results also indicate that K_{IC} at the higher temperatures would be strain rate dependent.

^{*} The notch tensile strength is defined as the maximum load divided by the initial cross-sectional area of the specimen in the plane of the notch.



The plane strain fracture toughness K_{TC} for the 4340 steels (see Figure 16) exhibited an overall trend that was comparable to the notch tensile strength. The decrease in K_{TC} with decreasing temperature, however, was not as abrupt as that shown by notch strength parameters. In the sheet material, heat 7C-8236 had K_{TC} values which were slightly higher than heat 7C-8657. Both sheet materials had K_{TC} values which were significantly less than those present in the bar stock.

b. 4340 Steel, 750°F Temper

The smooth tensile properties of the three heats of 4340 steel tempered at 750°F are presented in Figure 17. The smooth properties were extremely reproducible and showed virtually no variation as a function of heat. Both the tensile and yield strength exhibited a mild increase with decreasing test temperature.

The notch tensile properties, shown in Figure 18, indicated that heat 7C-8657 had slightly lower properties than heat 7C-8236. Specimens from this heat also started to undergo a transition in the -45 to -100°F range.

The plain strain fracture toughness of the 4340 steel tempered at $750^{\circ}F$ was very sensitive to the particular heat (see Figure 19). The K_{TC} values for the round bars were significantly higher than the sheet specimens over the entire test temperature range. In the circumferentially precracked specimens at this strength level the notch tensile strength was generally greater than 1.1 times the yield strength. On this basis the reported K_{TC} values may actually be lower than the true K_{TC} which could be obtained with larger specimens. Despite this fact the measured K_{TC} data obtained from the bar stock were still higher than that present in the sheet material.

c. H-ll Steel

The properties of the hot-work die steel were evaluated at four strength levels in material obtained from two heats (one sheet, one bar). The smooth tensile properties of the steel tempered at 1000, 1050, 1100, and 1150°F are presented in Figures 20 to 23. For each of the tempering treatments the smooth tensile strength of the bar stock was slightly higher than the sheet material. This effect was more predominant at the lower tempering temperatures.

^{*} Example calculations illustrating the method in which K_{IC} was determined from the test data are shown in Appendix I.



The notch tensile properties of both the sheet and bar stock of H-11 steel as a function of test temperature are shown in Figures 24 through 27. All the sheet materials exhibited transitions from brittle to ductile fracture in the range of test temperatures evaluated. Using 100% shear as the criterion for the transition behavior in sheet material, the transition temperatures progressively decreased from 300°F for the specimens with the 1000°F temper to approximately -75°F for the samples tempered at 1150°F.

The plane strain fracture toughness of the H-11 steel is summarized in Figures 28 through 31. The K_{TC} values for specimens tempered at 1000 and 1050°F exhibited steadily increasing fracture toughness with increasing test temperature. At the lower strength levels (higher tempering temperatures), the net notch strength in the round specimens exceeded the yield strength in certain cases and the measured fracture toughness was therefore lower than the true parameter. This is shown in Figure 30 and 31 by arrows attached to the data points obtained from specimens tested at the higher test temperatures. For sheet specimens of H-11 tempered at 1100 and 1150°F and tested above room temperature, the plastic zone size (r_p) was larger than one-half the thickness. This condition may have accounted for the fact that the measured K_{TC} value tended to reach limiting values between 90,000 psi $\sqrt{\text{in}}$ and 100,000 psi $\sqrt{\text{in}}$ for the steel tempered at 1100 and 1150°F. This point must be resolved by additional tests using thicker specimens.

The transverse smooth and notch properties of the H-ll sheet were evaluated in room-temperature tests. In general, for this material, the properties in the transverse direction were only slightly below those obtained in the longitudinal direction.

d. Maraging Steel (18% Ni, 7% Co, 5% Mo)

The maraging steel designated as 250,000 psi yield strength material was evaluated in sheet and bar form after annealing at 1500°F and aging for three hours at 900°F. The smooth strength properties are presented as a function of test temperature in Figure 32. At a given temperature the bar stock had strength properties which were approximately 25,000 psi higher than the sheet material. This difference could be attributed to the slightly higher titanium and carbon content present in the bar stock heat.

As shown in Figure 33, the notch tensile properties, of the sheet material showed considerably different behavior as a function of test temperature than the bar stock. The notch tensile strength of the sheet steel decreased slightly as the test temperature was increased while the bar stock markedly increased with increasing test temperature and exhibited a much higher degree of scatter.



An interesting effect was noted during the determination of plane strain fracture toughness in the maraging steels. A typical load-resistance curve is presented in Figure 34. The conventional method of determining K_{TC} is indicated in the figure where the load (Point A) at which a significant deviation from linearity occurs is employed to calculate K_{TC}. Upon closer examination of a large number of load-resistance curves, a very slight deviation can be observed at a much lower value of applied load, (Point B). The question arises as to what degree of slow crack extension is actually taking place in the region A-B and what significance does this have in the determination of K_{TC} for design purposes. In an effort to answer these questions a specimen was loaded, as indicated in Figure 34, in the region where only a slight deviation from linearity occurred. It was then unloaded, heat tinted, and pulled to failure. As shown in Figure 35, a very slight amount of crack extension (less than 0.010*) actually accompanied the slight deviation from linearity which was present in the load-resistance curve.

In Figure 36, two values of the plane strain fracture toughness for the 250,000 psi grade of maraging steel are presented. The lower value corresponds to the load at which the first slow crack growth is detected (Point B), while the second value is indicative of a marked acceleration in slow crack extension and approximates the plane strain fracture toughness which would be obtained by conventional displacement gage techniques (Point A). At present the significance of these widely different K_{IC} values in design applications is not known. The scatter in the lower values of K_{IC} was considerably greater than the higher values due to the difficulty in determining when the very small increment of crack growth had actually occurred.

The rapid decrease in the "high value" of K_{IC} which occurred in the 10% nickel, 7% cobalt maraging steel between 145 and -100°F does not conform with previously obtained data (35, 36) and is not the normally expected temperature dependence for K_{IC} . This behavior may be indicative of the fact that the "high value" of K_{IC} is not really the true K_{IC} value and contains some contribution due to the shear lip. In addition, the calculated plastic zone size for the "high" K_{IC} value is considerably larger than one-half the specimen thickness and on this basis it also represents a doubtful K_{IC} value (4, 36).

K_{TC} values obtained from the bar stock were significantly lower than the sheet material at the low test temperatures and markedly increased with increasing test temperature. The maraging steels represented the only group of materials where the bar stock exhibited properties as a function of test temperature which were qualitatively different from the sheet.



e. Maraging Steel (18% Ni, 9% Co, 5% Mo)

The smooth and notch tensile properties of the higher strength, 9% cobalt, maraging steel in sheet form are presented in Figure 37. The smooth strength exhibited the same trend, as a function of test temperature, that was observed for the 18-7-5 steel. Both heats exhibited similar smooth properties over the entire range of test temperatures. The net notch strength of both heats decreased slightly with increasing test temperatures.

The plane strain fracture toughness for both heats are shown in Figure 38. In this 9% cobalt maraging steel, as in the case of the 7% cobalt grade, the phenomenon of very slight crack growth occurred relatively early in the test and two values of K_{TC}, calculated on the basis of the first indication of crack growth and the rapid extension of the slow crack growth, are included in Figure 38. The "higher" K_{TC} values showed no consistent trend as a function of test temperatures between 300 and -45°F. At the -100°F test temperature a noticeable decrease occurred. The "lower" K_{TC} values indicated that heat W-24178 had a slightly inferior fracture toughness which increased slightly with increasing test temperature. The "lower" K_{TC} values for heat 06498 were relatively insensitive to test temperature.

f. Beta Titanium

The smooth strength properties of three heats of beta titanium aged 72 hours at 900°F are presented in Figure 39. The results indicate that a rather wide variation in strength properties occurred between heat F7798 (sheet) and heats F7769 (sheet) and F6997 (bar). The smooth strength decreased rather consistently as a function of increasing test temperature and this strength decrease was attended by a slight increase in tensile ductility.

The notch tensile properties of the beta titanium are shown in Figure 40. A transition in fracture appearance occurred in the sheet material at approximately 200°F. The notch tensile strength steadily increased with increasing test temperatures. The plane strain fracture toughness for the beta titanium is presented in Figure 41 as a function of test temperature. At temperatures below approximately 100°F both heats of sheet material had comparable plane strain fracture toughnesses, however at higher temperatures the lower strength heat had slightly greater K_{TC} values. A comparison between the two heats with comparable yield strengths (heat 7769 sheet and heat 6977 bar) indicated that at all test temperatures the bar stock had K_{TC} values which were approximately 5000 psi in. greater than those obtained with sheet specimens from heat 7769.



g. Summary of Experimental Program

All the test data for the four classes of materials are summarized in Tables 15 through 35. In general, a noticeable difference in K_{IC} values existed between heats of materials, particularly the test results between bar stock and sheet, and on this basis the fracture toughness data were not sufficient to allow them to be combined to determine statistical averages or variances. The plane strain fracture toughness obtained at the higher strength levels and lower test temperatures appeared to be more consistent and conformed to expected behavior. The tests conducted with the maraging steel in sheet form were particularly difficult to interpret due to a very slight amount of slow crack growth which occurred at a relatively low load.

Despite the fact that in certain cases apparent anomalies occurred, the data agreed reasonably well with previously published work. In addition obvious differences were apparent between the fracture toughness of various materials heat treated to comparable strength levels. This point is illustrated in Figure 42 where the test data for various materials are compared.



IV APPLICATION OF DATA TO HANDBOOK PRESENTATION

At present a serious need exists to provide the designer with a material parameter which can be used to predict the reliability of a material in structural applications, just as tensile and yield strengths are used to predict the maximum or useful load-carrying capacity. In general, many of the parameters, such as notch tensile strength, which are used to characterize reliability or relative susceptibility to brittle fracture are not material constants. At present plane strain fracture toughness (K_{TC}) represents the only single-valued parameter which can be simply employed in a handbook to provide an indication of crack propagation resistance. Although there are specific criticisms which can still be directed against the use of K_{TC}, the fact remains that it can be determined from simple laboratory tests and used both qualitatively and quantitatively as an aid in the solution of material selection problems.

For a number of years both metallurgists and designers have qualitatively employed relative rating parameters such as notch tensile strength ratio, transition temperature, or impact energy to provide some indication of regions where dangerous embrittlements may exist. These parameters clearly establish the irreversible temper embrittlement which occurs in low alloy martensitic steels when they are tempered in the 500°F to 600°F range. In many cases, however, it is difficult to compare, even qualitatively, notch strength ratios obtained on different materials because much of the available data has been determined from specimens with varying notch geometries. The use of a standardized parameter such as K_{TC} which is a material constant will allow a continuous assembly of unambiguous data to be obtained and will simplify the qualitative evaluation of various materials and heat treatments.

The real advantage of the plane strain fracture toughness parameter as an evaluation tool rests in its ability to provide a quantitative prediction of the load-carrying capacity of a structure. To illustrate this point a pressure vessel made of H-ll steel will be considered. The properties of the H-ll steel as obtained from MIL Handbook 5 are presented in the following table along with the K_{TC} parameters obtained from tests on sheet specimens.



PROPERTIES OF 5 Cr-Mo-V AIRCRAFT STEEL (H-11)

Alloy 5 Cr-Mo-V

Form All wrought forms

Condition Heat treated (quenc

fondition Heat treated (quenched and tempered) to obtain $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{TU}}$ indicated

Mechanical Properties:

F_{TU}, ksi L 240 260 280 F_{TY}, ksi L 200 220 240 K_{IC}, ksi √in. 73 46 32

For the basis of this illustration, consider the flaw as a partial surface crack and assume that the nondestructive testing technique is capable of detecting cracks greater than 0.050% in depth. This implies that cracks 0.050% or less may be present in the completed structure. For a partial surface crack, the plane strain toughness can be expressed as:

$$K_{IC}^{2} = \frac{3.77 \sigma^{2} b}{\phi^{2} - .212 \sigma^{2}}$$
 (4)

where: K_{TC} is the plane strain fracture toughness;

o is the gross applied stress;

a is length of surface crack;

b is depth of surface crack;

 σ_{ys} is 0.2% offset yield strength; and

is an elliptic integral defined as

 $\phi = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{a^2 - b^2}{a^2}\right) \sin^2 \theta\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\theta$



For reliable performance the applied stress (σ) should be equal to the yield strength (σ). For the H-11 steel with a 220,000 psi yield strength and an (a/b) ratio of 2, the calculations indicate that the K_{TC} value should be greater than approximately 64,000 psi $\sqrt{10}$ in. to insure that the defect does not grow and lead to failure below the design stress. Since the actual K_{TC} value for this strength level in the 5 Cr-Mo-V is 46,000 psi $\sqrt{10}$, the designer would select a material with a higher fracture toughness or decrease the applied stress level. This quantitative approach to predicting the stresses where flaws start to grow as cracks can be applied to virtually any component provided that adequate stress analyses are available to define the relationship between the material constant K_{TC} and the crack geometry.

The use of K_{IC} as a quantitative design number is actually a conservative criterion, since it predicts the onset of slow crack growth and not complete structural failure. In many cases, slow crack growth may be significant and failure will occur at higher values of applied stress than predicted from K_{IC} data. The inclusion of K which characterizes final failure along with K_{IC} in handbook form however, is difficult since the K value is not a true material constant, but varies with thickness.

Although some question still remains concerning the variability of K_{TC} as a function of heat of steel, sufficient data currently exist for certain steels in sheet form to allow values to be considered for handbook presentation. In addition, K_{TC} data are being obtained from a variety of steels and test methods in a large number of current test programs. It is anticipated that the results of these programs can be readily integrated into the format of MIL Handbook 5 once the basic pattern of presentation is resolved.

The Tables 36 and 37 indicate the suggested format for presenting K_{TC} in MIL Handbook 5 for the alloy steels and for the 5 Cr-Mo-V Aircraft Steel. A summary of the valid K_{TC} data for sheet materials of these two steels is presented in Figures 43 and 44. The data for the low alloy steels has been obtained only on a 4340 steel and this fact should be noted in the handbook. The K_{TC} values presented in Tables 36 and 37 have been selected from Figures 43 and 44 to conform to the strength levels listed in the handbook. In the case of 4340 at the 176,000 psi yield level a questionable extrapolation has been used, this, however, is not a serious drawback since reliability and notch sensitivity are not considered serious problems at this low strength level.



A compilation of this type will allow the designer to integrate into his material selection a parameter which measures the relative ability of a material to perform reliably in the presence of severe stress concentrations. Although it would certainly be desirable to have available a considerably larger quantity of data to establish typical K_{TC} values, the current information on sheet material is reasonably consistent to allow typical room temperature values to be reported for certain steels. It is not believed advisable at this time to report in handbook form K_{TC} values for 1310 and H-ll as a function of test temperatures above room temperature. In the case of 1310 a strain aging type of embrittlement occurs which produces K_{TC} values which are dependent on strain rate. In the case of H-ll steel, at the lower strength levels, the K_{TC} data above room temperature may not be valid due to the formation of extensive plastic zones at the crack tip.

Once the $K_{\mbox{\scriptsize TC}}$ concept is accepted for handbook presentation it can be periodically expanded:

- 1) To provide statistical parameters,
- 2) To include other materials, such as the precipitationhardening stainless steels, titanium and aluminum, and
- 3) To include values over a wider range of temperatures.



V SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

An investigation was conducted to determine the feasibility of employing plane strain fracture toughness (K_{TC}) as a handbook design parameter to rate the ability of a material to resist brittle crack propagation. A literature survey indicated that a large portion of the published data was obtained prior to the adoption of formal fracture mechanics testing techniques. As a result, only a realtively small quantity of valid K_{TC} data were available. To supplement the existing data an experimental program was conducted to determine the plane strain fracture toughness of four materials:

- 1) 4340 steel (two strength levels, three heats),
- 2) H-11 steel (four strength levels, two heats),
- 3) Maraging steel (two strength levels, four heats),
- 4) Beta titanium (one strength level, three heats).

Tests were conducted over a range of test temperatures from -100°F to 300°F with both circumferentially-precracked, round tensile specimens and center-cracked sheet specimens. The results were sufficiently consistent so that typical room temperature $K_{\overline{IC}}$ values could be obtained on sheet material of 4340 and H-11 steel and presented for possible inclusion in MIL Handbook 5. Certain problems existed in determining $K_{\overline{IC}}$ from sheet specimens of the maraging steel due to a very small amount of slow crack growth which occurred at relatively low loads. Additional tests must be conducted to determine the correlation of this small degree of growth with $K_{\overline{IC}}$ values determined by other methods.

Despite the fact that specific questions exist concerning the proper methods of determining K_{TC} and the correlation between different test techniques, the concept of applying K_{TC} as both a qualitative and quantitative handbook value appears valid. Current data indicate that significant differences in K_{TC} do exist between materials and as such it represents a useful qualitative parameter which can also be employed to provide a quantitative measure of component reliability.



VI APPENDIX

1. Determination of $K_{\overline{IC}}$ Parameter from Sheet Specimens

Since the initiation of slow crack growth is generally governed by plane strain conditions, the load at which crack growth starts can be used to determine the plane strain fracture toughness from tests on sheet specimens. The governing equation is:

$$K_{IC}^2 = \sigma_{gi}^2 W Tan \left(\frac{\pi a}{W} + \frac{K_{IC}^2}{2V\sigma_y^2} \right)$$
 (1)

where:

K_{TC} = plane strain fracture toughness

a = one-half the initial crack length

W = specimen width

gi gross section stress at which slow crack growth is initiated

g. IIII UI a veu

 σ_{v} = yield strength

This equation can be solved graphically for K_{TC} (Reference 2, Figure 4) from a knowledge of the specimen dimensions, the yield strength of the material and the load at which slow crack growth is initiated.

2. Methods Used to Determine K_{IC} from Circumferentially-Precracked Specimens

The K_{IC} values can be computed from circumferentially-precracked round specimens by employing the method used by Carmen, Armiento and Markus (9):

$$K_{IC} \left[1 - \frac{K_{IC}^2}{2\pi \sigma_v^2 D} \right]^2 - 0.233 \sigma_n \sqrt{\pi D}$$
 (2)

4.50



where:

K_{TC} = plane strain fracture toughness

C = 0.2% yield strength

d = specimen diameter at the base of the notch

 σ_n = net notch tensile strength

D = major specimen diameter

This equation, which applies when the ratio d/D is equal to 0.707, can be rewritten in the form:

$$x \left[1-1/2 \ x^2\right]^2 - 0.233 \frac{\sigma^n}{\sigma_y}$$
 (3)

where:

$$x = \frac{K_{IC}}{\sigma_y} \sqrt{\pi_D}$$
 (4)

Equation 3 is presented in graphical form in Figure 45. Plane strain fracture toughness can be determined from this figure from a knowledge of the σ_n/σ_y ratio.

In actual experimental practice it is difficult to accurately control the precrack to produce exact d/D values of 0.707. Variations from this ideal d/D ratio were taken into account by applying the corrections factors described by Wundt (36). These corrections factors are plotted as a function of d/D in Figure 46. In practice the K_{TC} values calculated from equation 4 and Figure 45 were multiplied by the appropriate correction factor given in Figure 46 to produce the reported values of plane strain fracture toughness.



VII BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. G. R. Irwin and J. M. Krafft, "Crack Toughness as Represented by K_{TC}, Twenty-Second Meeting of the ANC-5 Panel-Strength of Metal Aircraft Elements", (October 31, 1961).
- 2. **Fracture Testing of High-Strength Sheet Materials**, Report of Special ASTM Committee, ASTM Bulletin, 18, (January, 1960).
- 3. E. A. Steigerwald and G. L. Hanna, "The Initiation of Slow Crack Propagation in High-Strength Materials", ASTM Preprint No. 74, (1962).
- 4. R. W. Boyle, A. M. Sullivan, and J. M. Krafft, "Determination of Plane Strain Fracture Toughness with Sharply Notched Sheets", ASME Paper No. 62-Met-13 (April 12, 1962).
- 5. E. B. Kinnamen, R. E. Jacobsen, and C. F. Tiffany, "An Approach to the Practical Design of Light Weight, High-Strength Pressure Vessels," IAS Paper No. 59-109, Los Angeles, California, (June 16, 1959).
- 6. C. F. Tiffany, "An Approach to the Prediction of Pressure Vessel Minimum Fatigue Life Based Upon Applied Fracture Mechanics", Boeing Company, Rep. No. D2-22437, (March 28, 1963).
- 7. G. R. Irwin and C. F. Tiffany, "Conditions for Accurate Fracture Toughness Measurements", To be Published, ASTM Committee on Fracture Testing of High Strength Materials, (1963).
- 8. C. M. Carmen, "Application of Fracture Mechanics to Recoiless Rife Problems," Frankford Arsenal, Report No. R-1521, (September, 1959).
- 9. C. M. Carmen, D. F. Armiento and H. Markus, "An Ultrahigh-Strength Steel for Ordnance," Metal Progress, Am. Soc. Metals, p. 85, (July,1962).
- 10. C. M. Carmen, D. F. Armiento and H. Markus, "Flain Strain Fracture Toughness Measurements of High Strength Steels," Am. Soc. Mechanical Engrs., Preprint 62-Met-8, (1962).
- 11. H. E. Romine, "Determination of the Driving Force for Crack Initiation from Acoustic Records of G Tests on High-Strength Metals for Rocket Motor Casings," NWL Report No. 1779, (October, 1961).



VII BIBLIOGRAPHY (cont.)

- 12. J. E. Srawley and C. D. Beachem, "Fracture of High-Strength Sheet Steel Specimens Containing Small Cracks," Special Technical Publication No. 302, Am. Soc. Testing Mats., (1961).
- 13. W. A. Backofen and M. L. Ebner, "Metallurgical Aspects of Fracture at High-Strength Level", Technical Report No. WAL TR 310.24/5-1, (January 1, 1962).
- lh. W. A. Backofen and M. L. Ebner, "Metallurgical Aspects of Fracture at High-Strength Level," Technical Report No. WAL TR 310.24/5-2, (April 1, 1962).
- 15. G. Sachs and E. P. Klier, "Survey of Low-Alloy Aircraft Steels Treated to High-Strength Levels," WADC Technical Report 53-254, Part 5, (September, 1954).
- 16. G. Sachs, J. G. Sessler, R. F. Pray and Tsu Hsing Yey, "Notch Sensitivity of Titanium Alloys and Heat Treated Steels," Bureau of Aeronautics Depart. of U.S.N. Airborne Equip. Div. Contract NOas 57-483-d, Final Report, Part 1, (January, 1958).
- 17. G. Sachs, V. Weiss and E. P. Klier, "Effects of a Number of Heat Treating And Testing Variables on the Notch Strength of 4340 Steel", Proceedings, Am. Soc. Testing Mats., 56, p. 555, (1956).
- 18. E. P. Klier, B. B. Muvdi and G. Sachs, "The Static Properties of Several High-Strength Steels," Proceedings, Am. Soc. Testing Mats., <u>57</u>, p. 715, (1957).
- 19. C. M. Carmen, "Fracture Toughness of 4330 V (Mod + Si) Steel Under Plane Strain Conditions," Frankford Arsenal Report No. R-1591, (June, 1961).
- 20. G. Sachs, B. B. Muvdi and E. P. Klier, "Design Properties of High-Strength Steels in the Presence of Stress Concentrations," WADC Technical Report 56-395, Part 1, (August, 1956).
- 21. G. Sachs, L. J. Ebert and W. F. Brown, Jr., "Comparison of Various Structural Low Alloy Steels By Means of Static Notch Bar Tensile Tests," Metals Technology, Am. Inst. Mining Metallurgical and Petroleum Engrs., (December, 1946).
- 22. G. Sachs, L. J. Ebert and W. F. Brown, Jr., "Notch Tensile Characteristics of a Partially Austempered Low Alloy Steel," Transactions, Am. Inst. Mining Metallurgical and Petroleum Engrs., Iron and Steel Division, p. 424, (1948).



VII BIBLIOGRAPHY (cont.)

- 23. G. Sachs, J. D. Lubahn and L. J. Ebert, "Notched Bar Tensile Test Characteristics of Heat Treated Low-Alloy Steels," Transactions, Am. Soc. Metals, 33, p. 340, (1944).
- 24. J. E. Srawley, Minutes of Meeting ASTM Committee on Fracture Testing of High Strength Metallic Materials, (December 10, 1962).
- 25. P. N. Randall and R. P. Felgar, "Part-Through Crack Test-Relation to Solid Rocket Cases," Space Technology Laboratories, Rep. No. 6121-6669-KU000, (May 8, 1963).
- 26. W. F. Brown, "Effect of Notch Length and Specimen Width on H-11, 1075° Temper," Report ASTM Committee on Fracture Testing of High-Strength Materials, (December, 1962).
- 27. DMIC, Fracture Toughness of Maraging Steel Plate, Battelle Memorial Inst., (April 2, 3, 1963).
- 28. W. P. Haase and J. N. Masters, "An Evaluation of Large Diameter Solid Propellant Motor Case Materials", Boeing Aircraft Company, Report No. D-2-22314, (May 23, 1963).
- 29. G. R. Irwin, J. M. Krafft and A. M. Sullivan, "A New Specimen Design for Fracture Toughness Tests", Report to ASTM Committee on Fracture Testing of High-Strength Materials, (December, 1962).
- 30. J. M. Krafft, Unpublished Research, Naval Research Laboratory, (1962).
- 31. A. A. Anctil, E. B. Kula, E. Di Cesare, "Electric Potential Technique For Determining Slow Crack Growth," Presented at ASTM Meeting, Atlantic City, (June 23, 1963).
- 32. M. H. Jones and W. F. Brown, "An Axial Loading Creep Machine", ASTM Bulletin No. 211, ASTM, 53, TP 25, (January, 1956).
- 33. E. T. Wessel and R. D. Olleman, "Apparatus for Tension Testing at Subatmospheric Temperatures", ASTM Bulletin No. 187, 56-60, (January, 1953).
- 34. E. A. Steigerwald and G. L. Hanna, "Spontaneous Strain Aging in High-Strength Steels", To be Published ASM Trans. Quarterly, (September, 1963).
- 35. J. M. Krafft, Unpublished Data Presented at Maraging Steel Symposium Sponsored by Aeronautical Systems Division, Dayton, Ohio, (November 7-8, 1962).
- 36. B. M. Wundt, "A Unified Interpretation of Room-Temperature Strength of Notched Specimens as Influenced by their Size," ASME Paper No. 59-Met-9, (1950).

Test Techniques Used to Calculate $K_{f IC}$

TABLE 1

ER-5426

						7	hompson Re
Method of Calculating * K _{TC}	$K_{\rm IC}$ = 0.414 $\sigma_{\rm N}$ $\sqrt{\rm D}$ (formula applies for notch specimens where $a/{\rm D}=0.707$)	$K_{IC} = \sigma_{ig} \left[\text{W tan } \frac{\pi a_o}{W} \right] 1/2$	$K_{IC} = \sigma_{ig} \left[w \tan \pi_{a} + 0.1 \sin \frac{2 \pi_{a}}{w} \right] 1/2$	$K_{IC}^2 = \frac{3 \cdot 77 \sigma^2 b}{\phi^2 - \cdot 212 \left(\frac{\sigma}{F_{IT}}\right)}^2$	Experimental Calibration	Survey	astic zone size $r_p = \frac{K_{IC}^2}{2\pi\sigma_V^2}$
Conditions for Accurate Measurement of Krc	$\sigma_{ m N}$ < 1.1 $_{ m TT}$	$\sigma_{ extbf{i} extbf{g}} < ext{0}_{ullet} ext{8} ext{F}_{ extbf{T} ext{Y}}$ $r_{ extbf{D}} < 2 ext{t}$	$\sigma_{ ext{ig}} < 0_{ullet} 8 \; ext{F}_{ ext{TY}} \ r_{ ext{p}} < 2 ext{t}$	$\sigma_{ m f} < { m F}_{ m TY}$	$\sigma_{ m f} < { m F}_{ m IY}$	} Not Included In Literature Survey	octed for plasticity by adding a plastic zone size $r_{ m p}$ =
Test Method	Tensile Test on Precracked Round Specimen	Tensile Test on Center Notch, Precracked Sheet Specimen	Tensile Test on Edge Notch, Precracked Sheet Specimen	Tensile Test on Surface Cracked Specimen	Single Notch Specimen	Bend Test Brittle Boundary Test	st All $K_{ m IC}$ values are corrects

Oig Gross stress at which slow crack growth is initiated $\sigma_{\rm N}$ = Net Notch Tensile Strength

W = Specimen Width

= Gross Failure Stress 2a = Initial Crack Size

\(\text{T} = \text{Grace Feilum Class} \)

= Crack Depth

FTY = 0.2% Yield Strength

= Elliptic Integral - Thickness



Table 2 ER-5426

Mechanical Properties of 4340 Steel, Longitudinal Direction, Room Temperature Tests,

Specimens Austenitized 1550°F to 1600°F, Oil Quenched, Tempered 1 Hour, As Indicated

(Notch Radius less than 0.0015")

Temper	Str Ultimate	ength (1000 psi)) Notch**	K _{IC} Ksi/in	Spec. Type	Spec.Dia. + Or Width-in.	Ref.	Comments
350	300		340	77.1			15	
400	285		310	70.3	Rd	0.300	17	
400	275	230	275	86.3	Rd	0.500	18	
400	275	230	300	78.2	Rd	0.300	18	
400	270		335	76.0	Rd	0.300	18	
400	275			32.1	Rd	0.750	13	Precracked Spec.
100	275			30.0	Rd	0.750	13	11 15
400 *	250			32.5	Rd	0.540	13	er 11
¥00 *	250			32.4	Rd	0.540	13	H II
400	275	218	280	89.9	Rd	0.500	20	
400	275		330	74.9	Rd	0.300	15 15	
400	275		250	73.2	Rd	0.500	15	
700	265 280		275	80.5	Rd	0.300	15 15	3011 0 1 01
400		ממר	190	55.6	Rd	0.500	72	10" Sect. Size
700 700	270 300	225 225	310 275	79.8 68.9	Rd Rd	0.300	18 18	
400	300	235	212	41.4	Single Notch	0.300	24	Programmalend Cross
								Precracked Spec.
500	265	225	300	76.5	Rd	0.300	18	
500	265		312	86.5	Rd	0.300	18	
500	260	225	280	70.0	Rd	0.300	18	
500	260		285	71.1	Rd	0.300	18	
500 500	270 265		310	80.2	Rd	0.300	18 17	
500	265		302 335	68.5 76.0	Rd Rd	0.300 0.300	18	
500	260		330	74.9	Rd	0.300	15	
500	265		315	71.5	Rd	0.300	18	
500	270		190	48.3	Rd	0.500	15	10" Sect. Size
600	250		314	71.2	Rd	0.300	15	10 0000, 0120
600	250		302	68.5	Rd	0.300	17	
600	240		330	68.1	Rd	0.300	า์ร่	
600	245	225	310	70.3	Rd	0.300	15 18	
600	242	228	280	88.4	Rd	0.300	17	
600	250		225	74.7	Rd	0.500	15	
600	250		290	84.9	Rd	0.300	15	
600	250		165	48.3	Rd	0.500	15	10" Sect. Size
600	SITO	225	305	77.6	Rd	0.300	18	
600	250		310	85.5	Rd	0.300	18	
600		220		52.1	Single Notch	l .	5ft	Precrecked Spec.
700	235	220	305	78.1	Rd	0.300	18	
700	225	220	300	75.9	Rd	0.300	18	
700	225		280	81.6	Rd	0.500	15	
700 700	225	000	298	67.6	Rd	0.300	17	
700 700	235 235	220 220	270	85.2	Rd	0.500	18	
700	225	220	310 305	78.0 69.2	Rd Rd	0.300	18 18	
700	225		298	67.6	Rd	0.300 0.300	17	
700		210	2/0	65.5	Single Notch		24	Precracked Spec.
800	215	200	295	79.7	Rd	0.300	18	
800	210	200	300	80.5	Rd	0.300	18	
800	200		290	84.9	Rd	0.300	15	
800	550		220	64.4	Rd	0.500	15	10" Sect. Size
800	210		300	68.1	Rd	0.300	17	
800	215	210	275	87.9	Rd	0.500	18	
800	215	210	290	73.5	Rd	0.300	18	
800	210	0.11	300	68.1	Rd	0.300	15	
	*	2 Hour Temper						

 ² Hour Temper
 Notch strength is \$\sigma_i\$ (see Table 1) for sheet spec. or \$\sigma_N\$ for round specimens.
 Major specimen diameter.



Table 3

Mechanical Properties of 4340 Steel, Transverse Direction, Room Temperature Tests Specimens Austenitized 1550°F to 1600°F, Oil Quenched, Tempered 1 Hour As Indicated (Machined Notch Radius less than 0.0015*)

		Strength (1000 psi)		k ^{IC}	Spec.	Spec.Dia.		
Temper	Ultimate	0.2% Yield	Notch	Ksi/in	Type	Or Width-in.	Ref.	
400	275	230	255	60.4	Rd	0.300	18	
700	300		210	50.3	Rd	0.300	18	
400	275	220	215	66.0	Rd	0.500	18	
400	275	220	270	66.3	Rd	0.300	18	
700	275		280	69.5	Rd	0.300	18	
500	275	235	260 .	61.7	Rd	0.300	18	
500	260		205	49.2	Rd	0.300	18	
. 500	260	235	250	58.2	Rd	0.300	18	
500	265	235	250	58.2	Rd	0.300	18	
- 600	240	230	265	64.2	Rd	0.300	18	
600	250		210	50.3	Rd	0.300	18	
600	2 <u>h</u> 0	225	245	58.0	Rd	0.300	18	
600	5710	225	275	70.0	Rd	0.300	13	
700	235	215	195	60.5	Rd	0.500	17 & 18	
700	235	215	265	64.8	Rd	0.300	17 & 18	
700	230	220	265	67.1	Rd	0.300	18	
700	235		210	50.3	Rd	0.300	18	
700	225		270	68.4	Rd	0.300	18	
800	220	205	230	69•2	Rd	0.500	20	
800	220	205	280	70.7	Rd	0.300	18	
800	210	_	220	54.7	Rd	0.300	18	
800	210		300	68.i	Rd	0.300	15	



Table 4

Mechanical Properties of 1340 Steel, Longitudinal Direction, Subservo Temperature Tests, Specimens Austenitized 1550°F to 1600°F, Oil Quenched, Temper 1 Hour As Indicated, (Machined Notch Radius less than 0.0015*)

Temper	Strength (1000 psi) Notch	K _{IC} Ksi√in	Test Temperature	Spec. Type	Spec. Dia. (in.)	Ref.
700	305	290	65.8	-1 00	Rd	0.300	17
700	340	220	49.9	-3 20	Rd	0.300	17
500	285	245	55.6	-100	Rd	0.300	17
500	275	240	54.5	-100	Rd	0.300	17
500	3 1 5	140	31.8	-320	Rd	0.300	17
600	270	280	63 . 5	-100	Rd	0.300	17
600	298	125	28 . 4	-320	Rd	0.300	17
7 0 0	250	280	63.5	-100	Rd	0.300	17
7 00	285	1 95	44.3	-320	Rd	0.300	17
800	215#	285	64.7	-100	Rd	0.300	17
800	202#	280	63.5	-100	Rd	0.300	17
800	220#	152	34.5	-320	Rd	0.300	17
800	270	202	45.8	-320	Rd	0.300	17

^{*} Room Temperature Tensile Strength



Table 5

Mechanical Properties of Mod. 4330, (ASM 6434), Room Temperature Tests

(Machined Notch Ratius less than 0.0015")

Temper	Direction	Str Ultimate	ength 1000 p	si Notch	Ksi/in	Specimen Type	Spec. Dia. Or Width-in.	Ref.
400	Long.	250	200	330	83.6	Round	0.300	18
400	Long.	260	225		62.2	Sheet	3.0	12
400	Long.	260	221		112.5	Sheet	3.0	20
500	Long.	5/10	190	300	108.1	Round	0.500	18
625	Long.	225	190	285	79.4	Round	0.300	18
625	Long.	225	190	280	95.0	Round	0.500	18
700	Long.	215	206		136.0	Sheet	3.0	11
700	Long.	215	205		90.5	Sheet	3.0	11
700	Long.	215	202		124.0	Sheet	3.0	11
80 0	Long.	205	194		126.0	Sheet	3 ₆ 0	11
800	Long.	205	190		108.0	Sheet	3.0	11
800	Long.	205	194		117.0	Sheet	3.0	11
700	Trans.	260	221		94.9	Sheet	3.0	11
400	Trans.	250	200	255	65.1	Round	0.300	18
400	Trans.	250	200	220	68.0	Round	0.500	18
500	Trans.	5/10	190	210	65.3	Round	0.500	18
625	Trans.	220	190	245	60.9	Round	0.300	18
625	Trans.	220	190	200	59.4	Round	0.500	18
700	Trans.	215	206		118.0	Sheet	3.0	11
700	Trans.	215	205		83.5	Sheet	3.0	īī
700	Trans.	215	202		112.5	Sheet	3.0	īī



Table 6

Mechanical Properties of 4330 (Mod + Si) Steel, Room Temperature Tests

Longitudinal Direction

(Notch Radius less than 0.0015*)

Temper	Stren Tensile 0	gth (1000)	Notch	Ksi√in	Specimen Type	Spec. Dia. or Width-ir		Comments
350	279	181	245	79.8	Round	0.505	8	
450	270	207	279	91.3	Round	0.505	8 8 9	
450	270	207	241	90.8	Round	0.750	8	Na Ti
450	275	2 20	270	83.2	Round	0.505	9	
450	269	203	2 66	87.0	Round	0.505	8	
550	26 6	216	270	74.8	Round	0.505	8	
550	266	216	245	76•2	Round	0.505	8	
550	266	216	243	87.2	Round	0.750	8	
550	266	216	5/10	86.1	Round	0.750	8	
, 600	262	207	255	80.9	Round	0.505	8	
600	262	207	229	71.7	Round	0.505	8	
600	262	207	251	95.6	Round	0.750	8	
600	262	207	270	89.2	Round	0.505	19	
600	262	207	231	88.8	Round	0.750	19	
600	262	207	237	91.8	Round	0.750	19	
600	262	207	196	94.3	Round	1.25	19	1
600	262	207	107	76.3	Round	3.00	19	Slack-quenched Structure
650	259	209	236	73.7	Round	0.505	8	
650	259	209	274	89.5	Round	0.505	8	
650	259	209	223	83.7	Round	0.750	8	
650	259	209	228	85.3	Round	0.750	8	
750	238	189	208	64.3	Round	0.505	8	
750	238	189	200	60.7	Round	0.505	8 8	
750	238	189	201	74.2	Round	0.750	8	
750	238	189	187	69.8	Round	0.750	8	
850	232	175	2 12	66.2	Round	0.505	8 & 19	
850	232	175	190	58.4	Round		8 & 19	
850	232	175	178	67.4	Round		8 & 19	
850	232	175	182	68.7	Round		8 & 19	



Mechanical Properties of 300M Steel, Room Temperature Tests, Longitudinal Direction

(Notch Radius less than 0,0015m)

Temper	Stren Tensile	ngth (1000 ps: 0.2% Yield	i) Notch	K _{IC} Ksi/in	Spec. Type	Spec.Dia. Or Width-in.	Ref.	Comments
400 400	301 301	218 218	233 233	71.4 71.4	Round Round	0.505 0.50 5	8 8	
400	295	215	312	85.5	Round	0.300	18	
400	290	215	79	52•3	Sheet	1.750	3	Precracked Spec.
500	285	225	73	48.5	Sheet	1.750	3	Precracked Spec.
600	275	225	315	79.8	Round	0.300	18	
600	275	225	275	87.2	Round	0.500	18	
600	285	235	237	73.5	Round	0.505	8	
600	285	235	255	78.0	Round	0.505	8	
600	280	232	253	77.5	Round	0.505	8 8 8	
600	280	232	258	78.9	Round	0.505		
600	280	230	73	50.0	Sheet	1.750	3	Precracked Spec.
700	275	230	312	77.0	Round	0.300	18	
700			72	49.0	Sheet	1.750	3	Precracked Spec.
750	270	217	226	70.5	Round	0.505	8 8	
750	270	217	216	67.0	Round	0.505	8	
800			62	41.1	Sheet	1.750	3	Precracked Spec.
800			70	46.5	Sheet	1.750	3	Precracked Spec.
850	250	210	199	60.3	Round	0.500	8 8	
850	250	210	201	60.9	Round	0.500	8	
850	570		187	55.0	Round	0.500	16	
850	570		216	63.5	Round	0.500	16	
850	250	210		77.8	Round		10	
850	248	211		32.1	Sheet	1.0	12	Elliptical Crack



Mechanical Properties of 300M Steel, Room Temperature Tests, Transverse Direction

(Notch Radius less than 0.0015*)

	Stren	ngth (1000 psi	L)	KIC	Spec.	Spec. Dia.		
Temper	Tensile	0.2% Yield	Notch	Ksi/in	Type	Or Width-in.	Ref.	Comments
400	295	225	280	68.0	Round	0.300	18	
400		231	34.2	41.1	Sheet	1.750	3	Precracked-Spec.
700		231	35.9		Sheet	1.750	3	Precracked Spec.
500		229	35.9	45.4	Sheet	1.750	3	Precracked Spec.
500		229	35.4	40.5	Sheet	1.750	3	Precracked Spec.
600	275	225	325	80.9	Round	0.300	18	
600	275	225	245	75•9	Round	0.500	18	
600			37.7	43.2	Sheet	1.750	3	Precracked Spec.
700			25.7	31.3	Sheet	1.750	3	Precracked Spec.
700			25.0	28.6	Sheet	1.750	3	Precracked Spec.
800			26.7	30.8	Sheet	1.750	3	Precracked Spec.
800			26.3	30.1	Sheet	1.750	3	Precracked Spec.

		J	1																									
	erature Tests	Ref.		មដ	ដ	ᆉ	ነጙ	41	15	27	ታ ነ	វ ដ	Į.	£,	ູຊ	4 63	स्	53 ;	វ ដ	ኤ	አኦ	រដ	18	9 8	81.6	181	18 18	
	Mechanical Properties of Various Low Alloy Martensitic Steels, Room Temperature Tests,	Spec. Major Dia in.		0.300	0.500	00.300		0.300	00,500	0,500	000	0000	0.500	0.500	0.500	0.500	0.500	0.300	000.00	0.300	00000	0.500	0.500	00.00	0.500	0000	0.300	
	rtensitic	Kat Jin		۲.2 کرک	2	56•7	77.2	81.2	50.7	٠٠ ١٠	54.0	8.70 1.0	64.5	52.7	19.5	76.7 78.7	19.8	15.h	70°0	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	74.6 6.67	58.6	61.2	57.2	12°9	73. 6.	54°7 19°2	
Table 9	Lloy Ma			£ 2	240	175 7,15	્રેફ્ટ્ર ફેર્ફ્	280	173	۲. ال	23g	200	220	180	173	183	28	200	86	A	332	200	800	88	48	229	£33	
H	arties of Various Low Alloy	Strength (1000 ps1)													233	216							होत <u>े</u> १	33 33	25.5	22.5 22.5 22.5	225 225 225	
	verties of	Streng Tensile		2 2 3 3 3	2,5	250 250 250	2,00	220	280	270	273	38	220	270	273	급	237	88	% & &	8 8 8	200	28	280	88	88	240 248	25.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5	
	hanical Pro	Direction		Long	Long	Long	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	P B G	Long	Long	long	long Iong	Long	Long	Iong	Long	Trans	Long	Long	long	Long	Long	long	Trans	Trans.	Long	Trans.	
) ja	Temper OF		<u> </u>	<u>공</u>	₹ 8	36	88	350	00 <u>1</u>	<u>Ş</u>	۲, ۶	82	700	9	ያ ያ	2020	001	3 <u>7</u>	£ ₹	3 §	88 8	900	88	8	9,6 9,0 9,0	88	
		Steel	1000	23h0	35	2340	2300	2340	श्रीर	STA	97.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5770	3110	अंद्र	अर्गिट श्रीहर	97.	1340	07/21 04/21	1360	0461 6461	1340	98BLO	98Blo	opag6	98840 98840	98BL0 98BL0	

	TRW -			TAPCO a division of
4	Comments		Sheet ellip. crack -100°F ellip.crack -150°F ellip.crack	Variety of surface crack dimensions a dimensions of a control of a con
	Ref	8888	22222222222222222222222222222222222222	3333333
	Spec. Major Dia in.	0.300 0.300 0.300 0.300	0.750 0.750	0.505 0.505 0.505 0.505 0.505 0.505 0.505 Surface cracked spec.
च	Ksivin	20 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	58488888888888888888888888888888888888	66.0 63.0 73.0 73.0 73.0 108
Table 9 (Cont'd)	Notch	130	282888 52888888 5828888 5288888	322222 3222222 32222222
Table	Strength (1000 psi) sile 0.2% Yield	202 202 203 203 204 204	33882222333333333333333333333333333333	25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 2
	Strengt Tensile	260 240 240 240	88888888888888888888888888888888888888	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	Direction	Long Trans. Trans.	Long Long Long Long Long Long Long Long	Long Long Long Long Long Long Long Long
	Temper		33 <i>3%</i> %%%%3333%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
	Steel	Super. Hy. Tuf. Super. Hy. Tuf. Super. Hy. Tuf. Super. Hy. Tuf.	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	

A POLICE CONTRACTOR

5426				ents	Precracked	Precracked	Precracked Elliptical Grack Elliptical Grack	Precracked	Precracked	Precracked -110°F Precracked -110°F	Precracked -280°F Precracked -280°F
				Comments	Precr	Precr	Precr Ellip Ellip	Precr	Precr	Precr Precr	Precr Precr
	Tests			Ref.	m	m	~ងង	9 F B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	m	ងង	អ្ន
	Mechanical Properties of H-11 Steel, Room Temperature Tests	ection	n 0,0015")	Spec. Dia. Or Width-in.	1.75	1.75	11.0 0.0	0.000000 0.000000000000000000000000000	1.75	1.0	100
Table 10	H-11 Steel,	Longitudinal Direction	(Notch Radius Less than 0,0015")	Spec	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet Sheet Sheet	Sheet Round Round Round Round Round Round Round Round	Sheet	Sheet	Sbeet
	roperties of	Long	(Notch Radi	Ksi Jine	0.04	10.0	62.0 59.2 11.2	38.0 82.5 82.7 70.7 70.7 70.7	135.5	49.2 37.6	26.7 22.9
	handcal P			psi) Notch	8	8	& .	15 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	091		
	Med			Strength (1000 psi)			232 232	288 200 199 197 197 197	190		
				Str Tensile				9777 7777 7777 7777 7777 7777 7777		245 245	282 282 283
				Temper	000	1000	1050 1050 1050	33333333 <i>ккккккк</i>	0011	1075 1075	163 163

Table 11

Mechanical Properties of Special High-Strength Steels, Room Temperature Tests,

Longitudinal Direction (Notch Radius Less Than 0.0015")

		Stre	Strength (1000 psi)	1 (18	KTC	Spece	Spece Dias		
Steel	Temper	Tensile	0.2% Yield	Notch	Ks1 / in	1770	Or Width-in.	Ref.	Comments
AN 355 AN 355 AN 355	SCT 850 SCT 850 SCT 1000			0.00	788.0 788.0	Sheet Sheet Sheet	ት ት ት ት	๛๛๛	Precracked Precracked Precracked
17-7PH 17-7PH 17-7PH 17-7PH 17-7PH 17-7PH	кн 950 кн 1000 кн 1050 кн 1100	220 220 212 190 190	168	78.0 70.0 73.0	788.0 600.0 74.0 74.0 74.0 74.0 74.0 74.0 74.0	Sheet Sheet Sheet Sheet Sheet	ት ት ት ት ት	๛๛๛๛๛๛	Precracked Precracked Precracked Precracked Surface-cracked Surface-cracked
18% N±	4,000	250	240	186.0	188.0	Speet	1.75	٣	Precracked
18% Ni Maraging	300°F		590		78.0	Sheet	0.75	욌	Surface-cracked Several crack
18% Ni Maraging	£,000		560		86•0	Sbeet		27	Precracked



<u>Mechanical Properties of Aluminum and Titanium Alloys, Room Temperature Tests</u>

<u>Longitudinal Direction (Notch Radius less than 0.0C15")</u>

	Str	ength (1000 p	si)	KIC	Spec.	Spec. Dia.	or	
Material	Tensile	0.2% Yield		Ksi√in		Width-in.	Ref.	Comments
Al 6061 T-6		40		71.5	Round		1	
Al 2024 T-4		45		69.2	Round		1	
Al 7075 T-6		72		36.8	Round		1	
AI 7075 T-6		72		31.5	Round		1	
AI 7075 T-6		72		31.5	Sheet		1	
Al 7075 T-6				35•5	Single-		29	Precracked Spec.
	, -				edge not	ch		
Ti 6Al-4V	205		195	40.4	Round	0.250		
Ti 6Al-LV	185		230	52.2	Round	0.300	16	Test Temp100°F
Ti 6Al-LV	162		220	49.9	Round	0.300	16	Room Temp.
Ti 6Al-LV	135		192	43.6	Round	0.300	16	Test Temp. 300°F
Ti 6Al-4V	125		178	40.4	Round	0.300	16	Test Temp. 500°F
Ti 6Al-LV	126		185	41.8	Round	0.300	16	Test Temp. 700°F
Ti 6Al-4V	118		175	39•7	Round	0.300	16	Test Temp. 800°F
Ti 6Al-4V	163.5			71.8	Sheet	3.0	11	Acoustic Tests
	•					•		Room Temp.
Ti 6AI-LV		167		39.0	Forging	1.0	25	Surface-Cracked
Ti 6Al-LV		152		44.6	Forging	1.0	25	Surface-Cracked
Ti 6Al-LV		147		52.2	Plate	1.0	25	Surface-Cracked
Ti 155A	220		110	24.6	Round	0.300	16	
Ti 155A	220		121	27.5	Round	0.300	16	
B 120 VCA	172			46.0	Sheet	3.0	11	Acoustic Tests



Table 13

	Other								n) 60°		ER-	5426
	ड	max•		ž	X.	,	ž.		7			
	ω !	000 010 0029 013 010	.005 .018	•040 max•	•040 max•	.040 max.	•040 max•	•017	•015	10°	•	
	A-]	0040 max. 013 023 014 011	•015 •012	•040 max•	•040 max•	• O40 max• •020	•040 max	•017	•013	410.	- 1	1
	Ą١	1 1 1 1 1	1.1	1		1 1	1		1		ı	1
	>1	1110,11	ᅾ.		1	1.1		1	•04	•07	!	δ.
Valuatec	욋	20-03-30 73-73-73 73-73-73-73	.36 36	.1	ı	1 1	1	.19	•52	•38	1,00	1.30
rials E	성	77 77 77 80 81	% 48• 48•	ı	1	.5575 .66	.7090	. 81	2•05	• 8 3	1.00	2•00
compositions of Materials Evaluated	ᆈ	1.65-2.00 1.83 1.83 1.77 1.76 1.84	1.82 1.79	,	3.25-3.75	1.10-1.40 1.37	ı	98•	or.	1.83	.55	,
Composit	ᇷ	8 4 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	1.37	.2035	.2035	.2035 .26	.2035	.	1.53	1.54	•25	0 <u>1</u>
	된	60- 73- 73- 74- 75- 75- 75- 75- 75- 75- 75- 75- 75- 75		.3843 1.60-1.90 .2035	•70-•90	.70 90 .76	•7090	•79	-88	47.	F .	5 3
	ଧ	3843 -41 -40 -42 -42 -43	4 6.	•38-•43	.3843	.3843 .40	•38-•43	94.	•43	•39	94.	ot-
	Material	4340 Nominal Ref. 18 Heat 1 Heat 2 Heat 3 Heat 3 Heat 4	1 + S1) 9, 10 sf. 18	1340 Nominal	2340 Nominal	3140 Nominal Ref. 23	5140 Nominal	98B 40 Ref. 18	X-200	300M Ref. 18 (Tricent)	D-6 AC Nominal	H-11 Nominal



Table 13 (Cont'd)	Si Ni Gr No V Al P S Other	210° - 71° 04° 42° 1.87 2019	1.77 - 1.26 .33014 .024	•10 max• •10 max• 18•0 - 5•0 - •10 •01 max• •01 max 7•0 Co	•30 4.25 15.5 2.75 •04 max. •03 max. •10 N	•50 7.00 17.00 1.20 .04 max04 max.	Al Alloy; Nominal Comp. 3.8-4.9 Cu; 1.2 -1.8 Mg	Al Alloy; Nominal Comp. 5.1-6.1 Zn; 2.1-2.9 Mg; 1.2-2.0 Cu; .18-40 Cr	hoo 6.0 Bale Ti	- 1.04 1.2 - 5.0 1.5 Feb	
				t		1		2-2.0 Cu;			
El				5.0			1.8 Mg	.9 Mg; l.			
e 13 (Cont	성		1.2				Jus 1.2	Zn; 2.1-2	1	1.	
Tabl	펢	1.87	ı	max. 18.0	4.25	7•00	3.8-4.9	5.1-6.1	1	ı	
	Si	1.58	1.77	max• •10 1	8	8	nal Comp.	nal Comp.	ı	1	
	된	1.29	1.28	•10	. 75	•07 max• •50	loy; Nomi	loy; Nomi	1	1	
	оI	•28	L t/ ₀	•05	,1L.	ш 20∙	LA LA	LA LA	ı	1	
				18% Ni-Co-No Maraging Steel- Nominal	AM 355 Stainless Nominal	17-7 PH Stainless (Nominal)	Al 2021 Nominal	Al 7075 Nominal	Tr 6Al-LV Nominal	Ti 155A Nominal	

Table 14

Summary of Material Variables

Heat Treatment.	1700°F Normalize (20 min. salt) Austenitize 1550°F (20 min. salt) Temper 400°F or 700°F(1 hr. + 1 hr.)	1850°F Austenitize (20 min. salt) Temper at 1000°F, 1050°F, 1100°F. or 1150°F (2 hrs. + 2 hrs.)	1500°F anneal (1 hr., air) Age 900°F (3 hrs.)	1500°F anneal (1 hr., air) Age 900°F (3 Hrs.)	900°F age (72 hours) (Vacuum)
Direction	L Lær Lær	L Lær	L&F L&F	L L&F	L Lear Lear
Form	1" Dia. Bar .070" Sheet .070" Sheet	1" Dia. Bar .085" Sheet	.065" Sheet .075" Sheet	1" Dia. Bar •075" Sheet	1" Dia. Bar Oli2" Sheet Oli2" Sheet
Heat No.	124515 708236 708657	06826 05716	06498 W-24178	06759 24285	F6997 F7769 F7798
Vendor	Crucible Acme Co. (Ky.) Ziegler (Cal.)	Vanadium Alloys Vanadium Alloys	Vanadium Alloys 06498 Allegheny Ludlum W-24178	Vanadium Alloys 06759 Allegheny Ludlum 24285	Crucible Crucible Crucible
Material	4340 Steel	H-11 Steel	18N1-9Co-5No	18N1-7co-5Mo	Beta Titanium

* Tests in the transverse direction in all cases were made at room temperature only. Longitudinal tests were made over a range of temperatures between -100 and 300°F.

TAPCO a division of Thompson Rame Wooldridge Inc.
ER-5426

Table 15
Tensile Properties of 4340 Steel Sheet

(280,000 psi Strength Level - Heat 7C-8657)

		(40	O°F Temper)		
Test Temperature (°F)	Tensile Strength (psi)	0.2% Yield Strength (psi)	Percent Elongation	Net Notch Tensile Strength (psi)	Plane Strain Fracture Toughness (psi√in)
-100 (long.)	295,800 294,900	224,500 227,900	11.0 10.5	67,200 71,200 73,600	32,400 31,700 34,200
Average	295,400	226,200	10.8	70,700	32,800
-45 (long.)	289,000 289,000	224,000 225,000	11.0	71,900 61,100 70,200	40,300 33,000 34,800
Average	289,000	224,500	12.0	67,800	36,000
40 (long.)	283,000 283,000	220,000 221,000	10.0	94,100 80,000 78,800	40,000 37,900 <u>35,500</u>
Average	283,000	220,500	10.5	84,300	37,800
75 (long.)	284,000 281,000	222,000 225,100	10.0	82,000 83,000 78,100	32, 300 36, 100 37, 300
Average	282,500	223,600	8.5	81,000	35,200
75 (trans.)	290 , 100 292 , 900	223,000 224,000	10.0 9.5	86, 800 94, 000 <u>87, 200</u>	35,700 36,400 35,400
Average	291,500	223,500	9•8	89,400	35, 800
200 (long.)	288,000 28 7,6 00	227,000 216,000	9•0 10•0	76,400 77,200 79,900	31,700 34,800 35,500
Average	287,800	221,500	9•5	77,800	34,000
300 (long.)	288,000 290,000	197,000 188,000	9.0 10.0	67,900 71,600 66,300	34,000 34,400 <u>30,800</u>
Average	289,000	192,500	9•5	68,600	33,000



TAPCO a division of Thompson Ramo Wooldridge Inc. ER-5426

Table 16

Tensile Properties of 4340 Steel Sheet

(280,000 psi Strength Level - Heat 7C-8236) (400°F Temper)

		` 	 _		
Test Temperature (°F)	Tensile Strength (psi)	0.2% Yield Strength (psi)	Percent Elongation	Net Notch Tensile Strength (psi)	Plane Strain Fracture Toughness (psi Vin)
-100 (long.)	289,800 286,900	228,000 226,600	13.0 12.0	75,100 76,100 78,600	37,200 34,500
Average	288,400	227,300	12.5	76,600	35,800
-45 (long.)	284,000 283,000	225,000 225,000	12.0 11.0	85,600 77,100 <u>84,500</u>	37,900 39,300 <u>39,200</u>
Average	283,500	225,000	11.5	82,400	38,800
40 (long.)	279,000 280,000	223,000 222,000	10.0	95,200 98,500 <u>102,300</u>	38,200 39,100 <u>39,900</u>
Average	279,500	222,500	10.0	98,600	39,000
75 (long.)	279 , 200 280 , 800	219,000 217,000	11.0 11.0	111,000 101,800 103,000	46,900 45,100 42,400
Average	280,000	218,000	11.0	107,200	LLL, 800
75 (trans.)	284,200 285,900	225,000 223,000	9•0 9•0	96,600 98,700 <u>98,700</u>	39,300 38,500 42,000
Average	285,000	224,000	9•0	98,000	40,000
200 (long.)	287,000 287,000	223,000 220,000	10.0 10.0	96,600 98,300 101,000	37,000 37,700 40,300
Average	287,000	221,500	10.0	98,600	38,300
300 (long.)	290,000 286,000	186,000 192,500	13.0 13.0	83,200 77,700 <u>79</u> ,900	38,800 39,400 <u>38,</u> 500
Average	288,000	189,200	13.0	80,200	38,900

TAPCO a division of Thompson Ramo Wooldridge Inc.

ER-5426

Table 17
Tensile Properties of 4340 Steel Bar

(280,000 psi Strength Level - Heat 124515)

(400°F Temper)								
Test Temperature (°F)	Tensile Strength (psi)	0.2% Yield Strength (psi)	Percent Elong.	Percent Red Area.	Notch Tensile Strength (psi)	Plane Strain Fracture Toughness (psi√in)		
-100	290,700 290,100	225,500 225,400	15.0 14.0	51.2 49.0	123,400	40,600		
Average	290,400	225,400	14.5	50.1	123,400	40,600		
-45	283,500 288,300	227,000 221,800	15.0 15.0	50.1 51.8	129,000 121,500 139,500	41,900 39,800		
Average	285,900	224,400	15.0	51.0	130,000	45,600 42,400		
40	283,300 282,300	217,900 221,400	15.0 16.0	48.4 48.6	161,500 147,500	52,000 47,900		
Average	282,800	219,600	15.5	48.5	180,100 163,000	56,500 52,100		
75	281,900 280,700	220,900 221,000	15.0 16.0	50.7 49.0	163,000 183,000	51,600 59,600		
Average	281,300	221,000	15.5	44.8	176,000 174,000	55,400 55,500		
200	285,500 282,300	216,900 216,500	14.0 13.5	41.3 42.0	135,000 148,000	47,200 49,200		
Average	283,900	216,700	13.8	41.6	154,500 145,000	<u>48, 400</u> 48, 300		
300	288,100 289,900	192,000 198,000	18.0 19.0	45.5 4 1.3	127,000 137,000	39,900 43,600		
Average	289,000	195,000	18.5	43.4	132,000 132,000	42,000 41,800		



Table 18
Tensile Properties of 4340 Steel Sheet

(210,000 psi Strength Level - Heat 7C-8657)

(750	°F	Temper)

Test	Tensile	0.2% Yield	Percent	Net Notch	Plane Strain
Temperature (°F)	Strength (psi)	Strength (psi)	Elongation	Tensile Strength (psi)	Fracture Toughness (psivin)
-100 (long.)	223, 800 224, 700	209,000	9•5 10•0	93,000 91,200 <u>85,600</u>	50,600 47,600 <u>47,300</u>
Average	224, 200	209,000	9•8	89,900	48,500
-45 (long.)	219,000 219,000	207,000 203,000	8.0 10.0	159,000 167,000 158,000	58,400 66,600 <u>57,</u> 900
Average	219,000	205,000	9•0	161,400	61,000
40 (long.)	213,000 209,000	199,000 196,000	10.0 9.0	157,000 155,000 <u>163,000</u>	51 ,3 00 57 , 200 <u>60,000</u>
Average	211,000	197,500	9•5	158,400	56,200
75 (long.)	214,300 212,200	200,500 196,600	7•5 8•0	151,800 156,400 <u>151,800</u>	63,900 59,000 64,500
Average	213,200	198,600	7.8	153,400	62,400
75 (trans.)	210,100 212,200	194,600 196,100	7•5 8•0	127,000 139,600 128,800	55,500 52,600 <u>45,</u> 800
Average	211,200	195,400	7.8	131,800	51,300
200 (long.)	207,500 207,500	184,700 182,500	9•0 8•5	171,000 149,200 <u>146,600</u>	67,200 63,500 <u>61,000</u>
Average	207,500	183,600	8.8	155,600	63,900
300 (long.)	209,300 207,100	180,300 175,600	9•0 9•0	134,800 142,800 <u>146,</u> 200	50,800 41,600 54,100
Average	208,200	178,000	9.0	141,200	48,800



Table 19
Tensile Properties of 4340 Steel Sheet

(210,000 psi Strength Level - Heat 7C-8236)

(750°F Temper)

Test Temperature (°F)	Tensile Strength (psi)	0.2% Yield Strength (psi)	Percent Elongation	Net Notch Tensile Strength (psi)	Plane Strain Fracture Toughness (psi√in)
-190 (long.)				83,600	43,100
-100 (long.)	226,700 223,300	213,900 209,500	10.0 9.5	145,500 160,000 154,700	63,900 68, 2 00 62,700
Average	225,000	211,700	9.8	153,400	64,900
-45 (long.)	219,000 219,500	209,000 205,000	9.0 10.0	172,000 170,000	75,600 72,100 81,000
Average	219,200	207,000	9•5	171,000	76,200
40 (lung.)	213,000 212,000	197,500 197,500	9•0 8•0	160,500 173,000 170,300	86,900 79,500 <u>71,200</u>
Average	212,500	197,500	8.5	166,000	79,200
75 (long.)	213, 700 210, 400	199,200 196,500	9.0 10.0	180,100 166,500 <u>163,200</u>	71,900 64,900 75,400
Average	212,000	197,800	9.5	170,000	70,800
75 (trans.)	213,400 211,500	199,600 196,600	9•0 8•0	142,000 140,800 126,000	51,500 50,400 53,100
Average	212,400	198,100	8.5	136,200	51,600
200 (long.)	206, 200 208, 000	184,800 185,300	8.0 7.5	145,000 148,400 <u>151,600</u>	59,200 70,000 69,400
Average	207,100	185,000	7.8	148,400	66,200
300 (long.)	209,200 206,600	174, 100 178, 200	9 .0 8 . 5	145,200 151,100 146,900	64,000 63,100
Average	207,900	176,200	8.8	147,800	63,600

Table 20
Tensile Preperties of 4340 Steel Bar

(210,000 psi Strength Level - Heat 124515)

(750°F Temper)	١
----------------	---

		`	100 - 1011	<u> </u>		
Test Temperature (°F)	Tensile Strength (psi)	0.2% Yield Strength (psi)	Percent Elong.	Percent Red Area.	Notch Tensile Strength (psi)	Plane Strain* Fracture Toughness (psiNin)
-100	223, 700 224, 700	208, 700 210, 700	13.0 14.0	51.2 51.2	230,100 239,900	74,500 78,500
A ve rage	224,200	209,700	13•5	51.2	235,000	76 , 500
-45 Average	221,300 220,500 220,900	205,700 206,800 206,200	14.0 14.0 14.0	50•7 50•7 50•7	255,000 260,000 257,500	90, 100 86, 600 88, 300
70	212,500 212,300	200,000 198,300	14.5 14.5	51.8 51.8	248,000 274,000	85,500 97,500
Average	212,400	199,200	14.5	51.8	263,000 262,000	90,600 91,200
75	212,500 213,500	197,500 198,200	14.0 13.0	53•9 48•4	264,000 273,000 <u>252,</u> 000	93,400 97,400 85,000
Average	213,000	197,800	13.5	51.2	263,000	91,900
200	210, 300 207, 300	185,400 184,600	14.5 14.0	51.8 50.7	226,000 233,000 223,000	75,100 80,500 75,100
Average	208,800	185,000	14.2	51.2	227,000	76,900
300	207,700 209,500	173,000 178,400	16.0 15.0	53 . 4 4 6. 1	197,000 226,000 232,000	66,400 74,600 87,000
Average	208,600	175,700	15.5	49.8	218,000	76,000

 $^{*\}sigma_{N}>1.1$ F



Table 21.
Tensile Properties of H-11 Steel Bar
(295,000 psi Strength Level - Heat 06826)

(1000°F Temper)

Test Temperature (°F)	Tensile Strength (psi)	0.2% Yield Strength (psi)	Percent Elong.	Percent Red. Area	Notch Tensile Strength (psi)	Plane Strain Fracture Toughness (psi√in)
- 45	309,100 308,300	251, 200 251, 900	12.0 14.0	34•1 37•9	69,300 72,800 <u>71,200</u>	20,600 22,400 22,200
Average	308,700	251,600	13.0	36.0	71,100	21,700
40	300,700 302,300	216,400	12.5 13.0	37•6 37•3	80,400 82,300 85,800	24, ⁷ 500 28, ⁷ 500 <u>26,</u> 800
Average	301,500	216,400	12.8	37.4	82,800	26,600
75	296,700 298,500	241,800 238,100	13.0 13.0	40.4 39.1	74,100 87,400 84,000	23,200 27,500 24,400
Average	297,600	240,000	13.0	39.8	81,800	25,000
200	286, 900	226,700	14.0	42.0	105, 900 114, 400	34,000
Average	286,900	226, 700	14.0	42.0	110,200	<u>36,700</u> 35,400
300	277,800	227, 800	13.5	गृंग•0	133,300 120,000	43,100 37,700
Average	277,800	227,800	13.5	144.0	126,600	40,400



Table 22
Tensile Properties of H-11 Steel Sheet

(290,000 psi Strength Level - Heat 05716) (1000°F Temper)

Test Temperature (°F)	Tensile Strength (psi)	0.2% Yield Strength (psi)	Percent Elongation	Net Notch Tensile Strength (psi)	Plane Strain Fracture Toughness (psiVin)
-100 (long.)	311,300 305,600	263,500 251,700	11.0 7.5	36,800 38,200 37,800	24, 300 26, 100 <u>25, 800</u>
Average	308,400	257,600	9•2	37,600	25,400
-45 (long.)	299,200 301,700	247,900 247,500	10.0 9.5	35,200 38,900 <u>40,</u> 400	24,100 26,000 23,400
Average	300,400	247,700	9.8	38,200	24,500
40 (long.)	291,200 292,100	237, 200 243, 100	10.0	50,900 51,400 <u>54,200</u>	27,800 30,700 <u>30,000</u>
Average	291,600	240, 200	10.0	52,200	29,500
75 (long.)	292,500 290,500	2կ 1, 600 2կև, 200	9.0 10.0	61,900 55,400 62,400	30,000 30,200 <u>30,</u> 400
Average	291,500	242,900	9.5	59,900	30,200
75 (trans.)	285,500 288,200	235,300 235,000	9•0 10•5	57,700 67,600 59,300	29,500 28,400 <u>28,100</u>
Average	286,800	235,200	9.8	61,500	28, 700
200 (long.)	281,200 282,500	232,100 231,000	10.5 11.0	130,600 118,100 <u>1</u> 44,800	41,800 46,000 <u>39,800</u>
Average	281,800	231,600	10.8	131,200	42,500
300 (long.)	275,300 273,500	232,200 228,500	11.0 11.0	160,100 161,200 127,000 159,700	51,400 53,600 50,000 47,600
Average	274,400	230,400	11.0	152,000	50,600

Table 23
Tensile Properties of H-11 Steel Bar

(270,000 psi Strength Level - Heat 06826) $(1050^{\circ}\text{F Temper})$

Test Temperature (°F)	Tensile Strength (psi)	0.2% Yield Strength (psi)	Percent Elong.	Percent Red, Area	Notch Tensile Strength (psi)	Plane Strain Fracture <u>To</u> ughness (psi√in)
-45	282,700 279,700	233,600 236,600	13.0 12.5	41•3 41•3	79,900 93,700 87,700	26,500 28,700 <u>26,600</u>
Average	281,200	235,100	12.8	41.3	87,100	27,300
40	270,200 275,500	230, 200 226, 300	14.5 13.0	45•5 14•3	98,800 98,700	31,600 31,600
Average	272,800	228,200	13.8	141.9	105,000	34,500 32,600
75	270,900 269,200	230,300 226,100	14.0 15.0	46.1 45.5	106,900 107,300	35,200 34,800
Average	270,000	228,200	14.5	45.8	110,000 108,100	34,500 34,800
200	263,800	219,700	15.0	45•5	144, 800 144,000	144, 300 144, 700
A v erage	263,800	219,700	15.0	45.5	144,400	山,500
300	252,800	214, 700	14.0	49.0	162,800 161,300	48, 700 49, 200
Average	252,800	214,700	14.0	49.0	162,000	49,000



Table 24
Tensile Properties of H-11 Steel Sheet

(260,000 psi Strength Level - Heat 05716)

	120	0,000 psi Stre		Heat 05716)	
		(<u>105</u>	O'F Temper)		
Test Temperature (°F)	Tensile Strength (psi)	0.2% Yield Strength (psi)	Percent Elongation	Net Notch Tensile Strength (psi)	Plane Strain Fracture Toughness (psi√in)
-100 (long.)	280,000 280,900	239,000 241,400	10.0 10.0	կկ, կ00 կ7, 200 <u>կ1, 600</u>	30, 300 32, 200 28, 500
Average	280,400	240,200	10.0	44,300	30, 300
-45 (long.)	269,600 272,100	230,800 231,900	9•5 11•5	ևև, 800 և5, 600 <u>և</u> 7, 300	30,600 26,300 32,200
Average	270,800	231,400	10.5	45,900	29 , 700
40 (long.)	266,700 261,100	227,800 222,900	11.0 10.0	70,500 68,900 70,200	34,500 38,000 32,800
Average	263,900	225,400	10.5	69,900	35,100
75 (long.)	260,800 262,400	223,600 222,700	11.0	77,000 78,100 82,400	32,000 31,800 36,800
Average	261,600	223,200	11.5	79,200	33 , 500
75 (trans.)	267,000 263,900	222,200 223,900	10.5 11.0	93,100 90,700 <u>85,200</u>	37,600 35,600
Average	265,400	223,000	10.8	89,700	37,500 36,900
200 (long.)	255,200 255,400	214,200 215,100	12.0 10.0	187,300 192,200 186,400	52,800 57,200 47,800
Average	255,300	214,600	11.0	194,100 190,000	<u>58,100</u> 54 ,000
300 (long.)	248, 700 250, 400	215, 300 208, 700	11.0	185,000 19 1, 400	61,600 58,300
Average	249,600	212,000	11.0	188,900 188,400	59,400 59,800



Table 25

Tensile Properties of H-11 Steel Bar

(230,000 psi Strength Level - Heat 06826)

(1100°F Temper)

Test Temperature (°F)	Tensile Strength (psi)	0.2% Yield Strength (psi)	Percent Elong.	Percent Red. Area	Notch Tensile Strength (psi)	Plane Strain Fracture Toughness (psi√in)
- 45	243,400 246,000	207,000 208,400	16.0 15.0	46.9 46.7	135,300 131,100 153,400	42,000 42,300 <u>47,600</u>
Average	244,700	207,700	15.5	46.8	139,900	44. ₉ 000
710	234,800 236,400	200,000 198,400	15.0 15.0	46.3 48.6	221,000*	69,600**
Average	235,600	199,200	15.0	47.4	221,000	69,600
75	230,400	193,300	14.5	49.7		
Average	230,400	193,300	14.5	49.7		
200	224,900	190,900	15.5	50.1	215,600 160,800 192,700	66,600** 50,000+ 59,500+
Average	224,900	190,900	15.5	50.1	189,700	58,700
300	222,200	188,300	15.0	52•0	248,000	86,200**
Average	222,200	188,300	15.0	52.0	248,000	86,200

^{* -} Very shallow precrack

^{** -} $\sigma_{\rm N}$ > 1.10 F_{TY}

^{+ -} Possible eccentricity in loading



Table 26

ER-5426

Tensile Properties of H-11 Steel Sheet

(225,000 psi Strength Level - Heat 05716)

1100°F Temper

Test Temperature (°F)	Tensile Strength (psi)	0.2% Yield Strength (psi)	Percent Elongation	Net Notch Tensile Strength (psi)	Plane Strain Fracture Toughness (psiVin)
-100 (long.)	238,500 239,600	202,400 203,000	10.0 12.5	55,100 57,200 50,700	38,000 39,200 <u>3</u> 14,900
Average	239,000	202,700	11.2	54,300	37,400
-45 (long.)	233 , 200 233 , 500	198,600 193,900	14.0 13.0	96, 800 98, 700 <u>76, 300</u>	31,400 35,700 <u>33,300</u>
Average	233,400	196,200	13.5	90,600	33,500
40 (long.)	223,500 227,600	190,700 193,800	13.0 12.0	192,700 187,200 197,300	74,100 77,900 80,300
Average	225,600	192,200	12.5	192,400	77,400
75 (long.)	222,900 223,400	191,200 186,400	14.5 13.0	192,600 190,900 190,200	86 , 300 77 , 000 98 , 900
Average	223,200	188,800	13.8	191,200	87,400
75 (trans.)	225,500 222,100	190,400 185,400	12.5 14.5	182,300 189,500 189,900	85,400 85,600 89,000
Average	223,800	187,900	13.5	187,200	86,700
200 (long)	217,000 217,000	180,900 183,900	12.0 13.5	183,300 188,600 <u>190,200</u>	91,600 86,400 81,400
Average	217,000	182,400	12.8	189,400	86,500
300 (long)	213,900 211,100	169,500 167,800	12.0 12.5	181,400 175,300 170,000 194,200	82,700 97,400 82,400 84,900
Average	212,500	168,600	12.2	180,200	86,800



243,500

Table 27 Tensile Properties of H-11 Steel Bar (195,000 psi Strength Level - Heat 06826)

(1150°F Temper)

Tensile 0.2% Yield Percent Percent Notch Tensile Plane Strain* Test Temperature Strength Strength Elong. Red. Area Strength Fracture Toughness (°F) (psi) (psi) (psi√in) (psi) 209, 300 204, 700 158,800 16.0 49.7 58,100 -45 191,100 167, 200 16.0 49.2 210,200 69,200 207,000 163,000 16.0 49.4 200,600 Average 63,600 168,800 156,000 53.6 52.2 202,300 192,800 16.0 231, 200 244, 700 78,600 87,100 40 16.0 197,600 162,400 16.0 52.9 238,000 82,800 Average 51.4 75 195,700 163,100 15.0 163,100 195,700 15.0 51.4 Average 155,500 261,800 223,400 200 188,200 15.0 52.7 ** 77,200 155,500 188,200 15.0 52.7 242,600 77,200 Average 247,600 244,300 238,700 300 148,200 16.0 179,700 54.1 ** 179,700 148,200 16.0 54.1

Average

 $^{*\}sigma_{N}>1.10$ F_{TY} in all tests.

^{**} $\sigma_{
m N/F_{my}}$ value too high to find ${
m K}_{
m IC}$ from graphical method.



Table 28

Tensile Properties of H-11 Steel Sheet

(195,000 psi Strength Level - Heat 05716)

1150°F Temper

Test Temperature (°F)	Tensile Strength (psi)	0.2% Yield Strength (psi)	Percent Elongation	Net Notch Tensile Strength (psi)	Plane Strain Fracture Toughness (psiVin)
-100 (long.)	208, 900 207, 800	172,800 167,400	17.0 15.0	102,800	50,300
	201,000	107,400	19.0	124, 800 177, 800	61,900 75 ,70 0
A	009 100	7.70 7.00	7(0		
Average	208,400	170,100	16.0	135,100	62,600
-45 (long.)	204,000	164,700	14.0	155,800	101,600
., ,	202,100	164,200	15.5	175,900	90,800
		-		189,600	103,900
Average	203,000	164,400	11.8	173,800	98, 800
40 (long.)	197,500	160,000	14.0	172,800	95,600
40 (=0.26)	199,300	160,300	13.0	172,700	93,200
	_,,,,,,,	200,500	1,000	174,500	99,300
Arromana	198,400	160, 200	13.5		
Average	190,400	100, 200	1305	173,300	96,000
75 (long.)	194,600	157,800	14.5	170,300	94,400
	193,000	156,700	13.5	174,000	93, 700
				174,600	87,400
Average	193,800	157,200	14.0	173,000	91,800
75 (trans.)	196,900	161,900	13.0	169,000	91,500
	196,300	160,200	13•5	174,600	87,600
				175,000	88,900
Average	196,600	161,000	13.2	172,900	89,400
200 (long)	188,200	154,400	זמ ל	772 700	00. 500
200 (Tong)	187,800	154,100	12•5 12•5	173,100	93,700
	201,000	1)4,100	12.07	179,000 179,800	88,200 79,100
Amount me	788 000	75, 000			
Average	188,000	154,200	12.5	177,300	87,000
300 (long)	181,900	151,700	12.0	177,300	94,900
	182,300	147,700	13.0	171,700	83,500
		-		177,400	101,400
Average	182,100	149,700	12.5	175,500	93,300



Table 29

Tensile Properties of 18% Nickel Maraging Steel Bar
(250,000 psi Strength Level - Heat 06759)

Tensile Strength (psi) 283,700 278,100 280,900	0.2% Yield Strength (psi) 276,700 271,600	Percent Elong.	Percent Red. Area.	Notch Tensile Strength (psi)	Plane Strain Fracture Toughness (psi√in)
280,900	271,600		45.1	a ata	
•		9.5	44.5	151,100	47,700
	274,200	9.5	44.8	151,100	47,700
268, 900 272, 300	260,500 262,500	10.5 11.0	45•5 47•3	113, 900 107, 200	42,300
270,600	261,500	10.8	46.4	110,600	<u>36,600</u> 39,400
261,700 266,100	256,500 258,500	11.0 11.0	48.5 49.1	114,500 148,500	36,100
63,900	257,500	11.0	1.8.8	131,500	45,900
63,000 63,300	257,000 255,200	11.0 11.0	50•9 47•6	224,500 215,600	72,400 68,500
63,200	256,100	11.0	49.2	201,500	52,200 64,400
55,600 54,800	248, 900	11.0 11.5	49•9 50•9	191,100 211,500	59,700 68,400
55,200	246,800	11.2	50.4		65,300 64,500
43,600	235,600 238,400	11.0 12.0	49.9	292,200	94,600*
10. 000					112,400*
6 6 55	63, 300 63, 200 65, 600 64, 800	63, 300 255, 200 63, 200 256, 100 65, 600 244, 600 248, 900 65, 200 246, 800 3, 600 235, 600	63,300 255,200 11.0 63,200 256,100 11.0 65,600 244,600 11.0 65,200 246,800 11.2 3,600 235,600 11.0	63,300 255,200 11.0 47.6 63,200 256,100 11.0 49.2 65,600 244,600 11.5 50.9 65,200 246,800 11.2 50.4 63,600 235,600 11.0 49.9	63,000 257,000 11.0 50.9 224,500 13,300 255,200 11.0 49.2 201,500 165,400 11.0 49.2 201,500 11.5 50.9 211,500 204,500 11.5 50.9 211,500 204,500 11.2 50.4 202,400 33,600 235,600 11.0 49.9 292,200

 $^{*\}sigma_{_{
m N}}$ > 1.10 $_{
m TY}$



Table 30

ER-5426

Tensile Properties of 18% Nickel Maraging Steel Sheet (250,000 psi Strength Level-Heat 24285)

Test Temperature (°F)	Tensile Strength (psi)	0.2% Yield Strength (psi)	Percent Elongation	Net Notch Tensile Strength (psi)	Plane Strain Fracture Toughness (psivin)
					High K _{IC} low K _{IC}
-100 (long)	250, 800 254, 800	245, 400 250, 800	-6•5 7•0	209,000 221,900 221,000	90,400 74,900 92,200 73,500 94,200
Average	252,800	248,100	6.8	218,300	92,300 74,200
-45 (long)	248,500 252,0 0 0	2110,900 2110,000	7•5 8•5	200 وبالـ2	148,800 87,100 151,900 100,300 155,400 78,100
Average	250,200	240,400	8.0	214,200	152,000 88,500
40 (long)	239, 200 237, 900	22 7, 000	. 8.0 8.0	206,600 205,200 204,700	166,200 98,100 145,800 80,700 144,700 74,100
Average	238,600	227,000	8.0	205,500	152,200 84,300
75 (long)	2 37, 200 236 , 300	229,600 229,500	7•5 8•0	209,500 209,400	1144,700 97,400 1148,200 84,1400 1144,400 94,200 1144,700 92,100
Average	236,800	229,600	7.8	209,400	11,5,500 92,000
75 (trans.)	241,900 241,300	233 , 200 233 , 000	7•5 7• 5	183,500 182,500 189,000 181,400	121,900 69,300 119,600 69,900 116,700 68,000 131,200 71,100
Average	241,600	233,100	7.5	184,100	122,400 69,600
200 (long)	227,600 228,300	220,400 222,200	8•5 8•0	198 , 800 200 , 700	139,200 86,500 130,900 7h,h00 133,200 83,300
Average	228,000	221,300	8.2	199,800	139,200 87,900 135,600 83,000
300 (long)	221,700 221,100	211,900 213,100	7∙5 8•0	182,500 184,500 186,000 184,100	131,700 89,900 125,300 78,100 87,400
Average	221,400	212,500	7.8	184,300	128,500 85,100



Table 31

Tensile Properties of 18% Nickel Maraging Steel Sheet

(300,000 psi Strength Level - Heat 06498)

Test Temperature (°F)	Tensile Strength (psi)	0.2% Yield Strength (psi)	Percent Elongation	Net Notch Tensile Strength (psi)	Plane S Fracture (psi√ir	Toughness i)
					High K _{IC}	Low K _{IC}
-100 (long)	285,700	277,600	6.0	194,600	95,100	72,900
	285,500	274,800	6•5	187,400	87,400	69,000
			*******	193,100	79,400	72,400
Average	285,600	2 76, 200	6•2	191,700	87, 300	71,400
-45 (long)	277 , 500	266,100	6.0	194,600	115,500	85,100
	279,000	266,000	6.5	184,900	120,100	77,200
				204,200		82,300
Average	278,200	266,000	6•2	194,600	117,800	81,500
40 (long.)	266, 000	253,100	7.0	182,100	111,400	79,600
	266,000	252,900	7.0	205,500	113,700	82,700
				192,500	121,200	72,400
				186,400		
Averag e	266,000	253,000	7. 0	191,600	115,400	78, 200
75 (long)	262,800	251,700	7•5	190,100	115,000	75,100
-	265 , 800	250, 200	6.5	185,400	118,600	74,500
				182,700	116,600	75,100
		•		180,000	117,800	71,900
Average	264, 300	251,000	7•0	184,600	117,000	74,200
75 (trans.)	266,900	254,800	6.5	183,700	110,600	72,500
	268, 700	259,300	7•0	192,400	98,600	73,900
				184,000	103,700	75,200
				182,400	-	
Average	267,800	257,000	6.8	185,600	104,300	73,900
200 (long)	253,100	244,000	6.5	178,000	600, بلا1	77,100
_	253,500	242,000	6.0	178,600	116,000	82,900
				183,300	111,600	76,500
	-	-		186,600	112,500	74,800
Average	253 , 300	243,000	6•2	181,600	113,700	77,800
300 (long)	249,400	236,800	6.5	177,900	117,000	74,500
J	247,700	237,800	6.5	179,300	120,600	76,000
		•	·	168,300	•	76,200
		******		174,400	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
Average	248,600	237,300	6.5	177,700	118,800	75,600



Table 32

Tensile Properties of 18% Nickel Maraging Steel Sheet

(300,000 psi Strength Level - Heat W-24178)

Test Temperature (°F)	Tensile Strength (psi)	0.2% Yield Strength (psi)	Percent Elongation	Net Notch Tensile Strength (psi)	(psi√in)		
					High K _{IC}	Low K _{IC}	
-100 (long)	294,800 292,700	289,100 285,100	7.0 7.5	173,100 177,100 184,500	86,600 99,100 76,000	65,400 75,600	
Average	293,800	287,100	7.2	178,200	87,200	70,500	
-45 (long)	285,900 284,800	277,900 276,100	8.0 7.0	168,700 178,400 182,700	105,000 115,600 115,500	67,200 65,500	
Average	285,400	277,000	7.5	176,600	112,000	66,400	
40 (long)	274,000 274,500	265,700 264,000	6.0 7.5	169,700 156,500 171,000	104,000 99,000 109,600	71,800 68,400 67,200	
Average	274,200	264, 800	6.8	165,700	104,200	69,100	
75 (long)	275 ,1 00 272 , 400	267,400 265,600	7•5 7•0	173, 800 177, 300 181, 500	113,300 115,600 124,500	71,500 70,300 73,400	
Average	273,800	266,500	7•2	177,500	117,800	71,700	
75 (trans.)	278,300 277,900	269,800 271,500	6.5 7.0	163,600 165,100 167,100	101,900 110,200 104,600	69,600 70,000 67,700	
Average	278,100	270,600	6.8	165,300	105,600	69,100	
200 (long)	258,800 262,300	248,900 256,900	6.5 7.0	170,700 165,800 176,500 173,400	106,500 99,900 100,700	71,200 73,000 83,300	
Average	260,600	252,900	6.8	171,600	102,400	75,800	
300 (long)	255,000 253,800	242 ,9 00 244 ,6 00	6.5 6.5	174,200 166,900 174,800	122,100 114,400 113,200	80,700 83,200 67,400	
Average	254,900	243,800	6.5	172,000	116,600	77,100	



Table 33
Tensile Properties of Beta Titanium (Bl20 VCA) Bar

(200,000 psi Strength Level-Heat F 6997)

Test Temperature (°F)	Tensile Strength (psi)	0.2% Yield Strength (psi)	Percent Elong.	Percent Red Area.	Notch Tensile Strength (psi)	Plane Strain Fracture Toughness (psi/in)
-45	217,100 214,700	200,700 200,300	8.0 5.0	8.1 5.1	88,900 87,000	28,900 26,500
				"	88,500	27,800
Average	215,900	200,500	6.5	6.6	88,100	27,700
40	200,500	183,400 180,500	6.0	4•7 6•6	106,000	34,600
	197,000	100,500	7.0	0.0	101,200 101,500	32, 300 32, 900
Average	198,800	182,000	6.5	5.6	102,900	33,300
75	198,700	179,400	11.0	9•7	94,400	30,600
	198,100	183,400	3.5	3.1	96,200 106,700	32,300 32,600
Average	198,400	181,400	7•2	6.4	99,100	31,900
200	192,900	165,200	9.0	7.8	111,000	35, 700
	189,000	161,500	12.5	<u>jj</u> ft•jt	119,000 121,500	36, 300 41, 700
Average	191,000	163,400	10.8	11.3	117,000	37,900
300	191,700	159,400	11.0	13.8	123,500	40,700
	191,700	159,000	10.0	13.8	120,500 121,900	38,600 38,100
Average	191,700	159,200	10.5	13.8	122,000	39,100



Tensile Properties of Beta Titanium (Bl20VCA) Sheet

(170,000 psi Strength Level - Heat F 7798)

Test Temperature (°F)	Tensile Strength (psi)	0.2% Yield Strength (psi)	Percent Elongation	Net Notch Tensile Strength (psi)	Plane Strain Fracture Toughness (psi\sqrt{In})
-100 (long.)	174,400 166,100	174,400 158,000	6•5 7•0	38,800 37,100 41,200	23,300 22,900 27,100
Average	170,200	166,200	6.8	39,000	24,400
-45 (long.)	186,800 172,800	182,400	1.0 1.5	38,600 42,100 39,800	22, 700 23, 200 24, 200
Average	179,800	182,400	1.2	40,200	23,400
40 (long.)	172, 700	169,500	. 2•0	47,600 46,700 47,000	28,800 24,500 <u>32,000</u>
Average	172,700	169,500	2.0	47,100	28,400
75 (long.)	170,500 167,500	166,000 165,300	1.0 1.0	62,800 57,400 59, 6 00	31,600 29,200 <u>29,000</u>
Average	169,000	165,600	1.0	60,000	30,000
75 (trans.)	139,000 136,600	139,000 136,600	1.5	50,900 56,500 <u>54,200</u>	29,500 29,700 <u>33,000</u>
Average	137,800	137,800	1.5	53,800	30,800
200 (long.)	168,600 166,700	153,600 153,600	3•5 3•0	· 71,400 81,400 71,300	կ1,700 կ3,100 <u>կ3,</u> կ00
Average	167,600	153,600	3.2	74 , 7 00	42,800
300 (long.)	167,900 172,100	145,300 146,700	4.0	87,600 87,400 70,900	կկ,100 կ1,կ00 35,100
Average	170,000	146,000	4.5	82,000	40,200



Table 35
Tensile Properties of Beta Titanium (Bl20 VCA) Sheet

(185,000 psi Strength Level - Heat F7769)

Test Temperature (°F)	Tensile Strength (psi)	0.2% Yield Strength (psi)	Percent Elongation	Net Notch Tensile Strength (psi)	Plane Strain Fracture Toughness (psi√in)
-100 (long.)				36,000 36,100	21,400 24,000
Average				36,000	22,700
-45 (long.)	198,200 209,300	194,900 207,900	2.0 2.0	40, 300 40, 600 40, 600	23, 500 27, 400 25, 800
Average	203,800	200,400	2.0	40,500	25,600
40 (long.)	189,300 192,900	180,100 185,500	3.0 2.5	40,000 46,100 <u>47,</u> 800	23,400 26,200 27,200
Average	191,100	182,800	2.8	لبلا, 600	25,600
75 (long.)	185,800 188,700	174,300 174,500	3•5 4•0	56, 200 45, 400 52, 800	33,900 25,700 30,600
Average	187,300	174,400	3.8	51,500	30,000
7 5 (trans.)	188,300 193,400	181,100 183,600	3•0 2•0	42,600 43,000	27,600 24,100
Average	190,800	182,400	2.5	42,800	25, 800
200 (long.)	183,300 176,400	161,800 158,600	5.0 4.0	68,000 66,400 <u>63,800</u>	32,300 31,800 36,000
Average	179,800	160,200	4.5	66,000	33,400
300 (long.)	182,500 171,200 177,600	159,100 155,100 154,100	5•0 3•5	69,700 78,800 83,100 79,800	34,800 39,700 կ1,700
Average	177,100	156,100	4.2	77,800	38,700

Table 36

ER-5426

(Handbook TABLE 2.3.1.1(a)) DESIGN MECHANICAL AND PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF AISI ALLOY STEELS

Alloy		4130, and 8735		I 4130, 0, 8735			4340	AISI 4340
Form		t, strip		A1:	l wroug	ht fo	8740 rms	
Condition			Hea per	t treate	ed (que	nched F _{tu} i	and to	em- ed
Thickness or diameter, in.	0.187	7 0.187			Table			
Basis							(a)	
Mechanical Properties								
F _{tu} , ksi	95	90	125	150	180	200	260	
F _{ty} , ksi	75	70	103	132	163	176	217	
F _{cy} , ksi	75	70	113	145	179	198	242	
F _{su} , ksi	55	5 5	82	95	109	119	149	
F _{bru} , ksi								
(e/D=1.5)			194	219	250	272	347	
(e/D=2 _• 0)	140	140	251	287	326	351	0بلتا	
F _{bry} , ksi								
(e/D=1.5)			151	189	230	255	312	
(e/D=2.0)			180	218	256	280	346	
e, per cent	See 2 2.3.1	Cable	See T	able 2.	3.1.1 (c)	L 10 T 3	(b)
K _{IC} , psi/in. (L)					10	0,000	46,00)
(T)					8	000,0	40,000)
E, 10 ⁶ psi		29.0						
E _c , 10 ⁶ psi		29.0						
G, 10 ⁶ psi		11.0						
Physical Properties								
w, lb/in. ³	0.283	3						
C, Btu/(lb)(F)	0.111	(at 32F)						
K, Btu/(hr)(ft ²)(F)/ft	22.0	(at 32F)						
a, 10 ⁻⁶ in./in./F	6.3	(0 to 200	F)					

^{*} Data obtained for 4340 steel

Table 37 (Hendbook TABLE 2.5.1.1.) DESIGN MECHANICAL AND PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF

5Cr-Mo-V AIRCRAFT STEEL

Alloy	5Cr-Mo-V Airc	50r-Mo-V Aircraft Steel				
Form	All wrought f	orms				
Condition	Heat treated	to obtain th	e F, indica	ted.		
Section Size	Up to 12 in.		<u>-</u>			
Basis	(a)	(a)	(a)			
Mechanical Properties						
F _{tu} , ksi	2 4 0	260	280			
F _{ty} , ksi	200	220	5710			
F _{cy} , ksi	220	5/10	260			
F _{su} , ksi	145	155	170			
F _{bru} , ksi						
(e/D=1.5)						
(e/D=2.0)	400	435	465			
F _{bry} , ksi						
(e/D=1.5)	•••					
(e/D=2.0)	315	340	3 65			
e, per cent Bar, in 4D	9	8	7			
Sheet, in 2 in. (a) Sheet, in 1 in.	6 8	5 7	<u>4</u> 6			
K _{IC} , psi/in. L T	73,000 68,000	46,000 40,000	32,000 28,000			
E, 10 ⁶ psi		30.0				
E _c , 10 ⁶ psi		30.0				
G, 10 ⁶ psi		11.0				
Physical Properties						
w, lb/in. ³	0.281					
C, Btu/(1b) (F)	0 .11^(c) (3	2F)				
K, Btu/(hr) $(ft^2)(F)/ft$	16.6 (400 t	o 1100F)				
a, 10 ⁻⁶ in./in./F	7.1 (80 to	800F); 7.4	(80-1200F)			




FIG. 1: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERING TEMPERATURE ON THE SMOOTH STRENGTH AND PLANE STRAIN FRACTURE TOUGHNESS OF 4340 STEEL AT ROOM TEMPERATURE, LONGITUDINAL ORIENTATION, (DATA FROM TABLE 2).




FIG. 2: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERING TEMPERATURE ON THE SMOOTH STRENGTH AND PLANE STRAIN FRACTURE TOUGHNESS OF 4340 STEEL AT ROOM TEMPERATURE, TRANSVERSE ORIENTATION, (DATA FROM TABLE 3), ALL SPECIMENS CONTAIN MACHINED NOTCHES.




FIG. 3: VARIATION OF KIC WITH TEST TEMPERATURE, 4340 STEEL. (SEE TABLE 4), MACHINED NOTCHES.




FIG.4: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERING TEMPERATURE ON THE SMOOTH STRENGTH AND PLANE STRAIN FRACTURE TOUGHNESS OF MOD. 4330 STEEL AT ROOM TEMPERATURE. (SEE TABLE 5), MACHINED NOTCHES.




FIG. 5: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERING TEMPERATURE ON THE SMOOTH STRENGTH AND PLANE STRAIN FRACTURE TOUGHNESS OF 4330 (MOD Si+V) STEEL AT ROOM TEMPERATURE. (SEE TABLE 6), MACHINED NOTCHES.




FIG. 6: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERING TEMPERATURE ON THE SMOOTH STRENGTH AND PLANE STRAIN FRACTURE TOUGHNESS OF 300 M STEEL, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION. (SEE TABLE 7).





FIG. 7: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERING TEMPERATURE ON THE SMOOTH STRENGTH AND PLANE STRAIN FRACTURE TOUGHNESS OF H-II DIE STEEL, ROOM TEMPERATURE TESTS. (SEE TABLE 19).



TENSILE SPECIMEN GEOMETRY. FIG.8: SMOOTH SHEET






FIG.9: CENTER PRECRACKED NOTCH TENSILE SPECIMEN




FIG. IOA: RESISTANCE CHANGE AS A FUNCTION OF APPLIED STRESS, 300M STEEL, 290,000 PSI TENSILE STRENGTH, NOTCH TENSILE SPECIMEN, TESTED AT ROOM TEMPERATURE.






FIG. IOB: RESISTANCE CHANGE AS A FUNCTION OF APPLIED STRESS REVEALING DISCONTINUOUS CRACK GROWTH IN H-II STEEL, IOOO° F TEMPER, TESTED AT 200° F.





SMOOTH TENSILE SPECIMEN



NOTCH TENSILE SPECIMEN

FIG. II: GEOMETRY OF TEST SPECIMENS FOR BAR STOCK.






FIG. 12: APPARATUS FOR PRECRACKING ROUND NOTCH SPECIMENS CIRCUMFERENTIALLY BY FATIGUE.






FIG. 13: SCHEMATIC OF APPARATUS FOR MAINTAINING CONSTANT SUBZERO TEMPERATURES.




FIG. 14: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON THE SMOOTH TENSILE PROPERTIES OF 4340 STEEL, TEMPERED AT 400°F, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION.




FIG. 15: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON NOTCH TENSILE PROPERTIES OF 4340 STEEL, TEMPERED AT 400°F, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION.






FIG. 16: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON THE PLANE STRAIN FRACTURE TOUGHNESS OF 4340 STEEL, TEMPERED AT 400°F, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION.




FIG. 17: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON THE SMOOTH TENSILE PROPERTIES OF 4340 STEEL, TEMPERED AT 750°F, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION.




FIG. 18: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON NOTCH TENSILE PROPERTIES OF 4340 STEEL, TEMPERED AT 750°F, LONGITUDINAL.

DIRECTION.






FIG. 19: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON PLANE STRAIN FRACTURE TOUGHNESS OF 4340 STEEL, TEMPERED AT 750°F, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION.




FIG. 20: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON THE SMOOTH TENSILE PROPERTIES OF H-II STEEL, TEMPERED AT 1000°F, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION.




FIG. 21: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON SMOOTH TENSILE PROPERTIES OF H-II STEEL, TEMPERED AT 1050°F, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION.




FIG. 22: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON SMOOTH TENSILE PROPERTIES OF H-II STEEL, TEMPERED AT 1100° F, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION




FIG 23: INF LUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON SMOOTH TENSILE
PROPERTIES OF H-II STEEL, TEMPERED AT 1150 °F,
LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION.




FIG. 24: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON NOTCH TENSILE PROPERTIES OF H-II STEEL, TEMPERED AT 1000°F, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION.




FIG. 25: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON NOTCH TENSILE PROPERTIES OF H-II STEEL, TEMPERED AT 1050°F, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION.




FIG.26: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON NOTCH TENSILE PROPERTIES OF H-II STEEL, IIOO° F TEMPER, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION.




FIG. 27: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON NOTCH TENSILE PROPERTIES OF H-II STEEL, TEMPERED AT 1150°F, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION.




FIG.28: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON PLANE STRAIN FRACTURE
TOUGHNESS OF H-II STEEL, TEMPERED AT 1000°F,
LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION.




FIG. 29: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON PLANE STRAIN FRACTURE TOUGHNESS OF H-II STEEL, TEMPERED AT 1050°F, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION.




FIG. 30: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON PLANE STRAIN FRACTURE TOUGHNESS OF H-II STEEL, TEMPERED AT 1100° F, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION.




FIG. 31: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON PLANE STRAIN
FRACTURE TOUGHNESS OF H-II STEEL, TEMPERED
AT 1150 °F, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION




FIG. 32: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON TENSILE PROPERTIES OF 18-5-7 MARAGING STEEL, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION.




FIG. 33: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON NOTCH TENSILE PROPERTIES OF 18-5-7 MARAGING STEEL, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION.





FIG. 34: TYPICAL LOAD-RESISTANCE CURVE FOR MARAGING STEEL ILLUSTRATING THE TWO DIFFERENT K_{IC} VALUES WHICH CAN BE OBTAINED.





50 X 7483



CRACK EXTENSION OCCURRING IN REGION A-B (HEAT TINTED)

FIG. 35: PHOTOGRAPH OF SPECIMEN SHOWING SLIGHT AMOUNT OF SLOW CRACK GROWTH WHICH OCCURS IN MARAGING STEELS.





FIG. 36: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON PLANE STRAIN FRACTURE TOUGHNESS OF 18-5-7 MARAGING STEEL, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION.



OF TEMPERATURE ON TENSILE PROPERTIES OF 18-5-9 LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION. MARAGING STEEL SHEET, 37: INFLUENCE F16.




FIG. 38: INFLUENCE OF TEST TEMPERATURE ON PLAIN STRAIN FRACTURE TOUGHNESS, K_{IC}, OF 18-5-9 MARAGING STEEL SHEET, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION.




FIG. 39: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON SMOOTH TENSILE PROPERTIES OF BI20 VCA TITANIUM, AGED AT 900°F FOR 72 HOURS IN VACUUM, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION.




FIG. 40: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON NOTCH TENSILE PROPERTIES OF B 120 VCA TITANIUM, AGED AT 900°F FOR 72 HOURS IN VACUUM, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION.





FIG. 41: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE ON PLANE STRAIN FRACTURE TOUGHNESS OF B120 VCA TITANIUM, AGED AT 900°F IN VACUUM, LONGITUDINAL DIRECTION.



RATIO: YIELD STRENGTH / DENSITY \sim 106 INCHES

FIG. 42: COMPARISON OF PLANE STRAIN FRACTURE TOUGHNESS OF VARIOUS HIGH-STRENGTH MATERIALS AT ROOM TEMPERATURE.




FIG. 43: K_{IC} DATA SELECTED FOR PRESENTATION AS TYPICAL ROOM TEMPERATURE SHEET PROPERTIES FOR ALLOY STEELS.




FIG. 44: K_{IC} DATA SELECTED FOR PRESENTATION AS TYPICAL ROOM TEMPERATURE SHEET PROPERTIES FOR 5Cr-Mo-V AIRCRAFT STEEL.




FIG. 45: GRAPHICAL METHOD FOR DETERMINING κ_{IC} .




FIG.46: CORRECTION FACTOR EMPLOYED FOR DETERMINING κ_{IC} FROM SPECIMENS WITH VARYING ψ_D RATIOS.



DISTRIBUTION LIST

Director
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington 25, D. C.
ATTN: Code 6000
Code 6322

Commanding Officer
Naval Air Material Center
Aeronautical Materials Laboratory
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Commanding Officer
Watertown Arsenal
Watertown, Massachusetts
ATTN: OMRO

Commanding Officer
Office of Ordinance Research
Box CM, Duke Station
Durham, North Carolina

Commanding Officer Naval Ordinance Laboratory White Oak, Maryland

Bureau of Ships Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. ATTN: Code 342

Defense Metals Information Center 505 King Avenue Columbus 1, Ohio

Federal Aviation Agency Airframe and Equipment Branch The Building Washington 25, D. C. ATTN: FS-120, H. C. Spicer Armed Services Technical Information Agency Arlington Hall Station Arlington, Virginia

Aeronautical Systems Division U. S. Air Force Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio ATTN: WCLTL, D. A. Shinn

National Aeronautics & Space Administration Lewis Research Center 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland 35, Ohio ATTN: Library

Aerojet General Corporation P. O. Box 1947 Sacramento, California ATTN: Library

Battelle Memorial Inst. 505 King Avenue Columbus 1, Ohio ATTN: J. E. Campbell R. Favor

Boeing Airplane Company Aerospace Division P. O. Box 3825 Seattle 24, Washington ATTN: O. T. Ritchie

Convair Division General Dynamics Corporation San Diego, California ATTN: Library



DISTRIBUTION LIST (cont.)

Curtis-Wright Corporation 760 Northland Avenue Buffalo 15, New York ATTN: Library

Douglas Aircraft Company, Inc. Santa Monica, California ATTN: J. L. Waisman

General Dynamics Corporation 3465 Willington Road Fort Worth, Texas ATTN: C. W. Rogers

General Dynamics Corporation P. C. 748, P-46 Fort Worth, Texas ATTN: C. E. King

Grumman Aircraft Engineering Co. Bethage Long Island, New York ATTN: F. Drumm

Lockheed Aircraft Corporation Burbank, California ATTN: Chief Engineer

Head, Ballistics Branch Mechanics Division Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D. C. ATTN: Code 6210, J. A. Kies McDonnell Aircraft Corporation Box 516 St. Louis, Missouri ATTN: Library

North American Aviation, Inc. Columbus, Ohio ATTN: J. E. Warner

Syracuse University Department of Metallurgy Syracuse 10, New York

Thiokol Chemical Corporation Wasatch Division Brigham City, Utah ATTN: Materials Development

Vought Aeronautics Division . P. 0. Box 5907
Dallas 22, Texas
ATTN: Library

Technical Director
Applications Laboratory
Aeronautical Systems Division
Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio
ATTN: Jules Teres

Westinghouse Electric Corporation Lamp Division Bloomfield, New Jersey